THE MENTOR INITIATIVE

FUND ACCOUNTABILITY STATEMENT AND SCHEDULE OF COMPUTATION OF INDIRECT COST RATE FOR USAID

YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

THE MENTOR INITIATIVE YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

CONTENTS

1	Summary
2	Management Letter
3	Auditors Report on Fund Accountability Statement
ţ	Fund Accountability Statement
5	Notes to Funds Accountability Statement
;	Auditors Report on Internal Controls
,	Auditors Report on Compliance
;	Auditors Report on Indirect Cost Rate
)	Schedule of Indirect Cost Rate

THE MENTOR INITIATIVE

1. SUMMARY YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

We have audited the Fund Accountability Statement for MENTOR Initiative for year ended 30 September 2009 (1 October 2008 - 30 September 2009).

1. Programme Background

The location and background of the programmes included in the Statement are as follows:

	Programme/Period of Award	Location	Background
1.	BPRM2 SPRMCO07CA082 15 August 2007 to 14 August 2008	Chad	The MENTOR Initiative expanded support to NGOs, UN and MoH to scale up effective malaria control amongst refugees from Darfur and CAR in the east and south of Chad.
2.	BPRM3 SPRMCO08CA094 15 August 2008 to 14 August 2009	Chad	The MENTOR Initiative expanded support to NGOs, UN and MoH to scale up effective malaria control amongst refugees from Darfur and CAR in the east and south of Chad.
3.	BPRM4 SPRMCO09CA110 15 August 2009 to 14 August 2010	Chad	Reinforcing essential malaria control capacity to decrease the burden of malaria among refugees living in eastern and southern Chad
4.	OFDA DFD-G-00-08-00179-00 28 May 2008 to 31 August 2009	Burma	Emergency malaria and dengue fever control in the cyclone Nargis affected areas, for 500,000 people.
5.	OFDA DFD-G-00-07-0096-00 01 May 2007 to 30 April 2008	Chad	Emergency malaria control for 120,000 IDPs and 70,000 conflict affected Chadians in Goz Beida district of eastern Chad.
6.	OFDA2 DFD-G-00-08-00147-00 01 May 2008 to 01 March 2009	Chad	Emergency malaria control for 120,000 IDPs and 70,000 conflict affected Chadians in Goz Beida district of eastern Chad.
7.	OFDA2 DFD-G-00-07-00095-00 01 May 2007 to 31 October 2011	Liberia	Continuation of malaria control expansion across the most vulnerable communities in Liberia.

8. OFDA3 DFD-G-00-09-00121-00 01 March 2009 to 31 July 2010

Chad

Liberia

Angola

Angola

Angola

Angola

Angola

To strengthen public health and malaria control services for the most vulnerable internally displace persons (IPD) and host communities in Eastern Chad Establishment of national level best practice for malaria control with international and national partners in Liberia.

PMI MCP
 GHS-A-00-08-00011-00
 30 September 2008 to 29 September 2011

Support to reduce malaria related mortality in Huambo

province.

10. WL
 690-A-00-06-00092-00/2006-9-30
 (HBO)
 15 November 2006 to 31 December 2007

11. WL2 690-A-00-06-00092-00/2006-9-30 (MBC) 15 November 2006 to 31 December 2007 Support to reduce malaria related mortality in Zaire province.

12. WL3690-A-00-06-00092-00/AA-07-0322 October 2007 to 30 September 2011

Support to reduce malaria related mortality in Huambo province.

13. WL4 690-A-00-06-00092-00/EA-07-05 22 October 2007 to 30 September 2011 Support to reduce malaria related mortality in Zaire province.

14. USAID 674-A-00-08-00096-00 1 October 2008 to 30 September 2011 Pilot study in the private sector distribution of Artemisinin-based Combination Therapy (ACT) in Angola.

THE MENTOR INITIATIVE

SUMMARY

YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

Summary of Prior Year findings

There are no prior audit findings which require follow up during the current period audit. The 2008 audit took place after 30 September 2009 so the improvements were not put in place until after the year end. Management Letter Points raised in the 2008 audit will be reviewed during the 2010 audit.

However, it can be noted that the MENTOR Initiative have made significant investment into strengthening and expanding the HQ and field level structure in the last 15 months and this is shown in the reduction of the matters raised in the management letter.

