



MOTIVES DRIVING CLIMATE FRAUD

# Table of Contents

<b>Preamble and quotes</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Primary references cited</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Part 1: The Basic Scam</b>	<b>13</b>
1. Unmasking the second biggest scam	13
2. The USA's Fed has absolute power	15
3. International bankers create money as credit out of thin air, out of nothing	20
<b>Part 2: Extending Control</b>	<b>26</b>
4. International bankers created income tax for taxpayers to pay interest	26
5. Corruption of money extends corruption across society	28
6. A better way in Australia was squashed by international bankers	32
7. International bankers wield absolute power—globally	35
8. International Monetary Fund, IMF and World Bank push global control	42
9. A New World Order admitted by prominent national leaders	46
10. The objective is global control through global socialist governance by international bankers hiding control behind environmentalism	51
11. Capturing environmental movement as a socialist Trojan war horse triggering major wars and making profits from both sides	53
12. An international bankers' trademark: doing what they attribute to others	56
<b>Part 3: Controlling Resources and Property Deceitfully in Each Nation</b>	<b>60</b>
13. Another new vehicle for bankers' global control: UN Agenda 21	60
<b>Part 4: The most Destructive Scam</b>	<b>73</b>
14. Antihumanism and culling people: the world's most destructive scam	73
<b>Part 5: How it Hurts Us All</b>	<b>88</b>
15. The Bandwagon of Beneficiaries: Eight Corrupting Groups	88
16. Five Motives driving climate politics and corrupting climate science	90
17. Fabricating crises—trademark of the cabal pushing global governance	91
18. The core issue: international bankers deceitfully pushing global control by driving national governments and global governance	93
19. Australian implementation: The Lima Agreement and Australia's core issue: Taxation as a means of plunder, wealth stripping and control	94
<b>Part 6: How They Do it and Hide Control</b>	<b>114</b>
20. How international bankers get away with it and hide it	114
<b>Our Huge Opportunity for All Australians to Share in Australia's Wealth</b>	<b>126</b>
21. The reality of human existence reveals our core opportunity	126
22. Concluding comments and questions	132

© Malcolm-Ieuan: Roberts.

First published February, 2013

Brisbane, Australia

04 1964 2379

[malcolmr@conscious.com.au](mailto:malcolmr@conscious.com.au)

# Preamble and quotes

This document is part of, and intended to be read in conjunction with, all parts of and appendices to the document entitled *CSIROh!*

*"The world is governed by very different personages to what is imagined by those who are not themselves behind the scenes."*

**Benjamin Disraeli, Prime Minister of Britain**

*"There is no more direct way to capture the control of a nation than through its credit system"*

**Phillip A. Benson, President of American bankers' Association, New York, June 8<sup>th</sup>, 1939**

Those benefitting from the *trading* of artificial carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) credits are pushing climate fraud. They seek to fabricate trillions of dollars *trading* thin air.

Yet their massive climate fraud seems tiny compared with their existing massive global fraud operating for decades across many nations.

**More people are becoming aware that the USA's Federal Reserve Bank is not a government agency. The 'Fed' is a private organisation owned and controlled by an alliance of (mostly) European banks and American banks. It's widely documented that directly and indirectly they control much of the world's financial system.**

**The Bank of England is not a government body. It has been privately owned since its formation in 1694. Europe's privately owned central banks and the USA Fed control:**

- Creation of money which in major economies today is in the form of credit;
- Money supply; and,
- Interest rates.

The Fed's owners extend financial control globally through controlling their major global financial organisations including the IMF and World Bank.

**The Fed's owners now seek to add *trading* of carbon dioxide and expand derivatives trading said to already be worth a staggering \$681 trillion dollars back in December 2007. Their purpose is increased financial wealth together with control of energy usage worldwide. That would produce global economic and strategic control.**

Understanding the purpose of climate fraud and its perpetrators completes the picture.

*"The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing.*

**Sir Josiah Stamp, Director of the Bank of England from 1928 through 1941**

*"The real rulers in Washington are invisible and exercise power from behind the scenes"*

**Felix Frankfurter, Justice of the USA Supreme Court, 1952**

*"The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers (of the world) has owned the government since the days of Andrew Jackson. ... The country is going through a repetition of Jackson's fight with the Bank of the United States only on a far bigger and broader basis."*

**President Franklin Delano Roosevelt acknowledged in 1993 during the Great Depression**

*"In the U.S. today, we have in effect two governments. We have the duly constituted government, then we have an independent, uncontrolled and uncoordinated government in the Federal Reserve, operating the money powers which are reserved to congress by the Constitution."*

**USA Congressman Wright Patman, Chairman of the House (of Representatives) Banking and Currency Committee, said in a speech on the House floor in 1967.**

*(American) "Presidents are selected not elected"*

**President Franklin Delano Roosevelt. FDR was one of the bankers' men.**

*"From the days of Spartacus-Wieshaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky, Bela Kuhn, Rosa Luxemburg, and Emma Goldman, this world conspiracy for the overthrow of civilization and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality, has been steadily growing. This conspiracy played a definite recognizable role in the tragedy of the French revolution. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the 19th century. And now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their head and have become the undisputed masters of that enormous empire."*

**Winston Churchill in the Illustrated Sunday Herald, February 8, 1920**

*"Who controls the food supply controls the people; who controls the energy can control whole continents; who controls money can control the world."*

**Henry Kissinger, member of all four tight-knit closed groups pushing for global control and reportedly an adviser to David Rockefeller.**

*"It must always be remembered that we are dealing here (in life) with the simple question whether we can, by any means, be enabled to make a better living"*

**Banjo Paterson, Australian lawyer, economist, writer and bush poet**

*"The only thing new in the world is the history you haven't read"*

**President Harry Truman**

# Primary references cited

Primary evidence for this appendix's discussions on banking is in the following books documenting the bigger agenda.

- *End the Fed* by American Congressman Dr. Ron Paul respected by both sides of American politics;
- *The Case Against The Fed*\* by the internationally respected economist the late Murray Rothbard. His book is available free at: <http://mises.org/books/fed.pdf>
- *The Web of Debt*\* by lawyer Ellen Hodgson Brown, JD. An introduction to her authoritative book and excerpts are available here: <http://www.webofdebt.com/>
- *The Secrets of the Federal Reserve* by Eustace Mullins. His book is available free at: [http://www.whale.to/b/m\\_ch\\_3.html](http://www.whale.to/b/m_ch_3.html)
- *They Own it All (Including You)*\* by lawyer Ronald McDonald and Robert Rowen, MD
- *How to Avoid the Coming Crash*\* by Australian Lawrence Hoins
- *The Mystery of Banking*\* by Murray Rothbard
- *None Dare Call it Conspiracy* by historian Gary Allen with Larry Abraham. His book is available free at: [http://www.whale.to/b/allen\\_b1.html](http://www.whale.to/b/allen_b1.html)

*\*Denotes books explaining the method used by the Fed's owners to create money out of thin air using computer keystrokes and accounting entries.*

The independent authors above include accomplished economists, historians, reputable commentators, respected politicians and academics. They've separately done their research to discover facts driving amazingly consistent yet independent conclusions.

Primary evidence for this appendix's discussions on the antihuman core of the international bankers' agenda is in the following books:

- *Merchants of Despair* by Robert Zubrin
- *The Rational Optimist* by Matt Ridley
- *Killing the Earth to save it* by James Delingpole
- *Wolves in sheep's clothing* by Amy McGrath
- *Cloak of Green* by Elaine Dewar

These reference books are supplemented by a large variety of Internet web pages and newspaper articles for people whose priorities preclude reading the primary references. Some of these more easily available sources may be useful to provide background, support material and context.

# Introduction

## The Pain

Appendix 6 revealed CSIRO scientists paid by taxpayers to speak at international conferences are advocating global governance. CSIRO Chief Executive Dr. Megan Clark was formerly a director of the international Rothschilds bank in Australia and is a director on the Advisory Board Australia of the international Bank of America Merrill Lynch. Given CSIRO's misrepresentations of climate science, people who once trusted CSIRO are shocked and disturbed. People are increasingly wary of CSIRO.

Appendix 12 reveals the Greens party openly admits pushing global governance and implementation of UN Agenda 21, a UN campaign for unelected global governance and central control. Growing numbers of people are aware that under UN Agenda 21 prominent Australian federal politicians including senior cabinet ministers are steadily removing private property rights and building policies controlling water, resources, finances and energy.

Advocates of cutting CO2 production make many contradictions revealing it's not about climate. It's about money and control. People are angrily asking why are our representatives hiding these threats to our individual personal freedoms?

In his succinct book entitled *Rebuilding the Federation* former Western Australian Premier Richard Court reveals the process through which conventions by UN and other unelected bodies are hijacking Australia's governance and destroying our federation. See his Figure 1 on page 8, available here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401\\_RichardCourt.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401_RichardCourt.pdf)

Prominent national MP's from both major political parties have weakened Australia's independence and governance since 1924. Often this appears to have been done unknowingly in ignorance or reluctantly in cowardly submission to party powerbrokers.

Current destruction of Australia's agriculture, fishing and manufacturing industries has been enabled by prominent MPs of both major parties since 1975. It's in accord with more than 7,000 UN treaties, covenants and agreements bypassing and subverting Australia's constitution and actively undermining Australia's federation.

Farmers, commercial fishermen and coastal residents are among those who understand the driver of fraudulent climate alarm. They're already being cast adrift, losing their property, being decimated financially and afraid for their future.

Speaking across Australia I've sensed people are feeling disillusioned and frustrated with our political system. Many are feeling disappointed, powerless and vulnerable as politicians smear, spin and score points. Yet debate stagnates as national debt spirals. Fearing deep economic crises in Europe, Japan and America people feel vulnerable and anxious. With soaring living costs people feel overwhelmed, pressured and fearful. Others feel hopeless or desperate or alarmed and panicky. Economic uncertainty, insecurity, vulnerability and worry combine with years of guilt and fear from fabricated climate alarm to confuse and dishearten people. Curiosity has been replaced by anger or apathy.

Earlier appendices reveal widespread climate corruption extends across government-funded agencies and globally through international agencies such as the UN IPCC and UNEP. Corruption has been driven by UNEP's first Secretary-General Maurice Strong and Al Gore making millions from investments made possible by government subsidies and scams.

Understanding that academics, politicians and once-trusted agencies paid by taxpayers are misrepresenting climate people feel angry, confused or hopelessly resigned under a government system that seems out of control and no longer acting in Australia's interests.

Understandably many scientists and informed laypeople now declare that global warming (aka climate change) fraudulently pushed by the UN as due to human CO2 is the biggest scam in history. I disagree. Based on facts it ranks third behind the almost-global international money scam and the most destructive scam: antihumanism.

All three scams share the same purpose. Their architects and pushers are a collection of small groups whose actions are documented. Five years ago I laughed at others making such claims. Discovering the UN's massive orchestrated corruption of climate *science* I investigated UN corruption. After five years of research and reading of history through many credible reference books by authors from diverse backgrounds it's clear. They separately and independently confirmed each other. Five years ago I was wrong and had been in ignorance.

My Masters of Business Administration degree is from one of the world's leading business universities known for its rigorous and thorough quantitative approach, the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business. Students were not taught the fact that the USA's Federal Reserve Bank is a privately owned organisation controlled by major European and American bankers.

Like most people in business I was not aware that the Bank of England has been privately owned and controlled since its formation in 1694. I felt disappointed with myself yet now feel excited because events and politics now make sense.

This appendix answers questions such as: Why did Sydney Morning Herald reporter Mike Carlton raise a persecuted religious group in comments smearing two prominent media personalities who disagree with his unsupported view that human CO2 caused global warming. Why did Mike Carlton raise *conspiracy theories*? Why is a prominent Australian newspaper trusted by thousands of people hurting other journalists and citizens protecting freedom? Of what could Mike Carlton be afraid? The answer now seems clear and I understand why Fairfax newspapers' circulation is falling.

I've realised that Heinlein's quote "*Do not assume malice when an explanation of stupidity is sufficient*" can be extended to: *Do not assume conspiracy when an explanation of stupidity is sufficient*. What's needed, punishment or true forgiveness? I've come to realize true forgiveness is essential to restoring integrity and democratic governance to Australia.

## **The Hope**

From speaking across Australia I realise people need and want clarity providing greater certainty and understanding about climate and about factors controlling our future.



On something as basic as climate, we want to know, why are we being told so many lies? We Australians want to restore truth, trust, integrity, consistency, accountability and authenticity. We have simple yet fundamental needs currently not being met. These include reassurance, ease, choice and freedom, security, efficiency, value, affordability, knowledge, belonging, care, calm, peace and understanding.

A.B. (Banjo) Paterson did more than write bush poems and short stories. He was a solicitor and an economist. His pamphlet entitled *Australia for the Australians* reveals he was a sensible man, quote: *"but the fact is that the only way to improve the welfare and prosperity of the country at large is to improve the welfare and prosperity of the inhabitants"*. And: *"High wages have everywhere and always meant prosperity, and low wages have always meant bad times"*. (Of course, this assumes that high wages result from superior natural wealth and productivity and not extortion.)

He outlines the aim of his ideas and advice as, quote: *"It must always be remembered that we are dealing here with the simple question whether we can, by any means, be enabled to make a better living"*. According to Banjo, everyone's first step in efficiently earning an honest and appropriate living is to understand the world in which we live. That's fundamental. Yet despite my education, like most Aussies and most people I was ignorant of key drivers controlling our economic prosperity. They're now pushing fraudulent claims about global warming, climate change, human CO2 and energy. Banjo knew of those drivers in 1889.

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1402.1\\_BanjoPatersonKnew.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1402.1_BanjoPatersonKnew.pdf)

Although it's something we need to do in our teens I feel excited that forty years later I can explain many events that previously I'd just accepted and not considered.

## **Australia is the richest nation on Earth in assets**

We are the only country that can grow all the food we need every day of the year. Consider some of our resources. We have:

- Abundant water if harnessed;
- Huge fishing fields;
- 75 of the 77 essential minerals. The other two are Chromium and Titanium that we have though not in abundance;
- More brown coal than anyone else in the world;
- More black coal per capita than any other nation;
- The world's largest reserves of uranium.
- According to an ABC-TV documentary, the world's purest diesel is made from Gippsland brown coal;
- Huge hydro-energy potential;

Australians have a history of performing above our weight in many fields: sport, war, science, inventiveness, engineering, teamwork and adapting technology to challenges. Our sense of mateship is powerful. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth century our country had the world's highest standard of living as measured by GDP per capita. We've provided leaders in many fields of endeavour.

When Australians built the Sydney Harbour Bridge from 1923 to 1932 it was with foresight. It was decades ahead of traffic volume at the time.

During the Snowy Mountains scheme Australians developed the world's strongest concrete and innovated in many fields. After being shown foreign technology we adapted it to teach the foreigners how to use it more effectively.

Sir Jack Brabham is the only person to have designed, built and driven his own car to a Formula One World Championship. He did it three times.

Our Commonwealth Bank originally provided funding for World War I, the transcontinental railway, ships for exporting wool and removed the need for income tax.

Aussies have a history of being innovative, inventive, resourceful, caring and fair. We have a strongly developed sense of mateship and fairness.

Because climate deals closely with Nature, understanding the motives enables us to reconnect with Nature's beauty, harmony, inspiration, order and peace. Understanding human behaviour can be disillusioning and frustrating yet ultimately provides spiritual communion. We can understand that beyond political deceit there is unity and oneness across humanity, life and our universe.

We can replace cultivated fear of climate and Nature with appreciation and celebration of life, Nature and humanity. We can find satisfaction in expressing our inherent care for each other and Nature in meaningful ways other than changing light bulbs or buying products labelled as *green* or by voting for parties claiming to be green. Contribution to enrichment of life is possible through understanding and tackling real challenges.

Understanding motives pushing climate deceit enables us to better understand our self and to find, give and receive forgiveness and love. It enables us to understand and empathise with others and with our self. Greater consciousness of what drives alarm and confusion produces calm, peace and ease.

We can meet more basic needs of support, protection and reassurance in knowing that our physical nurturance (food, air, water, climate) are not threatened by human production of CO2 nor by disease due to climate variations that are entirely natural.

Understanding the real issues brings ease, personal freedom, confidence, autonomy and trust in us and in our ability. It gives hope.

## **The Plan**

This appendix presents facts with referenced statements enabling readers to reliably verify. It aims to answer key questions: why and by whom was fraudulent global warming fabricated? Who benefits from pushing climate fraud? Why is government wasting so much money and effort to hide the climate scam?

This appendix reveals the greatest threat to Australia's national sovereignty and to personal freedom. It reveals our greatest opportunity.

First, we need to understand the role of small groups controlling many national economies and global finance. This is achieved by understanding the world's second biggest scam: the private ownership of central banks and control of national currencies by international financial interests.

This appendix starts by identifying the world's second biggest scam: the money scam that drives small groups pushing the climate scam. It then reveals how that group expands the money scam to extend control more deeply within nations and globally. Then it discusses extension of the scam into control of resources and private property within nations before exposing the most destructive scam: antihuman ideology pushing extremists that have hijacked one of the world's most important movements, the environmental movement. Then it explains how the scams hurt us all, costs each family thousands of dollars every year and threatens basic freedoms.

The appendix then reveals how the methods are hidden and widened to include innocent people unknowingly working for those pushing the scam. That leads to identifying an opportunity for all Australians to create and share in Australia's abundance.

In speaking across Australia I've been contacted with credible information providing varied perspectives and additional associated challenges. They confirm what I've learned. Diverse groups of people are growing in understanding, strength, confidence and connections as they address associated issues.

Some have counselled me to not discuss the drivers of climate fraud. Some are afraid of ridicule by an organised machine. Some are afraid of retaliation or worse. Yet that's exactly what drivers of climate fraud want because fear of being ridiculed, maligned or harmed suppresses people into silence.

American states are awakening to the motives. Fortunately more Aussies are speaking up to reclaim our country, our personal freedoms and our money and wealth denied us in recent decades. Fortunately the Internet has uncorked awareness of the scam and presents relatively simple solutions.

With understanding as a solid foundation people can develop solutions.

Importantly, Aussies express their care. We all need hope for the future. We want to contribute to protecting our country and our children's future. Aussie mateship triggers connection and deepens care and a hunger to understand what is really happening to us. Understanding enables us to take immense opportunities for a prosperous future for all.

## **Definitions**

Please refer to Appendix 1d for definitions of the words science, scientist, scientific, corruption, lie, fraud and propaganda.

*"I care not what puppet is placed on the throne of England to rule the Empire,... The man that controls Britain's money supply controls the British Empire. And I control the money supply."*

**Baron Nathan Mayer de Rothschild**

# Part 1: The Basic Scam

## 1. Unmasking the second biggest scam

The Fed (USA's Federal Reserve Bank) is not a government agency. It's a private foreign-owned organisation. Fact

American congressman Charles Lindberg, Snr said, quote:

*"Our financial system is a false one and a huge burden on the people".*

The UN's forty-year campaign fabricating climate fraud used strategies and tactics proven 100 years ago. They're similar to those used by international bankers in their thirty-year campaign from the 1880's to gain control of the USA's money supply, finances and economy. Their campaign succeeded in forming the USA's Federal Reserve Bank in 1913.

**Increasingly people are becoming aware that the USA's Federal Reserve Bank is not federal and does not have reserves. It's not a government agency. It's privately owned by a consortium of European banks in partnership with prominent American banks including banks owned by the Rockefellers. Some of the same banks control central banks across Europe including the Bank of England.**

For more on the Fed's ownership please refer to reference books cited above, particularly by Ronald McDonald and Robert Rowen and secondly by Ellen Brown. This article is a snippet of her work:

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/who-owns-the-federal-reserve>

Such power is extended through the bankers' global creations including the Bank for International Settlements, the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank. Through these the European-American banking alliance controls global finances. The alliance's global organisations dictate to other nations outside America and Europe, including Australia.

Until his retirement in November 2012 Dr. Ron Paul was a member of America's House of Representatives. He's highly regarded by both Democrats and Republicans and is sound on economics. His book entitled *End the Fed* reveals that the Federal Reserve Bank's formation in 1913 was in breach of the constitution and the Fed's operation is in breach of the constitution. He reveals the Fed is severely damaging America and the world in many ways. He reveals that it is responsible directly or indirectly for every major recession since 1913 including the Great Depression of the 1920's and 1930's. With strong supporting facts he proves the Fed responsible for every major war since 1913.

*"There is a power, so organized, so subtly, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive that prudent men better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it".*

**American President Woodrow Wilson.**

Here's a view of some international banking interconnections across corporate America and Europe:

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-federal-reserve-cartel-the-eight-families/25080>

Reference books cited above provide more detail.

Both Woodrow Wilson and President Franklin Delano Roosevelt benefitted from support from this cabal of narrow financial and political interests. Woodrow Wilson later regretted his reliance on their favours and entrapment into doing their bidding under their control.

Ellen Brown details the history of American banking. She and others name influential American politicians who opposed America's first, second or third (current) central bank. Many were negated through smear campaigns or died in suspicious circumstances. American presidents have been assassinated in conjunction with their attempts to stop or dismantle central banking. Her book is authoritative and cites references extensively yet is easy to read. She reveals an extraordinarily large number of congressman exposing the Fed and America's unconstitutional monetary and financial system controlled by a monopoly alliance of close-knit bankers.

*"The high office of President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the American's freedom, and before I leave office I must inform the citizen of his plight."*

**Quoting President John F. Kennedy, speaking at Columbia University, 10 days before his assassination**

How is it that the President of the world's most powerful nation was apparently not aware of America's real governance before becoming President and despite having previously been a senator?

Additional material on the USA's Fed:

<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm>

## 2. The USA's Fed has absolute power

In practice, the Fed and its owners are not accountable to America's congress or to the American President.

As President of America, Woodrow Wilson signed the bill legislating the Fed during 1913, his first year as president. He did so repaying favours from international bankers during his 2012 election campaign. By the end of his second term of office in 1921 he said, quote:

*"I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated governments in the civilized world, no longer a government by free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men."*

The Fed controls and determines:

- Creation of money that today in major economies is in the form of credit. Paper and coin money is a tiny fraction of the money supply. Creating this money as credit is tax-free. Banks pay no tax for the trillions of dollars created;
- Money supply; and,
- Interest rates.

Founder of the Rothschild banking empire Mayer Rothschild said, quote:

*"Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes the laws."*

Living from 1744 to 1812 he was a German banker. He founded the Rothschild banking dynasty that's reportedly history's most successful. Referred to as the "*founding father of international finance*" he was ranked 7th on Forbes business magazine's 2005 list of "The Twenty Most Influential Businessmen of all Time".<sup>1</sup>

Dennis Healey, former British Secretary of Defence and Chancellor of the Exchequer said, quote:

*"World events do not occur by accident. They are made to happen, whether it is to do with national issues or are staged and managed by those who hold the purse string."*

**With this tight and complete control over national economies the international bankers have used their power to create every boom and every bust since 1913. They have wreaked havoc and misery on millions and now billions of people. In every boom and every bust they have profited enormously. They do so at the expense of the people.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Source for part of this paragraph: Wikipedia

According to the American constitution only congress has the right to print and distribute money. That authority cannot be delegated. Yet as a result of deceit over many years involving secret meetings led by prominent German bankers colluding with the influential Texan Colonel Edward Mandel House, congress delegated it to a group who they did not fully understand: a group of European and American bankers. The alliance featured Germany's Warburgs and London's Rothschilds. Passage through congress of The Federal Reserve Act of 1913 was achieved when many congressmen were absent prior to their Christmas break. The President at the time was Woodrow Wilson who owed bankers a favour for funding his 1912 election campaign.

Yet the Fed is not accountable to congress. It is not accountable to the American president. The Fed's international owners controlled some Presidents directly through economic threats and/or through Presidents having to repay the bankers for their support in election campaigns. They control or at least heavily influence other Presidents indirectly through appointment of their agents to senior positions in each American President's administration since 1933.

**The cabal of international bankers wield massive financial power across industries internationally. They control all three American TV networks through direct ownership and/or through cross-directorships. They own the major and most influential American newspapers. They own many of America's major corporations and control others through cross-directorships.**

Please refer to references by Gary Allen and Eustace Mullins above and to the book entitled *The True Story of the Bilderberg Group* by Canadian investigative reporter Daniel Estulin. A review and partial summary of Daniel Estulin's book is available here:

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-true-story-of-the-bilderberg-group-and-what-they-may-be-planning-now/13808>

Quoting David Rockefeller in an address to a Trilateral Commission meeting in June 1991:

*"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected the promises of discretion for almost forty years. **It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years.** But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world-government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the National auto determination practiced in past centuries"*

The New York Times is a prominent American newspaper circulated across the USA. Its reporting of global warming and climate change focuses heavily on claims that HUMAN CO2 caused global warming and often contradicts or implies positions contradicting empirical scientific evidence.

*Quote: "In 1917, Congressman Oscar Callaway stated on the Congressional Record: "In March, 1915, the J.P. Morgan interests, the steel, shipbuilding, and powder interests, and their subsidiary organisations, got together 12 men high up in the newspaper world, and employed them to select the most influential newspapers in the United States and sufficient number of them to control generally the policy of the daily press in the United States ... They found it was only necessary to purchase control of 25 of the greatest papers. The papers were agreed upon; emissaries were sent to purchase the policy,*



*national and international of these papers; ... an editor was furnished for each paper to properly supervise and edit information regarding the questions of preparedness, militarism, financial policies, and other things of national and international nature considered vital to the interests of the purchasers [and to suppress] everything in opposition to the wishes of the interests served”.*

Why do they need to keep from us their plans for our future? Secrecy is yet another form of control. Like the playground bully’s control, secrecy is rooted in fear and self-perceived weakness.

**The American people have been kept in the dark and misled. That starts from a very young age as school children. Internationally regarded American educator John Taylor Gatto’s research reveals that the public education system has been designed to suppress individualism and critical thinking to prepare people for life under central control. See section II here:**

<http://www.newciv.org/whole/schoolteacher.txt>

*“In The Underground History of American Education (2000), educator John Taylor Gatto traces how Rockefeller, Morgan and other members of the financial elite influenced, guided, funded, and at times forced compulsory schooling into mainstream America. They needed three things for their corporate interests to thrive: (1) compliant employees, (2) a guaranteed and dependent population, and (3) a predictable business environment. It was largely to promote these ends, says Gatto, that modern compulsory schooling was established.”*

An interview of John Taylor Gatto is available here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-kwZYrZDM20>

Let’s read it directly from the Rockefeller Education Board of 1906, quote:

*“In our dreams...people yield themselves with perfect docility to our molding hands. The present educational conventions [intellectual and character education] fade from our minds, and unhampered by tradition we work our own good will upon a grateful and responsive folk. We shall not try to make these people or any of their children into philosophers or men of learning or men of science. We have not to raise up from among them authors, educators, poets or men of letters. We shall not search for embryo great artists, painters, musicians, nor lawyers, doctors, preachers, politicians, statesmen, of whom we have ample supply. The task we set before ourselves is very simple...we will organize children ... and teach them to do in a perfect way the things their fathers and mothers are doing in an imperfect way.”*

For example, dumb children down, stop individual and creative thought, make willing workers, stop developing intellectual ability and character, ...

International bankers aim to dumb-down children through state-controlled education. They aim to produce fodder for factories and warring armies. They want fodder that cannot think for itself and is acquiescent.

Yet creativity and independence assists progress and benefit all. All, that is, except the control freak seeking domination over the submissive.

Maria Montessori, arguably the world's foremost expert on human development through six decades of objective observation of children concluded that the key years for formation of both character and intellect are birth to six. Key personal patterns are developed, take root and become difficult to change. These gradually become more entrenched by puberty. The modern education system molds students to be: passive thinkers; hand responsibility for learning to an external authority figure in charge in front of the class; be recipients not initiators; be subservient to authority; be dependent on others; hang back; rely on others for approval; rely on externally imposed discipline; avoid or shirk responsibility; ...

Please note many Australian state and private schools repeatedly play Al Gore's movie 'An Inconvenient Truth'. Yet as reported by the BBC and The Heartland Institute, the British High Court ruled that the movie is a political work containing numerous major factual inaccuracies. Some detailed reports of the ruling claim virtually every assertion Al Gore makes in his movie has been strongly contradicted by sound science. This author's research confirms such claims.

See page 3 of chapter 4 here:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity\\_single.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf)

The power is exercised to shape young minds. This includes those now aged in the 50's to 80's and leading corporations, universities, governments, ... That power is enormous.

*"The Federal Reserve definitely caused the Great Depression by contracting the amount of currency in circulation by one-third from 1929 to 1933"*

**Milton Friedman, renowned professor of economics at the University of Chicago and Nobel Prize winner in economics**

*"[The depression] was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence ... the international bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so they might emerge as rulers of us all".*

**The Honourable Louis T. McFadden, Chairman of the American House of Representatives Banking and Currency Committee**

Ellen Brown referring of Louis McFadden, quote:

*"In 1934, he filed a Petition for Articles of Impeachment against the Federal Reserve Board, charging fraud, conspiracy, unlawful conversion and treason"*

And quoting his speech to Congress, where he spoke of one instance of 60,000 home and farm owners losing their property to bankers at one stage of the great depression:

*"Their children are the new slaves of the auction blocks in the revival of the institution of human slavery".*

*"A document called "The Bankers Manifesto of 1934" added weight to these [McFadden's] charges. An update of "The Bankers Manifesto of 1892" it was reportedly published in the Civil Servants Yearbook in January 1934 and in The New American in February 1934, and was circulated privately among leading bankers. It said in part: Capital must protect itself in every way, through combination [monopoly] and through legislation. Debts must be collected and loans and mortgages foreclosed as soon as possible. **When through a process of law, the common people have lost their homes,***

***they will be more tractable and more easily governed by the strong arm of the law applied by the central power of wealth under control of leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. This is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming an imperialism of capital to govern the world".***

Australian speaker and researcher John MacRae cites the same quote independently via another credible publication.

Note that the bankers rely on what they falsely refer to as the *law*. Yet they are in their dominant and powerful position due to supposedly *legalised* legislation passed deceitfully and in breach of the American Constitution. Their position is *legal* in that it is legislated yet it's fraudulent and thus **unlawful**. That enables the people to remove it using the law.

The US Fed Chairman cannot even tell America's congress who got a half a TRILLION dollars in funds from American taxpayers on loan from international bankers:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uGs\\_Qn5yEgs&list=PLE179FC2468369450](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uGs_Qn5yEgs&list=PLE179FC2468369450)

**Why? Because it is a law unto itself.**

### **3. International bankers create money as credit out of thin air, out of nothing**

Through the USA Fed and through their other central banks worldwide international bankers conjure money as credit out of nothing and automatically make it a debt owed by taxpayers.

