RANDOM MATRICES: TAIL BOUNDS FOR GAPS BETWEEN EIGENVALUES

HOI NGUYEN, TERENCE TAO, AND VAN VU

Abstract. Gaps (or spacings) between consecutive eigenvalues are a central topic in random matrix theory. The goal of this paper is to study the tail distribution of these gaps in various random matrix models. We give the first repulsion bound for random matrices with discrete entries and the first super-polynomial bound on the probability that a random graph has simple spectrum, along with several applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M_n be a random Hermitian matrix of size n, with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$. In this paper, we study the tail distribution of the gap (spacing) $\delta_i := \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i$, and more generally the tail distribution of $\lambda_{i+l} - \lambda_i$, where l is fixed and $1 \leq i \leq n-l$. We are going to consider the following classes of random matrix ensembles.

Wigner matrices. A Wigner matrix X_n is a random Hermitian matrices whose strictly upper triangular entries are iid sub-gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance 1 and whose diagonal entries are independent sub-gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variances bounded by $n^{1-o(1)}$, with the diagonal entries independent of the strictly upper triangular entries. Here and in the sequel we say that ξ is a subgaussian random variable with sub-gaussian moment $m_0 > 0$ if one has $P(|\xi| \ge t) \le m_0^{-1} \exp(-m_0 t^2)$ for all $t > 0$.

Adjacency matrix of random graphs. Let $G(n, p)$ be the Erdős-Rényi graph on n vertices with edge density p. We denote by $A_n(p)$ the (zero-one) adjacency matrix of $G(n, p)$.

Random matrix with arbitrary mean. We consider a random Hermitian matrix M_n of the form $M_n := F_n + X_n$, where $F = F_n$ is a deterministic symmetric matrix of size n and of norm $||F_n||_2 = n^{O(1)}$, and X_n is a random Hermitian matrix where the off-diagonal entries ξ_{ij} , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ are iid copies of a random real variable ξ of zero mean, unit variance, and finite $(2 + \varepsilon_0)$ -th moment with fixed $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. The diagonal entries are independent random variables with mean zero and variance bounded by $O(1)$.

Here and later all asymptotic notations are used under the assumption that $n \to \infty$.

Gaps between consecutive eigenvalues have a central place in the theory of random matrices. The limiting (global) gap distribution for gaussian matrices (GUE and GOE) has been known for some time [\[15\]](#page-37-0). Recent progresses on the universality conjecture showed that these limiting distributions are universal with the class

H. Nguyen is supported by NSF grant DMS-1358648.

T. Tao is supported by a Simons Investigator grant, the James and Carol Collins Chair, the Mathematical Analysis & Application Research Fund Endowment, and by NSF grant DMS-1266164.

V. Vu is supported by NSF grant DMS-1307797 and AFORS grant FA9550-12-1-0083.

of Wigner matrices; see [\[28,](#page-38-0) [10,](#page-37-1) [29\]](#page-38-1) for surveys. However, at the microscopic level, there are many open problems concerning basic questions. In the following discussion, when we say limiting distribution, we always mean after a proper normalization.

The first natural question is the limiting distribution of a given gap $\delta_i := \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i$. For GUE, this was computed very recently by the second author [\[23\]](#page-38-2). Within the class of Wigner matrices, the four moment theorem from [\[31,](#page-38-3) Theorem 15] asserts that this distribution is universal, provided the four matching moment condition. The matching moment condition was recently removed by Erdős and Yau [\[13\]](#page-37-2) using sophisticated techniques from the theory of parabolic PDE to analyze a Dyson Brownian motion, allowing for a computation of the gap distribution for random matrix ensembles such as the GOE or Bernoulli ensembles.

Another issue is to understand the size of the minimum gap $\delta_{\min} := \min_{1 \le i \le n-1} (\delta_{i+1} - \delta_i)$. For the GUE ensemble, Bourgade and Ben-Arous [\[3\]](#page-37-3) showed that the minimum gap δ_{min} is of order $n^{-5/6}$ and computed the limiting distribution. To our best knowledge we are not aware of a polynomial lower bound (of any fixed exponent) for δ_{min} for discrete random matrices, which are of importance in applications in random graph theory and theoretical computer science. Even proving that $\delta_{\min} > 0$ (in other words the random matrix has simple spectrum) with high probability in the discrete case is already a highly non-trivial problem, first raised by Babai in the 1980s (motivated by his study of the isomorphism problem [\[4\]](#page-37-4)). This latter problem was solved only very recently by the last two authors [\[32\]](#page-38-4).

Our main goal is to provide lower tail bounds for the gaps δ_i , and hence on the minimum gap δ_{\min} . For the model X_n , by Wigner's semi-circle law [\[15\]](#page-37-0), most eigenvalues are in the interval $[-2\sqrt{n}, 2\sqrt{n}]$, thus the average gap is of order $n^{-1/2}$. The question is to estimate the probability that a particular gap is significantly smaller than the average:

Question 1.1. Estimate $\mathbf{P}(\delta_i \leq n^{-1/2}\delta)$, where δ may tend to zero with n.

As well known, tail bounds (or deviation) are essential tools in probability, and we believe that good bounds for the probability in question will have a wide range of applications.

Let us first discuss a few results related to this question. The last two authors showed [\[31\]](#page-38-3) that for every constant $c_0 > 0$ there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for Wigner matrices and for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ one has

$$
\sup_{\varepsilon n \le i \le (1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(\delta_i \le n^{-c_0 - \frac{1}{2}}) \ll n^{-c_1}.
$$

The restriction to the bulk region $\varepsilon n \leq i \leq (1-\varepsilon)n$ was removed in [\[27\]](#page-38-5), and the mean zero requirement was partially removed in [\[18\]](#page-37-5). The weakness of this theorem is that c_1 is small (much smaller than 1, regardless the value of c_0), and thus one cannot use the union bound to conclude that $\delta_i > 0$ simultaneously for all i.

In [\[11\]](#page-37-6), Erdős et. al. proved for real Wigner matrices

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\varepsilon n \le i \le (1-\varepsilon)n} \mathbf{P}(\delta_i \le \delta n^{-1/2}) \ll \delta^2,\tag{1}
$$

EIGENVALUES GAP 3

for any constant $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, with a similar result also available at the edge of the spectrum. The quadratic decay δ^2 here comes from an eigenvalue repulsion phenomenon, reflecting the first-order decay of the twopoint correlation function $\rho_2(x, y)$ of the GOE ensemble as one approaches the diagonal $x = y$. However, this result only give a bound on the average probability, and furthermore δ needs to be a constant.

Under some strong smoothness and decay hypotheses on the entries of a Hermitian Wigner matrix X_n , it was shown by Erdős, Schlein, and Yau $|12|$ that one has the Wegner estimate

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(En^{1/2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{1/2}} \le \lambda_i \le \lambda_{i+k-1} \le En^{1/2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{1/2}} \text{ for some } i\right) \ll \varepsilon^{k^2} \tag{2}
$$

for any fixed $k \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any bounded $E \in \mathbb{R}$ (note that the normalization in [\[12\]](#page-37-7) is different from the one used here). Setting $k = 2$ and applying the union bound (together with a standard bound on the operator norm of X_n), we conclude that

$$
\mathbf{P}(\delta_{\min} \le \delta n^{-1/2}) \ll n\delta^3 + \exp(-cn)
$$

for some absolute constant $c > 0$. This is a strong (and essentially optimal) bound for small δ , but it is only established for very smooth and rapidly decreasing complex probability distributions on the entries; in particular it does not apply at all to discrete ensembles such as the Bernoulli ensemble, or to real ensembles such as GOE.

Finally, in [\[32\]](#page-38-4), the last two authors established the bound

$$
\mathbf{P}(\delta_i = 0) \ll n^{-A}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any fixed $A > 0$, for any of the three random matrix models (Wigner, Erdős-Renyi, random matrix with arbitrary mean) considered above. By the union bound (and shifting A by 1), this also gives

$$
\mathbf{P}(\delta_{\min} = 0) \ll n^{-A}.
$$

In this paper, we are going to give answers to Question [1.1,](#page-1-0) and also to the more general question of bounding $P(\lambda_{i+l} - \lambda_i \leq n^{-1/2}\delta)$, for a fixed l. As with [\[32\]](#page-38-4), our method is based on probabilistic inverse Littlewood-Offord theorems (avoiding the use of moment comparison theorems or analysis of Dyson Brownian motion), and works for any of the three random matrix ensembles introduced above, without requiring any smoothness on the distribution of the entries. (However, the two special models of Wigner matrices and adjacency matrices allow a more delicate analysis leading to better bounds.)

2. Main results

For the sake of applications, we will be mainly focusing on real symmetric matrices. All results can be extended to the complex case.

We begin with the Wigner model, where our results are strongest. Our first main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Lower tail bound for a single gap). There is a constant $0 < c < 1$ (depending on the subgaussian moments) such that the following holds for the gaps $\delta_i := \lambda_{i+1}(X_n) - \lambda_i(X_n)$ of real symmetric Wigner matrices X_n . For any quantities $n^{-c} \leq \alpha \leq c$ and $\delta \geq n^{-c/\alpha}$, we have

$$
\sup_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbf{P}(\delta_i \le \delta n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = O\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right).
$$

Notice that there is a trade-off between the quality of the bound and the range of δ . At the one end, taking α to be a small constant, we have

Corollary 2.2. For any constant $C_0 > 0$ there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for real symmetric Wigner matrices X_n , we have

 $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathbf{P}(\delta_i \leq \delta n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \leq c_0 \delta,$

for all $\delta \geq n^{-C_0}$. Consequently, by the union bound,

$$
\delta_{min} \ge n^{-3/2 - o(1)}
$$

with probability $1 - o(1)$.

At the other end, taking $\alpha = n^{-c}$, we have

Corollary 2.3. Let X_n be a real symmetric Wigner matrix where the upper triangular entries are Bernoulli (taking value ± 1 with probability 1/2), then X_n has multiple eigenvalues with probability at most $O(\exp(-n^c))$ for some constant $c > 0$.

This corollary improves the polynomial bound in [\[32\]](#page-38-4) for this specific case, although the result in [\[32\]](#page-38-4) holds in a more general context (the main assumption being that the distribution of any individual entry on the matrix is not concentrated at a single point). We conjecture that the probability that a Bernoulli matrix has multiple eigenvalues is in fact $O(\exp(-cn))$ for some constant $c > 0$.

In the next theorem, we treat the gap $\lambda_{i+l} - \lambda_i$, where $l \geq 1$ is fixed. Let $d := \lfloor \log_2 l \rfloor$, and set

$$
c_l := \frac{(3l + 3 - 2^{d+1})2^d - 1}{3}.
$$

Thus $c_2 = 3$ and $c_l \geq \frac{l^2 + 2l}{3}$.

Theorem 2.4 (Repulsion for multiple gaps). There is a constant $0 < c < l$ (depending on the sub-gaussian moments and on l) such that the following holds for real symmetric Wigner matrices X_n . For any quantities $n^{-c} \leq \alpha \leq c$ and $\delta \geq n^{1-c/\alpha}$

$$
\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n-l} \mathbf{P}(|\lambda_{i+l}(X_n) - \lambda_i(X_n)| \leq \delta n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = O\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^{c_l}\right).
$$

Similar to Corollary [2.2,](#page-3-0) we have

Corollary 2.5. For any constant $l, C_0 > 0$ there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for real Wigner matrices X_n

$$
\sup_{1 \le i \le n-l} \mathbf{P}(|\lambda_{i+l}(X_n) - \lambda_i(X_n)| \le \delta n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \le c_0 \delta^{c_l},
$$

for all $\delta \geq n^{-C_0}$.

$$\tt EIGENVALUES~GAP$\\$

The key feature of this result is that the bound δ^{c_l} yields evidence for the repulsion between nearby eigenvalues. For $l = 2$, we have $c_l = 3$, yielding the bound $O(\delta^3)$. If there was no repulsion, and the eigenvalues behave like point in a Poisson process, then the bound would be $O(\delta^2)$ instead. The bound $c_l \geq \frac{l^2+2l}{3}$ seems to be sharp, up to a constant factor; compare with [\(2\)](#page-2-0).

We next consider the general model $M_n := F_n + X_n$. It is often useful to view M_n as a random perturbation of the deterministic matrix F_n , especially with respect to applications in data science and numerical analysis, where matrices (as data or inputs to algorithms) are often perturbed by random noise. One can consult for instance [\[30\]](#page-38-6) where this viewpoint is discussed with respect to the least singular value problem. As an illustration for this view point, we are going to present an application in numerical analysis.

Theorem 2.6. Assume $||F_n||_2 \le n^{\gamma}$ for some constant $\gamma > 0$. Then for any fixed $A > 0$, there exists $B > 0$ depending on γ , A such that

$$
\sup_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbf{P}(\delta_i \le n^{-B}) = O(n^{-A}),
$$

where $\delta_i := \lambda_{i+1}(M_n) - \lambda_i(M_n)$ is the ith gap of a random matrix $M_n = F_n + X_n$ with arbitrary mean. In particular, the matrix M_n has simple spectrum with probability $1 - O(n^{-A})$ for any fixed $A > 0$.

