

# CRYPTOME

## Correction on Cryptome Publication of State Department Cables

September 25, 2020

---

Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2020 08:01:32 -0700  
From: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>  
To: John Young <jya@pipeline.com>  
Subject: Re:  
Date: Thu, 24 Sep 2020 18:37:48 -0700  
From: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>  
To: cryptome@earthlink.net

You said before, on your site and in an email to me, that you didn't publish those files. What's going on?

<https://www.theguardian.com/media/2020/sep/24/us-never-asked-wikileaks-rival-cryptome-remove-leaked-cables-court-told-assange>

--

A narrow and tall one

---

Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2020 08:02 -0700  
To: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>  
From: John Young <jya@pipeline.com>  
Subject: Re:

Got a date for that?

---

Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2020 08:01:32 -0700  
From: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>  
To: John Young <jya@pipeline.com>  
Subject: Re:

March 9. "Assange's counsel mistated that Cryptome hosted, and still hosts, the State Department cables. Cryptome posted, and still hosts, the torrents for accessing the cable files after decrypting the torrents

with the book-published password. But did not publish the very large files themselves." -

<http://web.archive.org/web/20200309162730/http://cryptome.org/>

Your statement to the court appears to be right that z.7z is the cables, though.

FWIW, I'm not trying to cause trouble for anyone. I see nothing inaccurate in your statement to the court. I don't think anyone did anything wrong.

I'm just confused because the two statements look contradictory.

--

A narrow and tall one

---

Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2020 11:15 AM -0700

To: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>

From: John Young <jya@pipeline.com>

Subject: Re:

You're right. Forgot that post, it's wrong. Thanks. I'll tweet a correction. Somebody could find what you found and use it for malign purpose. We don't mind malign purposes, BTW, do them ourselves.

You want credit?

---

Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2020 09:17:32 -0700

From: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>

To: John Young <jya@pipeline.com>

Subject: Re:

Sure, I guess? I don't care about credit for this either way, honestly.

The whole thing seems like an easy mistake to make since in that post I think you referenced the xyz directory for the torrents, but the z.7z was in a different subdirectory (just /z/ ?) and heavily compressed.

I think the main thing is just make it clear that the earlier post was a mistake so no one attacks the defense or accuses y'all of perjury or whatever the U.K. equivalent is. The whole thing should be easy to prove

since your statement and the current version of Cryptome both "show the math" anyway and Wayback Machine confirms z.7z has consistently been there for years... but you know how people grab onto initial headlines. Plenty of folks would remember that and not a later correction.

--

A narrow and tall one

---

Date: Fri, 25 Sep 2020 01:20 PM -0700  
To: "Mx. Yzptlk" <xxx@xxx>  
From: John Young <jya@pipeline.com>  
Subject: Re:

I tweeted a correction before getting this. Now I'm inspired to publish our emails for your comments are quite relevant to the Assange hearing charade.

Got an opinion on publishing the messages? If so, what ID for you?

Sure, this is entrapment of you, but that's what you have initiated here and previously, not only for me. So the messages are going up in the same way I kept tweeting information about the cables different from what the defense wanted, that is the fucking of me they wanted.

---