#### Finite Element Assembly on Arbitrary Meshes

#### Matthew G Knepley $^{\rm 1}$ and Andy R Terrel $^{\rm 2}$

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Computer Science Division Argonne National Laboratory <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science University of Chicago

March 12, 2008 SIAM Conference on Parallel Processing for Scientific Computing Atlanta, Georgia

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

# Outline



#### 2 Parallelism



э

## Hierarchy Abstractions

#### • Generalize to a set of linear spaces

- Spaces interact through an Overlap
- Sieve provides topology, can also model Mat
- Section generalizes Vec

#### Basic operations

- Restriction to finer subspaces, restrict()/update()
- Assembly to the subdomain, complete()
- Allow reuse of geometric and multilevel algorithms

### Go Back to the Math

#### Combinatorial Topology gives us a framework for geometric computing.

• Abstract to a relation, covering, on points

- Points can represent any mesh element
- Covering can be thought of as adjacency
- Relation can be expressed in a DAG (for cell complexes)

#### • Simple query set:

- provides a general API for geometric algorithms
- leads to simpler implementations
- can be more easily optimized

### Go Back to the Math

Combinatorial Topology gives us a framework for geometric computing.

• Abstract to a relation, covering, on points

- Points can represent any mesh element
- Covering can be thought of as adjacency
- Relation can be expressed in a DAG (for cell complexes)

#### • Simple query set:

- provides a general API for geometric algorithms
- leads to simpler implementations
- can be more easily optimized

### Go Back to the Math

Combinatorial Topology gives us a framework for geometric computing.

- Abstract to a relation, covering, on points
  - Points can represent any mesh element
  - Covering can be thought of as adjacency
  - Relation can be expressed in a DAG (for cell complexes)
- Simple query set:
  - provides a general API for geometric algorithms
  - leads to simpler implementations
  - can be more easily optimized

# Unstructured Interface (after)

#### • NO explicit references to element type

- A point may be any mesh element
- getCone(point): adjacent (d-1)-elements
- getSupport(point): adjacent (d+1)-elements
- Transitive closure
  - closure(cell): The computational unit for FEM

#### • Algorithms independent of mesh

- dimension
- shape (even hybrid)
- global topology

# Unstructured Interface (after)

#### NO explicit references to element type

- A point may be any mesh element
- getCone(point): adjacent (d-1)-elements
- getSupport(point): adjacent (d+1)-elements
- Transitive closure
  - closure(cell): The computational unit for FEM

#### • Algorithms independent of mesh

- dimension
- shape (even hybrid)
- global topology

# Unstructured Interface (after)

#### NO explicit references to element type

- A point may be any mesh element
- getCone(point): adjacent (d-1)-elements
- getSupport(point): adjacent (d+1)-elements
- Transitive closure
  - closure(cell): The computational unit for FEM
- Algorithms independent of mesh
  - dimension
  - shape (even hybrid)
  - global topology



- Incidence/covering arrows
- $cone(0) = \{2, 3, 4\}$
- $support(7) = \{2, 3\}$

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)



- Incidence/covering arrows
- $cone(0) = \{2, 3, 4\}$
- *support*(7) = {2,3}

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)



- Incidence/covering arrows
- $cone(0) = \{2, 3, 4\}$
- $support(7) = \{2, 3\}$



- Incidence/covering arrows
- closure(0) = {0,2,3,4,7,8,9}
  star(7) = {7,2,3,0}

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)



- Incidence/covering arrows
- $closure(0) = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9\}$
- $star(7) = \{7, 2, 3, 0\}$



#### • Map interface

- $restrict(0) = \{f_1\}$
- $restrict(7) = \{v_1\}$
- $restrict(4) = \{7, 8\}$



#### • Map interface

- $restrict(0) = \{f_1\}$
- $restrict(7) = \{v_1\}$
- $restrict(4) = \{7, 8\}$



#### • Map interface

- $restrict(0) = \{f_1\}$
- restrict(7) =  $\{v_1\}$
- $restrict(4) = \{7, 8\}$



#### • Map interface

- $restrict(0) = \{f_1\}$
- $restrict(7) = \{v_1\}$
- $restrict(4) = \{7, 8\}$



• Topological traversals: follow connectivity

- $restrictClosure(0) = \{f_1v_1e_1e_2v_2e_8e_7v_4e_9e_0\}$
- $restrictStar(7) = \{v_1e_1e_2f_1e_0e_9\}$



