Burundi 3rd Follow-Up Impact Survey 2017 Recommendations Report









1 Programmatic recommendations

This report reviews the 3rd Follow-Up (FU3) impact survey which was conducted in Burundi, in 2017 following from 7 to 9 round(s) of mass preventive chemotherapy (PC) for schistosomiasis (SCH) and soil-transmitted helminths (STH). Baseline is pre-treatment data from 2007/08, FU1 are data collected in 2009; FU2 are data collected in 2011 and FU3 from data collected in 2017. The following programmatic recommendations are:

Table 1: Observations and corrective from the impact survey

Finding or observation Schistosoma mansoni and Ascaris lumbricoides prevalence decreased significantly from baseline. It went from 19% to 4.5% and from just under 10% to 3%, respectively.	Interpretation PC is reaching target population and reducing infection.	Programmatic action Ministry of Health (MoH) control programme works to maintain these gains.
Increase in prevalence of <i>S. mansoni</i> and moderate and heavy intensity infection in a number of schools from 2011* despite overall reduction in both.	Spikes in results from school level information could be due to low treatment coverage in particular schools, poor sanitation or other environmental factors.	MoH and SCI to review the reported treatment coverage in all the sentinel site schools and monitor closely those that are having 2+ years of increasing prevalence. MoH and SCI continue to monitor any changes, particularly any increase in heavy intensity in all age groups.
Hookworm infection has reduced conisderably, while <i>Trichuris trichiura</i> infections have remained at a low level despite a peak in 2009. In both cases infections are only of light intensity by 2017.	PC is reaching target population in these areas and having an impact on infection.	MoH control programme works to maintain these gains.
Prevalence is similar over time in both boys and girls.	Equitable reach, acceptance and impact of treatment in boys and girls for both SCH and STH.	MoH control programme works to maintain these gains.

Heterogeneity in the prevalence of S. mansoni Schistosomiasis has focal distribution in Burundi. MoH and SCI continue to monitor sentinel sites between schools. annually/biennially. Increases in both prevalence and intensity are observed in Maramvya and Nyamibu schools. The trend is not the same across the other schools in Rumonge (Kizuga, Mudende, and Rukinga). Prevalence of *S.mansoni* using the rapid urine CCA technique seems to be more effective than MoH to continue the use of CCA technique to based Circulating Cathodic Antigen (CCA) diagnotics assess the burden of *S.mansoni*, inform treatment Kato-Katz for the diagnosis of S.mansoni if the is higher than with stool-based Kato-Katz diagnostic strategy in those areas and determine the prevalence is low in the district due to a higher in each school. When considering CCA with trace as applicability of the CCA as a programmatic sensitivity of the CCA test. positive, the prevalence is more than 50% for 3 monitoring tool. districts (Nyambu, Nyamusasa and Rukinga).

2 Methods

All methods described in associated protocol:

In English: https://imperiallondon.sharepoint.com/:w:/r/sites/fom/schisto/mer/2 Country M%26E/BDI/Impact/FY 1718/1 Protocol %26 presurvey/BDI Impact Survey Protocol 2017 EN.docx?d=wf9844a2effac416ab18771efa0c0fd8a&csf=1&e=CIKdyf

In French: https://imperiallondon.sharepoint.com/:w:/r/sites/fom/schisto/mer/2 Country M%26E/BDI/Impact/FY 1718/1 Protocol %26 presurvey/BDI Impact Survey Protocol 2017 FR.docx?d=w792870bd33e44b5e86da175e5171f0b5&csf=1&e=hZttdC

^{*}Following the Schistosomiasis Consortium for Operational Research and Evaluation (SCORE) and SCI mapping of Burundi in 2014, plans and protocols were developed for testing the implementation of a SCH elimination study in Burundi. This cluster-randomised control study study included MDA, plus other SCH-related interventions and evaluation of the impact. However, due to civil unrest breaking out in April 2015, all programmatic activities were put on hold with remote support provided for the implementation of MDAs. In December 2016, MER activities were resumed.

2.1 Field methods

• Nothing was specified in the MoH field survey report or emails from the MoH staff.

2.2 Deviations from protocol

- Kato-Katz test on the second day is missing for 24 children (11 in Buterere, 4 in Nyamibu, 1 in Kizuga, and 8 in Mudenge).
- Only 5-14 year olds stated in the survey protocol to be sampled, however, data contains 41 cases of children aged 15 to 17 years old.

