

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

116TH CONGRESS
1st Session

SENATE

REPORT
116-XX

(U) R E P O R T
OF THE
SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE
UNITED STATES SENATE
ON
RUSSIAN ACTIVE MEASURES CAMPAIGNS AND INTERFERENCE
IN THE 2016 U.S. ELECTION
VOLUME 4: REVIEW OF THE INTELLIGENCE
COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT
WITH ADDITIONAL VIEWS

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

(U) Review of the Intelligence Community Assessment

I. (U) INTRODUCTION.....6

II. (U) FINDINGS.....6

III. (U) BACKGROUND.....8

IV. [REDACTED].....10

 A. [REDACTED].....10

 B. [REDACTED].....13

 C. [REDACTED].....17

 1. [REDACTED].....18

 2. [REDACTED].....19

 3. [REDACTED].....20

 4. [REDACTED].....20

 5. [REDACTED].....20

 6. [REDACTED].....21

 7. [REDACTED].....22

 8. [REDACTED].....23

 9. [REDACTED].....24

10. [REDACTED]24

D. [REDACTED]26

V. (U) ICA REVIEW: TASKING TO PUBLICATION.....26

 A. (U) Tasking and Drafting.....26

 B. (U) Confidence Level Debate Over One Judgment.....32

 C. (U) Discussion of the “Steele Reporting” in the ICA.....38

 D. (U) Finalizing the ICA.....42

VI. (U) ICA REVIEW: KEY JUDGEMENTS.....46

 A.(U) On the Key Judgments of the December 2016 ICA.....46

 1.(U) Russian Efforts to Influence the 2016 Election.....46

 2.(U) Russian Leadership Intentions.....47

 3.(U) Multifaceted Russian Influence Operations.....48

 4.(U) The Threat from Future Russian Influence Operations.....50

 B.(U) Counterintelligence Investigations.....50

 C.(U) The Unfinished Work of Intelligence.....50

 D.(U) Intelligence Cited in the ICA.....51

VII. (U) ICA Review: Section-by-Section.....52

 A. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: Putin Ordered Campaign to Influence U.S. Election.....53

 1. (U) Discussion.....54

 2. (U) On Putin Directing the Active Measures.....67

 B. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: Russian Campaign Was Multifaceted.....82

 1. [REDACTED] Introduction.....82

 2. [REDACTED] First Sub-topic: Cyber Espionage Against U.S. Political Organizations.....91

 3. [REDACTED]96

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

4.(U) Third Sub-topic: Russian Cyber Intrusions Into State Electoral Infrastructure.....100

5.(U) Fourth Sub-topic: Russian Propaganda Efforts.....106

C. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: Influence Effort Was Boldest Yet in the US.....111

[REDACTED]113

1. [REDACTED]114

2. [REDACTED]115

3. [REDACTED]116

E. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: Election Operation Signals “New Normal” In Russian Influence Efforts.....118

1.(U) CIA Reporting.....119

2.(U) NSA Reporting.....123

3.(U) DIA Reporting.....124

4.(U) Open Source Reporting.....125

5.(U) Department of State Reporting.....126

6.(U) DHS Reporting.....126

VIII. [REDACTED]126

IX. (U) The ICA Annexes.....127

A [REDACTED]127

[REDACTED]128

C.(U/[REDACTED]) Annex [REDACTED]: Open Source Center Analysis: Russia—Kremlin’s TV Seeks To Influence Politics, Fuel Discontent in US, Published December 12, 2012.....132

D [REDACTED]133

E [REDACTED]133

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

F. [REDACTED]133

G. [REDACTED]133

H.(U) Annex [REDACTED]: Estimative Language.....134

[REDACTED]135

A.(U) Sensitivity of the Reporting.....135

B. [REDACTED]136

C. [REDACTED]138

(U) APPENDIX B: CIA ANALYSIS ON RUSSIAN ACTIVE MEASURES—
1981-1991, 2016.....144

 A.(U) Historical Analysis—1981-1991.....144

 B.(U) National Intelligence Council Report—2016.....150

(U) Appendix C: THE COMMITTEE REVIEW PROCESS.....153

(U) Additional Views of Senator Wyden.....157

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I. (U) INTRODUCTION

(U) The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence acknowledges the impressive accomplishment in drafting and coordinating of the Intelligence Community Assessment (ICA), which was completed as a “Memorandum for the President” on December 30, 2016, [REDACTED] and a declassified version dated January 6, 2017, and made available to the public on the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) website. The Committee’s review focused on the highly compartmented “Memorandum to the President.”

II. (U) FINDINGS

1. (U) The Committee found the ICA presents a coherent and well-constructed intelligence basis for the case of unprecedented Russian interference in the 2016 U.S. presidential election. On the analytic lines of the ICA, the Committee concludes that all [REDACTED] analytic lines are supported with all-source intelligence, although with varying substantiation. The Committee did not discover any significant analytic tradecraft issues in the preparation or final presentation of the ICA.

(U) The ICA reflects proper analytic tradecraft despite being tasked and completed within a compressed timeframe. The compact timeframe was a contributing factor for not conducting formal analysis of competing hypotheses.

(U) The differing confidence levels on one analytic judgment are justified and properly represented. Those in disagreement all stated that they had the opportunity to express differing points of view. The decision regarding the presentation of differing confidence levels was the responsibility of the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) John Brennan and the Director of the National Security Agency (NSA) Admiral Michael Rogers, both of whom independently expressed to the Committee that they reached the final wording openly and with sufficient exchanges of views.

(U) Multiple intelligence disciplines are used and identified throughout the ICA. Where the Committee noted concerns about the use of specific sources, in no case did the Committee conclude any analytic line was compromised as a result.

(U) In all the interviews of those who drafted and prepared the ICA, the Committee heard consistently that analysts were under no politically motivated pressure to reach specific conclusions. All analysts expressed that they were free to debate, object to content, and assess confidence levels, as is normal and proper for the analytic process.

2. (U) The Committee found that the agencies responsible for the ICA—CIA, NSA, and FBI, under the aegis of ODNI—met the primary tasking as directed by President Obama, which was to assemble a product that reflected the intelligence available to the Intelligence Community (IC) regarding Russian interference in the 2016 election.
3. (U) The Committee found that the ICA provides a proper representation of the intelligence collected by CIA, NSA, and FBI on Russian interference in 2016, and this body of evidence supports the substance and judgments of the ICA.

[REDACTED] Regarding FBI, the ICA states, in its “Scope and Sourcing” introduction, that “[w]e also do not include information from ongoing investigations.” [REDACTED] The Committee found that the information provided by Christopher Steele to FBI was not used in the body of the ICA or to support any of its analytic judgments. However, a summary of this material was included in Annex A as a compromise to FBI’s insistence that the information was responsive to the presidential tasking.

