OSEP'S IDEA PART C FISCAL MONITORING

An Overview and Introduction to the Monitoring Protocols

OSEP'S NOVEMBER 2016 NATIONAL TA CALL





Goals for this Presentation



- Provide an Overview of OSEP's Fiscal Monitoring in Context of Differentiated Monitoring and Support (DMS)
- Provide an Overview of Fiscal Monitoring Focus Areas
- Review Monitoring Protocols
- Discuss Next Steps

OVERVIEW OF DMS

DMS BASICS



- Not a one size fits all monitoring. Monitoring and support based upon needs of State and best use of OSEP resources.
- Based upon assessment of risk to the Department and IDEA:
 - Starts with Organizational Assessment in key areas
 - Evaluates additional factors about State and OSEP resources
 - All States receive designation of intensive, targeted or universal in each key area

Organizational Assessment Areas



Each State is scored based on a rubric in the following areas:

- Results
- Compliance
- Special Focus Area (2016-17—Child Find)
- Fiscal
- SSIP

Engagement Decision Tree



Results				
Determination Matrix Percentage	Any factors that may contribute to elevated risk	TA accessed	Additional Information	Final IT recommendation re: level of monitoring and TA
Compliance				
Determination Matrix Percentage	Any factors that may contribute to elevated risk	TA accessed	Additional Information	Final IT recommendation re: level of monitoring and TA
Special focus: State-level Dispute Resolution System				
Organizational Assessment Score	Any factors that may contribute to elevated risk	TA accessed	Additional Information	Final IT recommendation re: level of monitoring and TA
Fiscal				
Organizational Assessment Score	Any factors that may contribute to elevated risk	TA accessed	Additional Information	Final IT recommendation re: level of monitoring and TA
SSIP				
	Challenges or barriers to implementation	TA accessed	Additional Information	Final IT recommendation re: level of monitoring and TA

DMS Notice



- After OSEP assigns final designations, States receive DMS notices, with designation for all monitoring areas
- OSEP State teams work with States to finalize and schedule intensive monitoring and targeted monitoring and support activities

PART C FISCAL MONITORING

Fiscal Risk Factors



Turnover in Leadership	Change in Lead Agency
Size of the Award	Number of EIS Programs
Lapsed Funds	Late Liquidation Requests
Conditional Approval Due to Methods or SoP	Special Conditions

Monitoring Activities 2016-2017



- Intensive Monitoring: Visits focused on:
 - System of Payments
 - Methods
- Targeted Monitoring and Support: OSEP will provide support based on the needs of the State
- Universal Support: Webinars, Resources on GRADS 360, TA by phone and email

SYSTEM OF PAYMENTS (SOP) PROTOCOL

SoP: Objectives



- Highlight best practices for the field
- Provide TA to States
- Determine States' internal capacities and deficiencies requiring additional OSEP attention
- Examine implementation of SoP policies and procedures

SoP: Protocol Components



- General requirements
- Use of private insurance
- Use of public benefits and insurance

SoP: General Requirements



How State's general supervision system monitors the use of:

- public benefits or insurance,
- private insurance, and/or
- a schedule of sliding or cost participation fees

to pay for Part C services

SoP: Use of Private Insurance



- For all States, OSEP will examine the State's SoP, related procedures, and other supporting documentation
- For States whose approved SoP included a State statute/legislation that included the applicable protections related to accessing private insurance, OSEP will verify that the State's legislation continues to ensure the protections

SOP: Use of Public Benefits or Insurance



How the State's general supervision system monitors the consent requirements for the use of public benefits or insurance:

- where child or parent is not already enrolled in the program; or
- if the use of that public insurance would result in specified costs to the family.

Best Practices



- Detailed written policies and procedures in accordance with requirements
- Regular training to EI program staff
- Monitoring implementation of parental notification/consent provisions
- Monitoring for consistent implementation of the State's SoP and equitable service delivery
- Documentation demonstrating implementation by El programs

Documents needed



- For full list see Grads 360
- Examples include:
 - a. SoP policies/procedures
 - b. Documentation of implementation of the SoP
 - c. Evidence of Part C funds used as interim payor source
 - d. Monitoring protocols related to payor sources
 - e. Evidence of trainings

METHODS PROTOCOL

Methods: Objectives



- Highlight best practices for the field
- Provide TA to States
- Determine States' internal capacities and deficiencies requiring additional OSEP attention
- Examine implementation of the State's Methods
- Discuss the State's responsibility to ensure the provision of financial responsibility for Part C services

Methods: Protocol Components



- Use of Funds Requirements
- Payor of Last Resort Requirements
- System of Payments (SoPs)
- Resolution of Fiscal Disputes
- Additional Components

Use of Funds Requirements



 Examine whether the Methods that establish financial responsibility for the provision of Part C services with other State-level public agencies that provide or pay for services are consistent with the Part C use of funds requirements.

Payor of Last Resort Requirements



 Understand what mechanisms the State uses to ensure that Part C funds are not used to satisfy a financial commitment for services that would otherwise have been paid for from another public or private source

34 CFR §§303.202 and 303.510

System of Payments (SoPs)



 Examine whether the State's Method is consistent with the written funding policies adopted by the State, including the State's SoP regarding the use of insurance (public and/or private) to pay for Part C services.

34 CFR §§303.511(d)(2) and 303.520

Resolution Fiscal Disputes



- Examine the mechanisms used by the State to:
 - Implement procedures for timely resolution of intra-agency and interagency disputes about payments or disputes about other matters related to the State's El service program.
 - Reassign the financial responsibility to the appropriate agency, if appropriate; and make arrangements for reimbursement of any expenditures incurred by the agency originally assigned financial responsibility.
 - Ensure that no Part C services are delayed or denied because of disputes.

34 CFR §303.511

Additional Components



 Examine any additional components of the State's Method necessary to ensure effective cooperation and coordination among other State-level public agencies that provide or pay for Part C services, and the lead agency's general supervision of EIS providers

34 CFR §303.511(e)

Discussion Questions on Methods



- Do the uses of Part C funds described in the State's Method fall under the categories of cost described under the permissive use of funds requirements?
- What funding sources are used to pay for IDEA Part C services?
- Has the State followed its procedures for providing interim payments and reimbursements?

Discussion Questions on Methods (cont'd)



- Is the State's Method consistent with the SoP established by the lead agency?
- Do other State-level agencies provide El services and, if so, how does the State ensure that those agencies operate consistently with the SoP?
- Has the lead agency experienced any intra-agency and/or interagency disputes about payments for a given service? How did the lead agency implement its procedures and achieve a timely resolution of that dispute?

Documents Needed



- For full list see Grads 360
- Examples include:
 - State's Method
 - Documentation of implementation of Method
 - Evidence of monitoring/oversight of implementation
 - Evidence of training/implementation in partner agencies
 - Documentation related to the fiscal dispute system

Resources



Relevant resources have been posted on https://osep.grads360.org/#program/fiscal-monitoring, including:

- This PowerPoint
- Monitoring Protocols
- Fiscal Monitoring Overview
- Related Resources

More Questions?

Contact your Fiscal Accountability Facilitator:

Team A (Jones): jennifer.finch@ed.gov

Team B (Gregorian): daniel.schreier@ed.gov

Team C (Tanner-Dean): hillary.tabor@ed.gov

Team D (Ringer): susan.murray@ed.gov