This theorem shows that eigenvalue separation holds regardless the means of the entries. The dependence of B in terms of A and γ in Theorem [2.6](#page-4-0) can be made explicit, for instance one can (rather generously) assume $B > (5A + 6) \max\{1/2, \gamma\} + 5.$

Finally, let us focus on the adjacency matrix of the random graph $G(n, p)$, where $0 \lt p \lt 1$ is a constant. Set $F_n := p(J_n - I_n)$, where J_n is the all-one matrix and I_n is the identity. In this case, we can sharpen the bound of Theorem [2.6](#page-4-0) to almost match with the better bound in Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-1)

Theorem 2.7. Let $0 < p < 1$ be independent of n, and let A_n be the adjacency matrix of the random graph $G(n,p)$. Let $\delta_i := \lambda_{i+1}(A_n) - \lambda_i(A_n)$ denote the eigenvalue gaps. Then for any fixed $A > 0$, and any $\delta > n^{-A}$, we have

$$
\sup_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbf{P}(\delta_i \le \delta n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = O(n^{o(1)}\delta).
$$

All of our results extend to the Hermitian case. In this case the upper triangular entries are complex variables whose real and complex components are iid copies of a sub-gaussian random variable of mean zero and variance $1/2$. The value of c_l in Theorem [2.4](#page-3-1) doubles; see Remark [6.3](#page-18-0) for a discussion.

Our approach also works, with minor modifications, for random matrices where the variance of the entries decays to zero with *n*. In particular, we can have them as small as n^{-1+c} for any fixed $c > 0$. This case contains in particular the adjacency matrix of sparse random graphs. Details will appear elsewhere.

3. Applications

3.1. Random graphs have simple spectrum. Babai conjectured that $G(n, 1/2)$ has a simple spectrum, with probability $1 - o(1)$. This conjecture was recently settled in [\[32\]](#page-38-4). Using the new deviation bounds, we can have the following stronger statement.

Theorem 3.1. With probability $1 - o(1)$, the gap between any two eigenvalues of $G(n, 1/2)$ is at least $n^{-3/2+o(1)}$.

To prove this theorem, apply Theorem [2.7](#page-4-1) with $\delta = n^{-1-o(1)}$ and then apply the union bound.

3.2. Nodal domains of random graphs. Consider a random graph $G(n, p)$ (with p constant) and the accompanying matrix $A_n(p)$. Let u be an eigenvalue of $A_n(p)$. A strong nodal domain (with respect to u) is a maximal connected component S of the graph, where for any $i, j \in S$, $u_i u_j > 0$ (u_i is, of course, the coordinate of u corresponding to i). A weak nodal domain is defined similarly, but with $u_i u_j \geq 0$. Notice that strong nodal domains are disjoint, while the weak ones may overlap.

The notion of (weak and strong) nodal domains comes from Riemannian geometry and has become increasingly useful in graph theory and algorithmic applications (see [\[5,](#page-37-8) [6,](#page-37-9) [7,](#page-37-10) [8,](#page-37-11) [34\]](#page-38-7)). In [\[8\]](#page-37-11), Dekel, Lee and Linial studied nodal domains of the random graph $G(n, p)$ and raised the following conjecture [\[8,](#page-37-11) Question 1].

Conjecture 3.2. With probability $1 - o(1)$, all eigenvectors of $G(n, p)$ do not have zero coordinates. In other words, weak and strong nodal domains are the same.

We can now confirm this conjecture in the following stronger form

Theorem 3.3 (Non-degeneration of eigenvectors). Assume that $M_n = X_n + F_n$ as in Theorem [2.6.](#page-4-0) Then for any A, there exists B depending on A and γ such that

$$
\mathbf{P}\Big(\exists a \text{ unit eigenvector } v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ of } M_n \text{ with } |v_i| \leq n^{-B} \text{ for some } i\Big) = O(n^{-A}).
$$

To make the picture complete, let us mention that recently Arora et. al. [\[2\]](#page-37-12) proved that with high probability, $G(n, p)$ has only two weak nodal domains, one corresponds to coordinates $u_i \geq 0$, and the other to $u_i \leq 0$. Combining this with Theorem [3.3,](#page-5-0) we have

Corollary 3.4. The following holds with probability $1 - o(1)$ for $G(n, p)$. Each eigenvector has exactly two strong nodal domains, which partition the set of vertices.

4. Proof strategy

We first consider Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-1) In what follows, all eigenvectors have unit length. Assume that the entries ξ_{ij} are iid copies of a random variable ξ . Consider a fixed vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, we introduce the small ball probability

$$
\rho_{\delta}(x) := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{P}(|\xi_1 x_1 + \cdots + \xi_n x_n - a| \leq \delta).
$$

where ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n are iid copies of ξ . Let X_{n-1} be an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minor of X_n . In this section we will to reduce Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) to the following

Theorem 4.1. There exist positive constants c and α_0 such that the following holds with probability 1 – $O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$: for all $n^{-c} < \alpha < c$ and every unit eigenvector v of X_{n-1} and for all $\delta \geq n^{-c/\alpha}$,

$$
\rho_{\delta}(v) = O\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right).
$$

We will discuss how to establish this result later in this section, and give the formal details of proof in later sections. Assuming this theorem for the moment, we now finish the proof of Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-1) To start, let β be the event that the spectrum of X_n belongs to a controlled interval,

$$
\mathcal{B} = \{ \omega : \lambda_1(X_n), \dots, \lambda_n(X_n) \subset [-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}] \}.
$$
 (3)

Standard results in random matrix theory (such as [\[1\]](#page-37-13),[\[22\]](#page-37-14)) shows that B holds with probability 1 − $\exp(-\Theta(n)).$

Now let $1 \le i \le n-1$, and consider the event \mathcal{E}_i that X_n satisfies $\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i \le \delta n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. For each $1 \le j \le n$, let $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}$ be the event \mathcal{E}_i and that the eigenvector $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)^T$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_i(M_n)$ satisfies $|w_j| \gg T$, where T is to be chosen later. The following delocalization result helps us to choose T properly.

Theorem 4.2. Let X_n be a Wigner matrix as in Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-1) There are constants c_1c_2 , $c_3 > 0$ such that the following holds. With probability at least $1-\exp(-c_1n)$, every eigenvector of X_n has at least c_2n coordinates with absolute value at least $c_3n^{-1/2}$. The same statement holds for the adjacency matrix $A_n(p)$, where p is a constant. (The constants c_1, c_2, c_3 may depend on the distribution of the entries of X_n and on p in the $A_n(p)$ case.)

We prove this theorem in Appendix [A.](#page-32-0)

Write

$$
X_n = \begin{pmatrix} X_{n-1} & X \\ X^* & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}
$$

where X is a column vector. From the Cauchy interlacing law, we observe that $\lambda_i(X_n) \leq \lambda_i(X_{n-1}) \leq$ $\lambda_{i+1}(X_n)$. Let u be the (unit) eigenvector of $\lambda_i(X_n)$; we write $u = (w, b)$, where w is a vector of length $n-1$ and b is a scalar. We have

$$
\begin{pmatrix} X_{n-1} & X \ X^* & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \ b \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_i(X_n) \begin{pmatrix} w \ b \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Extracting the top $n-1$ components of this equation we obtain

$$
(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_n))w + bX = 0.
$$

Let v be the unit eigenvector of X_{n-1} corresponding to $\lambda_i(X_{n-1})$. By multiplying with v^T , we obtain

$$
|bv^{T}X| = |v^{T}(X_{n-1} - \lambda_{i}(X_{n}))w| = |\lambda_{i}(X_{n-1}) - \lambda_{i}(X_{n})||v^{T}w|.
$$

We conclude that, if \mathcal{E}_i holds, then $|bv^TX| \leq \delta n^{-1/2}$. If we assume that $\mathcal{G}_{i,n}$ also holds, then we therefore have

$$
|v^T X| \leq \delta \frac{n^{-1/2}}{T}.
$$

If one can choose $T = \Omega(n^{-1/2})$, the RHS is $O(\delta)$, and thus we reduce the problem to bounding the probability that X_{n-1} has an eigenvector v such that $|v^T X| = O(\delta)$, for which we can apply Theorem [4.1.](#page-5-1)

Of course we cannot assume that the last coordinate b of the eigenvector of $\lambda_i(X_n)$ to be large. Apparently, this eigenvector has a coordinate of order $n^{-1/2}$, but a trivial union bound argument would cost us a factor n. We can avoid this factor by using Theorem [4.2.](#page-6-0)

Notice that there is no specific reason to look at the last coordinate. Thus, if we instead look at a random coordinate (uniformly between 1 and n and split X_n accordingly), then we have

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i) \leq \frac{n}{N} \mathbf{P}\left(|v^T X| \leq \delta \frac{n^{-1/2}}{T}\right) + \mathbf{P}(n_T < N),
$$

where n_T is the number of coordinates with absolute values at least T; and v, X are the corresponding eigenvector and column vector.

Now choose $T = c_3 n^{-1/2}$ and $N = c_2 n$. By Theorem [4.2,](#page-6-0) $P(n_T < N) \leq \exp(-c_1 n)$. Thus, we have

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i) \le c_2^{-1} \mathbf{P} \left(|v^T X| \le c_3^{-1} \delta \right) + \exp(-c_1 n). \tag{5}
$$

To bound the RHS, we recall the definition of small probability at the beginning of the section. After a proper rescaling of δ by a factor c_3^{-1} , for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$
\mathbf{P}(|v^T X| \le \delta) \le \mathbf{P}(|v^T X| \le \delta | \rho_{\delta}(v) < \varepsilon) + \mathbf{P}(\rho_{\delta}(v) \ge \varepsilon) \le \varepsilon + \mathbf{P}(\rho_{\delta}(v) \ge \varepsilon).
$$

Set $\varepsilon := C\frac{\delta}{\alpha}$ for a sufficiently large constant C, Theorem [4.1](#page-5-1) then implies that

$$
\mathbf{P}(|v^T X| \le \delta) \le C\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \exp(-\Omega(n)).\tag{6}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) assuming Theorem [4.1.](#page-5-1) To prove Theorem [2.4,](#page-3-1) we will follow the same strategy, but the analysis is more delicate. We refer the reader to Section [6](#page-13-0) for more detail.

For treating the general model $M_n := F_n + X_n$, instead of Theorem [4.1,](#page-5-1) a similar argument reduces one to the task of proving the following analogue of Theorem [4.1.](#page-5-1)

Theorem 4.3. For any $A, \gamma > 0$, there exist $\alpha_0, B > 0$ depending on A and γ such that the following holds with probability $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$: every unit eigenvector v of $M_{n-1} = X_{n-1} + F_{n-1}$ with eigenvalue λ of order $n^{O(1)}$ obeys the anti-concentration estimate

$$
\rho_{n^{-B}}(v) = O(n^{-A}).
$$

EIGENVALUES GAP 9

Let us now discuss the proof of Theorem [4.1](#page-5-1) and Theorem [4.3.](#page-7-0) This proof relies on the general theory of small ball probability. Recent results from inverse Littlewood-Offord theory developed by the second and third author (see e.g. [\[24\]](#page-38-8), [\[16\]](#page-37-15)) and by Rudelson and Vershynin (see e.g. [\[20\]](#page-37-16)) show that if the vector x does not have rich *additive structure*, then the small ball probability $\rho_{\delta}(x)$ is close to δ . Thus, the key ingredient for proving Theorem [4.1](#page-5-1) and Theorem [4.3](#page-7-0) is to quantify the following

Heuristic 4.4. With very high probability the unit eigenvectors of M_{n-1} do not have additive structures.

4.1. Notation. Throughout this paper, we regard n as an asymptotic parameter going to infinity (in particular, we will implicitly assume that n is larger than any fixed constant, as our claims are all trivial for fixed n), and allow all mathematical objects in the paper to implicitly depend on n unless they are explicitly declared to be "fixed" or "constant". We write $X = O(Y)$, $X \ll Y$, or $Y \gg X$ to denote the claim that $|X| \leq CY$ for some fixed C; this fixed quantity C is allowed to depend on other fixed quantities such as the $(2 + \varepsilon_0)$ -moment (or the sub-gaussian parameters) of ξ unless explicitly declared otherwise. We also use $o(Y)$ to denote any quantity bounded in magnitude by $c(n)Y$ for some $c(n)$ that goes to zero as $n \to \infty$. Again, the function $c(.)$ is permitted to depend on fixed quantities.

For a square matrix M_n and a number λ , for short we will write $M_n - \lambda$ instead of $M_n - \lambda I_n$. All the norms in this note, if not specified, will be the usual ℓ_2 -norm.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We first give a full proof of Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) and Theorem [2.4](#page-3-1) in Section [5](#page-8-0) and Section [6.](#page-13-0) We then prove Theorem [2.6](#page-4-0) in Section [7,](#page-19-0) and sharpen the estimates for Erdős-Rényi graphs in Section [8.](#page-27-0) The note is concluded by an application in Section [9.](#page-31-0)

5. Consecutive gaps for Wigner matrices: proof of Theorem [4.1](#page-5-1)

In this section we prove Theorem [4.1,](#page-5-1) which as discussed previously implies Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-1) Our treatment in this section is based on the work by Vershynin in [\[33\]](#page-38-9). First of all, we recall the definition of compressible and incompressible vectors.

