

**RACIAL DISPARITIES, SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, AND
RACIALIZED POLITICS IN MILWAUKEE AND WISCONSIN:
AN ANALYSIS OF SENATE FACTORS FIVE AND SIX OF
THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

**Expert Report Submitted on Behalf of Plaintiffs in *Frank v. Walker*, Civil
Action No. 2:11-cv-01128(LA)**

Marc V. Levine, Ph.D., University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

May 18, 2012

Table of Contents

I. Introduction.....	3
II. Racial Disparities and Socioeconomic Status.....	5
• Segregation.....	5
• Poverty, Income, and Education.....	11
• Employment Disparities.....	15
• Minority Business Ownership.....	18
• Race, Ethnicity and Mass Incarceration.....	19
III. Voter ID, Race and Socioeconomic Status, and Political Participation.....	22
IV. Racialized Politics in Milwaukee and Wisconsin.....	27
V. Curriculum Vitae.....	37

Introduction

The purpose of this report is twofold: first, to analyze racial and ethnolinguistic disparities in socioeconomic status in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and their relationship to the likely impact of voter ID legislation in the state; and second, to examine whether racial issues have historically been injected into politics in Milwaukee and Wisconsin. Specifically, the plaintiffs in *Frank v. Walker*, Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-01128(LA) retained me to analyze issues surrounding voter ID in Wisconsin that pertain to Senate Factors Five and Six of the Voting Rights Act. Section I of the report examines the degree to which the Milwaukee metropolitan area exhibits entrenched, persistent, and profound racial and ethnic inequality and socioeconomic disparities – across a wide range of indicators, and to a degree virtually unrivaled in the United States. The section also analyzes the extent to which these disparities and this distress would likely produce differential and deleterious racial impacts of Wisconsin’s voter identification statute, Wisconsin Act 23, enacted in May 2011, and thus hinder the ability of minorities to equally participate in the electoral process. Section II analyzes the history of racialized politics in Milwaukee and in Wisconsin, and places the politics of voter fraud and voter ID in this larger historical context.

I am a Professor of History, Economic Development, and Urban Studies at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (UWM), where I have been on the faculty since 1984. I am also a Senior Fellow at the university’s Center for Economic Development, where I was the founder and director from 1990-2007. I also direct the university’s Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies and Consortium for Economic Opportunity, and am past director of UWM’s graduate programs in Urban Studies. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached. I am being compensated \$150 per hour for my work on this project, including any deposition or testimony in court. I have not testified in court nor been deposed during the past four years.

My academic expertise lies generally in two main areas: urban economic development, with particular emphasis on labor market issues and the political economy of urban redevelopment; and on the politics and economics of ethnic and cultural diversity in cities. I teach courses on these subjects at both the undergraduate and graduate levels. I am the author or co-author of four books and forty book chapters and peer-reviewed articles on these and other scholarly subjects. In addition, I have written 35 working papers and research reports, under the aegis of the UWM Center for Economic Development, on various aspects of economic development in Milwaukee, including in particular social and economic conditions in Milwaukee’s inner city neighborhoods and racial disparities in the region’s labor markets. I have also written numerous newspaper columns, in *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, *The Baltimore Sun*, *The Montreal Gazette*, *La Presse* (Montreal), and *Le Devoir* (Montreal), on issues of inequality, economic development, and racial and ethnolinguistic disparities. I am frequently sought by journalists to comment on social and economic conditions in Milwaukee (and in

cities generally), and have been a source and commentator for local media outlets such as *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, *The Milwaukee Business Journal*, *WUWM-Milwaukee Public Radio*, and *Wisconsin Public Radio*, as well as for all four Milwaukee television stations. I have also been an expert source for national journalists writing about Milwaukee and Wisconsin (or on urban issues generally), such as *The New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Baltimore Sun*, *The Chicago Tribune*, *The Washington Post*, and *The Los Angeles Times*, and for international outlets such as *Le Monde* (France), *La Presse* (Canada), *Le Devoir* (Canada), *The Globe and Mail* (Canada), and *Radio-Canada* and the *Canadian Broadcasting Corporation*.

Section I: Racial Disparities and Socioeconomic Status

Senate Factor Five of the VRA calls for an assessment of “the extent to which members of the minority group bear the effects of discrimination in such areas as education, employment, and health, which hinder their ability to participate effectively in the political process.”

Overview: Metropolitan Milwaukee¹, to a degree virtually unrivaled in the United States, exhibits entrenched, persistent, and profound racial and ethnic inequality and socioeconomic disparities. On indicator after indicator, for blacks and Hispanics, metro Milwaukee ranks among the most distressed –if not *the* most distressed—metropolis in the country, and disparities between whites and minority communities on a broad array of socioeconomic indicators are generally wider than in most U.S. metropolitan areas. Minority communities in Greater Milwaukee generally live in neighborhoods described by sociologists such as Harvard University’s William Julius Wilson and Robert J. Sampson as experiencing “concentrated disadvantage,” where an accumulation of inequalities and resource deficiencies reinforce one another and create conditions for the perpetuation of inequality and distress.

Many of these disparities are also apparent at the state level. Political science research makes clear that such disparities significantly hinder equal participation in the political process. By adding to the “costs” of voting, especially in view of racial and ethnic disparities in the ability to secure valid identification or documentation, Wisconsin Act 23 will disproportionately and deleteriously affect minority communities in Wisconsin for whom effective participation in the electoral process is already hindered by the effects of historical and contemporary discrimination.

The following reviews key evidence on the socioeconomic status of minority communities in Wisconsin and on racial disparities.

Segregation

Milwaukee’s racial geography has been marked by a long-standing historical pattern of extreme segregation, which continues through today. Milwaukee has ranked among the nation’s four or five most racially segregated cities and metropolitan areas since the 1950s, when black migration to the city accelerated dramatically. Mass black migration to Milwaukee occurred later than for most northern cities, but between 1950 and 1980, the black population in metro Milwaukee grew from just under 22,000 to almost 150,000, the fastest rate of

¹ Throughout this report, the Milwaukee metropolitan area refers to the four-county region encompassing Milwaukee, Waukesha, Washington, and Ozaukee Counties, as defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

increase in the country (it is over 255,000 today). Almost all Milwaukee's black population concentrated in so-called Inner Core neighborhoods on the city's near north side, and by 1970, according to the most authoritative study of racial segregation in American cities, Milwaukee posted the fifth highest level of segregation among the 30 U.S. metropolises containing large black populations.² The standard measure of segregation used by sociologists is the "index of dissimilarity,"³ and a measure of 60 is considered "high" segregation; 80 is considered "extreme" segregation. By 1970, the black-white index of dissimilarity in Milwaukee was 90.5,⁴ and it has never dipped below 80 since.

Moreover, by 1980, using five different indicators of segregation (dissimilarity, isolation, clustering, centralization, and concentration), researchers identified Milwaukee as one of the nation's most *hypersegregated* large metropolitan areas, ranking in the top five on *each* of these indicators.⁵ As Douglas S. Massey points out: "A high level of segregation on any single dimension is problematic because it isolates a minority group from amenities, opportunities, and resources that affect socioeconomic well-being. As high levels of segregation accumulate across dimensions, however, the deleterious effects of segregation multiply."⁶

Between 1980-2010, although segregation rates remained very high in 39 of the nation's 102 largest metropolitan areas,⁷ several metropolises showed signs of modest African American residential *desegregation*. For example, even as these cities remained highly segregated, over the past thirty years the "black-white" index of dissimilarity declined in Atlanta by 14.7 points; in Boston by 12.3; in Detroit by 12.2; in Chicago by 11.4; and in Cleveland by 11.3. By contrast, in Milwaukee, the black-white segregation index declined by a scant 2.4 points between 1980-2010, the lowest rate of "desegregation" of any large metropolitan area in the country.⁸

² Douglas S. Massey and Nancy A. Denton, *American Apartheid: Segregation and the Making of the Underclass* (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1993), p. 64.

³ The index of dissimilarity measures the degree to which racial groups are evenly spread among neighborhoods in a metro area or city, with respect to the racial composition of the city or region as a whole. Thus, as Massey and Denton note: "The index of dissimilarity gives the percentage of blacks who would have to move to achieve an 'even' residential pattern – one where every neighborhood replicates the racial composition of the city." (p. 20).

⁴ Massey and Denton, *American Apartheid*, p. 64.

⁵ *Ibid.* p. 76.

⁶ Douglas S. Massey, "Residential Segregation and Neighborhood Conditions in U.S. Metropolitan Areas," in Neil J. Smelser, William Julius Wilson, and Faith Mitchell (eds), *American Becoming: Racial Trends and Their Consequences, Volume 1* (Washington, D.C.: National Research Council, 2001), p. 409.

⁷ These 39 "high segregation" metros are the ones with dissimilarity index scores over 60.

⁸ 1980 data provided in John Iceland, Daniel H. Weinberg, and Erika Steinmetz, *Racial and Ethnic Residential Segregation in the United States: 1980-2000* (U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Special Reports, August 2002). 2010 data provided in William Frey, "New Racial Segregation Measures for Large Metropolitan Areas: Analysis of 1990-2010 Decennial Census," University of Michigan Population Studies Center, Institute for Social Research. Accessed at: <http://www.psc.isr.umich.edu/dis/census/segregation2010.html>

In short, even as major metro areas across the U.S. have modestly desegregated since the 1980s, Milwaukee's rate of black-white segregation has barely budged. Not only has Milwaukee persistently ranked among the nation's most racially segregated metropolitan areas since 1970, but in contrast to many of the country's historically most segregated regions, the residential segregation of African Americans has barely diminished in Milwaukee over the past thirty years.

Three studies based on 2010 U.S. census data confirm Milwaukee's status as America's most racially segregated metropolitan area. William Frey of the University of Michigan and the Brookings Institution examined segregation rates in the nation's 102 largest metropolitan areas, using the index of dissimilarity: Milwaukee posted the *highest* rate of black-white segregation in the country (the region ranked 2nd in 2000 and 5th in 1990). Frey also examined "Hispanic-white" segregation" and found that Milwaukee ranked 9th highest in the rate of Hispanic-white segregation in 2010 (compared to 11th highest in 2000 and 14th highest in 1990). Although the segregation of Milwaukee's Hispanic population is less intense than for blacks – the Hispanic-white segregation rate in 2010 (57.0) was substantially lower than the black-white rate (81.5)-- Hispanic segregation in Milwaukee nevertheless ranks among the worst in the nation.⁹

A second study, produced by Brown University segregation expert John Logan, replicated Frey's dissimilarity measures as well as calculated another measure of segregation – the level of racial isolation (i.e. the percentage minority in the neighborhood where the average minority group member lives). Milwaukee's black-white isolation index of 65.5 placed it as the 5th most segregated among the 50 metropolitan areas in the U.S. with the largest black populations in 2010; by contrast, Milwaukee ranked 9th in 2000 and 8th in 1990.

Finally, a study by Edward Glaeser of Harvard and Jacob Vigdor of Duke, using a slightly different methodology that measured "black-nonblack" segregation (instead of the more conventional "black-white" or "Hispanic-white") found, like Frey and Logan, that using the dissimilarity index, Milwaukee was the most segregated metropolitan area in the country in 2010. Using their version of the isolation index, they ranked Milwaukee as the most segregated by that indicator as well. The findings are especially striking since the Glaeser-Vigdor study received substantial national publicity for trumpeting a "pervasive decline" in residential segregation in the U.S. between 1970 and 2010. Among the nation's most segregated metropolitan

⁹ This finding is consistent with data on linguistic isolation in Milwaukee. A linguistically isolated household is one in which no member 14 years old and over speaks English well. Linguistic isolation presents serious barriers to socioeconomic advancement in employment, education, and other areas. Milwaukee ranked 60th of the 100 largest metro areas in a 2000 study of linguistic isolation, with a rate of 2.3% (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census, Summary File 4; data accessed at Harvard School of Public Health, <http://diversitydata-archive.org>). However, in a broad swath of 46 census block groups on Milwaukee's heavily Hispanic near south side, between 16-40% of the households were linguistically isolated in 2000. See Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council, *City of Milwaukee Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing* (August 2005), pp. 25-26.

areas, however, Milwaukee's desegregation was the smallest and slowest – a tenacious holdout to the general pattern.¹⁰

The residential hypersegregation of metropolitan Milwaukee also underpins segregation in institutions, such as public schools. Data from the National Center on Education Statistics for 2009-2010 shows that for public primary school students, Milwaukee has the 2nd most segregated schools among the nation's 100 largest metropolitan areas, measured by the black-white dissimilarity index. Milwaukee ranked 8th most segregated among the 100 in Hispanic-white school segregation.¹¹ As eminent education researcher Gary Orfield of UCLA has noted, the state of Wisconsin as a whole has witnessed a dramatic increase in "resegregated" schools "due largely to the spread of segregation in the Milwaukee area which has long had one of the nation's most intensely segregated housing markets."¹² In 2006, over 72 percent of black students in Wisconsin attended schools in which over 50% of the students were minorities (Wisconsin ranked as the 16th most segregated state by this measure); over 41 percent of Wisconsin black students attended schools that were over 90% minority in composition (Wisconsin ranked as the 11th most segregated state by this measure).¹³

At the heart of metropolitan Milwaukee's hypersegregation is this fact: Milwaukee has the lowest rate of black suburbanization of any large metropolitan area in the country.¹⁴ As Table 1 shows, among the nation's most segregated metropolises in 2010 --the seven metros posting the highest dissimilarity scores in the Frey study—Milwaukee had, by far, the lowest percentage of blacks and Hispanics living in the region's suburbs. Only 8.8 percent of metro Milwaukee's blacks lived in the region's suburbs in 2010. By contrast, in metro areas such as Chicago, Cleveland, and Detroit, with overall levels of segregation comparable to Milwaukee's as measured by the dissimilarity index, black suburbanization rates range between 40 and 50 percent. The racial "suburbanization gap" in Milwaukee – the difference in the percentages of blacks and whites living in the suburbs—is far greater, at over 70 percentage points, than any other metropolis in the country,

¹⁰ Edward Glaeser and Jacob Vigdor, *The End of the Segregated Century: Racial Separation in America's Neighborhoods, 1890-2010*, Manhattan Institute for Policy Research, Civic Report, January 2012. Critics have pointed out that the Glaeser-Vigdor methodology of measuring "black-nonblack" segregation instead of the more traditional "black-white" segregation overstates the degree of desegregation that has occurred in cities. But given that their methodology exaggerates the extent of desegregation in cities, it is remarkable how persistently segregated Milwaukee has remained, even in their analysis.

¹¹ Data accessed at Harvard School of Public Health, <http://diversitydata-archive.org>. Table: Segregation of Public Primary School Students, Dissimilarity by Race/Ethnicity, 2009-2010

¹² Gary Orfield and Chungmei Lee, *Historic Reversals, Accelerating Resegregation, and the Need for New Integration Strategies*, The Civil Rights Project, UCLA, August 2007, p. 28.

¹³ *Ibid.* p. 29.

¹⁴ Marc V. Levine, *Race and Male Employment in the Wake of the Great Recession: Black Male Employment Rates in Milwaukee and the Nation's Largest Metro Areas* (UW-Milwaukee Center for Economic Development, January 2012), p. 34.

including, as Table 1 shows, even the nation’s most segregated metropolitan areas. The Hispanic level of suburbanization in Milwaukee, though much higher than the black rate, still lags significantly behind other highly segregated metropolises. In short, to a greater extent than any large region in the country, Milwaukee’s minorities are concentrated in the urban core, in neighborhoods, as I will examine shortly, marked by concentrated poverty, joblessness, and other measures of socioeconomic distress.