Indirect Cost Rates

The final indirect cost rate of **9.14%** calculated on the results for the year has been used in the preparation of the Fund Accountability Statement for the year ended 30th September 2009.

Objectives and Scope of the Audit

We audited the MENTOR Initiative's Fund Accountability Statement in accordance with U.S Government and Auditing Standards and USAID Guidelines for Financial Audits contracted by Foreign Recipients.

Objectives:

The specific objectives of the audit of the USAID funds are to:

- a. Express an opinion on whether the fund accountability statement for the USAID-funded programs present fairly, in all material aspects, revenues received, costs incurred, and commodities directly procured by USAID for the period audited in conformity with the terms of the agreements and generally accepted accounting principles or other comprehensive basis of accounting (including the cash receipts and disbursements basis and modifications of the cash basis).
- b. Evaluate the recipient's internal control related to the USAID- funded programs, assess control risk, and identify significant deficiencies including material weaknesses.
- c. Perform tests to determine whether the recipient complied, in all material respects, with agreement terms and applicable laws and regulations related to USAID- funded programs. All material instances of noncompliance and all illegal acts that have occurred or are likely to have occurred should be identified.
- d. Perform an audit of the indirect cost.
- e. Determine if the recipient has taken adequate corrective action on prior audit report recommendations.

The procedures performed for the audit of the USAID included:

- a. Obtaining and reviewing all relevant agreements and budgets
- b. Checking a sample of direct and indirect costs to supporting documentation ensuring that costs are allowable and reasonable, identifying any questioned costs.
- c. Ensuring that correct procedures had taken place and the correct procurement procedures were followed.
- d. Reconciling the Fund Accountability Statement to the general and program ledgers. Ensuring the costs were correctly recorded in the ledgers.
- e. Reviewing bank accounts and controls on bank accounts.

- f. Checking that all funding received from USAID was appropriately recorded in the accounting records.
- g. Checking that the correct indirect cost rate was used and the allocation method in the calculating the rate was correct.

There was no scope in limitation.

Summary of Auditors' Results

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the Fund Accountability Statement of The MENTOR INITIATIVE
- 2. No reportable conditions relating to the audit of the Fund Accountability Statement were disclosed during the audit.
- 3. No instances of non-compliance material to the Fund Accountability Statement of The MENTOR INITIATIVE were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. The auditors' report on compliance for Fund Accountability Statement for The MENTOR INITIATIVE expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 5. No reportable audit findings relative to the Fund Accountability Statement for The MENTOR INITIATIVE were disclosed during the audit.
- 6. A number of internal control findings were disclosed and these are detailed in the management letter attached to this report.



The Board of Directors
The MENTOR Initiative
Old Grammar School House
Newmarket Street
Skipton
BD23 2JP

Our ref: NF/T1351/kt

Your ref:

Dear Sirs

Audit of the Fund Accountability Statements of THE MENTOR INITIATIVE

We have now completed the audit of Mentor Initiative for the period ended 30 September 2009 and would like to take this opportunity to thank you and your staff for the co-operation and assistance received.

Material weaknesses in the accounting and internal control systems

No material weaknesses in the accounting and internal control systems have been identified by us during our audit of the financial statements for the period under review.

We attach as appendix to this report other matters concerning the operation of the accounting and control systems that we consider should be brought to your attention. We look forward to receiving your responses on the points raised.

We would note that due to the nature of an audit that we may not have identified all weaknesses within the accounting and internal control systems which may exist and the contents of this section of our letter and any appendices to this letter should not therefore be taken as a comprehensive list of such weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of The MENTOR INITIATIVE and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). However, upon release by USAID, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

If you have any concerns or questions arising from this letter, please contact either Neil Finlayson or Kathryn Thomson.