That's why modern currency is labelled fiat currency: by itself it's worthless. Its value is by fiat: *an arbitrary decree or pronouncement, especially by a person or group of persons having absolute authority to enforce it.*

*"The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them but leave them the power to create money, and with a flick of the pen they will create enough money to buy it back again ... Take this great power away from them and all great fortunes like mine will disappear and they ought to disappear for then this would be a better and happier world to live in ... But if you want to continue to be slaves of the bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then let bankers continue to create money and control credit."*

**Sir Josiah Stamp, Director of the Bank of England from 1928 through 1941**

Page 62, Chapter 7 of Lawrence Hoins' book entitled *How to Avoid the Coming Crash*. Chapter's 6 and 7 are excerpted here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1402.2\\_Chapter6&7.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1402.2_Chapter6&7.pdf)

Many quotes of prominent people admitting that banks create money out of nothing are available in an article about Australian banking here:

[http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/commonwealth\\_bank\\_au.php](http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/commonwealth_bank_au.php)

Laurence Hoins made a submission dated March 5<sup>th</sup>, 1995 to the *Prices Surveillance Authority on Bank Charges, fees, interest rates and the cost of funds*. Pages 2 through 5 of his submission discuss banks' creation of money out of nothing including many financial references. Separately note his comment at bottom of page 19.

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1403.1\\_LaurenceHoinsSubmission.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1403.1_LaurenceHoinsSubmission.pdf)

Enclosures with his submission are available here

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1403.2\\_LaurenceHoinsSubmissiona.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1403.2_LaurenceHoinsSubmissiona.pdf)

And:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1403.3\\_LaurenceHoinsSubmissionb.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1403.3_LaurenceHoinsSubmissionb.pdf)

A useful video series on the history of money is available starting with Part 1 here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IXb-LrVkuwM>

The USA Fed has admitted that its banks create money as credit out of nothing.

Under this system, every time a bank *makes* a loan it is creating money as credit out of nothing. It needs just a few keystrokes in an accounting ledger. Its money has no asset backing. It's

backed by nothing. It's concocted out of thin air. Plus, its creation is tax-free. This is the stuff of many people's dreams. It seems fanciful. Yet it's bankers' reality.

There's more. Under Fractional Reserve Lending as practised by the Fed, when a loan is made and deposited in the borrower's bank, that second bank can lend out ten times the borrower's deposit and in reality the multiplier can be twenty times or more.

**The significance is that the banks can create massive amounts of money out of nothing.**

*"The actual process of money creation takes place primarily in the banks ...[Banks] do not really pay out loans from the money they receive as deposits. If they did this no additional money would be created. What they do when they make loans is to accept promissory notes in exchange for credits to the borrowers' transaction accounts."*

**Ellen Brown quoting from a booklet published by the Chicago office of the Fed**

They learned this from the early goldsmiths who stored gold for people. Goldsmiths learned that *"if they were careful not to overextend this 'credit' the goldsmiths could thus become quite wealthy without producing anything of value themselves"*. From Ellen Brown, page 27.

Creation of the privately owned Bank of England formalised so-called *Fractional Reserve banking* that is now used by major banks. It means that banks can lend many times the reserves they have. Today, even the reserves are simply promises to pay.

*"The banking system lends these securities many times over, essentially counterfeiting them."*

**Ellen Brown**

**If you or I did this we'd be gaoled for counterfeiting. The international bankers do it by breaking Australian and American constitutions. Their creation of money is done out of thin air, out of nothing. Plus, it's not taxed.**

*"Banks are not ordinary intermediaries. Like non-banks, they also borrow, but they do not lend the deposits they acquire. They lend by crediting the borrower's account with a new deposit ... The accounts of other depositors remain intact and their deposits fully available for withdrawal. Thus a bank loan increases the total of bank deposits which means an increase in the money supply"*.

**Ellen Brown, page 30, quoting William Hummel**

Lawyer Ellen Brown then lists legal grounds on which the bankers' fractional reserve loans might be challenged. These include failure of consideration, fraud and because the loan contracts are collectively impossible to perform. The impossibility is that banks create the principal but not the interest needed to pay back their loans.

Consider what happens when you deposit, say \$10,000 into your bank account. That money is lent to another borrower. The borrower's statement shows the money is with him/her. Yet on your bank statement you've still got the \$10,000. How did they lend your money out? They did not lend your money. They created the borrower's money out of nothing. The bank has created money as credit based on your deposit.

There's more. Under the modern banking practice known as fractional reserve lending, the same thing has happened to many borrowers. Your deposit is the backing for loans to many borrowers. The bankers can do it virtually as many times as they want. That means unlimited creation of credit for banks at no cost to them, free. No tax.

Banks, politicians, judges, officials and even the US Fed have admitted that banks do not lend the money that is deposited by depositors. That's a ruse the public has been fooled into believing. It is false.

For a simplistic overview to illustrate the concepts, consider a person with \$100,000 in savings and wanting to borrow \$300,000 to purchase a house for \$400,000. That's a quarter deposit, equity.

The bank now has a house worth \$400,000 and the buyer's \$100,000. The bank has \$700,000 as their assets being a \$400,000 house and the buyers' promise to repay \$300,000.

The bank can now lend money on this \$700,000. Another borrower wants to buy a house for \$800,000 being \$100,000 cash plus \$700,000 loan.

Then the bank conjures another \$1,500,000 being house of \$800,000 and borrower's promise of repayment of \$700,000.

And so on it goes. In reality through Fractional Reserve Lending banks can lend out multiples of 20 times their so-called *assets* conjured by accounting entries.

Another way to think of it is that the first borrower deposits his loan in another bank. That bank then uses the deposit as a reserve to lend out (create money) multiples of the deposit. Those loans then are deposited in banks that use the deposits to lend out (create) multiples of the deposits.

Accurate illustrations of fractional reserve lending are provided in the books referenced above and marked with an asterisk \*. Note Murray Rothbard, pages 29-33. His book is available here: <http://mises.org/books/fed.pdf>

**The key point is that creating money out of nothing by tapping numbers on computer keyboards creates a loan in a bank account. That becomes an asset in another account. It in turn allows creating multiple loans out of nothing by tapping computer keys.**

By the way, remember that after payment of compounding interest over many decades the total house cost will be well in excess of the loan amount.

Secondly, remember that bank loans can be called in at any moment.

Bankers build their asset pool with easy credit. Then when they want to shrink the credit pool they do so. They withdraw money from the money supply and raise interest rates. The economy contracts into a crash. People can't make their payments and banks foreclose. They get the assets. People still own the debt.

Through interest people pay for their house many times over. Then banks steal them. In practice according to the late Laurence Hoins Australian banks are "*credit creators and asset strippers*". He provides many reasons for his description using many examples of bankers lending to farmers. These are documented in his writing including two books entitled:

- *How to Avoid the Coming Crash*, First Edition published November 1994;
- *How to Screw Your Bank* First Edition February 1992, Second Edition, April 1992 available here: <http://loveforlife.com.au/files/How%20To%20Screw%20Your%20Bank.pdf>

This dedicated and talented man fought hard to protect Aussies from banks.

Farmer, inventor, investor and industrialist Colin Uebergang documents his experiences and observations in his book entitled *Banker's Lies, Crimes & Suicide: Australian Family Tragedies*. The book was first published by the Queensland Government "In the Public Interest", Hansard No: 4336 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2000.

Given the overall money and banking fraud it's not surprising that dubious practices are reported across the banking industry. How many farmers have been victims? How many citizens have been victims?

The Foreword to Ellen Brown's comprehensive book is written by a former bank executive, Reed Simpson, MSc. His foreword's opening sentence says, quote:

*"I have been a banker for most of my career, and I can report that even most bankers are not aware of what goes on behind closed doors at the top of their field."*

His first paragraph continues:

*"Bankers tend to their own corner of the banking business, without seeing the big picture or the ramifications of the whole system they are helping to perpetuate. I am more familiar than most with the issues raised in Ellen Brown's book Web of Debt, and I still found it an eye-opener, a remarkable window into what is really going on."*

Reed Simpson's second paragraph, quote:

*"The process by which money comes into existence is thoroughly misunderstood, and for good reason: it has been the focus of a highly sophisticated long-term disinformation campaign that permeates academia, media and publishing. The complexity of the subject has been intentionally exploited to keep the mysteries hidden. Henry Ford said it best: "It is well that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning".*

Among reference books listed above, asterisks denote those detailing creation of money out of thin air. It is fact that money is created by bankers out of nothing. It is fact that on that creation bankers pay no tax. To that bankers add fees and interest and requirements for borrowers to put assets at stake. Then, during collapses brought on by bankers, borrowers defaulting on loans forfeit their assets enabling bankers to gain more assets at no cost.

An allied burden on people is the concept of usury (interest) previously outlawed by early Christians, Jews and Muslims because of the damage it did materially and morally. When loans are fabricated out of thin air, the money supply does not create the money needed to repay the interest. This drives a scramble by debtors to find the additional funds for interest from within the money supply.

A further burden arises when banks create massive amounts of money to lend to governments for financial bailouts. These are now euphemistically labelled as quantitative easing or stimulus

packages. In creating this money bankers are not creating any wealth or tangible assets. Massively increased money supply chasing the same total amount of assets creates inflation. This is well known and feared because it enables bankers to trigger a boom followed by triggering another collapse when people are overextended. Then banks harvest assets and repeat the cycle.

A picture explains simply and clearly the reasons costs are rising for families and homes are becoming unaffordable. The graph is from Ron Kitching's outstanding book entitled *Understanding Personal and Economic Liberty*. It's available from Copyright Publishing at: <http://www.copyright.net.au/details.php?id=61>

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1404\\_RonKitching.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1404_RonKitching.pdf)

Governments abdicate national governance to international bankers.

Another burden for people and business is heightened booms and busts. History repeatedly reveals that when bankers are prevented from fiddling currency values through countries relying on honest money backed by gold and silver, booms and busts are inherently kept in check. America's constitution specifically made gold and silver the only currency. That was to prevent European bankers from destroying America's economy. Similar provisions apply in Australia's constitution.

Through concerted deceitful campaigns international bankers breached the constitution in both nations to establish fiat currencies. More significant is that their system creates money as credit, as debt.

**When powerful financiers are given monopoly on currency by governments, the bankers can create and heighten booms and busts. They have done this especially since creation of the USA Fed in 1913. This leads to many damaging consequences including their false claim that they are too big to be allowed to fail. Governments then give international bankers bailouts. Those bailouts are for protecting them against their self-inflicted damage and are enabled by putting taxpayers deeper into debt.**

Consider bailouts given by governments to large banks. The stated yet unfounded justification is that the banks are too big to fail. This occurred in the USA in 2008. In such cases, the government gives money to international banks. That money though was created by banks out of thin air, out of nothing. It's created as a debt by the government to the banks.

Most people miss the significance of this. The banks trigger the collapse or credit squeeze. Additionally, they fabricate a problem through their own poor practices. Then they issue a loan to the government to bail them out. The government gives that money to the banks. The people have a debt to the banks despite paying the money to the banks.

The banks created the mess. The banks fabricate the bailout as a loan to the government to give themselves. Yet they are owed a debt. The people owe the banks for the bailout.

The wolf caused the damage. Yet the wolf is rewarded. The wolf's prey, the people, pay the price—doubly.

Why does government in the world's most financially powerful nation do this? It's easy when Treasury staff of presidential administrations is flooded with former employees of Goldman Sachs. In essence they make the decision to save their former employer at taxpayer cost.



Additionally international banking wolves swallow the assets of their smaller competitors that fail in the collapse triggered by international bankers.

This combination dwarfs the massive climate fraud. That's not the end though. There's more for international banking wolves.

## Part 2: Extending Control

### 4. International bankers created income tax for taxpayers to pay interest

The creation of debt burdened by usury lumbered onto the public was not enough. Quote:

*“The Robber Barons succeeded in monopolising the money spigots, the oil spigots, and access to information\*;*

*but Morgan wanted more. He wanted to secure the banks’ loans to the government with a reliable source of taxes, one that was imposed directly on the incomes of the people. There was just one snag: A federal income tax had consistently been declared unconstitutional by the (USA) Supreme Court ...”.*

*\*See below for their control of media and public education.*

To make this machine an automatic money generator for international bankers another piece was needed: national income tax. America had previously introduced and abolished national Income Tax. America’s current Income Tax scheme was built initially under the guidance of Colonel Edward Mandel House and later through legislative creep. Brown, quote: “Today the federal income tax has acquired the standing of a legitimate tax enforceable by law, despite longstanding rulings by the Supreme Court strictly limiting its constitutional scope. Other taxes have been added to the list ...”.

America’s income tax effectively pays the interest loans from bankers to government. Given that bankers do not create interest, the repayment requires that someone other than the bankers lose.

Secondly, with the power to tax incomes members of America’s congress can effectively make promises and bribes then write cheques knowing that Americans will pay the bill. Once the total of cheques exceeds national revenues, international bankers happily create debt for the government to simply add it to the public tab. This system has been created to make it easy for politicians to increase debt thereby making bankers holders of enormous debt that they create out of thin air and lump onto taxpayers as debtors.

Income tax and banking has defied court rulings. It continues in contravention of the American constitution. As of January, 2012, quoting Ellen Brown on page 133:

*“The (American) Tax Code is now a 17,000-page sieve of obscure legalese providing enormous loopholes for those who can afford the lobbyists to negotiate them. Corporations with enough clout, such as Enron, have had whole pages devoted to their private interests. Enron paid no taxes for four of the five years from 1996 through 2000, although it was profitable during those years. The tax system has become so complex that tens of millions of taxpayers have to seek professional help to comply with its mandates. By 1995, at least \$250 billion were paid annually for these services, in addition to the \$8 billion required to operate the Internal Revenue Service itself (USA tax collector). The IRS had 144,000 employees—more than all but the 36 largest U.S. corporations—and it employed more investigators than the FBI and CIA combined. More than five billion*

*hours were spent annually in an effort to comply with federal income tax requirements—close to the total number of hours worked yearly by all the people in all the jobs in the State of Indiana (a major American manufacturing and agricultural state)."*

The inefficiencies and the damage to America's competitiveness are enormous. They're additional to taxes that sap initiative, promote political waste and enable a system designed to drive public loans by international bankers.

In describing the process for ingraining national Income Tax, Ellen Brown says on page 132, quote: "

*Wealthy businessmen who had opposed a federal income tax were won over when they learned they could avoid paying the tax themselves by setting up tax-free foundations".*

These enable the bankers to avoid tax while creating money tax free and pinning public debt on American citizens. This is but another part of the second biggest scam in global history.

**Income tax in Australia was deliberately placed by Australia's founding fathers in state hands. That maximised accountability through competition between states to minimise taxes. eg, when Queensland state Premier Joh Bjelke-Peterson abolished death duties and gift duties in 1977 it triggered similar decisions by other states fearing loss of residents to Queensland.**

**During World War 2 the Australian government took from the states the power to tax income. As in many instances despite appeals consistent with the federal constitution, in 1943 the (federal) High Court upheld the federal government's action.**

**This gave national government the power to levy taxes with similar consequences to those of America's national government taxing incomes nationally. Details are available here:**

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401\\_RichardCourt.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401_RichardCourt.pdf)

As in America, under the Rudd-Gillard-Swan government Australia has seen favoured status granted arbitrarily to major international corporations such as BHP, Rio and Xstrata over their smaller Australian competitors in the mining industry.

## 5. Corruption of money extends corruption across society

Economist John Maynard Keynes said, quote: “There is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch its currency.”

When the constitution is bypassed it can lead to active covering up of unlawful practices. In his book *How to Avoid the Coming Crash* Lawrence Hoins details claims of corruption by judges at senior levels state and federal judiciaries in Australia.

There exists extensive documented evidence from Australia and internationally in court rulings and statements by prominent bankers and politicians that money is created out of thin air. Yet according to Lawrence Hoins prominent Australian judges have denied or banned in court proceedings the self-evident truth that money is created out of nothing.

Ellen Brown provides solid data illustrating that the banks are not satisfied with operating what is the world’s biggest Ponzi scheme. They are now enmeshed in creating a bubble on a Ponzi scheme through use of derivatives. Derivatives trade people’s expectations of what could happen in the future. Quoting Ellen Brown:

*“Derivatives are key investment tools of hedge funds. Derivatives are basically side bets that some underlying investment (a stock, commodity, market, etc) will go up or down. They are not really investments because they don’t involve the purchase of an asset. They are outside bets on what the asset will do. All derivatives are variations on futures trading, and all futures trading is inherently speculation or gambling.”*

As fragile European and American economies cause concern, people wonder about the extent of derivatives trading. Some quotes from Ellen Brown provide context:

*“... derivatives are not asset. They are just bets on what the asset will do, and the bet can be placed with very little “real” money down. Most of the money is borrowed from banks that create it on a computer screen as it is lent. The connection with reality has been severed so completely that the market for over-the-counter derivatives has now reached many times the money supply of the world. Since these private bets are unreported and unregulated, nobody knows exactly how much money is riding on them. However, the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) [the central bankers’ bank] reported that in the first half of 2006, the “notional value” of derivatives trades had soared to a record \$370 trillion; and by December 2007, the figure was up to a breathtaking \$681 trillion.”*

And, quote:

*“Like the Mississippi Bubble, the derivatives bubble is built on something that doesn’t really exist; and when the losers cannot afford to pay up on their futures bets, the scheme must collapse. Either that, or the taxpayers will be saddled with the bill for the biggest bailout in history”.*

And, quoting Christopher White in a report requested by the USA House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs in 1994:

*“there is no major commercial bank, investment bank, mutual fund, etc. that is not dependent on derivatives for its existence. These derivatives suck the life’s blood out of our economy. Our farms, our factories, our nation’s infrastructure, our living standards*

*are being sucked dry to pay off interest payments, dividend yields as well as others earnings in the bubble ”.*

And, quote:

*“... speculation in derivatives draws much-needed capital away from domestic productivity ...Today more money can be had at less risk by speculation in derivatives than by investing in the growth of a business, and this is particularly true if you are a very big bank with the ability to influence the way the bet goes. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency reported that in mid-2006, there were close to 9,000 commercial and savings banks in the United States; yet 97 percent of U.S. bank-held derivatives were concentrated in the hands of just five banks. Topping the list were JPMorgan Chase and Citibank, the citadels of the Morgan and Rockefeller empires”.*

Another Aussie linking the Gillard-Brown CO2 trading scheme to huge opportunities for derivatives can be read here:

<http://barnabyisright.com/2011/11/01/ticking-time-bomb-hidden-in-the-carbon-tax/>

Note the recent huge increase in Australian banks’ exposure to derivatives.

There’s more. As the so-called Global Financial Crisis revealed in 2008, a financial mess was created by American senators forcing banks to loan money to people who couldn’t afford repayments. Seeing their bad loans, banks simply repackaged them with euphemisms and sold them globally. Banks and governments around the world were then exposed.

When banks make massive profits they keep the profits. When major banks make massive losses they share the losses with the public because politicians and bankers claim they are too big to be allowed to fail. When one understands that the Fed and the American administration and Treasury are loaded with former Goldman Sachs senior employees who reputedly retain loyalty to Goldman Sachs it’s easy to see why Goldman Sachs is seen to rule the world.

<http://truth-out.org/opinion/item/12996-goldman-sachs-global-coup-de-tat>

Goldman Sachs already controls much of the world reportedly on behalf of the Rothschilds.

Goldman Sachs is said to be wrecking Greece:

<http://truth-out.org/opinion/item/12996-goldman-sachs-global-coup-de-tat>

A trader saying Goldman Sachs rules the world:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aC19fEqR5bA>

Goldman Sachs’ involvement with many economic bubbles and crashes is documented by reporter Mark Taibbi, here:

<https://sites.google.com/site/disclosuredelta/>

Governments pay the bankers bailout money that they borrowed from the banks. The banks’ greed and errors result in public gifts to banks—with interest.

Remember that banks pay no tax on the money they create and then use to create twenty times over. Remember that they’re making bets using other people’s assets. Remember that the tab for their failures is massive debt saddled onto the public. By issuing that debt on behalf of the government they make the loan to the government that then gives it to the banks as a bailout.

With this system, the banks are rewarded for making errors and gambling. In their system of private profits and shared losses they cannot lose. Only taxpayers and citizens lose—in many ways.

Remember, as bank executive Reed Simpson admits: most bank executives (and accountants and financiers) are not aware of this. Neither are most politicians running major nations and supposedly implementing economic and financial regulations.

Most voters are not aware of this. Most members of parliament and congress are likely not aware. Why not?

**Modern banking is contrary to the constitutions of the USA and Australia. It is unlawful. Both constitutions give the power to create and distribute money to government. Yet government hands that monopoly to international bankers who then turn it back on the people to create endless debt continually growing through interest owed to bankers.**

For its continuance corruption of money requires corruption of law. That requires corruption of government and parliament.

Journalist James Delingpole identified what he claims as the scandal involving wind farms and guaranteed government subsidies. In The Australian newspaper on May 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2012 he stated, quote:

*“Even more shocking than this, though, were my discoveries about the finance arrangements and behaviour of the wind farm companies. What we have here, I believe, is the biggest and most outrageous public affairs scandal of the 21st century -- one in which the Gillard government is implicated and that far exceeds in seriousness and scope of the Slipper or Thomson sideshows.*

*At the heart of this scandal are the union superannuation funds that are using the wind farm scam as a kind of government-endorsed Ponzi scheme to fill their coffers at public expense. One of the biggest wind farm developers -- Pacific Hydro -- is owned by the union superfund Members Equity Bank. To meet its carbon reduction quotas, we're told, Australia needs to build about 10,000 new wind turbines like the ones that have destroyed Waterloo (and dozens of communities like it from NSW to South Australia).*

*The figures are mind-boggling. Each of those turbines will cost about \$3 million, which means \$30 billion even before you've started building the power lines. And where's this money coming from? The consumer, of course -- mostly via tariffs whacked on to the price of conventional, fossil-fuel energy prices, in the form of payouts called Renewable Energy Certificates.*

*Note that wind turbines produce very little power. Because wind is intermittent, they operate at between one-fifth and one-third of their capacity, meaning they are erratic, unreliable and have to be fully backed up by conventional "black" (mostly coal-fuelled) power. Where the money is to be made is through the REC subsidy. A 3MW wind turbine that generates (at most) \$150,000 worth of electricity a year is eligible for guaranteed subsidies of \$500,000 a year.<sup>2</sup> A ridgeline hosting 20 or 30 turbines generates very little power -- but an awful lot of free cash for those lucky enough to get their snouts in the trough.*

---

<sup>2</sup> Isn't this a gift from government using taxpayer money?

*If the unions were merely exploiting government environmental legislation to milk the taxpayer it would be bad enough ...”*

As revealed in Appendix 12, ALP federal government minister Greg Combet has made many false statements about climate change. Some contradict empirical scientific evidence. His education is such that he should know fundamental realities about CO2 and Earth’s history. Those realities contradict his public claims.

Industry Super Holdings Pty Ltd Annual Report for 2007 is here:

[http://www.membersequity.com.au/pdf/reporting/ME\\_Annual\\_Report.pdf](http://www.membersequity.com.au/pdf/reporting/ME_Annual_Report.pdf)

The report states that Greg Combet was director of Industry Super Holdings Pty Ltd until the middle of 2007, the year he was elected to parliament. The report states that, quote:

*“In January 2007, Members Equity Bank (ME) and Industry Fund Services (IFS) came together under the umbrella of the financial institution known as Industry Super Holdings”.*

In light of James Delingpole’s article, Greg Combet’s close connections with unions and his close connections with union superannuation funds raise serious questions about his connections.

There’s more. As Australian and American governments sink more deeply into debt some of the loans pay for subsidies for inefficient so-called renewable energy. Each dollar of subsidy is a dollar of debt for international bankers.

For example, Al Gore getting fatter off taxpayer subsidies:

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/decision2012/al-gore-has-thrived-as-green-tech-investor/2012/10/10/1dfaa5b0-0b11-11e2-bd1a-b868e65d57eb\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/decision2012/al-gore-has-thrived-as-green-tech-investor/2012/10/10/1dfaa5b0-0b11-11e2-bd1a-b868e65d57eb_story.html)

And:

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/decision2012/gores-green-investments/2012/10/10/03612904-1340-11e2-ba83-a7a396e6b2a7\\_graphic.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/decision2012/gores-green-investments/2012/10/10/03612904-1340-11e2-ba83-a7a396e6b2a7_graphic.html)

And:

<http://blog.heritage.org/2012/04/24/taxpayer-backed-green-energy-firms-struggle-but-hike-executive-pay/>

And:

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/despite-industry-wide-woes-al-gore-has-made-a-killing-in-green-energy/>

And:

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/another-solyndra-third-bankrupt-green-energy-company-comes-under-congressional-scrutiny/>

Recently Al Gore and his investment company have been exposed for bailing out of renewable energy investments.

## 6. A better way in Australia was squashed by international bankers

The ALP government of Prime Minister Andrew Fisher created the Commonwealth Bank of Australia in 1910. It was originally owned by the people of Australia through the government. It issued money. It eliminated the need for income taxation, paid for World War 1 and funded massive infrastructure projects that built Australia.

Starting with the Bruce-Page government in 1924 the bank was gradually dismantled over four decades by *conservative* and Labor governments. With complicity from the media and to the delight of commercial banks successive governments mangled the bank to be an instrument of the international bankers. Some of those governments buckled o pressure from commercial and international banks and financial interests. Prime Minister Robert Menzies formed the Reserve Bank of Australia in 1960 and Prime Minister Paul Keating finished off the people's Commonwealth Bank by selling it to private investors in 1995.

Ellen Brown provides a summary of the bank and its story here:

[http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/commonwealth\\_bank\\_au.php](http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/commonwealth_bank_au.php)

*"The Commonwealth Bank was able to achieve so much with so little because its first Governor, Denison Miller, and its first and most ardent proponent, King O'Malley, had both been bankers themselves and knew the secret of banking: that banks create the "money" they lend simply by writing accounting entries into the deposit accounts of borrowers."*

Institute of Public Affairs writer Julie Novak explains Australia's history of once-free banking, and why we may need it again in her article entitled *The end of money as we know it*. An abstract is available here:

<http://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary;dn=913620088471411;res=IELHSS>

*"The value of the Australian dollar has been eroded over the past century since the introduction of central banking". One dollar in 1910 was worth 2 cents in 2010.*

The Story of the Commonwealth Bank discusses the benefits to Australia of one system of money: government issuing money. It reveals deceitful control of governments under terms dictated by international banks with assistance from MP's siding with commercial banks to destroy the people's Commonwealth Bank:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1405\\_TheStoryOfTheCommonwealthBank\\_copy.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1405_TheStoryOfTheCommonwealthBank_copy.pdf)

Quoting page 45:

*"The first intimation that we, in Australia, received ... was an article in the daily press of the 15<sup>th</sup> March, 1943, stating that Lord Keynes and the officials of the U.S Treasury were engaged on plans for an International Monetary Fund and Bank. Among the conditions necessary for the working of the plan, would be the willingness of participating countries to sacrifice some of their autonomy in monetary affairs".*

And:



*“The Bretton Woods Agreements, whether by accident or design, are couched in highly technical language which is meaningless to anybody without financial training. They take away from all nations the power of either increasing or decreasing their currency (except within very narrow limits) without first obtaining the assent of an International Monetary Fund—which international financiers will control through its directorate, as Australian financiers, until recently, controlled the Commonwealth Bank”.*

In this way Australia lost control of currency. As the IMF and World Bank are controlled by international bankers, Australia’s currency is controlled by international bankers. They do not need to own central banks in order to control national economies.

In today’s parliament, how many MP’s and/or their spouses worked for major international bankers? A quick count reveals seven likely.

Note the use of a report couched in technical language to bulldoze MP’s. Rather than admit ignorance and swamped with hectic schedules MP’s afraid of being seen as ignorant or unsupportive vote in ignorance. According to Ron Paul, this is a failing shared with the congress of the most powerful nation on Earth, America. In this way, significant legislation detrimental to national interests is steered through parliament and into legislation controlling Australians and Americans.

As an aside, the use of lengthy reports crammed with confusing and sometimes meaningless jargon is a tactic used repeatedly by the UN to get its way.

The Island of Guernsey had success with its own bank controlling money:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1406\\_TheGuernseyExperiment.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1406_TheGuernseyExperiment.pdf)

More information on Guernsey’s success and the dislike it generated from international bankers is available at these links:

The Guernsey Experiment:

[http://goldnews.bullionvault.com/guernsey\\_experiment\\_credit\\_creation\\_gold\\_standard\\_051920083](http://goldnews.bullionvault.com/guernsey_experiment_credit_creation_gold_standard_051920083)

And:

<http://www.michaeljournal.org/guernsey.htm>

And audio:

<http://ia600400.us.archive.org/12/items/TheGuernseyExperiment/the-guernsey-experiment-archive.wma>

Or through here:

<http://archive.org/details/TheGuernseyExperiment>

Footnote:

<http://positivemoney/sheffield.pbworks.com/w/page/51773871/The%20Guernsey%20Experiment>

And:

<http://www.thisisguernsey.com/latest/2008/10/15/the-guernsey-experiment/>

And video:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vy-jmckmMul>

The American government succeeded in issuing currency originally known as *Greenbacks* before a privately owned central bank took over.

Note further that according to the bankers themselves and many observers such as former Chairman of the American House of Representatives Banking and Currency Committee Louis McFadden, the bankers' goal is not only about amassing unimaginable financial wealth and protecting it. The bankers' goal is about controlling people worldwide.

Quoting John Adams in his letter to Thomas Jefferson

*"All the perplexities, confusion and distresses in America arise not from defects in the constitution or confederation, nor from want of honor or virtue, as much from downright ignorance of the nature of coin, credit, and circulation."*

George Washington, in letter to J. Bowen, Rhode Island, Jan. 9, 1787

*"Paper money has had the effect in your state that it will ever have, to ruin commerce, oppress the honest, and open the door to every species of fraud and injustice."*

Quoting Thomas Jefferson:

*"The eyes of our citizens are not sufficiently open to the true cause of our distress. They ascribe them to everything but their true cause, the banking system; a system which if it could do good in any form is yet so certain of leading to abuse as to be utterly incompatible with the public safety and prosperity. The Central Bank is an institution of the most deadly hostility existing against the principles and form of our Constitution."*

We need to understand the international bankers' role in controlling resources and people across national borders beyond America and Europe.

## 7. International bankers wield absolute power—globally

Thomas Jefferson to John Taylor in 1816, quote:

*"I sincerely believe ... that banking establishments are more dangerous than standing armies, and that the principle of spending money to be paid by posterity under the name of funding is but swindling futurity on a large scale."*

Benjamin Disraeli, former British PM, said, quote:

*"The governments of the present day have to deal not merely with other governments, with emperors, kings and ministers, but also with the secret societies which have everywhere their unscrupulous agents, and can at the last moment upset all the governments' plans."*

**The dream of global governance is as old as history. It's been sought and attempted by many rulers.**

Henry Lamb's DVD's on *The Rise of Global Governance* provides a clear account of the history, context and current methods and tactic of those pursuing global control:

<http://shelf3d.com/Search/The%2BRise%2Bof%2BGlobal%2BGovernance%2BPlaylistIDPLKjE86mQRtsd2abcjQkgg4uw-H5MLvMa>

His book of the same title is here:

<http://www.amazon.com/The-Rise-Global-Governance-Agenda/dp/0615254101>

**Note the interconnections between all four globalisation groups, the US Fed and the UN.**

The late Henry Lamb provided an accurate summary in five succinct yet comprehensive videos. They reveal global governance and interactions within. They're available here:

<http://shelf3d.com/Search/The%2BRise%2Bof%2BGlobal%2BGovernance%2BPlaylistIDPLKjE86mQRtsd2abcjQkgg4uw-H5MLvMa>

He provided a short version of modern events in a concise report entitled **eco•logic Special Report**. Quote:

*"The desire to rule the world has been a part of the human experience throughout recorded history. Alexander the Great led Greece to dominance of the known world, only to become the victim of Rome's quest for world dominance. The Roman Empire, built on bloody battlefields across the land, was swallowed up by the Holy Roman Empire, built on the fear and hopes of helpless people. History is a record of the competition for global dominance. In every age, there has always been a force somewhere, conniving to conquer the world with ideas clothed in promises imposed by military might. The 20th century is no different from any other: Marx, Lenin, and Hitler reflect some of the ideas that competed for world dominance in the 1900s. The competition is still underway. The key players change from time to time, as do the words that describe the various battlefields, but the competing ideas remain the same."*

An abbreviated version is here: <http://sovereignty.net/p/gov/rise/risetoc.htm>

Use of military power to instil control is easy to identify. Use of administrative subversion and gradual erosion of democracy though is not obvious, especially when cleverly hidden. This makes it more insidious and difficult to counter.

