

TERMS—Two dollars and fifty cents per annum, in advance.

Five copies will be sent to one address for ten dollars, if payment be made in advance.

All remittances are to be made, and all letters relating to the pecuniary concerns of the paper are to be directed (POST PAID) to the General Agent.

Advertisements inserted at the rate of five cents per line.

The Agents of the American, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, Ohio and Michigan Anti-Slavery Societies are authorized to receive subscriptions for THE LIBERATOR.

The following gentlemen constitute the Financial Committee, but are not responsible for any debts of the paper, viz:—FRANCIS JACKSON, EDWARD QUINCY, EDWARD JACKSON, and WENDELL PHILLIPS.

Refuge of Oppression.

THE EMANCIPATION SCHEME.

There are presses and individuals at present seriously engaged in discussing the plan of emancipating the slaves of the South by Presidential proclamation. This discussion means nothing, but as it is the preparatory sound-note for the full cry...

It may very likely come to that, and not long hence, either, that we shall be obliged to assert at the North the organized indignation of the people at the very thought of such a project as this of Emancipation by our Government and army.

This class of men are growing more rampant every day. They are the ones who complain of the Government's inactivity—who continually lament the slowness of the army movements—who affect dissatisfaction with every plan set on foot—and who cunningly declare that nothing will ever come of this war until the President proclaims unconditional and immediate emancipation for the slave, and if such a measure is openly sustained by the army at the point of the bayonet.

The influence of these mischievous men and presses is to be put down; there are no words but those to apply to the case. If we would continue order at home, we must inaugurate the true system by which alone our Government and our society can stand.

(1) Can there be a more loathsome exhibition of shameless mendacity, abominable caricature, malicious perversion of facts, senseless raving, mental imbecility, moral disorganization, and sham democracy, than this entire article from the Post?

Can there be a more loathsome exhibition of shameless mendacity, abominable caricature, malicious perversion of facts, senseless raving, mental imbecility, moral disorganization, and sham democracy, than this entire article from the Post?

THE ORIGINAL ABOLITIONISTS.

It cannot be denied that a party in the North clamorous for emancipation, and who sustain the war only in that sense that it will end in abolition. We know that some of them are not contenting for the Union, for they have denounced the Constitution.

Now, whenever this party becomes strong enough, if it ever shall, to change the issue, so that it will be the North against the South, and free institutions against slave institutions, we change the position in two ways.—we make the South a unit, and we fill the North with factions; change a war for the support of the Constitution to a war of subjugation; and if anybody can see the end of such a contest, we are sure that we cannot. It would be a war of extermination, and a bloody and cruel without parallel.

We say "No"; and, if saying so puts us into the "abolition" category, we accept the place as a place of honor. Many a good, brave, loyal man shares our opinion; many a citizen who has given his blood as if it were water and his money as if it were dross, to the republic, thinks as we do.

Abolition and Secession! Light and darkness, truth and falsehood, right and wrong, fact and fallacy, are as nearly alike. Heaven help us, if, in the dark days, when we are weighing down our very souls, we shun the truth because it is not pleasant, and strive to exercise this devil of slavery by the gibberish, nine times worn out and ninety times weaker than water, which sham-conservatives so glibly utter.

At the serene given to the Secretary of War, at New York, on Monday evening last, John Cochrane, late Democratic member of Congress from New York City, but now a Colonel in the Federal army, made the following significant speech:—

"We are here to-night to establish in the applause which we have given to the leader of these armies, our adhesion to our faith, our country, and our God. We are here to lift a note which shall resound over this land, obliterating all others, and the sound of which shall reverberate in the hearts of freemen in behalf of freedom, which their fathers achieved, and which they, as a proud inheritance, have been taught to enjoy. (Applause.)

Now, it is no part of our business either to attack or defend the American Anti-Slavery Society. It is a distinct organization, and is abundantly able to take care of itself. But, before we consign to the limbo of the wicked this poor word 'abolition,' we would like to ask if there be in this whole State of New York, for instance, one well-informed and conscientious person who is not an 'abolitionist'?

plenty to want, confidence to doubt, and ease to embezzlement. It has wasted our material wealth, and it has hardened the hearts of our brethren against us. It has enfeebled the mind, contaminated the pulpit, made dim the distinctions between right and wrong, and discredited our political principles.

We are ready to avow himself an "abolitionist," but we are ready to avow that it is to be an "abolitionist," we should like to look in the face of the poor creature who will say that he is not one. This is no longer a question of morals, but one of common sense and of common safety; of ordinary prudence and the least possible foresight.