Definition 5.1. Let $c_0, c_1 \in (0, 1)$ be two numbers (chosen depending on the sub-gaussian moment of ξ .) A vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called sparse if $|\text{supp}(x)| \leq c_0 n$. A vector $x \in S^{n-1}$ is called *compressible* if x is within Euclidean distance c_1 from the set of all sparse vectors. A vector $x \in S^{n-1}$ is called *incompressible* if it is not compressible.

The sets of compressible and incompressible vectors in S^{n-1} will be denoted by $\textbf{Comp}(c_0, c_1)$ and $\textbf{Incomp}(c_0, c_1)$ respectively.

5.1. The compressible case. Regarding the behavior of $X_n x$ for compressible vectors, the following was proved in [\[33\]](#page-38-9).

Lemma 5.2. [\[33,](#page-38-9) Proposition 4.2] There exist positive constants c_0 , c_1 and α_0 such that the following holds for any λ_0 of order $O(\sqrt{n})$. For any fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ one has

$$
\mathbf{P}(\inf_{x \in \mathbf{Comp}(c_0, c_1)} \|(X_n - \lambda_0)x - u\| \ll \sqrt{n}) = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n)).
$$

We also refer the reader to Subsection [7.1](#page-20-0) for a detailed proof of a similar statement. As a corollary, we deduce that eigenvectors are not compressible with extremely high probability.

Lemma 5.3. There exist positive constants c_0, c_1 and α_0 such that

$$
\mathbf{P}(\exists a \text{ unit eigenvector } v \in \text{Comp}(c_0, c_1)) = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n)).
$$

Proof of Lemma [5.3.](#page-9-0) Assuming [\(3\)](#page-6-1), we can find λ_0 as a multiple of n^{-2} inside $[-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}]$ such that $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq n^{-2}$. Hence

$$
||(X_n - \lambda_0)v|| = ||(\lambda - \lambda_0)v|| \le n^{-2}.
$$

On the other hand, for each fixed λ_0 , by Lemma [5.2,](#page-8-1)

$$
\mathbf{P}(\exists v \in \mathbf{Comp}(c_0, c_1) : \| (X_n - \lambda_0) v \| \le n^{-2}) = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n)).
$$

The claim follows by a union bound with respect to λ_0 .

5.2. The incompressible case. Our next focus is on incompressible vectors. This is the treatment where the inverse Littlewood-Offord ideas come into play.

We first introduce the notion of *least common denominator* by Rudelson and Versynin (see [\[20\]](#page-37-16)). Fix parameters κ and γ (which may depend on n), where $\gamma \in (0,1)$. For any nonzero vector x define

$$
\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x) := \inf \Big\{ \theta > 0 : \mathrm{dist}(\theta x, \mathbf{Z}^n) < \min(\gamma \|\theta x\|, \kappa) \Big\}.
$$

Remark 5.4. In application, we will choose $\kappa = n^{\kappa_0}$ for some sufficiently small κ_0 . Regarding the parameter γ , it suffices to set it to be 1/2 for this section; but we will choose it to be proportional to α (from Theorem [2.1\)](#page-2-1) in the next section, hence γ can decrease to zero together with n. The requirement that the distance is smaller than γ || θ x|| forces us to consider only non-trivial integer points as approximations of θ x. The inequality dist $(\theta x, \mathbf{Z}^n) < \kappa$ then yields that most coordinates of θx are within a small distance from non-zero integers.

Theorem 5.5 (Small ball probability via LCD). [\[20\]](#page-37-16) Let ξ be a sub-gaussian random variable of mean zero and variance one, and let ξ_1,\ldots,ξ_n be iid copies of ξ . Consider a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfies $||x|| \geq 1$. Then, for every $\kappa > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$, and for

$$
\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x)},
$$

we have

$$
\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)}\right),\,
$$

where the implied constants depend on ξ .

To deal with symmetric or Hermitian matrices, it is more convenient to work with the so-called regularized least common denominator. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Let $c_0, c_1 \in (0, 1)$ be given constants, and assume $x \in \mathbf{Incomp}(c_0, c_1)$. It is not hard to see that there are at least $c_0c_1^2n/2$ coordinates x_k of x satisfy

$$
\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2n}} \le |x_k| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_0 n}}.\tag{7}
$$

Thus for every $x \in \mathbf{Incomp}(c_0, c_1)$ we can assign a subset $\mathbf{spread}(x) \subset [n]$ such that [\(7\)](#page-10-0) holds for all $k \in \text{spread}(x)$ and

$$
|\mathbf{spread}(x)| = [c'n],
$$

where we set

$$
c' := c_0 c_1^2 / 4. \tag{8}
$$

Definition 5.6 (Regularized LCD, see also [\[33\]](#page-38-9)). Let $\alpha \in (0, c'/4)$. We define the *regularized LCD* of a vector $x \in \mathbf{Incomp}(c_0, c_1)$ as

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x,\alpha) = \max\Big\{\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}\big(x_I/\|x_I\|\big): I \subseteq \mathbf{spred}(x), |I| = \lceil \alpha n \rceil \Big\}.
$$

Roughly speaking, the reason we choose to work with \widehat{LCD} is that we want to detect structure of x in sufficiently small segments. From the definition, it is clear that if $LCD(x)$ is small (i.e. when x has strong structure), then so is $\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}(x, \alpha)$.

Lemma 5.7. For any $x \in S^{n-1}$ and any $0 < \gamma < c_1 \sqrt{\alpha}/2$, we have

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma(c_1\sqrt{\alpha}/2)^{-1}}(x,\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{c_0}\sqrt{\alpha}\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x).
$$

Consequently, for any $0 < \gamma < 1$

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x,\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{c_0} \sqrt{\alpha} \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma(c_1\sqrt{\alpha}/2)}(x).
$$

Proof of Lemma [5.7.](#page-10-1) Note that for any $I \subset \mathbf{spread}(x)$ with $|I| = \lceil \alpha n \rceil$,

$$
\frac{c_1}{2}\sqrt{|I|/n} < ||x_I|| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_0}}\sqrt{|I|/n}.
$$

Assume that $dist(tx, \mathbf{Z}^n) < min(\gamma ||tx||_2, \kappa)$ for some $t \approx \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa, \gamma}(x)$. Define

$$
t_I := t ||x_I||.
$$

One then has

$$
\frac{c_1}{2}\sqrt{\alpha}t < t_I \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_0}}\sqrt{|I|/n}t.
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(t_I x_I / \|x_I\|, \mathbf{Z}^I) &\leq \text{dist}(tx, \mathbf{Z}^n) \\ &< \min(\gamma \|tx\|, \kappa) \\ &\leq \min(\gamma (\frac{c_1}{2} \sqrt{\alpha})^{-1} \|t_I(x_I / \|x_I\|) \|, \kappa). \end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
LCD_{\kappa,\gamma(c_1\sqrt{\alpha}/2)^{-1}}(x_I/\|x_I\|) \le t_I \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_0}}\sqrt{|I|/nt} \le \frac{1}{c_0}\sqrt{\alpha}LCD_{\kappa,\gamma}(x).
$$

We now introduce a result connecting the small ball probability with the regularized LCD.

Lemma 5.8. Assume that

$$
\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{c_0} \sqrt{\alpha} (\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x, \alpha))^{-1}.
$$

Then we have

$$
\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma c_1 \sqrt{\alpha}} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)}\right).
$$

Proof of Lemma [5.8.](#page-11-0) First apply Theorem [5.5](#page-9-1) to $x_I / \|x_I\|$ where the $\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}$ is achieved: for any $\delta \geq (\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_I / \|x_I\|))^{-1}$

$$
\rho_{\delta}\left(\frac{1}{\|x_I\|}S_I\right) = O\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)}\right),\,
$$

where $S_I = \sum_{i \in I} \xi_i x_i$.

Recall that $\frac{c_1}{2}$ $\sqrt{\alpha} < ||x_I|| \leq \frac{1}{c_0}$ $\sqrt{\alpha}$. Also notice that if $I \subset J \subset [n]$, then

$$
\rho_{\delta}(S_J) \leq \rho_{\delta}(S_I).
$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{c_0}$ $\sqrt{\alpha}(\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_I/\|x_I\|))^{-1}$, one has $\varepsilon/\|x_I\| \geq (\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_I/\|x_I\|))^{-1}$, and so

$$
\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) \le \rho_{\varepsilon}(S_I) = \rho_{\varepsilon/\|x_I\|}(S_I/\|x_I\|) \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma \|x_I\|} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)} \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma c_1 \sqrt{\alpha}} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)}.
$$

For given D, κ, γ and α , we denote the set of unit vectors with bounded regularized LCD by

$$
T_{D,\kappa,\gamma,\alpha} := \{ x \in \mathbf{Incomp}(c_0,c_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x,\alpha) \le D \}.
$$

The following is an analog of [\[33,](#page-38-9) Lemma 7.9].

Lemma 5.9. There exist $c > 0, \alpha_0 > 0$ depending on c_0, c_1 from Lemma [5.3](#page-9-0) such that the following holds with $\kappa = n^{2c}$ and $\gamma = 1/2$. Let $n^{-c} \le \alpha \le c'/4$, and $1 \le D \le n^{c/\alpha}$. Then for any fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any real with $\kappa = n$ and $\gamma = 1/2$.

number λ_0 of order $O(\sqrt{n})$,

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\exists x \in T_{D,\kappa,\gamma,\alpha}: \left\|(X_n - \lambda_0)x - u\right\| = o(\beta\sqrt{n})\right) = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n)),
$$

where

$$
\beta := \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D}.
$$

We will give a proof of Lemma [5.9](#page-12-0) in Appendix [B](#page-33-0) by following [\[33\]](#page-38-9). Assuming it for now, we will obtain the following key lemma.

Lemma 5.10. With the same assumption as in Lemma [5.9,](#page-12-0) we have

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\exists a \; unit \; eigenvector \; v \in \mathbf{Incomp}(c_0, c_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(v,\alpha) \leq n^{c/\alpha}\right) = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n)).
$$

Proof of Lemma [5.10.](#page-12-1) Set $D = n^{c/\alpha}$ and $\beta = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D}$. Assuming [\(3\)](#page-6-1), we first approximate λ by a multiple of β, called λ_0 , from the interval $[-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}]$.

As $X_n v = \lambda v$, we have

$$
||(Xn - \lambda_0)v|| = ||(\lambda - \lambda_0)v|| = O(\beta) = o(\beta\sqrt{n}).
$$
\n(9)

On the other hand, by Lemma [5.9,](#page-12-0) [\(9\)](#page-12-2) holds with probability $O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for any fixed λ_0 . Taking a On the other hand, by Lemma 5.9, (9) holds with probate union bound over the $O(\beta^{-1}\sqrt{n})$ choices of λ_0 , one obtains

$$
\mathbf{P}(\exists v \in T_{D,\kappa,\gamma,\alpha}, \exists \lambda_0 \in \beta \mathbf{Z} \cap [-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}] : ||(X_n - \lambda_0)v|| = o(\beta \sqrt{n}))
$$

\$\ll \exp(-\alpha_0 n) \times \beta^{-1} \sqrt{n}\$
\$\ll \exp(-\alpha_0 n/2).

 \Box

Putting Lemma [5.3](#page-9-0) and Lemma [5.10](#page-12-1) together, we obtain a realization of Heuristic [4.4](#page-8-2) as follows.

Theorem 5.11. There exists a positive constants c and α_0 depending on c_0 , c_1 from Lemma [5.3](#page-9-0) such that for $\kappa = n^{2c}, \gamma = 1/2$ and for $n^{-c} \le \alpha \le c'/4$,

 $\mathbf{P}\left(\text{All unit eigenvectors } v \text{ belong to } \mathbf{Incomp}(c_0, c_1) \text{ and } \mathbf{\widehat{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(v, \alpha) \gg n^{c/\alpha}\right) = 1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n/2)).$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem [4.1.](#page-5-1) By the theorem above, it is safe to assume $\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(v, \alpha)$ we can now complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. By the theorem above, it is safe to assume $n^{c/\alpha}$ for all eigenvectors of X_{n-1} . As such, by Lemma [5.8,](#page-11-0) for any $\delta \gg \sqrt{\alpha}/n^{c/\alpha}$ we have

$$
\rho_{\delta}(S) \ll \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)} \ll \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}},\tag{10}
$$

where we recall that $\kappa = n^{2c}$.

Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) then follows from [\(5\)](#page-7-1) and Theorem [4.1,](#page-5-1) where we note that the condition $\delta \geq n^{-c/\alpha}$ automation ically implies $\delta \gg \sqrt{\alpha} n^{-c/\alpha}$.

Remark 5.12. We could have proved Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) by directly applying the results from [\[33\]](#page-38-9), where LCD and $\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}$ were defined slightly differently. However, the current forms of \mathbf{LCD} and $\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}$ are easy to extend to higher dimensions, which will be useful for our proof of Theorem [2.4](#page-3-1) next.

6. Proof of Theorem [2.4](#page-3-1)

Let us first give a full treatment for the case $l = 2$. Here $c_l = 3$ and we are considering $\lambda_{i+2} - \lambda_i$. The case of general l case will be deduced with some minor modifications.