Yours faithfully

KINGSTON SMITH LLP

Kingston Smith LLP Chartered Accountants

Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London EC1M 7AD Telephone 020 7566 4000 Fax 020 7566 4010 ks@kingstonsmith.co.uk www.kingstonsmith.co.uk

Also at: Hayes, Redhill, Romford, St Albans, West End (London)

Partners Sir Michael Snyder Peter Holgate Peter Timms Martin Mulrhead Steven Neal Julie Walsh Nicholas Brooks Martin Burchmore Nigel Birch Maureen Penfold Michael Sinclair Robert Surman Parveen Chadda Amanda Merron Adrian Houstoun Janice Riches Christopher Lane David Goodridge Keith Halstead Cliff Iretori Andrew Shaw David Childs Graham Tyler Paul Samrah Graham Morgan David Benton Christopher Hughes Jonathan Surcliffe Jonathan Seymour David Montgomery Neil Finlayson Brian R Pope Moira Hindson Esther Carder John Staniforth Heather Powell Silvia Vitlello Marc Fecher Alan Craddock Sandra De Lord Tim Stovold Mark Twum-Ampolo Daniel Martine Matthew Meadows James Cross Gordon Follows Thomas Moore Paul Spindler Tessa Park Richard Heap Ian Graham Anjali Kothari Simon Clark Jon Dawson

A member of KS International

	MATTER ARISING	IMPLICATION	RECOMMENDATION	RESPONSE
 	Accounting System At the moment, the finance accounting system is maintained on an excel based system. This is due to the complexities of tailoring a programme to the needs of The MENTOR Initiative.	The excel based system is complex and takes time to maintain. Errors could be made on the spreadsheets.	We recommend a review of computer accounting packages are made to see if there is one suitable to the company's needs. Similar clients use PS Financials, SUN and Quickbooks.	
			We understand that this is taking place and you will move to a new accounting management package shortly.	
a l	Authorisation of purchase invoices Due to the limited number of staff, a number of invoices were not authorised by another worker/ more senior and were not stamped with the MENTOR Initiative stamp by the relevant field officer to show the invoice has been authorised. All invoices should be authorised by another person. This amounted to \$4,531 of the expenditure tested.	There is no evidence of authorisation and invoices could be paid in error.	We understand that since the year end, you have established and moved to implement standard procedures for all countries and HQ that ensure that all invoices are approved and signed by a senior representative as part of the approval process, prior to payment. This should be enforced to ensure that all invoices are authorised by	
			senior staff before payment.	

MENTOR INITIATIVE POINTS FOR MANAGEMENT ATTENTION

,		**
RESPONSE		
RECOMMENDATION		All invoices should be signed or initialled by the relevant worker to show payment of expense has been made.
IMPLICATION		It is not clear if the invoices have been paid which could lead to duplicate payments.
MATTER ARISING	Payment Approval	When invoices have been paid, they are marked as paid with the date paid. For payments made in Skipton office, it was noted that some invoices were not signed confirming the date of payment.
	3.	



The Board of Directors
The MENTOR Initiative
Old Grammar School House
Newmarket Street
Skipton
BD23 2JP

Our ref:

NMF/T1351/kt

Your ref:

Dear Sirs,

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR TO THE BOARD OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE MENTOR INITIATIVE ON THE FUND ACCOUNTABILITY STATEMENT FOR USAID FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

We have audited the fund accountability statement of The MENTOR Initiative for the period ended 30 September 2009. The fund accountability statement is the responsibility of The MENTOR Initiative's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the fund accountability statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of the fund accountability statement in accordance with US Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the fund accountability statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the fund accountability statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the fund accountability statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, program revenues, costs incurred and reimbursed, and commodities and technical assistance directly procured by USAID for the period then ended in accordance with the terms of the agreements and conformity with the basis of accounting adopted by The MENTOR Initiative, which is in compliance with the Accounting Standards insofar as they are relevant to the statement.

Kingston Smith LLP Chartered Accountants

Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London EC1M 7AD Telephone 020 7566 4000 Fax 020 7566 4010 ks@kingstonsmith.co.uk www.kingstonsmith.co.uk

Also at: Hayes, Redhill, Romford, St Albans, West End (London

Partners Sir Michael Snyder Peter Holgate Peter Timms Martin Muirhead Steven Neal Julie Walsh Nicholas Brooks Martin Burchmore Nigel Birch Maureen Penfold Michael Sinclair Robert Summan Parveen Chadda Annanda Merron Adrian Houstoun Janice Riches Christopher Lane David Goodridge Keith Halstead Cliff Ireton Andrah Houstoun Janice Riches Christopher Lane David Goodridge Keith Halstead Cliff Ireton Andrah Walter Sinwa David Childs Graham Tyler Paul Samrah Graham Morgan David Benton Christopher Hughes Jonathan Sutcliffe Jonathan Seymour David Mortigomery Neil Finlayson Brian R Pope Moira Hindson Esther Gryder John Staniforth Heather Powell Silvia Vitiello Marc Fecher Alan Craddook Sandra De Lord Tim Stovold Mark Twurn-Ampofo Daniel Martine Matthew Méadows James Cross Gordon Follows Thomas Moore Paul Spindler Tessa Park Richard Heap Ian Graham Anjali Kothari Simon Clark Jon Dawson

