

Default PDM: 09

Detailed Results

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it.

Individual PDMs


PDM Sort by
9 month PDM - Location

Back up to


Accountability

	Main Data											
Region	100% Registration records	Sample size %	Sample size target	Main data provided #	Main data provided %	Total PDM data collected #	Total PDM data collected % (% of provided)	Δ#	Δ%	Sam siz targ		
Summary →	1,046,336	1.50	15,695	16,900	1.62	15,148	1.45 (90)	-1,752	-10.37	5		
Beyla	64,999	1.50	975	1,040	1.60	923	1.42 (89)	-117	-11.25	5		
Boffa	45,487	1.50	682	740	1.63	707	1.55 (96)	-33	-4.46	5		
Boké	115,590	1.50	1,734	1,760	1.52	1,591	1.38 (90)	-169	-9.60	5		
Dabola	40,061	1.50	601	700	1.75	677	1.69 (97)	-23	-3.29	5		
Dinguiraye	41,392	1.50	621	620	1.50	523	1.26 (84)	-97	-15.65	5		
Faranah	60,639	1.50	910	1,000	1.65	938	1.55 (94)	-62	-6.20	5		
Fria	18,310	1.50	275	300	1.64	293	1.60 (98)	-7	-2.33	5		
Gaoual	37,544	1.50	563	600	1.60	595	1.58 (99)	-5	-0.83	5		
Gueckedou	80,553	1.50	1,208	1,200	1.49	954	1.18 (80)	-246	-20.50	5		
Kérouané	49,646	1.50	745	820	1.65	702	1.41 (86)	-118	-14.39	5		
Kissidougou	61,571	1.50	924	1,000	1.62	714	1.16 (71)	-286	-28.60	5		
Koundara	28,642	1.50	430	500	1.75	475	1.66 (95)	-25	-5.00	5		
Kouroussa	46,512	1.50	698	780	1.68	743	1.60 (95)	-37	-4.74	5		
Lola	47,329	1.50	710	800	1.69	778	1.64 (97)	-22	-2.75	5		
Macenta	73,414	1.50	1,101	1,240	1.69	1,087	1.48 (88)	-153	-12.34	5		
Mandiana	86,704	1.50	1,301	1,400	1.61	1,293	1.49 (92)	-107	-7.64	5		
N'Zerekore	114,200	1.50	1,713	1,820	1.59	1,587	1.39 (87)	-233	-12.80	5		
Yomou	33,743	1.50	506	580	1.72	568	1.68 (98)	-12	-2.07	5		


The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view Accountability data




The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view Accountability data



The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill dow to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view Accountability data



The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view Accountability data





Data Collection Accuracy

The numbers below are a measure of data quality, for both main PDM (100%) and re-visit (5%) data.

Key definitions for this page include:

- Matching records: revisit records that form a matching pair with a PDM record, when automatic matching is attempted.
- Key data match: a matching pair has matching key data if the LLINs hung, number of sleeping spaces and whether the nets are used correctly are exactly the same in PDM and revisit records.
- Complete match: a matching pair has a complete match if, in addition, LLINs present not hung and the number of people in the household are exactly the same in PDM and revisit records.

3

S	u	m	m	a	ry

Matching	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Households	Accuracy	Accuracy	Accuracy
943	346 (37%)	222 (24%)	375 (40%)

This table shows the proportion of matching pairs that fall into three categories of accuracy: high (complete match), medium (key data match only), and low (key data mismatch).

Detail

The results for a particular data clerk can be selected if desired. All data clerks 'Revisit' records entered 1,061 Number of % Matching type records Total records entered 19,513 Complete Match 36.7% 346 Percentage 5.4% Key Data Only Match 222 23.5%

Matching records	943	T-1-1K D-1-			
Percentage of 'Revisit'	88.9%	Total Key Data Matches	568	60.2%	
Percentage of total	4.8%	Key Data Mismatch	375	39.8%	
Complete or Key Data only match	568	Total # Matched Records	943	100.0%	
Percentage	60.2%	No Matching Record Yet	118		
		Total Records Entered	1,061		

Select a matching category

Data Collection Analysis

The table below shows the comparison of main data and revisit data for matched households. All differences are calculated as main data minus revisit data.

Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it.

