



## Data Entry Accuracy

The numbers below are a measure of the quality of the data, for both Main Data and 2nd Entry Data.

PDM

12 month PDM

### Summary

Matching Households	HIGH Accuracy	MEDIUM Accuracy	LOW Accuracy
882	766 (87%)	96 (11%)	20 (2%)

This table shows the proportion of matching pairs that fall into three categories of accuracy: high (complete match), medium (key data match only), and low (key data mismatch).

### Detail

The results for a particular data clerk can be selected if desired.

All data clerks

'2nd Entry' records entered	930
Total records entered	15,261
Percentage	6.1%
Matching records	882
Percentage of '2nd Entry'	94.8%
Percentage of total	5.8%
Complete or Key Data only match	862
Percentage	97.7%

Matching type	Number of records	%	Of which # corrected by Supervisor
Complete Match	766	86.8%	104
Key Data Only Match	96	10.9%	5
<b>Total Key Data Matches</b>	<b>862</b>	<b>97.7%</b>	<b>109</b>
Key Data Mismatch	20	2.3%	
<b>Total # Matched Records</b>	<b>882</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	
No Matching Record Yet	48		
<b>Total Records Entered</b>	<b>930</b>		



## Detailed Results

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it.

### Individual PDMs

PDM

12 month PDM

Sort by

Location

[Back up to](#)

### Accountability

#### Main Data

Region	100% Registration records	Sample size %	Sample size target	Main data provided #	Main data provided %	Total PDM data collected #	Total PDM data collected % (% of provided)	Δ #	Δ %	Sam siz targ %
<b>Summary →</b>	<b>1,255,887</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>18,838</b>	<b>18,630</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>15,225</b>	<b>1.21 (82)</b>	<b>-3,405</b>	<b>-18.28</b>	<b>5</b>
Central	305,302	1.50	4,580	4,560	1.49	3,917	1.28 (86)	-643	-14.10	5
Eastern	436,869	1.50	6,553	6,675	1.53	4,994	1.14 (75)	-1,681	-25.18	5
North Western	211,555	1.50	3,173	3,180	1.50	2,602	1.23 (82)	-578	-18.18	5
Western	302,161	1.50	4,532	4,215	1.39	3,712	1.23 (88)	-503	-11.93	5

# Detailed Results: Zambia 2018

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view [Accountability data](#)

At a glance

Detail

PDM

Sort by

12 month PDM

Location

Presence

Coverage

Usage

Condition

Miscellaneous data

Comments

	AMF Nets										AMF Olyset nets									
	Total	Very Good		Good		Viable		Worn out		Rating	Total	Very Good		Good		Viable		Worn out		Rating
Region		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Rating		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Rating
<b>Summary</b> →	29,118	11,661	40	14,585	50	236	1	2,636	9	52	16,842	6,320	38	8,789	52	208	1	1,525	9	52
Central	7,701	2,365	31	4,360	57	8	0	968	13	47	4,232	1,306	31	2,392	57	2	0	532	13	47
Eastern	9,253	4,217	46	4,526	49	61	1	449	5	57	4,846	2,415	50	2,150	44	46	1	235	5	57
North Western	6,072	2,672	44	2,969	49	20	0	411	7	55	4,407	1,541	35	2,548	58	20	0	298	7	53
Western	6,326	2,501	40	2,870	45	147	2	808	13	48	3,321	1,058	32	1,699	51	140	4	424	13	46

show/hide key

## Detailed Results: Zambia 2018

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view [Accountability data](#)

At a glance

Detail

PDM

12 month PDM

Sort by

Location

Presence

Coverage

Usage

Condition

Miscellaneous data

Comments

Region	Sleeping spaces			People		
	#	Covered	%	#	Covered	%
<b>Summary →</b>	<b>41,480</b>	<b>26,925</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>82,850</b>	<b>56,157</b>	<b>68</b>
<a href="#">Central</a>	10,904	6,552	60	23,788	13,690	58
<a href="#">Eastern</a>	12,132	9,048	75	24,500	19,690	80
<a href="#">North Western</a>	7,913	5,681	72	14,575	10,710	73
<a href="#">Western</a>	10,531	5,644	54	19,987	12,067	60

# Detailed Results: Zambia 2018

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view [Accountability data](#)

At a glance

Detail

PDM

12 month PDM

Sort by

Location

Presence

Coverage

Usage

Condition

Miscellaneous data

Comments

Region	Households		Sleeping Spaces		People		AMF Nets										
	Target	#	%	#	#/hh	#	#/ss	Nets Received	Presence	Hung	Present not hung	Missing	Worn out/ not usable				
								#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
<b>Summary</b> →	18,630	15,260	82	41,480	2.72	82,850	2.00	31,228	87	25,291	81	2,026	6	1,275	4	2,636	8
Central	4,560	3,918	86	10,904	2.78	23,788	2.18	8,382	83	6,017	72	957	11	440	5	968	12
Eastern	6,675	5,021	75	12,132	2.42	24,500	2.02	9,866	91	8,625	87	377	4	415	4	449	5
North Western	3,180	2,604	82	7,913	3.04	14,575	1.84	6,385	90	5,427	85	311	5	236	4	411	6
Western	4,215	3,717	88	10,531	2.83	19,987	1.90	6,595	85	5,222	79	381	6	184	3	808	12

show/hide key

## Detailed Results: Zambia 2018

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it. You can also view [Accountability data](#)