A member of KS International



In accordance with US Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our reports dated 5 April 2011 on our consideration of The MENTOR Initiative's internal control and financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations. Those reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with US Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this Independent Auditor's Report in considering the results of our audit.

This report is intended for the information of The MENTOR Initiative and the US Agency for International Development (USAID). However, upon release by USAID, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Vas. F_ SLE LUP

KINGSTON SMITH LLP

Date: 5 April 2011

			BUDGET	ACTUAL	QUESTIONED COS	
	AGENCY: USAID				UNSUPPORTED CO	STS NOTES
	REVENUE OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL Ang WL2 Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	*****	-363,341 \$ -249,180 \$ -509,445 \$ -271,201 \$ -538,467 \$ -500,000 \$ - \$ -550,586 \$ -404,163 \$ -3,386,383 \$	-125,883 -499,296 -624,626 -220,265 -517,940 -338,218 -18,002 -5,954 -524,642 -376,162 -3,250,989		
ı	COSTS INCURRED TANGIBLE ASSETS					
	OFDA2 Lib USAID Ang WL4 Ang	\$ \$ \$	564 \$ 28,000 \$ 29,002 \$ 57,566 \$	-14,084 -14,084		
ii	PERSONNEL OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	113,769 \$ 97,278 \$ 163,750 \$ 115,756 \$ 223,710 \$ 155,460 \$ 228,131 \$ 172,884 \$ 1,270,739 \$	99,077 122,844 125,256 95,089 183,269 104,899 178,469 170,617		
H	FRINGE BENEFITS OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	17,871 \$ 16,107 \$ 10,505 \$ 22,799 \$ 15,436 \$ 34,257 \$ 38,928 \$ 33,171 \$	2,416 10,786 17,159 6,253 36,501 6,335 26,100 18,556	\$ 441	Note 1
IV	TRAVEL OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	* * * * * * * * * *	189,073 \$ 18,414 \$ 7,745 \$ 15,303 \$ 6,650 \$ 32,173 \$ 19,800 \$ 20,040 \$ 16,540 \$ 136,664 \$	124,106 15,545 4,865 5,340 7,647 20,608 15,322 16,229 7,484 93,041		
V	EQUIPMENT & EQUIPMENT HIRE OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	33,227 \$ 21,230 \$ 31,244 \$ 18,847 \$ 17,750 \$ 13,100 \$ 16,900 \$ 6,300 \$	10,759 13,981 22,892 4,603 11,154 20,691 8,081 19,855 112,016	\$ 1,000	Note 1
VI	SUPPLIES OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	* * * * * * * * * * *	93,559 \$ 30,465 \$ 139,559 \$ 44,415 \$ 105,100 \$ 121,160 \$ 74,400 \$ 50,140 \$ 658,798 \$	74,123 16,126 118,231 18,956 109,271 96,684 80,081 50,038	\$ 1,786	Note 1
VII	OCCUPANCY OFDA Burma OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	* * * * * * * * *	15,987 \$ 18,315 \$ 34,580 \$ 20,049 \$ 37,800 \$ 22,968 \$ 30,000 \$ 6,300 \$ 185,999 \$	13,395 19,081 17,381 15,860 27,894 20,690 23,889 8,255 146,445		