6.1. **Treatment for** $l = 2$. First of all, we will introduce the extension of LCD to higher dimension, following Rudelson and Vershynin (see [\[21\]](#page-37-17)). Consider two unit vectors $x_1 = (x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1n}), x_2 = (x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Although the following definition can be extended to more general x_1, x_2 , let us assume them to be orthogonal. Let $H_{x_1,x_2} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the subspace generated by x_1, x_2 . Then for $\kappa > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$ we define,

$$
\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_1,x_2) := \inf_{x \in H_{x_1,x_2}, ||x||=1} \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x).
$$

Similarly to Theorem [5.5,](#page-9-1) the following result gives a bound on the small ball probability for the \mathbb{R}^2 -random sum $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i(x_{i1}, x_{i2})$ in terms of the joint structure of x_1 and x_2 .

Theorem 6.1. [\[21\]](#page-37-17) Let ξ be a sub-gaussian random variable of mean zero and variance one, and let ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n be iid copies of ξ . Then, for every $\kappa > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$, and for

$$
\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_1,x_2)},
$$

we have

$$
\rho_{\varepsilon}(S) \ll \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma}\right)^2 + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)},
$$

where the implied constants depend on ξ .

This theorem plays a crucial role in our task of obtaining the repulsion.

We are now ready to present the main idea of the proof of Theorem [2.4.](#page-3-1) Let $1 \le i \le n-2$, and consider the event \mathcal{E}_i that X_n has three eigenvalues $\lambda_i(X_n) \leq \lambda_{i+1}(X_n) \leq \lambda_{i+2}(X_n)$ with $\lambda_{i+2} - \lambda_i \leq \delta/n^{1/2}$ for some δ . For short, we set

$$
t := \delta/n^{1/2}
$$

.

Write

$$
X_n = \begin{pmatrix} X_{n-1} & X \\ X^* & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}
$$

for an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minor X_{n-1} and a column vector X.

From the Cauchy interlacing law, we observe that

$$
\lambda_i(X_n) \leq \lambda_i(X_{n-1}) \leq \lambda_{i+1}(X_n) \leq \lambda_{i+1}(X_{n-1}) \leq \lambda_{i+2}(X_n).
$$

By definition, for some eigenvector (w, b) with $|b| \gg n^{-1/2}$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} X_{n-1} & X \ X^* & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \ b \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_i(X_n) \begin{pmatrix} w \ b \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Extracting the top $n-1$ components of this equation we obtain

$$
(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_n))w + bX = 0.
$$

If we choose unit eigenvectors $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})^T$ of X_{n-1} with eigenvalue $\lambda_i(X_{n-1})$, and $v' = (v'_1, \ldots, v'_{n-1})^T$ of X_{n-1} with eigenvalue $\lambda_{i+1}(X_{n-1})$ respectively, then

$$
|bv^T X| = |v^T (X_{n-1} - \lambda_i (X_n))w| = |\lambda_i (X_{n-1}) - \lambda_i (X_n)||v^T w| \le t;
$$

and similarly

$$
|bv'^T X| = |v'^T (X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_n))w| = |\lambda_{i+1}(M_{n-1}) - \lambda_i(X_n)||v'^T w| \le t.
$$

In summary, we have

$$
|v^T X| = O(\delta) \wedge |v'^T X| = O(\delta). \tag{12}
$$

Let \mathcal{F}_i denote this event. By Theorem [6.1,](#page-14-0) if we can show $LCD_{\kappa,\gamma}(v, v')$ large, then the $P(\mathcal{F}_i)$ can be estimated quite efficiently. In what follows we will focus on $\inf_{u \in H_{v,v'}} \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u)$ by first studying $\inf_{u \in H_{v,v'}} \widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u, \alpha)$, and then using Lemma [5.7](#page-10-1) to pass back to LCD.

We start with a simple fact first.

Fact 6.2. For any unit vector u from $H_{v,v'}$, we have

$$
||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))^2 u|| \le t^2.
$$

In particular, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))u|| \le t.
$$
\n(13)

Proof of Fact [6.2.](#page-15-0) Assume that $u = av + a'v'$ with $a^2 + a'^2 = 1$, then

$$
||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))^2 u|| = ||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))u||
$$

\n
$$
= ||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))v'||
$$

\n
$$
= |a'(\lambda_{i+1}(X_{n-1}) - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))^2|
$$

\n
$$
\leq t^2.
$$

 $\hfill \square$

Next, from Lemma [5.3](#page-9-0) and Lemma [5.9,](#page-12-0) and by [\(13\)](#page-15-1) from Fact [6.2,](#page-15-0) we infer the following.

Theorem 6.3. There exist positive constants c, α_0 such that for $\kappa = n^{2c}, \gamma = 1/2$, and for any $n^{-c} \le \alpha \le$ **Theorem 6.3.** There exist positive constants c

c'/4 the following holds for any $t \ge n^{-c/\alpha} \kappa/\sqrt{\alpha}$

 $\mathbf{P}\Bigl(X_{n-1} \enskip\textit{has two eigenvectors}\enskip v, v' \enskip\textit{with eigenvalues}\enskip |\lambda - \lambda'| \leq t \enskip\textit{and there exists}\enskip u \in H_{v,v'} \cap \textbf{Incomp}(c_0,c_1)$

such that
$$
\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u,\alpha) \leq t^{-1}\kappa/\sqrt{\alpha} = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n/2)).
$$

Proof of Theorem [6.3.](#page-15-2) Let

$$
D := t^{-1} \kappa / \sqrt{\alpha} \text{ and } \beta := t.
$$

It is clear that with the given range of t one has $1 \leq D \leq n^{c/\alpha}$. By approximating $\lambda_i(X_{n-1})$ by λ_0 , a multiple of β^{-1} , and also by [\(13\)](#page-15-1) and by the triangle inequality

$$
||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_0)u|| \le ||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))u|| + |\lambda_i(X_{n-1}) - \lambda_0| = O(\beta).
$$

To complete the proof, we just apply Lemma [5.9](#page-12-0) for these choices of D and β , and then take the union bound over all $O(\sqrt{n}\beta^{-1})$ choices of λ_0 .

Let \mathcal{E}_i be the event that X_{n-1} has eigenvectors v, v' with eigenvalues $|\lambda_{i+1}(X_{n-1}) - \lambda_i(X_{n-1})| \leq t$, and $\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i$ be the event \mathcal{E}_i coupled with $\inf_{u \in H_{v,v'}} \widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u, \alpha) \gg t^{-1} \kappa/\alpha$. We have learned from Theorem [6.3](#page-15-2) that

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i) - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n/2)).
$$

On the other hand, our main result of the previous section, [\(10\)](#page-13-1), implies that $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i) = O\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)$. Thus

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i) \ll \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.\tag{14}
$$

Now, condition on $\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i$, for every $u \in H_{v,v'}$ we have $\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u,\alpha) \geq t^{-1}\kappa/\sqrt{\alpha}$. It then follows from Lemma [5.7](#page-10-1) that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u,\alpha) \ll \sqrt{\alpha} \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,c_1\gamma\sqrt{\alpha}/2}(u).
$$

We have thus obtained the key estimate

$$
LCD_{\kappa,c_1\gamma\sqrt{\alpha}/2}(v,v') = \inf_{u \in H_{v,v'}} LGD_{\kappa,c_1\gamma\sqrt{\alpha}/2}(u) \gg t^{-1}\kappa/\alpha.
$$
 (15)

Now we estimate $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_i|\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i)$ (where we recall \mathcal{F}_i from [\(12\)](#page-15-3)). As $\delta = t n^{1/2} \gg (t^{-1} \kappa/\alpha)^{-1}$, and as c_1, γ are all fixed constants, by Theorem [6.1,](#page-14-0)

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_i|\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i) \ll \left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)}\right)^2 \ll \left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^2.
$$
 (16)

Combining [\(16\)](#page-17-0) with [\(14\)](#page-16-0), we obtain that

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_i \wedge \mathcal{E}_i) \ll \left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^3 + \exp(-\Theta(n)) \ll \left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^3. \tag{17}
$$

Together with [\(5\)](#page-7-1), this completes the proof of the case $l = 2$ in Theorem [2.4,](#page-3-1) where the condition $\delta \geq n^{1-c/\alpha}$ rogether with (5), this completes the proof of the case $t = 2$ in Theorem 2.4, where the condition $0 \leq n$ automatically implies the requirement $t \geq n^{-c/\alpha} \kappa / \sqrt{\alpha}$ of Theorem [6.3,](#page-15-2) as long as c is sufficiently small.

6.2. Proof sketch for general *l*. By the definition of *d*, we have $2^d \le l < 2^{d+1}$, and that

$$
\lambda_i(X_n) \leq \lambda_i(X_{n-1}) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{i+l-1}(X_{n-1}) \leq \lambda_{i+l}(X_n).
$$

Let v_1, \ldots, v_l be the eigenvectors of X_{n-1} corresponding to $\lambda_i(X_{n-1}), \ldots, \lambda_{i+l-1}(X_{n-1})$. Then similarly to [\(12\)](#page-15-3), with X being the last column of X_n in [\(11\)](#page-14-1), we have the conjunction

$$
(|v_1^T X| = O(\delta)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (|v_{2^d}^T X| = O(\delta)).
$$

Also, as an analog of Fact [6.2,](#page-15-0) for any $u \in H_{v_1,...,v_{2d}}$ and for $t = \delta/n^{1/2}$ we have

$$
||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))^{2^d}u|| \le t^{2^d}.
$$

So by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$
||(X_{n-1} - \lambda_i(X_{n-1}))u|| \le t.
$$
\n(18)

Additionally, arguing similarly to the proof of Theorem [6.3,](#page-15-2) if we let \mathcal{E}'_i be the event that X_{n-1} has eigenvectors v_1, \ldots, v_l with eigenvalues $|\lambda_{i+l-1}(X_{n-1}) - \lambda_i(X_{n-1})| \leq t$, and $\mathcal{E}'_i \wedge \mathcal{H}'_i$ be the event \mathcal{E}'_i coupled with $\inf_{u \in H_{v_1,...,v_{2^d}}} \widehat{\mathsf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(u,\alpha) \gg t^{-1}\kappa/\alpha$, then

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}'_i \wedge \mathcal{H}'_i) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}'_i) - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n/2)).
$$

EIGENVALUES GAP 19

To this end, conditioning on $\mathcal{E}'_i \wedge \mathcal{H}'_i$, it follows from [\(18\)](#page-17-1), together with the small probability bound from Theorem [6.1](#page-14-0) (applied to 2^d vectors), that

$$
\mathbf{P}_X\left(|v_1^TX| = O(\delta)\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge (|v_{2^d}^TX| = O(\delta))|\mathcal{E}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_i| \ll (\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}})^{2^d}.
$$

By iterating this conditional process up to X_{n-l} and proceed similarly to [\(17\)](#page-17-2), we obtain the following lower bound for the exponent of δ

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} 4^k + (l - 2^d + 1)2^d = \frac{(3l + 3 - 2^{d+1})2^d - 1}{3},
$$

where we used the fact that the contribution to the exponent for the running index l' from 2^d to l is $(l-2^d+1)2^d$, and for l' varying from 2^k to $2^{k+1}-1$ (with $0 \le k \le d-1$) is $(2^k)(2^k) = 4^k$.

6.3. Remark. We finish this section with a short discussion on how to obtain a version of Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) for complex Wigner matrices with a probability bound of $O((\delta/\alpha)^2)$.

First of all, let X_n be a random Hermitian matrix where the real and complex components of the off-diagonal terms are iid copies of a sub-gaussian random variable of mean zero and variance 1/2.

An identical proof of Theorem [5.11](#page-13-2) then implies that with probability $1 - \exp(-\Theta(n))$, for any unit complex eigenvector v of X_n (including all complex phases $e^{i\theta}$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$), the S^{2n-1} vector $(\Re(v), \Im(v))$ belongs to **Incomp** (c_0, c_1) and

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(\Re(v),\Im(v),\alpha) \gg n^{c/\alpha}.\tag{19}
$$

Next, by [\(5\)](#page-7-1), $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i)$ is controlled by $\mathbf{P}(|v^T X| = O(\delta))$, where v is any unit eigenvector associated to λ_i . For short, write $w = (\Re(v), \Im(v)), w' = (\Im(v), -\Re(v))$ and $Y = (\Re(X), \Im(X)),$ we then have

$$
\mathbf{P}(|v^T X| = O(\delta)) = \mathbf{P}\left(|w^T Y| = O(\delta) \land |(w'^T Y)| = O(\delta)\right). \tag{20}
$$

Thus we are in the position to apply Theorem [6.1.](#page-14-0) For this, we need to verify that the subspace $H_{w,w'}$ does not contain any unit vector with small \widehat{LCD} . However, this is exactly what we have obtained in [\(19\)](#page-18-1) (see also the proof of Theorem [6.3\)](#page-15-2).

In conclusion, with $\delta \geq n^{-c/\alpha}$, the bound of Theorem [6.1](#page-14-0) then implies

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_i) \ll (\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}})^2.
$$

For the more general Theorem [2.4,](#page-3-1) it looks plausible that we can double the value of c_l , using a similar, but more tedious argument. We skip the details.

7. Consecutive gaps for perturbed matrices: proof of Theorem [2.6](#page-4-0)

In the perturbed case $M_n = F_n + X_n$, the approach used in Section [5](#page-8-0) and Section [6](#page-13-0) does not seem to work. This is mainly because the norm of M_n now blows up, and also because ξ is assumed to have bounded $(2 + \xi_0)$ -moment only. To handle this case, we will rely instead on the inverse Littlewood-Offord results developed by the second and third author.

