

UKIP: The Model Right Movement

by Taylor Rose

European nationalism is reemerging in Europe again in a variety of forms. Some groups such as Jobbik and Golden Dawn are without a doubt neo-fascist and others such as France's Front National and Flanders' Vlaams Belang are more traditional nationalist. However the most successful is the conservative-libertarian United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP).

UKIP's prominent rise is not just something to behold as a sign of changing times, but rather UKIP is the new model for all right-wing movements in the West, especially the Anglosphere nations, the chief example of how to campaign, organize and effectively use victories to further political success and power.

Though many of UKIP's libertarian positions will offend many on the hard right, such as being racially neutral, supporting free trade with everybody and not being hard-line on social issues, UKIP has, unlike almost every other right wing movement, found the correct balance between dogmatic allegiance and political pragmatism, which is the key to success.

As right-wingers of any variety, we have one goal to achieve: victory and the two most important victories are the defeat of international socialism and mass immigration and as long as a party is supporting these two positions, we by-in-large can support them. Fortunately, despite some of UKIP's dogmatic Free Marketism, UKIP stands as the best chance for taking back Great Britain and accomplishing these goals and that is what matters.

Unlike the British National Party, which resembles a Soviet era space rocket blow up ten seconds after liftoff, UKIP has discovered how to attack the correct issues, while shelving the coarse, blunt rhetoric that off sets every person it comes in contact with. UKIP has found that immigration can be legitimately discussed, without discussing race and international socialism and pseudo-conspiracy theories can be legitimately discussed because UKIP has found the great secret to electoral and social success—the white working class.

UKIP's new directive has got the British left sputtering about with confusion and rage at the prospect of their beloved serfs waking up to reality.

Unlike the American Republican Party, which is rapidly trying to find every way to commit suicide possible by alienating its natural white base, UKIP has embraced the working class with open arms and it is one of the key factors

leading to their rise.

This is what the American Right, in whatever variety, should be doing to achieve success.

UKIP leader Nigel Farage has strategically placed UKIP in the position to be the bridge between the white collar middle class and the blue collar labor class. It could be described in one fashion as a two front attack to erode both Tory and Labour voting bases, but more over it UKIP tapping into the greatest victims of the New World Order and rallying them in a new, nationalist oriented libertarian movement.

UKIP not only harnesses effective, centralized organization and commonsense charismatic leadership, two things the Tea Party is far from having that make an effective and successful movement, but UKIP, unlike the Republican Party and many Tea Party leaders has discovered that by building this bridge between working class and middle class, they can now neutralize the greatest threats to British national survival—international socialism and mass immigration.

UKIP's libertarianism cannot be compared with North American libertarianism. Much

to the chagrin of many North American libertarians, British libertarians are definitely nationalists (though not admitting it for fears of BNP associations), support a limited welfare state and are anti-immigration.

Whereas Senator Rand Paul (R-Ky.) believes that flooding the United States with millions of illegal aliens is good, UKIP party leader Nigel Farage has spent the last year doing nothing but campaigning against mass immigration into Britain and has discussed its harsh affects upon the British working class. As a result, the British working class is fleeing the Labour Party and en masse voting for UKIP.

Tony Blair ushered in the new era of "New Labour" which was essentially to combine traditional pro-Labour voters with the new order of multiculturalism. The results were, a sheepishly loyal labor voting bloc, that was being systematically displaced by mass immigration and continued outsourcing. With no alternative in British politics, the white Labour voters slavishly voted for the Labour Party at any given moment to keep the welfare checks coming. This is the same story for the American working class and the Tea Party needs to mirror this.

Sadly though, the Tea Party is doing the opposite. Scared and confused beyond measure about the dynamics of race, while somehow seeing the working class more as the untouchable class and refusing to fight the culture war, the



Tea Party has gelded itself to a small sector of society that will be displaced by demographic trends within ten years.