At a glance

Detail

PDM

12 month PDM

Sort by

Location

Presence

Coverage

Usage

Condition

Miscellaneous data

Comments

Region	Nets Used				Household used				People sleeping under nets								
	Correctly		Not Correctly		Correctly		Not correctly		Children under 5		Children 5 to 18		Pregnant women		Other adults		Total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Summary →</b>	<b>26,252</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12,457</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10,243</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18,952</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1,169</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25,811</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>56,175</b>
Central	6,441	97	201	3	2,924	97	88	3	2,482	18	4,853	35	284	2	6,075	44	13,694
Eastern	8,851	99	124	1	4,522	99	62	1	3,364	17	6,508	33	269	1	9,549	48	19,690
North Western	5,428	97	188	3	2,287	96	86	4	2,077	19	3,603	34	360	3	4,672	44	10,712
Western	5,532	98	90	2	2,724	98	53	2	2,320	19	3,988	33	256	2	5,515	46	12,079

show/hide key



## Data Collection Accuracy

The numbers below are a measure of data quality, for both main PDM (100%) and re-visit (5%) data.

Key definitions for this page include:

- **Matching records:** revisit records that form a matching pair with a PDM record, when automatic matching is attempted.
- **Key data match:** a matching pair has matching key data if the LLINs hung, number of sleeping spaces and whether the nets are used correctly are exactly the same in PDM and revisit records.
- **Complete match:** a matching pair has a complete match if, in addition, LLINs present not hung and the number of people in the household are exactly the same in PDM and revisit records.

PDM

12 month PDM

### Summary

Matching Households	HIGH Accuracy	MEDIUM Accuracy	LOW Accuracy
679	497 (73%)	16 (2%)	166 (24%)

This table shows the proportion of matching pairs that fall into three categories of accuracy: high (complete match), medium (key data match only), and low (key data mismatch).

### Detail

The results for a particular data clerk can be selected if desired.

All data clerks

'Revisit' records entered	860
Total records entered	15,261
Percentage	<b>5.6%</b>
Matching records	679
Percentage of 'Revisit'	<b>79.0%</b>
Percentage of total	<b>4.4%</b>
Complete or Key Data only match	513
Percentage	<b>75.6%</b>

Matching type	Number of records	%
Complete Match	497	73.2%
Key Data Only Match	16	2.4%
<b>Total Key Data Matches</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>75.6%</b>
Key Data Mismatch	166	24.4%
<b>Total # Matched Records</b>	<b>679</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
No Matching Record Yet	181	
<b>Total Records Entered</b>	<b>860</b>	

Select a matching category

## Data Collection Analysis

The table below shows the comparison of main data and revisit data for matched households. All differences are calculated as main data minus revisit data.

Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it.

PDM  -

Refresh

Total records entered: 15,260 Revisit records entered: 860 (5.6%) Matching records: 679 (79.0%)

		Nets Hung						
Region	# HHs Matched	Main	Revisit	$\Delta$	%	$\sigma$ 1	$\sigma$ 2	
Central	131	160	158	+2	+1	0.1	0.46	
Eastern	204	337	360	-23	-7	0.3	0.73	
North Western	136	281	266	+15	+5	0.1	0.35	
Western	208	277	262	+15	+5	0.1	0.58	
	<b>679</b>	<b>1,055</b>	<b>1,046</b>	<b>+9</b>	<b>+1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.57</b>	

  

Region	<	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4	+5	+6	+7	+8	+9	+10	>
Central	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	109	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastern	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	20	155	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Western	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	125	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Western	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	186	6	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0





## Detailed Results

The table below shows the aggregated data entered for each region, district, sub-district and community. Click on a location to drill down to view the statistics for the locations below it.

### Individual PDMs

PDM

12 month PDM

Sort by

Location

[Back up to](#)

### Accountability

#### Re-visit data

#### Spares

Revisit rovided %	Revisit collected #	Revisit collected % (% of provided)	Δ #	Δ %	Spares provided #	Spares provided %	% of main data that is spares	Spares used	% of visited that is spares	% of target that is spares	% collected matched to HH list
6.98	859	4.61 (66)	-442	-33.97	8,250	0.66	44.28	4,118	27.0	21.9	97.1
5.86	131	2.87 (49)	-136	-50.94	2,277	0.75	49.93	1,212	30.9	26.5	98.6
6.07	348	5.21 (86)	-57	-14.07	2,136	0.49	32.00	1,089	21.8	16.6	96.3
7.99	145	4.56 (57)	-109	-42.91	1,590	0.75	50.00	745	28.6	23.5	95.6
8.90	235	5.58 (63)	-140	-37.33	2,247	0.74	53.31	1,072	28.9	23.7	97.4