Actions speak more loudly than do words. The bankers' primary goal of global control is clear in the operation of small, exclusive and secretive yet not secret groups managing a global agenda. The primary groups are:

- The Council on Foreign Relations formed in 1921 under the influence of Edward Mandel House who pushed creation of the US Fed and the UN's predecessor, the League of Nations. The CFR has been influential ever since in driving the administration of many American presidencies including Franklin Roosevelt's *New Deal*:  
<http://www.wnd.com/2009/03/91394/> In The Washington Post on October 30, 1993, page A-21 journalist Richard Harwood said, quote: "*Council on Foreign Relations ... is the nearest thing we have to a ruling establishment in the United States.*" Consider the cabinet members of every American president since and including FDR's administration have been run by a core of CFR members  
[http://www.pennsylvaniacrier.com/filemgmt\\_data/files/Ruling%20Class%20Journalists.pdf](http://www.pennsylvaniacrier.com/filemgmt_data/files/Ruling%20Class%20Journalists.pdf)
- The Bilderberg Group formed in 1954 by Prince Bernhard, Prince of The Netherlands and the Rockefeller family;
- The Trilateral Commission founded in 1973 by David Rockefeller supposedly to foster closer cooperation among the USA, Europe and Japan to later broaden across North America, Europe and Asia; and,
- The Club of Rome established in 1968.

Although their meetings are sometimes reported in mainstream media their agenda, outcomes and strategy are secretive. Reports from insiders have enabled investigative reporters Daniel Estulin, Alex Jones and others to release reliable information from disenchanted members concerned with the Bilderberg threat to humanity and our planet.

**These organisations are reportedly aimed at controlling people. Their roots and methods are steeped in control. As revealed below in section 11, their roots are in Nazism. Prince Bernhard was reportedly a NAZI sympathiser. George Soros reportedly betrayed his own people to the NAZIs in 1943.**

Henry Kissinger is a member of all four groups and reportedly an adviser to David Rockefeller. He is quoted as saying:

*"Who controls the food supply controls the people; who controls the energy can control whole continents; who controls money can control the world." Legislation based on climate fraud enables control of energy. UN Agenda 21 (including fabricated climate alarm) enables control of energy and food to add to the international bankers' control of money.*

These groups are dominated by prominent international bankers. Most notable are David Rockefeller, representatives of Goldman Sachs and London's Rothschilds.

German banker Paul Warburg manipulated creation of the privately owned USA Fed. He is reported by Daniel Estulin to have founded the Council on Foreign Relations. His son James Warburg was an adviser to President Franklin Roosevelt and testified before the USA Senate Foreign Relations Committee on February 17<sup>th</sup>, 1950, quote:

*"We shall have world government whether or not you like it—by conquest or consent".*

Connections such as Henry Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski have been involved significantly and influentially as appointed office-holders or as advisers controlling the administrations of many American presidents.

Their influence in the administration of American President Barack Obama is clear from a list of some members of the administration:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1407\\_ObamaAdvisers.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1407_ObamaAdvisers.pdf)

This is typical of most presidents' administrations since and including Franklin Roosevelt.

Through their private ownership of major nations' central banks the international bankers control many nations and drive the European Union and the United Nations. The EU is superficially an elected body governed in reality by unelected bureaucrats. The UN is an unelected body whose operation is dictated by a small number of unelected bureaucrats.

The UN was formed at the urging of, and with support from, major international bankers. Its headquarters is on land donated by the Rockefellers. The UN has since been one of their primary vehicles for implementing their documented strategy.

Henry Lamb's video five-part series explains it well. Part 5 includes comments on the UN's report entitled *Our Global Neighbourhood*, published in 1995. It's a 410-page blueprint for establishing global governance. Its available here:

<http://shelf3d.com/hVR3BPM5dU4#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%205> Its provisions include:

- Global taxation on all foreign currency exchange that would steal an estimated \$1.5 Trillion per year. That's 150 times the UN's annual budget in 2008. Could it also drive people switching to a global currency to avoid the tax? If so, wouldn't that global currency be controlled by international bankers through their Bank for International Settlements, the current central bankers' central bank?
- Standing UN army;
- Economic Security Council with absolute authority over all economic matters in the same way that the UN Security Council has authority over military affairs;
- UN authority over the global commons defined to be the atmosphere, outer space, the oceans and the related environment and life support systems that contribute to the support of human life. That's everything on Earth and around Earth and beyond Earth. It's everything;
- End to veto power of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council. That would give the UN control over the USA;
- NGO parliamentary body of civil society representatives. This is more audaciously a collection of UN operatives than the sham EU parliament that rubber-stamps EU bureaucrats running the EU under pretense of pseudo-democracy. Appendix 15 reveals more about WWF that is in reality working with and for the UN;
- Petitions Council to act as a clearinghouse for complaints from NGO's of non-compliance. NGO's would be a police force for the unelected parliament and for the UN's real controllers, its bureaucrats working on behalf of international bankers;
- New UN Court of Criminal Justice;

- Binding verdicts of the International Court of Justice;
- Expanded authority for UN Secretariat.

The UN held its fourth world Conference on Women in 1995. The conference report called for many ideas to benefit women, including the following:

- Restrict economic growth in developed countries;
- Global taxing authority for the UN;
- Transfer of commons to international control (water, forests, grazing land, fishing waters. (Is this the reason Australia is strangling its fisheries by marine parks?))
- Remuneration for women's housework, childrearing;
- Universal guaranteed income;
- Require businesses to hire equal number of men and women;

Soon after the UN's 1995 State of the World Forum called for:

- Global taxation by the UN;
- Transfer all armaments to the UN;
- Stricter population control programs;
- Elimination of national borders and nationalism.

The Forum's President Jim Garrison advised media, quote:

*"We're going to end up with world government."*

The UN has declared that it wants to declare obsolete the concepts of:

- National sovereignty;
- Individual freedom;
- Private property and
- Capitalism.

Upon its formation the World Bank was initially housed in the UN headquarters building in New York. The World Bank is another global vehicle for implementation of international bankers' strategy.

Through cross-memberships of small but powerful groups known as the Bilderberg Group, the Trilateral Commission, the Council for Foreign Relations and The Club of Rome international bankers control these four organisations that formulate global priorities and strategies in their annual meetings. Their members include powerful members of government and administration in influential nations, presidents and prime ministers, senior officers of national intelligence agencies and military officers, media owners and prominent business people and advisers such as Australia's Professor Ross Garnaut and Dr. John Hewson. The holding of meetings is occasionally reported by some major media yet the groups' deliberations are kept secret.

Why do they need to keep from us their plans for our future? Secrecy is yet another form of control.

Beneath control secrecy is fear and self-perceived weakness or vulnerability. Secrecy is a form of control. Of what are they afraid? Why do they want to keep their aims and strategies from the people?

Their strategies are implemented by various means through global organisations such as the UN and through personal contacts in various national bureaucracies and governments and through cultivation of world leaders.

In November 2011 the elected government of Greece, the birthplace of democracy, was sidelined and replaced by a former EU Central Bank Vice-President Lucas Papademos. He was appointed without facing election. His role was reportedly described by the Greek President as, quote:

*“chief role of the new interim administration will be the implementation of the decisions of the European Union summit of Oct. 26 and the policies that are connected to this.”*

Soon after, the government of Italy was sidelined and replaced by a former EU Commissioner Mario Monti. Reportedly he has never faced an election to be a public representative. He selected and appointed his new cabinet as government of Italy.

EU MP Nigel Farage makes it clear: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dLf0wfMFOaw>

Imagine the outcry if this had occurred in an African dictatorship. Would it have been bombed into submission by NATO or the USA? Yet the smashing of democracy in the EU was accepted, endorsed and applauded. In NATO Europe the removal of democracy in Italy was hailed as a masterstroke because the new government included no politicians. Welcome to national governance and control EU style.

Imposition of EU control over various nations such as Ireland occurred despite citizens voting provisions down.

Please refer to references by Gary Allen and Eustace Mullins above and to the book entitled The True Story of the Bilderberg Group by Canadian investigative reporter Daniel Estulin.

*“The interests behind the Bush Administration, such as the Council on Foreign Relations, The Trilateral Commission - founded by Brzezinski for David Rockefeller - and the Bilderberger Group, have prepared for and are now moving to implement open world dictatorship within the next five years. They are not fighting against terrorists. They are fighting against citizens.”*

**Dr. Johannes B. Koepl, Ph.D., 2001, former German defense ministry official and advisor to former NATO Secretary General Manfred Werner**

Thanks to investigative reporter Alex Jones and his associates one can cite admissions by members of the Bilderberg Group claiming the group's role in various international events such as the Euro currency:

<http://www.infowars.com/bilderberg-scheme-to-save-the-euro/>

*“Bilderberg-chairman Étienne Davignon bragged that Bilderberg helped create the euro by first introducing the policy agenda for a single currency in the early 1990's, which was later formalized into the 1992 Maastricht Treaty.*

*However, the very first discussions about creating a single currency took place decades beforehand at the 1955 Bilderberg meeting in Garmisch-Partenkirchen, West Germany.*

*Leaked documents divulge how Bilderberg elitists discussed "The necessity to bring the German people into a common European market as quickly as possible," adding that the future was in danger without a "United Europe".*

*This agenda included "the need to achieve a common currency," as well as the creation of a "central political authority."*

*Given the fact that the euro was at least five decades in the making, don't expect the global elite to give up on it without a fight. The euro crisis will take center stage at Bilderberg 2012 as members attempt to manufacture consensus for a committed effort to redeem the single currency once again."*

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/leaked-1955-bilderberg-docs-outline-plan-for-single-european-currency.html>

Although the secretive groups are unelected, their power is enormous. President Franklin Delano Roosevelt came from a banking family and worked for the international bankers. Yet FDR admitted publicly that, quote:

*"Presidents are selected not elected".*

More astounding is that this has long been known. In 1889 Banjo Paterson identified the core problem, quote:

*"The trusts and monopolies whereby laborers are robbed".*

Prominent American politicians including major party nominees for the office of President have campaigned against the power of the international bankers.

It's credibly reported that American presidents have been assassinated because of their opposition to America's first and second privately owned central banks. Those banks' charters were terminated because of their crippling legacy. Yet international bankers are persistent. They have a history worldwide of crippling nations and prominent politicians until they become owners of central banks disguised as government agencies. Then they camouflage ownership of central banks and control of economies using many varied tactics. These are documented.

Why are most citizens not aware? As America's robber barons control and stifle America's mainstream media so too do major media owners in other nations. These owners are participants in the four groups listed above.

Events in the Middle East are complex. Yet threats by leaders of various oil producing nations to replace US Dollars with gold in oil trading were followed by their removal from power by American and NATO forces. Muslim nations<sup>3</sup> reportedly still ban usury. Usury is central to international bankers' methods. Middle Eastern nations have held out against international bankers favourite weapon: privately owned central banks. Middle Eastern nations contain prize oil resources. These and other factors raise serious questions.

Thanks to the Internet a growing number of people worldwide is awakening to the discovery that we are controlled by unelected people wielding power through small groups governing national leaders and policies. These people are backed by the financial and economic power of the major bankers that can cripple national economies. George Soros has proved this repeatedly among European and other nations including America.

---

<sup>3</sup> Christian and Jewish religions for many centuries banned usury until overcome by international bankers.



Historian Amy McGrath has compiled relevant documents in her book entitled *Wolves in Sheep's Clothing* available here:

[http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps\\_Cloting.pdf](http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps_Cloting.pdf)

It exposes George Soros' control of the American Democratic party.

Quoting from Voice of the People Lobby Group:

*"In 1994 Soros crowed in The New Republic that the former Soviet Empire is now called the Soros Empire. The Russia-gate scandal in 1999 which almost collapsed the Russian economy, was labelled by Representative Jim Leach, then Head of the US House Banking Committee, to be "one of the greatest social robberies in human history." In 1997 Soros almost destroyed the economies of Thailand and Malaysia. At the time, Malaysia's Prime Minister, Mahathir Mohamed, called Soros "a villain, and a moron". Thai activist Weng Tojirakam said, "We regard George Soros as a kind of Dracula. He sucks the blood from the people.""*

Amy McGrath's book reveals the significant role played by UN Agenda 21 and other components pushing a New World Order.

Progress in implementing global governance could not occur without complicity by national governments. In 1998 the UN's International Criminal Court was proposed at the UN's Rome meeting. The USA opposed the final resolution and voted against the court's creation yet President Clinton signed the document just hours before leaving office.

The USA's signature was rescinded by President George W Bush immediately after he took office. He similarly withdrew the USA from the Kyoto Protocol that Bill Clinton had signed despite the USA delegation in Kyoto refusing to sign the protocol in 1997.

## 8. International Monetary Fund, IMF and World Bank push global control

In addition to the UN, other trans-national organisations pushing global governance include the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, IMF.

These have been used to dictate and enforce cruel and even inhumane conditions on foreign aid that drive global campaigns including eugenic and genocide programs.

Please refer to excerpts from Ellen Brown's outstanding book entitled *The Web of Debt*. They're available here: <http://www.webofdebt.com/>

Specifically, her account of international bankers crippling Mexico is here: <http://www.webofdebt.com/excerpts/chapter-22.php>

An excerpt revealing roles of bankers and the IMF in crippling Russia is here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1408\\_EllenBrownRussia.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1408_EllenBrownRussia.pdf)

Quoting from page 227:

*"Mark Weisbrot, co-director of the Center for Economic and Policy Research testified in 1998 that Russia's steep decline after 1989 was a direct result of the harsh policies of the IMF, which were used as tools for 'subordinating the domestic economies of emerging market countries to the whims of international financial markets'. He told Congress: 'The IMF has presided over one of the worst economic declines in modern history. Russian output has declined by more than 40% since 1992—a catastrophe worse than our own Great Depression.'"*

**Economic smashing of Russia and Mexico illustrate methods for taking over, crippling and devastating large nations rich in natural resources. These and other methods have been used to takeover and control America, Britain and all developed western nations.**

Is Australia next among other nations? Paul Kelly quoting journalist and business adviser Alan Kohler discusses the US Fed's dirty secret, quote:

*"This debate plays out against a dramatic and frightening global outlook. It was captured this week by Alan Kohler writing in The Australian: "The dirty secret of the US Federal Reserve is that it's engaging in a surreptitious currency war using quantitative easing to depreciate the currency. The Fed is effectively giving other countries two choices: allow your currency to appreciate against the US dollar and thus make your economies less competitive and crunch your export industries or print money with us and risk (or perhaps guarantee) inflation."*

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/addiction-to-over-promising-in-julia-gillards-government-for-all-seasons/story-e6frg74x-1226537092324>

Or direct from Alan Kohler:

<http://www.businessspectator.com.au/bs.nsf/Article/currency-war-federal-reserve-QE-reserve-banks-doll-pd20121207-2R2WB?OpenDocument>

As Ellen Brown summarises the enslaving of America, quote:

*"The people of the United States, like those of the Third World, have become hopelessly mired in debt to support the banking system of a private international cartel".*

**Note the collaboration of former senior Goldman Sachs executives who infect and control the Treasury under every recent American president, Democrat and Republican.**

Note the role of Henry Kissinger bringing death to millions of people and misery to tens of millions of people. Yet he was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize although Daniel Estulin reveals the dark side of Henry Kissinger's record. Henry Kissinger is reportedly a member of the Bilderberg Group, Council On Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission and an adviser to David Rockefeller.

As an aside, the Nobel Peace Prize was awarded to Al Gore and the UN IPCC as co-recipients in 2007. In 2009 people were astonished that Barack Obama was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for, quote

*"for his extraordinary efforts to strengthen international diplomacy and cooperation between peoples".*

Yet he'd been President less than eight months. What had Barack Obama done? Could it have been for his role on the Joyce Foundation granting money to Goldman Sachs in establishing the Chicago Climate Exchange? Or preemptively for targeted murder without trial by American military drone aircraft of an American citizen and separately for the death that man's 16-year-old son?

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/10/24/robert-gibbs-anwar-al-awlaki\\_n\\_2012438.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/10/24/robert-gibbs-anwar-al-awlaki_n_2012438.html)

The Nobel Peace Prize is awarded by a politicised process within the parliament of Norway. It's reportedly open to external influence and lobbying.

Please note especially that current issuing of money as debt by the privately owned USA Fed is not the only monetary system available. There are other, superior alternatives. Quoting Ellen Brown:

*"Tom Paine had called debt-free government-issued money the cornerstone of the American Revolution".*

Benjamin Franklin echoed this as the cause of the American Revolution. As did other American founding fathers.<sup>4</sup>

**Yet under the influence of international bankers history has been revised in schools and movies to focus on the Boston Tea Party and taxation without representation. International bankers do not want people to know the truth about money created as debt being contrary to the constitution and being the very thing that triggered the American Revolution. Why do they need to control by falsely rewriting history? Of what are they afraid?**

With blood American revolutionaries removed the scourge of enslavement under foreign owned banks issuing money as debt from England. Yet it was returned by international bankers to enslave Americans after a relentless fraudulent and deceitful campaign from 1776 through 1913 when the Fed was born under foreign private control.

---

<sup>4</sup> See Australia's Commonwealth Bank above and the Island of Guernsey.

International bankers control both the IMF and World Bank.

The IMF has an overriding influence on UN activities. For example, the IMF advises nations as to the amount to contribute to the UN.

According to The Wall Street Journal article entitled *The club: how central bankers rule the world*, quote:

*“A big secret of central bank cooperation”, Sir Mervyn says, “is that you can just pick up a phone and have an agreement on something very quickly” in a crisis.”*

Sir Mervyn King is Bank of England governor and seemingly makes agreements with other international bankers while bypassing elected governments. The Journal’s article discusses the close connections of various central banks bosses that *“form a tightknit fraternity”*.

### **Why is the World Bank returning to controlling climate alarm?**

All so predictably, before each UN climate conference a scary report or claim is conjured and released to drive media reports. In 2012 it was the World Bank’s turn.

In November, 2012 Bank of Italy governor Ignazio Visco told reporters:

*“The World Bank has gone back to being in charge of climate change,” “For a certain period ..., it had stopped”.*

<http://www.marketoracle.co.uk/Article37623.html>

His comment followed release of the World Bank’s report on climate earlier the same month. The report claims that *“a four-degree C warmer world must be avoided”*. Yet the report contains no empirical scientific evidence that the world is warming or will warm due to human activity.

Until this report, the common target and implied claim by advocates of climate alarm was that unless we took action the world would warm by two degrees. Suddenly and without empirical scientific evidence the World Bank doubled the claim. Why?

Approaching the UN’s November Climate Change Conference in Doha interest in climate alarm was flagging as empirical scientific evidence increasingly scuttled the claim that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming. A growing chorus of national governments were publicly stating they would not be signing any renewal of the UN’s Kyoto Protocol climate agreement. The UN IPCC was under fire.

The World Bank rode in to rescue unfounded climate alarm using its report lacking empirical scientific evidence for its claim. Its primary weapon is an unfounded headline-grabbing claim that action is needed to avert a four-degree rise in global temperature.

Around the same time the UN’s World Meteorological Organisation released its latest report suggesting action is needed to avert a six degree C rise in global temperature.

**Without empirical scientific evidence the original claim of two degrees was doubled by the World Bank and tripled by the WMO. Both contradict empirical scientific evidence.**

**For two reports produced around the same time it's amusing that one can project 50% higher temperature increases than the other.**

**The reality is that the original two-degree warming was plucked out of the air and is not scientific:** <http://www.spiegel.de/international/world/climate-catastrophe-a-superstorm-for-global-warming-research-a-686697-8.html>

Clearly, as Nature fails to cooperate, global organisations associated with international bankers driving climate alarm are getting sillier. In their attempt to control people's perceptions through fabricating unfounded alarm and fear they're becoming desperate.

### **Why is the IMF meddling in Australia's GST**

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/economics/imf-backs-widening-gst-as-leaders-reject-nick-greiner-call/story-e6frg926-1226511053817>

Instead, why not focus on the core issue: corporations are dodging tax?

## 9. A New World Order admitted by prominent national leaders

In the words of reportedly the leader of the global governance campaign, David Rockefeller:  
Quoting David Rockefeller in an address to a Trilateral Commission meeting in June 1991:

*"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected the promises of discretion for almost forty years. **It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years.** But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world-government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the National auto determination practiced in past centuries."*

His words reveal:

- His goal is world government;
- He and his group have developed their plan for the world;
- They needed to hide their plan from the public. Why? Are they ashamed? Are they afraid people will not like it and oppose being slaves?
- They had and continue to have media complicity;
- His goal is world government;
- It is non-democratic and unelected;
- It would replace national sovereignty;
- It is the right of a self-appointed group considering themselves to be Earth's elite;
- Their elite includes international bankers aiming to control the world.

Prominent advocates of a new world order scoff and use ridicule to denigrate people discussing the New World Order. Yet they do not deny it. Prominent heads of state in western nations have publicly declared the New World Order.

In his address to the US Congress, Republican President George Bush Snr referred to the New World Order four times as he said, quote:

*"Out of these troubled times, our objective—a New World Order—can emerge ... Today that New World Order is struggling to be born. A world quite different from the one we've known".*

He repeated the mantra in signing the Rio Conference outcome that led to the Kyoto Protocol that was the supposed basis for taxing CO2 and implementing CO2 'trading'.

Democrat President Bill Clinton said, quote:

*"After 1989 President Bush said a phrase that I often use myself, that is, we needed a New World Order".*

President Jacques Chirac of France, quote:

*“by building this unprecedented instrument, the first component of an authentic global governance”.*

Notice his speech camouflaging control as working for peace and the environment:

<http://sovereignty.net/p/clim/hague1100/updates.html#fnov20>

Note the Netherlands Prime Minister advocating global governance.

President Chirac’s full speech is here:

<http://www.sovereignty.net/center/chirac.html>

*Welcome to the New World Order* is the title of Chapter 8 in James Delingpole’s book *Killing the Earth to Save It*. It’s available here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1409\\_Delingpolechapter8.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1409_Delingpolechapter8.pdf)

The book is available at:

[http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main\\_page=product\\_info&products\\_id=197#UOnrZI4WzRo](http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main_page=product_info&products_id=197#UOnrZI4WzRo)

Quoting James Delingpole:

*“You don’t need to be a conspiracy theorist to believe in the green movement’s master plan for a New World Order: only to possess the basic ability to read and listen.”*

The Bilderbergers and UN reportedly espouse the EU as the model for global governance. ie, unelected global governance. The United Nations defines governance as, quote:

*“It is not government— it is the framework of rules, institutions, and practices that’s set limits on behaviour of individuals, or organisation and companies.” United Nations Human Development Report, 1999. Its definition omits elections.*

Governance is not government. Governance can be out of sight with control exercised discreetly from behind the scenes as Disraeli, Churchill, FD Roosevelt and many others have stated in preceding quotes. Governance is defined in the dictionary as: *to control the actions or behaviour of; guide; direct. To rule over by right of authority.*

The dream of global governance is as old as history. It’s been sought and attempted by many rulers.

Appendix 8 introduced the fact that the USA’s EPA has been acting in concert with and for UN initiatives in contravention of empirical scientific evidence. Author Robert Zubrin documents compelling evidence that UN edicts have been and continue to be implemented by the USA EPA and the USA defence forces. As a result tens of millions of people have been killed or aborted. Hundreds of millions of people have been sterilised or impoverished without their permission—some forcibly against their wishes. The UN has been actively involved in perpetrating such activities. In this way the UN is in the same league as Adolf Hitler, Joseph Stalin, Mao Zedong, Pol-Pot and other mass murderers obsessed with controlling people.

Please refer to Robert Zubrin’s outstanding documentation of facts and data in his 2012 book entitled *Merchants of Despair*.

It's widely known that politically motivated UN action through UNEP (UN Environmental Program) has led directly to deaths of more than 40 million people. UNEP's first Secretary-General was Canadian billionaire Maurice Strong, father of the UN's fraudulent global warming climate claims.

The UN and America's EPA banned use of DDT despite its proven effectiveness in stopping malaria and despite scientific evidence within the EPA proving conclusively that DDT is not environmentally harmful. Pushing a political agenda, both organisations consciously misrepresented science, misled people globally and caused over 40 million deaths. In 2006 after pleas within the UN, the UN reinstated use of DDT. To read of the EPA's scientific confirmation of DDT as environmentally benign:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud Part%203.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud%20Part%203.pdf)

It takes time for national sovereignty to be destroyed. While waiting for that, governance is occurring behind the scenes through government agencies such as America's EPA. Consider detailed exposure of the EPA's underhand ways in concert with taxpayer-funded nongovernment organisations:

[http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/epa\\_un\\_mission.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/epa_un_mission.pdf)

*"The NGO practice of suing the EPA, in order to force them to do something that was their primary objective anyway, is something that has happened from the beginning of the EPA."*

*"Note the comment from [UN Secretary-General] Ban Ki Moon that leaders of the world should not be prisoners of their constituencies. This means nothing less than that, regardless of the views of their electorate, they should press on with the UN mission."*

Similar initiatives to those used by America's EPA are occurring through Australian federal departments bypassing Australia's parliament. For example, unvalidated computerised numerical models from CSIRO and the UN IPCC repeatedly fail and contradict empirical scientific evidence. Yet both organisations churn computer projections to drive federal, state and local regulations, rules and restrictions. They drive media and political support for cutting CO2. We cannot afford to continue dishonestly stifling human development and freeing billions from poverty's misery. To continue doing so is antihuman.

Closer to home we cannot afford to rely on unvalidated computerised numerical modelling in other fields such as the Murray Darling Basin and fishing industry. To do so is uncaring and antihuman.

Former Premier of Western Australia Richard Court declared that UN treaties and covenants are implemented in Australia without ratification by parliament. They are then implemented using taxpayer funds to erode taxpayer rights.

They are used to destroy states' rights by transferring state issues to federal control. This contravenes Australia's governing document: our constitution. It creates federal control of money spent in states. It doubles bureaucracy since state bureaucrats implement and *manage* programs while national bureaucrats design, monitor and enforce programs. Taxes rise and other services wither due to doubling of bureaucracy with its parasitic inefficiency and waste borne by taxpayers and citizens.



Our federation of states was deliberately designed to promote healthy competition between states and to allow flexible responses to regional and state issues. Now we are being increasingly administered and bullied by isolated remote national bureaucrats.

Perhaps now readers can see the aim of the federal government's upward-ratcheting, open-ended tax on Nature's trace gas (CO<sub>2</sub>) essential for all life on Earth. As human CO<sub>2</sub> does not influence atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels or climate, the purpose of the tax is purely to raise revenue, control energy use and enable CO<sub>2</sub> *trading* for international bankers.

**Destruction of states' rights and authority has been occurring in America for decades. American states are circumvented to destroy the American federation because it's easier to destroy national sovereignty from within as state sovereign powers are destroyed.**

America's national sovereignty is simultaneously being destroyed from outside. The North America region is being amalgamated into one block. That is occurring under the guise of enhanced trade through NAFTA, the North American Free Trade Agreement merging Canada, America and Mexico into one block. It is being destroyed by moves to have a North American identity and tracking system under the guise of anti-terrorism measures. That will reportedly involve having one border around the combined landmass of Canada, America and Mexico. The concept of a North American currency equivalent to the Euro is in active discussion. Consistent with Fabian gradualism national sovereignty is gradually being eroded.

Analyst Phil Ruthven says in his article entitled *Towards a new world order* in the November 2012 edition of *Company Director AICD*), quote:

*"The European Union is a sovereign region (albeit with some serious fiscal challenges as a result of the global financial crisis GFC)<sup>5</sup>. The Russian Federation is another. So too (almost) is North America with the North American Free Trade Agreement. Asia Pacific has made tentative steps with its Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Agreement. Central and South America are trying too with Mercator and the Bolivian Alliance.*

*This process of federating nations into regional alliances, if not a more binding sovereign constitution, should be largely complete by the middle of this century.*

*At that juncture, when yet another new age is likely to emerge, we could expect to see the emergence of a more effective and empowered world body with more clout and effectiveness than the often hobbled, if not sometimes impotent, United Nations that emerged after World War II.*

**The Fabian Society was formed in Britain on January 4<sup>th</sup>, 1884. It's stated strategy is to instill socialism globally and gradually.**

The American people are arguably one of the proudest of their national sovereignty. It's difficult to imagine destruction of America's national sovereignty. Yet in accordance with Fabian Socialist strategy it is being destroyed gradually and stealthily.

Amy McGrath discusses Fabian socialism in Section 1 of her book starting on page 3:

[http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps\\_Cloting.pdf](http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps_Cloting.pdf)

---

<sup>5</sup> He corrects this error later by admitting that *"the default of the already rich nations is due to three decades of appalling economic management, living beyond a nation's means and creating nanny states in many cases culminated in the GFC in 2008" ... "Even those with growth are doing so with more deficit spending, adding to an existing mountain of debt"*.

Once Americans accept or are resigned to being North Americans their national sovereignty and American citizenship will effectively cease. With national sovereignty smashed it would then be relatively easy to combine the North American and European blocs into one Atlantic bloc. Once that is achieved it would be relatively easy to merge with the Asian bloc currently in its infancy to form a global bloc—a New World Order.

Global governance would then be similar to EU governance: undemocratic and controlled by powerful bureaucrats and technocrats. This is happening in Europe now.

European Union MP Daniel Hannan says the USA is being deliberately and comprehensively transformed into a state similar to the EU.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=BujuEpGmKMg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=BujuEpGmKMg)

Based on his own experience, from 13 minutes and 30 seconds onwards he describes the EU as now being *“less prosperous, less independent, less democratic and less free.”*

The Bilderberg Group drives the agenda globally. The Council of Foreign Relations is largely European and American. The Trilateral Commission is American, European and Asian. The Club of Rome pretends to justify the agenda with reports and advice contradicting empirical scientific evidence.

**How many Aussies know that with the possible exception of John Howard, every prime minister since and including Gough Whitlam has been a Fabian Socialist. They include Liberal PM Malcolm Fraser. That explains Malcolm Fraser’s failure as prime minister to honour his pre-election promises and explains his ratification direct or indirect of Gough Whitlam’s policies. Since resigning from politics he has relentlessly criticized and undermined stated Coalition policy and advocated support for UN programs.**

Although there has been much talk of differences between Labor and Liberal policies actual implementation by both has been remarkably similar. The situation parallels America’s two-party system with growing central control by government regardless of party in power.