Table 1:

Suburbanization, Race, and Ethnicity

Percentage of metro area population living in suburbs, by race and ethnicity
Nation’s Seven Most Segregated Metro Areas

Metro Area	Black	White Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	Black-White Gap	Hispanic-White Gap
Milwaukee	8.8	79.5	30.2	70.7	49.3
Buffalo	29.4	86.4	40.7	57.0	45.7
New York	39.2	70.3	46.0	31.1	24.3
Detroit	41.0	97.3	71.0	56.3	26.3
Chicago	46.7	83.4	60.2	36.7	23.2
Cleveland	50.2	90.8	59.7	40.6	31.1
St. Louis	70.1	93.5	84.6	23.4	8.9

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Summary File 2, 2010

Several factors contribute to Milwaukee’s exceptionally low rate of black suburbanization. Two deserve particular mention. First, the private housing industry, especially the mortgage lending market, “has played a pivotal role in determining residential patterns” in metro Milwaukee.¹⁵ In 1988, a highly publicized, Pulitzer prize winning series in *The Atlanta Journal Constitution* revealed that Milwaukee had the biggest gap in mortgage denial rates between whites and nonwhites in the country.¹⁶ Subsequent government reports and academic studies confirmed that these racial disparities persisted into the 2000s; a 2008 study, for example, found that metropolitan Milwaukee still had the greatest racial disparity in home loan denial rates of the 50 largest metropolitan areas in the United States.¹⁷ Moreover, the data paradoxically showed that the racial denial rates disparity for

¹⁵ Gregory D. Squires, *Closing the Racial Gap? Mortgage Lending and Segregation in Milwaukee* (Milwaukee: Institute for Wisconsin’s Future, July 28, 1996), p. 2.

¹⁶ Bill Dedman, “The Color of Money,” *The Atlanta Journal Constitution*, May 14, 1988.

¹⁷ *City of Milwaukee 2008 Annual Review of Lending Practices of Financial Institutions* (June 2008), p. 19.

residential loans generally *increased* as incomes rose. “In the Milwaukee Metro Area, the racial denial disparity between non-white and white applicants rises from 1.6 for applicants with incomes under 50% of metro area median income to 2.7 for applicants with incomes over 120% of metro area median income.”¹⁸ What’s more, relatively affluent non-whites (income more than 120% of metro area median) incurred *50% higher* loan denial rates than did relatively lower-income whites (income between 50-79% of metro median), and about the same denial rate as very low income whites (income less than 50% of metro area median).¹⁹ Unsurprisingly, therefore, the overwhelming majority of home purchase loans made in the Milwaukee suburbs in the 1990s (over 98%) were extended to white, non-Hispanic applicants—a pattern that insured the reproduction of residential segregation in metro Milwaukee.²⁰

Second, the political climate of Milwaukee’s suburbs has also played a role in maintaining this entrenched pattern of racial segregation. The historical legacy of housing discrimination and resistance to desegregation in Milwaukee and its environs has been well established in the literature.²¹ A vivid and more recent example of this climate came in May 2010 when, after years of pressure from fair housing groups, the City of New Berlin (in suburban Waukesha County) narrowly approved an affordable housing project for the community. Initially supported by the mayor, the New Berlin plan nevertheless generated intense and racially tinged community opposition. As one lawsuit put it: “Mayor Chiovatero was fully aware that opposition from members of the public to MSP’s development had a very substantial racial component...He was berated and vilified both publicly and privately for having supported the development. The racial underpinnings of much of the opposition was indicated by, among other things, a sign left facing his home, calling the mayor a ‘nigger lover.’ Opponents of the development, knowing that Mayor Chiovatero had been adopted as a child, even took the step of sending someone to check public records to see if he had any ‘African-American blood.’”²²

In June 2011 the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) sued New Berlin for violations of the federal Fair Housing Act, arguing that the suburban community killed the affordable housing project “because of race and because of community opposition

¹⁸ Ibid. p. 12. In this regard, Milwaukee varies considerably from the national norm: “Nationally, the loan disparity rate changes little from lowest to highest income applicants.”

¹⁹ Ibid.

²⁰ Squires, *Closing the Racial Gap*, p. 6.

²¹ See, for example, Patrick D. Jones, *The Selma of the North: Civil Rights Insurgency in Milwaukee* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2009); James W. Loewen, *Sundown Towns: A Hidden Dimension of American Racism* (New York: Touchstone Books, 2005); Frank Aukofer, *City with a Chance* (Milwaukee: Bruce, 1968); and Henry J. Schmandt, John C. Goldbach, and Donald B. Vogel, *Milwaukee: A Contemporary Urban Profile* (New York: Praeger, 1971).

²² United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, *MPS Real Estate, Inc and Deer Creek Homes, Plaintiffs, v. City of New Berlin and Jack F. Chiovatero, Defendants*, cited in Lisa Buchmeier, “Racism’s Ugly Place in Wisconsin,” *Courthouse News Service*, March 23, 2011. Accessed at: <http://www.courthousenews.com/2011/03/23/35160.htm>

that city officials understood to be based on the race and on racial stereotypes of the prospective tenants of affordable housing.”²³ The DOJ suit described the political climate in New Berlin this way:

Some of the opposition was based in part on fear that prospective tenants would be African American or minority. The Mayor, Aldermen, Plan Commissioners and staff at DCD were aware that community opposition was based in part on race. The communications they received over several weeks contained express and implied racial terms that were derogatory and based on stereotypes of African American residents. These communications references “niggers,” “white flight,” “crime,” “drugs,” “gangs,” “families with 10 or 15 kids,” of “slums,” of not wanting New Berlin to turn into “Milwaukee,” of moving to New Berlin “to get away from the poor people...”²⁴

Consequently, Mayor Chiovatero withdrew his support for the project, stating: “I am a prisoner in my own home...Our City is filled with prejudice and bigoted people who with very few facts are marking this project into something evil and degrading...New Berlin is not ready, nor may never be, for a project like this.”²⁵

The DOJ and New Berlin settled the case in April 2012, clearing the way for the affordable housing project, as well as requiring “that the city take affirmative steps to provide for future affordable housing, communicate its commitment to fair housing and establish a mechanism to ensure open and fair housing in New Berlin.”²⁶ But the New Berlin episode provided a vivid illustration of the social and political forces maintaining the hypersegregation of metro Milwaukee’s suburbs.²⁷

Poverty, Income, and Education

Metropolitan Milwaukee is marked by deep racial and ethnic disparities in poverty and income. As Table 2 shows, median black household income in Milwaukee is less than half that of median white household income; and median

²³ United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, *United States of America, Plaintiff v. City of New Berlin, Defendant* (June 22, 2011), p. 11.

²⁴ *Ibid.* p. 6.

²⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁶ United States Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs, “Justice Department Settles Lawsuit Against City of New Berlin, Wisconsin, for Blocking Affordable Housing.” April 11, 2012. Accessed at: <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/2012/April/12-crt-459.html>

²⁷ As an aftermath to the project, a recall campaign was launched against Chiovatero and a New Berlin alderman, targeted because “they aren’t working for the will of the people” – even though, by this time, Chiovatero was firmly opposed to the affordable housing project. The recall eventually fizzled. See Mike Johnson, “Citizens group to target New Berlin mayor, alderman for recall,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, June 24, 2010.

Hispanic income is just 60 percent that of white household income. The black percentage of white household income (46%) places Milwaukee 39th among the nation's 40 largest metropolitan areas.²⁸ The Hispanic percentage of white household income (61%) ranks Milwaukee 26th among the nation's 40 largest metropolitan areas. Milwaukee is clearly a region with among the deepest levels of racial and ethnic income inequality in the country.

Table 2:

**Racial and Ethnicity Disparities in Income in Metropolitan Milwaukee:
2008-2010**

Median household income, by race and ethnicity, 2008-2010

Group	Median HH Income	As % of White HH income
White Non-Hispanic	\$60,302	----
Black	\$27,802	46.04%
Hispanic	\$36,623	60.73%

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, *American Community Survey*, 2008-2010 3-year data

Metro Milwaukee is also characterized by exceptionally high rates of minority group poverty, and huge disparities in white-minority poverty rates, a phenomenon intimately linked to the entrenched hypersegregation noted earlier. According to the 2008-10 *American Community Survey*, Milwaukee reported a black poverty rate of 36.5 percent: this is the highest black rate of poverty among the nation's 40 largest metropolitan areas. The Hispanic poverty rate was 25.5 percent: this placed Milwaukee 15th highest among the nation's 40 largest metropolitan areas.

The white (non-Hispanic) poverty rate in metro Milwaukee in 2008-10 was only 7.1 percent. Thus, the black poverty rate in Milwaukee was over 5 times the white rate, the second worst disparity of among the 40 largest metro areas in the nation. The ratio of Hispanic poverty to white poverty was 3.6 in Milwaukee; this was the ninth worst disparity among the large metropolitan areas.

Not only do metro Milwaukee's minority communities report high levels of poverty and wide racial disparities in poverty rates, but as a consequence of hypersegregation here, a high proportion of Milwaukee's minorities live in conditions of *concentrated* or *extreme* poverty – defined by urban sociologists as

²⁸ U.S Bureau of the Census, *American Community Survey*, 2008-2010 3-year estimates.

neighborhoods in which the poverty rate is over 40 percent. Scholars such as William Julius Wilson, Douglas Massey, Robert Sampson, and Paul Jargowsky have all noted the especially deleterious socioeconomic, cultural, and political consequences of extreme, concentrated poverty.²⁹ As a recent Brookings Institution study put it: “Why does concentrated poverty matter? Being poor in a very poor neighborhood subjects residents to costs and limitations above and beyond the burdens of individual poverty.”³⁰ As Jargowsky puts it: “In these poorest neighborhoods the poverty rate exceeds 40 percent, and opportunities for successful social and economic contacts are few. The problem is exacerbated as families and businesses with better prospects relocate out of impoverished inner-city neighborhoods, leaving many cities with abandoned and decaying cores.”³¹

Jargowsky’s research found that by 1990 Milwaukee led the nation in the percentage of the region’s black population living in extreme poverty neighborhoods: 47.0 percent. 64.3 percent of poor blacks lived in extreme poverty neighborhoods.³² Those rates have come down over the past twenty years: in 2010, 33 percent of all Milwaukee blacks lived in extreme poverty neighborhoods, while 45 percent of poor blacks lived in such neighborhoods. But the rates remain high, among the highest in the country, and, in fact, increased during the economically difficult decade of 2000-2010.³³

Moreover, the disparity between whites and blacks in metro Milwaukee living in extreme poverty is enormous. While 32.9 percent of Milwaukee blacks live in concentrated poverty neighborhoods, only 1.6 percent of whites do – a staggering 20 to 1 ratio. 13.7 percent of Milwaukee Hispanics live in extreme poverty neighborhoods, over eight times the white rate.

Put another way, although blacks and Hispanics make up 23 percent of metro Milwaukee’s population, they comprise 86.1 percent of all Milwaukeeans living in extreme poverty neighborhoods.

²⁹ See William Julius Wilson, *The Truly Disadvantaged: The Inner City, the Underclass, and Public Policy* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1990); Massey and Denton, *American Apartheid*; Robert Sampson, *The Great American City: Chicago and the Enduring Neighborhood Effect* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2012); Paul A. Jargowsky, *Poverty and Place: Ghettos, Barrios, and the American City* (New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1997); and Jargowsky, *Stunning Progress, Hidden Problems: The Dramatic Decline of Concentrated Poverty in the 1990s*, The Brookings Institution, May 2003.

³⁰ Elizabeth Kneebone, Carey Nadeau, and Alan Berube, *The Re-Emergence of Concentrated Poverty: Metropolitan Trends in the 2000s*, The Brookings Institution, November 2011, p. 2.

³¹ Jargowsky, *Poverty and Place*, p. 1

³² Jargowsky, *Poverty and Place*, pp. 49-57.

³³ Data from *American Community Survey* 5-year data (2006-10). If we look at the percentage of minorities living in very high poverty census tracts (30% poverty or higher in the tract), over half of metro Milwaukee’s black population (53.2%) and over one-third of the Hispanic population (36.0%) lived in neighborhoods of extreme poverty and those just under the threshold for “extreme” poverty. By contrast, only 4.2% of Milwaukee’s white population lived in census tracts in which the poverty rate was 30% or higher.

Concentrated poverty, hypersegregation, and racial disparities in poverty rates have also combined to produce conditions of intense poverty for minorities in public schools in metro Milwaukee. As UWM researchers have documented, “what makes Milwaukee unique in the state of Wisconsin...is its *concentration of poverty* in the schools. Where suburban schools –even those with open enrollment and Chapter 220 transfer students—typically have less than 25% of their students from impoverished families...the city most typically has schools where a substantial majority of students are impoverished (and have been so for long periods of time).” 92 percent of MPS students attend a school where over half the children are poor, compared to only 4 percent of children in suburban schools in the four-county Milwaukee metro area enrolled in such high poverty schools.³⁴

Thus, in 2009-2010, the average black primary school student in metro Milwaukee attended a school in which 78.1 percent of the students were poor, the 10th highest poverty rate for black students among the nation’s 100 largest metropolitan areas. The average Hispanic student attended a school in which 70.5 percent of the students were poor, the 29th highest rate among the 100 metros. By contrast, the average white primary school student in metro Milwaukee attended a school in which 24.2 percent of the students were poor – this is the 9th *lowest* rate of the 100 largest metropolitan areas in the country. Consequently, the minority-white disparity in school poverty in Milwaukee ranks among the widest in the country.³⁵

In light of these racial and ethnic disparities in overall poverty rates and income inequality as well as school poverty, it is small wonder that metro Milwaukee’s minority-white school achievement gaps are among the largest in the nation. A deep vein of academic research has documented the primordial connection between poverty and educational outcomes.³⁶ Thus, a recent Brookings Institution study documents that Milwaukee registered in 2010 the second widest black-white school test score gap among the nation’s 100 largest metropolitan areas (only Buffalo was worse). The Latino-white test score gap in Milwaukee ranked 14th among the 100 metro areas.³⁷ In an average high-performing school in metro Milwaukee –those in the top quintile of standardized test scores—the student body was only 5 percent black and 3 percent Latino. In an average “bottom quintile” school, the student body was 76 percent black and 15 percent Latino – a percentage four times greater than

³⁴ UW-Milwaukee Employment and Training Institute, “Children Most Impacted by the Economic Recession,” 2009 working paper, p. 6.

³⁵ Data accessed at Harvard School of Public Health, <http://diversitydata-archive.org>). Table: Poverty rate in the primary school attended by the average student, by race and ethnicity, 2009-2010.

³⁶ See, for example, Helen F. Ladd, “Education and Poverty: Confronting the Evidence,” Sanford School of Public Policy, Duke University, Working Papers Series, SAN11-01, November 4, 2011.

³⁷ Jonathan Rothwell, *Housing Costs, Zoning, and Access to High-Scoring Schools*, Brookings Institution, April 2012.

the minority share of metro Milwaukee's population.³⁸ In short, hypersegregation and concentrated neighborhood poverty in Milwaukee have combined to produce segregated schools marked by extreme poverty and wide racial disparities in educational achievement.