It suffices to justify Theorem [4.3.](#page-7-0) As we have seen, it is technically convenient to replace the concentration probability $\rho_{\delta}(v)$ with a segmental variant $\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v)$, and to work with two related scales δ rather than a single scale δ .

More precisely, for any $\delta > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v)$ denote the quantity

$$
\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v):=\inf_{I\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}:|I|=\lfloor\alpha n\rfloor}\rho_\delta(v\downharpoonright_I)
$$

where $v \mid I = (v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_m})$ is the restriction of v to $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$.

We observe the easy inequality

$$
\rho_{\delta}(v) \le \rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v). \tag{21}
$$

We will assume that the matrix M_n has operator norm bounded by $n^{\gamma'}$, for some $\gamma' \ge \max{\gamma, 1/2}$, with probability at least $1 - O(n^{-A})$. Thus for instance if ξ has subgaussian distribution, then one can take $\gamma' = \max{\gamma, 1/2}$. (One can also see that $\gamma' \leq A/2 + \gamma + 4$ in any case.)

For the rest of the treatment of Theorem [4.3,](#page-7-0) we will choose

$$
\alpha := \frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}} \tag{22}
$$

for some sufficiently small constant ε depending on A and γ' .

We now reduce Theorem [4.3](#page-7-0) to

Theorem 7.1. With probability at least $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some positive constant α_0 independent of n, there is no unit eigenvector v of M_n with an eigenvalue λ of order $O(n^{\gamma'})$ with the following property: there is a radius δ with $n^{-B} \leq \delta \leq n^{-B/2}$ such that

$$
n^{-A} \le \rho_{n^{\gamma'}\delta,\alpha}(v) \le n^{0.49} \rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v).
$$

To deduce Theorem [4.3,](#page-7-0) we assume otherwise that $\rho_{n^{-B}}(v) \geq n^{-A}$. Define the sequence $(\delta_i)_{i=0}^J$ with $J := [A/0.49], \, \delta_0 := n^{-B}, \, \text{and}$

$$
\delta_{j+1} := n^{\gamma'} \delta_j
$$

for $0 \leq j < J$. By assumption, $\rho_{\delta_0,\alpha}(v) \geq n^{-A}$, and if we choose B so that

$$
B \ge 2J\gamma',\tag{23}
$$

then because the ρ 's are bounded by one, there exists $j < J$ (and so $n^{-B} \leq \delta_{j+1} \leq n^{-B/2}$) such that

$$
\rho_{\delta_{j+1},\alpha}(v) \le n^{.49} \rho_{\delta_j,\alpha}(v).
$$

It remains to establish Theorem [7.1,](#page-19-1) this is the objective of the rest of this section.

7.1. The compressible case. Let us first consider the easy case in which there exists $n^{-B} \leq \delta \leq n^{-B/2}$ such that $\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v) \geq (\alpha n)^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, this case is similar to our treatment of Subsection [5.1.](#page-8-3) Our main tool will be the following Erdős-type inverse Littlewood-Offord theorem:

Theorem 7.2. [\[9\]](#page-37-18) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed, let $\delta > 0$, and let $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a unit vector with

$$
\rho_\delta(v) \ge m^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}
$$

.

Then all but at most ε m of the coefficients of v have magnitude at most δ .

Now as $q = \rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v) > (\alpha n)^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, by Theorem [7.2,](#page-20-1) we see that for every $I \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$ with $|I| = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$, all but at most $O(\varepsilon|I|)$ of the coefficients of v I_I have magnitude at most δ , where the implied constant does not depend on ε . By a simple covering argument, we conclude that $|v_i| \leq \delta$ for all i outside of an exceptional set $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ of cardinality $|S| = O(\varepsilon \alpha n) = O(n^{1-\varepsilon}).$

If we let \mathcal{E}_S denote the event that the above situation holds for a given value of S, the probability that the conclusion of Theorem [7.1](#page-19-1) fails may thus be bounded via the union bound by

$$
\sum_{S \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}:|S| = O(\alpha n)} \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_S) + O(\exp(-\Theta(n))).
$$

By Stirling's formula, the number of possible exceptional sets S is at most $n^{O(\varepsilon n^{1-\varepsilon})}$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_S) \ll \exp(-\alpha_0 n) \tag{24}
$$

uniformly in S and some fixed $\alpha_0 > 0$ independent of ε .

By symmetry we may take

$$
S = \{n'+1,\ldots,n\}
$$

for some $n' = (1 - O(\alpha))n$.

Now suppose that the event \mathcal{E}_S holds, and let v, λ be as above. We split

$$
M_n = \begin{pmatrix} M_{n'} & D \\ D^* & M_{n-n'} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where $M_{n'}$, $M_{n-n'}$ are the top left $n' \times n'$ and bottom right $(n - n') \times (n - n')$ minors of M_n respectively, and D is a $n' \times (n - n')$ matrix whose entries are independent copies of ξ (modulo the deterministic part from F_n), and also independent of $M_{n'}$, $M_{n-n'}$. We also split $v^T = (v', v'')^T$, with $v' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ and $v'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n'}$.

By hypothesis, all entries of v' have magnitude at most $\delta = O(n^{-B/2})$, and so provided that $B > 1$,

$$
||v'|| \le \delta n^{1/2} \ll \frac{1}{10}
$$
\n(25)

and thus (since v is a unit vector)

$$
\frac{1}{2} \le \|v''\| \le 1. \tag{26}
$$

From the eigenvector equation

$$
\begin{pmatrix} M_{n'} & D \ D^* & M_{n-n'} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v' \\ v'' \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} v' \\ v'' \end{pmatrix}
$$

we have

$$
(M_{n'} - \lambda)v' + Dv'' = 0.
$$
\n(27)

Hence,

$$
||Dv''|| = O(n^{\gamma'} \delta n^{\frac{1}{2}}) = O(n^{-\frac{B}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma'}).
$$
\n(28)

On the other hand, by a standard epsilon-net argument (noting that $n - n' = O(n^{1-\epsilon})$), with probability $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some fixed $\alpha_0 > 0$ independent of ε , we have

$$
\inf_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n'} : \|w\| = 1} \|Dw\| \gg n^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
\n(29)

We hence obtain [\(24\)](#page-20-2) provided that

$$
B > 2\gamma' + 2.\tag{30}
$$

7.2. The incompressible case. Now we assume that there exists a radius δ with $n^{-B} \leq \delta \leq n^{-B/2}$ such that

$$
n^{-A} \le \rho_{n^{\gamma'}\delta,\alpha}(v) \le n^{.49} \rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v); \text{ and } \rho_{\delta,\alpha} \le (\alpha n)^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.
$$

In this case, Theorem [7.2](#page-20-1) is insufficient to control the "rich" vector v . Instead, we will rely on the more general inverse Littlewood-Offord theorem from [\[17\]](#page-37-19) (see also [\[25,](#page-38-10) [26\]](#page-38-11)). Define a generalised arithmetic progression (or GAP) to be a finite subset Q of the real line $\mathbb R$ of the form

$$
Q = \{a_1w_1 + \dots + a_rw_r : a_i \in \mathbf{Z}, |a_i| \leq N_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r\}
$$

where $r \geq 0$ is a natural number (the *rank* of the GAP), $N_1, \ldots, N_r > 0$ are positive integers (the *dimensions* of the GAP), and $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathbb{R}$ are real numbers (the *generators* of the GAP). We refer to the quantity $\prod_{i=1}^r(2N_i+1)$ as the volume vol(Q) of Q; this is an upper bound for the cardinality |Q| of Q. We then have

Theorem 7.3 (Continuous inverse Littlewood-Offord theorem). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed, let $\delta > 0$, and let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit vector whose small ball probability $\rho := \rho_{\delta}(v)$ obeys the lower bound

$$
\rho \gg n^{-O(1)}
$$

.

Then there exists a generalized arithmetic progression Q of volume

$$
\text{vol}(Q) \le \max\left(O\left(\frac{1}{\rho\sqrt{n}}\right), 1\right)
$$

such that all but at most ε n of the coefficients v_1, \ldots, v_n of v lie within δ of Q. Furthermore, if r denotes the rank of Q, then $r = O(1)$, and all the generators w_1, \ldots, w_r of Q have magnitude $O(1)$.

We now begin the proof of Theorem [7.1](#page-19-1) with the setting

$$
q := \rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v) < (\alpha n)^{-1/2 + \varepsilon}.
$$

As $\rho_{n^{\gamma'}\delta,\alpha}(v) \leq n^{.49}q$, there must exist a subset I of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ of cardinality $|I| = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ with

$$
\rho_{n^{\gamma'}\delta}(v\mid_I) \le n^{.49}q. \tag{31}
$$

For each I, let \mathcal{E}'_I be the event that the above situation occurs, thus the conclusion of Theorem [7.1](#page-19-1) holds with probability at most

$$
\sum_{I \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}:|I| = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor} \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}'_I) + O(\exp(-\Theta(n))).
$$

We crudely bound the number of possible I by $2ⁿ$. The key estimate is stated below.

Lemma 7.4. We have

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}'_I) \ll n^{-0.1n + O(\varepsilon n)}.
$$

This will establish Theorem [7.1](#page-19-1) in the incompressible case if ε is chosen small enough.

Our approach to prove Lemma [7.4](#page-22-0) is somewhat similar to [\[30\]](#page-38-6). First, by symmetry we may assume that $I = \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $k := |\alpha n|$. Similarly to the previous section, we split

$$
M_n = \begin{pmatrix} M_k & D \\ D^* & M_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where M_k , M_{n-k} are the top left $k \times k$ and bottom right $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ minors of M_n respectively, and D is a $k \times (n - k)$ matrix whose entries are (modulo the deterministic part from F_n) independent copies of ξ, and also independent of M_k , M_{n-k} . We also split $v^T = (v', v'')^T$ with $v' \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $v'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

Now suppose that M_n is such that the event \mathcal{E}'_I holds, the heart of our analysis is to approximate (v, λ) by low-entropy structures.

Lemma 7.5. There exists a subset N of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}$ of size $O(n^{-n/2+O(\alpha n)}q^{-n})$ such that for any eigenvectoreigenvalue pair (v, λ) satisfying \mathcal{E}'_I , there exists $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{N}$ which well-approximates (v, λ) in the following sense

- (general approximation of eigenvector) $|v_j \tilde{v}_j| \le \delta$, for $1 \le j \le n$;
- (finer approximation over segment) $|v'_j w'_j| \leq n^{-\gamma'-1}\delta$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$;
- (approximation of eigenvalue) $|\lambda \tilde{\lambda}| \leq n^{-\gamma'-1}\delta$.

We also refer the reader to Lemma [B.1](#page-33-1) in Appendix [B](#page-33-0) for similarities.

Proof of Lemma [7.5.](#page-23-0) We cover $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ by sets I_1, \ldots, I_m of of length differing by at most one, with

$$
m \le \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\rfloor + 1 = O(n^{\varepsilon}).
$$

Because $\rho_{\delta}(v) = q$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$
\rho_{\delta}(v \mid_{I_i}) \geq q.
$$

Applying Theorem [7.3](#page-22-1) and the incompressibility hypothesis $q < (\alpha n)^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, we may thus find, for each $i=1\ldots,m,$ a GAP Q_i such that

$$
vol(Q_i) \ll (\alpha n)^{-1/2 + \varepsilon}/q \tag{32}
$$

and rank $r_i = O(1)$ such that all but at most $O(\varepsilon^2 n)$ of the coefficients of $v \mid I_i$ lie within a distance $O(\delta)$ of Q_i . Thus we have

$$
dist(v_j, \bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i) \ll \delta
$$

for all $j = 1, ..., n$ outside of an exceptional set $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ of cardinality $|S| \ll \varepsilon n$.

Furthermore, all the generators $w_{i,1}, \ldots, w_{i,r_i}$ of Q_i have magnitude $O(1)$. From [\(32\)](#page-23-1) we have the crude bound for the dimensions of Q_i

$$
N_{i,1},\ldots,N_{i,r_i}\ll (\alpha n)^{-1/2+\varepsilon}/q.
$$

From this, we may round each generator $w_{i,l}$ to the integer nearest multiple of $q\delta$ (say) without loss of generality, since this only moves the elements of Q_i by $O((\alpha n)^{-1/2+\epsilon}\delta) = O(\delta)$ at most. In particular, all elements of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Q_i$ are now integer multiples of $q\delta$.

Thanks to this information, one can create a coarse "discretized approximation" $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_1, \ldots, \tilde{v}_n)$ to v, by setting \tilde{v}_j for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ to be the nearest element of $\bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i$ to v_j if v_j lies within $O(\delta)$ of this set, or the nearest multiple of $q\delta$ to v_j otherwise. Then \tilde{v}_j consists entirely of multiples of $q\delta$, one has

$$
|v_j - \tilde{v}_j| \le \delta \tag{33}
$$

for all $j = 1, ..., n$, and all but at most $O(\alpha n)$ of the coefficients of \tilde{v} lie in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Q_i$.