Fabian socialists implement socialism and destroy national sovereignty gradually by stealth. If nations cede sovereignty to regional blocs as they have done in Europe and are doing in North America it would be easy to transfer control from regional to global.

Quoting David Rockefeller, Chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank:

*“We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order”.*

Given hundreds of millions of deaths and economic destruction resulting from global control under international bankers, there are better ways for Australia’s future. We could, for example, simply return to governance under Australia’s constitution and in accordance with common law and restore Australian control of currency.

## **10. The objective is global control through global socialist governance by international bankers hiding control behind environmentalism**

Canadian magnate Maurice Strong is the initiator and chief pusher of fraudulent climate alarm. He was appointed first Secretary-General of the corrupt United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) that initiated climate alarm by fraudulently manipulating science.

[http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_part%201.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf)

He uses climate alarm as a political weapon for his personal and UN global agenda. Yet for four decades since 1972 there has never existed any empirical scientific evidence that human CO2 caused global warming (aka climate change). Why?

**Maurice Strong has repeatedly stated publicly that his two main aims are creation of a global socialist government and deindustrialisation of western democracies.**

**Maurice Strong has publicly proclaimed his view that national sovereignty needs to be sacrificed for two goals: (1) to establish global socialist governance and, (2) to collapse western industrialisation.**

<http://www.quadrant.org.au/blogs/doomed-planet/2010/01/discovering-maurice-strong>

And: [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/restoring\\_morality\\_justice.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/restoring_morality_justice.php)

Quoting Maurice Strong:

*“Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse?  
Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”*

**Maurice Strong, Secretary-General of the UN Earth Summit, June. 1992.**

UNEP has the deaths of over forty million people on its hands through contradicting science to ban dishonestly DDT. Note that the ban was supported by an American government agency, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). It contradicted its own scientific findings to push the ban. See page 1, here:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_Part%203.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_Part%203.pdf)

Maurice Strong is widely regarded as having exceptional skills in manipulating people to achieve objectives, quote:

*“Maurice Strong has demonstrated an uncanny ability to manipulate people, institutions, governments, and events to achieve the outcome he desires. Through his published writings and public presentations he has declared his desire to empower the U.N. as the global authority to manage a new era of global governance. He has positioned his NGO triumvirate, the IUCN, WWF, and the WRI, to varnish U.N. activity with the perception of "civil society" respectability. And now he has been appointed Senior Advisor to the U.N. Secretary General and assigned the responsibility of reforming the United Nations bureaucracy. The fox has been given the assignment, and all the tools necessary, to repair the henhouse to his liking.”*

<http://www.sovereignty.net/p/sd/strong.html>

And:

<http://www.quadrant.org.au/blogs/doomed-planet/2010/01/discovering-maurice-strong>

And:

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/23141>

**Maurice Strong was on the Board of the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX), founded as the centre of American trading in CO2 credits. Its fifth largest shareholder was a company co-founded, owned, chaired and controlled by Al Gore, Generation Investment Management.**

America's Joyce Foundation reportedly gave \$10 million dollars to Goldman Sachs to become a major shareholder of the CCX. A director of the Joyce Foundation at the time was Barack Obama, then a little-known federal politician.

<http://www.examiner.com/article/scandal-obama-gore-goldman-joyce-foundation-ccx-partners-to-fleece-usa>

**For 1992's Rio Conference Maurice Strong bridged the UN and the Rockefeller Foundation that has reportedly been active in pushing global governance. The Rockefeller family has been active in pushing global governance for the last century.**

Canadian writer Elaine Dewar details extensive corruption associated with 1992's Rio Conference in pages 395-411. There and elsewhere Maurice Strong features prominently.

Maurice Strong has been implicated in numerous questionable dealings including the UN Food-for-Oil scandal.

<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122368007369524679.html>

And:

<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,250789,00.html>

As mentioned in Appendix 9, the Czech Republic President Vaclav Klaus and American Senator James Inhofe speak against the false claim that human CO2 caused warming. Both go further by revealing the fraud driven by the UN to control people and curtail basic human freedom.

[http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/reprint/not\\_planetary\\_emergency.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/reprint/not_planetary_emergency.pdf)

The video at this link shows American Senator James Inhofe discussing UN, the hidden agenda controlling government agencies bypassing America's congress and constitution, the UN's hidden agenda driven by George Soros, and Al Gore pushing a socialist agenda:

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/11/20/first-wuwt-tv-video-now-online-senator-inhofe-interview/#more-74567>

Global governance via an unelected and remote government controlled by international bankers is an extension of their current indirect global control via control of the US Dollar acting as an international currency and via their private ownership of central banks.

## 11. Capturing environmental movement as a socialist Trojan war horse triggering major wars and making profits from both sides

**Maurice Strong is credited with capturing nongovernment organisations (NGO's) and subverting them for the UN's political. It assisted him to drive the UN's Rio Conference by fabricating to leaders the unfounded perception that the push to take action on climate was a large and significant grass-roots movement. Yet the reality is that UN bureaucrats engineered it as a vehicle for international bankers.**

The environmental movement and major NGO's such as Greenpeace and WWF have been captured and manipulated to serve the socialist agenda. Greenpeace co-founder Patrick Moore resigned from Greenpeace when he realised it had changed from being an environmental organisation to be a political agency. Appendix 15.

Is it really surprising that the socialist Australian Greens Party is aligned with and driving campaigns pushing schemes designed to produce windfall profits for international bankers: so-called *trading* schemes *trading* in thin air (carbon dioxide)? It's not surprising, it's expected.

Distinguished scholar Professor Antony Sutton was a Research Fellow at the prestigious Hoover Institution, Stanford University, from 1968 to 1973. Thereafter he maintained his affiliation with the institution. He admits initially dismissing claims that collusive groups control national and global affairs. Unexpectedly receiving secret papers stolen from Yale University's Skull and Bones Club his investigation of those documents led him to extensive further independent research. That revealed American and global affairs are indeed run by a small group of people with global financial control.

Independently he confirmed Gary Allen's research that international bankers funded Lenin's and Trotsky's release and their return to Russia and funded Russia's Bolshevik revolution. Please refer to his book entitled *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*. Quoting from the books' rear cover: "*Sutton's research reveals:*

- The role of Morgan banking executives in funneling illegal Bolshevik gold into the U.S.
- How the American Red Cross was co-opted by powerful forces on Wall Street.
- Wall Streeters who intervened to free Leon Trotsky, even though Trotsky's stated aim was to engineer "the real revolution"—the Soviet coup that toppled Kerensky.
- The deals made by major corporations to capture the huge Russian market a decade and a half before the U.S. recognised the Soviet regime.
- The "closet relationship" of leading businessmen who paraded publicly as champions of free enterprise.

Consistent with other independent researchers he discovered that Adolf Hitler was funded, promoted and enabled by international bankers. Please refer to his book entitled *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*. Quoting from the books' rear cover:

*"Finally, a distinguished scholar has penetrated the cloak of falsehood, deception, and duplicity that for more than fifty years has protected one of the most incredible secrets of*

*World War 2: the support from key Wall Street financiers and other international bankers in subsidising Hitler's rise to power.*

*Professor Antony C. Sutton proves that World War 2 was not only well planned it was extremely profitable— for a select group of financial insiders. Carefully tracing this closely guarded secret through original documents and eyewitness accounts. Sutton documents roles played by J.P. Morgan, T.W. Lamont, the Rockefeller interests, General Electric Company, Standard Oil, National City Bank, Chase and Manhattan banks, Kuhn Loeb and Company, and scores of other business elitists.*

*Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler shows how the bloodiest, most destructive war in history was financed and promoted."*

Quoting further:

*"In 1974, Professor Sutton completed 'National Suicide: Military Aid to the Soviet Union, a best-selling study of Western, primarily American, technological and financial assistance to the U.S.S.R.'"*

He documented the international bankers influence over President FD Roosevelt in his book entitled *Wall Street and FDR*. Quoting from the books' rear cover:

*"Bankers were also associated with (President) Franklin Delano Roosevelt between 1917 and 1934, as well as with the promotion of Roosevelt's New Deal and the rise of corporate socialism in the United States.*

*The evidence, based largely on FDR's own papers, suggests that there is nothing inevitable about the march of socialism. Socialism is the most inefficient, and certainly the most inequitable, way to run a society ever conceived by many. It has come to the United States only because it is very much in the interest of the Wall Street financial establishment to attain a socialist society.*

*How this was brought about in the Roosevelt era is the story of this book."*

Another possibly helpful reference could be *Rule by Secrecy* by Jim Mars.

Increasingly investigators and historians are discovering that international bankers played a major and highly destructive role in initiating and benefitting from wars, genocide and devastation in the twentieth century. The century was mankind's bloodiest with more deaths than in all preceding centuries.

International bankers own major armament manufacturers and made fat profits financing both sides in World War 1 and again in World War 2.

Documented by various authors are massive American transfers of technology to communist Russia during the so-called Cold War. How was it that Russia, as one of the richest nations on Earth with abundant natural resources could not feed itself yet could compete in an arms race, technology race and space race? It competed with technology supplied by the west. That's documented.

Under the UN Charter, any United Nations *peace* force would be under the command of the Under Secretary-General for Political and Security Council Affairs. The holder of that position has control of all UN military affairs, including any nuclear weapons furnished to the UN. Except for four years from 1954-57, a Russian military officer held the position every year from 1946 to 1982. During 1954-57 it was held by a Yugoslav military officer Dragoslav Protitch. At that time

Yugoslavia was a Russian satellite state. That means that during the Cold War, a Soviet bloc military officer was in charge of UN peace forces for 36 years continuously.

People have been lulled into the erroneous belief that a core battle has been between left and right wings of politics. In school and in the media we have been taught that communists occupied the left and various fascist dictators and free-marketers occupied the right, including Adolf Hitler.

Yet, like most fascists Hitler was a socialist. Initially he was funded by international bankers. Lenin and Trotsky and later Stalin were communists funded by international bankers. The reality is that the supposed left-right wing battle is a distraction from the real game: government control versus personal liberty and choice. ie, control versus freedom.

## 12. An international bankers' trademark: doing what they attribute to others

Banking and finance has long been portrayed as the ugly face of capitalism. Consider broad, simplified definitions of capitalism, socialism and communism:

- Capitalism involves private ownership of the resources and means of production with resources allocated by the decisions of millions of independent hearts and minds automatically and objectively aggregated in free markets. It reflects personal enterprise;
- Socialism involves private ownership of the resources and means of production under state (government) control of resource and production allocation through financial inducements, regulation and taxation;
- Communism involves state ownership of the resources and means of production combined with state allocation of resources through central planning.

The USA is supposedly capitalist. Yet how can it be capitalist when every industry in America is heavily regulated? It cannot be. How can it be capitalist when financial control is exercised by a government-granted financial monopoly controlling capitalists' core ingredient: capital? It can't. How can it be when America's governing document, its constitution has been and continues to be contravened to enable the unlawful monopoly to continue? It can't. America is not capitalist?

Western nations do not operate capitalism. They operate croney-capitalism. It's falsely perceived as capitalism yet is really socialism: industry, economics and the allocation of resources are controlled by government regulation. Market manipulators under a government-sanctioned monopoly are in control, not market forces.

**The immense, hidden distorting power of regulations.** As an aside, enacting regulations is the source of government power. Merely proposing a regulation triggers an auction in which vested interests buy favours from government in exchange for electoral support. Further, regulations are a means for international bankers to control people through government.

Regulations drive people to search for ways to avoid the regulatory restriction. Regulations give people false security by conjuring perceptions that regulations enable government to protect people. It's this comedy of errors and misunderstanding that manipulated tax codes in Australia and America extending to thousands of pages. They give international bankers and their UN agencies opportunity to control nations.

Economist Ludwig von Mises understood human behaviour. He understood that there are in essence only two main forms of governance and ways to organise organisations: (1) Bureaucratic control through procedures, or (2) market exchanges relying on aggregating through markets the collective power of millions of hearts and minds making independent decisions.

Swamped by regulations, the amazing spirit of freedom that powered America to technological superiority, innovation and entrepreneurship is suffocating. A century of central control since formation of the USA Fed has become a huge, stifling burden-suffocating America.



When the Whigs formed government in mid nineteenth century Britain it unleashed the amazing spirit of enterprise and freedom. Over the next 50 years to 1900 it made little England into Great Britain. Concurrently people's standards of living were lifted across the British Commonwealth of nations.

The influence of American thinkers such as Thomas Paine stimulated the British Whigs' roots.

A key to unleashing people's enterprise was repealing more than 14,000 pieces of legislation. This lifted Britain by unshackling Britons from government control. The late Ron Kitching wrote, quote:

*"In fact, in 1873, the secretary of the law society estimated that out of the 18,110 acts which had been passed since the reign of Henry III, four fifths, [about 14,488], had been wholly or partially repealed. The thing to be observed here is that this negative method of simple repeal left free scope for the sanitive processes of natural law in dealing with all manner of social dislocation and disabilities."*

Ron Kitching's book entitled *Understanding Personal and Economic Liberty* is one of the clearest and most profound books I've read. It's available from *Copyright Publishing* at: <http://www.copyright.net.au/details.php?id=61>

Apart from the brief experience during the late nineteenth century in Britain and at times in America's history, capitalism has rarely existed. Yet in its brief period it lit the flame of human creativity bringing technological and social progress.

In doing so it threatened international bankers' control.

China is now rapidly emerging from poverty after its leaders acknowledged that control does not work. They released significant economic and financial control. Ron Kitching reveals a personal conversation with the American Professor Chow who guided communist China's Chairman Deng. Professor Chow advised specifics for reducing control and increasing economic freedom in China. Refer to page 110 onwards of *Understanding Personal and Economic Liberty*.

Even though China is not a truly free nation, the start of economic freedom was sufficient to unleash the world's greatest ever lifting of people from poverty into middle class comfort and benefits.

True capitalism is the only system compatible with freedom. True capitalism requires free exchange between people. In turn freedom requires true capitalism. All else is simply hiding behind regulations that are essentially auctions to the highest bidder among vested interests jockeying for government favours and advantage over others. Every regulation creates another challenge for people to bypass or overcome regulations. This wastes enormous assets. It favours those with the deepest pockets, canniest lawyers and most powerful connections.

The debilitating and confusing maze of regulations in Australian and American tax codes alone proves exactly this. It absorbs our best business brains competing with government investigators, lawyers and accountants instead of assisting our business leaders to compete with competitors overseas. It's enormous waste.

**How can international bankers be capitalist when they coerce governments to destroy free markets and themselves control national economies through government-granted monopolies? They are not capitalists. They are controllers. They are creators and pushers of**

**state-sanctioned central control. As puppeteers they use the state's renowned forms of central control: rules, restrictions, regulations, planning and coercion.**

**As Anthony Sutton, Gary Allen, Ellen Brown and others have revealed, international bankers funded, enabled and drove communism.**

Through ownership and cross-directorships of mainstream media companies and entertainment producers, through government funding of academics and through state education systems international bankers have fabricated the myth that capitalism is evil, irresponsible, greedy and uncaring. Yet for almost a century the government has sanctioned and created a state monopoly that controlled major economies and heavily influenced resource allocation under central socialist control through governments and their agencies.

Politicians supporting international bankers add to the false media and academic chorus. In 2008, Australia's Prime Minister Kevin Rudd proclaimed that he needed to *save capitalism from itself*. In reality he was saving the international bankers and using taxpayer funds to do so. He was saving international bankers from a problem they created together with America's Democrat Party senators condoned by some in the Republican administration.

After a century under socialism entrenched within the EU pantomime, Europe is economically shaky. America is in economic strife. It took 80 years for the American spirit that thrived in the nineteenth century to be debilitated by international bankers taking control through the Fed since 1913.

**At most, America and Australia are examples of croney-capitalism. In reality they are socialist states in which every industry is controlled by central financial and regulatory power controlled by governments as puppets to an unlawful and fraudulent monopoly.**

Freedom is an inherent trait of our universe. The nature of control is such that individual creativity seeking expression through speech, invention, enterprise or activity will always be deliberately or inadvertently bumping against and pushing aside or modifying regulations. Regulations suffer the limitations of individual humans or groups of humans who cannot possibly foresee or consider every circumstance and human need over time. Thus regulations beget more regulations. ie, control necessitates more control.

Economist Ludwig von Mises understood human behaviour in the real world. He realised that regulations beget more regulations. Here's the reason, quote:

*"In Mises's view, interventionism is an inherently unstable policy because it creates new dislocations that would seem to cry out for further interventions, which, in turn, do not solve the problem. The end of interventionism is socialism, a fate which can be logically avoided only by a sharp turn towards free markets."*

<http://mises.org/document/877/Critique-of-Interventionism-A>

By their nature economic assets held by an unfairly privileged group, particularly under a fraudulent artificial monopoly will continually be probed and under pressure. When economic assets are at stake the controlling group invariably seeks more protection. Choking under their constant fear of losing their artificially created and unlawful asset, controllers continually demand protection by extension, widening and tightening of regulations. Inevitably unlawful artificial control begets more control.

Unless socialism is abandoned, continual growth in regulations produces a need for protecting the same regulations. This produces ever-tighter controls. Thus, socialism inevitably leads to communism and complete control. Private assets are stolen by the state. Productivity plummets.

As discussed in this appendix, control eventually leads to total control. That inevitably leads to violence. Control locks people into the trail of destructiveness. Socialism must lead to communism.

**This is already visible in the EU. It's visible in the UN's Agenda 21 campaign to globally control resources, energy and finances while stealing private property rights and restricting or eliminating individual freedom of choice.**

**The persistent attempt to control people since formation of the privately-owned Bank of England in 1694 has been characterised by subterfuge and deceit. It has relied upon systems being designed to drive supportive behaviours from people ignorant of the reality driven by international bankers. It has been driven by deceit. It has been driven by a small group of people duping large groups of academics, politicians, bureaucrats, media, ... into being their *experts*. Lenin labelled them and the chattering classes the state's *useful idiots*.**

Global control has been the dream and goal of many individuals throughout history. Only the mechanisms vary.

History reveals though that when control is pervasive and strangling it leads to unfairness, inefficiency, waste, misery and death. The ensuing devastation produces chaos until freedom is restored in some form. Rebalancing occurs.

Quoting Thomas Jefferson:

*"When the government fears the people, you have liberty; when the people fear the government, you have tyranny."*

# Part 3: Controlling Resources and Property Deceitfully in Each Nation

## 13. Another new vehicle for bankers' global control: UN Agenda 21

Fabricated, fraudulent global warming (aka climate change) is not the main game. It is one part of the real campaign: the UN's documented Agenda 21 campaign for control of mineral resources, energy, finances, water, agriculture, fishing, resources and land world-wide.

Australian researcher and writer Graham Williamson's articles on UN Agenda 21 and other topics are available here:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia\\_democracy.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy.php)

Individual documents are presented through this link:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia\\_democracy\\_links.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy_links.php)

That campaign, introduced in Appendix 12 as being part of the Greens political platform and discussed below, has three components:

- Biodiversity;
- Sustainability; and,
- Climate Change

The combination of climate alarm and UN Agenda 21 under Maurice Strong can be seen in this video by Henry Lamb after 12 and a half minutes elapsed:

<http://shelf3d.com/IDxxd8ycxCl#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%20%204>

All three components are falsely presented as being scientifically based yet rely on deceit and contradict empirical scientific evidence. *Biodiversity* and *sustainability* feed off UN Agenda 21's third leg, bogus scary climate change (formerly global warming).

**Fabricated climate alarm is designed to provide a reason for ceding control to a global body because of the fabricated climate *crisis*. It enables control of energy and thus control of resource allocation and livelihood. Through CO2 taxes and trading schemes it aims to provide the UN global government with revenue. Thereafter Biodiversity provisions and Sustainable Development regulations take over. *Biodiversity* aims to justify control of land. *Sustainability* aims to justify rules, restrictions and regulations to control everything else, especially people's behaviour.**

The UN's campaigns are falsely camouflaged as environmental action. Arbitrary regulations bypass parliaments by using taxpayer-funded agencies to replace freedom of choice with state control.

UN Agenda 21's octopus-like tentacles are spreading over local councils through the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives. ICLEI is a UN agency based in Bonn, Germany.

Australians, Americans and Europeans are increasingly concerned about UN Agenda 21 and its confusing regulatory maze and alphabet jumble of agencies such as ICLEI. Independent research repeatedly reveals UN campaigns removing personal property rights and restricting resource use, residency and movement within communities.

This is part of global governance with total state ownership squeezing people into regulated zones after banning private property. It's underway in western democracies at huge human and economic cost including American counties and states such as California. It's already removed some Australian residents' property rights.

Private property rights cover more than just land. As defined by John Locke (1632-1704) believed, quote

*"... every man has a property in his own person: this no body has any right to but himself. The labour of his body, and the work of his hands, we may say, are properly his".*

Personal property rights are essential to personal freedom. Once this is recognised and attempts throughout history to remove property rights are understood, the UN's assault on property rights on behalf of international bankers becomes significant and frightening.

Australia is signatory to over 7,000 UN treaties. These range from the Lima Declaration destroying agriculture and manufacturing to seemingly innocent yet restrictive ordinances controlling water, land, farming and food. Is Australia technically becoming a UN colony?

Jean Holmes provided this partial summary by email on October 19<sup>th</sup>, 2012: Quote:

*"Under Agenda 21, the Australian government committed itself to act as the de facto agent at national level for the United Nations in controlling the following:*

- *Patterns of human consumption (what people are allowed to eat)*
- *Human habitats (where and how people are allowed to live –'sustainable communities')*
- *The planning of all future development worldwide*
- *The composition of the atmosphere ('greenhouse gas' emissions)*
- *The planning and management of land use (Vegetation Management Act, Desertification Treaty)*
- *Control of the utilisation of forests (ref: closing of sawmills on the Atherton Tableland)*
- *Control of the development in mountain areas*
- *Control of types and methods of agriculture ('sustainable agriculture', best practice manuals)*
- *Control of areas set aside to protect biological diversity (Biodiversity Treaty)*
- *The management of genetic engineering (the control of biological evolution from now on)*

- *Control of the use of the oceans (limits on fishing, including recreational)*
- *The management and use of water, worldwide*
- *The management of wastes, including toxic chemicals, hazardous wastes, solid and radioactive wastes.*

*It is from Agenda 21 that the word 'sustainable' comes - 'sustainable development', 'sustainable agriculture', and 'sustainable communities'. Sustainable communities are to be 'energy-efficient' communities where people are herded into high-rise dwellings built on public transit lines. Graham Strachan, in 22 Steps to Global Tyranny".*

UN Agenda 21 actions tap deceitfully into people's inherent care for the natural environment. All rely on emotionally triggering people's gullibility fostering ignorant and naïve complicity. All rely on a false antihuman campaign born by Adolf Hitler's NAZIs building support from gullible youth by deceitfully and strategically wrapping campaigns in pseudo-environmentalism.

UN Agenda 21 is implemented using national funds at local government and national level. It's camouflaged in attractive language frequently using words such as 'biodiversity' and 'sustainable'.

Biodiversity aims to destroy private ownership of land and remove humans from many areas currently inhabited. Biodiversity aims to restrict land use and justify stealing people's land. Sustainability aims to justify harsh restrictive regulation controlling mineral, agricultural and fishing resources, energy, finances, water, transport and food to restrict people's movement and eliminate freedom of choice. This is revolution by regulation.

Wildlife Corridors are part of UN agenda 21:

<http://www.smh.com.au/environment/conservation/federal-wildlife-corridors-plan-heading-in-the-right-direction-20121104-28rz9.html>

They enable removal of private property rights. They reinforce the principle elevating bugs, plants and animals above humans. They smash states' rights and undermine our federation making it easier to cede sovereignty under a national government hijacking states' responsibilities and bypassing Australia's constitution. Christine Milne's champagne Greens have adopted UN Agenda 21 as part of their policy.

Al Gore quotes the Bible to push his biodiversity claims: "The Book of Revelation [says] God will destroy those who destroy his creation ... Noah was commanded to preserve biodiversity". (Al Gore, New York City, May 13, 2006).

Note contradictions within the UN's core position on property rights as declared at the UN Conference on Human Settlements in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada in 1976, quote: "UN Conference on Human Settlements, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 1976, quote: "*Land ... cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentrations of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice*". Instead of private individuals controlling land, the UN wants a select group (of its chosen individuals) controlling land.

Some specific recommendations from the UN's Vancouver conference included these:

- A-1. Redistribute population in accord with resources;
- D-1. Government must control the use of land to achieve equitable distribution of resources;
- D-2. Control land use through zoning and land-use planning;
- D-3. Excessive profits from land use must be recaptured by government;
- D-4. Public ownership of land should be used to exercise urban and rural land reform;
- D-5. Owner rights should be separated from development rights which should be held by a public authority.

Signatories on behalf of the USA were Carla A. Hills and William K. Reilly. Carla Hills later became a key player in the World Trade Organisation and the North American Free Trade Agreement while William Reilly later became EPA Administrator. Both were members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Later, the UN's UNESCO agency was allocated the role of controlling state-coordinated media control.

The third component of UN Agenda 21 is fraudulent climate change. It's the unfounded catastrophe fabricated to coerce and scare people into submitting to government. It aims to hand power to the state and to global institutions working on behalf of international bankers seeking to conjure windfall profits from artificial CO2 credits. It aims to justify emergency powers and control of energy, resources, property and finances. It aims to justify global control.

The use of fabricated global warming fraudulently claimed to be due to human CO2 is similar in many ways to America's 1907 financial crisis deliberately created by American Rockefeller banks together with JP Morgan banks. The latter acted in collusion with and reportedly on behalf of London's Rothschilds bank.

A supposed investigation under Senator Nelson Aldrich followed. President Woodrow Wilson appointed Senator Aldrich who had close associations with international and American bankers. The investigation was really collusion of international and American bankers led by agents of Germany's Warburg bankers, London's Rothschilds bankers, JP Morgan and Rockefellers. At the now infamous Jekyll Island meeting legislation was deceitfully passed to form the privately owned USA Fed.

In reality: bankers created the 1907 financial crisis. That triggered their process for establishing the Fed. It was done stealthily under cover of a sham investigation. Knowing that congress opposed central banking the gang deliberately wrote the legislation to fail in its first vote before pretending to modify the legislation and stealthily pushing it through congress when many members of congress were absent on Christmas break. In this way legislation was pushed through a congress that publicly labelled central banking as dangerous to America.

The bankers then manipulated President Woodrow Wilson to sign into law legislation creating the Fed.

Regulations made by government under control of international bankers make regulations to suit international bankers.

Once the Fed was in place it became easier for international bankers to manipulate currencies. Subsequent legislation under their control made it yet easier. That's why creation of the Fed did not reduce economic volatility. It dramatically increased volatility in the form of major

booms inflated by easy lending from the privately owned central bank controlling interest rates and money supply. Then when ready, they simply contract the money supply and steeply raise interest rates. In the foreclosures the central banks' owners gobble assets held as collateral for loans called in.

The remainder of the game is about camouflaging that global control with falsely implied authority through global governance via UN bodies and campaigns and through using national governments as puppets.

Endless wealth is not sufficient for such people. Their bigger objective is control.

The people have been unlawfully tricked into submitting their financial and monetary rights to a small band of global bankers. That has continued in Britain and Europe for over 400 years and in America for 100 years. Their next question is: how to turn that into global control of resources, energy and land?

For that, the bankers with their global institutions and their four tightly-knit groups of controllers (Bilderberg, Council of Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission and the Club of Rome) turn repeatedly to the biggest and most destructive scam of all: antihumanism.

International bankers drive the club of four colluding groups UN agencies and American government agencies such as the CIA, EPA, State Department, aid campaigns and military forces. Their actions produce death and violation of human rights across our planet. This is widely documented and readily available.

Returning to UN Agenda 21, note the UN's use of marketable and superficially attractive terms such as biodiversity and sustainability to camouflage control mechanisms. Who would dare to publicly argue against such supposedly marvellous labels? Who would dare to publicly refuse to endorse biodiversity and sustainability? The UN has again hijacked attractive words to cover their real agenda: control.

In the real world the most used definition of sustainable is profitable. Yet UN Agenda 21 'initiatives' are not sustainable. They require ongoing taxpayer subsidies. They need funds fraudulently stolen from taxpayers to maintain programs and regulations to control taxpayers and citizens. They wreak economic damage.

Already visible is the result of UN Agenda 21 initiatives: the destruction of productivity and wealth. That in turn requires more taxes to maintain the shortfall. Communities, states and nations are being wrecked as they're plunged into waste and control. This is allied with bankers' and governments driving nations deeper into debt.

Europe is evidence of the impact of these programs. Europe is being destroyed. Yet the UN and its international banking masters want the world to model Europe.

**The UN's attractive words are hollow misleading labels hiding the real agenda: control of resources, energy, finances, water, food and public movement/transport through stealing private property rights and replacing individual choice with state regulation. UN Agenda 21's real agenda is revolution by regulation to control people. It aims to control every aspect of people's lives.**

The deceptive words attract gullible youth, socialist dreamers seeking a free ride, vested interests such as investors in wind farms, banks wanting to trade CO2 credits, politicians



wanting to appear to be saving the environment, political activists hiding as taxpayer funded NGO's and many other groups of rent-seekers.

Australian investigator and author Graham Williamson has completed a twelve-month investigation revealing UN Agenda 21's danger to humanity and Australia. It's available here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/125.6\\_PoliticalResponsestoAG21.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/125.6_PoliticalResponsestoAG21.pdf)

Australia's progress implementing UN Agenda 21 is monitored by the UN in reports prepared at the expense of Aussie taxpayers:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1410\\_AustraliaUNSustainabilityProfile.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1410_AustraliaUNSustainabilityProfile.pdf)

And:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1411\\_UNsecretaryGeneralProgress.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1411_UNsecretaryGeneralProgress.pdf)

Fortunately American and European congresses and parliaments are awakening to climate alarm, pseudo-'sustainability' and 'renewable energy' policies. A growing number of Australian MP's are aware of climate fraud and its offspring in renewable energy policies based on fraudulent science. Local governments in Australia are now awakening to Agenda 21.

A landmark occurred during 2012 in the American state of Alabama banning UN Agenda 21 in 2012. Many American local councils are now aware of UN Agenda 21's frightening control by UN deceit. Reportedly the American Republican Party has adopted removal of UN Agenda 21 as part of its national policy. As they become aware, American county and city councils are now ditching UN Agenda 21.

Please see Wolves in Sheep's Clothing, pages 1 and 2 available here:

[http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps\\_Cloting.pdf](http://yourvote.net.au/PDF/Wolves-in-Sheeps_Cloting.pdf)

*"When Alabama Adopted the first official State ban of the United Nations' Agenda 21, it became the first State in the U.S.A. to adopt a tough law to protect private property, and due process by prohibiting any government involvement with, or participation in, a controversial United Nations scheme known as Agenda 21."*

Note that legislative success came through uniting activists across the political spectrum. Groups from the so-called left and right, environmentalists, farmers, communities and others united.