Metro Milwaukee is also marked by massive racial and ethnolinguistic disparities in educational attainment. Almost 44 percent of non-Hispanic whites over the age of 25 in the region held an associate's or college degree in 2010; by contrast, only 19.4 percent of blacks and 16.2 percent of Hispanics held such post-secondary degrees.³⁹

Employment Disparities

A series of studies over the past decade have documented the magnitude of joblessness among Milwaukee's minorities, especially for African American males, as well as racial disparities in employment that have grown wider than in any metropolis in the nation.⁴⁰

No metro area has witnessed more precipitous erosion in the labor market for black males over the past 40 years than has Milwaukee. Once a region posting black male employment rates above the national average, by the turn of the 20th century Milwaukee's black male employment rate had plummeted to among the lowest in the country. According to 2010 census data, only 44.7 percent of metro Milwaukee's working-age black males (those between the ages of 16-64) were employed in 2010, the lowest rate ever recorded for black males in the region. Only two of 40 large benchmark metropolitan areas analyzed – Buffalo and Detroit—reported lower black male employment rates in 2010 than did Milwaukee. Moreover, with a white male employment rate of 77.4 percent in 2010, Milwaukee also registered, by several percentage points, the largest racial disparity in employment rates for males (32.7 percentage points) of any metropolitan area in the country.

Table 3 shows vividly the black-white male employment disparity in Milwaukee. This table shows the percentage of prime working age men (ages 25-54) who were employed in 2010. It reveals that: 1) only 52.7 percent of prime working age black

³⁸ Ibid.

³⁹ *American Community Survey 2008-2010 3-Year Estimates*, Table B 15002I

⁴⁰ See Marc V. Levine, *Stealth Depression: Joblessness in the City of Milwaukee Since 1990* (UWM Center for Economic Development, August 2003); Marc V. Levine, *After the Boom: Joblessness in Milwaukee Since 2000* (UWM Center for Economic Development, 2004); Marc V. Levine, *The Crisis of Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee: Trends, Explanations, and Policy Options* (UWM Center for Economic Development, March 2007); Marc V. Levine, *The Crisis of Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee: 2006* (UWM Center for Economic Development, October 2007); Marc V. Levine, *The Crisis Continues: Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee, 2007* (UWM Center for Economic Development, October 2008); *Race and Male Joblessness in Milwaukee, 2008* (UWM Center for Economic Development, October 2009); and *The Crisis Deepens: Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee, 2009* (UWM Center for Economic Development, October 2010). All studies are available at the UWMCED web site: <http://www4.uwm.edu/ced/>

**Table 3:
Racial Disparities in Male Employment Rates in Selected Metropolitan Areas
Males in Prime Working Years, By Race: 2010**

Percentage of working-age (25-54) males employed

METRO AREA	BLACK	WHITE	PCT. GAP IN BLACK/ WHITE RATES
Milwaukee	52.7	85.1	32.4
Buffalo	54.7	80.9	26.2
Chicago	58.3	84.5	26.2
Cleveland	58.1	84.0	25.9
Hartford	59.1	84.9	25.9
Detroit	53.6	77.8	24.2
Richmond	63.7	87.5	23.8
Indianapolis	60.5	83.2	22.7
Philadelphia	61.7	84.1	22.4
Omaha	68.0	89.0	21.0
Kansas City	65.2	85.9	20.7
Pittsburgh	61.4	81.5	20.1
Memphis	63.4	83.4	20.0
St. Louis	62.0	82.0	20.0
Cincinnati	62.3	81.9	19.6
Newark	64.7	83.9	19.2
Denver	66.9	85.9	19.0
Miami	63.3	81.9	18.6
Phoenix	62.9	81.4	18.5
Baltimore	68.5	86.6	18.1
Houston	68.5	86.4	17.9
New Orleans	66.5	84.3	17.8
San Francisco	64.4	81.6	17.2
Columbus	66.6	82.8	16.8
New York	68.4	84.7	16.3
Boston	68.9	85.0	16.1
Los Angeles	65.6	81.2	15.6
Jacksonville	66.5	82.0	15.5
Charlotte	66.8	82.3	15.5
Minneapolis	71.9	87.1	15.2
Atlanta	70.0	85.1	15.1
Dallas	71.9	86.3	14.4
Nashville	67.2	81.5	14.3
Las Vegas	64.7	78.7	14.0
Oakland	66.5	80.3	13.8
Birmingham	66.6	79.6	13.0
Seattle	71.2	82.3	11.1
Washington, D.C.	79.3	90.2	10.9
Portland	72.2	78.5	6.3
San Diego	76.1	79.5	3.4

Source: Levine, *Race and Male Employment in the Wake of the Great Recession*, p. 15

males were employed in Milwaukee in 2010, the lowest employment rate among black males in their prime working years of any metropolitan area in the country; and 2) the black-white disparity in employment rates for prime working age males in Milwaukee is over 32 percentage points, the widest gap in the nation and a disparity that is more than triple the rather modest 10 point racial employment gap in metro Milwaukee in 1970. Perhaps no statistic better epitomizes the severity of Milwaukee's black male employment crisis: by 2010, barely more than half of African American males in their prime working years were employed, compared to 85 percent almost forty years ago.⁴¹

The employment rate for prime working age Hispanic males in Milwaukee in 2010 stood at 72.6 percent – substantially higher than the black rate, but a rate that nevertheless placed Milwaukee only 28th (fourth worst) in Hispanic male employment rates among the 32 large benchmark metropolitan areas for which data were available in 2010. Moreover, the Hispanic-white disparity in male employment rates of 12.5 percentage points in 2010, although considerably smaller than the black-white gap, nevertheless was the third largest of the 32 large metro areas for which data was available.

Several factors explain these patterns in male employment: hypersegregation and differential access to labor market opportunities; the geography of regional economic growth (all job growth occurring in suburbs and exurbs inaccessible from inner city neighborhoods where most Milwaukee minorities live); and racial and ethnic disparities in educational attainment (variations in human capital).⁴²

The legacy of historical labor market discrimination, and the “path dependency” that has flowed from those initial conditions, has also undoubtedly shaped these disparities.⁴³ But persistent patterns of labor market discrimination in Milwaukee also remain part of the equation. For example, in a study of the New York City labor market, using an “experimental audit” methodology, in which testers of different races but with identical qualifications apply for jobs, Princeton sociologist Devah Pager and colleagues found strong bias against black men for service sector jobs. In the New York experiment, black applicants were half as likely as equally qualified whites to receive a callback or a job offer. Moreover, white testers were frequently encouraged to apply for better positions (especially those involving more public contact), while no black testers received such suggestions. On the contrary, black testers were often “channeled down,” offered positions less advanced than the one for which they had applied. Thus, Pager and colleagues conclude that “these results

⁴¹ Levine, *Race and Male Employment in the Wake of the Great Recession*, p. 3, 20. Data drawn from U.S. Bureau of the Census, *American Community Survey*, 2010 one-year data.

⁴² *Ibid.* pp. 32-35.

⁴³ Joe William Trotter, *Black Milwaukee: The Making of an Industrial Proletariat* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1985).

point to the subtle yet systematic forms of discrimination that continue to shape employment opportunities for low-wage workers.”⁴⁴

These findings dovetail with Pager’s similar early 2000s field experiment in Milwaukee, in which she found, for pairs of testers for whom the only meaningful differences were race and a fictional criminal record, that whites without a criminal record had a 34 percent call back rate, compared to 14 percent for blacks without a criminal record (about the same percentages as found in the New York City experiment). Whites *with* a criminal record had a callback rate of 17 percent, three times the callback rate (5 percent) for blacks with criminal records, and, more strikingly, a callback rate *higher than for equivalently qualified black applicants without records*. Pager concluded that “employers, at least in Milwaukee, continue to use race as a major factor in their hiring decisions.”⁴⁵

Minority Business Ownership

Studies have consistently shown that Milwaukee lags far behind other metropolitan areas in the rate of minority business ownership in the region. This is an important factor not only for wealth creation in minority communities, but also business development linkages in minority neighborhoods, and for minority employment (as minority-owned firms employ a disproportionately larger number of minority workers than do other firms).

Milwaukee ranked dead last among the nation’s 50 largest metropolitan areas in the number of black-owned firms per 1,000 black population in 1992; and 48th out of the 50 in 1997.⁴⁶ As for Hispanic-owned firms, Milwaukee ranked last in 1992 and 49th of 50 in 1997.

The most recent available data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census confirms that Milwaukee continues to lag other large metropolitan areas in the rate of minority business ownership. Although the absolute number of minority owned firms in metro Milwaukee tripled between 1992-2007, the *rate* of minority business ownership, controlled for the size of a region’s minority population, remains dismal in Milwaukee. In 2007, among 36 large metropolitan areas for which data were available, Milwaukee ranked *last* in the number of black-owned businesses per 1,000 black residents, and *last* in the number of Hispanic-owned firms per 1,000

⁴⁴ Devah Pager, Bruce Western, and Bart Bonikowski, “Discrimination in a Low-Wage Labor Market: A Field Experiment,” *American Sociological Review* 74:5 (2009): 777-799.

⁴⁵ Devah Pager, “The Mark of a Criminal Record,” *American Journal of Sociology* 108 (2003): 937-975.

⁴⁶ Marc V. Levine, *Minority Business Ownership in Metropolitan Milwaukee in the 1990s: Some Statistical Indicators and Comparisons to the Nation’s Largest Metropolitan Areas* (UW-Milwaukee Center for Economic Development, May 2001).

Hispanic residents in the region.⁴⁷ Clearly, to a degree greater than any metropolitan area in the country, minorities in Milwaukee remain peripheral to the levers of economic control in the region.

Race, Ethnicity, and Mass Incarceration

Since the mid-1970s, for a variety of reasons, the incarceration rate in the United States has nearly quintupled, rising from 110 inmates per 100,000 persons to 507 inmates per 100,000 in 2007 (it has subsequently declined slightly to 497 per 100,000 in 2010).⁴⁸ Incarceration has become so pervasive in the U.S. that it has become a “normal stage in the life course for many disadvantaged young men, with some segments of the population more likely to end up in prison than attend college.” Scholars such as Harvard sociologist Bruce Western have labeled this state of affairs “mass incarceration.”⁴⁹

Mass incarceration in America, as Western and other scholars have documented, has a distinctly racial hue: African-American males, in particular, are disproportionately likely to be (or have been) incarcerated, and in cities such as Baltimore and Chicago, studies have revealed that over 50 percent of young black males, concentrated in inner city neighborhoods, are either in prison or are on parole or probation – “in the system,” as the expression goes.⁵⁰

Wisconsin has been a state strongly exhibiting racial disparities in incarceration rates. The most recent available data (2005) reveal that Wisconsin has the second highest black incarceration rate of any state in the nation, more than double the rate in states such as New York, Ohio, and Illinois, and nearly triple the rate in states such as Maryland or Massachusetts. What’s more, the data show that blacks were incarcerated at 10.6 times the rate of whites in Wisconsin, the fifth largest racial disparity among states.⁵¹

These racial disparities, building on patterns of hypersegregation and extreme poverty noted earlier, show up in Milwaukee in what Harvard sociologist Robert

⁴⁷ Data calculated from U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Survey of Business Owners, 2007: Statistics for all U.S. Firms, by Industry, Gender, Ethnicity, And Race*.

⁴⁸ Bureau of Justice Statistics, “Correctional Population in the United States: 2010,” BJS. Accessed at: www.ojp.usdoj.gov

⁴⁹ Bruce Western, *Punishment and Inequality in America* (New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2006).

⁵⁰ See Eric Lotke and Jason Ziedenberg, *Tipping Point: Maryland's Overuse of Incarceration, and the Impact on Community Safety*, Justice Policy Institute, March 2005; Jamie Peck and Nik Theodore, “Carceral Chicago: Making the Ex-offender Employability Crisis,” *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 32:2 (June 008): 251-281; and Robert J. Sampson and Charles Loeffler, “Punishment’s Place: The Local Concentration of Mass Incarceration,” *Daedalus* (Summer 2010): 20-31.

⁵¹ Marc Mauer and Ryan S. King, *Uneven Justice: State Rates of Incarceration by Race and Ethnicity*, The Sentencing Project, Washington, D.C., July 2007, pp. 8, 11.

Sampson has called “concentrated incarceration.”⁵² Over 40 percent of black males in Milwaukee County between the ages of 25-34 have spent time in the Wisconsin corrections system, compared to only 5 percent of whites and 5 percent of Hispanics. Concentrated incarceration overlaps with concentrated poverty: 67 percent of African Americans and 49 percent of Hispanics released from Wisconsin correctional institutions live in the poorest Milwaukee neighborhoods where, as one report put it, “combinations of race, transportation barriers, and educational levels further limit the labor market for the large number of those released to the poorest neighborhoods.”⁵³ Moreover, as the Devah Pager study noted earlier concluded, black employment prospects in Milwaukee “may be more strongly affected by the impact of a criminal record.”⁵⁴ By contrast, only 16 percent of whites released from the DOC live in these high-poverty neighborhoods.

Summary

As the foregoing analysis makes clear, Wisconsin’s and Milwaukee’s black and Hispanic communities manifest deep and enduring socioeconomic effects of historic discrimination across a wide range of areas. Along a daunting array of dimensions, conveniently summarized in Table 4 below, the state and its largest metropolitan center display overwhelming patterns of racial inequality, racial disparities, and racially-based socioeconomic distress: most segregated metropolitan area in the nation, widest racial income gap, highest black poverty rate, among the highest levels of concentrated poverty in neighborhoods and schools, lowest rate of black male employment, second widest racial gap in school test scores, lowest rate of minority business ownership, second worst racial disparities in incarceration rates. Minority communities in Wisconsin and metro Milwaukee (where 80 percent of the state’s black population lives and 45 percent of the state’s Latino population resides) clearly bear the socioeconomic effects of racial inequities, which hinder their ability to participate in the political process on an equal basis with other members of the electorate.

⁵² Robert J. Sampson, *Great American City*, Kindle edition, e-location 1862.

⁵³ John Pawasarat, “Barriers to Employment: Prison Time,” UW-Milwaukee Employment and Training Institute, 2007, pp. 9-10, 14.

⁵⁴ Devah Pager, “The Mark of a Criminal Record.”