4. (U) The Committee found the ICA makes a clear argument that the manner and aggressiveness of the Russian interference was historically unprecedented. However, the ICA and its sources do not provide a substantial representation of Russian interference in the 2008 and 2012 presidential elections, as the Committee understands was part of the President’s original tasking.
5. (U [REDACTED]) The Committee found that the ICA did not provide a set of policy recommendations on how to respond to future Russian active measures, which was part of the tasking the President conveyed to the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) James Clapper. The ICA did include, in the compartmented version, an unclassified section independently produced by DHS, FBI, and the Department of Commerce’s National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), “DHS/FBI/NIST Recommendations: Options to Protect and Defend US Election Infrastructure and US Political Parties.”

(U) The absence of policy recommendations was deliberate, due to the well-established norm that the IC provides insight and warning to policy makers, but does not itself make policy.

6. (U) The Committee found the ICA would benefit from a more comprehensive presentation of how Russian propaganda—as generated by Russia’s multiple state-owned platforms—was used to complement the full Russian influence campaign.

(U) Open source collection is a long-standing discipline for CIA and other elements of the IC, and open source reporting is used throughout the ICA to support specific analytic assertions. However, open source reporting on RT and Sputnik’s coverage of WikiLeaks releases of Democratic National Committee (DNC) information would have strengthened the ICA’s examination of Russia’s use of propaganda. On this point, the Committee finds that Annex [REDACTED] of the ICA—“Open Source Center Analysis: Russia: Kremlin’s TV Seeks to Influence Politics, Fuel Discontent in US,” published December 12, 2012—should have been updated to provide a summary of Kremlin propaganda in 2016, thereby making a more relevant contribution to the ICA. An update to this assessment was not produced by the Open Source Enterprise until after the publication of the ICA.

7. (U) [REDACTED] The role of social media has been a significant focus by the Committee and is discussed in a separate volume of this report.

III. (U) BACKGROUND

(U) The Obama Administration released two versions of the ICA, a classified version on January 5 and a declassified version on January 6, 2017, both titled *Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections*.¹

[REDACTED]

1 [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED]

3 (U) The “Gang of Eight” is an informal term used to refer to House and Senate leadership and the leadership of the House and Senate intelligence committees.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED] The Committee held two hearings on January 10, 2017—one open hearing in the morning and one closed hearing in the afternoon—to take testimony on the ICA from Director Clapper, FBI Director James Comey, Director Brennan, and Admiral Rogers.⁴

(U) On January 23, Committee Chairman Burr and Vice Chairman Warner of the Committee convened a business meeting to discuss plans for the Committee’s oversight on the issue of Russian activities during the 2016 presidential election cycle.⁵

(U) At the business meeting, the Committee unanimously agreed to initiate a formal inquiry into the subject of Russian involvement in the 2016 elections. The Committee agreed to Terms of Reference delineating the lines of inquiry.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) The Committee conducted its examination of the ICA through document reviews of source documents and related materials and through interviews with IC officials involved in the direction, preparation, writing, editing, and review of the ICA.

[REDACTED]

4 (U) SSCI Open and Closed Hearings on Russian Active Measures Against the U.S. Political System, January 10, 2017.

5 (U) Throughout 2017 the Committee held numerous hearings and briefings on the subject of Russian active measures, and Committee staff organized briefings on this topic as part of regular oversight activities.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] In the case of FBI documentation for [REDACTED], FBI did not provide the correct underlying report until January 29, 2018.

IV. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

A. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

- [REDACTED]
- (U) On July 22, 2016, WikiLeaks began to disseminate material exfiltrated from the DNC.⁷
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Also [REDACTED] Director Brennan spoke with Director Comey and learned that FBI had an open investigation into potential ties between Moscow and U.S. persons associated with the Trump Campaign.¹⁰

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED]

8 (U) *Ibid.*

9 (U) *Ibid.*

10 [REDACTED] The Committee notes that FBI opened its formal investigation into potential U.S. person coordination with Russia on election interference, codenamed CROSSFIRE HURRICANE, on July 30, 2016. [REDACTED]

11 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

18

B. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED] 26

[REDACTED] 27

[REDACTED] 9

[REDACTED] 0

[REDACTED] 31

[REDACTED]

26 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 35.
27 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 17-19.
28 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 20-21.
29 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 16-17.
30 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 21-23.
31 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 18.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

32

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

33

[REDACTED]

32 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 36-38, 43.

33 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 26-27.

34 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 26.

35 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 52.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

36

[REDACTED]

37

[REDACTED]

38

[REDACTED]

39

[REDACTED]

36 [REDACTED]

37 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 80-84.

38 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 35.

39 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 64-65.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

C. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

40 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 71-72.

41 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 97-98.

42 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

⁴³

2. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

43 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

3. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

44 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

7. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

45
46

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

8. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

47 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

9. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

10. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

48 (U) The public statement refers to the joint public statement by ODNI and DHS on October 7, 2016.

49 [REDACTED]

50 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

51 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

D. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

V. (U) ICA REVIEW: TASKING TO PUBLICATION

A. (U) Tasking and Drafting

[REDACTED] In a meeting of the NSC on December 6, 2016, President Obama instructed Director Clapper to have the Intelligence Community prepare a comprehensive report on Russian interference in the 2016 presidential election.⁵³ Director Clapper told the Committee: “I don’t think we would have mounted the effort we did, probably, to be honest, in the absence of presidential direction, because that kind of cleared the way on sharing all the accesses.”⁵⁴

[REDACTED] The President directed that the report include everything the IC knew about Russian interference in the 2016 elections. In addition, the tasking included providing the IC’s understanding of the historical context of Russian interference in U.S. political processes, focusing on the 2008 and 2012 elections. The presidential tasking also requested recommendations on how to prevent interference in the future and how to strengthen electoral systems.⁵⁵ Finally, the instruction was to have a version available to brief Congress, and also a declassified version releasable to the public. The President requested this product be completed

52 [REDACTED]

53 (U) Chronology and dates for the drafting of the ICA draw from many interviews conducted by the Committee.

54 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with James Clapper, Former Director of National Intelligence, July 17, 2017, p. 53.

55 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, p. 8.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

by the end of his Administration, January 20, 2017. There was no document memorializing this presidential direction.

[REDACTED] The same day, Director Clapper passed the President’s verbal direction to the National Intelligence Council (NIC), specifically the National Intelligence Officer (NIO) for Russia and Eurasia, Julia Gurganus, and the NIO for Cyber Issues, Vinh Nguyen. [REDACTED]

⁵⁶

[REDACTED] The NIO for Russia and Eurasia and the NIO for Cyber Issues held a community meeting [REDACTED] to discuss the tasking, assignment of responsibilities, outline, scope, and approach for the project. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

⁵⁷

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

56 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, p. 10.