			BUDGET	<u>ACTUAL</u>		TIONED COST	
					UNSUP	PORTED COSTS	NOTES
VII	INSURANCE						
	OFDA Burma	\$	2,725 \$				
	OFDA2 Chad	\$	3,364 \$	5,150			
	OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad	\$ \$	1,062 \$ 2,788 \$	726			
	PMI MCP Lib	\$	2,786 \$ 2,400 \$	620 388			
	USAID Ang	\$	2,520 \$	718	\$	1,015	Note 1
	WL3 Ang	\$	10,080 \$	5,644	•	1,010	11010 1
	WL4 Ang	\$	6,000 \$	2,728			
		\$	30,939 \$	15,974			
ΙX	COMMUNICATION						
,,,	OFDA Burma	\$	10,900 \$	1,823			
	OFDA2 Chad	\$	4,984 \$	6,213			
	OFDA2 Lib	\$	4,731 \$	5,672			
	OFDA3 Chad	\$	5,632 \$	9,664			
	PMI MCP Lib USAID Ang	\$ \$	4,800 \$	7,735			
	WL3 Ang	\$	12,960 \$ 18,960 \$	11,174 12,766			
	WL4 Ang	\$	12,164 \$	7,489			
		\$	75,130 \$	62,536			
v	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE						
Х	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE OFDA Burma	•	0.450	0.404			
	OFDA2 Chad	\$ \$	9,156 \$ 16,960 \$	2,124 18,024			
	OFDA2 Lib	\$	39,365 \$	29,511			
	OFDA3 Chad	\$	10,341 \$	11,029			
	PMI MCP Lib	\$	23,782 \$	33,628			
	USAID Ang WL3 Ang	\$	8,957 \$	6,307			
	WL4 Ang	\$ •	33,848 \$	19,081			
	**************************************	<u>\$</u> \$	13,800 \$ 156,208 \$	11,089 130,792			
		•	100,200 \$	100,102			
ΧI	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES						
	OFDA Object	\$	3,391 \$	399			
	OFDA2 Chad OFDA2 Lib	\$	2,325 \$	389			
	OFDA3 Chad	\$ \$	6,241 \$ 2,346 \$	1,564 305			
	PMI MCP Lib	\$	5,200 \$	2,458			
	USAID Ang	\$	16,800 \$	3,141			
	WL3 Ang	\$	4,400 \$	2,277			
	WL4 Ang	\$	3,584 \$	1,704			
		\$	44,288 \$	12,237			
XII	BANK CHARGES & DEBIT INTEREST						
	OFDA Burma	\$	- \$	3,535			
	OFDA2 Chad	\$	- \$	443			
	OFDA2 Lib OFDA3 Chad	\$	- \$	2,745			
	PMI MCP Lib	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	317 4,625			
	USAID Ang	\$	3,000 \$	1,401			
	WL3 Ang	\$	3,000 \$	1,846			
	WL4 Ang	\$	1,500 \$	1,010			
		\$	7,500 \$	15,922			
XIII	DEPRECIATION						
	WL4 Ang	\$	- \$	-1,916			
	•	\$	- \$	-1,916			
XIV	INDIRECT OFDA Burma	_	44.44.				
	OFDA2 Chad	\$	44,341 \$	20,400			
	OFDA2 Lib	\$ \$	30,409 \$ 62,541 \$	42,670 31,668			
	OFDA3 Chad	\$	21,580 \$	14,138			
	PMI MCP Lib	\$	70,316 \$	60,817			
	USAid Ang	\$	61,018 \$	26,265			
	WL3 Ang WL4 Ang	\$	71,899 \$	34,226			
	WET Alig	\$	52,778 \$ 414,882 \$	25,850 256,034			
		•	414,002 W	200,004			
		\$	3,386,383 \$	2,596,132	\$	4,242	Note 1
	Total Funda received force Assessed		_				
	Total Funds received from Agency		\$	-3,250,989 Cr			
	Total Costs Incurred for Agency		\$	2,596,132 Dr			
	Brought forward funds from Agency		\$	246,369 Dr			
	Outstanding fund balance with Agency		\$	-408,487 Cr			
	AGENCY: STATE DEPARTMENT						
	REVENUE						
	BPRM2 Chad	\$	- \$.	-317,381			
	BPRM3 Chad	\$	-392,055 \$	-446,802		•	
	BPRM4 Chad	<u>\$</u>	-60,441 \$				
		\$	-452,496 \$	-764,183			