We will also need a finer approximation $w' = (w_1, \ldots, w_k)^T$ to the component $v' = (v_1, \ldots, v_k)^T$ of v, by choosing w_j to be the nearest integer multiple of $n^{-\gamma'}\delta$ to v_j , thus for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

$$
|v_j' - w_j'| \le n^{-\gamma'} \delta. \tag{34}
$$

Similarly, one approximates the eigenvector λ by the nearest multiple $\tilde{\lambda}$ of $n^{-\gamma'-1}\delta$, thus

$$
|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \le n^{-\gamma'-1} \delta. \tag{35}
$$

We now claim that the data \tilde{v} , w' , $\tilde{\lambda}$ have low entropy, in the sense that they take a relatively small number of values. Indeed, the number of possible ranks r_1, \ldots, r_m of the Q_i is $(O(1))^m = (O(1))^{1/\alpha}$. Once the ranks are chosen, the number of ways we can choose the generators $w_{i,l}$ of the Q_i (which are all multiples of $q\delta$ of magnitude $O(1)$ are

$$
O((1/q\delta)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i}) = O(n^{O(1/\alpha)}) = O(n^{O(n^{\varepsilon})})
$$

since $q, \delta \geq n^{-O(1)}$ by hypothesis.

The number of sets S may be crudely bounded by 2^n . For each $j \in S$, the number of choices for \tilde{v}_j is $O(1/q\delta)$, leading to $O((1/q\delta)^{|S|}) = O((1/q\delta)^{O(\alpha n)})$ ways to choose this portion of \tilde{v} . For $j \notin S$, \tilde{v}_j lies in $\bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i$, which has cardinality $O(m \text{ vol}(Q)) = O(\frac{1}{\alpha}n^{-1/2+\alpha}/q)$. Finally, for the $k = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ coefficients of w' there are at most $O(n^{\gamma'+1}/\delta)$ choices, and there are similarly $O(n^{\gamma'+1}/\delta)$ choices for $\tilde{\lambda}$.

Thus the total possible number of quadruples^{[1](#page-24-0)} $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda})$ is at most

$$
(O(1))^{1/\alpha} \times O(n^{O(1/\alpha)}) \times 2^n \times O((1/q\delta)^{O(\alpha n)}) \times O((\frac{1}{\alpha}n^{-1/2+\alpha}/q)^n) \times O((n^{\gamma'+1}/q)^{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor}) \times O(n^{100}/q)^2, (36)
$$

which simplifies to

¹One could also expand this set of data by also adding in the GAPs Q_1, \ldots, Q_m and the set S, but we will have no need of this additional data in the rest of the argument.

$$
O(n^{-n/2+O(\alpha n)}q^{-n}).
$$

Note that many of the factors in the previous expression [\(36\)](#page-24-1) can be absorbed into the $O(n^{O(\alpha n)})$ error as ε is chosen sufficiently small.

 \Box

Now we assume that (v, λ) is an eigenvector-eigenvalue pair satisfying \mathcal{E}'_I , which can be approximated by tuple $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{N}$ as in Lemma [7.5.](#page-23-0) The lower $n - k$ -dimensional component of the eigenvector equation $M_n v = \lambda v$ then reads as

$$
D^*v' + (M_{n-k} - \lambda)v'' = 0.
$$

From [\(34\)](#page-24-2) and [\(35\)](#page-24-3) we certainly have

$$
\|(\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda)v''\| \ll \delta \text{ and } \|D^*(v' - w')\| \ll \delta.
$$

By (33) , we have

$$
||v'' - \tilde{v}''|| \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta
$$

where \tilde{v}'' is the lower $n - k$ entries of \tilde{v} .

Since λ has order $O(n^{\gamma'})$, we have $\tilde{\lambda} = O(n^{\gamma'})$, and so

$$
\|\tilde{\lambda}(v'' - \tilde{v}'')\| \le n^{\gamma' + \frac{1}{2}}\delta.
$$

Hence, with $u = (M_{n-k} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{v}''$ independent of D,

$$
||D^*w' - u|| = ||D^*w' - (M_{n-k} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{v}''||
$$

= $||D^*(w' - v') + D^*v' + (M_{n-k} - \lambda)v'' + (M_{n-k} - \lambda)(\tilde{v}'' - v'') + (\lambda - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{v}''||$
 $\le n^{\gamma' + \frac{1}{2}}\delta.$ (37)

Let us now condition M_{n-k} to be fixed, so that u is deterministic. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the rows of D; then the x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k} are independent vectors, each of whose elements (up to a deterministic part from F_n) is an independent copy of ξ . The bound [\(37\)](#page-25-0) then can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |x_i^T w' - u_i|^2 \le n^{2\gamma' + 1} \delta^2
$$
\n(38)

where $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-k} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the coefficients of u.

In summary, if we let $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{v},w',\tilde{\lambda}}$ be the event that the above situation holds for a given choice of $\tilde{v},w',\tilde{\lambda}$, it will suffice by the union bound (taking into account the cardinality of N from Lemma [7.5\)](#page-23-0) to establish the following upper bound

Lemma 7.6. For any $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{v},w',\tilde{\lambda}}) = O((n^{.49 + O(\alpha)}q)^n). \tag{39}
$$

To justify [\(39\)](#page-26-0), we first recall from [\(31\)](#page-22-2) that $\rho_{n\gamma'\delta}(v') \leq n^{.49}q$. From [\(34\)](#page-24-2), the random walks (with the x_i) associated to v' and w' differ by at most $O(n^{-\gamma'+\frac{1}{2}}\delta)$, and so

$$
\rho_{n^{\gamma'}\delta/2}(w') \le n^{.49}q.
$$

We now invoke the following tensorization trick (which is not strictly necessary here, but will be useful later).

Lemma 7.7. [\[20,](#page-37-16) Lemma 2.2] Let ζ_1, \ldots, ζ_n be independent non-negative radom variables, and let $K, t_0 > 0$. If one has

$$
\mathbf{P}(\zeta_k < t) \leq Kt
$$

for all $k = 1, ..., n$ and all $t \ge t_0$, then one has

$$
\mathbf{P}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \zeta_k^2 < t^2 n) \le O((Kt)^n)
$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

By setting $t = n^{\gamma'} \delta/2$, it follows crudely from Lemma [7.7](#page-26-1) that

$$
\mathbf{P}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |x_i^T w' - v_i|^2 = O(n^{2\gamma' + 1}\delta^2)\Big) < \mathbf{P}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |x_i^T w' - v_i|^2 = o(nt^2)\Big) = O\big((n^{.49}q)^{n-k}\big),
$$

where as before we are conditioning on M_{n-k} being fixed.

Undoing the conditioning, we conclude that [\(38\)](#page-26-2), and hence [\(37\)](#page-25-0), occurs with probability $O((n^{.49}q)^{n-k})$ = $O((n^{.49+O(\alpha)}q)^n)$, and thus [\(39\)](#page-26-0) follows. This concludes the proof of Theorem [7.1](#page-19-1) in the incompressible case.

Finally, the conditions for B from (23) and (30) can be secured by choosing

$$
B > 5A\gamma' + 2\gamma' + 2.\tag{40}
$$

8. CONSECUTIVE GAPS FOR ERDŐS-RÉNYI GRAPHS: PROOF OF THEOREM [2.7](#page-4-1)

By modifying the treatment of Section [7](#page-19-0) and using [\(5\)](#page-7-1), we will show the following.

Theorem 8.1 (Most eigenvectors poor). Let $A > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ be fixed. Then, with probability at least $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some positive constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ (which may depend on A, σ), every unit eigenvector v $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0 n$ for some positive constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ (which may depend on A, o), every $\alpha_0 A_n$ with eigenvalue λ in the interval $[-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}]$ obeys the concentration estimate

 $\rho_\delta(v) \leq n^\sigma \delta$

for all $\delta > n^{-A}$.

In fact, we shall reduce Theorem [8.1](#page-27-1) to

Theorem 8.2. Let $\sigma > 0$ be fixed, and let $\delta \geq n^{-A}$ and $q \geq n^{\sigma} \delta$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a sufficiently small fixed quantity. Then with probability at least $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some positive constant $\alpha_0 > 0$, every unit quantity. Then with probability at least $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some positive constant
eigenvector v of A_n with an eigenvalue λ in the interval $[-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}]$, one either has

 $\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v) \leq q$

or

$$
\rho_{n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta,\alpha}(v) > n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}}q.\tag{41}
$$

We remark that, unlike in Section [7,](#page-19-0) α is a (sufficiently small) constant here. It is not hard to see that Theorem [8.2](#page-27-2) implies Theorem [8.1.](#page-27-1) Indeed, let A_n , A , σ be as in Theorem 8.1. We may assume $\delta \leq 1$, as the claim is trivial for $\delta > 1$. By rounding δ up to the nearest power of $n^{-\sigma/2}$, and using the union bound, it suffices to show that for each given such choice of $n^{-A} < \delta \leq 1$, with probability $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ all unit eigenvectors v of A_n with eigenvalue in the interval $[-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}]$ satisfy the bound

$$
\rho_{\delta}(v) \le n^{\sigma/2} \delta. \tag{42}
$$

Let $\alpha > 0$ be a sufficiently small fixed quantity, and set $J := |2A|$. For each $j = 0, \ldots, J + 1$, we define the quantities

$$
\delta_j := n^{(1/2+\alpha)j} \delta
$$
; and $K_j := n^{\sigma/2 - (\sqrt{\alpha}-\alpha)j}$.

Now suppose that [\(42\)](#page-27-3) fails. We conclude that

$$
\rho_{\delta_0,\alpha}(v) \ge \rho_{\delta_0}(v) > K_0 \delta_0.
$$

On the other hand, if α is sufficiently small depending on σ , A,

$$
\rho_{\delta_J,\alpha}(v) \le 1 \le \delta_J \le K_J \delta_J.
$$

Thus there exists $0 \leq j < J$ such that

$$
\rho_{\delta_j,\alpha}(v) > K_j \delta_j
$$
; and $\rho_{\delta_{j+1},\alpha}(v) \leq K_{j+1} \delta_{j+1}$.

Consequently,

$$
\rho_{n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta_j,\alpha}(v) \le n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}} K_j \delta_j.
$$

But by Theorem [8.2](#page-27-2) (with σ replaced by $\sigma/4$, say, and δ and q replaced by δ_j and $K_j \delta_j$) and by the union bound over the J different choices of j, this event can only occur with probability $O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some positive constant α_0 , and Theorem [8.1](#page-27-1) follows.

In what follows we will establish Theorem [8.2.](#page-27-2) Again, similarly to the proof of Theorem [7.1,](#page-19-1) we divide into two cases.

8.1. The compressible case. Assume that $q \geq n^{-1/2+\alpha}$ and $\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v) > q$. By a simple covering argument, we have

$$
\rho_{\delta n^{-1/2+\alpha}/q,\alpha}(v) \ge n^{-1/2+\alpha}.
$$

Set $\delta' := \delta n^{-1/2 + \alpha}/q$. Proceed similarly to Subsection [7.1,](#page-20-0) we just need to show

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_S) = O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n)),\tag{43}
$$

uniformly in $S \subset [n]$ with $|S| = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ and some fixed $\alpha_0 > 0$ independent of α . Here \mathcal{E}_S is the event $\rho_{\delta'}(v \mid S) \geq n^{-1/2+\alpha}$.

By symmetry we may take $S = \{n' + 1, \ldots, n\}$ for some $n' = (1 - O(\alpha))n$. Now suppose that the event \mathcal{E}_S holds, and let v, λ be as above. We split

$$
A_n = \begin{pmatrix} A_{n'} & D \\ D^* & A_{n-n'} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } v = \begin{pmatrix} v' \\ v'' \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $A_{n'}$, $A_{n-n'}$ are the top left $n' \times n'$ and bottom right $(n - n') \times (n - n')$ minors of A_n respectively, and $v' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ and $v'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n'}$, and the entries of v' are bounded by δ' by applying Theorem [7.2.](#page-20-1) Again, as in Subsection [7.1,](#page-20-0) we have $\frac{1}{2} \le ||v''|| \le 1$, and the eigenvector equation $A_n v = \lambda v$ implies that

$$
\|\tilde{D}v'' - a\mathbf{1}_{n'}\| = O(n\delta') = O(n^{1/2 + \alpha}\delta/q) = O(n^{1/2 + \alpha - \sigma}) = o(n^{1/2})
$$
\n(44)

for some scalar $a \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{D} = D - p \mathbf{1}_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{n-n'}^T$ is the mean zero normalization of D, and we note that by [\(3\)](#page-6-1) and by the bound on λ ,

$$
||A_{n'} - \lambda - p \mathbf{1}_{n'} \mathbf{1}_{n'}^T|| = O(\sqrt{n}).
$$

However, with probability $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$ for some positive constant α_0 independent of α , we easily have

$$
\inf_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n'} : \|w\| = 1} \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|\tilde{D}w - a\mathbf{1}_{n'}\| \gg \sqrt{n}.\tag{45}
$$

In fact, without the a1 term, as noted at the end of Subsection [7.1,](#page-20-0) the left-hand side becomes the least singular value of D , and the result follows from [\[14,](#page-37-20) Theorem 3.1]. The epsilon net arguments used there can be easily modified to handle the additional $a\mathbf{1}_{n'}$ term. Alternatively, we can argue as follows. We group the *n'* rows of \tilde{D} into $\lfloor \frac{n'}{2} \rfloor$ $\frac{a'}{2}$ pairs, possibly plus a remainder row which we simply discard. For each such pair of rows, we subtract the first row in the pair from the second, and let D' be the $\frac{n'}{2}$ $\frac{n'}{2}$ \times n – n' matrix formed by these differences. From the triangle inequality we see that $||D'w|| \leq 2||\tilde{D}w - a\mathbf{1}||$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n'}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ From [\[14,](#page-37-20) Theorem 3.1] we have

$$
\inf_{\in \mathbb{R}^{n-n'}:\|w\|=1} \|D'w\| \gg \sqrt{n}
$$

with probability $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$, and the claim [\(45\)](#page-29-0) follows.