**Table 4:
Summary of Racial and Ethnic Socioeconomic Disparities**

Indicator/Issue	Metro Milwaukee/Wisconsin Results
Black-White Residential Segregation	Worst in nation of 102 largest metro areas (2010)
Hispanic-White Residential Segregation	9 th worst in nation (2010)
Black-White School Attendance Segregation	2 nd worst in nation of 100 largest metros (2009-2010)
Hispanic-White School Segregation	8 th worst in nation (2009-2010)
Black-White Income Inequality	Black HH income 46% of white; lowest ratio in the U.S. (2008-2010) among 40 large benchmark metropolitan areas
Hispanic-White Income Inequality	Hispanic HH income 61% of white; ratio ranks 14 th worst among 40 large metros
Black Poverty	Poverty rate 36.5%, the highest among 40 large benchmark metropolitan areas (2008-10)
Black-White Poverty Disparity	Black rate 5x greater than white – largest disparity among 40 metros
Hispanic Poverty	Rate of 25.5%; 15 th highest among 40 metros
Hispanic-White Poverty Disparity	Hispanic rate 3.6x greater than white – 9 th worst disparity among 40 metros
Black Extreme Poverty	33% of Milwaukee black population lives in extreme poverty census tracts (2006-10)
Black-White Extreme Poverty Disparity	Black rate 20x greater than white
Hispanic Extreme Poverty	13% of Milwaukee Hispanic population lives in extreme poverty census tracts (2006-10)
Poverty Rate of Schools Attended by Average Black Student	78.1% -- the 10 th highest rate for blacks among the 100 largest metros in U.S. (2009-2010)
Poverty Rate of Schools Attended by Average Hispanic Student	70.5%-- the 29 th highest rate for Hispanics among the 100 largest metropolitan areas
Poverty Rate of Schools Attended by Average White Student	24.2%-- the 91 st highest rate for whites among the 100 largest metropolitan areas
Black-white school test score gap	2 nd highest among 100 largest metros
Hispanic-white school test score gap	14 th highest among 100 largest metros
Black male employment rate (ages 25-54)	52% -- the lowest in country among 40 benchmark large metropolitan areas (2010)
Black-white male employment disparity (ages 25-54)	32.4 percentage points – widest racial gap in employment rates among 40 metros
Hispanic male employment rate (ages 25-54)	72.6% - ranked 28 of 32 large metro areas with available data (2010)
Hispanic-white male employment disparity (ages 25-54)	12.5 percentage points – the 3 rd widest gap in employment rates among 32 metros
Black-owned businesses per 1,000 black residents	Worst in nation among 36 benchmark large metropolitan areas (2007)
Hispanic-owned businesses per 1,000 Hispanic residents	Worst in nation among 36 benchmark large metropolitan areas (2007)
Black-white disparity in incarceration rates	Wisconsin ranks 2 nd worst in country

Voter ID, Race and Socioeconomic Status, and Political Participation

There is a vast body of academic research on how socioeconomic status (SES) affects political participation, especially voting behavior. In their classic book, *Voice and Equality: Civic Voluntarism in American Politics*, Verba and colleagues outline the critical roles that resources, political will/engagement, and recruitment play in driving political participation.⁵⁵ Political participation is more difficult for some people than for others, regardless of their interest in politics or whether they are “recruited” by political campaigns. And research suggests that greater access to particular resources, such as higher SES or education, facilitates political participation; on the other hand, those lacking resources will be less likely to participate in politics, including voting in elections.⁵⁶

Thus, there is substantial research showing that those with lower SES are likelier not to vote than more affluent citizens.⁵⁷ Wolfinger and Rosenstone, for example argue that voters who are insecure in their basic needs are less interested in politics; they have more pressing concerns. Consequently, they are less likely to vote than those with higher incomes. In addition, there are numerous studies correlating higher education with higher political participation, and employment with voting behavior: “the expectation is that citizens who are not in the paid labor force are more likely to be non-voters than those who are in the paid workforce.”⁵⁸ These disparities in political participation by SES have profound consequences for democracy: as research by Princeton University political scientist Martin Gilens has revealed, “when Americans with different income levels differ in their policy preferences, actual policy outcomes strongly reflect the preferences of the most affluent but bear virtually no relationship to the preferences of poor or middle-income Americans. The vast discrepancy...in government responsiveness to citizens with different incomes stands in stark contrast to the idea of political equality Americans hold dear.”⁵⁹

⁵⁵ Sidney Verba, Kay Schlozman, and Henry Brady, *Voice and Equality: Civic volunteerism in American Politics* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995, p. 390.

⁵⁶ Sidney Verba and Norman Nie, *Participation in America: Political Democracy and Social Equality* (New York: Harper and Row, 1972); Raymond Wolfinger and Steven J. Rosenstone, *Who Votes?* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1980); and Mark N. Franklin, “Electoral Participation,” in Lawrence Leduc et al. *Comparing Democracies: Elections and Voting in Global Perspective* (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications 1996), pp. 216-235.

⁵⁷ Paul Kleppner, *Who voted? The dynamics of electoral turnout, 18780-1980* (New York: Praeger, 1982); Ruy Teixeira, *The Disappearing American Voter* (Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1992); and Frederick Solt, “Economic Inequality and Democratic Political Engagement,” *American Political Science Review* 92 (March 2008): 145-158.

⁵⁸ Neil Nevitte et al, “Socio-Economic Status and Non-Voting: A Cross-National Comparative Analysis,” Paper presented at World Congress of International Political Science Association, August 2000, p. 10; and Steven Rosenstone, “Economic Adversity and Voter Turnout,” *American Journal of Political Science* 72 (March 1982): 25-46.

⁵⁹ Martin Gilens, “Inequality and Democratic Responsiveness,” *Public Opinion Quarterly* 69:5 (2005): 778.

In addition to a “resources/SES” perspective in explaining voting behavior, a different but complementary angle focuses on the costs and benefits of voting. As Marjorie Randon Hershey of Indiana University puts it: “People are more likely to vote if the benefits they expect to receive from voting (their expected utility) are greater than the costs. A great deal of research shows that voter turnout declines as the costs of voting increase, and that even small increases in cost may make a real difference in turnout rates.”⁶⁰

Thus, the pervasive racial disparities and racially-based socioeconomic distress in Milwaukee and Wisconsin delineated in this report provide compelling evidence of the kinds of resource discrepancies likely to impede full and equal participation in the electoral process. As Hershey notes, “the costs of voting fall more heavily on some subgroups than on others and therefore reduce the voter turnout of those groups disproportionately.”⁶¹ Given that “even small increases in cost may make a real difference in turnout rates,” especially for resource-disadvantaged populations, what is the likelihood that the application of the voter ID law in Wisconsin under Act 23 will deter or prevent black and Hispanic citizens from voting?

There are several reasons to believe that Wisconsin’s voter ID law will present new barriers to political participation that disproportionately and deleteriously affect disadvantaged minority communities. The political science literature on the “costs of voting” reveals that requirements ranging from advance registration to strict voter-ID laws “do reduce voter turnout to some degree and that the impact seems to fall disproportionately on the least educated and the least wealthy.”⁶² Although there is not a vast literature on the impact of voter ID laws on turnout, some evidence suggests that voter ID requirements have depressed turnout. The most extensive study, by Alvarez, Bailey, and Katz (2008), found that stricter rules – the combination of having to present an ID and a signature match, and the photo-ID requirement—did depress the turnout of registered voters relative to the requirement of stating one’s name at the polls.⁶³ Although the Alvarez, Bailey, and Katz study (using individual-level, CPS data) did not find a specific disproportionate racial effect of strict voter ID laws over four election cycles between 2000-2006 (controlling for socioeconomic status), they did find that “voters with lower levels of income of all racial/ethnic groups are less likely to vote the more restrictive the voter identification regime.”⁶⁴ Their controls for SES, though, obscure the potential

⁶⁰ Marjorie Randon Hershey, “What we Know about Voter-ID Laws, Registration, and Turnout,” *PS: Political Science and Politics* (January 2009): 87-91. Hershey essentially articulates here the classic “calculus of voting” concept of Anthony Downs, *An Economic Theory of Democracy* (New York: Harper and Row, 1957).

⁶¹ Hershey, “What we Know about Voter-ID Laws, Registration, and Turnout”: 87.

⁶² *Ibid.* p. 90.

⁶³ R. Michael Alvarez, Delia Bailey, and Jonathan N. Katz, “The Effect of Voter Identification Laws on Turnout,” Social Science Working Paper 1267R, California Institute of Technology, January 2008.

⁶⁴ Alvarez, Bailey, and Katz, “The Effect of Voter Identification Laws on Turnout,” p. 20.

racial consequences of voter ID laws as the “disproportionate effect of stricter voter ID rules on blacks may well reflect the fact that blacks tend to be lower in SES.”⁶⁵

As we have seen, to a degree as extreme as anywhere in the United States, the overlap between minority communities and low SES in Milwaukee and Wisconsin is especially strong, across virtually all indicators. Thus, to the extent that voter ID inhibits the voter turnout of low SES citizens, it is likely to disproportionately affect Milwaukee’s and Wisconsin’s black and Hispanic communities. This likely impact can be discerned from racial and socioeconomic disparities in the degree to which: a) minorities currently hold government identification that would be required for voting under Act 23; and b) minorities have access to the documentation that would be necessary to secure such identification.

Studies of Indiana and Georgia, two states with stringent voter ID laws, show that blacks and Latinos were less likely to possess the necessary identification to vote (especially driver’s licenses), even after controlling for income, age, and residential differences.⁶⁶ A widely cited 2005 study by the UW-Milwaukee Employment and Training Institute found that while 83 percent of Wisconsin whites held a valid driver’s license, slightly fewer than half of blacks and Latinos did.⁶⁷ For young men, the disparity was especially striking: while 64 percent of Wisconsin white men ages 18-24 held valid drivers’ licenses, only 22 percent of young black males and 43 percent of young Hispanic males had a valid license.⁶⁸

A 2012 survey of eligible voters in Milwaukee similarly found that 14.9 percent of Latino eligible voters lacked an accepted form of photo ID (mandated by Act 23), 13.2 percent of African American eligible voters lacked such an ID, compared to only 7.3 percent of white eligible voters. Put another way, black and Latinos are twice as likely to lack accepted identification as are whites. An estimated 28,000 black and Latino citizens, “who are otherwise eligible to vote, will not have access to the ballot

⁶⁵ Hershey, “What we Know about Voter-ID Laws, Registration, and Turnout”: 90. Another study, less rigorous than Alvarez, Bailey, and Katz and examining only the 2004 presidential election, found that identification laws reduced the probability of voting by about 10 percent for Hispanics, 6 percent for African Americans, and 2 percent for white voters. Timothy Vercellotti and David Anderson, “Protecting the franchise, or restricting it? The effects of voter identification requirements on turnout,” Paper presented at meetings of American Political Science Association, September 3, 2006, p. 13.

⁶⁶ Matt A. Barreto, Stephen A. Nuno, and Gabriel R. Sanchez, “The Disproportionate Impact of Voter-ID requirements on the Electorate – New Evidence from Indiana,” *PS: Political Science and Politics* (January 2009) 42: 117-120; and M.V. Hood III and Charles S. Bullock, “Worth a Thousand Words? An Analysis of Georgia’s Voter Identification Statute,” *American Politics Research* (2008) 36: 555-579.

⁶⁷ John Pawasarat, “The Driver License Status of the Voting Age Population in Wisconsin,” UW-Milwaukee Employment and Training Institute, June 2005, p. 4

⁶⁸ *Ibid.* p. 5.

box because they do not possess an accepted photo ID as defined by current Wisconsin law.”⁶⁹

Moreover, the Barreto and Sanchez survey reveals that not only are blacks and Latinos statistically less likely than whites to possess the photo ID required for voting by Act 23, but they also are “less likely to possess all three of the necessary underlying documents [proof of citizenship, identity, and residency] to acquire such ID. Essentially, African America and Latino eligible voters are doubly impacted by the voter ID law, not only in terms of current possession of ID, but also in the means to obtain an accepted photo ID.” As just one example of the impediment to voting imposed by Act 23 on low-income, minority communities, they point out the high percentage of blacks and Latinos born outside of the state of Wisconsin, which would require, for instance, navigating the bureaucracy of a state or jurisdiction outside Wisconsin, simply to obtain documentation necessary to *then* obtain a voter ID.⁷⁰ It is not too much of an inferential leap to predict, given the “cost of voting” model noted earlier, that a non-trivial number of otherwise eligible, “resource-disadvantaged” minority voters will be discouraged by these additional steps to exercising their franchise.

In sum, metro Milwaukee and the state of Wisconsin are rife, in the extreme, with the racially based socioeconomic distress and daunting litany of racial and ethnic disparities targeted in Senate Factor Five of the VRA. These racial socioeconomic factors represent resource deficiencies that political scientists agree impede full participation of low-income minorities in the electoral process. Moreover, disadvantaged groups are particularly likely to have their participation depressed when the “costs” of voting are high, or are increased. Voter ID laws, such as Act 23, impose such costs. Act 23 makes requirements (photo ID) that a disproportionate number of otherwise eligible minority voters cannot meet. Moreover, given the challenges that resource-disadvantaged minorities without photo IDs face in securing the documentation necessary to obtain an ID, Act 23 imposes a double roadblock on voting for substantial numbers of eligible voters from communities that have borne the historical and contemporary legacy of discrimination and entrenched inequality.

As Alexander Keyssar, a Harvard University expert on the history of voting rights, has written:

The targets of exclusionary laws have tended to be similar for more than two centuries: the poor, immigrants, African-Americans, people perceived to be something other than “mainstream” Americans.

⁶⁹ Matt A. Barreto and Gabriel Sanchez, “Rates of Possession of Accepted Photo Identification, Among Different Subgroups in the Eligible Voter Population, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, Expert Report Submitted on Behalf of Plaintiffs in *Frank v. Walker*, Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-01128(LA), p. 19.

⁷⁰ *Ibid.* p. 23-24.

The current wave of procedural restrictions on voting, including strict photo ID requirements, ought to be understood as the latest chapter in a not always uplifting story: Americans of both parties have sometimes rejected democratic values or preferred partisan advantage to fair democratic processes. Acknowledging the realities of our history should lead us all to be profoundly skeptical of laws that burden, or impede, the exercise of what Lyndon B. Johnson called “the basic right, without which all others are meaningless.”⁷¹

⁷¹ Alexander Keyssar, “The Strange Career of Voter Suppression,” *The New York Times*, February 12, 2012.

Section II: Racialized Politics in Milwaukee and Wisconsin

Senate Factor Six of the VRA calls for assessment of “whether political campaigns have been characterized by overt or subtle racial appeals.”

The injection of race into political campaigns may take several forms. There may be explicit racial appeals – the rhetoric of openly segregationist governors in the 1960s, for example, or expressions of overt racism-- or more “oblique” but unquestionably racial appeals such as a white mayoral candidate running against a black and running advertisements that call for voters to support him, “before it’s too late.” Candidates may also more subtly racially “prime” voters, by running political ads feeding racial stereotypes-- the infamous “Willie Horton” ad of the 1988 presidential campaign is a classic example—or by running on “neutral” campaign issues that play into racial stereotypes (i.e. running campaign ads on “wasteful government spending,” showing images of predominantly black inner city neighborhoods). Princeton University political scientist Tali Mendleberg has identified 17 public opinion research studies documenting the racial effects of racial cues in campaigns since the early 1990s.⁷² The use of “coded” language has been an especially effective way of smuggling racial appeals into political campaigns.⁷³ As Princeton’s Martin Gilens has observed: “Political issues such as crime and welfare are now widely viewed as ‘coded’ issues that play upon race (or, more specifically, on white Americans negative views of blacks) without explicitly raising the ‘race card.’ Many believe that by engaging such issues, politicians can exploit whites’ racial animosity and resentment while diminishing the appearance of race baiting.”⁷⁴

In an astonishingly frank interview, national Republican Party operative Lee Atwater bluntly revealed how racialized appeals had morphed from overt to coded in political strategy over the years:

You start out in 1954 by saying “nigger, nigger, nigger.” By 1968, you can’t say “nigger” – that hurts you. Backfires. So you say stuff like forced busing, states’ rights, and all that stuff. You’re getting so abstract now [that] you’re talking about cutting taxes...Obviously sitting around saying “we want to cut this” is much more abstract than even the busing thing *and* a hell of a lot more abstract than “nigger, nigger.”⁷⁵

⁷² Tali Mendleberg, “Racial Priming Revived,” *Perspectives on Politics* 6:1 (March 2008): 112.

⁷³ Thomas Byrne Edsall and Mary D. Edsall, *Chain Reaction: The Impact of Race, Rights, and Taxes on American Politics* (New York: W.W. Norton and Company, 1991), p. 198.

⁷⁴ Martin Gilens, “‘Race Coding’ White Opposition to Welfare,” *The American Political Science Review*, 90:3 (September 1996): 593.

⁷⁵ Alexander P. Lamis, ed., *Southern Politics in the 1990s* (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1999), p. 8.

In 2005, in fact, the then-chair of the Republican National Committee apologized to the NAACP national convention, meeting in Milwaukee, for years of “trying to benefit politically from racial polarization,” in the manner described by Atwater. “I am here today as the Republican chairman to tell you we were wrong.”⁷⁶

Milwaukee and Wisconsin have a long history of such racialized politics. What follows is a brief overview of some examples.