			BUDGET	ACTUAL		ESTIONED COST	
	COSTS INCURRED				UNSC	JPPORTED COSTS	NOTES
1	TANGIBLE ASSETS						
		\$	- \$				•
If	PERSONNEL						
	BPRM3 Chad	\$	172,548 \$	167,197			
	BPRM4 Chad	<u>.\$</u> \$	26,275 \$ 198,823 \$	26,904			
		Φ	190,023 \$	194,101			
Ш	FRINGE BENEFITS BPRM3 Chad	\$	2 405 . 0	44.000			
	BPRM4 Chad	\$	3,485 \$ 4,355 \$	14,329 347			
		\$	7,840 \$	14,676			
IV	TRAVEL					*	
	BPRM3 Chad BPRM4 Chad	\$	9,758 \$	9,693			
	DERWY Cliad	<u>-\$</u> \$	1,380 \$ 11,138 \$	1,504 11,196			
v	EQUIPMENT & EQUIPMENT HIRE		.,,	11,700			
٧	BPRM3 Chad	\$	612 \$	3,849	\$	289	Note 1
	BPRM4 Chad	<u>-\$</u>	7,500 \$	883	•	209	Note 1
		\$	8,112 \$	4,732			
VI	SUPPLIES						
	BPRM3 Chad BPRM4 Chad	\$ \$	99,434 \$ 6,455 \$	65,950 1,591			
		\$	105 889 \$	67,540			
VII	OCCUPANCY						
	BPRM3 Chad	. \$	16,728 \$	16,122			
	BPRM4 Chad	\$	2,100 \$ 18,828 \$	271 16,394			
		Ψ	10,020 \$	10,394			
VIII	INSURANCE BPRM3 Chad	\$	3,485 \$	2 224			
	BPRM4 Chad	\$	1,200 \$	3,234 -			
		\$	4,685 \$	3,234			
ΙX	COMMUNICATION			,			
	BPRM3 Chad BPRM4 Chad	\$	12,546 \$	13,119			
		\$	1,500 \$ 14,046 \$	2,597 15,716			
х	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE						
	BPRM3 Chad	\$	25,614 \$	46,771			
	BPRM4 Chad	<u>\$</u>	1,800 \$ 27,414 \$	3,813 50,584			
		Ģ	27,414 \$	50,564			
ΧI	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES BPRM3 Chad	¢	- \$	740			
	BPRM4 Chad	\$ \$ \$	500 \$	748 69			
		\$	500 \$	817			
XII	BANK CHARGES & DEBIT INTEREST						
	BPRM3 Chad BPRM4 Chad	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	770			
		\$	- \$	58 827			
XIII	DEPRECIATION						
		\$	- \$				
XIV	INDIRECT						
	BPRM3 Chad BPRM4 Chad	\$	47,846 \$ 7,376 \$	31,239			
		\$	55,222 \$	3,476 34,715			
		\$	452,496 \$	414,533	\$	289	Nata d
		•	402,400	414,000	Ψ	209	Note 1
	Total Funds received from Agency Total Costs Incurred for Agency		\$ \$	-764,183 Cr			
	Brought forward funds from Agency		\$ \$	414,533 Dr 393,836 Dr			
			•	,			
	Outstanding fund balance with Agency		\$	44,186 Dr			
	Total Funds received from U.S. Govt.		\$	-4,015,171 Cr			
	Total Costs Incurred for U.S. Govt. Brought forward funds from U.S. Govt.		\$	3,010,665 Dr			
			\$	640,205 Dr			
	Outstanding fund balance with U.S. Govt.		\$	-364,301 Cr	\$	4,531	
NOTE	S						

The MENTOR Initiative FUNDS ACCOUNTABILITY STATEMENT Year Ending 30 September 2009

BUDGET

ACTUAL

QUESTIONED COST UNSUPPORTED COSTS

NOTES

1 The \$4,531 of unsupported costs represent invoices which did not have correct authorisations recorded on the invoice. A recommendation has been made in the report to management that all invoices should be evidenced as authorised by senior staff.

THE MENTOR INITIATIVE 5. NOTES TO FUND ACCOUNTABILITY STATEMENT YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - FUNDS ACCOUNTABILITY AUDIT

During the audit, \$4,531 of unsupported costs were found. Invoices were available but there was no evidence of authorisation by a more senior worker on the invoice. This is included in the report to the management.

SUMMARY OF THE SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

As per the Statutory Accounts - note 1.2 Compliance with accounting standards 'The financial statements are prepared in accordance with applicable United Kingdom Accounting Standards (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice), which have been applied consistency' insofar as they are relevant to the statement.