PDM 9 month PDM -

Refresh

Total records entered: 19,513 Revisit records entered: 1,061 (5.4%) Matching records: 943 (88.9%)

lets	Hung		Pre	sen	t No	t Hui	ng	Sle	epir	ng S	pac	es	Are	e Use	d Co	recti	у?	Ped	ple li	n Th	e H	ouse	hold			
																Nets	Hun	g								
				#	HHs	Matc	hed		N	lain			R	evisit			Δ		%			σ1		σ2	2	
Bok	é				92	189			6	629				650		10-	-21		-3			0.2		0.6	9	
Fara	Faranah 133				2	129			15	416		9	-13		+3			0.6		1.58						
Kan	kan				3	331			ç	981				988			-7		-1			0.5		1.13	1.13	
N'Ze	erekor	е			2	290			8	351				886			-35		-4			0.3		0.90		
					9	943			2,	890			2	,940		2	-50		-2			0.4		1.0	7	
0 0%	0 0% -10	0 0%			0 0% -7	1 0%	3 0%	5 1%	13 15	3 :	332 33%	75 8%	726 77% 0		2%		0%				% (1 0% +8	0 0% +9	0 0% +10	1 0%	
																							_			
			<	-10	48				-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4		+6	+7		1 1	a Luneau		
Bok			0	0	0		0	0	1	0	2	5	9	166	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
	anah		0	0	0		0	0	1	1	2	4	12	93	10	5	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	(
Kan	kan	e	0	0	0		0	0	0	2	5	17	29 25	233	J 2000112	9	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	(



Default PDM: 09

Detailed Results

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it.

Individual PDMs

 PDM
 Sort by

 9 month PDM

 Location

Back up to

Accountability

Re-visit	data				Spares							
Revisit rovided %	Revisit collected	Revisit collected % (% of provided)	Δ#	Δ%	Spares provided #	Spares provided %	% of main data that is spares	Spares used	% of visited that is spares	% of target that is spares	% collected matched to HH list	
5.75	830	4.91 (85)	-142	-14.61	5,070	0.48	30.00	1,036	6.8	6.6	100.0	
5.77	48	4.62 (80)	-12	-20.00	312	0.48	30.00	101	10.9	10.4	100.0	
6.49	47	6.35 (98)	-1	-2.08	222	0.49	30.00	37	5.2	5.4	100.0	
5.45	77	4.38 (80)	-19	-19.79	528	0.46	30.00	113	7.1	6.5	100.0	
5.14	28	4.00 (78)	-8	-22.22	210	0.52	30.00	34	5.0	5.7	100.0	
5.81	30	4.84 (83)	-6	-16.67	186	0.45	30.00	32	6.1	5.2	99.6	
6.00	53	5.30 (88)	-7	-11.67	300	0.49	30.00	60	6.4	6.6	100.0	
8.00	23	7.67 (96)	-1	-4.17	90	0.49	30.00	27	9.2	9.8	100.0	
6.00	36	6.00 (100)	0	0.00	180	0.48	30.00	18	3.0	3.2	100.0	
5.00	50	4.17 (83)	-10	-16.67	360	0.45	30.00	93	9.7	7.7	100.0	
5.85	34	4.15 (71)	-14	-29.17	246	0.50	30.00	92	13.1	12.4	100.0	
6.00	53	5.30 (88)	-7	-11.67	300	0.49	30.00	65	9.1	7.0	100.0	
7.20	33	6.60 (92)	-3	-8.33	150	0.52	30.00	57	12.0	13.3	100.0	
6.15	37	4.74 (77)	-11	-22.92	234	0.50	30.00	34	4.6	4.9	99.7	
6.00	41	5.13 (85)	-7	-14.58	240	0.51	30.00	54	6.9	7.6	100.0	
5.81	72	5.81 (100)	0	0.00	372	0.51	30.00	55	5.1	5.0	100.0	
5.14	59	4.21 (82)	-13	-18.06	420	0.48	30.00	93	7.2	7.2	100.0	
5.27	78	4.29 (81)	-18	-18.75	546	0.48	30.00	41	2.6	2.4	100.0	
6.21	31	5.34 (86)	-5	-13.89	174	0.52	30.00	30	5.3	5.9	100.0	