2.3 Ethical approval

Ethical approval wasn't necessary for the survey as the activities of surveillance were part of the Ministry of Health's attributions. Ethical approval was granted by Imperial College Research Committee ICREC_8_2_2.

3 Survey Recommendations

 Table 2: Observations and corrective measures for the survey process itself

Finding or observation	Interpretation	Corrective action
In 4 schools, data for KK was	Children not providing a second day of stool.	MoH and SCI to determine root of issue with the survey
missing for 24 children on Day 2		team and in future surveys implement daily data checks
(see 2.2).		(paper or phone) to enable corrective action.
		Contact school before visit to ensure visit does not coincide with school activity or social event.
		Ensure students and teachers understand the importance of providing a stool on Day 2.

Protocol guidelines were not adhered to as older children were sampled during data collection(see	Not many children were outside the range, thus it was decided they should be kept in the analysis to keep the sample size established in the protocol.	MoH to review the training material of the field technicians by September 2018.
2.2).		MoH to provide stronger supervision during data collection for the upcoming impact survey scheduled in November 2018.
		Ensuring adequate sample sizes are being achieved during the survey scheduled for November 2018 through electronic data capture, if possible, or daily phone/SMS checks with team leaders.
		SCI to include further checks in electronic data capture to improve the data quality including real-time data uploading to ensure checks and feedback to

enumeration teams in the field.

4 Results

4.1 Dashboard



4.2 Results tables

 Table 3. Impact survey results

		Characteristic	s	Prevalence				Prevalence of heavy infections				Mean Intensity (epg / ep10ml)			
Infection	Year*	No. Schools	No. Pupils	Prevalence	prevalence percentiles† across all schools	% - point reduction from baseline	p-value of difference from baseline	Prevalence of heavy infections	prevalence of heavy infections percentiles† across all schools	% - point reduction from baseline	p-value of difference from baseline	Mean Intensity (epg / ep10ml)	mean intensity percentiles† across all schools	reduction from baseline	p-value of difference from baseline
	baseline	14	4211	17.5%	2.4% 9.0% 28.8%	n/a	n/a	1.8%	0.1% 0.8% 1.7%	n/a	n/a	28.3	2.5 10.8 31.3	n/a	n/a
S. mansoni	FU1	14	5316	8.1%	0.7% 7.8% 13.4%	9.3	< 0.01	0.3%	0.0% 0.0% 0.2%	1.5	< 0.01	5.9	0.6 3.3 7.4	22.4	< 0.01
S. ma	FU2	14	5926	2.1%	0.0% 0.9% 3.0%	15.3	< 0.01	0.1%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	1.7	< 0.01	2.5	0.0 0.8 1.9	25.8	< 0.01
	FU3	14	1680	4.5%	0.2% 1.7% 7.1%	12.9	< 0.01	0.4%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	1.4	< 0.01	5.4	0.0 0.5 4.7	23.0	< 0.01
	baseline	14	4208	27.7%	17.8% 27.5% 32.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Any STH	FU1	14	5313	24.3%	8.6% 19.4% 42.0%	3.3	< 0.01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Any	FU2	14	5926	10.4%	3.8% 9.8% 16.0%	17.2	< 0.01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	FU3	14	1680	5.4%	2.7% 5.0% 8.1%	22.3	< 0.01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
A. Iumbricoides	baseline	14	4210	8.2%	3.5% 4.5% 6.5%	n/a	n/a	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	n/a	n/a	155.5	5.5 14.1 38.5	n/a	n/a
A lumbri	FU1	14	5316	9.7%	1.8% 3.8% 12.3%	-1.6	0.04	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	40.6	1.2 8.4 18.3	114.9	< 0.01