*"Their bond was in protecting their state sovereignty, their communities, their homes and property, the environment, their livelihoods and their children's future."*

And:

*"The law, aimed at protecting private property rights, specifically prevents all state agencies and local governments in Alabama from participating in the global scheme in any way."*

And:

*"The official synopsis of the law explains: the people of Alabama, acting through their elected representatives – not UN bureaucrats– have the authority to develop the state's environmental and development policies. Therefore, infringements on the property rights*

*of citizens linked to “any other international law, or ancillary plan of action that contravenes the Constitution of the United State or the Constitution of the State of Alabama,” are also prohibited under this new measure.”*

Here’s a video of parts of a meeting of Baldwin, Alabama County Commissioners rooted in UN Agenda 21 camouflaged in typical UN word dressing. When they awoke to the reality that they’d been deceived and realised the impacts they rescinded the County’s Comprehensive Plan.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cs-Dk1w2-I>

**Under UN Agenda 21, rules, regulations and restrictions control people’s lives. Rules, regulations and restrictions framed in Bonn, Germany by UN bureaucrats.**

Further references are here:

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/rio-20/item/11592-alabama-adopts-first-official-state-ban-on-un-agenda-21>

And:

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/alabama-takes-a-stand-against-soros-backed-agenda-21/>

Ken Freeman and Don Casey are two key researchers exposing UN Agenda 21. They worked with the Alabama legislature to ban UN Agenda 21. They shared their discoveries with NSW audiences and a handful of interested state and federal politicians during their tour in September 2012. The tour was sponsored by historian Amy McGrath and her husband retired judge Frank McGrath.

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1412\\_Ken&DonphotoIMG\\_1313a.jpg](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1412_Ken&DonphotoIMG_1313a.jpg)

As people are awakening UN Agenda 21 is being re-branded:

<http://occupycorporatism.com/future-earth-re-branding-agenda-21-for-global-environmental-control/>

**Sadly, Australian federal and state MP’s are not awake. Nonetheless, Graham Williamson’s extensive communication with federal and state MP’s reveals a disturbing scene. From his documents’ carefully researched and extensive facts it’s easy to conclude that MP’s are either ignorant of this major UN transformation of Australia or are knowingly complicit yet avoiding comment. Graham asks fundamental questions yet MP’s responses avoid direct answers or are vague. My direct and indirect interaction with some prominent MP’s indicates ignorance is often an explanation rather than deceit. From my interactions though I do not dismiss deceit.**

Regardless of intent, it’s clear that MP’s are not fulfilling their responsibilities to Australia.

Agenda 21 aims to transform society globally and pave the way for global control. It seeks to smash national sovereignty and nation states by replacing them with global socialism and control over people’s energy use, water, finances, housing, family structure, resource allocation, private property rights, ... It seeks jurisdiction over all water, air, land, habitation/building space and even space itself.

Agenda 21 together with its implementation and threats is explained in these videos:

Ten minutes:

<http://americanpolicy.org/sustainable-development/agenda-21-in-one-easy-lesson.html/>

Eight minutes:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l0xw-DhmQmU>

Implementation and damage:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qU5JLhOiz8M>

Consequences:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMfUva0w8QA>

Indoctrination and camouflage:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-tNgKh47bbk>

An introduction to aspects of UN Agenda 21 with links to other sites is available here:

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/is-the-soros-sponsored-agenda-21-a-hidden-plan-for-world-government-yes-only-it-is-not-hidden/>

A video capturing US House of Representatives Speaker, Democrat Nancy Pelosi calling for UN Agenda 21 is available here:

<http://noisyroom.net/blog/2012/02/29/nancy-pelosi-pushes-agenda-21-on-house-floor/>

Why was the then leader of the Democratic Party in the House supporting UN Agenda 21 aiming to end national sovereignty? Separately, why did President George Bush Snr pledge loyalty to a New World Order? Isn't that treasonous?

Agenda 21 is implemented stealthily in many ways by misappropriating taxpayer funds. Funds are given, for example to NGO's for political activism. Local councillors become pawns in ignorance of UN Agenda 21's reality camouflaged by supposedly environmentally protective state regulations forcing compliance. Strategies influenced by national and state governments threaten and coerce local governments who lack research resources and perceive fear of personal legal threats if they fail to act.

An example of the latter are provisions pushed by the federal Labor government onto the former NSW state Labor government legislating to force local councils to use bogus sea level projections from the UN IPCC and CSIRO. Lacking resources to investigate for themselves, councillors are duped to push ahead in compliance. Residents themselves have had to pay thousands of dollars to protect their own private property rights against councillors whose salaries and benefits they pay. Residents have researched empirical scientific evidence and are turning the massive, lumbering ship of state.

My experience with the Port Macquarie-Hastings Council (see Appendix 4a for correspondence) and with people awakening councils on central and southern NSW coasts leads me to conclude that councils are most likely ignorant pawns in hurting their residents by revoking property rights. That doesn't dismiss concerns that PM-HC and other councils appear to be working in partnership with UN bureaucrats and activists pushing UN Agenda 21 to strip property rights.

NSW and Australian taxpayers together with NSW coastal ratepayers and NSW farmers losing their land rights pay federal Labor MP's such as Tanya Plibersek. They pay too for taxpayer-funded government agencies. They pay CSIRO for sea level projections from unvalidated computerised numerical models contradicting empirical scientific evidence. In return Tanya Plibersek uses the unscientific output from unvalidated modelling to broadcasts unfounded doom and gloom about fanciful projections of rising sea level. Local councillors can feel lost and caught in a trap.

Greg Hunt and Malcolm Turnbull add their *belief* that action is needed to cut human CO2. The latter advocates hitching Australia to a *trading* scheme for government-mandated artificial CO2 credits. That would enormously benefit financiers Goldman Sachs his former employer. Yet all three—Tanya Plibersek, Malcolm Turnbull and Greg Hunt— lack any empirical scientific evidence for their beliefs, claims and demands.

**Taxpayers are paying the people helping to fleece taxpayers.**

Another example is stealing of property rights as revealed in Appendix 12. An additional view: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GstnDGtQCus&feature=plcp>

A recurring theme is that the international bankers would not be able to play their schemes to control people without active, though possibly ignorant complicity of politicians who have abandoned their responsibility to our nation and to we the people.

Government control is rampant. People are now in the situation of having to protect themselves against government and propaganda. CO2 is not our enemy. Corrupt, ignorant and rapidly growing excessive government is our greatest threat.

Implementation of UN Agenda 21 at national level bypasses parliaments and congresses. National governments direct public service agencies. Governments are easily bypassed by government agencies reacting to NGO activists. Activists are often funded by taxpayer grants, levies and/or programs. The programs are wrapped in eco-speak often contradicting sound science.

Agenda 21 bypasses, undermines and breaches national constitutions. Implementation relies on MP's who are dishonest and/or uninformed and/or lazy and/or weak and afraid to speak out and/or buried in massive workloads or detours.

Implementation is steered by ICLEI, International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives, headquartered in Bonn, Germany.

Within the UN's typically massive, confusing documentation and guilt-ridden terminology local governments and citizens are coercively swept along in the fearful perception that if they speak out they will be in a minority and painted as uncaring and anti-environment.

The UN is favoured as an agent for working subversively because its complex structure and multiple supposed '*overseers*' enable low and even no accountability. Canadian climate scientist Tim Ball has extensive experience advising governments in Canada and reveals the preference of fellow Canadian Maurice Strong for manipulating his agenda through the UN, quote:

*"The takeover of bureaucracies to push a political weather and climate agenda began at the United Nations. Maurice Strong, major architect of the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) and its dangerous offspring the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was asked by Elaine Dewar in her book Cloak of Green why, if he wanted to make change, he didn't run for political office. He said that you couldn't change anything as a politician. He realized the United Nations (UN) gave him the power without the accountability. Dewar wrote that he liked the UN because, "He could raise his own money from whomever he liked, appoint anyone he wanted, control the agenda.""*

UN Agenda 21 has many tactics for achieving its aim of controlling people and society. They're implemented under two main strategies: claiming the need for *sustainability* and *biodiversity*.

Both words present seemingly admirable goals that in their true application are beneficial. Yet when based on bogus science and used as cover for controlling people both are highly dangerous. Once the words are established in communities people are reluctant to argue with such deceptively wrapped and seemingly admirable goals. Then, like the climate con, people fall silent for fear of being falsely painted as anti-environment if they dissent.

Firstly, one of the strongest tactics is Agenda 21's campaign to remove private property rights. Property rights are fundamental to personal responsibility as well as personal initiative and enterprise. Theft of farmers' property rights is already occurring to Australian farmers under the guise of the UN's Kyoto Protocol (Appendix 12) and biodiversity treaties. Coastal property rights are being confiscated under the guise of unscientific projections from fraudulent computerised numerical models of sea level that contradict empirical science. It can involve collusion between councils and state governments or bullying by state bureaucrats pushing councils lacking the ability to do the necessary research. It's occurring through restricting/disallowing vegetation clearing under environmental covenants, building restrictions, habitat zones for animals and bugs giving priority over people, development bans and usage restrictions. Regulatory restrictions and selective reduction of council services in targeted areas remove rights. Property rights are smashed not just by theft or confiscation of land and other property. Property rights are stolen by restricting usage or future usage. Property owners pay the price in restricted usage and sharp drops in land values.

Property rights can be smashed by stifling ideas and initiative through regulations that control personal enterprise.

People's property rights can be replaced by granting property rights to bugs, plants and animals. This is done commonly under the guise of bio-diversity and often involves bogus science. Biocertification and Biobanking schemes sound pleasant yet simply lock up private land. Such covenants are automatically transferred to future owners of the land. In this way, central bureaucracy claiming a need to protect bugs, plants and animals blocks and/or controls development.

Local environmental plans can favour wildlife while bans can be placed against culling pests, including those posing serious threats to human health and life. Such plans and bans can be based on bogus science or on legislated guidelines made by national and/or state governments based on bogus 'science'.

National and/or state legislation and regulations can force councils to act. Councillors fearing future legal suits take action to protect themselves, while ignorant of the damage they are doing to their community and often not aware they are being used as pawns in a larger agenda.

The Soviet Union and former Eastern European bloc nations produced the dismal lethargy and corruption resulting from removing property rights.

Secondly, climate scaremongering can constrain economic activity based on bogus 'science'. This aims to attack energy usage. Yet low-cost energy is the key to economic productivity, efficiency and well-being. eg, denying or regulating or limiting development and even renovations on coastal properties, banning types of energy such as wood-burning heaters, banning firewood collection; imposing exorbitant fees for waste disposal to forcibly constrain consumption and usage of resources, ...

Stealing ratepayers' and taxpayers' funds by diverting them to supposed 'green' programs labelled as 'sustainability' programs; appointing 'environmental officers' who then seek to

justify their role by claiming responsibility that unwittingly restricts people; and, supporting international celebrations such as *'Earth Day'*. Often spending on such campaigns is endorsed by councillors afraid to go against what they fear will be perceived as demonstrating their care for the environment.

Restrictions on transport are designed to deter commuting and choice of work by making commuting difficult. The failure to improve roads to cater for higher traffic restricts people. Replacing roads and public transport with bikeways controls people. Removal of car parking by planting trees controls people. Excessive parking fees and fines control people.

When based on political objectives rather than scientific or objective evidence, artificial restrictions can increase waste of resources. When used to control people the measures stifle economic activity and personal choice yet increase inefficiency and waste, the very opposite of their stated intention. Understanding their real intent of controlling people though reveals their purpose.

A key strategy of UN Agenda 21 is to compress human communities by directing people to high rise developments, encouraging duplex and battleaxe developments and setting aside wildlife corridors that can be hundreds of kilometres wide and cut across entire states as large as Queensland. Tactics then phase removal of people and human activities. In this way, huge tracts of private and public land can be put in the hands of bureaucrats with either low accountability and/or under control of nongovernment organisations directly or indirectly through their connections to political parties such as the Greens.

Nongovernment organisations and political parties work symbiotically. NGO's offer collection of votes into blocs while in turn parties offer greater power and money bought with delivery of voting blocs.

When responsibility is removed from the marketplace ruled by people's universal human needs and instead given to bureaucrats ruling themselves or supposedly under the watch of political powerbrokers accountability is removed. The opportunity is high for nongovernment organisations to control through public pressure including intimidation, coercion, blackmail and spin spreading bogus *'science'*. Although the system appears democratic it is not democratic. It is driven by control to control. It is totalitarianism in a pretty wrapper. It's enabled by excess government control combined with low levels of accountability.

When hidden controls fail, Agenda 21 provisions include coercion to bully people who object or complain. This is a reason for secrecy in implementing UN Agenda 21. When people are aware of a concerted program to control and impoverish them they gather the strength to rebel. Yet when they perceive that it is only they who complain they are highly unlikely to complain. When some initial complainants are publicly denigrated, smeared or embarrassed, others are less likely to complain. Such subtle and even obvious bullying is part of UN tactics to control.

Why though are UN Agenda 21 and its provisions hidden and denied or deflected by politicians? Secrecy is needed because provisions restrict and hurt people.

Background and context to UN Agenda 21 in association with climate alarm are provided here: [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/restoring\\_morality\\_justice.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/restoring_morality_justice.php)

And:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/restoring\\_morality\\_justice.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/restoring_morality_justice.php)

Detailed explanations are available here:

[http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/un\\_agenda\\_21\\_will\\_rule\\_the\\_us\\_waves.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/un_agenda_21_will_rule_the_us_waves.pdf)

Farmers focus on sustainability. It's in their interests to make a profit and to protect their most valuable material asset for the future. It's in their interest to learn and understand more about their land. Farmers need to be entrusted to governance of their land, not international bankers: <http://carbon-sense.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/retreat-to-past.pdf>

In summary, Un Agenda 21:

- Contradicts empirical scientific evidence and abuses science for political purposes;
- Increases control over people and enables theft of more money from people;
- Enables external control of Australia's energy, resources, finances, food, laws and movement of people;
- Strips property rights essential for freedom;
- Relegates humans as being lesser than bugs, plants and animals;
- Raises cost of living enormously;
- Appeals deceitfully to as many groups as possible for their support including minority races and women by falsely and deceitfully claiming it advances their causes. This neutralises opposition and builds support;
- Hurts the environment;
- Deceives people;
- Is about control.

It was the once great people's party, the ALP under the command of Prime Minister Paul Keating in 1992 that committed Australia to UN Ag21.

**Quoting Graham Williamson based on his careful research:**

*"Definition of democracy, courtesy of Australian politicians*

*Firstly, we the politicians declare there will be two fundamental groups of policies:*

- *Declared policies, openly publicised during election campaigns and designed to win votes.*
- *Covert or undeclared policies. These policies represent our real agenda, but it is vitally important they be concealed from the people, especially during election campaigns, due to their abhorrent, undemocratic, unpopular nature.*

*Democracy is when we, the politicians, choose a few minor popular policies, from our group 1 vote winning policies, so we can effectively distract the people while we implement our covert or major policies.*

*EXAMPLE: For 20 years we have kept the population distracted with red herrings while we obey our colleagues in the UN and effectively implement our undemocratic covert policies right around Australia, and at all levels of government. This has enabled us to effectively implement a policy far bigger than any other policy. It is a policy of 20 years duration. It is a bipartisan policy implemented by all 3 levels of government around Australia. It has penetrated from Canberra to local communities everywhere. It shapes*

*our legal system, our economic system, our environmental system, our political system, and even the education of our children. It is not possible to imagine a more massive political policy, yet we have been able to exclude it from the electoral agenda and our official policies for 20 years. This policy is called Agenda 21, and we have been so successful that most Australians have never heard of it even though it now controls their lives and educates their children!*

*This is modern Australian democracy.”*

Graham Williamson asks many relevant questions and provides much careful research here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/125.8\\_WereWePushedV2.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/125.8_WereWePushedV2.pdf)

Additional material and views on UN Agenda 21:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9GyKzQWIXJs>

<http://www.green-agenda.com/agenda21.html>

A catalogue of material:

<http://australiancontacts.ning.com/group/agenda-21-what-is-it>



## Part 4: The most Destructive Scam

### 14. Antihumanism and culling people: the world's most destructive scam

American Robert Zubrin's work was introduced above and in Appendices 8 and 12. He's a PhD in nuclear engineering and fellow at the Center for Security Policy who has written popular books on energy and space exploration.

In his powerful book *Merchants of Despair* he documents methods and consequences of antihumanism practised by the UN directly and indirectly through agencies of American government. He documents sickening programs perpetrated by the UN and various American government departments. These programs have caused enormous pain, especially to the poor and most vulnerable.

The world's beacon of freedom, the USA, has been captured. It's massive war machine and economic power have killed or physically violated hundreds of millions of people. Its financial resources are now marshalled to force people in poor nations to capitulate to antihuman practices such as forced abortions, forced sterilisation and genocide.

It's massive diversion of taxpayer funds contradicts empirical science.

Having worked in eight American states, studied at one of its most prestigious universities and travelled through all 50 American states I grew to love and admire America and Americans. I was inspired by America and its amazing and fortuitous collection of founding fathers that lifted and inspired humanity toward freedom. My wife is American and both our children are dual Australian-American citizens. I love America and Americans.

A key problem is America's wayward government hijacked to be a vehicle for those pushing global control.

**Yet I'm saddened by knowledge that since living in America in the 1970's, 80's and 90's the American government has rapidly been more tightly captured by international bankers. The administrations of Presidents Carter, Bush Snr, Clinton, Bush Jnr and Obama have been debilitating. American government agencies and forces are now agent for antihuman policies on behalf of the bankers' agency, their four allied groups and their agency, the UN.**

The hijacking of American finances and forces is rooted in the German NAZI movement and well-meaning yet ignorant and gullible American and European socialites. They've been deluded into believing fraudulent science contradicting empirical scientific evidence. The root of their beliefs is that humans are over-populating, ignorant, greedy, irresponsible, uncaring destroyers of our planet. At the core of their belief is their perception that humans are simply a belly to feed and a body to clothe. They see humans as inherently driven by a greedy, uncaring irrepressible irresponsibility and ignorance destroying our beautiful planet.

This is the unfounded perception driving many of today's NGO's and champagne Greens.

Nothing could be further from the truth.

Matt Ridley's book entitled *The Rational Optimist* documenting the deception of the public. A zoologist, conservationist, journalist and Chairman of the International Centre for Life, he presents masses of empirical evidence and sociological data revealing that every measure of human life is improving rapidly. He reveals that the rate of improvement is accelerating. Whether it be environmental impact or life expectancy, health, nutrition, comfort, security, wealth, reduction of poverty, safety, ... in every factor performance is improving markedly.

Empirical data reveals scary projections and fear of doom are unfounded:

[http://www.wired.com/wiredscience/2012/08/ff\\_apocalypsenot/all](http://www.wired.com/wiredscience/2012/08/ff_apocalypsenot/all)

In developed nations pollution is declining. On most continents the area covered by forest is around 30% greater today than it was 100 years ago. London's air is now cleaner than at any time since the 1400's. That's despite adding millions of cars, trucks, trains and buses. It's despite adding massive industry and much larger population.

The fomenters of unfounded doom pitch their misrepresentations using strong emotions triggered by unfounded fear and alarm.

Consider Al Gore's movie *An Inconvenient Truth*. It's a carefully scripted, graphic, emotionally charged and choreographed movie produced by Hollywood directors and producers. It relies on many contradictions of empirical scientific evidence that are proven to be lies. It carries the unfounded message that humanity needs to be saved from itself. That message is based on an implicit fabricated false assumption that we humans do not care for the planet or for each other. Yet ironically, the movie's message is carefully pitched to viewers' inherent care for humanity and the environment.

Humans inherently care for people and for our natural environment and for all creatures, plants and animals with which we share this planet. That inherent care is the reason for the movie's success as propaganda. It triggered and mined that care using carefully crafted powerful emotions. Emotion overrode and hid the movie's many contradictions of empirical scientific evidence and false portrayal of events and circumstances. Hollywood is a master of using emotion to deceive. A summary of key points is available on pages 41-43 and 31-34 here: [http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity\\_single.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf)

Far more significant though than Al Gore's destructive falsities are the UN's implementation of Malthusian beliefs contradicting empirical scientific evidence.

Quoting Robert Zubrin:

*"The founding prophet of modern antihumanism was Thomas Malthus (1766-1834). For three decades a professor at the British East India Company's East India College, Malthus was a political economist who famously argued that human reproduction always outruns available resources".*

Implicit in Malthusian ideology and in many politically driven pseudo-environmental campaigns is the implicit belief that every human child is simply a belly to feed, a body to clothe and a greedy rapacious consumer of supposedly finite resources. According to Malthusian activists, humans are matter and flesh, nothing more.

Malthus and Malthusians treat people as material objects. They ignore the core ingredient of humanity: our mind, heart and spirit. Adherents fail to consider inherent human care and creativity.

They are blind to the reality of facts. They replace empirical evidence with opinion.

They assume resources are gifts of the universe and finite. In reality resources are created not by the universe but by humans. Resources are defined by the intersection of deposits such as water, soil, plants and minerals with human needs, economics and human creativity.

A plain of rich soil is just dirt until it's properties are evaluated, its potential understood, agricultural and machinery technology brought to bear, irrigation engineered, markets identified and transport and processing engineered. Then, due to the actions of creative people with initiative, enterprise and energy Nature's dirt becomes a precious resource.

Coal for example was created by Nature. It lay buried in the ground as unused black rock until humans developed a need for it. Only then did science unlock Nature's gift of carbon, the fourth most abundant element on Earth. Only by combining Nature's creations with human needs and creativity can Nature's gifts become resources.

In 1974 I was advised by a professor during a university class that five times since 1900 experts had forecast South Africa would run out of gold. Yet in 1974 South Africa's gold reserves were greater than in 1900. Due to technology improvements South Africans were mining gold 15,000 feet below Earth's surface, almost five miles deep.

That was unthinkable in 1900. Yet occurring just 70 years later.

The world's oil reserves are now larger than they've ever been. New reserves are being discovered at a rapid rate. Quoting Robert Zubrin:

*"... from the publication of Limits [to Growth by The Club of Rome in 1972] through to today, humanity has used 600 billion barrels of oil, but total known reserves have grown to over a trillion, and all other predictions by the Club of resource exhaustion have proven equally false".*

**Despite this the Bilderberg Group's selection of Jimmy Carter as America's president in 1976 led to the USA government becoming a propaganda agency for antihuman ideology sourced in the Club of Rome. According to Robert Zubrin the Carter administration included a number of staffers associated with the Club of Rome.**

Alternatives to oil in the form of gas are adding to Earth's reserves of energy containing carbon. Nations blockaded by sanctions such as South Africa during its apartheid era and nations enmeshed in war such as Nazi Germany have produced oil from shale rock. Aussies did that during World War 2 using massive shale oil deposits tapped at the Glen Davis refinery near the NSW Blue Mountains.

There are potentially dramatic advances in safety and energy yield of nuclear power that will produce essentially limitless, cheap and safe energy with no waste products.

Robert Zubrin reveals in his Table 9.1 that *Known Reserves of Key Industrial Resources* have multiplied in the 60 years since 1950. For example, indexing current reserves to 1950 as the base year being 100, current reserves are:

- Lead 300
- Zinc 421
- Copper 566
- Iron ore 827
- Oil 1311
- Phosphate 1398
- Bauxite 1657 (source of aluminium)

Oil reserves have increased 13 fold.

Yet the Club of Rome's website continues to scare people with unfounded and false claims of *peak oil*. Why?

Robert Zubrin's Figure 9.1 reveals that metals prices in real terms continue to fall. As they have for centuries. It's excerpted here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1413\\_ZubrinFigure9.1.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1413_ZubrinFigure9.1.pdf)

That has enormous benefit for people worldwide, for humanity and for the environment.

Data reveals that world population grew from 1.6 billion in 1900 to today's 7.0 billion. Yet according to Robert Zubrin the availability of food has increased dramatically since 1900. The affordability of food in India increased eight fold in India and in America increased thirteen fold.

Yet noted population doomsayer and unfounded scaremonger Paul Ehrlich in 1968:

*"called for letting the people of India die because (he projected) there would never be enough to feed them". (Quote from Robert Zubrin)*

Quoting again from Robert Zubrin:

*"... from 1980 to 1990 alone the affordability of food in India tripled. It then doubled again over the following decade. As a result, food is fifty percent more affordable in India today than it was in America in 1900."*

Don't Indians and people in poorer less developed nations deserve to have higher standards of living and food availability? The champagne Greens and international bankers through their four main groups including the Club of Rome apparently say not. Why? Why do they deny reality? Why do they spread falsities? Why do they adopt antihuman policies and spread misinformation contradicting reality? Why do they foment and spread unfounded fear?

Actual data reveals that Paul Ehrlich's projected *population bomb* is a population boon.

Robert Zubrin, quote:

*"Around the world, billions of people have paid, and are continuing to pay, with ruined lives for the fraudulent writings of the Club of Rome".*

Those writings are reportedly on behalf of the Bilderberg Group and international bankers.

Matt Ridley quotes inhumane comments from Paul Ehrlich and the latter's cohort. He uses empirical data and observation of reality to explode their brutal falsities, scares and guilt: [http://www.wired.com/wiredscience/2012/08/ff\\_apocalypsenot/all](http://www.wired.com/wiredscience/2012/08/ff_apocalypsenot/all))

The Stone Age did not end because we ran out of stones. Given the patterns of history and the technical and economic creativity of humans, the use of coal, oil and gas will likely end well before humans exhaust coal, oil and gas supplies.

Returning to the farmer's soil, a resource can be squandered due to ignorance or greed. Fortunately humans have two further valuable and uniquely human traits: we care and we learn by rational thinking.

Of course, in ignorance some farmers may neglect and over-farm their soil resource. Erosion may remove soil. Nutrients may be depleted. Salinity may increase. When crop yields suffer though, farmers suffer. They investigate. They apply the new knowledge to restore soil fertility.

The best guardian of a resource is the owner of that resource. Remote bureaucrats and uninformed ideologues have nothing at stake. The farmer has much at stake: her/his livelihood, retirement, bequest to children and legacy to humanity and our planet.

When the farmer hurts, the bureaucrat controlled by spin and a fearful politician often attempt to do what they hope will be *perceived* to be correct. The farmer though is motivated to truly understand and to do what is sound. She/he is the steward with a stake and does what is needed to protect the resource.

Every child is more than a belly and a body. Every child is born with a brain, eyes, ears, hands, a heart and a spirit that cares for all things living and for her fellow humans and for our planet.

Please refer to Robert Zubrin's Figure 1.3 available here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1414\\_ZubrinFigure1.3.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1414_ZubrinFigure1.3.pdf)

**It reveals that reality contradicts Malthusian ideology and proves Malthus cruelly wrong. Malthusian ideology claims that as global population increases living standards would fall. Yet the reality is that for the last 500 years as world population increased enormously actual living standards INCREASED. For the last century of dramatic population rise the increase in per capita GDP holds an almost straight-line direct proportion.**

Robert Zubrin makes the point that if *total* GDP was used instead of *per capita* GDP, it rises quote:

*"not merely in proportion to population size, but in proportion to the size of the population squared".*

This means that as population increases and there are more people to be fed, clothed, housed and transported humans have nearly all become much better off materially. Robert Zubrin and economist Julian Simon explain it by showing that a larger population can support a larger division of labour to be economically more efficient. Quote:

*"Ten people with ten skills, working or trading together, can produce far more than ten times as much as one person with one skill". More people produce more ideas and more opportunities to exchange and build upon each other's ideas.*

This has significant impact on resource use. Per capita resource use falls. At times, total resource use can fall. Consider the impacts of providing music and phone calls via iPhones compared with the previous combinations of phone, record players, cassettes, CD players, ... Such are the power of ideas building on ideas over the course of a century. Robert Zubrin's Figures 1.4 and 1.5 reveal exponential growth in technology per person per year as population has grown.

This produces significant benefits across all fields of human living including environmental benefits.

*Human beings, on balance, are creators, not destroyers. Each human life, on average, contributes to improving the conditions of human life overall. We live as well as we do today because so many people lived in the past and made innumerable contributions, great and small, toward building the global civilisation we now enjoy. If there had been fewer of them, we today would be poorer. If we accept Malthusian dictates and act to reduce the world's population, we will not only commit a crime against the present, but impoverish the future by denying it the contributions the missing people could have made. The world needs more children."*

**Robert Zubrin**

The key to ideas and their efficient, effective use is freedom to think, exchange, discuss and implement. History proves bureaucratic control always severely limits progress and can reverse progress. In nations free of bureaucratic or tyrannical control the core human resource—thinking—is limitless.

Proving humans innocent, though sometimes ignorant, let's consider real environmental threats. Arguably the greatest threats to the natural environment are:

- War;
- Poverty; and
- Ignorance.

Wars are waged without any environmental impact statement.

People trapped in poverty are preoccupied with scratching out their next meal. They may care about the environment yet are forced to choose between polluting and despoiling or starvation.

(As an aside, modern technology for cheap electricity is negated by socialism destroying European economies and buried by ideology adding needless cost to electricity generation. As a result modern Greeks are returning to despoiling the environment as a way of surviving: <http://www.thegwpf.org/green-europe-greeks-raid-forests-search-wood-heat-homes/> and <http://www.spiegel.de/international/zeitgeist/tree-theft-on-the-rise-in-germany-as-heating-costs-increase-a-878013.html>)

Ignorance can cause people to unknowingly damage the environment. When humans realise the consequences of their actions their care drives them to understand. Their care ends the damage and often rectifies the previous harm. History repeatedly confirms this.

If despoilers initially don't take action, due to the interdependency of people and the relationship between people and the environment, other humans step in to protect the environment. They do so because they care and because of self-interest.

On rare occasions, people need to resort to common law to protect their rights against polluters. We all have a stake and under common law have the right to press our claims for injury or loss of property rights to be adjudicated before a jury of 12 peers.

Or rather, we previously had that right. Today common law rights are being unlawfully trampled by legislation contradicting our constitution yet enforced by bureaucrats with legislated yet unlawful power.

A century ago, industrialists and business managers in their ignorance presided over workplace fatalities, cancerous production processes and massive pollution. Society demanded better. People banded together to form unions for protection. Regulations were written. Managers became better informed. As wealth increased society became better educated and more aware. Now, effective managers understand that taking responsibility for safety is required morally to prevent injuries.

Because every injury involves waste of resources enlightened managers know that providing safe workplaces is economically and commercially beneficial. As a result enlightened managers in economically developed industrialised nations now largely understand that taking responsibility for their people's safety is morally necessary and economically essential. Additionally, enlightened directors, executives and managers know that it makes sound commercial sense and is morally essential.

Similarly, in developed nations workplace health and environmental pollution are now areas of commercial competitiveness.

The understated Honda Motor Company rarely crows about its admirable and worthy achievements. Yet the world's biggest producer of engines has been driven by respect for its people and environmental responsibility since its early years in the 1950's. That is a major reason why it's making solid profits at a time when many of its competitors are not performing at the same level.

Humanity's intellectual and spiritual development supports the environment. Enlightened business people understand that genuine care for the environment saves money and is commercially beneficial.

The future of human civilisation requires respect and care for the natural environment. Future care of the natural environment requires the wealth and technology made possible by industrialised civilisation. Given the growing human population we can no longer live as our nomadic ancestors selectively picking from Nature's gifts.

For survival human civilisation must care for the natural environment. Given human population and success as a species the natural environment needs advances human civilisation.

It is not a win-lose zero sum game as perceived by limited and unfounded Malthusian fabrications. Enlightened life as humans is a win-win for the environment and for humanity. Civilisation needs the environment. The environment needs civilisation.