The Board of Directors
The MENTOR Initiative
Old Grammar School House
Newmarket Street
Skipton
BD23 2JP

Our ref:

NMF/T1351/kt

Your ref:

Dear Sirs,

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR TO THE BOARD OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE MENTOR INITIATIVE ON INTERNAL CONTROL FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

We have audited the fund accountability statement of The MENTOR INITIATIVE as of and for the period ended 30 September 2009 and have issued our report on it dated 5 April 2011.

We conducted our audit in accordance with US Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the entity's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing out auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

However, we noted certain matters involving internal control and its operation that we have reported to the management of The MENTOR INITIATIVE in a separate letter.

This report is intended for the information of The MENTOR INITIATIVE and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). However, upon release by USAID, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

With she is

KINGSTON SMITH LLP

Date: 5 April 2011

Kingston Smith LLP Chartered Accountants

Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London EC1M 7AD Telephone 020 7566 4000 Fax 020 7566 4010 ks@kingstonsmith.co.uk www.kingstonsmith.co.uk

Also at: Hayes, Redhill, Romford, St Albans, West End (London)

Partners Sir Michael Snyder Peter Holgate Peter Timms Martin Mulrhead Steven Neal Julie Walsh Nicholas Brooks Martin Burchmore Nigel Birch Maureen Penfold Michael Sinclair Robert Summan Parveen Chadda Amanda Merron Adrian Houstoun Janice Riches Christopher Lane David Goodridge Keith Halstead Cliff Ireton Andrew Shaw David Childs Graham Tyler Paul Samrath Graham Morgan David Benton Christopher Hughes Jonathan Sutcliffe Jonathan Seymour David Montgomery Neil Finlayson Brian R Pope Molra Hindson Esther Option Staniforth Heather Powell Silvia Vitiello Marc Fecher Alan Craddock Sandra De Lord Tim Stovold Mark Twurn-Amporfo Daniel Martine Matthew Meadows James Cross Gordon Follows Thomas Moore Paul Spindler Tessa Park Richard Heap tan Graham Anjaii Kothari Simon Clark Jon Dawson

Registered to carry out audit work and regulated for a range of investment business activities by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales Registered in England and Wales as a Limited Liability Partnership: No OC317343 Registered office: Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London ECLM 7AD

A member of KS International



March 2011

The Board of Directors
The MENTOR Initiative
Old Grammar School House
Newmarket Street
Skipton
BD23 2JP

Our ref:

NMF/T1351/kt

Your ref:

Dear Sirs,

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR TO THE BOARD OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE MENTOR INITIATIVE ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

We have audited the fund accountability statement of The MENTOR Initiative as of and for the period ended 30 September 2009 and have issued our report on it dated 5 April 2011.

We conducted our audit in accordance with US Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the fund accountability statement is free of material misstatement resulting from violations of agreement terms and laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the determination of the fund accountability statement amounts.

Compliance with agreement terms and laws and regulations applicable to The MENTOR Initiative is the responsibility of The MENTOR Initiative's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the fund accountability statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of The MENTOR Initiative's compliance with certain provisions of agreement terms and laws and regulations. However, our objective was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with such provisions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The result of our tests disclosed no instance of non-compliance that are required to be reported herein under US Government Auditing Standards.

We noted certain immaterial instances of non-compliance that we have reported to the management of The MENTOR Initiative in a separate letter dated 5 April 2011.

Kingston Smith LLP Chartered Accountants

Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London EC1M 7AD Telephone 020 7566 4000 Fax 020 7566 4010 ks@kingstonsmith.co.uk www.kingstonsmith.co.uk

Also at: Hayes, Redhill, Romford, St Albans, West End (London)

Partners Sir Michael Snyder Peter Holgate Peter Timms Martin Mulithead Steven Neal Julie Walsh Nicholas Brooks Martin Burchmore Nigel Birch Maureen Penfold Michael Sinclair Robert Surman Parveen Chadda Amanda Merron Adrian Houstoun Janice Riches Christopher Lane David Goodridge Keith Haistead Cliff Ireton Andrew Shaw David Childs Graham Tyler Paul Samrah Graham Morgan David Benton Christopher Hughes Jonathan Sutcliffe Jonathan Seymour David Montgomery Neil Finlayson Brian R Pope Moira Hindson Esther Cerder John Staniforth Heather Powell Silvia Vitiello Marc Fecher Alan Craddock Sandra De Lord Tim Stovold Mark Twum-Amporo Daniel Martine Matthew Meadows James Cross Gordon Follows Thomas Moore Paul Spindler Tessa Park Richard Heap Ian Graham Anjali Kothari Simon Clark Jon Dawson