In the 1950s, racial issues figured prominently in political campaigns against Milwaukee Mayor Frank P. Zeidler. The Mayor faced intense opposition to his public housing policies, with “whispering campaigns,” accompanied by overt racial epithets and innuendo, asserting that Zeidler was building such housing to attract “Negroes” to Milwaukee and that there should be “time limits” for living in public housing.⁷⁷ These racial tensions came to head in the 1956 mayoral campaign, when Alderman Milton McGuire ran against Zeidler in a highly racialized campaign. A few days before the 1956 election, a McGuire advertisement ran in the city’s newspapers, widely viewed as raising the racialized specter of Zeidler’s Milwaukee out of control, with teenage “hoodlum mobs...ranging Milwaukee with wolfpack viciousness.”⁷⁸ Although McGuire publicly disavowed the ad, the “whispering campaign” encouraged by his operatives continued, including false allegations that “Zeidler was plastering the South with billboards inviting Negroes to Milwaukee,” and that “Zeidler’s sister is married to a Negro.” McGuire’s aides were reported in the media as “sneering at Zeidler workers for associating with a ‘nigger lover.’”⁷⁹

The racial vitriol in Milwaukee was so intense that national media took notice: *Time* magazine published an article on the 1956 mayoral campaign entitled “The Shame of Milwaukee,” describing the “vicious rumor campaign against Zeidler.”⁸⁰ In the end, Zeidler won re-election, but it was his last political campaign. Years later, in his memoirs, he wrote that he left politics, in part, because “the issue of whether or not I was ‘too friendly’ to minorities was once again going to be raised and I should have liked to have fought it out once more, but enough was enough.”⁸¹

Milwaukee and Wisconsin also received national attention for surprisingly racialized politics in the 1960s and early 1970s, during Alabama Governor George C. Wallace’s campaigns for the presidency. Wallace came to Wisconsin in 1964 to run

⁷⁶ Mike Allen, “RNC Chief to Say it ‘Wrong’ to Exploit Racial Conflict for Votes,” *The Washington Post* July 14, 2005.

⁷⁷ Barbara Miner, *Lessons from the Heartland: A Turbulent Half-Century of Public Education in an Iconic American City* (New York: The New Press, forthcoming 2012), chapter 2.

⁷⁸ *Ibid.*

⁷⁹ *Ibid.* See also Peter Janecky, “Mayors and the Media: A History of Their Relationships in Milwaukee, 1948-1988,” Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of History, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2012, pp.189-195.

⁸⁰ “RACES: The Shame of Milwaukee,” *Time*, April 2, 1956.

⁸¹ Frank P. Zeidler, *A Liberal in City Government* (Milwaukee: 2005), p. 70.

in the state's presidential preference primary, and his campaign was overtly segregationist ("we believe in segregation and say so") as he railed against federal civil rights legislation and state open housing laws.⁸² Racial tensions surrounded Wallace's rallies.⁸³ In the end, Wallace astounded national observers by winning 34 percent of the statewide vote against stand-in candidate Governor John Reynolds, and 38 percent of the vote in Milwaukee County; the Wallace vote was widely seen as demonstrating the power of his racialized appeal in the North and revealing the depths of an incipient Northern "white backlash" to civil rights.⁸⁴ Said Wallace after the primary: "If I ever had to leave Alabama, I'd want to live on the south side of Milwaukee."⁸⁵ Wallace also ran in the 1972 Democratic primary in Wisconsin, finishing second in the state to George McGovern, but running strongly again on Milwaukee's south side. By 1972, however, his campaign was more "coded" than "overt" (following the Atwater approach above): his main issues were taxes, and especially tax resentment at paying for "welfare loafers."⁸⁶

In the aftermath of the racially tense late 1960s in the city,⁸⁷ Milwaukee politics endured a peculiarly racialized mayoral campaign in the mid 1970s, when, amidst a maelstrom of tension surrounding school desegregation, possible busing, and the recent memory of racial disturbances and open housing marches in the city, a Nazi candidate ran for mayor and received 5.5 percent of the vote in the primary. The Nazi (Arthur Jones) ran in 1976 as "the white people's candidate," and in his campaign hit on all the touchstones of the emerging white backlash in the city:

Are you fed up with runaway crime and unsafe streets?—with soaring property taxes—with bigger and bigger welfare handouts?—with forced busing and integrated jungles? With reverse discrimination in jobs and hiring?—with seeing white people pushed around?—with the same old, sell-out politicians?⁸⁸

A post-election survey of voters by UWM researchers found, incredibly, that Jones' views were much more widely held than hypothesized; that a substantial portion of the so-called "extremists" voted for Mayor Henry Maier (favorably impressed with his coded rhetoric on "no coddling criminals"); and that "extremist

⁸² Richard Carlton Haney, "Wallace in Wisconsin: The Presidential Primary of 1964," *Wisconsin Magazine of History* 61:4 (1977-1978): 259.

⁸³ Dan T. Carter, *The Politics of Rage: George Wallace, the Origins of the New Conservatism, and the Transformation of American Politics* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1995), p. 206.

⁸⁴ Michael Rogin, "Wallace and the Middle Class: The White Backlash in Wisconsin," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 30:1 (Spring 1966): 98-108.

⁸⁵ Haney, "Wallace in Wisconsin," p. 275.

⁸⁶ Carter, *The Politics of Rage*, p.427.

⁸⁷ Jones, *Selma of the North*, pp. 1-8.

⁸⁸ James M. Rhodes, Richard D. Bingham, and John P. Frendreis, "The Nazi Candidate for Mayor: National Socialist Opinion in Milwaukee," UW-Milwaukee Urban Research Center, April 1977, p. 11.

beliefs differed from general public opinion in Milwaukee only in shades” – that there was a thin line separating “mainstream” politics and extremism in the city.⁸⁹

In the 1980s, racialized politics persisted in Wisconsin, in less overt form, around the “coded” issue of welfare reform.⁹⁰ In the 1986 gubernatorial campaign, Tommy Thompson made welfare cutting the cornerstone of his campaign. Although widely disparaged in political circles at the time for his lack of inquisitiveness on matters of public policy, Thompson ran on an issue that was gaining more and more currency in Wisconsin political circles: that the state, with its generous benefits, had become a “welfare magnet” and was a destination for “welfare migration.”⁹¹ During the 1986 campaign incumbent Governor Tony Earl critically noted that “Tommy Thompson wants to reform welfare and make Wisconsin like Mississippi.” Thompson’s response: “With you in charge, we’re attracting all the people from Mississippi up here anyway.”⁹²

The Mississippi comment was fraught with racial coding. The vast majority of Milwaukee blacks, from the 1930s through the 1990s, had been born out of state, and the largest single source of black migration to Milwaukee was from Mississippi.⁹³ As noted earlier, anxieties about southern black migration to Milwaukee had been stoked during Frank Zeidler’s mayoralty in the 1950s (public housing generosity rather than welfare generosity was the alleged inducement then, as well as apocryphal “recruiting” billboards). And in the 1960s, a report from Mayor Henry Maier’s administration in Milwaukee asserted that black problems in the city “derived from the large proportion originating from the rural South. The study concluded that these young men, women, and their children did not know how to live successfully in a large northern, urban, industrial city.”⁹⁴ Language about “attracting people from Mississippi,” then, tapped into a rich historical vein of racial

⁸⁹ Rhodes, Bingham, and Frensdreis, “The Nazi Candidate,” pp. 21, 24.

⁹⁰ As Jason DeParle points out, in the aftermath of Milwaukee’s 1967 riots and general concerns about “law and order,” discussion of cutting welfare benefits in Wisconsin in the early 1970s had an explicit “ugly racial subtext.” See DeParle, *American Dream: Three Women, Ten Kids, and the Nation’s Drive to End Welfare* (New York: Viking Books, 2004), p. 61. But the issue did not become a central part of Wisconsin political discourse until the mid-1980s.

⁹¹ DeParle, *American Dream*, p. 62.

⁹² Norman Atkins, “Tommy Thompson: Governor Get-a-Job,” *The New York Times Magazine*, January 15, 1995, p. 23.

⁹³ See Trotter, *Black Milwaukee*, p. 45; and Paul Geib, “From Mississippi to Milwaukee: A Case Study of the Southern Black Migration to Milwaukee, 1940-1970,” *The Journal of Negro History* 83:4 (Autumn 1998): 229-248. Later policy advocacy reports, from the pro-Thompson and pro-welfare reform Wisconsin Policy Research Institute, would provide data showing that almost one-fifth of blacks living in Milwaukee in the mid-1990s had been born in Mississippi, and that Mississippi migrants represented a leading category of “new nonresident” AFDC recipients Wisconsin in the mid-1980s. See Sammis B. White, “Black Public Opinion in Milwaukee,” Wisconsin Policy Research Institute Report, February 1995, p. 6; and James W. Wahner and Jerome R. Stepaniak, “Welfare In-Migration: A Four-County Report,” Wisconsin Policy Research Institute, December 1989, p. 5, 7, 8.

⁹⁴ Geib, “From Mississippi to Milwaukee”: 31.

anxieties in Milwaukee and Wisconsin about the migration of southern blacks to the state and city.

Thompson rode the issue of “welfare migration” to an upset victory and became known nationally as a welfare reformer with his “Wisconsin Works” (W-2) program, riding that reputation to four terms as governor. “*It’s a fantastic campaign issue,*” Thompson told *The New York Times’* Jason DeParle in 1994 (emphasis added).⁹⁵ Other Wisconsin politicians gravitated to the issue as well. “We like that it’s safe here, and we don’t want it to get less safe,” said Joseph A. Strohl, the Democratic majority leader in the Wisconsin Senate in 1989.⁹⁶ The Mayor of Madison warned “against the ‘duplication of ghetto neighborhoods’ with the same problems that families came to Madison to escape.”⁹⁷ By the late 1980s and early 1990s, as Lawrence Mead has pointed out, aggressive campaigning in Milwaukee and statewide in Wisconsin against welfare was widespread, even among some black politicians.⁹⁸

But welfare was a campaign issue heavily freighted with racial subtexts and coded language. Welfare magnet, welfare migration, “attracting all the people from Mississippi” – all had undeniable racial coding attached. As Martin Gilens has written in his definitive review of survey data on attitudes about welfare policy: “Despite welfare’s formally race-neutral structure, beliefs about blacks are central in shaping white Americans’ view of welfare.”⁹⁹ Whites’ welfare views were “strongly influenced by their perceptions of blacks and thus the popular belief that welfare is a ‘race coded’ issue appears warranted. Whatever other reasons whites may have for opposing welfare, their negative views of blacks appear to constitute an important factor in generating that opposition.”¹⁰⁰

Since the 1990s, racial overtones –some overt, some coded-- have figured in several Milwaukee and Wisconsin campaigns. In a high-profile aldermanic race in the city of Milwaukee in 1989, a candidate’s campaign literature accused his opponent of wanting to open largely white areas of the district to minorities, and vowing not “to force people on people, nor...stop people from living where they want to.” The candidate was roundly condemned for “polluting politics with racist

⁹⁵ Ibid. p. 74.

⁹⁶ Dirk Johnson, “Wisconsin Considers 2-Tier Welfare,” *The New York Times*, March 15, 1989.

⁹⁷ Dirk Johnson, “Capital of Wisconsin fears image as ‘welfare magnet,’” *The New York Times*, May 6, 1995.

⁹⁸ Lawrence Mead, *Government Matters: Welfare Reform in Wisconsin* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2004), p. 58.

⁹⁹ Martin Gilens, *Why Americans Hate Welfare: Race, Media, and the Politics of Antipoverty Policy* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999), p. 167.

¹⁰⁰ Gilens, “‘Race Coding’ White Opposition to Welfare”: 597.

fears.”¹⁰¹ A 1996 judicial race in Milwaukee County was also highly racialized, with campaigning marked by overtly racial cues. The white challenger, Robert Crawford, linked incumbent Russell Stamper to a “black militant” (Michael McGee) in Milwaukee who advocated the creation of a separate majority black city and the use of violence to receive more economic and political resources. Crawford also criticized Stamper’s support of electing judges by single-member districts rather than at large as *racial gerrymandering*, a term that has a negative racial connotation.¹⁰²

Increasingly, however, racialized politics in the state and region took a more coded form. An example was the policy issue of whether to build a light rail transit system in the Milwaukee region. Favored by urban leaders such as the mayors of Milwaukee in the 1990s and 2000s, and pursued, in one form or another, in almost every other large metropolitan area in the country, opposition to light rail as a “taxpayer’s nightmare” and “billion dollar boondoggle” became a mantra for politicians in Milwaukee’s overwhelmingly white, hypersegregated suburban and exurban communities (as well as for a candidate for mayor of Milwaukee in 2000).¹⁰³ As pro-light rail Mayor John Norquist put it: “The right-wing talk radio guys would always promote it to their listeners that somebody from the city would come out to the suburbs and steal their TV set...I think the Republicans from the suburbs around Milwaukee found light rail to be an issue that excited their base at election time, so they ended up running against it.”¹⁰⁴ Suburban politicians such as Brookfield’s Scott Jensen, Waukesha’s Dan Finley, and Wauwatosa’s Scott Walker all incorporated opposition to light rail into their campaigns.¹⁰⁵ And George Watts, an Ozaukee County resident, downtown Milwaukee merchant, and candidate for mayor of Milwaukee in 2000, based his campaign largely on opposition to light rail; earlier he had explicitly raised the largely suburban fears that “urban criminals could use the trains to prey on suburbanites” by saying that “light rail brings strangers who are not only a threat to your property, but to your children.”¹⁰⁶ Transit advocates

¹⁰¹ *The Milwaukee Journal*, “Polluting politics with racist fears,” April 19, 1989; *Milwaukee Journal*, “Vogl playing on racial fears, Norquist says,” April 17, 1989; and Ken Wysocky, “Vogl says literature not racist,” *The Milwaukee Journal*, April 18, 1989.

¹⁰² Thomas Longoria, Jr., “The Impact of Office on Cross-Racial Voting: Evidence from the 1996 Milwaukee Mayoral Election,” *Urban Affairs Review* 34:4 (March 1999): 599.

¹⁰³ Jim Rowen, “The railroad not taken: Had talk radio and suburban opposition not sunk it in 1997, we’d be riding sleek transit by now,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, September 14, 2008.

¹⁰⁴ John Greenfield, “Talking transportation with former Milwaukee mayor John Norquist,” GRID Chicago, November 17, 2011. Accessed at: <http://gridchicago.com/2011/an-interview-with-former-milwaukee-mayor-and-current-congress-for-the-new-urbanism-head-john-norquist/>

¹⁰⁵ Steven Walters, “Jensen sets roadblock against light rail,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, November 14, 1996; and Amy Rinard and Larry Sandler, “Thompson pledge derails light rail,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, September 30, 1997

¹⁰⁶ Larry Sandler, “Light rail no free ride for criminals, officials say,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, January 13, 1997.

described these references as “code words for race,” and in Milwaukee several black politicians decried Watt’s remark about “strangers” as a racial reference.¹⁰⁷

Although political rhetoric has become more coded in recent years –veiled references to “Milwaukee” by suburban politicians, especially around taxes and spending can be viewed in this regard¹⁰⁸-- there nevertheless continue to be episodes of overt racialization in Wisconsin and Milwaukee-area politics. In the 2008 race for the Wisconsin Supreme Court, white challenger Michael Gableman ran an overtly racial campaign against the incumbent Louis Butler, an African American, accusing Butler of having worked as a public defender “to put criminals on the street,” including by finding “a loophole” to release a girl’s rapist. As *the New York Times* has noted, “in addition to playing to the fear and racism of some voters, the charge was false.”¹⁰⁹ Gableman ran television ads showing the rapist’s mug shot next to an image of Butler, with the question: “Can Wisconsin families feel safe with Louis Butler on the Supreme Court?” As a columnist for the *Minneapolis Star-Tribune* noted: “In a reprise of the 1988 Willie Horton gambit, one vile ad for Gableman pictured Butler and a photo of a rapist whom Butler had defended while working as a public defender. No mention was made of any constitutional right to an attorney. Instead, the race-baiting ad made a visceral appeal to the worst elements of backwoods justice. Rapist? Black. Supreme Court justice? Black. Get it?”¹¹⁰

In recent years there have also been a few examples in Wisconsin of coded political racialization involving Latinos, especially in Milwaukee’s western exurbs. In 1997, the Waukesha County Board, “shrugging off pleas not to be divisive,” passed, by a vote of 29-2, a resolution supporting adoption of English as the official language of Wisconsin. One supervisor remarked that he gets “upset” when he sees “people who can’t speak English” and have their “hands out for welfare.”¹¹¹ In 2006, Waukesha County District Attorney and candidate for State Attorney General Paul Bucher ran, in part, on a platform of crackdowns on illegal immigration, requesting local immigration enforcement authority and running radio ads claiming that he was “the only candidate for Attorney general with a plan to deal with illegal immigrants who commit crimes.” “If you’re in this country illegally, and you commit

¹⁰⁷ Ibid.