Abolition and Secession! Light and darkness, truth and falsehood, right and wrong, fact and fallacy, are as nearly alike. Heaven help us, if, in the dark days, when we are weighing down our very souls, we shun the truth because it is not pleasant, and strive to exercise this devil of slavery by the gibberish, nine times worn out and ninety times weaker than water, which sham-conservatives so glibly utter.

At the serene given to the Secretary of War, at New York, on Monday evening last, John Cochrane, late Democratic member of Congress from New York City, but now a Colonel in the Federal army, made the following significant speech:—

"We are here to-night to establish in the applause which we have given to the leader of these armies, our adhesion to our faith, our country, and our God. We are here to lift a note which shall resound over this land, obliterating all others, and the sound of which shall reverberate in the hearts of freemen in behalf of freedom, which their fathers achieved, and which they, as a proud inheritance, have been taught to enjoy. (Applause.)

Now, it is no part of our business either to attack or defend the American Anti-Slavery Society. It is a distinct organization, and is abundantly able to take care of itself. But, before we consign to the limbo of the wicked this poor word 'abolition,' we would like to ask if there be in this whole State of New York, for instance, one well-informed and conscientious person who is not an 'abolitionist'?

(1) Can there be a more loathsome exhibition of shameless mendacity, abominable caricature, malicious perversion of facts, senseless raving, mental imbecility, moral disorganization, and sham democracy, than this entire article from the Post?

with you all I am enlisted for my country, and not for party; it has been declared that there is a body in the South which, it has been asserted by many, is the original cause of our disturbances—

Organized treason exists in the State in the shape of "Knights of the Golden Circle," to an extent, and of material so incredibly great, as to justify the credibility of the quiet and honest loyal citizens in the truth of any public exposure.

I refer to it as a military necessity. It commands to us to avail ourselves of every means within our grasp. (Tremendous cheers.) Suppose for an instant that our armies take a Southern march, and that, as we drive the enemy before us, they are broken and in extremity, what think you of those men in the exercise of sound reason would accomplish or attempt?

"In such a war, we are bound to resort to every force within our power. Suppose our army encounters myriads of cotton bales, and we are able to export those cotton bales to Europe, and receive from them millions upon millions of the sinews of war, do you say we should not seize the cotton? No.

The speaker then stated the proceedings of the Charleston Convention, to show the deliberate purpose on the part of the leaders of the South to precipitate a revolution. He portrayed the direful effects of the admission of the doctrine of secession, and closed by appealing to the sacred principles of religion, on which our cause rests for its support, and to that Deity without whose favor we cannot succeed.

Secretary Cameron having been called for, came forward and said:—"Soldiers.—It is too late for me to make you a speech to-night; but I will say that I heartily approve every sentiment uttered by your noble commander. The doctrine which he has laid down I approve as fully as if his words had been my own.

Slavery having caused the rebellion, what else is it than treason to insist that Government, in self-defence, shall not utterly exterminate it?

TRAITORS IN OHIO.

We ask the attention of all loyal men to the following startling summary of facts from the Cleveland Plain Dealer:—"Organized treason exists in the State in the shape of "Knights of the Golden Circle," to an extent, and of material so incredibly great, as to justify the credibility of the quiet and honest loyal citizens in the truth of any public exposure.

I refer to it as a military necessity. It commands to us to avail ourselves of every means within our grasp. (Tremendous cheers.) Suppose for an instant that our armies take a Southern march, and that, as we drive the enemy before us, they are broken and in extremity, what think you of those men in the exercise of sound reason would accomplish or attempt?

"In such a war, we are bound to resort to every force within our power. Suppose our army encounters myriads of cotton bales, and we are able to export those cotton bales to Europe, and receive from them millions upon millions of the sinews of war, do you say we should not seize the cotton? No.

The speaker then stated the proceedings of the Charleston Convention, to show the deliberate purpose on the part of the leaders of the South to precipitate a revolution. He portrayed the direful effects of the admission of the doctrine of secession, and closed by appealing to the sacred principles of religion, on which our cause rests for its support, and to that Deity without whose favor we cannot succeed.

Secretary Cameron having been called for, came forward and said:—"Soldiers.—It is too late for me to make you a speech to-night; but I will say that I heartily approve every sentiment uttered by your noble commander. The doctrine which he has laid down I approve as fully as if his words had been my own.

Slavery having caused the rebellion, what else is it than treason to insist that Government, in self-defence, shall not utterly exterminate it?

Slavery having caused the rebellion, what else is it than treason to insist that Government, in self-defence, shall not utterly exterminate it?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?

What order of men under the most absolute monarchies, or the most aristocratic of republics, was ever invested with such an odious and unjust privilege as that of the separate and exclusive representation of less than half a million owners of slaves, in the Hall of this House, in the chair of the Senate, and in the Presidential mansion?