 \overline{u}

Comparing [\(45\)](#page-29-0) with [\(44\)](#page-28-0) (after rescaleing v'' to be of unit length) and with [\(42\)](#page-27-3), we obtain a contradiction with probability $1 - O(\exp(-\alpha_0 n))$, and hence [\(43\)](#page-28-1) follows.

8.2. The incompressible case. In this case there is a unit eigenvector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)^T$ of A_n with α . The incompressible case. In eigenvalue $\lambda \in [-10\sqrt{n}, 10\sqrt{n}]$ so that

$$
\rho_{\delta,\alpha}(v) > q; \text{ and } \rho_{n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta,\alpha}(v) \le n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}}q. \tag{46}
$$

From the second inequality, there must exist a subset I of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ of cardinality $|I| = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ with

$$
\rho_{n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta}(v\downharpoonright_{I}) \le n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}}q. \tag{47}
$$

For each I, let \mathcal{E}'_I be the event that the above situation occurs, thus the conclusion of Theorem [8.2](#page-27-2) holds with probability at most

$$
\sum_{I \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}:|I| = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor} \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}'_I) + O(\exp(-\Theta(n))).
$$

It suffices to justify the following improvement of Lemma [7.4](#page-22-0) for \mathcal{E}'_I .

Lemma 8.3. We have

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_I') \ll n^{-\sqrt{\alpha}n + O(\alpha n)}
$$

where the implied constant in the $O(.)$ notation is independent of α .

$\begin{tabular}{c} EIGENVALUES & GAP \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

By symmetry we may assume that $I = \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $k := |\alpha n|$. Again, we split

$$
A_n = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & D \\ D^* & A_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where A_k , A_{n-k} are the top left $k \times k$ and bottom right $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ minors of A_n respectively. We also split $v^T = (v', v'')^T$ with $v' \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $v'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

Now suppose that A_n is such that \mathcal{E}'_I holds. Then by invoking Theorem [7.3,](#page-22-1) we obtain the following variant of Lemma [7.5](#page-23-0) (where γ is replaced by, say 100).

Lemma 8.4. There exists a subset N of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}$ of size $O(n^{-n/2+O(\alpha n)}q^{-n})$ such that for any eigenvectoreigenvalue pair (v, λ) satisfying E'_I , there exists $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{N}$ which approximates (v, λ) as follows

- $|v_i \tilde{v}_i| \leq \delta$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- $|v'_j w'_j| \leq n^{-100} \delta$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$;

•
$$
|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq n^{-100} \delta
$$
.

Proof of Lemma [8.3.](#page-29-1) Let us now assume that (v, λ) can be approximated by tuple $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{N}$ as in Lemma [8.4.](#page-30-0) The partial eigenvector equation $D^*v' + (A_{n-k} - \lambda)v'' = 0$ would then imply that (assuming [\(3\)](#page-6-1))

$$
||D^*w'-u|| = O(n\delta)
$$
\n
$$
(48)
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ is the vector $(A_{n-k} - \tilde{\lambda})\tilde{v}''$.

We condition A_{n-k} to be fixed, so that u is deterministic. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the rows of D. The bound [\(48\)](#page-30-1) then can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |x_i^T w' - u_i|^2 = O(n^2 \delta^2)
$$
\n(49)

where $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-k} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the coefficients of u.

Now, by [\(47\)](#page-29-2), $\rho_{n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta}(v') \leq n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}}q$; combine this with the approximation from Lemma [8.4,](#page-30-0) we obtain

$$
\rho_{n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta/2}(w') \le n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}}q.
$$

Thus, by a simple covering argument, for every $\delta'' \geq n^{1/2+\alpha} \delta/2$ one has

$$
\mathbf{P}(|x_i^T w' - v_i| \le \delta'') = O\left(n^{-\sqrt{\alpha} - \alpha} \delta'' q/\delta\right), \forall i = 1, \dots, n - k. \tag{50}
$$

It follows from [\(50\)](#page-30-2) and from Lemma [7.7](#page-26-1) that for every $\delta'' \geq n^{1/2+\alpha} \delta/2$

$$
\mathbf{P}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |x_i^T w' - v_i|^2 \le {\delta''}^2 (n-k)\Big) = O\Big((n^{-\sqrt{\alpha}-\alpha} {\delta''} q/{\delta})^{n-k}\Big)
$$

In particular, with $\delta'':=n^{1/2+\alpha}\delta/2$, and by discarding a factor of n^{α} ,

$$
\mathbf{P}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |x_i^T w' - v_i|^2 = O(n^2 \delta^2)\Big) = O\Big((n^{1/2 - \sqrt{\alpha}} q)^{n-k}\Big),\,
$$

where we are conditioning on A_{n-k} being fixed. Undoing the conditioning, we conclude that [\(49\)](#page-30-3) and hence [\(48\)](#page-30-1) occurs with probability $O((n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}}q)^{n-k}) = O((n^{1/2-\sqrt{\alpha}+O(\alpha)}q)^n)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem [8.2](#page-27-2) in the incompressible case by the union bound over all tuples $(\tilde{v}, w', \tilde{\lambda})$ from N.

 \Box

9. Application: non-degeneration of eigenvectors

Using the repulsion between eigenvalues, we can show that the entries of eigenvectors are rarely very close to zero.

First of all, Theorem [2.6](#page-4-0) easily implies the following weak stability result.

Lemma 9.1. For any $A > 0$, there exists $B > 0$ depending on A and γ such that the following holds with probability at least $1 - O(n^{-A})$: if there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in S^{n-1}$ such that $||(M_n - \lambda)v|| \leq n^{-B}$, then M_n has an eigenvalue λ_{i_0} with a unit eigenvector u_{i_0} such that

$$
|\lambda_{i_0} - \lambda| \ll n^{-B/4}
$$
 and $||v - u_{i_0}|| \ll n^{-B/4}$.

Proof of Lemma [9.1.](#page-31-1) First, by Theorem [2.6,](#page-4-0) we can assume that

$$
|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \ge n^{-B/2}, \forall i \ne j. \tag{51}
$$

Assume that $v = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i u_i$, where $\sum_i c_i^2 = 1$ and u_1, \ldots, u_n are the unit eigenvectors of M_n . Then

$$
||(M_n - \lambda)v|| = (\sum_i c_i^2 (\lambda_i - \lambda)^2)^{1/2} \le n^{-B}.
$$
\n(52)

Let i_0 be an index with $c_{i_0} \geq 1/\sqrt{n}$, then $||(M_n - \lambda)v|| \leq n^{-B}$ implies that $|\lambda - \lambda_{i_0}| \leq n^{-B+1/2}$, and so by [\(51\)](#page-31-2), $|\lambda - \lambda_i| \ge n^{-B/2}/2$ for all $i \ne i_0$. Incorporating with [\(52\)](#page-31-3) we obtain

$$
|c_i| = O(n^{-B/2}), \forall i \neq i_0.
$$

Thus

$$
||v - u_{i_0}|| \ll n^{-B/4}.
$$

We next apply Theorem [4.3](#page-7-0) and Lemma [9.1](#page-31-1) to prove Theorem [3.3.](#page-5-0)

Proof of Theorem [3.3.](#page-5-0) It suffices to prove for $i = 1$. The eigenvalue equation $M_n v = \lambda v$ for with $|v_1| \leq n^{-B}$ can be separated as

$$
(M_{n-1} - \lambda)v' = -v_1X
$$
; and $v'^T X = v_1m_{11}$,

where X is the first column vector of M_n , and hence independent of M_{n-1} . As B is sufficiently large compared to A and γ , and by adding a small amount of order $O(n^{-B})$ to the first component of v' so as to $||v'|| = 1$, we can reduce the problem to

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, v' \in S^{n-2} : \|(M_{n-1} - \lambda)v'\| \le n^{-B/2}; \text{ and } |v'^T X| \le n^{-B/2}\right) = O(n^{-A}).
$$

To this end, by Lemma [9.1,](#page-31-1) if $\|(M_{n-1}-\lambda)v'\| \leq n^{-B/2}$ then M_{n-1} has a unit eigenvector u' with $\|u'-v'\| \ll$ $n^{-B/8}$. So $|v^T X| \leq n^{-B/2}$ would imply that

$$
|u^{\prime T}X| \ll n^{-B/16}.\tag{53}
$$

However, by Theorem [4.3,](#page-7-0) [\(53\)](#page-32-1) holds with probability $O(n^{-A})$. This concludes our proof.

Appendix A. Proof of Theorem [4.2](#page-6-0)

Lemma A.1. There are positive constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ (depending on the sub-gaussian moments) with $\gamma_2 < 1$ such that the following holds with probability at least $1 - \exp(-\gamma_1 n)$ with respect to the symmetric Wigner matrix X_n as in Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-1) For any unit eigenvector $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ of X_n and any subset $S \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of size $\gamma_2 n$,

$$
\sum_{i \in S} u_i^2 \le \gamma_3.
$$

The same statement holds for $A_n(p)$.

Theorem [4.2](#page-6-0) follows easily from this lemma. Indeed, consider a unit eigenvector u of X_n . Let S be the set of coordinates with absolute value at least $(1 - \gamma_3)n^{-1/2}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i \in S} u_i^2 \ge 1 - n \times (1 - \gamma_3)^2 n^{-1} \ge \gamma_3.
$$

By Lemma [A.1,](#page-32-2) any set S with this property should have size at least γ_2 n, with probability at least 1 − $\exp(-\gamma_1 n)$. We obtain Theorem [4.2](#page-6-0) by setting $c_1 = \gamma_1$, $c_2 = \gamma_2$ and $c_3 = (1 - \gamma_3)$.

Lemma [A.1](#page-32-2) was proved for $A_n(p)$ in [\[8,](#page-37-11) Theorem 3.1] in a different, but equivalent form. The proof extends with no difficulty to Wigner matrices. We also refer the reader to [\[19\]](#page-37-21) for related results of this type.

Appendix B. Proof of Theorem [5.9](#page-12-0)

The treatment here is based on [\[33\]](#page-38-9). For short, we will write T_D instead of $T_{D,\kappa,\gamma,\alpha}$. The key ingredient is finding a fine net for T_D .

Lemma B.1. Let $n^{-c} \le \alpha \le c'/4$. For every $D \ge 1$, the level set T_D accepts a $O(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D})$ -net $\mathcal N$ of size

$$
|\mathcal{N}| \le \frac{(CD)^n}{\left(\sqrt{\alpha n}\right)^{c'n/2}} D^{2/\alpha},
$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Roughly speaking, the principle is similar to the proof of Lemma [7.5](#page-23-0) and Lemma [8.4.](#page-30-0) We will break $x \in T_D$ into disjoint subvectors of size roughly m, where $m = \lceil \alpha n \rceil$, and find appropriate nets for each. In what follows we will be working with S^{m-1} first.

Definition B.2 (Level sets). Let $D_0 \ge c\sqrt{m}$. Define $S_{D_0} \subset S^{m-1}$ to be the level set

$$
S_{D_0} := \{ x \in S^{m-1} : D_0 \le \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) \le 2D_0 \}.
$$

Notice that $\kappa = o(\sqrt{m})$ because $\kappa = n^{2c}$ and $m \geq n^{1-c}$. We will invokde the following result from [\[21\]](#page-37-17).

Lemma B.3. [\[21,](#page-37-17) Lemma 4.7] There exists a $(2\kappa/D_0)$ -net of S_{D_0} of cardinality at most $(C_0D_0/\sqrt{m})^m$, where C_0 is an absolute constant.

As the proof of this result is short and uses important notion of LCD, we include it here for the reader's convenience.

Proof of Lemma [B.3.](#page-33-2) For $x \in S_{D_0}$, denote

By definition, $D_0 \leq D(x) \leq 2D_0$ and there exists $p \in \mathbf{Z}^m$ with

$$
\left\|x - \frac{p}{D(x)}\right\| \le \frac{\kappa}{D(x)} = O\left(\frac{n^{2c}}{n^{1-c}}\right) = o(1).
$$

As $||x|| = 1$, this implies that $||p|| \approx D(x)$, more precisely

$$
1 - \frac{\kappa}{D(x)} \le \left\| \frac{p}{D(x)} \right\| \le 1 + \frac{\kappa}{D(x)}.\tag{54}
$$

This implies that

$$
||p|| \le (1 + o(1))D(x) < 3D_0. \tag{55}
$$

It also follows from [\(54\)](#page-34-0) that

$$
\left\|x - \frac{p}{\|p\|}\right\| \le \left\|x - \frac{p}{D(x)}\right\| + \left\|\frac{p}{\|p\|}(\frac{\|p\|}{D(x)} - 1)\right\| \le 2\frac{\kappa}{D(x)} \le \frac{2\kappa}{D_0}.
$$
\n(56)

Now set

$$
\mathcal{N}_0:=\left\{\frac{p}{\|p\|}, p\in\mathbf{Z}^m\cap B(0,3D_0)\right\}.
$$

By [\(55\)](#page-34-1) and [\(56\)](#page-34-2), \mathcal{N}_0 is a $\frac{2\kappa}{D_0}$ -net for S_{D_0} . On the other hand, it is known that the size of \mathcal{N}_0 is bounded by $(C_0 \frac{D_0}{\sqrt{m}})^m$ for some absolute constant C_0 .