¹⁰⁸ Thomas Edsall, for example, notes how in politics across the country “the meaning of ‘taxes’” has been transformed. “No longer the resource with which to create a beneficent federal government, taxes had come for many voters to signify the forcible transfer of hard-earned money away from those who worked, to those who did not.” *Chain Reaction*, p. 214. The anti-Milwaukee rhetoric of suburban politicians such as Finley, Jensen, Walker, and, more recently, Waukesha mayor Jeff Scrima, can be viewed in this context.

¹⁰⁹ *The New York Times*, “A Wisconsin’s Judge’s Refusal to Recuse,” January 24, 2012.

¹¹⁰ Nick Coleman, “Dead Fish May Be Stinky, but this Judge’s Race Smells Worse,” *Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, April 7, 2008. See also the disciplinary complaint filed against Gableman: *In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Gableman*, 784 N.W. 2d631 (2010), Supreme Court of Wisconsin, June 30, 2010

¹¹¹ Mike Johnson, “Waukesha County Board backs ‘English only’ bill,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, April 26, 1997.

crimes,” said Bucher in the ad, “I say, start packing, you’re going home.”¹¹² Opponents criticized Bucher’s plan as divisive and even racist.

Also in 2006, gubernatorial candidate Mark Green aired a television ad against incumbent Jim Doyle claiming that “as illegal aliens stream in, [Doyle] actually wants to give them welfare and subsidized home loans” and “even wants to give illegal aliens in-state tuition breaks at the [University of Wisconsin], while Wisconsin kids are being turned away.”¹¹³

The issue of voter fraud, as well as the emergence of voter ID as a policy issue in Wisconsin, fit into this historical pattern of racially coded politics. Despite the paucity of evidence of voting fraud in the state¹¹⁴ and the even more scant evidence “of any serious problem with voter impersonation fraud, the only form of illegal voting that a strict ID law could hope to address,”¹¹⁵ voter fraud and voter ID emerged as political issues in the 2000s. As Minnite points out, “the targeting is not overt, the language is rarely explicitly racial,” but the coding of voter fraud allegations is unmistakable: pointing “the finger at those belonging to the same categories of voters accused of fraud in the past – the marginalized and formerly disenfranchised, urban dwellers, immigrants, blacks, and lower status voters.”¹¹⁶

In 2001, the Wisconsin Policy Research Institute began this kind of finger pointing, with an article noting the “unfortunate but true [sic] phenomenon that, historically, most cases of voter irregularities have arisen in regions that strongly support Democratic candidates, usually urban areas.” The article then offered anecdotal evidence of “multitudes of voting irregularities” that allegedly occurred in the razor-thin 2000 presidential election (Gore carried Wisconsin by 5,700 votes), all in Milwaukee, and few confirmed by subsequent investigations. “Ground zero for many of these election day follies,” claimed the article, “was the voting polls at the Highland Park public housing facility on North 17th street” – in the heart of

¹¹² “Prominent Latino Leader Records Illegal Immigration Ad for Bucher,” Paul Bucher for Attorney General. Press Release, August 28, 2006; and Scott Williams, “Communities enter migrant fray,” *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, April 30, 2007.

¹¹³ Cited in *In the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, Bettye Jones et al Plaintiffs v. Judge David G. Deininger et al, Defendants; Declaration of Barry C. Burden in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction*, April 23, 2012, p. 12.

¹¹⁴ Lorraine C. Minnite, “The Politics of Voter Fraud,” Paper for ProjectVote, 2007, pp. 32-35 (accessed at: http://poli375engage.pbworks.com/f/Politics_of_Voter_Fraud_Final.pdf); Minnite, *The Myth of Voter Fraud* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2010); and Steven H. Huefner, Daniel P. Tokaji, Edward B. Foley, with Nathan A. Cemenska, *From Registration to Recounts: The Election Ecosystems of Five Midwestern States* (Columbus: Ohio State University, 2007).

¹¹⁵ Steven F. Huefner, Nathan A. Cemenska, Daniel P. Tokaji, and Edward P. Foley, *From Registration to Recounts Revisited: The Election Ecosystems of Five Midwestern States*, The Ohio State State University Moritz College of Law, 2011, p. 41. As the authors noted, “of the twenty individuals prosecuted for crimes arising out of the November 2008 election, none of them were accused of impersonating another voter.” Study accessed at: <http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/projects/registration-to-recounts/2011edition.pdf>

¹¹⁶ Minnite, “The Politics of Voter Fraud,” p. 18.

Milwaukee's predominantly black inner city, as if the racial reference were not clear.¹¹⁷

Since 2000, stoked by right-wing talk radio and some political candidates, the coded (though sometimes overt) racial subtext of voter fraud and voter ID politics in Wisconsin has only grown. In 2004, top-rated Milwaukee talk radio host Mark Belling incited considerable controversy, using the word "wetback" to describe illegal Mexican immigrants on his show about potential voter fraud in Wisconsin. "You watch the voter turnout on the near south side, heavily Hispanic, and compare it to the voter turnout in any other election, and you're going to see every wetback and every other non-citizen out there voting," said Belling.¹¹⁸ In the aftermath of the 2004 presidential election, spurred by partisan allegations of widespread voter fraud, federal prosecutors indicted 14 individuals in Wisconsin for illegal voting (only five were convicted). All but one of those charged with felonies were African-American, and all were Milwaukee residents. "I definitely cannot say that this was any intent to suppress the black vote," said Nancy Joseph, a federal public defender. "But I can say this: The state of Wisconsin is a predominantly white state. It was curious to me that the alleged voter fraud investigations were done in the city of Milwaukee, with Milwaukee residents."¹¹⁹

In 2008, the "election fraud" issue took on racial tinges with Attorney General J.B. Van Hollen's announcement, a week before the election, that he would dispatch 50 criminal prosecutors and special agents from the Division of Criminal Investigation to State polling places.¹²⁰ At the same time, a brief filed by the Democratic National Committee claims that Van Hollen's former staff, as partisan operatives, recruited additional individuals to intimidate voters.¹²¹ An e-mail from Jonathan Waclawski, Election Day Operations Director for the Republican Party of Wisconsin sought "people who would potentially be willing to volunteer...*at inner city (more intimidating) polling places*. Particularly, I am interested in names of Milwaukee area veterans, policemen, security personnel, firefighters, etc." (emphasis added).¹²² As the ACLU of Wisconsin and the Milwaukee branch of the NAACP

¹¹⁷ Thomas Hruz, "A Vote Against Fraud: Defending Reasonable Measures to Protect the Voting Process in Wisconsin," *The Wisconsin Interest* (spring 2001): 27.

¹¹⁸ Mark A. Baumgardner, "Good morning, Milwaukee," *The Badger Herald*, November 17, 2004. Accessed at: http://badgerherald.com/oped/2004/11/17/good_morning_milwauk.php

¹¹⁹ Daniel Bice, "Biskupic did pursue voter fraud, futilely," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, April 12, 2007.

¹²⁰ "Van Hollen Wants Prosecutors to Monitor State Polls," *Capital Times* (Madison), October 29, 2008.

¹²¹ United States District Court, District of New Jersey: Democratic National Committee, et al Plaintiffs v. Republican National Committee et al, Defendants, Civil Action No: 81-3876 (DRD), *Brief on Behalf of Plaintiff Democratic National Committee in Opposition to Defendant Republican National Committee's Motion to Vacate or Modify The Consent Decree*, January 19, 2009.

¹²² Mary Pat Flaherty, "A Wisconsin Call to GOP Poll Watchers Draws National Notice," *The Washington Post*, October 14, 2008; and Ryan J. Foley, "GOP Searches for Volunteers with 'Backbone':

CURRICULUM VITAE

NAME: Marc V. Levine

ADDRESS: Department of History and Center for Economic Development
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
P.O. Box 413
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

PHONE: (414) 229-6155 FAX: (414) 229-4370

E-MAIL: veblen@uwm.edu

EDUCATION:

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa., Ph.D. History, 1982.

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa., M.A. History, 1973.

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa., B.A. History, *magna cum laude* with departmental honors, 1973.

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS:

Professor, Department of History, Urban Studies Programs, and Center for Economic Development, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2002-present

Professeur invité, Université de Paris Ouest Nanterre, Etudes urbaines, 2012.

Senior Fellow, Center for Economic Development, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2007-present

Professeur invité, Université du Québec, Institut national de la recherche scientifique-Urbanisation, Culture, et Société, 1996-present

Associate Professor, Department of History and Urban Studies Programs, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1990-2002

Assistant Professor, Departments of History and Urban Affairs, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1984-1990.

Center Scientist, Urban Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1985-1991.

Assistant Professor, Departments of History and Political Science, Goucher College, Towson, Md., 1979-1983.

Lecturer, Department of History, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa., 1976-1978.

ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATION:

Director, Consortium for Economic Opportunity, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2000-present

Director, Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2000-present

Director, Center for Economic Development, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1990-2007

Economic Development Coordinator, Community Outreach Partnership Center, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1995-1999.

Director, Urban Studies Programs, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1989-1995.

Coordinator, M.S. Program in Urban Studies, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1987-1995

Chair, Department of Urban Affairs, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 1987-1989.

Assistant Dean for Strategic Planning, Goucher College, Towson, Md., 1982-1983.

Coordinator, Urban Affairs and Public Policy Program, Goucher College, 1980-1983.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE:

Comité scientifique, Chaire de recherche du Canada en études québécoises et canadiennes, l'Université du Québec à Montréal, 2005-present.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, National Performance Review Working Group, 2001-2002.

Program Co-Chair, Urban Labour Markets, International Sociological Association Meetings-RC21, Amsterdam, 2001

Conseil d'administration, Association internationale des études québécoises, 1999-2008.

Comité scientifique internationale, *Revue d'histoire de l'Amérique française*, 1998-present

Comité aviseur, INRS-Urbanisation, 1998-1999

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, National Performance Review Team, 1998

Peer Review Committee, National Association of Management and Technical Assistance Centers, 1997-98.

Best Practices Committee, National Association of Management and Technical Assistance Centers, 1997-98.

Program Co-Chair, Urban Affairs Association Annual Meeting, 1997

Comité scientifique international, Politique et Societes, 1995-present

Membership Committee, Urban Affairs Association, 1994-96.

Publications Committee, Urban Affairs Association, 1994-96.

Conference Program and Planning Committee, Midwest Region of Economic Development Administration, 1991-96.

Editorial Board, *Quebec Studies*, 1992-present

Chair, Nominations Committee, American Council for Quebec Studies, 1990-1992.

Co-Director, Wingspread Conference on "Canada, Quebec, and the United States," 1991.

Associate Editor, *Quebec Studies*, 1990-1992

Board of Editors, *Universities and Community Schools*, 1989-present

Nominations Committee, Urban Affairs Association, 1989.

Program Committee, Conference on "Universities, Community Schools, and Economic Development," held at the University of Pittsburgh, 1989.

Program Committee, American Council for Quebec Studies, 1988-1990.

Program Committee, Conference on "Universities, Community Schools, Job Training, Community Revitalization," held at the University of Pennsylvania, 1988.

Coordinator, Midwest Chapter of American Council for Quebec Studies, 1987-1991.

Advisory Board, Urban History Review, 1986-1987.

Associate Editor, *Urbanism: Past and Present*, 1985-1986.

Coordinator, Social Science History Association Network on Multicultural Societies, 1976-1982.

PUBLIC POLICY AND COMMUNITY SERVICE:

Milwaukee County Comprehensive Economic Development Plan, Advisory Committee, 2011-2012

Social Responsibility Committee, Aurora Health Care Systems, Milwaukee, WI, 2011-present

African American Male Unemployment Task Force, Milwaukee Common Council, 2010-2011

National Anchor Institutions Task Force, 2010-present

Board of Directors, Legacy Redevelopment Corporation, Milwaukee, WI, 2008-present

Early Childhood Education Advisory Committee, Public Policy Forum, 2007-present.

Ad Hoc Committee on Community Benefits, Milwaukee Common Council, 2003.

University School of Milwaukee, Board of Overseers, 2001-2002.

Local Initiatives Support Corporation, Operating Support Collaborative Planning Committee, 2001-2002.

Milwaukee Venture Fund Initiative Planning Committee, 1998-2000.

Board of Directors, Northeast Milwaukee Industrial Development Corporation, 1997-1999

Steering Committee, Waukesha-Ozaukee-Washington Counties "High Wage Jobs for Dislocated Workers Project, 1996-1997

Riverwest Community Schools Project Advisory Committee, 1996-1997.

Advisory Board, Institute for Wisconsin's Future, 1995-1997.

Technical Advisory Board, Milwaukee Jobs Initiative, 1995-1996.

Milwaukee Pension Investment Working Group, 1994-1996.

Milwaukee Jobs Initiative Planning Committee, 1994-1995.

Advisory Board, New Hope Project, Milwaukee Wisconsin, 1993-1996

President, Milwaukee French Immersion School Parent-Teacher Association, 1992-1993

City of Milwaukee Project Team on Employment and Income, 1992-1995

Milwaukee Minority Business Council, 1991-1995

City of Milwaukee Strategic Planning Task Force on Economic Development, 1991-1995.

City of Milwaukee, Department of City Development, Working Group on Employment at A.O. Smith Corporation, 1990.

Greater Milwaukee Committee Working Group on Minority Employment, 1987-1988.

Board of Directors, Hunger Task Force of Milwaukee, 1986-1988.

Chair, Technical Advisory Committee on Economic Development, Hunger Task Force of Milwaukee, 1986-1988.

Industry Analyst and Task Force Member, Wisconsin Strategic Development Commission, 1984-1985.

Special Staff Analyst, Joint Economic Committee, U.S. Congress, 1983-1984.

Special Legislative Assistant for Economic Policy, Senator Edward M. Kennedy, 1983-1984.

PUBLICATIONS:

Books:

La reconquête de Montréal: (Montréal: VLB Éditeur, 1997).

[Revised and expanded French-language edition of *The Reconquest of Montreal*]

Marc V. Levine et al, *Contextes de la politique linguistique québécoise*_(Québec: Conseil de la langue française, 1993)

The Reconquest of Montreal: Language Policy and Social Change in a Bilingual City (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1990).

Marc V. Levine et al, *The State and Democracy: Revitalizing America's Government* (New York: Routledge, Chapman, and Hall, 1988).

Articles and Book Chapters:

“La «class créative» et la prospérité urbaine: mythes et réalités,” in R. Tremblay et D-G Tremblay (eds), *La classe creative selon Richard Florida: Un paradigme urbain plausible?* (Québec: Les presses de l’Université du Québec, 2010), pp. 87-112.