57 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, pp. 22-23, 32.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] FBI assigned two counterintelligence analysts to the ICA team, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]⁵⁸

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

58 (U) Interview with Bill Priestap, Assistant Director, Counterintelligence Division, FBI, April 13, 2017; Interview with [Section Chief, Counterintelligence Analysis Section, CD-1, FBI] April 21, 2017. The Section Chief of Analysis was Jonathan Moffa.

59 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED] 60

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

60 (U) *Ibid.*

61 [REDACTED]

62 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, pp. 38-39, 48-49.

63 [REDACTED]

64 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

65 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, p. 86.

66 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 38-39.

67 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with National Security Agency Panel, May 18, 2017, p. 50.

68 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 66-73.

69 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, *ibid.*, May 9, 2017, pp. 72-73.

70 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, *ibid.*, May 9, 2017, p. 65.

71 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, *ibid.*, pp. 74.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

74

[REDACTED]

5

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

72 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with National Security Agency Panel, May 18, 2017, pp. 52-55.

73 [REDACTED]

74 [REDACTED]

75 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

B. (U) Confidence Level Debate Over One Judgment

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

76 [REDACTED]

77 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with Admiral Michael S. Rogers, USN, Director, National Security Agency, and Commander, U.S. Cyber Command, March 19, 2018, p. 58.

78 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 62-65.

79 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 61.

80 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 60.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

81 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 53. (“One guy speaks for NSA.”)

82 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 82.

83 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with James Clapper, Former Director of National Intelligence, July 17, 2017, p. 54. In November 2016, the transition team invited Admiral Rogers to New York to interview for the DNI position. Admiral Rogers told the Committee that he met with the President-elect (“did a job interview, went back to my job . . . [w]hich had zero impact on anything I have ever done.”) Admiral Rogers also told the Committee that he consulted with his lawyer and spoke with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff before going to the interview. See SSCI Transcript of the Interview with Admiral Michael S. Rogers, USN, Director, National Security Agency, and Commander, U.S. Cyber Command, March 19, 2018, p. 104.

84 [REDACTED]

85 [REDACTED]

86 [REDACTED]

87 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with Admiral Michael S. Rogers, USN, Director, National Security Agency, and Commander, U.S. Cyber Command, March 19, 2018, p. 54.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

88 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 61.

89 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with National Security Agency Panel, May 18, 2017, pp. 52-53.

90 [REDACTED]

91 [REDACTED]

92 (U) *Ibid.*

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

93 [REDACTED]
3, *ibid.*, p. 88.

94 [REDACTED]

95 [REDACTED]

96 [REDACTED]

97 [REDACTED]

98 [REDACTED]

99 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

101

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

104

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

100

101 *Ibid.*, p. 72.

102 *Ibid.*, p. 70.

103 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with Admiral Michael S. Rogers, USN, Director, National Security Agency, and Commander, U.S. Cyber Command, March 19, 2018, p. 66.

104 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 67.

105 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 68.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

106

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

109

[REDACTED]

106 [REDACTED]

107 [REDACTED]

108 (U) *ibid.*, pp. 57-58, 71-72.

109 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

C. (U) Discussion of the “Steele Reporting” in the ICA

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The Committee reviewed the debate over whether and where to include the Steele materials with all participants to the drafting of the ICA. In the first meeting of the Committee with Assistant Director for the Counterintelligence Division (AD/CD) of the FBI, he articulated the FBI’s concerns. First was the directive from the President to include all the information the IC had on Russian involvement in the 2016 presidential election, and this included material that FBI held. Even though the Assistant Director suggested that “the FBI didn’t want to stand behind it,” it qualified for this directive. Second, the question immediately became how to handle this information in the drafting of the ICA. This was ultimately resolved by including the information as Annex A, a two-page summary attached only to the most classified version of the ICA (i.e., the “Memorandum to the President” version).¹¹² FBI officials told the Committee that they “would have had a major problem if Annex A had not been included,” and that FBI believed they “had to put everything in.”¹¹³

[REDACTED]

¹¹⁰ [REDACTED]

¹¹¹ [REDACTED]

¹¹² (U) Interview with Bill Priestap, Assistant Director, Counterintelligence Division, FBI, April 13, 2017.

¹¹³ (U) Interview with [Section Chief, Counterintelligence Analysis Section, CD-1, FBI] April 21, 2017.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

14

[REDACTED]

116

[REDACTED]

122

[REDACTED]

114 [REDACTED]
115 [REDACTED]
116 [REDACTED]
117 [REDACTED]

118 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 76, 78.

119 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 77.

120 (U) *Ibid.*

121 (U) *Ibid.*

122 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 78.

123 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED] 124

[REDACTED] 125

[REDACTED] Director Clapper later reiterated to the SSCI in a closed hearing in May 2018:

[REDACTED] 26

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

124 [REDACTED]
125 [REDACTED]
126 (U) Closed SSCI Hearing with Former Intelligence Directors, May 16, 2018, pp. 33-34.
127 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

128

[REDACTED]

129

[REDACTED]

130

[REDACTED] Director Comey addressed the question of the dossier and its placement when asked by SSCI Chairman Burr whether he “insisted that the dossier be part of the ICA in any way, shape, or form?” Director Comey replied:

*I insisted that we bring it to the party, and I was agnostic as to whether it was footnoted in the document itself, put as an annex. I have some recollection of talking to John Brennan maybe at some point saying: I don't really care, but I think it is relevant and so ought to be part of the consideration.*¹³¹

[REDACTED] NSA played no role in the debate over the Steele reporting and the ICA. NSA [REDACTED] told the Committee they had “no role in drafting, nor role in its inclusion, no role in reviewing the source material, became aware of it as it was appended.”¹³² NSA [REDACTED] first

128 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 60-61.

129 [REDACTED] Closed SSCI Hearing with Former Intelligence Directors, May 16, 2018, pp. 34-35.

130 [REDACTED]

131 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Closed SSCI Hearing with James Comey, Former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, June 8, 2017, p. 49.

132 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with National Security Agency Panel, May 18, 2017, p. 86.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] From December 30, 2016, through January 5, 2017, the NIOs hosted several meetings and SVTCs to produce the [REDACTED] of the Memorandum to the President.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) While noting details of disagreement in content and confidence levels as reviewed above, the Committee heard no significant criticisms or objections to the ICA process, or the resulting paper, from all the analysts, managers, and agency heads interviewed. Every witness interviewed believed the collaboration was transparent and professionally candid, including disagreements over confidence levels. Every witness interviewed by the Committee stated that he or she saw no attempts or pressure to politicize the findings.

