General Equilibrium Effects of Cash Transfers in Kenya

Last registered on November 03, 2014 Trial Information

General Information

Title

General Equilibrium Effects of Cash Transfers in Kenya

RCT ID

AEARCTR-0000505

Initial registration date

November 03, 2014

Last updated

November 03, 2014 5:09 PM EST

Location(s)

This section is unavailable to the public. Use the button below to request access to this information.

Request Information

Primary Investigator

Name

Edward Miguel

Affiliation

University of California, Berkeley Contact Investigator

Other Primary Investigator(s)

PI Name

Michael Walker PI Affiliation **UC** Berkeley **Contact Investigator** PI Name Paul Niehaus PI Affiliation **UC San Diego Contact Investigator** Additional Trial Information **Status** On going Start date 2014-08-18 **End date** 2016-12-31 **Keywords Welfare Additional Keywords** Cash transfers, spillovers JEL code(s) **Secondary IDs Abstract**

How redistribution affects the real economy is one of the central, unanswered questions in development economics. The effect of redistribution on the welfare of non-beneficiary households is theoretically ambiguous: there could be positive spillover effects through increased aggregate demand (a multiplier effect), or negative spillovers from price inflation or crowd-out by business expansion for non-beneficiaries. The NGO GiveDirectly

provides large cash transfers to rural households in Kenya. We utilize an RCT to study the spillover effects of cash transfers on household welfare, prices, enterprise creation and local public finance and will make use of spatial variation in treatment density in order to estimate these effects.

External Link(s)

Registration Citation

Citation

Miguel, Edward, Paul Niehaus and Michael Walker. 2014. "General Equilibrium Effects of Cash Transfers in Kenya." AEA RCT Registry. November 03. https://www.socialscienceregistry.org/trials/505/history/3031

Sponsors & Partners Request Information Experimental Details

Interventions

Intervention(s)

The NGO GiveDirectly is responsible for the intervention; GiveDirectly provides large, unconditional cash transfers to poor households in rural Kenya. GiveDirectly identifies villages in which they are willing to work, and in order to facilitate research on cash transfers, these villages are randomly assigned to treatment or control status. Within treatment villages, GiveDirectly then identifies all households that meet their eligibility criteria, enrolls and verifies the eligibility of eligible households, and sends cash transfers to all eligible households via the mobile money system M-Pesa. Eligible households receive a one-time of around USD 1,000 made in a series of three payments.

This intervention will serve as the basis for the current study on general equilibrium effects, as well as a future study investigating long-term effects of cash transfers.

Intervention Start Date

2014-09-15

Intervention End Date

2015-12-15

Outcomes

Outcomes (end points)

The following are key outcome variables of interest: 1. Prices: how do prices evolve in response to an influx of cash into local economies? 2. Number of enterprises: how does

the supply side change in response to a potential increase in demand due to redistribution? 3. Household welfare: how do household income and assets change in response to the cash transfers, both for direct beneficiaries and for non-beneficiaries in the study area? 4. Local public finance outcomes: are there changes in local fundraising for public goods?

Outcomes (explanation)

Experimental Design

Experimental Design

The study will take place across 325 villages in Western Kenya, with a potential to expand depending on GiveDirectly's future plans. Villages are randomly allocated to treatment or control status. In treatment villages, GiveDirectly enrolls and distributes cash transfers to households that meet its eligibility criteria. In order to generate additional spatial variation in treatment density, groups of villages are assigned to high or low saturation. In high saturation zones, 2/3 of villages are targeted for treatment, while in low saturation zones, 1/3 of villages are targeted for treatment. The randomized assignment to treatment status and the spatial variation in treatment intensity will be used to identify direct and spillover effects of cash transfers.

The research team undertakes independent household censuses of treatment and control villages and makes their own judgment on whether households are eligible based on GiveDirectly's criteria. Households are then randomly selected to be surveyed by eligibility status (roughly 8 eligible households per village and 4 ineligible households). The research team also conducts a census of enterprises, enterprise surveys, market surveys and surveys with local leaders (including school principals) to collect data on prices, enterprise creation and local public finance.

Experimental Design Details

Randomization Method

Randomization done in office by a computer.

Randomization Unit

Randomization to treatment status is conducted at the village level. High versus low saturation is randomly assigned to groups of villages based on their sublocation, an administrative unit above the village.

Was the treatment clustered?

Yes

Experiment Characteristics

Sample size: planned number of clusters

The initial plan calls for 325 villages, which may increase depending on GiveDirectly's future plans.

Sample size: planned number of observations

3,900 households (12 per village), 4,875 enterprises (this assumes an average of 15 enterprises per village; the exact number will depend on the number of enterprises in the study area).

Sample size (or number of clusters) by treatment arms

163 control, 162 control.

Minimum detectable effect size for main outcomes (accounting for sample design and clustering)

Supporting Documents and Materials

D	0	C	u	m	e	n	ts
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

IRB

Institutional Review Board(s)

IRB Name

Maseno University Ethics Review Committee

IRB Approval Date

2014-07-24

IRB Approval Number

MSU/DRPC/MUERC/000090/14

IRB Name

University of California, Berkeley Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects

IRB Approval Date

2014-06-18

IRB Approval Number

2	Λ 1	4-	Λ	ᄃ	۵	2	F	1
\sim	v	I 4-	u	:)·	-0	O	\cdot	4

Analysis Plan

-Analysis Plan Documents-