Nature and humans are not inherently at war. Humans are of the natural environment. Our intellectual and spiritual development could well be seen as extensions of natural evolutionary

processes on Earth. Why do the pseudo-greens cloaked in Malthusian ideology pushing a political agenda for control over people arrogantly presume to know the universe's mind or plan? How do they justify playing God?

Human population is an environmental factor in the sense that larger human population produces a greater impact on the environment. A larger population though produces more ideas through more minds focussed on solving challenges and through more opportunities for people to build on each other's ideas and to see boundaries and identify issues and opportunities.

Creativity and spirit are magnified when the number of people increases. Human potential is such that although each new baby brings one new belly to feed, each new baby brings a mind and heart with the potential to dramatically improve the livelihood of billions of people. Each baby's ideas when merged with those of other new babies may one day benefit the entire global environment. Or improve people's lives. Or enrich people's lives.

**Humans' bellies are more than offset by our inherent care, curiosity and creativity. As a result the typical poor person in western developed nations today lives better than did royalty just 200 years ago. Vast improvements have occurred in nutrition, longevity, safety, health, security, ease, comfort, entertainment, environmental impact, literacy and numeracy.**

On Earth today we have far more people living materially and physically better lives than ever before. The rate at which people are currently being lifted out of poverty and misery would have been unimaginable just 100 years ago. The rate of release from poverty and misery is accelerating as the poor attain freedom and they benefit from humanity's inherent care and creativity.

President Vaclav Klaus of the Czech Republic is an internationally respected economist. His book entitled *Blue Planet In Green Shackles* reveals (his Figure 1.1) that since the end of central control in Czechoslovakia, air pollution has fallen dramatically. This is replicated across the former Soviet bloc. Central control caused pollution because control stifles creativity and free thinking to maximise inefficiency, waste, dishonesty and ignorance.

Freedom to express needs, care and creativity reduces pollution.

On page 29 of his book he introduces facts from Gene M. Grossman and Alan B. Kueger revealing that as per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP) exceeds \$6,700-\$8,400 economic wealth increases are beneficial to the environment.

That reverses the lie that is central to ideology of the champagne Greens, NAZIs, communists and other socialists advocating central control.

The reasons are many and include:

- As wealth increases people have greater ability to afford to stop pollution;
- As wealth increases people have greater leisure time and then desire cleaner environment. They see little point in working hard to increase wealth and then spend a vacation on a beach or forest dripping with slimy pollution;
- People are better informed due to increased research and education;
- Technology improvements are powered by wealth that liberates specialists to become scientists specialising in knowledge creation;



Vaclav Klaus cites Nobel Prize winner Simon Kuznets' work revealing that as wealth increases initially income disparity increases across society. Once a threshold is reached though, further increases in national wealth reduce income disparity.

As economic freedom increases China has a growing number of billionaires. Yet never before have so many Chinese lived as middle class. Never before have so many people so rapidly joined the middle class.

Raising economic wealth is beneficial—socially and environmentally.

Facts expose yet another Greens lie peddled by socialists and ideologues.

History reveals that economic wealth grows as productivity rises. Productivity rises as technology improves and as energy becomes more readily available through real price decreases. ie, prices adjusted for inflation.

**Fuels containing carbon provide high-energy-density. Nature herself uses this. When humans harness this it enables fuels containing carbon to provide plentiful energy at low prices. This dramatically raises productivity. In turn this dramatically lifts national and individual wealth. The key to lifting productivity and increasing wealth is human creativity teamed with energy that is readily available, cheap, environmentally responsible and reliable.**

In addition to reducing environmental impact from rising wealth due to higher productivity, high-energy-density fuels containing carbon produce another remarkable benefit: dramatically reduced birth rate.

A well-known and universally accepted empirical fact is that as wealth increases birthrates fall. Many developed nations have negative birth rates. They now face the new challenge of caring for elderly by a diminishing number of young people. Poor, underdeveloped nations of Africa and to a lesser extent Asia have by far the highest birth rates.

Where central governments have intervened with population policies they have produced harmful, destabilising unintended consequences. eg, China's one-child policy has led to growing social and emotional problems. Robert Zubrin documents UN and American programs tying aid to birth control produce devastating consequences.

The notorious Club of Rome is camouflaged as a think tank. It provides the basis for UN, Bilderberg and Greens campaigns contradicting empirical scientific evidence. It proclaimed in 1974, quote: "*The World Has Cancer and the Cancer Is Man*".

Yet its proclamations and advice contradict empirical scientific and other evidence and reality. They do so because they are not scientific. They are ideologically driven opinions and wishes pushing a political agenda to control people.

The Club of Rome's antihuman thinking is spread by supposed scientists now in politics. These include John Holdren, President Obama's senior advisor on science and technology issues through his roles as Assistant to the President for Science and Technology, Director of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, and Co-Chair of the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. In 1971 he co-authored a book with the infamous and devastatingly inaccurate doomsayer Paul Ehrlich. They said, quote: "When a population of organisms grows in a finite environment, sooner or later it will encounter a resource limit. This phenomenon, described by ecologists as reaching the "carrying capacity" of the environment,

applies to bacteria on a culture dish, to fruit flies in a jar of agar, and to buffalo on a prairie. It must also apply to man on this finite planet.”

Apart from being false this statement is reckless. It’s revealing. Consider the following:

- It implies that the human environment is only material and therefore finite. This is false because humans have amazing creativity producing infinite resources;
- It falsely implies a scientific foundation by using the term “carrying capacity”. A similar technique to that used by Al Gore in implying scientific legitimacy to his use of the unfounded term “tipping point”;
- They cite examples in bugs and bacteria lacking human care and creativity before falsely claiming without scientific data and in contradiction to empirical evidence that the same reasoning “must apply” to human use of resources.

**A man making false claims without logical scientific reasoning and while contradicting empirical scientific evidence advises the United States President on science. He advocates that forced abortions and mass sterilisation are needed to save the planet. He reveals that the aim is to control population:**

[http://zombietime.com/john\\_holdren/](http://zombietime.com/john_holdren/)

And:

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/17183>

Climategate is arguably the biggest scientific scandal in history because of its global policy implications. John Holdren is reportedly directly involved. What is the need for truculence and nastiness of manner? He provides a brief demonstration of his lack of understanding, commitment on faith and willingness to ridicule and bully people. This is not science. It’s faith-based, religion. It’s ideology. It’s antihuman.

American science policy is being led not by a scientist using science, but a zealot pushing his faith. He’s a religious fundamentalist. That’s dangerous.

John Holdren materially misleading people yet again on matters of fact:

<http://pjmedia.com/blog/climategate-obamas-science-adviser-confirms-the-scandal---unintentionally/>

Control freaks and fundamentalists religious ideologues use misrepresentations, vagueness and appeals to authority to mislead and coerce rather than to help.

Is John Holdren’s book being dishonest and/or does it reflect appalling and unscientific logic? Is this the logic infecting America’s leaders? This is the logic driving presidential and congressional policies and actions. It is antihuman and contradicts reality.

Robert Zubrin reveals the reality of human presence on Earth by quoting Henry George’s 1879 statement in ‘Progress and Poverty’, quote:

*“Here is the difference between the animal and the man. Both the jay-hawk and the man eat chickens, but the more jay-hawks the fewer chickens, while the more men the more chickens”.*

Use of high-energy-density fuels containing carbon or uranium releases beasts of burden and humans including children from painful and short lives under yoke serving human masters.

Their sweat, broken backs and crushed hearts have been made a thing of the past by modern engines developed barely a century ago. See pages 30 and 31 here:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity\\_single.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf)

Robert Zubrin and Matt Ridley use many hard facts and objective data to reveal that the philosophy of Thomas Malthus contradicts and betrays humanity. Yet Malthusian doctrine is the foundation for the UN's anti-human global campaigns. Malthusian philosophy is central to current Greens, WWF, Greenpeace and UN doctrine and campaigns. It's the core of many government education curricula in western nations.

**The antihumanists base their campaigns on The Club of Rome's unfounded and false conclusions contradicting science and sociology.**

Contrary to the Club of Rome's cancerous statement, Shakespeare understood human reality four hundred years ago, saying in his play entitled Hamlet:

*"What a piece of work is a man, how noble in reason, how infinite in faculties, in form and moving how express and admirable, in action how like an angel, in apprehension how like a god! The beauty of the world, the paragon of animals".*

Vaclav Klaus states, quote:

*"Teddy Roosevelt, a major environmental figure in 1900, did not know the meaning of the following words: airport • antenna • antibiotic • atomic bomb • computer • DVD • ecosystem • gene • internet • laser • masseur • microwaves • neutron • nuclear energy • penicillin • radio • robot • video • virus • tsunami. Adapted from Michael Crichton (2003)".*

The power of technology behind these everyday concepts and technologies has multiplied the power of science and communication sharing understanding and removing ignorance.

It's been said that there are more scientists alive today than have ever previously existed in Earth's entire history. Additionally, many now have superior tools and communication methods for discovering breakthroughs and then sharing and building upon each other's discoveries.

For an introduction to Robert Zubrin's work:

<http://www.thenewatlantis.com/publications/the-population-control-holocaust>

And:

<http://www.city-journal.org/2012/bc0622bt.html>

And:

[http://www.amazon.com/Merchants-Despair-Environmentalists-Pseudo-Scientists-Antihumanism/dp/1594034761/ref=sr\\_1\\_fkmr0\\_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1339719225&sr=1-1-fkmr0&keywords=merchants+of+doom+robert+zubrin](http://www.amazon.com/Merchants-Despair-Environmentalists-Pseudo-Scientists-Antihumanism/dp/1594034761/ref=sr_1_fkmr0_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1339719225&sr=1-1-fkmr0&keywords=merchants+of+doom+robert+zubrin)

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/reviews/books/item/11605-a-review-of-zubrins-merchants-of-despair>

[http://www.americanthinker.com/2012/04/merchants\\_of\\_despair\\_robert\\_zubrin\\_vs\\_malthus\\_darwin\\_and\\_others.html](http://www.americanthinker.com/2012/04/merchants_of_despair_robert_zubrin_vs_malthus_darwin_and_others.html)

Just 160 years ago a primary heating and cooking fuel was timber produced by cutting forests. A primary source of lighting was whale oil. Sydney proudly opened Australia's first street lighting in 1826—fuelled by whale oil. If not for coal, oil, natural gas and uranium what would now be the state of the world's forests and whales? Cheap, responsible fuels containing carbon or uranium liberated whales and protected forests.

Cheap energy made possible by high-energy-density fuels have (1) protected whales; (2) liberated beasts of burden and humans by replacing them with engines; (3) powered economic wealth dramatically reducing human impact on our planet while (4) unleashing science and technologies for protecting the environment. They have enabled modern human civilisation, arguably the greatest human protector of the natural environment.

**On many continents during the last century the area of forests has increased 30% and is now greater than it was 100 years ago.**

Yet scaremonger Paul Ehrlich together with Richard Harriman said in 1971, quote: "Except in special circumstances, all construction of power generating facilities should cease immediately ... Power is much too cheap. It should be made more expensive and perhaps rationed in order to reduce its frivolous use".

Paul Ehrlich's scary antihuman forecasts have many common traits. One is that they are wrong. They're deceptively and damagingly wrong.

This antihuman lunacy was stated before climate fraud was developed. The aim of antihuman ideology is to cull humans. Some antihuman advocates have claimed Earth's ideal population is half a billion people.

Misguided Malthusian philosophy that contradicts history, science, real-world observations and empirical data are the basis for The Club of Rome's dictates and UN campaigns. The sorrowful antihuman basis for control being pushed by the Bilderberg Group, Trilateral Commission, Council for Foreign Relations and The Club of Rome is Malthusian ideology contradicting empirical evidence. Its toll is hundreds of millions of atrocities and genocide.

Those atrocities continue in the name of environmentalism. These groups as agents for international bankers have been actively involved in perpetrating such activities. In that way the UN and its accomplices are in the same league as Adolf Hitler, Joseph Stalin, Mao Zedong, Pol-Pot and other mass murderers obsessed with controlling people.

**Three major threats to the natural environment listed previously are war, poverty and ignorance. International bankers fraudulently manipulating currencies have created devastating wars for their own financial benefit. Thwarting wealth development international bankers have continued to impoverish people of underdeveloped nations. Fabricating global warming, biodiversity and sustainability issues contradicting empirical scientific evidence and promoting environmentally destructive unscientific cures are destroying science and increasing ignorance.**

**The UN's smashing of science is the single greatest threat to reducing ignorance, one of the greatest threats to the environment. As Canadian environmentalist Lawrence Solomon states, quote: "But Kyoto<sup>6</sup> is not an insurance policy. Just the opposite, it is the single**

---

<sup>6</sup> The UN's Kyoto Protocol pushing global trading in carbon dioxide credits to benefit international bankers enabling them to fabricate windfall profits out of thin air.

**greatest threat today to the global environment, because it makes carbon into currency. Carbon is the element upon which all living things are built. With carbon a kind of currency- which is what all carbon taxes and carbon trading and similar schemes do-all ecosystems suddenly have a commercial value that makes them subject to manipulation for gain”.**

A serious side-effect is the criminalising and shaming of people for continuing accepted practices recently deemed illegal based on bogus ‘science’.

Repeatedly filling children’s minds and hearts with unfounded alarm inciting fear and guilt from unfounded global warming are cancers eating at humanity’s future leaders.

**This is the greatest scam of all: destroying hope and fabricating profound ignorance that separates people from Nature’s reality, inherent wealth, beauty and grandeur.** Antihuman Malthusians and ideologically driven extremist greens separate people from Nature and cultivate unfounded cancerous fear.

The subtle underlying philosophy is based on antihumanism that in turn is based on the false belief that humans are an evil, greedy, uncaring, irresponsible cancerous blight on our planet.

Another subtle unstated reality is that some groups think they are pre-ordained to control others and that deceit is preferable to debating their plans.

Lying and deceit are forms of control. Regardless of intent, lying and bullying are tactics of those lacking sufficient objective data to make a successful logical argument and/or lacking skills and confidence needed to communicate their data effectively and/or perceiving themselves as weak or lacking.

To paraphrase the Club of Rome: Humanity has cancer and the cancer is the campaign by international bankers seeking global control through contradicting empirical scientific evidence, destroying scientific integrity, disrespecting and damaging the environment, inciting war and unlawful activities by fraudulently manipulating currencies and smashing economies and by attacking the human spirit and the human will to live, love, care, connect, create and contribute.

**The UN has used far more serious methods to get its way. Those methods have resulted in deaths of tens of millions of people, genocide and barbarous acts that infringe fundamental human rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.**

Yet the UN hides behind the smokescreen of a universal declaration of human rights, rights of the child, ... and other similar documents. Actions though speak more loudly than do words. The UN’s actions reveal that despite effective work by some within various UN departments, the UN overall is akin to other totalitarian dictators that have harmed and killed hundreds of millions of people and humanity and as a consequence harmed the natural environment.

Robert Zubrin devotes many pages in his book to revealing the globalists overall goal: population control, culling humans.

From the Club of Rome, Pg. 75, "The First Global Revolution." 1991, quote: "In searching for the new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. In their totality and in their interactions these phenomena do constitute a common threat which demands the solidarity of all peoples. But in designating them as the enemy, we fall into the trap about which we have already warned, namely mistaking symptoms for cause. All these dangers are caused by human

intervention and it is only through changing attitudes and behaviors that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself."

Climate scientist and environmental policy adviser to governments, Professor Tim Ball reveals the antihuman agenda used to control and cut population:

<http://drtimball.com/2011/antihuman/>

Note significant errors revealed in David Suzuki's false claims.

Quoting from the Anti Corruption Society provides an effective summary of a key document driving antihuman policy aimed at global control: "Assailed by top scientists, the research was shoddy in the extreme. Population expert and author Professor Julian Simon said, [The Club of Rome's 1972 book]:

*"The Limits to Growth has been blasted as foolishness or fraud by almost every economist who has read it closely or reviewed it in print." Yale economist Henry Wallich reviewed the book saying, ". . . the quantitative content of the **model** comes from the authors' imagination, although they never reveal the equations that they used."*

But it is a PR world and with the publication of this book, the modern environmental movement was born. Midwifed to life in a blanket of deceit, it was yet hailed as the savior, not of mankind, but of the planet it claimed was being fried to a crisp by humanity's toxic binge of carbon dioxide.

*"The scientific fraud is its own malice, but few were able to see the underlying strategy—that the book would serve as the foundation of a global public relations campaign that would mesmerize legislators, educators, and countless organizations of goodwill and would eventually set the stage for the biggest rip-off in human history."*

<http://anticorruptionsociety.com/2012/07/14/anatomy-of-a-massive-con-job/>

And:

<http://anticorruptionsociety.com/about/>

From material discussed in appendices 6, 8 and 12 I conclude that the Australian Academy of Science and CSIRO are spreading antihuman messages and doing so ignorantly and in contradiction of widespread empirical evidence. Their messages are consistent with UN Agenda 21.

**The greatest negative human impact on the environment is through international bankers' deceitful calculated attempts at global control.**

**These haters of humanity and of people need our compassion and forgiveness in the true sense of the word as discussed in Appendix 18. This does not in any way condone their atrocities. True forgiveness frees our mind to focus on the core issue: freedom, without binding ourselves in hate, value judgment and control.**

**True freedom is freedom within from value judgments of our self and of others. Advocating freedom most effectively requires that we first endeavour to be free within.**

Advocates pushing false antihuman claims are more than reckless in arrogantly playing God. They falsely wrap their ideology and politics in Nature. Stealing Nature's beauty and aura for

their purposes of instilling control is the ultimate disrespect of Nature and the environment. In reality Nature reflects the Universe's inherent freedom. Nature is about freedom.

Ant humanists' deceit and their disrespect and ignorance of Nature and of humanity and the human spirit reflect their lack of understanding of Nature and lack of respect for Nature. It reflects their inner trauma and shame. It likely reflects their desperation for control.

It reflects their lack of empirical data and understanding of data. To malign humanity and Nature is antihuman and antinature.

Their pain deserves our compassion. A powerful gift we can give though is simply to restore reality about humanity and Nature.

# Part 5: How it Hurts Us All

## 15. The Bandwagon of Beneficiaries: Eight Corrupting Groups

Understanding the context, let's follow the money to identify the bandwagon of beneficiaries from the globally orchestrated climate con. They include Eight main Corrupting Groups:

- UN organisations pushing global governance (UN IPCC, UNEP, ...) fraudulently relying on taxpayer funding and buying UN General Assembly votes by bribing poor nations with promises of handouts;
- Federal government through departments and agencies including those controlled by the Department of Climate Change controlling and abusing taxpayer funds;
- Politicians pursuing personal or party-political agenda seeking opportunistic electoral advantage. eg, Kevin Rudd and Julia Gillard in 2007, Julia Gillard and the Greens in 2010;
- Government funded '*science*' agencies (CSIRO, BOM, NASA-GISS, NOAA, ...) and other agencies (USA's EPA);
- Universities and academics funded by government to '*research*' climate yet really advocating government policy;
- NGO's (non-government organisations) relying on government funding and camouflaging extreme ideological political agenda;
- Government funded media networks (Australia's ABC).

This collection of beneficiaries has been pushed by the main beneficiaries of CO2 '*trading*'. They are the CO2 '*traders*' such as international bankers and Al Gore.

Their campaign has been aided by:

- '*Leaders*' of some industry bodies contradicting facts to claim that a tax on CO2 would reduce uncertainty. This echoes the nineteenth century campaign by international bankers to create the privately owned USA Federal Reserve Bank with promises that it would reduce business volatility. Yet Fed manipulations have greatly increased economic volatility. Heather Ridout is one former industry representative. How could she justify a CO2 tax would end the uncertainty when that needless uncertainty arose only because the tax was mooted?
- Industry leaders known to be sceptical of climate policy yet afraid to speak out against government and popular media;
- Ignorance of Nature among politicians, narrowly specialised scientists, and extreme environmental activists consciously or unconsciously placing their political agenda ahead of the environment and real environmental challenges;
- Ignorant, overworked media reporters preying on
- Widespread ignorance of science and technical matters among the general population.



These are explained further on page 40 here:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity\\_single.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf)

Viscount Monckton revealing some beneficiaries:

<http://sppiblog.org/news/monckton-on-climate-change-and-more#more-8695>

Following the money reveals a pattern: government funding and control.

The destruction in spiritual, emotional and social as the antihuman scam cancerously eats at the souls and hearts of adults and especially children.

## 16. Five Motives driving climate politics and corrupting climate science

Identifying primary beneficiaries leads to uncovering five main motives driving climate politics and corruption of climate science:

- Fat, easy profits for international bankers pushing CO2 '*trading*'<sup>7</sup>;
- Global governance by UN agencies operating through *treaties* destroying national sovereignty and enabling global control;
- Control of people through regulating society: via energy policy, finances (taxes and charges), resource allocation and smashing of property rights;
- Personal financial benefits, career prestige and status for academics supporting government control;
- Political control and ideology camouflaged in extreme '*pseudo-environmentalism*' by NGO's (nongovernment organisations). Some are funded by taxpayers.

[http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/manufacturing\\_money\\_and\\_warming.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/manufacturing_money_and_warming.pdf)

The UN has promoted automatic funding for itself through CO2 taxing and '*trading*' schemes. Fortunately these are collapsing. Yet Australia has just introduced a tax on CO2 and has committed to a CO2 '*trading*' scheme starting in 2015.

### **CO2 *trading* is falsely claimed to be a market-based solution—it's not**

Those pushing CO2 *trading* claim it's a market-based mechanism. It is not. The government sets the artificial cap, arbitrarily. The government then regulates the use of *trading*. There is no customer's real-world need being met by the market.

Real markets are supposedly the meeting place of sellers offering solutions to meet buyers' needs. CO2 *trading* is not a market activity as revealed here:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/economics\\_hypocrisy.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/economics_hypocrisy.php)

### **CO2 taxes are arbitrarily levied on arbitrary formulae not measurements**

Production of CO2 from combustion is difficult to measure. That's the reason that the CO2 tax and *trading* scheme are based on formulae created and modified at will by bureaucrats. It's an arbitrary tax assessed and imposed according to bureaucratic formulae not measurements of CO2.

Instead of using actual measurements some industry figures are produced by computerised numerical modeling of CO2 production. They're reportedly riddled with errors and poor assumptions.

---

<sup>7</sup> International bankers stealing money by '*trading*' on thin air (CO2) to add to creation of money through windfalls from massive government debt that they facilitate and encourage. This enables them to create money as credit out of nothing using loans made by keystrokes on a computer keyboard and without any asset backing or productive enterprise. Yet the public suffers and pays through interest payments, frequent economic collapse, government debt and massive inflation destroying savings.

## **17. Fabricating crises—trademark of the cabal pushing global governance**

The American Federal Reserve's formation was plotted and enabled by a secret meeting on Jekyll Island, Georgia, USA in November 1910. That was supposedly in response to the financial crisis of 1907 documented to be engineered by Rockefeller and Morgan banking interests. The latter was reportedly intimately connected with and already part of the European Rothschild banking empire.

That meeting was part a documented campaign extending for three to four decades in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. It aimed to conjure a privately-owned central bank. It succeeded in 1913.

With control of money supply and interest rates via the USA Federal Reserve since 1913 it became easier to dictate national economic activity in many nations. The Fed's international bankers as owners thereby dictate American and global policy. According to highly respected American Congressman Dr. Ron Paul, every major recession since formation of the USA Federal Reserve in 1913 has been due to the Fed. Records support his claim.

A descendant of the Rockefellers staging the 1907 financial crisis that collapsed many farmers, small banks and manufacturers is David Rockefeller. He's head of the Bilderberg group and a prominent international banker said, quote:

*"We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order."*

Since the 1960's use of financial crises has been joined by the use of fabricated environmental crises as a means of driving the global agenda. The Club of Rome stated, quote:

*"In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill ... All these dangers are caused by human intervention ... and thus the "real enemy, then, is humanity itself ... believe humanity requires a common motivation, namely a common adversary in order to realize world government. It does not matter if this common enemy is "a real one or ... one invented for the purpose."*

The supposed environmental crisis is part of a larger fabricated crisis: population crisis. Earlier in this appendix that was proven to contradict reality. John D. Rockefeller III was prominent in founding the Population Council described by Robert Zubrin as, quote:

*"the preeminent postwar 'population control' organisation in 1932".*

First chairman of the IPCC's science working group, Sir John Houghton, produced the IPCC's first three reports in 1990, 1995 and 2001. None contained any empirical scientific evidence that human CO2 caused global warming. He reportedly wrote in his book *Global Warming, The Complete Briefing*, in 1994, quote:

*"Unless we announce disasters no one will listen."*

A third newer perceived crisis has been terrorism. Yet the CIA had previously been actively involved at various times in alliances with various Middle Eastern nations now accused of harbouring terrorists while supporting and enabling terrorism.

Fabricated crises provide excuses for taking control over a panicking population and supposedly *justifying* action through politicians wanting to be perceived by voters as taking action.

Investigative reporter Daniel Estulin reports that in a recorded address to the Bilderberg Group at Evian, France on May 21<sup>st</sup>, 1992 Henry Kissinger said, quote:

*"Today, Americans would be outraged if UN troops entered Los Angeles to restore order; tomorrow, they will be grateful. This is especially true if they were told there was an outside threat from beyond, whether real or promulgated, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all people of the world will plead with world leaders to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this scenario, individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well-being granted to them by their world government."*

Another member of the Club of Rome who has long been advocating global control is Mikhail Gorbachev. He said, quote:

*"The threat of environmental crisis will be the 'internal disaster key' that will unlock the New World Order."*

Exploring the empirical scientific evidence leads inescapably to the conclusion of corruption on a massive scale as revealed in Appendices 2 through 15:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_part%201.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf)

Exploring the corruption leads inescapably to the UN and to the push for global governance and global control. That reveals various publicly known groups including the Bilderberg Group, Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission and the Club of Rome. Occurrence of their meetings is known and reported yet their minutes are secretive. Prominent among these groups are international bankers pushing global *trading* of CO2 credits. They want to make trillions of dollars from *trading* thin air.

Some pushing the New World Order are reportedly prepared to use force. Historian Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., said in *Foreign Affairs*, July/August Issue 1995, quote:

*"We are not going to achieve a New World Order without paying for it in blood as well as in words and money."*

Some people say that the claim that human CO2 causes global climate change is the biggest fraud ever perpetrated. It's not. It's arguably the third biggest fraud. Investigating the drivers of climate change corruption leads to the same people who are perpetrating the second biggest fraud being creation of money out of nothing and the most destructive fraud being antihumanism.

Investigating those scams leads to the international bankers as their perpetrators and primary beneficiaries. Next we need to understand why they're doing it.

## 18. The core issue: international bankers deceitfully pushing global control by driving national governments and global governance

Increasingly people are awakening to the core problem shared by campaigners pushing global governance: they are doing so either intentionally or in ignorance on behalf of a cabal of international banking interests. This is clearly documented widely in credible books from a variety of independent authors and increasingly across the media and especially the Internet.

Although unfounded climate alarm is a major scam, it pales beside the scam operated since 1694 with formation of the Bank of England central bank in private hands. Privately owned central banks operate in various Western developed nations and culminate in power, influence and control exerted by the USA's Federal Reserve and the inner *City of London*.

These banks have acted on behalf of, and under the control of, European and American banking interests. American Congressman Ron Paul blames them with causing every major recession and every major war since the Fed's formation in 1913.

Defining the steps to control through entrenching government and debt:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AZU0c8DAIU4&feature=relist&playnext=1&list=PLE179FC2468369450>

Note the erasing of meaningful state lines.

**International bankers have repeatedly demonstrated they can control financial assets and governments and collapse economies at will. Since 1972 they've been bringing together components to control land. These include Maurice Strong, UN Agenda 21, international bankers, Rothschilds, Rockefellers, national governments and NGO's. Through controlling land, energy, resources and finances the aim is to get control of all assets worldwide:**

<http://soldierforliberty.wordpress.com/2010/05/16/the-maurice-strong-rothschild-connection-beyond-the-co2-scam-and-into-control-of-land-and-food/>

And:

<http://canadiantruths.wordpress.com/2010/02/13/world-wilderness-congress-in-1987/>

And:

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-6642758020554799808>

And:

<http://euro-med.dk/?p=13656>

And:

[http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp\\_sociopol\\_rothschild37.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_rothschild37.htm)

Ron Paul understands liberty:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q03cWio-zjk>

## 19. Australian implementation: The Lima Agreement and Australia's core issue: Taxation as a means of plunder, wealth stripping and control

In 1975 Australia's Labour Prime Minister Gough Whitlam signed the UN's Lima Agreement. His supposed opponent, Liberal Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser, ratified it the following year. Both Gough Whitlam and Malcolm Fraser are listed as Fabian Socialists. As is every Prime Minister since except John Howard. ie, Fabians have run Australia under Whitlam, Fraser, Hawke, Keating, Rudd and Gillard. Given leanings within the federal bureaucracy it's likely that during John Howard's prime ministership socialist bureaucrats pulled the strings.

Refer to the Lima UNIDO Declaration 1975 in *'The Encyclopaedia of the United Nations and International Agreements'* by Edmund Jan Osmanczyk.

A view of some Lima Declaration impacts is available here:

<http://www.ironbarkresources.com/articles/phillips20050300.htm>

Brief notes on the Lima Declaration are available here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1415\\_LimaDecNotes.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1415_LimaDecNotes.pdf)

A summary of some impacts is here:

<http://www.menzieshouse.com.au/2013/01/global-governance-world-government.html#tpe-action-resize-505>

Under the Lima Agreement and to motivate China to include itself in the UN's push for global governance, mighty industrialised China is handed favoured status over Australia. Our government enabled this continued destruction of Aussie manufacturing.

The Lima Agreement purports to assist undeveloped nations to increase their industrial output. Yet it really is a vehicle for socialist policies under global central control. It effectively justifies restriction of economic and other freedoms replaced by centralised global policy-making and control.

It relies on the usual UN tactics:

- Taking data out of context to 'justify' itself;
- Being wordy to deter reading details;
- Using attractive motherhood language to wrap initiatives in words making it awkward for people to publicly express dissent;
- Using repetition to entrench false core messages as propaganda.

The Lima Declaration seeks:

- "Establishment of a reformed monetary system ... (supposedly) to meet the specific needs of developing countries" (S44);
- To "... (assist) establishment of a new international economic order" (S25);
- To "... ensure that) developing countries' share of world trade increases by more than 350% by the year 2000" (S28).

The supposed reform of the monetary system as part of the Lima Declaration seems to cover massive guaranteed funding to global institutions free and from any political conditions. Yet UN organisations are notorious for widespread corruption and for absence of accountability.

**The Lima Declaration is designed to boost industry in developed nations, seemingly at the cost of developed nations. Yet not all developed nations and not all major economies signed the Declaration. The USA voted against the declaration. The UK, Japan, (west) Germany, France, Canada, Italy, Israel, Belgium.**

**As Australia dropped its protection of domestic industry to comply with the Lima Declaration, other nations including our major trading partners either maintained tariffs or maintained non-tariff protections such as subsidies, import restrictions and specific legal requirements deterring imports.**

**Australia is signatory to over 7,000 UN treaties, conventions, agreements and guidelines. Many, if not all, have not been ratified by federal parliament or the Australian people.**

Yet policies pushing a New World Order are implemented federally through government agencies pushing UN policies. New World Order policies are implemented locally by or through non-government organisations, national or state programs, state legislation and submissive unprepared local councils.