• Topological traversals: follow connectivity

- $restrictClosure(0) = \{f_1v_1e_1e_2v_2e_8e_7v_4e_9e_0\}$
- $restrictStar(7) = \{v_1e_1e_2f_1e_0e_9\}$



• Topological traversals: follow connectivity

- $restrictClosure(0) = \{f_1v_1e_2v_2e_8e_7v_4e_9e_0\}$
- restrictStar(7) =  $\{v_1e_1e_2f_1e_0e_9\}$

### Outline

Rethinking the Mesh





э

#### Restriction



#### Localization

- Restrict to patches (here an edge closure)
- Compute locally

#### Delta



#### • Delta

- Restrict further to the overlap
- Overlap now carries twice the data

#### Fusion



- Merge/reconcile data on the overlap
  - Addition (FEM)
  - Replacement (FD)
  - Coordinate transform (Sphere)
  - Linear transform (MG)

#### Update



- Update
  - Update local patch data
  - Completion = restrict  $\longrightarrow$  fuse  $\longrightarrow$  update, in parallel

# Completion



- A ubiquitous *parallel* form of *restrict*  $\longrightarrow$  *fuse*  $\longrightarrow$  *update*
- Operates on Sections
  - Sieves can be "downcast" to Sections
- Based on two operations
  - Data exchange through overlap
  - Fusion of shared data

- FEM accumulating integrals on shared faces
- FVM accumulating fluxes on shared cells
- FDM setting values on ghost vertices
  - distributing mesh entities after partition
  - redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
  - accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

#### **FEM** accumulating integrals on shared faces

- **FVM** accumulating fluxes on shared cells
- FDM setting values on ghost vertices
  - distributing mesh entities after partition
  - redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
  - accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

# **FEM** accumulating integrals on shared faces

#### **FVM** accumulating fluxes on shared cells

#### FDM setting values on ghost vertices

- distributing mesh entities after partition
- redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
- accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

- **FEM** accumulating integrals on shared faces
- **FVM** accumulating fluxes on shared cells
- FDM setting values on ghost vertices
  - distributing mesh entities after partition
  - redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
  - accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

- **FEM** accumulating integrals on shared faces
- **FVM** accumulating fluxes on shared cells
- FDM setting values on ghost vertices
  - distributing mesh entities after partition
  - redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
  - accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

- **FEM** accumulating integrals on shared faces
- **FVM** accumulating fluxes on shared cells
- FDM setting values on ghost vertices
  - distributing mesh entities after partition
  - redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
  - accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

- **FEM** accumulating integrals on shared faces
- **FVM** accumulating fluxes on shared cells
- FDM setting values on ghost vertices
  - distributing mesh entities after partition
  - redistributing mesh entities and data for load balance
  - accumlating matvec for a partially assembled matrix

### Mesh Distribution

#### Distributing a mesh means

- distributing the topology (Sieve)
- distributing data (Section)

However, a Sieve can be interpreted as a Section of cone()s!

### Mesh Distribution

#### Distributing a mesh means

- distributing the topology (Sieve)
- distributing data (Section)

However, a Sieve can be interpreted as a Section of cone()s!
#### Mesh Distribution

Distributing a mesh means

- distributing the topology (Sieve)
- distributing data (Section)

However, a Sieve can be interpreted as a Section of cone()s!

Distributing a mesh means

- distributing the topology (Sieve)
- distributing data (Section)

However, a Sieve can be interpreted as a Section of cone()s!

1 Rethinking the Mesh

#### 2 Parallelism



FEM

э

- Section definition
- Integration
- Boundary conditions

Finite Element Integrator And Tabulator by Rob Kirby

http://www.fenics.org/fiat

FFM

FIAT understands

- Reference element shapes (line, triangle, tetrahedron)
- Quadrature rules
- Polynomial spaces
- Functionals over polynomials (dual spaces)
- Derivatives

Can build arbitrary elements by specifying the Ciarlet triple (K, P, P')