“Claude Ryan et la question linguistique au Québec,” in Gérard Boismenu et al (eds), *Ruptures et continuité de la société québécoise: Trajectoires de Claude Ryan*_(Montréal: Les presses de l’Université de Montréal, 2005), pp. 178-190.

“Tourism and Economic Regulation: Shaping the tourism labor market in Montreal,” in Susan S. Fainstein, Lily M. Hoffman, and Dennis R. Judd (eds), *Cities and Visitors: Regulating Tourists, Markets, and City Space* (London: Blackwell Publishers, 2003), pp. 167-184.

“Tourism Infrastructure and Urban Redevelopment in Montreal,” in Dennis R. Judd (ed) *The Infrastructure of Play: Building the Tourist City* (New York: M.E. Sharpe, 2003), pp. 245-270.

“Tourism-based redevelopment and the fiscal crisis of the city: The case of Montréal,” *Canadian Journal of Urban Research*, 12: 1 (Summer 2003): 102-123.

“La question « démolinguistique », un quart de siècle après la Charte de la langue, *Revue d’aménagement linguistique* (automne 2002) : 155-170.

“L’usage du français: langue commune,” in Michel Plourde (ed), *Le français au Québec: 400 ans de vie* (Québec: Éditeur officiel du Québec, 2000), pp. 366-378.

- "The Impact of Downtown Sports Stadiums on Urban Economies: A Comparison of Baltimore and Montreal," *Avanté*, 6:1 (2000): 33-51.
- "'A Third-World City in the First World': Social Exclusion, Racial Inequality, and Sustainable Development in Baltimore, Maryland," in Mario Polèse and Richard Stren (eds), *The Social Sustainability of Cities: Diversity and the Management of Change* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2000), pp. 123-156.
- "The Economic State of Black Milwaukee," in Stanley F. Battle (ed), *The State of Black Milwaukee* (Milwaukee: The Urban League, 2000), pp. 171-194.
- "Pourquoi et comment faire des indicateurs de positionnement?" L'expérience de Milwaukee," in *Les indicateurs de positionnement des métropoles: Besoins et potentialités*, sous la direction de Jean-Pierre Collin, Anne-Marie Séguin, et Hermance Pelletier (Montréal: INRS-Urbanisation, 1999), pp. 29-36.
- "Tourism, Urban Redevelopment, and the World-Class City: The Cases of Baltimore and Montreal," in Caroline Andrew, Pat Armstrong, and André Lapierre, *Les villes mondiales: Y-a-t-il une place pour le Canada?* (Ottawa: Les presses de l'Université d'Ottawa, 1999), pp. 421-450.
- "Montréal et les nouveaux enjeux métropolitains," in Y. Bélanger et F. Desrochers, eds. *La CUM et les nouveaux enjeux métropolitains* (Québec: Les Presses de l'Université du Québec, 1998), pp. 110-117.
- (with Marion Orr) "Introduction: North American Cities at Century's End," *Journal of Urban Affairs* 20:2 (1998): 1-3.
- "L'évolution de l'environnement urbain et la question linguistique à Montreal," *Terminogramme*, Numéro 83, (août 1997): 11-13.
- "The Quebec Independence Movement and the Sovereignty of the Canadian State," in Winston Van Horne, ed., *Global Convulsions: Race and Ethnicity in the 21st Century*, (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1997): 315-339.
- "Public Policies, Social Institutions, and Earnings Inequality: Canada and the United States, 1970-1995," *American Review of Canadian Studies*, 26:3, (Autumn 1996): 315-339. [Republished in special edition of *International Journal of Canadian Studies* 19 (Spring 1999): 19-39].
- "Partenariats entre le secteur privé et le secteur public et redevelopment des grandes villes américaines depuis 1970," in Vincent Renard, ed., *Logique des*

- acteurs sur les marchés fonciers et immobiliers* (Lyon: Centre Jacques-Cartier, 1996), pp. 1-22.
- "Globalization and Wage Polarization in U.S. and Canadian Cities: Does Public Policy Make a Difference?" in Peter Karl Kresl and Gary Gappert, (eds.), *North American Cities and the Global Economy: Challenges and Opportunities*, (Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, Urban Affairs Annual Review #44, 1995), pp. 89-111.
- "The Quebec Elections of 1994," *CSIS Western Hemisphere Election Study Series*, Volume XII, Study 12 (September 29, 1994): 1-12.
- "Rail Transit and Urban Economic Development" *Economic Development Commentary*, 17:3 (Fall 1993): 12-19.
- "Au-delà des lois linguistiques: La politique gouvernementale et le caractère linguistique de Montréal dans les années 1990," in Marc Levine et al, *Contextes de la politique linguistique québécoise* (Québec: Éditeur officiel du Québec, 1993), pp. 1-40.
- "L'avenir des centre-villes dans les villes nord-américaines," in Yves Bussière and Alain Bonnaïous (eds.), *Transport et étalement urbain: les enjeux* (Paris: Imprimerie Bosc Freres, 1993), pp. 53-74.
- Marc V. Levine and John F. Zipp, "A City at Risk: The Changing Social and Economic Context of Milwaukee Public Schooling, 1920-1988," in F.A. Cassell and J.R. Rury eds., *A History of the Milwaukee Public Schools* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1993), pp. 42-72.
- "The Changing Face of Urban Capitalism," *Urban Affairs Quarterly*, 28:1 (September 1992): 171-180.
- "Introduction: Nationalism in Quebec," *Quebec Studies*, 8, (Spring 1989): 119-120.
- "Language Policy and Quebec's *visage français*: New directions in *la question linguistique*," *Quebec Studies*, 8, (1989): 1-16.
- "The Language Question in Quebec: A Short, Annotated Bibliography," *Quebec Studies*, 8, (1989): 37-42.
- "Urban Redevelopment in a Global Economy: A Comparison of Baltimore and Montreal," in Gary Gappert and Richard Knight, eds., *Cities in the 21st Century* (Beverly Hills, California: Sage Publications, Urban Affairs Annual Reviews, 1989), pp. 41-52.

- "The Politics of Partnership: The Political Economy of Urban Redevelopment Since 1945," in Gregory D. Squires, ed., *Unequal Partnerships* (New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1989): 12-35.
- "The 'Reconquest' of Montreal: Public Policy, Language, and Economic Change, 1960-1987," *Quebec Studies* (1988): 41-64.
- "Economic Development in States and Cities: Toward Democratic and Strategic Planning in State and Local Government," in M.V. Levine, et al., *The State and Democracy: Revitalizing America's Government* (New York: Routledge, Chapman, and Hall, 1988), pp. 111-145.
- "The State and Democracy in the United States: Historical Patterns and Current Possibilities," in M.V. Levine et al., *The State and Democracy: Revitalizing America's Government* (New York: Routledge, Chapman, and Hall, 1988), pp. 1-11.
- "Economic Development in Baltimore: Some Additional Perspectives," *The Journal of Urban Affairs*, 9:2, (1987): 133-138.
- "Downtown Redevelopment as an Urban Growth Strategy: A Critical Appraisal of the Baltimore Renaissance," *The Journal of Urban Affairs*, 9:2, (1987): 103-123.
- "Language Policy, Education, and Cultural Survival: Bill 101 and the Transformation of Anglophone Montreal, 1977-1985," *Quebec Studies*, 4, (1986): 3-28.
- David A. Smith and Marc V. Levine, "The Political Limits on Progressive Industrial Policy, in Sharon Zukin, ed., *Industrial Policy: Business and Politics in the United States and France* (New York: Praeger, 1985): 48-59.
- "The Political Economy of Urban Redevelopment," *Urbanism: Past and Present*, 9, (Summer/Fall 1984): 34-41.
- "Institution Design and the Separatist Impulse: Quebec and the Antebellum American South," *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 433, (September 1977): 60-72.
- "Standing Political Decisions and Critical Realignment: The Pattern of Maryland Politics, 1872-1948," *The Journal of Politics*, 38, (May 1976): 292-325.

POLICY REPORTS AND MONOGRAPHS:

Race and Male Employment in the Wake of the Great Recession: Black Male Employment Rates in Milwaukee and the Nation's Largest Metro Areas: 2010 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2012).

The Crisis Deepens: Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee, 2009 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2010).

Mismeasuring Joblessness (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2010).

The False Promise of the Entrepreneurial University: Selling Academic Commercialism in Milwaukee (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2009).

The Crisis of Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee, 2007 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2008).

The Crisis of Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee, 2006 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2007).

The Crisis of Black Male Joblessness in Milwaukee: Trends, Explanations, and Policy Options (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2007).

Income Trends in Milwaukee's Inner City, 2004-2005 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2006).

Mayors and Employment Growth in Milwaukee Since 1990 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2006).

The Economic State of Milwaukee's Inner City: 2006 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2006).

The PabstCity Redevelopment Project: Inflated Projections and Flawed Assumptions (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2005).

La reconquête de Montréal: revue et corrigée (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies, 2005).

After The Boom: Joblessness in Milwaukee Since 2000 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2004).

La classe creative et la prospérité urbaine: mythe et réalité (Montreal: VRM, 2004, and Milwaukee: UWM Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies, 2004).

Stealth Depression: Joblessness in the City of Milwaukee Since 1990 (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2003).

- Two Milwaukees? Segregation, Suburbanization, and Racial Inequality* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development 2003).
- The Economic State of Milwaukee's Inner City: 1970-2000* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2002).
- The Economic Impact of the Child-Care Industry in Milwaukee County* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2002).
- Metropolitan Polarization in an Era of Affluence: Income Trends in Metropolitan Milwaukee Since 1990* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2002).
- Cracks in the Glass Ceiling? Diversity and Management in Metro Milwaukee's Private Industry in the 1990s* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2001).
- Minority Business Ownership in Metropolitan Milwaukee: Some Statistical Indicators and Comparisons with the Nation's Largest Metropolitan Areas* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2001).
- L'avenir du français à Montréal: la politique gouvernementale et le caractère linguistique de la métropole* (Québec: La commission des États-généraux sur la situation et l'avenir de la langue française, 2001).
- Minority Business Ownership in Metropolitan Milwaukee: A Comparison with the Nation's Largest Metropolitan Areas* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 2000).
- Suburban Sprawl and the "Secession" of the Affluent: Metropolitan Polarization in Milwaukee, 1987-1997* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1999).
- Sectoral Targets for Economic Development in Milwaukee: Update* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1999).
- (with Lauren McHargue) *Capacity Inventory of Community Development Corporations in Milwaukee* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1999).
- The Economic State of Milwaukee: The City and the Region* (Milwaukee: The UWM Center for Economic Development, 1998).
- (with Lauren McHargue) *The Milwaukee Venture Fund Initiative: Final Planning Document* (Milwaukee: The UWM Center for Economic Development, 1998).

- (co-author) *Select Practices in University Center Economic Development* (Washington, D.C.: National Association of Management and Technical Assistance Centers, 1998).
- Model Development in the Workforce Connections Project* (Milwaukee: The UWM Center for Economic Development, 1998).
- The Feasibility of Economically Targeted Investing: A Wisconsin Case Study* (Brookfield, WI: The International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans, 1997).
- (with Emily Van Dunk), *Light Rail and Inner City Economic Development: An Analysis of the Proposed 27th Street and Wisconsin Avenue Station Area* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1996) .
- (with Emily Van Dunk), *The Economic Impact of Sinai-Samaritan Hospital on the Metropolitan Milwaukee Economy* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1996).
- The Foundry Industry and Inner City Economic Development in Milwaukee* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1996).
- Pamela Fendt and Marc V. Levine, *Welfare Reform and the Metropolitan Milwaukee Labor Market* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1996).
- The Crisis of Low Wages in Milwaukee: Wage Polarization in the Metropolitan Labor Market 1970-1990* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1994).
- (with John Zipp), *Downtown Redevelopment in Milwaukee: Has it delivered for the City?* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development 1994).
- The Milwaukee Public Schools Facilities Plan: An Economic Impact Analysis*, (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1993).
- Near South Side Economic Summit: Economic Background Report* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1993).
- Light Rail in Milwaukee: An Analysis of the Potential Impact on Economic Development* (Milwaukee: UWM Center for Economic Development, 1992).

OTHER PUBLICATIONS (selected)

- (co-author) "It's no time for another cut to UW System," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 26 February 2011.
- "Tax the Rich and Solve the budget shortfall," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 26 February 2011.
- "UWM as an Economic Engine? Research Schools unlikely to foster economic development in region," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 3 October 2009.
- (co-author) "Business Should back Greater Unionization," *The Milwaukee Journal The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 4 April 2009.
- (co-author) "It's no time to cut public investments," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 14 February 2009.
- (co-author) "Sick pay is smart economics," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 22 January 2009.
- "Dim Light at the end of the tunnel: Region needs high wattage ideas for transit," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 27 June 2008.
- "It's About Job Shortage Not Skills Mismatch," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 25 May 2007.
- "Developing Jobs or Developing Real Estate?" *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 2 December 2006.
- (with Michael Rosen) "Public investments can be better spent," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 18 June 2005.
- "Building an Empty House: The Perils of Convention Centers," *Inside Business* (Cleveland), 1 October 2004.
- "Getting the Facts Right on Segregation," *Fair Housing Keys*, Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Coalition Newsletter, Spring 2004.
- "Rolled up by unemployment," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, Crossroads, 31 August 2003.
- (with Gregory D. Squires and John F. Zipp), "Life in Black and White," *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, Crossroads, 19 January 2003.
- "Maintaining the balance," *The Montreal Gazette*, 24 February 2001.

- "Le français menacé à Montréal?" *La Presse* (Montreal), 17 février 2001.
- "Les nouveaux enjeux de la question linguistique," *Le Devoir* (Montreal), 28 novembre 1997.
- "Can \$100 Million Buy More Than Hope?" *The Baltimore Sun* Opinion-Commentary. 28 December 1994.
- "Stopping Montrealers' exodus is the way to bolster French," *The Montreal Gazette*. Comment. 26 October 1994.
- "A Nation of Hamburger Flippers?" *The Baltimore Sun* 31 July 1994. Perspective Section
- "Does light rail go the distance?" *Milwaukee Journal* Other Views, 21 November 1993.
- "Rejuvenating the Milwaukee economy and public schooling, *The Business Journal* (Milwaukee), 6 February 1993.
- "L'avenir du français à Montréal," *Le Soleil (Quebec City)*, 13 janvier 1993, Point de vue.
- "Light Rail: On Track for Development?," *The Baltimore Sun*, 17 May 1992, Perspective section.
- "The Urban Renaissance, Which Seems to Have Disappeared, Was Never There," *The Baltimore Sun*, 5 January 1992, Perspective section.
- "'Next Time' has arrived for Quebec and Canada," *The Baltimore Sun*, 6 July 1990, Perspective section.
- "The Public Sector and Community Development," *Universities and Community Schools*, 1:1 (Spring 1989): 5.
- "Our Inner City Time Bombs Need to be Disarmed," *The Business Journal* (Milwaukee), 15 February 1988.
- "Economic Development to Help the Underclass: Schmoke's Challenge," *The Baltimore Sun*, 10 January 1988, Perspective Section.
- "Going Upscale Downtown. Malls as a development strategy: Weighing the costs and benefits," *The Baltimore Sun*, 6 September 1987. Perspective Section.
- "Rhetoric won't do a thing to solve economic problems," *The Milwaukee Journal*, 27 October, 1986, Opinion-Commentary page.

"The Case for Two Baltimores," The Baltimore Sun, 10 August 1986, Perspective Section.