(U) The Committee asked about the lack of recommendations, how the process compared with other ICA productions, how additional time would have benefited this ICA

140 [REDACTED]
141 [REDACTED]

142 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, pp. 121-122.
143 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 124.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

exercise, and how and whether the counterintelligence question was handled during the ICA process.

[REDACTED] The President’s original tasking included a request for recommendations about protecting electoral infrastructure and responding to foreign interference in the future. All witnesses interviewed by the Committee stated the position that the IC does not make policy recommendations. The NIO for Russia and Eurasia stated, “that’s an area we don’t touch,” while noting that the final “Memorandum to the President” included, as a separate document (but not an annex), an unclassified, eleven-page paper produced by DHS, FBI, and the Department of Commerce’s National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST), titled “Recommendations: Options to Protect and Defend US Election Infrastructure and US Political Parties.” NIO for Russia and Eurasia stated there was no input to this document from anyone writing the ICA.¹⁴⁴

[REDACTED] The NIO for Russia and Eurasia was mindful of the President’s full direction and stated that she reached out to both the National Security Council (NSC) and the Department of State’s Bureau of Intelligence and Research (INR) in the period after the first complete draft was presented on December 19 and presented a deadline to submit policy recommendations by December 27. The NIO received no responses.¹⁴⁵

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

¹⁴⁶

[REDACTED] The other key difference in this process, according to the NIO for Russia and Eurasia, was dealing with discussion of U.S. persons. The NIO noted, “[d]ay to day, we do not deal with U.S persons in any way.” The participants in the process even debated whether to

144 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 112.
145 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 113.
146 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, *ibid.*, pp. 61-64.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

name the two leading candidates. Regarding the option of leaving the candidates unidentified, NIO for Russia and Eurasia concluded this made “no sense . . . but that felt uncomfortable, I think, for a lot of us.”¹⁴⁷

[REDACTED] Noting the imperative of the tight deadline, the Committee asked how additional time would have affected the quality of the ICA. The NIO for Russia and Eurasia responded that it would have allowed the opportunity to [REDACTED]¹⁴⁸

[REDACTED] NIO for Cyber Issues stated:

*[More time would have helped] to collate FBI information in terms of the changes [REDACTED] because their reporting and the reporting from private industry and states takes a long time. . . . You can see in the paper the line where we say [REDACTED] because we [REDACTED]. And if we had [REDACTED], we could have something more complete.*¹⁴⁹

[REDACTED] NIO for Russia and Eurasia also believed more time would have allowed for:

[REDACTED]¹⁵⁰

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]¹⁵¹

147 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, *ibid.*, p. 102.

148 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 103-104.

149 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, *ibid.*, p. 103.

150 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, *ibid.*

[REDACTED] The Committee asked the NIOs who worked on the ICA how they handled the question of whether activities were being coordinated between the Russian government and the Trump Campaign. The NIO for Russia and Eurasia responded that “it did not come up. . . . We didn’t have any evidence for that. There was not information that pointed us in that direction.” The NIO for Cyber Issues stated “[w]e rely on our FBI colleagues to bring it up. But it was not discussed.”¹⁵³ As noted elsewhere, neither the Fusion Cell members nor the ICA authors were read into the FBI’s investigation.

[REDACTED]

VI. (U) ICA REVIEW: KEY JUDGEMENTS

(U) The Committee finds that the IC met President Obama’s primary tasking and that the ICA is a sound intelligence product. While the Committee had to rely on the agencies that the sensitive information and accesses had been accurately reported, as part of its review the Committee examined analytic procedures and interviewed analysts, managers, and senior intelligence officers who wrote and oversaw the production and who were well-versed with the information. These findings are based on these interviews as well as a detailed review of the entire body of finished intelligence reporting used to substantiate the ICA.

(U) In all the interviews of those who drafted and prepared the ICA, the Committee heard consistently that analysts were under no politically motivated pressure to reach specific conclusions. All analysts expressed that they were free to debate, object to content, and assess confidence levels, as is normal and proper for the analytic process.

A. (U) On the Key Judgments of the December 2016 ICA

1. (U) Russian Efforts to Influence the 2016 Election

(U) The ICA states:

Russian efforts to influence the 2016 presidential election represent the most recent expression of Moscow’s longstanding desire to undermine the U.S.-led liberal democratic order, but these activities demonstrated a significant

151 [REDACTED]

152 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, *ibid.*, p. 61.

153 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, *ibid.*, p. 116-117.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

escalation in directness, level of activity, and scope of effort compared to previous operations.

(U) The ICA revealed the elements of a comprehensive and multifaceted Russian campaign against the United States as it was understood by the U.S. Intelligence Community at the end of 2016. The intelligence presented supports the judgment that the Russian campaign “demonstrated a significant escalation in directness, level of activity, and scope of effort compared to previous operations.”

(U) However, the Committee found the ICA’s treatment of the historical context of Russian interference in U.S. domestic politics limited.

2. (U) Russian Leadership Intentions

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) The Committee found that the ICA provided a range of all-source reporting to support these assessments.

(U) The Committee found that specific intelligence as well as open source assessments support the assessment that President Putin approved and directed aspects of this influence campaign.

(U) Further, a body of reporting, to include different intelligence disciplines, open source reporting on Russian leadership policy preferences, and Russian media content, showed that Moscow sought to denigrate then-candidate Clinton.

(U) The Committee found that the ICA presents information from public Russian leadership commentary, Russian state media reports, and specific intelligence reporting to support the assessment that Putin and the Russian Government demonstrated a preference for candidate Trump.

(U) Russia leadership intentions is the only assessment in the ICA that had different confidence levels between the participating agencies—the CIA and FBI assessed with “high confidence” and the NSA assessed with “moderate confidence”—so the Committee gave this section additional attention. The Committee finds that the analytic disagreement was reasonable, transparent, and openly debated among the agencies and analysts, with analysts, managers, and agency heads on both sides of the confidence level reasonably justifying their positions.

3. (U) Multifaceted Russian Influence Operations

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

(U) The Committee found that the ICA presents a detailed assessment of the elements that comprised the influence campaign, [REDACTED]

(U) While the ICA cites examples of Russian media in 2016, its analysis of RT (formerly Russia Today) and Sputnik is dated, and the ICA does not provide an updated assessment of this Russian capability in 2016, which the Committee found to be a shortcoming in the ICA, as this is information that was available in open sources.

4. (U) The Threat from Future Russian Influence Operations

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) Since January 2017, the Committee has discovered additional examples of Russia’s attempt to sow discord, undermine democratic institutions, and interfere in U.S. elections. The Committee also has reviewed intelligence demonstrating Russia’s efforts to interfere in the elections of our allies as well as countries Russia perceives to be in its sphere of influence.