		Characteristic	s	Prevalence				Prevalence of heavy infections				Mean Intensity (epg / ep10ml)			
Infection	Year*	No. Schools	No. Pupils	Prevalence	prevalence percentiles† across all schools	% - point reduction from baseline	p-value of difference from baseline	Prevalence of heavy infections	prevalence of heavy infections percentiles† across all schools	% - point reduction from baseline	p-value of difference from baseline	Mean Intensity (epg / ep10ml)	mean intensity percentiles† across all schools	reduction from baseline	p-value of difference from baseline
	FU2	14	5926	4.0%	0.7% 2.3% 4.3%	4.2	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	48.2	1.5 3.6 11.1	107.3	< 0.01
	FU3	14	1680	3.0%	0.8% 2.1% 4.2%	5.1	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	53.8	0.7 3.8 38.7	101.7	< 0.01
	baseline	14	4211	19.0%	12.1% 16.2% 26.1%	n/a	n/a	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	n/a	n/a	19.6	10.4 13.9 23.9	n/a	n/a
Hookworm	FU1	14	5315	10.3%	5.9% 8.1% 16.9%	8.7	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	8.0	4.7 8.6 10.5	11.5	< 0.01
Hook	FU2	14	5926	5.3%	2.2% 3.2% 8.1%	13.7	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	7.2	1.6 3.2 9.3	12.4	< 0.01
	FU3	14	1680	1.4%	0.0% 0.8% 2.3%	17.6	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	1.8	0.0 0.3 1.4	17.8	< 0.01
	baseline	14	4209	2.8%	1.4% 2.2% 4.3%	n/a	n/a	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	n/a	n/a	1.7	0.7 1.1 2.2	n/a	n/a
T. trichiura	FU1	14	5314	5.7%	1.2% 4.6% 11.4%	-2.9	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	3.7	0.9 3.2 6.4	-2.0	< 0.01
T. trić	FU2	14	5927	1.6%	0.6% 1.4% 2.4%	1.2	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	1.3	0.3 0.8 2.3	0.4	< 0.01
+ 2511 501h	FU3	14	1680	1.3%	0.0% 0.8% 1.7%	1.5	< 0.01	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0	n/a‡	0.8	0.0 0.1 0.3	0.9	< 0.01

^{† 25}th, 50th (median), 75th

[‡] Model does not converge due to too few *positive* (i.e., infected) observations.

^{*} Year – Baseline: 2007/08; FU1: 2009; FU2: 2011; FU3: 2017.

Table 4. Impact survey results by sex†

Infection	Year*	No. Schools	No. Girls	No. Boys	Prevalence Girls	Prevalence Boys	Prevalence of heavy infections Girls	Prevalence of heavy infections Boys	Mean Intensity (epg / ep10ml) Girls	Mean Intensity (epg / ep10ml) Boys
	baseline	14	2203	2000	17.3%	17.5%	1.7%	1.9%	28.3	27.4
S. mansoni	FU1	14	2549	2415	6.9%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8	7.5
S. Munsom	FU2	14	3112	2812	1.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4	3.9
	FU3	14	840	840	4.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1	5.7
	baseline	14	2202	1998	27.2%	28.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Any CTU	FU1	14	2548	2413	24.4%	25.4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Any STH	FU2	14	3112	2812	9.9%	11.0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	FU3	14	840	840	5.0%	5.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	baseline	14	2203	1999	8.0%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	180.0	129.0
A. lumbricoides	FU1	14	2549	2415	10.4%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0	47.0
A. Iumbricolaes	FU2	14	3112	2812	3.8%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	41.9	55.2
	FU3	14	840	840	3.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	39.4	68.2
	baseline	14	2203	2000	18.6%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	16.8	22.6
Hookworm	FU1	14	2549	2414	9.8%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5	11.3
поокмотт	FU2	14	3112	2812	4.8%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0	8.5
	FU3	14	840	840	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4	3.1
	baseline	14	2202	1999	2.7%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7	1.7
T. trichiura	FU1	14	2548	2414	5.4%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8	3.9
i. triciliura	FU2	14	3113	2812	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1	1.5
	FU3	14	840	840	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5	1.2

Calculation of p-values of differences between sexes incorporated clustering at the school level. Statistical methodology is available from SCI on request.

Table 5. *S.mansoni* prevalence by diagnostic

	Prevalence measured by							
School	Kato-Katz	CCA - Trace positive	CCA - Trace negative					
Buterere	7.5%	37.5%	13.3%					
Kabo	5.8%	30.0%	18.3%					
Kizuga	0.0%	20.8%	0.8%					
Maramvya	7.5%	26.7%	15.8%					
Mirombero	0.0%	6.7%	0.8%					
Mudende	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%					
Mugeni	2.5%	36.7%	20.8%					
Munyika	0.8%	35.0%	17.5%					
Musenyi	0.8%	40.0%	23.3%					
Nyamibu	27.5%	85.0%	50.8%					
Nyamusasa	1.7%	52.5%	35.8%					
Rukinga	7.5%	76.7%	32.5%					
Ruzibira	0.0%	7.5%	0.8%					
Vugizo	1.7%	15.0%	5.0%					

4.3 Pdf of dashboard



[†] Numbers of pupils may differ from Table 3 as there are pupils with epidemiological results but no gender information.

^{*} Year – Baseline: 2007/08; FU1: 2009; FU2: 2011; FU3: 2017.