As discussed previously, unvalidated CSIRO computerised numerical model projections of future sea level contradict empirical science and have no scientific basis. Yet NSW state legislation was based on CSIRO's unvalidated and erroneous computerised numerical models paid for by the federal government and pushing the ALP-Greens federal political agenda. All worked in unison to steal coastal residents' private property rights.

**Implementation of the global agenda in Australia during the last 50 years has focused on:**

- Taxes
- Trade: unfair trade
- Treaties: UN treaties
- Family: anti-family policies
- States: smashing states' rights, the core of Australia's federation.

## **Taxes**

As Karl Marx correctly advised that taxes give central control over economies and resource allocation. They provide the financial means to control sectors through increased centralised government. They are one of society's most powerful drivers of behaviour and resource allocation.

On Saturday, February 20<sup>th</sup>, 2010 the Canberra Times reported comments by the Australian Taxation Office's Deputy Tax Commissioner in charge of large business and international matters, Jim Killaly. He said that many large businesses are paying little or no tax.

That situation has existed since 1953's Double Taxation Agreement Bill. It eliminated the responsibility of foreign-owned corporations from paying income tax. It is increasing the burden on citizens and small business.

From Brisbane's Courier-Mail newspaper on January 14, 1998, quote:

*"\$300mil earners pay no tax*

*Almost 100 multinational companies, each making more than \$300 million a year in Australia, paid no tax in 1996.*

*Tax Office documents also reveal almost 40 large multinational companies did not pay any tax over the three years - 1994, 1995, and 1996.*

*The documents show that in 1996 about 55 percent of multinationals or companies with "offshore related party transactions" did not pay any tax. More than 7700 companies claimed multinational status in that year.*

*By comparison, about 10 million salary and wage-earning Australians contributed around \$60 billion to government coffers.*

*Multinational companies can avoid tax by transferring profits to low or no-tax companies - such as the Cayman Islands in the Caribbean - and either inflate their expenses or cut income to show little or no earnings in Australia.*

*The Tax Office is now investigating more than 50 multinationals - which represent many more individual companies - with dealings worth well over \$1 billion.*

*Michael McKinnon"*

As foreign ownership of Australia's large businesses increases the tax paid by corporations decreases. The burden on families and small businesses grows ever larger.

<http://www.gwb.com.au/gwb/news/mai/austand.html>

This is nothing new. From the Sydney Morning Herald of October 28<sup>th</sup>, 1996, Jim Killaly said, quote:

*"Since 1953 Multinationals have paid little or no tax."*

Quoting John Cummings, in Oz News, First Quarter, 1999:

*"Unfair Competition: Tax revenue: \$124.6 billion; Australians paid \$114.7 billion; Transnational companies paid \$9.9 billion (ABS Taxation Revenue: 5506.0 (1996-97) - they pay "little or no tax" (ATO Canberra - Sydney Morning Herald, 28th October, 1996). This is a clear case of unfair competition - foreign competition to boot - and it is illegal."*

ie, foreign-owned corporations get a tax break while Aussie companies are slugged.

**It seems that in 1996, of \$124.6 billion collected in income tax in 1996, Aussies paid 92% (\$114.7Bn) and transnational companies paid 8% (\$9.9Bn).**

1996 followed fourteen years in Australia under the Labor governments of Prime ministers Bob Hawke and Paul Keating. The latter had been Treasurer or Prime Minister for much of that period. Yet in 1996 multinationals paid little or no tax.

**From 1996 through 2007 Liberal John Howard was prime minister. He had been Treasurer during the period of Fabian socialist Malcolm Fraser's prime ministership from 1975 through 1983. Yet in 2010 according to the ATO's Jim Killaly large companies (with a turnover of more than \$250 million) paid little or no tax.**

Quoting Jim Killaly's speech on February 20<sup>th</sup>, 2010:



*“Over the 2005 to 2006 financial years more than 40 per cent of the company income tax returns lodged by large business taxpayers had a tax payable of zero, and around half these were showing losses”.*

(Crispin Hull, Opinion in The Canberra Times, Saturday, February 20<sup>th</sup>, 2010.)

Canberra Times journalist followed with, quote:

*“Bear in mind these years are mostly pre-financial crisis and some years were boom years for big business. A lot of the zero returns can be put down to tax concessions, avoidance and evasion. But big business has the clout to resist changes to tax concessions”.*

And, quote:

*“Big business has got away with it because successive governments have not staffed the ATO properly and have not cut the business welfare in the tax system for fear of well-funded backlashes”.*

According to Australian speaker John MacRae, *The Australian Financial Review* newspaper reported that the Murdoch corporation escaped \$1.3 Billion in taxes. The media generally have reported that Kerry Packer paid only \$30 tax in three years. Why?

Bare in mind that multi-nationals can use tax havens to avoid tax in their home countries:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/world/big-companies-paid-more-to-ceos-than-in-tax-says-us-thinktank/story-e6frg6so-1226451985934>

Discussing the government’s withholding of the Henry Taxation Review under Prime Minister Kevin Rudd and Treasurer Wayne Swan, Crispin Hull asked, quote:

*“Do we have a freedom-of-information request for a report produced by public servants about how the public is to be taxed and based upon submissions given by the public? We own the report, not you (Kevin Rudd)”.*

Of what is the government afraid?

**Refer to Australian Democrats Senator Murray’s speech, 12:50pm, 20.10.97 during Second Reading of the ‘Taxation Laws Amendment (Foreign Income Measures) Bill 1997’. His data confirms the growing burden on Aussie families and small business due to rapidly rising foreign ownership of Australian GDP escaping tax. Much of that occurred under the Hawke-Keating ALP government that deepened Lima Declaration impacts.**

**Today, at least 90% of Australia’s major companies are foreign owned. They are exempt from paying tax in Australia under reciprocal taxation agreements signed by Australian governments. Some major foreign-owned companies known to pay little tax in their home country due to tax loopholes associated with tax havens.**

**Foreign companies are using our infrastructure funded by taxes paid by Aussie families and small business. Foreign companies pay little or no tax. They use our resources, our people and our infrastructure and often do not pay their way.**

Reportedly, in 1996 87% of income tax collected was from PAYE taxpayers—individuals and families. A mere 9% was from corporate Australia. At the time 7,000 companies claimed international tax status. 90% of corporate Australia was owned by multinationals.

**When one adds council rates, state taxes such as car registrations, fees for various certificates, licenses and entitlements, the PAYE earner is working each week from Monday through to smoko or lunch on Thursday to pay governments 68% of earnings. PAYE earners are allowed to keep one and a half days pay for food, fuel, mortgage repayments or rent and savings for retirement. People are working for almost three and a half days of every five for government. For what?**

Why did we get a GST? It's because corporations pay little or no tax. Government needed the revenue. Who pays GST? The end consumer.

Banks creating money as credit out of thin air pay no taxes on that money created.

On November 28<sup>th</sup>, 2012 The Australian newspaper's Adam Creighton said, quote:

*"Any practical or political impediments to expanding the GST pale in comparison with the need to avoid it becoming a giant money pump that ratchets up government spending.*

*"Government is a revenue-hungry beast," says Geoffrey Brennan, professor of philosophy at the Australian National University and co-author of a book, The Power to Tax, with Nobel prizewinner James Buchanan that helped establish the "public choice" school of economics, which considers governments and bureaucrats to be as self-interested as the people they govern.*

*"Taxes that are relatively invisible are not good taxes because they lead to government by stealth," he adds, arguing any extra GST revenue will be spent mainly on programs of questionable merit, and any income tax cuts will be token.*

*"Government will take whatever 'efficiency gain' the tax brings and spend it," he says."*

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/features/amazing-invisible-tax-man/story-e6frg6z6-1226525242338>

\* CO2 tax is invisible. It's hidden within goods and services prices.

**We need a system that requires every person and entity to pay their share of tax. We need a system that minimises government greed and waste to minimise taxes.**

**History reveals that aggregated decisions of millions of independent hearts and minds are far sounder than decisions by central bureaucrats. Taxpayers know best how to use their money. Leave as much money as possible in taxpayer's hands.**

What are our politicians doing? Do they not know what's happening? Do they not know the burden falling on Aussies? Do they care?

## **The CO2 Tax is the Deceit Tax**

**The Gillard-Brown carbon dioxide tax is described by the government as a *tax reform*. Yet it's actually a huge and deceitful economic disincentive. It's open-ended upward-ratcheting plunder *designed* to be rapidly increased in future—without compensation. Julia Gillard stated that it is designed to cause pain to Aussies to change our behaviour. After the first year sweetening by compensation, future dramatic rises will not be compensated.**

See Mark Taibbi's comments quoted below.

Remember that the people pulling the government's string—the Greens—seek a tax rate on CO2 over four times the ALP government's initial rate. The socialist champagne Greens do not want compensation paid.

Why are they wanting to move Australia away from one of our greatest strengths and competitive advantages: abundant, cheap clean coal and natural gas? Cheap electricity is the reason our aluminium industry grew. Now aluminium producers are shutting refineries. The CO2 tax is sending Aussie jobs overseas to our competitors.

Why are they entering a scheme that drives the construction of a hydro-electricity plant involving construction of a South-East Asian dam at a time when champagne Greens oppose building dams on environmental grounds?

Reference: *Carbon credits matchmaker in heaven*, The Weekend Australian, Saturday, December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/wall-street-journal/matchmaking-service-for-trade-in-carbon-credits/story-fnay3vxj-1226527774509>

**Why are they describing it as a *market* mechanism when cap-and-trade is really a ration-and-tax? Why are they claiming it's a market mechanism when markets are the meeting of buyers and sellers for free exchange to meet needs? Cap-and-trade or CO2 pricing is not a market mechanism. It's an artificially mandated regulated cap pushing inefficiency. It's driven by the UN and by international bankers through artificial controls. If pursued it will bind Australia to a massive fraudulent financial scam controlled by international banks to have a second scheme for making money out of thin air, money out of nothing. ie, trading artificially concocted CO2 credits.**

That would cede Australia's sovereignty over energy and over everything energy controls.

The stupidity and destructiveness of ration-and-tax is revealed here:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/economics\\_hypocrisy.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/economics_hypocrisy.php)

International bankers' are driving ration-and-tax schemes in their push for another Ponzi scheme to conjure windfall profits out of thin air. With their financial power and control, ration-and-tax schemes will quickly grant them power over energy within nations. Added to control of finance and indirect control over governments it will deepen control over people and lifestyles globally.

The Gillard-Brown CO2 tax is the Deceit Tax because:

- Its existence is based on deceitful claims that human CO2 drives climate;
- Its based on deceiving the electorate by making and soon after breaking a clear pre-election promise by the prime minister and deputy prime minister;
- Its implementation uses compensation in its first year to sweeten its poison; and
- It's designed to be an open-ended upward-ratcheting tax to be increased savagely in future without future compensation;
- It's said to be economically efficient yet the Prime Minister stated it's designed to hurt people to change behaviour;

- It's applied to Australian consumption of clean Aussie coals to deter their use within Australia while their export is encouraged by the government;
- It's designed to make wind-power relatively more attractive by artificially raising prices of electricity generated from fuels producing CO2. Beneficiaries of wind power subsidies include organisations closely connected with the union movement and ALP. See section 5, above and Appendix 12.

### **Crumbling tax bases in socialist countries**

Every nation's fundamental wealth comes from the ground and ocean as food or minerals. It's converted into usable products in manufacturing. Consider the public service and service industries that don't create the fundamental wealth of the nation. How many people live off the material producers?

As the proportion of people receiving government handouts increases and as the proportion of people on government payrolls increases, the proportion of people in the productive private sector is shrinking. As government spending and debt rises sharply, the shrinking proportion of producers in Australia have to pay more. As federal government swallows state issues bureaucracy is being duplicated wastefully at federal level. The shrinking proportion of producers will pay yet more. As manufacturing, fishing and farming wither the surviving producers will have to pay ever more.

That means one thing: more tax to be paid by dwindling producers in our community.

Briton Anthony Bright-Paul provides figures from the UK revealing poorly structured taxes hurt production and waste Britons' taxes on the EU:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1416\\_AnthonyUKTaxes.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1416_AnthonyUKTaxes.pdf)

*"Clearly the aim of the present government to reduce expenditure and balance the books is correct in principle. Why then are we spending some £20bn a year to support a corrupt European civil service, and Commissioners who are accountable to nobody? With that amount of money all taxes on fuel, the means of production could be cut, and Great Britain would be great once again."*

Australia's government has pledged hundreds of billions of dollars from the CO2 tax and trading scheme to the corrupt UN. Given the

UN's lack of accountability and its record of corruption combined with the UN IPCC's massive fraud in fabricating unfounded climate alarm taxes stolen fraudulently from Aussie families will be wasted.

Some people are not bothered by the CO2 tax in its first year. They narrowly say:

*"yeah it's crook, mate, but it's not worth fighting for a few bucks a week".*

What they don't realise is that needless taxation is costing their families thousands of dollars a year due to the fact families and small business are carrying large corporations, massively growing government and huge ALP-Greens debt to international bankers.

**The CO2 Deceit Tax though is not the goal. It's a symptom of what's happening in Australian government and a tactic on the path to the real goal of controlling energy and finances in the hands of international bankers. Tax reform in Australia has become euphemistic camouflage for introducing more taxes and raising tax rates. It's not reform, it's spin. It's legalised yet unlawful theft. It's plunder.**

The new carbon dioxide tax hurts industry, it hurts exports, reallocates resources to inefficient uses, it enables inefficient wasteful programs such as Renewable Energy Targets that damage the economy and are already being withdrawn in Europe and America, it ...

The CO2 tax drives Australian industry overseas. Instead of buying products from Aussie factories with effective modern anti-pollution controls on real pollutants (particulates, sulphur and nitrous oxides) the government is driving production of what we consume to overseas factories lacking such controls. This increases real pollution. It's antihuman.

It's not about CO2. The government's actions in encouraging exports of clean Aussie coal reveal that CO2 is not its concerns. It encourages other countries to burn Aussie coal while raising its price within Australia to stop Aussies burning the same coal.

Here's another example: the new tax on mineral producers was assembled and then modified through consultation with three major international mining firms. Reportedly Australian companies were excluded from those negotiations.

This is falsely spun as *reform* under the alliance between new Labor and the champagne Greens. It is though not reform. It's theft by deceit. Deceit funded by taxpayers.

Consider the CO2 tax's wider significance. When the Copenhagen conference failed to deliver agreement on national payments to a UN fund, the provisions were dealt with by the G-20. The UN is determined to get massive funds from citizens in developed nations.

Science writer Jo Nova's 2009 article entitled *Climate Money* revealed predictions by the Chairman of London's CO2 *trading* exchange that CO2 credits would eventually be the world's largest non-financial commodity totalling \$10 trillion annually.

[http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/climate\\_money.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/climate_money.pdf)

Earlier in this appendix figures from the bank of International Settlements were quoted by Ellen Brown:

*"in the first half of 2006, the "notional value" of derivatives trades had soared to a record \$370 trillion; and by December 2007, the figure was up to a breathtaking \$681 trillion."*

If CO2 *trading* meets international bankers' expectations of \$10 trillion what will be the level of derivatives when bankers start multiplying concocted derivatives? Gadzillions?

The market though appears to be collapsing:

<http://joannenova.com.au/2012/06/global-carbon-market-hits-176-billion-in-2011/>

Following the 2008 global financial crisis, in February 2009 the \$787 billion American Recovery and Reinvestment Act bailout contained \$110 billion in clean energy investments. Many of these *investments* have already collapsed and people are now unemployed:

<http://blog.heritage.org/2012/10/18/president-obamas-taxpayer-backed-green-energy-failures/>

Taxpayers paid for the bailout in loans owed to international bankers.

According to Australia's Institute of Public Affairs (*IPA Review, December 2012*), President Obama is the, quote:

*"biggest-spending US President in history" ... [who] ... "drastically cut funding to programs designed to promote democracy."*

Under his presidency government borrowing has soared.

Before his first election as President, Barack Obama was reportedly connected to the Bilderberg Group. He is complicit in funding formation of the Chicago Climate Exchange. President Obama's massive bailout to save international bankers and his loans wasted on unsound green energy gambles were funded by international bankers. As a result taxpayers and America are more deeply in international bankers' clutches.

Goldman Sachs bank owns 10% of the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX). Al Gore's company Generation Investment Management is reported to be the fifth largest shareholder. Maurice Strong was a director of CCX. Reporter Matt Taibbi reveals research about *trading* CO2 credits in his July 2009 article entitled *The Great American Bubble Machine (item #6)*, quote:

*"... but cap-and-trade, as envisioned by Goldman, is really just a carbon tax structured so that private interests collect the revenues. Instead of simply imposing a fixed government levy on carbon pollution<sup>8</sup> and forcing unclean energy producers to pay for the mess they make, cap-and-trade will allow a small tribe of greedy-as-hell Wall Street<sup>9</sup> swine to turn yet another commodities market into a private tax-collection scheme. This is worse than the bailout: It allows the bank to seize taxpayer money before it's even collected.*

*"If it's going to be a tax, I would prefer that Washington set the tax and collect it," says Michael Masters, the hedgefund director who' spoke out against oil-futures speculation. "But we're saying that Wall Street can set the tax, and Wall Street can collect the tax. That's the last thing in the world I want, It's just asinine."*

*Cap-and-trade is going to happen. Or, if it doesn't, something like it will. The moral is the same as for all the other bubbles that Goldman helped create, from 1929 to 2009. In almost every case, the very same bank that behaved recklessly for years, weighing down the system with toxic loans and predatory debt, and accomplishing nothing but massive bonuses for a few bosses, has been rewarded with mountains of virtually free money and government guarantees - while the actual victims in this mess, ordinary taxpayers, are the ones paying for it.*

*It's not always easy to accept the reality of what we now routinely allow these people to get away with; there's a kind of collective denial that kicks in when a country goes through what America has gone through lately, when a people lose as much prestige and status as we have in the past few years. You can't really register the fact that you're no longer a citizen of a thriving first-world democracy, that you're no longer above getting robbed in broad daylight, because like an amputee, you can still sort of feel things that are no longer there.*

---

<sup>8</sup> Mark Taibbi is falsely labeling CO2 as carbon pollution. We can look beyond his poor understanding of science since his analysis of Goldman Sachs and its financial chicanery would've required extensive time to research.

<sup>9</sup> Wall Street is really the international bankers, as readers will know from previous information.

*But this is it. This is the world we live in now. And in this world, some of us have to play by the rules, while others get a note from the principal excusing them from homework till the end of time, plus 10 billion free dollars in a paper bag to buy lunch. It's a gangster state, running on gangster economics, and even prices can't be trusted anymore; there are hidden taxes in every buck you pay. And maybe we can't stop it, but we should at least know where it's all going."*

End of quote from Mark Taibbi. Please refer to his Item #6 available here:

<https://sites.google.com/site/disclosedelta/>

It's camouflaged in UN programs supposedly to save the environment and humanity:

<http://www.foxnews.com/world/2012/04/20/tab-for-uns-rio-summit-trillions-per-year-in-taxes-transfers-and-price-hikes/>

As revealed in sections within this appendix the reality is that the UN was created by international bankers and since inception has been an agency for international bankers.

The CO2 trading-and-derivatives disease was extended to Australia camouflaged in national legislation pushed by the Labor-Greens alliance with independents:

<http://barnabyisright.com/2011/11/01/ticking-time-bomb-hidden-in-the-carbon-tax/>

Note the recent huge increase in Australian banks' exposure to derivatives.

This is the scheme into which Gillard-Brown sold Australia with assistance along the way from former Goldman Sachs Australia Managing Director Malcolm Turnbull, Penny Wong, Greg Combet, Tanya Plibersek, Tony Burke, Rob Oakeshott, Tony Windsor, Andrew Wilkie, ...

Note: CO2 production varies enormously and is difficult to measure. Assessment of CO2 tax under ration-and-tax schemes depends on arbitrary formulae controlled by bureaucrats. The formulae can be complex and not reflect reality. Formulae put further control over people's lives in hands of unaccountable bureaucrats manipulated by political agenda and international bankers and are open to corruption.

The politicians named above who sold Australia into the bankers' trading scheme did so while ignoring empirical scientific evidence and evidence provided by many citizens such as myself and Graham Williamson. The named politicians complicit in enabling CO2 *trading* are paid by taxpayers. We're volunteers. They're using our taxes to fleece us.

**When MP's fail to act our nation is being comprehensively undermined. The Labor-Greens tax on CO2 as part of the UN's global Agenda 21 campaign extends far wider and deeper than the tax. It has far reaching consequences and cedes national sovereignty to UN bureaucrats. Graham Williamson documents his concerns for Australia based on careful gathering and analysis of publicly available facts. Why is the ALP, the former great people's party selling out the people?**

Graham Williamson's article:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/125.6\\_PoliticalResponsestoAG21.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/125.6_PoliticalResponsestoAG21.pdf)

Given the record of international bankers in colluding with national leaders to subvert and bypass national constitutions, are any of Australia's federal MP's acting treasonously?

Karl Marx stated that taxation is the key system for controlling a nation. It is the tool of the international bankers. It is the core issue facing Australians. It drives and enables the core problem: reckless, dishonest, deceitful over-government and control.

## **Treaties**

Reportedly Australia is signatory to over 7,000 treaties. These range from ceding national sovereignty over aspects of our economy and/or governance to compliance with inefficient requirements imposed arbitrarily by unelected UN bureaucrats. These bypass parliament. In the case of the draft Copenhagen treaty that received much fanfare from then Prime Minister Kevin Rudd and Climate Change Minister Penny Wong it was scarcely mentioned in national parliament and reportedly not debated. Yet had there been an agreement at Copenhagen Australia's prime minister would've signed to commit Australia to an agreement not scrutinised by the people's houses of parliament.

The Lima Declaration is smashing manufacturing, farming, fishing and regional centres.

## **Tariffs and trade**

Australian governments signed free trade agreements reducing or abolishing tariffs. Removing these restrictions theoretically assists trade to improve economic efficiency, resource productivity, lifestyle and wealth. Yet other nations such as the USA and European countries maintain unfair internal and external controls restricting trade indirectly. eg, farm subsidies, product import quotas and many others. Australian manufacturers, fisheries and farmers suffer. Regional Australia suffers.

Australians are forced to compete against underdeveloped nations not required to comply with regulations and standards imposed on Aussie producers.

Australians are being driven out of regional centres. This is aligned with UN Agenda 21's aims.

## **Family**

UN campaigns and policies are undermining the family in many ways. The family is the foundation for human existence and reproduction since human offspring are vulnerable for 14 years following their birth. The family is the foundation for society, civilisation, prosperity, individual responsibility, efficient and effective welfare, ...

Barrister the late George Turner reveals deceit driving implementation of the UN Bill of Rights in Australia here:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1417\\_GeorgeTurner.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1417_GeorgeTurner.pdf)

His concern is that deceit was used to enact legislation giving government power over children.

His first page starts, quote:

*"I have made the statement a number of times publicly that all that was objectionable in the Bill of Rights proposal, which the Federal Government assured the Australian people*



*it would not proceed with in August 1986 became law in Australia on the 10th of December, 1986."*

He quotes cabinet ministers in the 1986 Labor federal ministry assuring Australians that the proposal would not proceed. Four months later it was legislated.

His open letter analyses each provision in the first legislation defeated by the opposition. It then reveals all, or almost all key objectionable provisions are in the second legislation passed through parliament.

That reminds of deceitful tactics international bankers used to slide passage of America's Fed through congress and into legislation. That was done to the enormous detriment of America ever since.

On page 11 in his Addendum One he reveals that the External Affairs Power was used to allow UN interference within Australia under cover of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, quote:

*"The basic Constitutional protection to Australia from direct intrusion of foreign or alien influence upon our Australian Commonwealth law was removed in a ruling by the High Court WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE." (His emphasis).*

Prior to the High Court's ruling, Australia's Constitution specifically limited federal government jurisdiction to a narrow range of issues. That was intentional as a safeguard against central power that had hurt Europeans. Similar safeguards are built into America's constitution against concentration of power centrally. Central power makes it easier for international bankers to takeover a country. The founding fathers of America and Australia wanted Australia to be protected in terms of safeguards on currency and national sovereignty.

**Experience in America and in Australia is that the High Court has enabled the constitution to be bypassed to enable foreign power to unlawfully influence currency and smash sovereignty.**

Specifically, Barrister George Turner's page 11 reveals that the High Court breached the constitution in ruling on two cases. That enabled the federal government, quote:

*"to give to itself the power to make laws on any subject without going to the people at a Referendum or obtaining the consent of all States. All that was needed was for the Federal Government to enter into an International Treaty, Covenant or Convention dealing with a particular subject matter, and then using the external affairs power to widen the Commonwealth power to make a law on that particular subject matter (that is, pulling yourself up by your own bootstraps!"*

**Can readers now see more reasons international bankers drove formation of the UN. It seems that collusion may have occurred between the high court and possibly both major political parties to enable UN provisions to rule Australia. That is, the UN is running Australia by breaching our governing constitution.**

**On page 13, barrister George Turner reveals that legislation passed by the Hawke Labor government enabled Australian legislation to be amended by a vote in the UN General Assembly. Note the many small countries in Africa, Central and South America and the Pacific can be easily bribed with offers of money to support UN amendments. George Turner's concern was that any anti-family amendment passed by the UN could automatically flow on**

**to Australia and become legislation downunder without requiring the federal government to inform the Australian public, quote:**

*“The Federal Government could bind Australia and Australian families and future generations to such an amendment without even informing the public beforehand.”*

According to George Turner’s open letter the Hawke Labor government smashed Australian sovereignty. It’s possible that the Liberal Opposition eventually assisted to enable the ceding of our national sovereignty.

Separately the documentary entitled *Agenda Grinding America Down* reveals methods used by socialist agendas to silently control the world’s most powerful democracy. It’s available at: <http://vimeo.com/52009124>

### **States rights and the Australian federation**

In both America and Australia, power is being increasingly concentrated at the national level. This contradicts the intent and spirit of both Constitutions of both federations. It’s increasing waste. It stifles creativity and the search for more efficient and effective ways to meet specific regional needs and challenges within states. It makes it easier to cede sovereignty to a global government. Richard Court’s book explains some of the many destructive consequences:

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401\\_RichardCourt.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401_RichardCourt.pdf)

This was introduced previously in this appendix.

In summary, states rights are being smashed by the national government usurping state responsibilities. This breaches the constitution. It’s often done under the guise of UN treaties and conventions not ratified by parliament or the people. National government now controls state expenditure. National bureaucracy duplicates state bureaucracy driving waste and higher taxes.

Undermining states’ rights undermines the Australian federation and makes it easier to cede national sovereignty to the UN and global governance.

The situation in America is fundamentally similar. Already the USA’s EPA has stolen power on behalf of international agencies pushing global governance. The EPA is destroying American industry, governance and sovereignty.

Protecting ourselves by restoring states rights and comply with Australia’s (and America’s) constitution.

### **International Law is a delusion**

Simon Breheny’s article in the IPA Review December 2012 entitled *The International Law Delusion* provides a succinct summary.

<http://www.ipa.org.au/>

Reading the article is sobering. It confirms methods used by global organisations fabricating unlawful legal frameworks, statutes and instruments such as courts that are unfounded. It

confirms the UN's unlawful invasion meddling into national affairs and trying to legitimise unlawful UN control globally. Quote:

*"International law encourages the concentration of power."*

And:

*"This is bad news for sovereignty. Sovereignty has strong links with democratic and constitutional government, and with limits on power at its core".*

The article is encouraging since it reveals that national and state government working for the people can easily undo international legal frameworks. It confirms that national sovereignty is paramount.

Protection of national sovereignty and personal rights though assumes that governments are honest and working in Australia's national interest. That assumption has not always been the case. It's now not the case in both major Australian political parties, especially the ALP.

**The UN's fabrications of supposed courts—the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Court—are not really courts within a lawful framework. They're masquerading falsely as courts constantly pushing jurisdictional creep. They have, according to Bliderberger Henry Kissinger, "virtually unlimited discretion".**

After exposing climate fraud in various parts of Australia I've been approached by people doing extensive research across many fields. Some claim that according to their research and in their opinion parts of Australia's current legal framework are not lawful. Some regulatory and enforcement codes appear to have been camouflaged as law. They're presented as legal yet apparently may be not lawful.

Given that current national governance is not constitutional, it seems likely that people's claims of unlawful legal structures could be valid. I lack the expertise and opportunity to explore.

Common law descending from the Magna Carta provides an inherently simple yet enormously powerful means of ensuring justice that is transparently fair. When implemented properly it encourages lawful, respectful behaviour.

**Many modern *legal* frameworks though take responsibility for respectful, lawful behaviour away from citizens and put supposed *responsibility* under control of government and/or courts. This drives people to breach legal statutes and changes the purpose of law to being a coercive, negative system. Instead of voluntary and naturally respectful behaviour driven by common law, the replacement legal system and regulatory system drive breaches of statutes. This triggers coercion and control.**

It drives an attitude within people of trying to get away with whatever they can. Instead, common law drives respectful, responsible and lawful behaviour. It returns responsibility to people. In doing so it strengthens individuals thereby strengthening the nation, humanity and human civilisation.

**Consider *legal* yet unlawful Australian and American tax codes extending to thousands of pages. They're now band-aids patched on band-aids. Their inherent complexity and disjointed purposes often drive contradictory behaviours. They drive inefficiency and create massive waste. They drive an attitude in some people of doing what they can to avoid tax. They drive lawlessness and irresponsibility.**

Yet with modern technology all nations have the opportunity to rely on simple means of raising revenue fairly. They can directly eliminate avoidance and indirectly guide people to want to fulfil citizenship responsibilities. Secondly, we have the opportunity and understanding to produce systems that encourage fairness and fiscal responsibility within governments while restoring power and responsibility to citizens.

Is that though something powerbrokers really want? Recent history in Australia and America indicates not.

Australia and America have massive opportunities to restore initiative, creativity, innovation, entrepreneurship, fairness and justice to greatly increase wealth for all citizens. This needs to come from the people and through the people.

### **An Example**

Consider respected marine biologist Walter Starck's sobering report entitled '*Australia's Unappreciated and Maligned Fisheries*' available here:

<http://www.goldendolphin.com/>

His report's Executive Summary is enlightening.

Australia has the largest per capita fishing zone in the world. Yet we have the lowest fisheries harvest rate in the world at about 1/30<sup>th</sup> (or just 3%) of the global average rate. Australia has the sixth longest national coastline in the world. We have a small population ranked 52<sup>nd</sup> in the world. Per capita we likely have more coastline and fishing area than any other nation. Our coastal shelf waters are among the richest in the world and encompass rich tropical waters to bountiful cool southern oceans. Yet Australia is a net importer of seafood. Two-thirds of our seafood consumption is imported meaning that our imported consumption is double our domestic consumption.

Australia has the most restrictive and costly marine resource management. Yet **all** our imports come from much more heavily tapped resources elsewhere. Environmentally it makes no sense to shut Australia's fisheries and import fish from oceans already being harvested more heavily. Economically it makes no sense. Socially it makes no sense.

Well-managed reefs can sustain an average annual harvest rate of 15,000 kg/km<sup>2</sup>. The average harvest rate for the Great Barrier Reef is a minuscule 9 kg/km<sup>2</sup>.