B. (U) Counterintelligence Investigations

(U) The ICA did not attempt to address ongoing investigations, to include whether Russian intelligence services attempted to recruit sources with access to any campaign. All individuals the Committee interviewed stated that the Steele material did not in any way inform the analysis in the ICA—including the key judgments—because it was unverified information and had not been disseminated as serialized intelligence reporting. The material was instead included in Annex A of the compartmented ICA.

C. (U) The Unfinished Work of Intelligence

(U) The Committee notes that, as is the case with all intelligence questions, information continues to be gathered and analyzed. The Committee finds the conclusions of the ICA are sound, and is reassured by the fact that collection and analysis subsequent to the ICA’s publication continue to reinforce its assessments.

D. (U) Intelligence Cited in the ICA

(U) The ICA has [REDACTED] footnotes. Source documents for the footnotes include:¹⁵⁴

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]¹⁵⁷
- [REDACTED] From FBI—intelligence reports cited fifty-one times, including FBI Intelligence Information Reports (IIRs) [REDACTED] “Letterhead Memorandum” (LHM), which is an FBI manner for formally assembling intelligence reporting on various topics; and records of victim notifications.

154 [REDACTED]

155 [REDACTED]

156 [REDACTED] SSCI Transcript of the Closed Hearing on Russian Active Measures Against the U.S. Political System, January 10, 2017, pp. 16-19. [REDACTED]

157 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 10-13.

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

VII. (U) ICA Review: Section-by-Section

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: *Putin Ordered Campaign To Influence U.S. Election* [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: *Russian Campaign Was Multifaceted* [REDACTED] This section had five sub-headings:
 - o *Cyber Espionage Against U.S. Political Organizations* [REDACTED]
 - o *Public Disclosures of Russian-Collected Data* [REDACTED]
 - o *Russian Cyber Intrusions Into State Electoral Infrastructure* [REDACTED]
 - o *Russian Propaganda Efforts* [REDACTED]
 - o [REDACTED]

158

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

- [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: *Influence Effort Was Boldest Yet in the U.S.* [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: *Election Operation Signals “New Normal” In Russian Influence Efforts* [REDACTED]
- A. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: **Putin Ordered Campaign to Influence U.S. Election**

(U) The Committee found that reporting from multiple intelligence disciplines was used as evidence to support this analytic line, and that the analytic tradecraft was transparent.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

159 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1. (U) Discussion

[REDACTED]

a. (U) Open Source Reporting

160 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED] 161

• [REDACTED] 162

• [REDACTED] 163

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

161 [REDACTED]
162 (U) DNI, [REDACTED] April 5, 2016 [REDACTED]

163 [REDACTED]

164 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

166

b. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

165 [REDACTED]

166

167 [REDACTED]

168

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

c. (U) NSA Reporting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

169 [REDACTED]

170 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

173

d. (U) FBI Reporting

[REDACTED]

171
172

173 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, p. 40.

174 (U) FBI, IIR 4 212 0834 17, November 2, 2016 [REDACTED] FBI, IIR 4 212 0168 17, October 11, 2016 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

d. (U) CIA Reporting

[REDACTED]

i. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

175 [REDACTED]

176 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

177 [REDACTED]
178 [REDACTED]
179 [REDACTED]
180 [REDACTED]

181 [REDACTED]

182 [REDACTED]
183 [REDACTED]

184 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

185 [REDACTED]
186 [REDACTED]
187 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

188 [REDACTED]
189 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ii. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

190 [REDACTED]
191 [REDACTED]
192 [REDACTED]
193 [REDACTED]
194 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

iii. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

195 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

196 [REDACTED]
197 [REDACTED]
198 [REDACTED]
199 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2. (U) On Putin Directing the Active Measures

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

204 [REDACTED]

205 [REDACTED]

206 [REDACTED]

207 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

208
209

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

b. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

210 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

211 [REDACTED]
212 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

213 [REDACTED]

214 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, pp. 77-78.

215 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, *ibid.*, p. 79.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

216 (U) NIO for Cyber Issues, *ibid.*, p. 81.

217 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, *ibid.*, pp. 82-83.

218 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with National Security Agency Panel, May 18, 2017, pp. 29-30.

219 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

220

221
222

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

223 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

224 [REDACTED]

225 [REDACTED]
226 [REDACTED]

227 [REDACTED]

228 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

c. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

229 [REDACTED]

230 [REDACTED]

231 [REDACTED]

232 [REDACTED]

233 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

234 [REDACTED]

235 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

236 [REDACTED]
237 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

238 [REDACTED]
239 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

d. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

240 [REDACTED]
241 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

242 [REDACTED]

243 [REDACTED]

244 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

B. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: Russian Campaign Was Multifaceted

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

1. [REDACTED] Introduction

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

(U) The Committee found that this section is supported and substantiated by multiple intelligence disciplines. The cited sources support logically defensible conclusions, and their use is consistent with proper analytic standards.

[REDACTED] The Committee found that the analysis does not exceed the evidence presented
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

252

251
252

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

a. [REDACTED] On Putin's Role

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

253 [REDACTED]
254 [REDACTED]
255 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

• [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

58

[REDACTED]

256 [REDACTED]
257 [REDACTED]
258 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

259

[REDACTED]

260

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

261

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

259 [REDACTED]

260 [REDACTED]

261 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED] 266

[REDACTED] 267

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 268

[REDACTED] 270

b. (U) On Russia’s Influence Capabilities

[REDACTED]

“Moscow’s campaign aimed at the U.S. election reflected years of investment in its capabilities, which Moscow has honed in the former Soviet states.” [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

266 (U) *Ibid.*, p 52.

267 [REDACTED]

268 [REDACTED]

269 (U) *Ibid.* p. 85.

270 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

271 [REDACTED]
272 [REDACTED]

273 [REDACTED]
274 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

c. (U) On the Challenge of Attributing Moscow's Actions

[REDACTED]

275
276
277
278

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] Sub-topic: Cyber Espionage Against U.S. Political Organizations

(U) The Committee found that this sub-topic is supported and substantiated by multiple intelligence disciplines. The cited sources support logically defensible conclusions, and their use is consistent with proper analytic standards.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

279 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

a. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b. (U) GRU Operations

[REDACTED]

280 [REDACTED]

281 [REDACTED]

282 [REDACTED]

283 [REDACTED]

284 [REDACTED]

285 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

287

[REDACTED]

288

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

89

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

290

[REDACTED]

286
287
288
289
290

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

295

[REDACTED]

291

292

293

294

295

296

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

297 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

3. [REDACTED]

(U) The Committee found that this sub-topic is supported and substantiated by multiple intelligence disciplines. The cited sources support logically defensible conclusions, and their use is consistent with proper analytic standards.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

a. (U) NSA Reporting

[REDACTED]

298 [REDACTED]
299 [REDACTED]

300 (U) Department of Justice, Office of Inspector General, *A Review of Various Actions by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and Department of Justice in Advance of the 2016 Election*, classified Appendix One, July 2018.