FIAT is part of the FEniCS project, as is the PETSc Sieve module

Finite Element Integrator And Tabulator by Rob Kirby

http://www.fenics.org/fiat

FFM

FIAT understands

- Reference element shapes (line, triangle, tetrahedron)
- Quadrature rules
- Polynomial spaces
- Functionals over polynomials (dual spaces)
- Derivatives

Can build arbitrary elements by specifying the Ciarlet triple (K, P, P')

FIAT is part of the FEniCS project, as is the PETSc Sieve module

15 / 24

# **FIAT** Integration

The quadrature.fiat file contains:

• An element (usually a family and degree) defined by FIAT

FFM

• A quadrature rule

It is run

- automatically by make, or
- independently by the user

It can take arguments

- --element\_family and --element\_order, or
- make takes variables ELEMENT and ORDER

Then make produces quadrature.h with:

- Quadrature points and weights
- Basis function and derivative evaluations at the quadrature points
- Integration against dual basis functions over the cell
- Local dofs for Section allocation

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

FEM Assem. Arb. Meshes

FEM

#### Determined by discretization

- By symmetry, only depend on point depth
- Obtained from FIAT
- Modified by BC
- Decouples storage and parallelism from discretization

- Determined by discretization
- By symmetry, only depend on point depth
- Obtained from FIAT
- Modified by BC
- Decouples storage and parallelism from discretization

- Determined by discretization
- By symmetry, only depend on point depth
- Obtained from FIAT
- Modified by BC
- Decouples storage and parallelism from discretization

- Determined by discretization
- By symmetry, only depend on point depth
- Obtained from FIAT
- Modified by BC
- Decouples storage and parallelism from discretization

- Determined by discretization
- By symmetry, only depend on point depth
- Obtained from FIAT
- Modified by BC
- Decouples storage and parallelism from discretization

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

#### • FIAT reports the kind of unknown

- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite
- Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation
  - Raviart-Thomas
- Moments transform as  $|J^{-1}|$ 
  - Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

- FIAT reports the kind of unknown
- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite
- Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation
  - Raviart-Thomas
- Moments transform as  $|J^{-1}|$ 
  - Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

#### • FIAT reports the kind of unknown

- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite
- Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation
  - Raviart-Thomas
- Moments transform as  $|J^{-1}|$ 
  - Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

#### • FIAT reports the kind of unknown

- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite

• Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation

- Raviart-Thomas
- Moments transform as  $|J^{-1}|$ 
  - Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

#### • FIAT reports the kind of unknown

- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite
- Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation
  - Raviart-Thomas

#### • Moments transform as $|J^{-1}|$

- Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

#### • FIAT reports the kind of unknown

- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite
- Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation
  - Raviart-Thomas
- Moments transform as  $|J^{-1}|$ 
  - Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

We must map local unknowns to the global basis

FFM

- FIAT reports the kind of unknown
- Scalars are invariant
  - Lagrange
- Vectors transform as  $J^{-T}$ 
  - Hermite
- Normal vectors require Piola transform and a choice of orientation
  - Raviart-Thomas
- Moments transform as  $|J^{-1}|$ 
  - Nedelec
- May involve a transformation over the entire closure
  - Argyris
- Conjecture by Kirby relates transformation to affine equivalence
- We have not yet automated this step (FFC, Mython)

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  coords = mesh->restrict(coordinates, c);
  v0, J, invJ, detJ = computeGeometry(coords);
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs: ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  inputVec = mesh->restrict(U, c);
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
                                                  < 3 > < 3 >
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    realCoords = J*refCoords[q] + v0;
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      elemVec[f] += basis[q,f]*rhsFunc(realCoords);
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

E ∽ar

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      for(d = 0; d < dim; ++d)
     for(e) testDerReal[d] += invJ[e,d]*basisDer[q,f,e];
      for(g = 0; g < numBasisFuncs; ++g) {</pre>
        for(d = 0; d < dim; ++d)
          for(e) basisDerReal[d] += invJ[e,d]*basisDer[q,g,e]
          elemMat[f,g] += testDerReal[d]*basisDerReal[d]
        elemVec[f] += elemMat[f,g]*inputVec[g];
      }
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      elemVec[f] += basis[q,f]*lambda*exp(inputVec[f]);
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
                                                (B)
                                                       E SQA
```

FFM

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  mesh->updateAdd(F, c, elemVec);
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
<Aggregate updates>
```