In fact we can slightly improve the approximations in Lemma [B.3](#page-33-2) as follows.

Lemma B.4. Let $c\sqrt{m} \le D_0 \le D$. Then the set S_{D_0} has a $(2\kappa/D)$ -net of cardinality at most $(C_0D/\sqrt{m})^m$ for some absolute constant C_0 (probably different from that of Lemma [B.3\)](#page-33-2).

Proof. (of Lemma [B.4\)](#page-34-3) First, by Lemma [B.3](#page-33-2) one can cover S_{D_0} by $(C_0 D_0 / \sqrt{m})^m$ balls of radius $2\kappa/D_0$. We then cover these balls by smaller balls of radius $2\kappa/D$, the number of such small balls is at most $(O(D/D_0))^m$. Thus there are at most $(O(D/\sqrt{m}))^m$ balls in total.

Taking the union of these nets as D_0 ranges over powers of two, we thus obtain the following.

Lemma B.5. Let $D \ge c\sqrt{m}$. Then the set $\{x \in S^{m-1} : c\sqrt{m} \le \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x) \le D\}$ has a $(2\kappa/D)$ -net of cardinality at most

$$
(C_0 D / \sqrt{m})^m \log_2 D,
$$

for some absolute constant C_0 (probably different from that of Lemma [B.3](#page-33-2) and Lemma [B.4\)](#page-34-3).

We can also update the net above for x without normalization.

Lemma B.6. Let $D \ge c\sqrt{m}$. Then the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \|x\| \le 1, c\sqrt{m} \le \mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x/\|x\|) \le D\}$ has a **Lemma B.O.** Let $D \geq c\sqrt{m}$. Then the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, ||x|| \geq 1, c\sqrt{m} \leq \text{LCL}$
 $(2\kappa/D)$ -net of cardinality at most $(C_0D/\sqrt{m})^mD^2$ for some absolute constant C_0 .

Proof of Lemma [B.6.](#page-35-0) Starting from the net obtained from Lemma [B.5,](#page-34-4) we just need to $2\kappa/D$ -approximate the fiber of $span(x/\Vert x \Vert)$ in B_2^m .

We now justify our main lemma.

Proof of Lemma [B.1.](#page-33-1) We first write $x = x_{I_0} \cup \text{spread}(x)$, where $\text{spread}(x) = I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{k_0} \cup J$ such that $|I_k| = \lceil \alpha n \rceil$ and $|J| \leq \alpha n$. Notice that we trivially have

$$
|\mathbf{spread}(x)| \ge |I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{k_0}| = k_0 \lceil \alpha n \rceil \ge |\mathbf{spread}(x)| - \alpha n \ge c'n/2.
$$

Thus we have

$$
\frac{c'}{2\alpha} \leq k_0 \leq \frac{2c'}{\alpha}
$$

.

.

In the next step, we will construct nets for each x_{I_j} . For x_{I_0} , we construct trivially a $(1/D)$ -net \mathcal{N}_0 of size

$$
|\mathcal{N}_0| \le (3D)^{|I_0|}
$$

For each I_k , as

$$
\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_{I_k}/\|x_{I_k}\|) \leq \widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x) \leq D,
$$

by Lemma [B.6](#page-35-0) (where the condition $\mathbf{LCD}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_{I_k}/||x_{I_k}||) \gg \sqrt{|I_k|}$ follows from, say Theorem [7.2,](#page-20-1) because by Lemma B.0 (where the condition $LCD_{\kappa,\gamma}(x_{I_k}/||x_{I_k}||) \gg \sqrt{|t_k|}$ follows from, say Theorem 7.2, b
the entries of $x_{I_k}/||x_{I_k}$ are all of order $\sqrt{\alpha n}$ while $\kappa = o(\sqrt{\alpha n})$, one obtains a $(2\kappa/D)$ -net \mathcal{N}_k of siz

$$
|\mathcal{N}_k| \le \left(\frac{C_0 D}{\sqrt{|I_k|}}\right)^{|I_k|} D^2.
$$

Combining the nets together, as $x = (x_{I_0}, x_{I_1}, \ldots, x_{I_{k_0}}, x_J)$ can be approximated by $y = (y_{I_0}, y_{I_1}, \ldots, y_{I_{k_0}}, y_J)$ with $||x_{I_j} - y_{I_j}|| \leq \frac{2\kappa}{D}$, we have

$$
||x - y|| \le \sqrt{k_0 + 1} \frac{2\kappa}{D} \ll \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D}.
$$

As such, we have obtain a β -net \mathcal{N} , where $\beta = O(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D})$, of size

$$
|\mathcal{N}| \le 2^n |\mathcal{N}_0| |\mathcal{N}_1| \dots |\mathcal{N}_{k_0}| \le 2^n (3D)^{|I_0|} \prod_{k=1}^{k_0} \left(\frac{CD}{\sqrt{|I_k|}} \right)^{|I_k|} D^2.
$$

This can be simplified to

$$
|\mathcal{N}| \le \frac{(CD)^n}{\sqrt{\alpha n}} D^{O(1/\alpha)}.
$$

Before completing the proof of Lemma [5.9,](#page-12-0) we cite another important consequence of Lemma [5.8](#page-11-0) (on the small ball estimate) and Lemma [7.7](#page-26-1) (on the tensorization trick).

Lemma B.7. Let $x \in \text{Incomp}(c_0, c_1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, c')$. Then for any $\beta \geq \frac{1}{c_0}$ $\sqrt{\alpha}(\widehat{\mathbf{LCD}}_{\kappa,\gamma}(x,\alpha))^{-1}$ one has

$$
\rho_{\beta\sqrt{n}}((X_n - \lambda_0)x) \le \left(\frac{O(\beta)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)}\right)^{n - \alpha n}.
$$

Proof of Lemma [5.9.](#page-12-0) It suffices to show the result for the level set $\{x \in T_D \setminus T_{D/2}\}\$. With $\beta = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D}$, the condition on β of Lemma [B.7](#page-36-0) is clearly guaranteed,

$$
\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\alpha}D} \gg \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{D}
$$

.

This lemma then implies

$$
\mathbf{P} \left(\exists x \in T_D \setminus T_{D/2} : ||(X_n - \lambda_0)x - u|| = o(\beta \sqrt{n}) \right) \le \left(\frac{O(\beta)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)} \right)^{n - \alpha n} \times \frac{(CD)^n}{(\sqrt{\alpha n})^{c'n/2}} D^{O(1/\alpha)}
$$

$$
\le \left(\frac{O(\kappa)}{\alpha D} + e^{-\Theta(\kappa^2)} \right)^{n - \alpha n} \times \frac{(CD)^n}{(\sqrt{\alpha n})^{c'n/2}} D^{O(1/\alpha)}
$$

$$
\le n^{-c'n/8},
$$

provided that $\kappa = n^{2c}$ with sufficiently small c compared to c', and that $D \leq n^{c/\alpha}$.

38 HOI NGUYEN, TERENCE TAO, AND VAN VU

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni, An introduction to random matrices, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [2] S. Arora and A. Bhaskara, Eigenvectors of random graphs: delocalization and nodal domains, preprint, [www.cs.princeton.](www.cs.princeton.edu/~bhaskara/files/deloc.pdf) [edu/~bhaskara/files/deloc.pdf](www.cs.princeton.edu/~bhaskara/files/deloc.pdf).
- [3] P. Bourgade and G. Ben Arous, Extreme gaps in the eigenvalues of random matrices, Annals of Probability, 41 (2013) no. 4, 2648-2681.
- [4] L. Babai, D. Grigoryev and D. Mount, Isomorphism of graphs with bounded eigenvalue multiplicity, *Proceedings of the* 14th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 310-324 (1982).
- [5] R. Band, I. Oren, and U. Smilansky, Nodal domains on graphs: how to count them and why?, Analysis on graphs and its applications, volume 77 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 5-27, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [6] R. Coifman, S. Lafon, A. B. Lee, M. Maggioni, B. Nadler, F. Warner, and S. Zucker. Geometric diffusion as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition of data, part 1: Diffusion maps, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 102 (21):7426-7431, 2005.
- [7] R. R. Coifman, S. Lafon, A. B. Lee, M. Maggioni, B. Nadler, F. Warner, and S. Zucker. Geometric diffusion as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition of data, part 2: Multiscale methods, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 102 (21):7432-7437, 2005.
- [8] Y. Dekel, J. Lee, and N. Linial, Eigenvectors of random graphs: Nodal domains, Random Structures & Algorithms, 39 (1) 2011, 39-58.
- [9] P. Erdős, On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **51** (1945), 898–902.
- [10] L. Erdős-H.T. Yau, Universality of local spectral statistics of random matrices, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 49 (2012), 377-414.
- [11] L. Erdős, A. Knowles, H.-T. Yau, J. Yin, Spectral statistics of Erdős-Rényi Graphs II: Eigenvalue spacing and the extreme eigenvalues, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 314 (2012), no. 3, 587-640.
- [12] L. Erdős, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau, Wegner estimate and level repulsion for Wigner random matrices, *International Mathe*matics Research Notices, 2010, no. 3, 436-479.
- [13] L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau, Gap Universality of Generalized Wigner and beta-Ensembles, *preprint*, <arxiv.org/abs/1211.3786>.
- [14] A. Litvak, A. Pajor, M. Rudelson, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Smallest singular value of random matrices and geometry of random polytopes. Advances in Mathematics, 195 (2005), no. 2, 491-523.
- [15] M.L. Mehta, Random Matrices and the Statistical Theory of Energy Levels, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1967.
- [16] H. Nguyen and V. Vu, Small Ball Probability, Inverse Theorems, and Applications, Erdős Centennial Proceeding, Eds. L. Lovász et. al., Springer 2013.
- [17] H. Nguyen and V. Vu, Optimal Littlewood-Offord theorems, Advances in Mathematics, Vol. 226, 6 (2011), 5298-5319.
- [18] S. O'Rourke and V. Vu, Universality of local eigenvalue statistics in random matrices with external source, submitted, <arxiv.org/abs/1308.1057>.
- [19] S. O'Rourke, V. Vu, and K. Wang, eigenvectors of deformed symmetric random matrices: delocalization and applications, in preparation.
- [20] M. Rudelson, R. Vershynin, The Littlewood-Offord problem and invertibility of random matrices, Advances in Mathematics, 218 (2008), no. 2, 600-633.
- [21] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin, Smallest singular value of a random rectangular matrix, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 62 (2009), 1707-1739.
- [22] T. Tao, Topics in random matrix theory, American Mathematical Society, 2012.

EIGENVALUES GAP 39

- [23] T. Tao, The asymptotic distribution of a single eigenvalue gap of a Wigner matrix, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 157 (2013), no. 1-2, 81-106.
- [24] T. Tao and V. Vu, From the Littlewood-Offord problem to the circular law: universality of the spectral distribution of random matrices, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 46 (2009), 377-396.
- [25] T. Tao and V. Vu, Inverse Littlewood-Offord theorems and the condition number of random matrices, Annals of Mathematics (2), **169** (2009), no 2, 595-632.
- [26] T. Tao and V. Vu, A sharp inverse Littlewood-Offord theorem, Random Structures & Algorithms , 37 (2010), no. 4, 525-539.
- [27] T. Tao and V. Vu, Random matrices: universality of local eigenvalue statistics up to the edge, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 298 (2010), 549-572.
- [28] T. Tao and V. Vu, The Wigner-Dyson-Mehta bulk universality conjecture for Wigner matrices, Electronic Journal of Probability, vol 16 (2011), 2104-2121.
- [29] T. Tao and V. Vu, Random matrices: The Universality phenomenon for Wigner ensembles, Modern Aspects of Random Matrix theory (edited by Van Vu), AMS 2014.
- [30] T. Tao and V. Vu, Smooth analysis of the condition number and the least singular value, Mathematics of Computation, 79 (2010), 2333-2352.
- [31] T. Tao and V. Vu, Random matrices: universality of local eigenvalue statistics, Acta Mathematica, 206 (2011), 127-204.
- [32] T. Tao and V. Vu, Random matrices have simple spectrum, submitted, <arxiv.org/abs/1412.1438>.
- [33] R. Vershynin, Invertibility of symmetric random matrices, Random Structures & Algorithms, 44 (2014), no. 2, 135-182.
- [34] Y. Weiss, Segmentation using eigenvectors: A unifying view, Proc. of the IEEE conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 520-527, 1999.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus OH 43210

E-mail address: nguyen.1261@math.osu.edu

Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles CA 90095

E-mail address: tao@math.ucla.edu

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven CT 06520

E-mail address: van.vu@yale.edu