301 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

302

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

303

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

304

[REDACTED]

306

302
303
304
305
306

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b. (U) Open Source Reporting

[REDACTED]

310

307

308

309

310

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

312

[REDACTED]

c. (U) CIA Reporting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

311 [REDACTED]

312 [REDACTED]

313 [REDACTED]

314 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] discussed above under the first analytic line of the ICA.

[REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED] Sub-topic: Russian Cyber Intrusions Into State Electoral Infrastructure

(U) The Committee found that this sub-topic cites multiple intelligence disciplines. With the limited exception of certain FBI materials, the sources cited support logically defensible conclusions that are consistent with proper analytic standards.

[REDACTED] The Committee found that while FBI material is used for most citations, some FBI reports referenced vary in relevance and transparency, and one FBI report is missing.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

315 [REDACTED]
316 [REDACTED]
317 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

a. (U) CIA Reporting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

318 [REDACTED]
319 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b. (U) NSA Reporting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

c. (U) FBI Reporting

[REDACTED] Nine FBI reports are used to support language about specific Russian intelligence activities targeting the U.S. electoral system as well as cyber intrusions in the U.S. The most extensive report supports the ICA assessment that [REDACTED]

320 [REDACTED]
321 [REDACTED]
322 [REDACTED]
323 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

This report is an LHM dated October 21 from the FBI to [REDACTED]
This six-page LHM has twenty-one footnotes citing FBI case investigations, [REDACTED]
This LHM states:

[REDACTED]

24

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

25

[REDACTED] Other FBI reports submitted for this section include four reports cited for the ICA bullet, which states:

[REDACTED]

324 [REDACTED]
325 [REDACTED]
326 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

One FBI IIR cited identifies [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The FBI reporting cited includes an unclassified email with an unprinted zip file attachment, and therefore cannot be judged to support the ICA language.³²⁸ The other footnote to this statement cites a document missing in both copies of supporting materials provided to the Committee.³²⁹ Therefore, the Committee cannot verify if the information cited supports the ICA language.

d. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

327 (U) FBI, IIR 4 213 3763 16, March 21, 2016, [REDACTED]
328 (U//) FBI, Electronic Communication, *Log Files Provided by [Redacted]*, October 26, 2016. [REDACTED]

329 The [REDACTED] is to [REDACTED]
The Committee was not provided the underlying document.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

330

[REDACTED]

31

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

332

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

330 [REDACTED]

331 (U) *Ibid.*

332 (U) *Ibid.*

333 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED] **Sub-topic: Russian Propaganda Efforts**

(U) The Committee found that analysts draw inferences from open source reporting in this sub-topic.

(U) The Committee found that CIA, FBI, and NSA reporting is properly used to support this section, and this sub-topic expounds on the propaganda element in the Russian influence campaign in 2016. The use of sources, except where noted, supports logically defensible conclusions that are consistent with proper analytic standards.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

336

a. (U) **Open Source Reporting**

[REDACTED]

334 [REDACTED]

335 [REDACTED]

336 [REDACTED]

337 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

338

(U) Throughout this section, open source reporting supports the text in the ICA, with the following notable exceptions.

[REDACTED]

339

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b. (U) CIA Reporting

[REDACTED]

338

339

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

340 [REDACTED]

341 (U) *Ibid.*

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

342

c. (U) NSA Reporting

[REDACTED]

343

[REDACTED]

345

d. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

342
343

344
345

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

346 [REDACTED]
347 [REDACTED]
348 [REDACTED]
349 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

domestic politics is limited, and the over-redacted FBI references in the ICA source book make the assessments in this section difficult to substantiate.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

354

[REDACTED]

354 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

355

[REDACTED]

356

[REDACTED] Of the other four separate FBI reports, three are heavily redacted. In each case, the reports put in the source book include several blank pages with no dates or headings, and isolated paragraphs that refer obliquely to the examples of possible historic active measures cited in this section of the ICA, ranging from 1984 to 1999.³⁵⁷

[REDACTED]

358

D. [REDACTED]

355 (U) *Ibid.*

356 (U) [REDACTED] FBI, IIR 4212366812, February 27, 2012 [REDACTED]

357 [REDACTED] FBI, Internal report, November 16, 1999 [REDACTED] FBI, Internal report, October 8, 1991; FBI, Internal report, March 12, 1984. The document provided to the Committee for the November 16, 1999 reference and the October 8, 1991 reference are each seven pages, five of which are blank and two of which include an excerpted paragraph of text. The March 12, 1984 reference includes nine blank pages and two pages which have an excerpted paragraph.

358 (U) [REDACTED] FBI, IIR 4 212 2510 09, January 13, 2009 [REDACTED] Scott Shane and Charlie Savage, "In Ordinary Lives, U.S. Sees the Work of Russian Agents," *New York Times*, June 28, 2010 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1. (U) NSA Reporting

[REDACTED]

359 [REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

2. (U) FBI Reporting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 361

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 62

360 [REDACTED] FBI, [REDACTED], October 18, 2016 [REDACTED]

361 [REDACTED] The LHM submitted to the ICA source book reviewed by the Committee [REDACTED] all of which are also redacted.

362 [REDACTED] FBI, LHM [REDACTED], December 27, 2016 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

364

3. (U) CIA Reporting

[REDACTED]

363 [REDACTED] As previously discussed, the Steele material was summarized in Annex A of the ICA, “Additional Reporting From an FBI Source on Russian Influence Efforts.” The reports in the Steele material are labeled “company reports,” which the FBI cite as such in their LHM under the designation “CROWN.”

364 [REDACTED] FBI, LHM [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] December 27, 2016 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

365 [REDACTED]

366 [REDACTED]
367 [REDACTED]

368 [REDACTED]
369 [REDACTED]

370 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

E. [REDACTED] Analytic Line [REDACTED]: Election Operation Signals “New Normal” In Russian Influence Efforts

(U) The Committee found that multiple intelligence disciplines are used to support this analytic line, the analytic tradecraft is transparent, and the sources support the language of the ICA, except where noted.

(U) While the Committee notes that “new normal” is an imprecise term, the Committee found that this section convincingly argues that Russia’s use of active measures to target

371 [REDACTED]

elections has been widely applied outside the United States over the past decade.

(U) The analytic line on “new normal” serves as both prediction and warning, and the Committee notes that IC and open source reporting has revealed much evidence to reinforce the IC’s 2016 assessments.