FFM

```
cells = mesh->heightStratum(0);
for(c = cells->begin(); c != cells->end(); ++c) {
  <Compute cell geometry>
  <Retrieve values from input vector>
  for(q = 0; q < numQuadPoints; ++q) {</pre>
    <Transform coordinates>
    for(f = 0; f < numBasisFuncs; ++f) {</pre>
      <Constant term>
      <Linear term>
      <Nonlinear term>
      elemVec[f] *= weight[q]*detJ;
    }
  }
  <Update output vector>
}
Distribution<Mesh>::completeSection(mesh, F);
```

FFM

## **Boundary Conditions**

Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

Neumann conditions may be expressed as
Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

$$u|_{\Gamma} = g$$

FEM

#### Neumann conditions may be expressed as

Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

 $u|_{\Gamma} = g$ 

FEM

and implemented by constraints on dofs in a Section

Neumann conditions may be expressed as

Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

$$u|_{\Gamma} = g$$

FFM

and implemented by constraints on dofs in a Section

• The user provides a function.

Neumann conditions may be expressed as

Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

$$u|_{\Gamma} = g$$

FEM

and implemented by constraints on dofs in a Section

• The user provides a function.

Neumann conditions may be expressed as

 $\nabla u \cdot \hat{n}|_{\Gamma} = h$ 

M. Knepley A. Terrel (ANL, UofC)

Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

$$u|_{\Gamma} = g$$

FFM

and implemented by constraints on dofs in a Section

• The user provides a function.

Neumann conditions may be expressed as

$$\nabla u \cdot \hat{n}|_{\Gamma} = h$$

and implemented by explicit integration along the boundary

Dirichlet conditions may be expressed as

$$u|_{\Gamma} = g$$

FFM

and implemented by constraints on dofs in a Section

• The user provides a function.

Neumann conditions may be expressed as

$$\nabla u \cdot \hat{n}|_{\Gamma} = h$$

and implemented by explicit integration along the boundaryThe user provides a weak form.

- Topological boundary is marked during generation
- Cells bordering boundary are marked using markBoundaryCells()

FFM

- To set values:
  - Loop over boundary cells
  - 2 Loop over the element closure
  - **③** For each boundary point *i*, apply the functional  $N_i$  to the function *g*
- The functionals are generated with the quadrature information
- Section allocation applies Dirichlet conditions automatically
  - Values are stored in the Section
  - restrict() behaves normally, update() ignores constraints

# Better mathematical abstractions bring concrete benefits

- Vast reduction in complexity
  - Operate directly at the equation and discretization level
  - Automatic generation of integration/assembly routines
  - Dimension independent code
- Expansion of capabilities
  - Parametric models
  - Optimized implementations of integration
  - Multigrid on arbitrary meshes

# Better mathematical abstractions bring concrete benefits

- Vast reduction in complexity
  - Operate directly at the equation and discretization level
  - Automatic generation of integration/assembly routines
  - Dimension independent code

## • Expansion of capabilities

- Parametric models
- Optimized implementations of integration
- Multigrid on arbitrary meshes

# Better mathematical abstractions bring concrete benefits

- Vast reduction in complexity
  - Operate directly at the equation and discretization level
  - Automatic generation of integration/assembly routines
  - Dimension independent code
- Expansion of capabilities
  - Parametric models
  - Optimized implementations of integration
  - Multigrid on arbitrary meshes

#### Conclusions

## References

## • FEniCS Documentation:

http://www.fenics.org/wiki/FEniCS\_Project

- Project documentation
- Users manuals
- Repositories, bug tracking
- Image gallery

### • Publications:

http://www.fenics.org/wiki/Related\_presentations\_and\_publications

• Research and publications that make use of FEniCS

## • PETSc Documentation:

http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/docs

- PETSc Users manual
- Manual pages
- Many hyperlinked examples
- FAQ, Troubleshooting info, installation info, etc.
- Publication using PETSc

Proof is not currrently enough to examine solvers

- N. M. Nachtigal, S. C. Reddy, and L. N. Trefethen, How fast are nonsymmetric matrix iterations?, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 13, pp.778–795, 1992.
- Anne Greenbaum, Vlastimil Ptak, and Zdenek Strakos, Any Nonincreasing Convergence Curve is Possible for GMRES, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 17 (3), pp.465–469, 1996.