Subject: Re: Ordinance
From: Michael Morris
Date: 12/1/2022, 12:50 PM
To: George Jones

George,

I definitely appreciate your thoughts and effort on this.

I assume you are talking about the tabled ordinance and not the licensure ordinance we are developing.

With Mitchell's ordinance, an exception like this is somewhat inconsequential or could be detrimental given the whole strategy is the federal law piece. I get it. It makes sense if we're thinking about scenarios playing out. And it softens it a bit with the Pro-abort side. But I'm not sure how much it matters.

Devils advocate… a provider could come in and say they are going to specialize in all of the exceptions we've made to the definition of abortion… then just do whatever they want including provide abortion as birth control. How would we separate and enforce?

And the whole idea that we would point to and say "you have to follow this fed law".. "don't blame us, we're not regulating anything-it's a real law. If you don't like it, take it up with the Fed Gov". But then we make up our own rules for what compliance with the federal law looks like?

I just don't know what any of it matters.

Please don't let my feelings stymie anything you want to try and get done today. Please run it by Mitchell if you like. I support you.

Whatever happens tonight, I have to get up and go to work tomorrow. And as hard as that's becoming, I'll find a way.

Respectfully

Mike

On Thu, Dec 1, 2022 at 12:11 PM George Jones <gjones@cityofclovis.org> wrote:

> Mike,
>
> What do you think about adding one more exception for rape and incest to the ordinance?
>
> V/R,
> George

--
Mike Morris
Mayor of Clovis, NM
[redacted]