[REDACTED]

The [REDACTED] final analytic line of the ICA states:

We assess Moscow will apply lessons learned from its campaign aimed at the U.S. presidential election to future influence efforts in the U.S. and worldwide. We assess the Russian intelligence services would have seen their election influence as at least a qualified success [REDACTED] because of their perceived ability to impact public discussion in the U.S. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1. (U) CIA Reporting

372 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

373 [REDACTED]
374 [REDACTED]
375 [REDACTED]
376 [REDACTED]
377 [REDACTED]
378 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

379 [REDACTED]

380 (U) *Ibid.*

381 [REDACTED]

382 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

383 (U) *Ibid.*

384 [REDACTED]

385 [REDACTED]

386 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2. (U) NSA Reporting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

387 [REDACTED]
388 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

3. (U) DIA Reporting

[REDACTED]

389 [REDACTED]
390 [REDACTED]
391 [REDACTED]
392 [REDACTED]
393 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

4. (U) Open Source Reporting

(U) Four open source articles are cited in this section, beginning with a reprise of the article

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

394 [REDACTED]

395 [REDACTED]

396 [REDACTED]

397 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

398

5. (U) Department of State Reporting

[REDACTED]

399

6. (U) DHS Reporting

[REDACTED]

400

VIII. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

398

[REDACTED]

399

400

[REDACTED]

401

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

IX. (U) The ICA Annexes

(U) The ICA includes an unclassified, twelve-page addendum that provides recommendations jointly prepared by DHS, FBI, and the NIST to protect U.S. election infrastructure and political entities.

(U) The addendum represents a partial response to the original presidential tasking for the ICA, which included a request for recommendations. According to Director Clapper, “[w]hat we ended up doing was focusing on the cyber things that could be done. That’s what generated separately on an unclassified basis the best practices paper that DHS and FBI did.”⁴⁰²

(U) The Committee addresses election security issues in Volume 1 of this report, including some of the recommendations made in this annex.

- A. [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

402 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Interview with James Clapper, Former Director of National Intelligence, July 17, 2017, p. 42.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Annex A includes qualifiers for the Steele material, but does not mention the private clients who paid for Steele’s work. The Committee found no evidence that analysts working on the ICA were aware of the political provenance of the Steele material.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

403

[REDACTED]

B.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

403 [REDACTED]

404 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

405

[REDACTED]

406

[REDACTED]

407

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

410

[REDACTED]

408

409
410
411
412
413

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

18

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

414 [REDACTED]
415 [REDACTED]
416 [REDACTED]
417 [REDACTED]
418 [REDACTED]
419 [REDACTED]
420 [REDACTED]
421 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

C. (U) [REDACTED] Annex [REDACTED]: Open Source Center Analysis: Russia—Kremlin’s TV Seeks To Influence Politics, Fuel Discontent in US, Published December 12, 2012

(U) Annex [REDACTED] is referred to in the section in the ICA on “Russian Propaganda Efforts.” [REDACTED] It is a nine-page unclassified report produced by the Open Source Center (OSC, now Open Source Enterprise, OSE) in 2012. The NIO for Russia and Eurasia characterized this report to the Committee as an “off-the-shelf OSE product from 2012.”⁴²⁴ It was not updated until after the publication of the ICA.⁴²⁵

(U) Annex [REDACTED] introduces the role of Russian social media only near the end, and provides a graphic of “comparative social media footprint” contrasting RT and RT America with Al Jazeera English, BBC World, CNN, and CNN International social media broadcasting. However, it does so without proper contextualization for the platforms highlighted (YouTube, Twitter, Facebook). Further, the product includes statements such as, “[a]ccording to market research company Nielsen, RT had the most rapid growth (40%) among all international news channels in the United States over the past year.” [REDACTED] This statement could be interpreted to imply media prominence greater than it actually was, as this was only a measure of YouTube views, which are a distinct subcategory of “all informational news channels.” This product makes no mention of the Internet Research Agency or Russia’s use of social media trolls, the latter of which the Russian Government had already developed by 2012.

422 [REDACTED]

423 (U) [REDACTED] FBI, Electronic Communication, [REDACTED], August 22, 2016 [REDACTED] FBI, Electronic Communication, [REDACTED], December 2, 2016 [REDACTED]

424 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, p. 99.

425 [REDACTED]

(U) The Committee believes this annex, while providing a historical snapshot of one aspect of Russian propaganda in 2012, does not contribute to understanding Russian interference in the 2016 election. Recognizing that the ICA’s authors were working under a compressed time table, the presentation of the multifaceted aspects of the Russian interference campaign—specifically, the use of RT, Sputnik, other Russian media platforms, social media, and trolls, as part of the propaganda element of the influence campaign—would have been much stronger with an updated OSE annex.

D. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

E. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

F. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

G. [REDACTED]

426 (U) NIO for Russia and Eurasia, SSCI Transcript of the Interview with [NIO for Russia and Eurasia] and [NIO for Cyber Issues], May 9, 2017, p. 16.

427 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

H. (U) Annex [REDACTED]: Estimative Language

(U) Annex [REDACTED] is standard language that is attached to NIC products.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

428 [REDACTED]

429 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 35-37.

430 (U) SSCI Transcript of the Closed Hearing: Former Intel Directors Panel on Russian Interference, May 16, 2018, pp. 18-19.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

436 [REDACTED]

437 [REDACTED]

438 [REDACTED]

439 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 45.

440 [REDACTED]

441 [REDACTED]

442 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

443 [REDACTED]
444 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 29-30.
445 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 59.
446 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 60.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

452 [REDACTED]

453 [REDACTED]

454 (U) *Ibid.*, p. 43-44.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

456

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

457

455 [REDACTED]

456 [REDACTED]

457 (U) *Ibid.*, pp. 10-15.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

458

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

(U) APPENDIX B: CIA ANALYSIS ON RUSSIAN ACTIVE MEASURES—1981-1991, 2016

A. (U) Historical Analysis—1981-1991

[REDACTED] As noted in the Committee’s report, the Committee believes that the ICA and its supporting sources do not provide a satisfactory representation of Russian interference in the two previous presidential elections, as requested in the original POTUS tasking. Noting the lack of information provided to support the ICA on this issue, the Committee could not determine whether this was due to a lack of collection, or the failure of the three agencies, particularly the FBI, which holds primary responsibility for counterintelligence threats to U.S. domestic targets, to access historical reporting or produce finished analysis. As noted in the Committee’s report, FBI support for the historical record presented in the ICA is so redacted as to be largely meaningless to anyone reviewing these reports for the ICA.