"Linkage to Spread Baltimore's Boom: Making the City's Revival Reach the Neighborhoods," *The Baltimore Sun*, 7 May 1986, Op-Ed page.

"Separatism in Quebec: Not for Today," *The Baltimore Sun*, 24 August 1983, Opinion-commentary page.

BOOK REVIEWS:

*City Building in America*_by Anthony Orum. *The Journal of American History* (June 1997): 246-247.

Philadelphia: Neighborhoods, Division and Conflict in a Postindustrial City by Carolyn Adams, David Bartelt, David Elesh, Ira Goldstein, Nancy Kleniewski, and William Yancey. *Pennsylvania Magazine of History and Biography* (January/April 1994): 176-178.

*Histoire de Montréal depuis la Confédération*_by Paul-Andre Linteau. *Revue d'histoire d'Amérique française*, 47:2 (automne 1993): 286-288.

Language Planning and Social Change by Robert L. Cooper. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* (January 1993): 173-174.

Governing the Island of Montreal: Language Differences and Metropolitan Politics by Andrew Sancton. *American Review of Canadian Studies* (Spring 1986): 238-240.

*Statecraft as Soulcraft*_by George Will. *The Baltimore Sun*, 3 July 1983.

*The Deindustrialization of America*_by Barry Bluestone and Bennett Harrison, and *The Current Crisis in American Politics*_by Walter Dean Burnham. *The Baltimore Sun* 27 February 1983.

*Dictatorships and Double Standards*_by Jeanne Kirkpatrick. *The Baltimore Sun* 8 August 1982.

*Hearts and Minds*_by Harry S. Ashmore. *The Baltimore Sun* 20 June 1982.

Wealth and Poverty by George Gilder. *The Baltimore Sun* 19 April 1981.

Economic Democracy by Martin Carnoy and Derek Shearer. *The Baltimore Sun*, 15 February 1981.

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS:

Papers presented at the following professional meetings:

American Council for Quebec Studies: Quebec City (1988); Chicago (1990);
Montreal (1992); Washington, D.C. (1994); Quebec City (1996)

American Political Science Association: Washington, D.C. (1986)

Association of American Geographers: New York (2012)

Association canadienne-française pour l'avancement de la science: Ottawa
(1999); Rimouski (2003)

Association for Canadian Studies, Canadian Learned Societies: Montreal (1995)

Association for Canadian Studies in the United States: Montreal (1987); San
Francisco (1989)

Association internationale des études québécoise: Quebec City (2002); Rimouski
(2003); Sherbrooke (2004); Montreal (2005)

Conseil de la langue française: Quebec City (1992)

Entretiens du Centre Jacques Cartier: Montreal (1992); Lyon (1994)

États généraux sur la situation et l'avenir de la langue française: Montreal (2001)

INRS-Urbanisation, Special conference on "Les indicateurs de positionnement":
Montreal (1998); Déjeuner-Séminaire (1995)

Groupe d'histoire Bruxelles-Montreal: Brussels (2003)

International Council for Canadian Studies: Ottawa (1998)

International Sociological Association, RC21: Amsterdam (2001)

International Tourism Research Group: Amsterdam (1998); Barcelona (2000)

Midwest Political Science Association: Chicago (1985)

New International Cities Era Conference: Provo, Utah (1993)

North American Institute for Comparative Urban Research: Barcelona (2000)

Northeast Political Science Association: Boston (1984)

Race, Nationalism, and Ethnicity in the 21st Century Conference: Milwaukee (1993)

Rethinking the Metropolis Conference: Milwaukee (2002).

Social Science History Association: Philadelphia (1976); Ann Arbor (1977); Toronto (1985); New Orleans (1987); Chicago (1988); Chicago (1995)

Université du Québec à Montréal: Special conference: "La CUM et les nouveaux enjeux métropolitains": Montreal (1998)

Université du Québec à Montréal: Colloque international: "[S]'appropriation de la ville": Montreal (2012)

Urban Affairs Association: St. Louis (1988); Baltimore (1989); Charlotte (1990); Portland (1995); Boston (2002); Salt Lake City (2005); Montreal (2006); Pittsburgh (2012)

UNESCO "MOST" Conference on Sustainable Cities: Montreal, Toronto (1995)

AWARDS:

Ordre des francophones d'Amérique Award, Conseil de la langue française, 2005

Faculty Distinguished Public Service Award, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2000.

Rufus Z. Smith Award for Best Article Published in The American Review of Canadian Studies, 1996-1997.

UWM Division of Community Outreach, "Community Partnership Award," 1993.

RESEARCH AND SERVICE GRANTS AND FELLOWSHIPS:

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$5,000 (September 2008).

The Helen Bader Foundation (principal investigator), "Economic Development Fellows Program at UW-Milwaukee," \$63,000 (awarded September 2007).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$5,000 (September 2007).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$5,000 (September 2006).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$5,000 (September 2005).

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$134,500 (awarded September 2004).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$5,000 (September 2004).

The Helen Bader Foundation (principal investigator), "Economic Development Fellows Program at UW-Milwaukee," \$126,000 (awarded September 2004).

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$92,500 (awarded September 2003).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$5,000 (September 2003).

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$92,500 (awarded September 2002).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$4,500 (August 2002).

The Early Childhood Planning Council (principal investigator), "The Economic Impact of the Child-Care Industry in Milwaukee County," \$30,000 (awarded May 2002).

The Helen Bader Foundation (principal investigator), "Economic Development Fellows Program at UW-Milwaukee," \$267,000 (awarded November 2001).

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 2001).

Government of Canada (principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant-Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$4,000 (September 2001).

U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 2000).

Government of Canada (co-principal investigator), "Program Enhancement Grant," \$4,000 (awarded August 2000).

The Helen Bader Foundation (principal investigator), "Development of Peace Corps Fellows Program at UW-Milwaukee," \$40,000 (awarded August 2000).

The Joyce Foundation (co-principal investigator), "Emergency Support Services Research Project," \$37,300 (awarded May 2000).

Gouvernement du Québec, Ministère des relations internationales (co-principal investigator), "Center for Canadian-American Policy Studies," \$15,000 (awarded March 2000).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 1999).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 1998)

The Milwaukee Foundation (principal investigator) "Sectoral Targeting Update," \$15,000 (awarded September, 1998).

United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (principal investigator), "Institutionalizing the Milwaukee Community Outreach Partnership Center--Economic Development," \$20,540 (awarded September 1998).

The Milwaukee Foundation (principal investigator) "Capacity Inventory and Best Practices Handbook for Greater Milwaukee," \$12,500 (awarded April 1998).

The Enterprise Foundation (principal investigator), "Non-Profit Enterprise Venture Fund Initiative," \$33,000 (awarded April 1998).

Conseil international des etudes canadiennes (co-principal investigator), "Indicateurs de positionnement des villes Nords-Americaines," \$5,000 CDN (awarded March 1998).

The Helen Bader Foundation (principal investigator), "Capacity Inventory and Best Practices Analysis of Milwaukee Community Economic Development Agencies," \$12,500 (awarded December 1997).

The Helen Bader Foundation (principal investigator), "Non-Profit Entrepreneurialism Project: Planning Grant," \$10,000 (awarded December 1997).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 1997).

United States Department of Labor (principal investigator), "High Wage Job Opportunities for Dislocated Workers: Model Development," \$20,000 (awarded February 1997).

United States Department of Labor (principal investigator), "High Wage Job Opportunities for Dislocated Workers: Labor Market Research," \$30,000 (awarded January 1997).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 1996).

Milwaukee Foundation (principal investigator), "Sectoral Targeting for the Walker's Point Economic Development Initiative," \$25,000 (awarded January 1996).

International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans (principal investigator), "An Economically Targeted Investment Program for Milwaukee," \$10,000 (awarded October 1995).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$120,000 (awarded September 1995).

Milwaukee Foundation (principal investigator), "Analysis of Economically Targeted Investment Strategies for Communities," \$5,000 (awarded September 1995).

United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Outreach Partnership Centers Program, "Forging a Partnership for Neighborhood Revitalization: The Milwaukee Community Partnership Initiative," (Economic Development Component Coordinator), \$105,412 (awarded June 1995).

Government of Quebec, Quebec Studies Research Grant, "Culture Shock: Immigration, Suburbanization, and the Transformation of Francophone Quebec," \$3,500 (awarded May 1995).

Conseil international des Etudes canadiennes (co-principal investigator), "La restructuration des villes Nords-Americaines," \$5,000 CDN (awarded March 1995).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$122,025 (awarded August 1994).

Milwaukee Foundation (principal investigator), "Sectoral Targeting Analysis for Economic Development in Walker's Point," \$15,000 (awarded April 1994).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (co-principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$122,025 (awarded September 1993).

The Milwaukee Foundation (principal investigator), "Economic Development Planning in the Families and Children in Poverty Initiative," \$4,500 (awarded January 1993).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (co-principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$124,515 (awarded September 1992).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, Local Technical Assistance Grant (principal investigator), "EDA Regional Conference," \$20,000 (awarded September 1992).

City of Milwaukee, Department of Public Works (principal investigator), "The Potential Economic Impact of a Light Rail Transit System in Milwaukee," \$10,000 (awarded February 1992).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (co-principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 1991).

William H. Donner Foundation (co-principal investigator), "Canada, Quebec, and the United States: A Wingspread Conference," \$18,600 (awarded July 1991).

Canadian Embassy Conference Grant (co-principal investigator), "Canada, Quebec, and the United States: A Wingspread Conference," \$10,000 (awarded July 1991).

United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration, University Center Program (co-principal investigator and project director), "University Center for Economic Development," \$100,000 (awarded September 1990).

Charles Stewart Mott Foundation Mini-Grant, "Universities, Community Schools, and Community Economic Development," \$2,000 (awarded April 1990).

Government of Quebec, Research Grant, "The Demolinguistic Future of Montreal," \$5,000 (awarded April 1990).

Canadian Embassy Institutional Research Grant (principal investigator), "Deindustrialization and the Canadian Class Structure: An Analysis of Quebec and Ontario, 1970-1985," \$13,500 (awarded December 1988).

Government of Quebec, Research Grant, "The Economic Transformation of Montreal," 1971-1986," \$2,000 (awarded April 1988).

Canadian Embassy, Faculty Research Grant, "Deindustrialization and Income Inequality in Montreal, 1971-1986," \$5,000 (awarded April 1988) .

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Graduate School Research Grant, "The Political Economy of Urban Redevelopment: Baltimore, 1950-1985," \$5,200 (awarded December 1985).

Canadian Embassy, Faculty Enrichment Grant, "Development of Courses in Canadian History and Canadian Urban Development," \$3,000 (awarded December 1985).

American Association for the Advancement of Science, Congressional Fellow (awarded March 1983) .

American Historical Association--Mellon Foundation Congressional Fellowship, \$18,000 (awarded March 1983).

Government of Quebec, Research Grant, " Language Policy in Quebec," \$2,000 (awarded May 1981).

CONTRACTS:

Ozaukee County (co-PI), "Economic Development Planning Initiative," \$50,000 (awarded March 2007).

Washington County (co-PI), "Strategic Economic Development planning," \$47,000 (awarded December 2005).

City of West Bend (co-PI), "Economic Analysis," \$5,000 (awarded December 2004).

Waushara County (co-PI), "Co-Operative Economic Development Analysis," \$21,500 (awarded December 2002).

Milwaukee Graphic Arts Institute, "Analysis of the Milwaukee-Area Printing Industry," \$3,000 (awarded December 2002).

Northeast Milwaukee Industrial Development Corporation, "Riverwest Job Count Survey," \$5,000 (awarded February 2001).

Milwaukee County Office of Disadvantaged Business Enterprise, "Minority Business Ownership in Metropolitan Milwaukee," \$7,500 (awarded April 2000).

Wisconsin Head Start Association (co-principal investigator), "Welfare Reform Service Utilization Evaluation Project," \$48,000 (awarded February 1999).

Northwest Side Community Development Corporation (principal investigator), "Evaluation of Supplier Linkage Program," \$10,000 (awarded September 1998).

City of Milwaukee, Community Development Block Grant (principal investigator), "Inner City Transportation and Jobs Analysis," \$4,000 (awarded January 1998).

City of Milwaukee, Community Development Block Grant (principal investigator), "Near South Side Business Marketing Analysis," \$15,000 (awarded January 1998).

New Berlin Chamber of Commerce and State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation (principal investigator), "New Berlin Transportation and Jobs Analysis," \$13,000 (awarded January 1998).

Northeast Milwaukee Industrial Development Corporation (principal investigator), "NMIDC Technical Assistance: Phase Two," \$2,000 (awarded July 1997).

American National Bank Foundation (principal investigator), "Economic Development Technical Assistance Grant," \$500 (awarded June 1997).

Northeast Milwaukee Industrial Development corporation (principal investigator), "NMIDC Technical Assistance," \$6,000 (awarded February 1997).

East Side Housing Action Corporation (principal investigator), "Riverwest Community Schools Project Technical Assistance," \$13,500 (awarded February 1997).

South Milwaukee Public Schools, (principal investigator) "South Milwaukee Public Schools Strategic Planning Technical Assistance," \$1,500 (awarded December 1996).

East Side Housing Action Corporation (principal investigator), "Riverwest Community Schools Project Technical Assistance," \$42,200 (awarded February 1996).

Sinai Samaritan Medical Center, "An Analysis of the Economic Impact of Sinai Samaritan Hospital on Greater Milwaukee," \$14,600 (awarded February 1996).

City of Milwaukee, Common Council Economic Development Committee (principal investigator), "A Feasibility Study of an Economically Targeted Investment Program in Milwaukee," \$10,000 (awarded October 1995).

Milwaukee County Pension Board (principal investigator), "A Feasibility Study of an Economically Targeted Investment Program in Milwaukee," \$15,000 (awarded October 1995).

American National Bank Foundation (principal investigator), "Community Development Technical Assistance Support," \$500 (awarded October 1995).

American National Bank Foundation (principal investigator), "Pension Investment Work Group of Milwaukee," \$1,500 (awarded April 1994).

Envirotest Systems Corporation (principal investigator), "Economic Impact of Envirotest Systems Corporation's Proposed Testing Program in Wisconsin," \$5,000 (awarded February 1994).

Milwaukee County Labor Council, (principal investigator) "Economically Targeted Investments Project," \$350 (awarded February 1994).

International Longshoreman Association Local 815 (principal investigator), "Employee Ownership Feasibility Study," \$21,500 (awarded January 1994).

International Longshoreman Association Local 815 (principal investigator), "Employee Ownership Feasibility Study: Proposal Preparation," \$1,500 (awarded August 1993).

Northeast Milwaukee Industrial Development Corporation (principal investigator), "Industrial Corridor Technical Assistance," \$1,800 (awarded July 1993).

American National Bank (principal investigator), "An Economic Development Resource Directory for the Milwaukee Region," \$500 (awarded July 1993).

Walnut Avenue Improvement Corporation (principal investigator), "Economic Analysis of the Walnut Avenue Area," \$500 (awarded June 1993).

Esperanza Unida (principal investigator), "611Project Technical Assistance," \$1,000 (awarded May 1993).

Lisbon Avenue Neighborhood Development (principal investigator), "A Skills Analysis of the Lisbon Avenue Welfare Population," \$500 (awarded August 1992).

American National Bank (principal investigator), "An Economic Development Resource Directory for the Milwaukee Region," \$500 (awarded February 1992).

TEACHING AND RESEARCH EXPERTISE:

- 19th and 20th century Urban History (United States and Canada)
- Urban Economic Development Policy
- Politics and Policy in Canada and Quebec
- 19th and 20th century U.S. Political History
- The Politics of Multicultural Societies