A member of KS International



This report is intended for the information of The MENTOR Initiative and the U.S Agency for International Development (USAID). However, upon release by USAID, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

With Lite was

KINGSTON SMITH LLP

Date: 5 April 2011



The Board of Directors
The MENTOR Initiative
Old Grammar School House
Newmarket Street
Skipton
BD23 2JP

Our ref:

NMF/T1351/kt

Your ref:

Dear Sirs,

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR TO THE BOARD OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE MENTOR INITIATIVE ON SCHEDULE OF COMPUTATION OF INDIRECT COST RATE FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The schedule of computation of indirect cost rate that is attached is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as whole.

VS.K_ SK LLO

KINGSTON SMITH LLP

Date: 5 April 2011

Kingston Smith LLP Chartered Accountants

Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London EC1M 7AD Telephone 020 7566 4000 Fax 020 7566 4010 ks@kingstonsmith.co.uk www.kingstonsmith.co.uk

Also at: Hayes, Redhill, Romford, St Albans, West End (London)

Partners Sir Michael Snyder Peter Holgate Peter Timms Martin Mulrhead Steven Neal Julie Walsh Nicholas Brooks Martin Burchmore Nigel Birch Maureen Penfold Michael Sinciair Robert Surman Parveen Chadda Amanda Merron Adrian Houstoun Janice Riches Christopher Lane David Goodridge Keith Halstead Cliff Ireton Andrew Shaw David Childs Graham Tyler Paul Samrah Graham Morgan David Benton Christopher Hughes Jonathan Surcliffe Jonathan Seymour David Montgomery Neil Finlayson Brian R Pope Moira Hindson Esther Carder John Staniforth Heather Powell Silvia Vitiello Marc Fecher Alan Craddock Sandra De Lord Tim Stovold Mark Twurn-Amporo Daniel Martine Matthew Meadows James Cross Gordon Follows Thomas Moore Paul Spindler Tessa Park Richard Heap Lan Graham Anjali Kothari Simon Clark John Dawson

Registered to carry out audit work and regulated for a range of investment business activities by the Institute of Charlered Accountants in England and Wales Registered In England and Wales as a Limited Liability Partnership: No OC317343 Registered office: Devonshire House, 60 Goswell Road, London ECLM 7AD

A member of KS International

THE MENTOR INITIATIVE SCHEDULE OF INDIRECT COST RATE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

			Unallowable and included in		
	Expenses	Exclusions	Direct cost base	Direct costs	Indirect cost
	\$	\$			pool
	Ψ	•	\$	\$	\$
Representation	4,624		4,624	0	0
Personnel	2,379,867		8,274	2,150,650	220,943
Fringe benefits	187,908		0,2.1	186,600	1,308
Travel	244,827		4,602	228,329	11,896
Equipment hire & low-value purchase	417,806		0	411,435	6,371
Supplies	1,874,154	144,697	Ö	1,727,265	2,192
Occupancy	273,768	,	ő	249,010	24,758
Insurance	29,582		Õ	29,582	2-1,150
Communication	125,744		150	113,602	11,992
Equipment operation & maintenance	340,910		0	335,104	5.806
Professional services	254,410		Ō	24,150	230,260
Bank charges & debit interest	28,918	1,176	-	20,073	7,669
Taxes & duties	11,499	11,499		0	0
Depreciation	229,396	·		229,396	Ö
Bad debts	30,266	30,266		0	ő
Entertainment	118	118		Ö	ő
Governance	24			Ō	24
Miscellaneous	-6,156	-6,156		Ö	0
Grand Total	6,427,665	181,600	17,650	5,705,196	523,219
Indirect costs					500.040
Unallowable costs in Direct cost base					523,219
Direct costs					17,650
203. 00010					5,705,196
Indirect cost rate (I / (U + D))	Indirect costs		523,219	=	9.14%
	Direct Cost base	_	5,722,846		5,0