(U) The authors of the ICA used popular and academic open source reporting for this annex, [REDACTED] 459, 460

(U) During this review, the Committee requested that CIA’s Center for the Study of Intelligence (CSI) search CIA’s holdings for analytic products on Russian active measures. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] These reports demonstrate a body of information known to the IC about Russian active measures, including definitions, organization, goals, types of activities, and leadership direction. None are referenced in the ICA.

(U) The following products were provided to the Committee by CSI, and are attached to the Committee’s report:

- [REDACTED]
- (U) *The Soviet Foreign Propaganda Apparatus*, Research Paper, Directorate of Intelligence, Office of Soviet Analysis, April 1986.

459 [REDACTED]

460 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) For the purpose of highlighting what the CIA knew about the history of Russian active measures, this section organized select excerpts from these products under four themes:

- (U) *Definitions and Activities* of Russian Active Measures;
- (U) *Leadership Direction and Cost Assessment* for Russian Active Measures;
- (U) *Goals* of Russian Active Measures; and,
- (U) *Understanding* Russian Active Measures.

(U) Nb: All text under each heading is quoted directly from the product.

[REDACTED] *Definitions and Activities*

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] *Leadership Direction and Cost Assessment*

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] *Goal of Active Measures*

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] *Understanding Active Measures*

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

B. (U) National Intelligence Council Report—2016

[REDACTED] Nearly 15 years later, the National Intelligence Council prepared the following paper on Russian active measures:

- [REDACTED]
National Intelligence Council Report, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] January 19, 2016.

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

[REDACTED] All products previously cited in this appendix are historical intelligence products on Russian active measures from the period 1981-1991, covering the period from when the U.S. made its last concerted effort to confront Russia's use of active measures through the end of the Cold War. This NIC product was produced at the beginning of 2016, with no specific anticipation of the Russian interference to come that year. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

All text below is quoted directly from the 2016 NIC product.

- [REDACTED]

(2016, p. i)

- [REDACTED]

(2016, p. i)

- [REDACTED]

(2016, p. ii)

- [REDACTED]

(2016, p. ii)

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

- [REDACTED] (2016, p. 1)

- [REDACTED] (2016, p. 2)

- [REDACTED] (2016, p. 3)

- [REDACTED] (2016, p. 3)

- [REDACTED] (2016, p. 5)

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

(U) Appendix C: THE COMMITTEE REVIEW PROCESS

(U) Committee Access

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

462

461

462

[REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

The Committee's access to FBI intelligence reports characterized as [REDACTED] as well as LHMs, was also beyond the norm of regular Committee oversight. While FBI did not [REDACTED] during several Committee interviews related to the ICA, [REDACTED] to the FBI went beyond the level typically shared by FBI in the course of normal oversight.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

463 [REDACTED]

464 (U) *Ibid.*

465 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The Committee’s review of the ICA involved studying the underlying source documents, interviewing all the relevant directors, managers, and analysts involved in the production of the ICA [REDACTED], and conducting a structured review of the analytic lines of the ICA.

(U) The Committee conducted twenty-five interviews and hearings with over forty intelligence officers from the across the IC involved in the ICA process, including the directors of ODNI, CIA, NSA, and FBI. The Committee undertook these interviews for the purpose of evaluating the ICA and the ICA process. Interviews were conducted in SSCI secure spaces and at CIA Headquarters and always included bipartisan representation by the Committee. Almost all interviews were transcribed. The Committee also conducted follow-up discussions and emails on many points for better understanding and clarification, and held other interviews with CIA, FBI, and NSA officers, [REDACTED] for better understanding about collection and operating environments on the Russia target.⁴⁶⁹

(U) Committee Methodology

(U) The Committee evaluated the ICA along two lines. First, the Committee determined whether the ICA met the tasking from the President on December 6, 2016. Second, the Committee reviewed the analytic lines within the ICA, asking the following questions:

466 [REDACTED]
467 [REDACTED]
468 [REDACTED]
469 [REDACTED]

COMMITTEE SENSITIVE – RUSSIA INVESTIGATION ONLY

- (U) Was the analysis supported by the intelligence presented?
- (U) Was the analytic tradecraft sound?
- (U) Does the Committee accept the analytic line?

(U) This report volume represents the detailed examination and conclusions the Committee reached on these questions.

████████████████████

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF SENATOR WYDEN

(U) Over the course of nearly 20 years at the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, I have refused to assume the accuracy of intelligence assessments and have endeavored to always ask the hard questions. That is what this report does, painstakingly scrutinizing every piece of intelligence that went into the Intelligence Community Assessment and allowing the Committee to independently verify the findings of that assessment. The findings are not a “hoax.” They are not in doubt because, as Donald Trump stated, Vladimir Putin “very strongly” denies them. Russian interference in the 2016 election is a fact and Donald Trump’s deference to Putin only serves to further Russian disinformation and undermine efforts to defend the United States against ongoing attacks.

(U) This report also provides additional evidence against Donald Trump’s false assertions regarding Ukraine. For example, the Committee conducted an extensive examination of the intelligence demonstrating Russia’s intrusions into DNC networks. That review, which confirmed the ICA’s findings, is fundamentally incompatible with Trump’s conspiracy theories about Ukrainian involvement, for which there is no supporting evidence of any kind.

██████████ One aspect of the ICA calls for more commentary. The ICA cites DHS’s assessment that “the types of systems we observed Russian actors targeting or compromising are not involved in vote tallying.” In my Minority Views to Volume I of the Committee’s investigation, I discussed confidence levels in and gaps related to DHS’s assessment, as acknowledged at the Committee’s June 21, 2017, hearing. This report adds additional context, quoting a DHS email that requested that the Department’s assessment be “couched with references to intelligence gaps and ongoing forensic investigations,” caveats that were not included in the public version of the ICA.

(U) The limitations associated with this aspect of the ICA provide important lessons regarding intelligence collection and analysis and the topic of election security. All-source intelligence must be integrated with information collected by DHS to produce coordinated IC-wide assessments. Analytic tradecraft related to confidence levels and dissents must be applied. And limits on DHS’s ability to track attacks must be addressed. I have previously discussed the need for nationwide mandated paper ballots, risk-limiting audits and minimum cyber security standards for all election systems. The ongoing failure to require these basic security measures across the country is not just a threat to our democracy, it damages the ability of DHS and the IC to assess whether, or to what extent U.S.



election systems have been compromised. It is the job of the IC to seek to address intelligence gaps, particularly when those gaps hinder assessments related to our most urgent and serious national security priorities. The actions of our adversaries challenge our intelligence capabilities. In this case, in which audits are inadequate, state and local election officials lack the expertise and resources to identify sophisticated cyber-attacks, and DHS lacks comprehensive, nation-wide information, the harm is partly self-inflicted.