America can still "be taken back" from the Manchurians that rule it, but will require a massive mobilization and organization of the proper demographics. As Pat Buchanan in his latest book *Suicide of a Superpower* correctly identifies the Republican Party as "the white party" based upon demographic voting blocs, the Republican Party and the Tea Party are dooming themselves to the perpetual opposition unless they harness the white, working class vote.

Working class people in the West are rapidly losing their jobs and are left only with government welfare handouts as their means to sustain their families. Most blue collar workers are right-wing, if not radically right-wing, yet they will vote Democrat every election, because the Republicans are too dumb to realize that going into blue collar areas and talking about budget cuts and reducing welfare, is a dumb thing.

UKIP does the opposite by going into the high unemployment, white working class areas that are feeling the effects of outsourcing and Islamization and told the voters: "vote for us, and we will bring your jobs home, not touch your welfare and stop the mass immigration." The result? Mass victories across England and Wales that have displaced the Liberal Democrats from third place to fourth place, gelded the Tories and have greatly disturbed the Labour Party.

Because of this shift, UKIP is now viewed as a genuine, "party of the people" and is speaking to them on the two issues that matter most jobs and Islam/culture war.

If Republicans and the Tea Party would simply mirror this strategy and go into Coal Country and the Rust Belt and tell the poor, socially conservative whites that the GOP is not going to reduce welfare spending and not increase free trade with Latin America, but rather engage them, rather than illegal aliens, as the key voting bloc, Colorado, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, New Hampshire and Wisconsin would immediately shift to voting Republican in the hopes of not losing welfare, while also getting jobs back and getting social conservatism.

But since the GOP refuses to acknowledge the white working class as a potential voting base, the average unemployed, radically right wing coal miner might as well vote for Barack Obama who will keep his welfare coming.

The Tea Party is the best hope that the American right has at the moment and if right wingers want to achieve success, it would be far better to work within and use the Tea Party, rather than starting something new. The Tea Party has structure, money, leaders and now victimhood thanks to the

IRS scandal but all it needs is proper direction.

If American nationalists decided to show up at Tea Party rallies and meetings and push for white working class advocacy, the debate and structure would change in the favor the American Right. Over a very short period of time the national debate could change from amnesty to deportation, from free trade agreements to protectionism, and from multiculturalism to nationalism.

Lastly, a quick note about the BNP. In 2009, in the European Parliament elections, the BNP's two seat victory defiantly alarmed many observers, but since then it has

all been disaster for a party that we would all like to support, but tactically should not. In politics, proper tactics and methodology lead to victory, not genuine honesty. Because of the pressures facing Western Civilization, we have little time to spare on squabbling about which party will give us everything we want. What we need is what gives us the basics and then we can move forward from there. That party is UKIP and it provides the best model on how Anglosphere right-wing parties should run.

Outside of Eastern Europe, hard-line right wing movements are going nowhere, or at least not going anywhere fast enough. Therefore we must tactically concede, that conservative libertarians offer us the best hope for delaying the destruction of our people. For one reason or another, their message resonates more with the voters, than does far-right dogma.

Ideologically, many on the right would entirely prefer the more nationalist; British National Party (BNP) to UKIP, however great lesson learned from the BNP, is that rhetoric is not enough. In order for right wing politics to succeed, there must be a level of tact used, rather than spitting out the hard truth everywhere one goes—especially on the issue of race.

Nick Griffin, though passionate and genuine in his beliefs, has lead a party that is turning out to be an utter failure. Much like the legendary Soviet space program where in the Cold War we could watch videos of Soviet rocket launched fail fifteen seconds after an apparently successful launch, the BNP is a party that has blown up the minute it launched.

If you believe in "following your leader" into the bunker where you can remain loyal to a dying corpse, then by all means stay loyal to the dogmatic nonsense of the BNP, but if you want to achieve victory over the international monetary matrix, mass immigration and international socialism, then you chose UKIP.