Australia once had a vigorous fishing industry. The production, value and profitability of Aussie fisheries are all in long-term decline. Seafood imports currently cost about \$17 billion annually and as Walter Starck states must be paid for by mineral exports. Otherwise they add to Australia's growing chronic debt.

Government regulations have swamped Australia's fishing industry under national and UN policies sometimes wrapped in eco-speak contradicting empirical science.

Decimating our fishing industry hurts other sectors because a fishing industry requires: ship and boat builders; maintenance; mining tin for cans; manufacturing cans, labels, nets; processing; transport; research funding; coastal surveillance; housing; ...

Smashing our fishing industry costs jobs, hurts our whole economy, hurts regional Australia and increases environmental impacts overseas.

## Another example

The supposed 'science' driving the government's Murray-Darling Basin water and other restrictions contradict empirical science. The reportedly unscientific regulations are environmental lunacy, scientific misrepresentation and economic recklessness and irresponsibility.

Please refer to Sydney barrister Josephine Kelly's article published in The Weekend Australian on Saturday, December 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/opinion/tony-burkes-murray-darling-plan-threatens-basin-farmers/story-e6frgd0x-1226536999900>

*"Farmers are not part of the environment protected by the Water Act, nor a species protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, the commonwealth's environmental leviathan.*

*The Water Act was misconceived by the Howard government under environment minister Malcolm Turnbull, in response to one of the severest droughts since white settlement. The 2007 election was looming. Green votes and South Australian seats were thought to be crucial. Water allocation for the environment is paramount under the Water Act. Social and economic effects come a poor second. The two million people in the basin do not rate."*

And:

*"Another is the Convention on Biological Diversity, which gives the federal government the power to protect threatened species - but sadly, the government has not protected the threatened human species: farmers and those who depend on them in the basin communities."*

Quote:

*"... at a cost of nearly \$12bn. The Goolwa policy will not take effect until 2014 - after the next election - and the funding extends across 10 years, with only \$55 million falling within the 2014-16 forward estimates period. The present government will spend nothing."*

On what basis is the Gillard-Greens alliance increasing Australia's debt already at record levels?

The UN's claims are the basis of this intrusion into Australian lives, livelihood and national sovereignty. Note that so-called green activists and politicians contradict and ignore what is beneficial to the environment. Why? Is the government's Murray-Darling Basin Plan merely to control one of Australia's most important resources, water, and thereby Australian people?"

When the Murray-Darling Basin Plan was released it initially included no scientific references.

On April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2012 Barrister Josephine Kelly connected three serious issues with the UN, being breaches of the Australian constitution by Lib-Lab governments, usurping of states' rights and poor environmental stewardship by the current government, quote:

*"Two of the most controversial issues that will face the Gillard government in the coming months -- the allocation of water in the Murray-Darling Basin and the carbon tax -- have something in common. They arise from legislation based on the commonwealth's foreign affairs powers and international environmental conventions entered into under that power."*

And:

*“That the Lower Lakes are listed under the Ramsar convention has been a powerful reason for the lack of scrutiny. The Water Act 2007 is the means the federal government used to take control of the Murray-Darling Basin from the states, which had the responsibility under the Constitution. It was a creature of the Howard government initiated by Malcolm Turnbull and adopted with some amendments by the Rudd-Gillard government.*

*The act relies on a hotchpotch of commonwealth powers. Most important are the foreign affairs power and international conventions entered into by the federal government pursuant to that power. The two most important of those conventions are the 1971 Ramsar convention on wetlands and the Convention on Biological Diversity, an outcome of the 1992 conference at Rio de Janeiro.*

*The Gillard government's carbon tax legislation also relies on an international convention entered into pursuant to the foreign affairs power, the 1992 Framework Convention on Climate Change and the 1997 Kyoto Protocol<sup>10</sup>. The decisions to impose the carbon tax and the decision on water allocation in the Murray-Darling Basin will increase cost-of-living pressures in marginal seats in the mortgage belts of the outer suburbs of capital cities.”*

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/legal-affairs/historic-link-shared-by-murray-darling-basin-and-carbon-tax/story-e6frg97x-1226325273552>

When so little is known about Murray-Darling Basin climate why should anyone believe this government? When a fundamental environmental solution to the problem of the Coorong barrages created by humans in ignorance 70 years ago is ignored why should anyone believe that this government cares about the environment? Given Josephine Kelly's comments why should anyone believe the opposition containing former barrister and Goldman Sachs Managing Director Malcolm Turnbull?

### **Another example**

Agriculture is under threat due to rampant legislation driven by the UN and associated non-government organisations. It's under threat from government bureaucracies and state government grabs for mining royalties from coal-seam gas.

Regulations restrict individual creativity, initiative and innovation that is the foundation of technical and social improvements driving higher living standards.

Australia is being devastated economically and environmentally by the Lima Declaration, irresponsible and corrupt UN bureaucrats, misguided non-government organisations and activists combining with uninformed and apathetic politicians cowed by party powerbrokers controlling preselection. They're turning Australia into a quarry. Are they possibly eyeing our country as a nuclear waste dump?

They're wrecking a remarkable achievement of the last 150 years: the creation of a middle class that enjoyed rising living standards with enhanced physical, economic, health and environmental security. They're converting this into a shrinking group drowning in rising costs of living and accelerating costs of government waste, misrepresentations and fraud.

---

<sup>10</sup> Both Climate Change and the 1997 Kyoto Protocol are based on UN climate fraud

We're constantly bombarded by campaigns from the UN, non-government organisations and ideologically driven extreme greens activists and politicians. Most are subtle and buried or interwoven in other messages. Their contradictions of empirical science, their misrepresentations of facts and their malice toward humanity are devastating. Their constant preaching of fear and guilt while subtly depicting humans as uncaring, irresponsible and greedy are debilitating and emotionally destructive to children and the national spirit.

This appendix's Introduction listed some of Australia's riches. We can, if needed stand independent of all other nations.

The globalists want control. So they fabricate the façade that we need to be interdependent. In other words our independence would be smashed. In fact, it is being smashed.

The UN has conned us on false pretenses. Our manufacturing, farming and fisheries are being gutted. In 1900 about 60% of Australians lived in regional Australia. That's now reportedly down to about 15%.

Now we have government encouraging selling clean Aussie coal to China, India and Asia while stopping its use in Australia. Why can't we use our own resources?

Destitute and impoverished regions across Australia mean our country is becoming a quarry and a prospect to become a nuclear waste dump.

The UN and IMF are joined by our own members of parliament—or at least those few who call the shots. They are gutting Australia. As Richard Court's book reveals, state expenditure is now largely controlled by the federal government causing massive duplication and waste. It's growing massive central government making us an easy prospect for being taken over by a global government. The 7,000 UN treaties our politicians have signed show we're on the path.

Quoting Graham Williamson based on his careful research:

*"Definition of democracy, courtesy of Australian politicians*

*Firstly, we the politicians declare there will be two fundamental groups of policies.*

*1. Declared policies, openly publicised during election campaigns and designed to win votes.*

*2. Covert or undeclared policies. These policies represent our real agenda, but it is vitally important they be concealed from the people, especially during election campaigns, due to their abhorrent, undemocratic, unpopular, nature.*

*Democracy is when we, the politicians, choose a few minor popular policies, from our group 1 vote winning policies, so we can effectively distract the people while we implement our covert or major policies.*

*EXAMPLE: For 20 years we have kept the population distracted with red herrings while we obey our colleagues in the UN and effectively implement our undemocratic covert policies right around Australia, and at all levels of government. This has enabled us to effectively implement a policy far bigger than any other policy. It is a policy of 20 years duration. It is a bipartisan policy implemented by all 3 levels of government around Australia. It has penetrated from Canberra to local communities everywhere. It shapes our legal system, our economic system, our environmental system, our political system, and even the education of our children. It is not possible to imagine a more massive political policy, yet we have been able to exclude it from the electoral agenda and our*

*official policies for 20 years. This policy is called Agenda 21, and we have been so successful that most Australians have never heard of it even though it now controls their lives and educates their children!*

*This is modern Australian democracy."*

This is the crux of the disease crippling Australia.

It's illustrated by the Lib-Lab combination that led to a tax on CO2. Both of Australia's major political parties have been complicit in undermining Australians. Powerbrokers at each party's helm decide policy. Many remaining MP's are too busy to do the research on every policy, too weak to speak and/or too distracted by media to take a stand.

A similar situation exists in America with neglect, weakness and deceit among both main parties subverting America's constitution.

From personal experience with MP's it seems many entered parliament with sound intent. They're being innocently corrupted by the party system and so-called party loyalty ahead of loyalty to voters and the country. It's the system.

The behaviour of some party leaders and/or powerbrokers raises questions about their competence and/or integrity. How could Australia fall so far so quickly? The easiest way to take down a nation is internally. How many party powerbrokers have a strong loyalty to the UN overriding their responsibility to Australia and our constitution?

National governance has failed and continues to fail. It breaches our constitution.

Government has become the pawn in stripping assets and enslaving citizens in taxation systems devised by the masters of governments: international bankers. Taxation is their cruel weapon.

#### **Key points on Australian taxation are:**

- **Banks pay no taxes on the money they create out of thin air;**
- **PAYE people work from Monday to Thursday smoko/lunch to pay for taxes, rates, and government charges;**
- **Corporate Australia is over 90% foreign owned and pays little or no tax;**
- **Individual citizens and families pay almost 90% of income tax collected;**
- **International bankers collect from almost every step: creating money out of nothing as debt, receiving bailouts created as loans repayable by taxpayers, income tax, asset stripping, inflation killing people's purchasing power, nation wrecking, ...**

#### **How will our kids get a house?**

With land controlled by UN bureaucrats in Bonn or Geneva or New York on behalf of their international banking masters how will our kids get a house?

With resources controlled by UN bureaucrats on behalf of their international banking masters how will our kids get a job?



Will our kids have freedom of choice? eg, freedom of mobility to travel independently?

Under finances controlled by international bankers will our kids have an affordable life?

Will our kids be free?

Unlike international bankers, our kids won't be allowed to steal houses.

Banjo Patterson's basic question is fundamental ...

*"It must always be remembered that we are dealing here (in life) with the simple question whether we can, by any means, be enabled to make a better living"*

**Banjo Paterson, Australian lawyer, economist, writer and bush poet**

As John MacRae said:

*"They're asking us to live off the crumbs of a rich man's table. We once owned the table and the loaf. (Australia being the table, money the loaf)."*

A great man who successfully fought the same international bankers that now drive the UN and who lost his life doing so almost 150 years ago said, quote:

*"You do not strengthen the weak by weakening the strong".*

His name? Abraham Lincoln.

# Part 6: How They Do it and Hide Control

## 20. How international bankers get away with it and hide it

Famous outspoken American politician William Jennings Bryan said:

*"Money power denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes."*

Investment by Bank of America alone in pushing climate alarm is thousands of times more than the total amount spent by sceptic organisations.

<http://joannenova.com.au/2012/06/how-green-is-your-bankster-bank-of-america-spends-50-billion-to-save-the-world/>

As we've seen above international bankers have control of state education systems, entertainment industries and mainstream media. They often control prominent politicians.

They use these to set the agenda and maintain control. They used similar strategies and tactics in their 30-40 year campaign to establish and own the USA Fed in 1913. To conceal their deceit and maintain control they employ many tactics aimed at concealing the truth by denial and/or diversion. These include the following:

- Fabricate data contradicting empirical scientific evidence;
- Sow confusion with ridiculous claims or massive amounts of irrelevant data;
- Foment unfounded fear;
- Spread misinformation;
- Smear opponents who expose their methods. Falsely smearing using lies on many topics: sexual, competence, sexuality, morality, business, taxation, industry associations, ... All while avoiding debate or discussion of their empirical scientific evidence;
- Smear those with opposing views. Disparaging people using many varied methods avoiding discussion of the core facts;
- Smear people to deter others from speaking on the same subject. That's one key reason why climate fraud has been masked as an environmental issue to deter climate sceptics and scientists from speaking out for fear of being labeled anti-environment;
- Imply "*conspiracy*" and apply ridicule—direct or subtly fabricate implied falsities. eg, Appendix 13 reveals Sydney Morning Herald journalist Mike Carlton bringing conspiracy theories and a persecuted religious group into climate discussions. See below;
- Appeal to authority by citing academic credentials or marketing supporters as experts;
- Bury facts within the confusion of meaningless jargon that sounds credible to lay people;

- Bury false claims in thousands of pages of reports not likely to be read by busy politicians and journalists. Then provide them with fraudulent political reports falsely packaged as a *scientific* Summary for Policy Makers;
- Imply falsely by dissociation. Taxpayer funded ABC-Radio allowed a radio compere Robyn Williams to associate paedophilia with those who do not believe human CO2 caused global warming. It seems that inaction by the ABC Board subsequently endorsed his implied assertions;
- Rewrite history;
- Tell lies;
- Denial of truth;
- Use celebrities and academics as ways of invoking authority. The discredited BBC uses David Attenborough to foment climate alarm. Yet this merely taints David Attenborough's brand;
- Ignore or change the subject;
- Use emotive calls to bypass people's logical reasoning;
- Use unfounded exaggerations. Initial projections stated that we needed to be afraid of two degrees of warming. In late 2012 as scepticism took root the World Bank re-entered the climate debate. Its climate report warned of four-degree temperature rises. Not to be outdone, at the same time the UN's World Meteorological Service was reported widely by media as warning of a six-degree rise. Two degree, four degree or six degree? All supposedly authoritative. Yet without any empirical scientific evidence the highest scare is three times the previously widely claimed (and unfounded) projection.
- Camouflage programs in attractive words that people are afraid to disagree with and that make it difficult to disagree with publicly. Who dares oppose *sustainability*?
- Set the agenda to redefine attractive words using government media (ABC);
- Present a glorious though unfounded future. eg, creating an impossible nirvana of unsustainable green jobs in wind farms to improve society's efficiency;
- Hide behind and fund nongovernment organisations using taxpayer funds against taxpayers;
- Co-opt ignorant reporters and vulnerable academics and drive them to take a stand using money and emotion before locking them in using simple methods to manipulate egos and using money through government grants. Government dependency creates slaves;
- Own the media. International bankers own or control through cross-directorships all three American TV networks and the most prominent newspapers in the USA. Rothschilds reportedly bought Reuters in the late nineteenth century. Later Reuters bought AAP. Both feed news to the world;
- Control the media through government control and/or cross-directorships by international bankers;
- Appoint leaders to various bodies. For example, Heather Ridout was Chief Executive of the Australian Industry Group speaking on behalf of industry. In that position she

reportedly advocated that a tax or *trading* scheme on CO<sub>2</sub> was needed to end uncertainty. Yet the uncertainty was due entirely to unfounded and unscientific calls for a tax. After providing her support for the government's CO<sub>2</sub> tax she was appointed by the government as a board member of the Reserve Bank of Australia. Her other appointments include: member of several policy-setting and advice-giving groups including Skills Australia; member of the Henry Tax Review; chair of the Productivity and Prosperity Advisory Panel of the Population Strategy Task Force; Director of the Australian Super Trustee Board; Director of the Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth; member of the board of the Climate Change Authority; an Ambassador of the Australian Indigenous Education Foundation;

- Select national leaders. According to President Franklin Roosevelt American presidents are selected not elected. British prime ministers Disraeli and Churchill and many other American leaders and international leaders make similar claims of control by hidden groups;
- Control national economies using threats of economic destruction by international bankers to economically blackmail national leaders;
- Prey on human ego and vulnerabilities in national leaders;
- Recruit those whom Lenin described as useful idiots: in this case people who fall for antihuman ideology indoctrinated in schools and movies peddling climate falsities and antihuman ideology;
- Legitimise the agenda in lay people's eyes by using and rewarding academic advocates making false claims. Most of the prominent academic advocates whose behaviours are discussed in Appendix 9 are involved in global organisations pushing a global agenda. Please refer to Appendix 9 and to many sections of Tome22 website such as <http://tome22.info/TypeViews/Persons-Index.html>. In particular note Ross Garnaut's membership of the Trilateral Commission and connections held by Tim Flannery, David Karoly and Lesley Hughes. Academics are rewarded through grants, public acknowledgment, status, career opportunities and membership on paid government bodies funded by taxpayers. All are funded by government;
- Corrupted use of the Delphi technique to create the illusion of community support;
- Bypassing or negating the court system when judges avoid assessing the science and instead assume that the USA EPA is competent and honest:  
<http://www.sepp.org/twtwfiles/2012/TWTW%20-%2010-13-12.pdf>  
And: <http://johnosullivan.wordpress.com/2012/09/23/courts-not-scientists-thrust-greenhouse-gas-science-into-law/>

Advocates of cutting CO<sub>2</sub> output often combine the above tactics with unfounded alarm. James Hansen from NASA-GISS, Tim Flannery and David Karoly often use unfounded, unscientific alarm. All are funded by taxpayers.

What's it matter? American government-funded James Hansen claimed in January 2009 that our planet would run out of time in January 2013. Fortunately his alarming forecasts are notoriously wrong.

<http://www.climatedepot.com/a/19129/Alert-NASAs-James-Hansen-Declared-Obama-Has-One-Week-Left-To-Save-The-Planet--On-Jan-17-2009-Hansen-declared-Obama-only-has-four-years-to-save-Earth--Only-7-Days-left>

## Using falsities, smear and ridicule to deter discussion

Another tactic of advocates for cutting human CO2 has been to bury those who disagree in smears or implied smears, ridicule and/or falsities. Stating scary falsities and implied claims to set the agenda while smearing opposing views frightens dissenters from debate. As the momentum builds it soon becomes difficult for those who disagree to be heard.

Al Gore's movie *An Inconvenient Truth* subtly and cleverly uses these and other tactics. He implies that those who disagree with his view are dishonest, incompetent, pushing a vested interest and/or improperly using *old science*.

Funded by government and international bankers those initially setting the agenda on global warming (aka climate change) set the stage and the tone. Using the power of authority figures such as government or supposed academic experts or international agencies or movie celebrities they have a head start. Dissenters found it difficult to gain opportunities for objective response.

In my experience humans are generally trusting because we see others as similar to our self. Even though we know some people tell lies, we tend to assume others are telling the truth. Secondly, humans tend to believe what we hear first.

Con-men prey on the inherent goodness of people. Al Gore's movie preyed on people's trust and inherent care for our planet. Our trusting nature makes us vulnerable to propaganda.

A colleague said, quote:

*"The Big Lie of Climate Change Economics can be explained in one sentence. In his book UFOs, JFK, and Elvis: Conspiracies you don't have to be crazy to believe, Richard Belzer states it this way:*

*"If you tell a lie that's big enough, and you tell it often enough, people will believe you are telling the truth, even when what you are saying is total crap."*

Mark Twain is quoted as saying:

*"A lie can travel halfway around the world while the truth is putting on its shoes."*

Winston Churchill is quoted as saying:

*"A lie gets halfway around the world before the truth has a chance to get its pants on."*

Fortunately Nature intervened and as it became clear that human CO2 is not warming the planet, people are seeking sceptics providing empirical scientific evidence.

When Nature confounds their scary forecasts, beneficiaries of climate alarm then Confuse, Control and Contain.

Today in the west, taxpayer-funded spin has replaced the jack-boot and rifle butt. It is not physically violent yet its insidious subtlety makes it arguably more effective. Under past totalitarian regimes when people saw their neighbours disappear they guessed what was happening. Yet when people today learn their neighbour is subtly ridiculed by the authority of government they're less inclined to suspect mischief.

That's the subtle power of today's media-savvy, media-manipulating taxpayer-funded government. Even in a country like Australia with only 22 million people, government is eager to spend hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars employing press secretaries to write headlines and stories for overwhelmed reporters grateful for assistance. Or pay for advertising on prime-time television.

Many journalists publicly express their concern that the media has been hijacked.

### **Avoiding debate and accountability**

Advocates of cutting CO2 avoid debates. Despite sceptic scientists offering to debate, their offers are rarely accepted. Al Gore refuses six-figure appearance fees to debate. He even cancels commitments when subsequently learning he is to share the podium or TV studio with a sceptic.

My own experience is telling. On October 7<sup>th</sup>, 2010 as the sole climate sceptic I shared the podium with four advocates of cutting human CO2. One was Greens senator-elect Larissa Waters. Another was Kellie Caught, Australian Manager for Climate Change. During the forum I issued a challenge to debate. Both immediately stood up to refuse my invitation. Why?

### **Using claims of conspiracy theories to deflect attention and discredit**

People who raise facts about international bankers, Bilderberg Group, Trilateral Commission, Council On Foreign Relations, Club of Rome or UN are often smeared with direct or subtly implied ridicule as conspiracy theorists.

Implied conspiracy theories are increasingly being seen for what they are: diversions. As a result, use of such diversions is increasingly overdone. Australian government minister Penny Wong subtly implied ABC reporter Chris Uhlmann was barking at conspiracy theories when in reality he was asking her a simple though awkward question. See here:

<http://www.abc.net.au/7.30/content/2012/s3658870.htm>

Penny Wong deflected, subtly implying an association between Chris Uhlmann's question and conspiracy theories.

Increasingly the public can see that stated or implied attribution of conspiracy theories often reveals a tactic to avoid discussion or to dismiss a valid topic through ridicule. People can now see this.

Quotes by Franklin Roosevelt, John F. Kennedy, Woodrow Wilson, George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and other American Presidents joined by Sir Winston Churchill and Benjamin Disraeli would seem, in the eyes of some current reporters and politicians to qualify them as conspiracy nuts.

An associated tactic to avoid discussion of the international banking scam is to falsely smear or imply those raising the topic as being anti-Jewish. In such cases, raising religion is an unfounded furphy designed to distract. The reality is that international bankers come from a variety of religions. As with use of the phrase *conspiracy theory* those implying allegations of religious persecution are using an emotive issue to avoid discussion and/or discredit their opposition.

Refer to analysis of Mike Carlton's falsely implied smears in his Sydney Morning Herald article discussed in Appendix 13.

Increasingly, from such statements or implied statements media viewers and readers are learning more about the accuser than about the person they're accusing.

The public is awakening. The more ridicule and condemnation received by people revealing facts on climate and government, the more quickly the public realises that the ridiculers are afraid of truth and cannot argue the facts.

Will journalists reading this report approach it objectively considering and checking facts and empirical scientific evidence provided? Or will they do as journalist Mike Carlton did and smear others and me by falsely raising a persecuted religion or ridicule others and me by falsely implying conspiracies? Or will they do as ABC-Radio's Robyn Williams did smearing all sceptics by implying we're a combination of paedophilia, asbestos and cigarette smoking? Or will they ignore my qualifications, background and five years independent voluntary work after years working across all industry sectors to focus on my earlier career in mining. Or will they fabricate a falsity about my marriage or spiritual practice (meditation) or taxation or motives or friends and acquaintances or ...?

Or will readers have the courage to objectively assess my facts? Fortunately, we live in an age when speakers who ignore facts and speak ill of another reveal to their audience more about the speaker than their target.

### **Bypassing air-conditioning in America's congressional hearings so media broadcast sweaty witnesses on normal hot humid Washington summer day**

Former American Democrat Senator Tim Wirth admitted to bypassing air-conditioning on a normal hot, humid summer day in Washington, DC during congressional hearings. Media broadcast sweaty witnesses to conjure the feeling of unusual warming.

The ruse was driven by the need to give a faltering Democratic presidential candidate an electoral boost. Quote from interview:

*"Interviewer: What else was happening that summer? What was the weather like that summer?"*

*Senator Wirth: Believe it or not, we called the Weather Bureau and found out what historically was the hottest day of the summer. Well, it was June 6 or June 9 or whatever it was, so we scheduled the hearing that day, and bingo: It was the hottest day on record in Washington, or close to it. It was stiflingly hot that summer. [At] the same time you had this drought all across the country, so the linkage between the Hansen hearing and the drought became very intense.*

*Simultaneously [Mass. Gov. Michael] Dukakis was running for president. Dukakis was trying to get an edge on various things and was looking for spokespeople, and two or three of us became sort of the flacks out on the stump for Dukakis, making the separation between what Democratic policy and Republican policy ought to be. So it played into the presidential campaign in the summer of '88 as well.*

*So a number of things came together that, for the first time, people began to think about it. I knew it was important because there was a big article in, I believe, the Swimsuit Issue of Sports Illustrated on climate change. [Laughs.] So there was a correlation. You figure,*

*well, if we're making Sports Illustrated on this issue, you know, we've got to be making some real headway.*

*Interviewer: And did you also alter the temperature in the hearing room that day?*

*Senator Wirth: ... What we did it was went in the night before and opened all the windows, I will admit, right? So that the air conditioning wasn't working inside the room and so when the, when the hearing occurred there was not only bliss, which is television cameras in double figures, but it was really hot. ...*

*So Hansen's giving this testimony, you've got these television cameras back there heating up the room, and the air conditioning in the room didn't appear to work. So it was sort of a perfect collection of events that happened that day, with the wonderful<sup>11</sup> Jim Hansen, who was wiping his brow at the witness table and giving this remarkable testimony."*

Public Broadcasting (PBS) in America is the source.

<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/hotpolitics/interviews/wirth.html>

This reminds of *Wag the Dog* movie.

Global warming and fraudulent climate alarm started in the UN as a means for international bankers to gain control of energy and consolidate more control over finances. Many people like Senator Tom Wirth, Britain's Tony Blair and Australia's Kevin Rudd used it for electoral advantage. Others like John Howard, Tony Abbott and Julia Gillard were chased into changing their views, again for electoral advantage. With their bandwagons rolling, academics, celebrities and media jumped on board. Al Gore and the UN IPCC implied that anyone disagreeing was anti-environment. Real scientists became afraid to speak for fear of losing grants or being tarnished in the media as anti-environment. Dissenting politicians became afraid of media branding them anti-environment ...

Adapting a statement from author Robert Heinlein:

*"Do not assume malice or conspiracy when an explanation of stupidity is sufficient."*

## **Harnessing powerful drivers of human behaviour**

From what I've seen and experienced working and living in Australia and overseas there are five<sup>12</sup> broad groups of behaviour drivers within organisations:

- Individual personal patterns of response developed largely during the first six years after birth and entrenched by puberty. These are particularly important in the leaders whose beliefs shape systems and whose behaviours reveal values;
- Past experience;

---

<sup>11</sup> That's how a normal hot, humid summer day in Washington DC is converted to public fear of global warming. With the assistance of supposed *scientist* James Hansen who has since been exposed for corrupting data, serial misrepresentations of climate science and contradicting empirical scientific evidence.

<sup>12</sup> Ignoring genetics, national culture and similar factors.



- Systems driving behaviour to shape attitudes and culture. These can be unidentified, unintentional or subtle. They can be designed to subtly or openly drive specific behaviours and thereby develop or change attitudes;
- Leadership. The subject of leaders' attention is often a powerful driver.
- Universal Human Needs. Beneath every behaviour is a need. The preceding four drivers are shaped by and in turn shape perceived needs.

Some examples of key drivers follow to illustrate. The list is not comprehensive.

## Systems

**Changing key systems driving behaviour changes behaviour and then changes people's attitudes. This is very powerful and often subtle. The significance is that as behaviours change people become conditioned and attitudes change to be consistent with behaviour. Systems are very powerful drivers of behaviour and shapers of attitudes.**

Many managers, executives and directors manage and lead as if unaware of this powerful fact. To illustrate consider the impact of Random Breath Testing in Australia to reduce driving of cars by drivers under the influence of alcohol. Concerned by road fatalities, governments initially legislated against driving under the influence of alcohol. This had little impact under the cultural norm toward drinking in Australia 40-50 years ago. Drinking was seen as macho and almost worn as a badge of honour. The legislation was not enforced and thus not really implemented. That effectively condoned drink-driving. Alarmed by the rising road toll governments then started educating drivers through advertising logical facts on drinking under the influence of alcohol. eg, 65% of fatalities involved drinking. Under cultural peer-pressure at the time behaviours didn't change.

Governments then changed their message slightly: they did what canny politicians do: they used emotion to sell their message. This raised awareness of drink driving yet due to peer-pressure had little impact. Then Victoria became the first state in the world to introduce Random Breath Testing, RBT. Instantly behaviours started changing: Aussie males started taking their wives or girlfriends out on Friday and Saturday nights. Seriously, social commentator Hugh Mackay acknowledges this significant social change. Drinkers started using buses, taxi's, car-pools with assigned drivers, ...

The significant factor is not that a punitive system changed behaviour. That's easy to do. The significance is that people's attitudes eventually changed as a result of changed behaviour. Today drinking under the influence of alcohol is generally seen as irresponsible. That's a far cry from Australia in the sixties.

People do not go through life doing things not consistent with their beliefs. If behaviours cannot be changed to align with attitudes, attitudes are gradually modified to align with behaviour. The legislation did not legislate an attitude change, it legislated a behaviour change. That drove the attitude change that followed the behaviour change.

A colourful illustration is the Story of the Five Apes:  
[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1418\\_FiveApes.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1418_FiveApes.pdf)

With antihumanism taught in schools, consider the attitudes being developed in children and being carried into adulthood across the country. Future leaders will have antihuman beliefs as the basis for policy and as justification for legislating excessive and even brutal controls.

Systems can be designed to drive positive behaviours. In my experience in business, systems coercively driving behaviour can be redesigned to drive productive behaviour and enthusiasm in positive ways. In many businesses and organisations by far the most powerful system driving behaviour is the Measurement, Analysis and Reporting system. In conventional businesses these are often based on conventional accounting systems designed for the ease of accountants and reports to securities regulators such as America's SEC and Australia's ASIC. They're not designed for effective leadership of people. Conventional measurement and reporting drives corrosive or benign behaviours - and poor decisions. Volume of data is no substitute for sound analysis. In many businesses, it's really just data recording and summarising—giving the delusion of knowing what's happening! That's Black Box Management. Counterproductive behaviours shape counterproductive attitudes and entrench gulfs between management and people.

Instead when measurement, analysis and reporting systems are aligned with core processes and business drivers people measure and analyse correctly for solid understanding. That drives sound decisions and effective actions to improve productivity by improving processes and developing supportive, productive attitudes and culture.

To illustrate, here are just a few examples of systems driving behaviour in Australia's governance include:

- Taxation systems. Most drive inefficiency triggering avoidance and dishonesty or stifling initiative;
- Legislating statements on every new car providing the quantity of CO2 produced. Eventually people come to believe that CO2 is harmful;
- Changing formulae for issuing government grants to drive research outcomes supportive of government ideology;
- Party pre-selection and parliamentary voting systems to control MP's;
- Legislation contradiction fundamentals of law such as innocence until proven guilty. eg, some traffic infringements are now automatically posted to Aussies in the mail with no contact by police and no discussion at the time of alleged offence;
- Legislation contradicting the national constitution so people forget that our constitution is our governance document;
- Subverting the constitution by using foreign (UN) requirements not ratified by parliament or the people yet changing the role of states and the commonwealth. It's happening: <http://www.rightsidenews.com/2013010831714/life-and-science/culture-wars/america-is-being-systematically-transformed-into-a-totalitarian-society.html>  
It's happening in Australia: [http://www.andysrant.com/2012/09/total-surveillance.html?utm\\_source=feedburner&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_campaign=Feed%3A+AndysRant+%28Andy%27s+RANT%21%29](http://www.andysrant.com/2012/09/total-surveillance.html?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+AndysRant+%28Andy%27s+RANT%21%29)
- Measuring implementation of plans is a powerful driver of behaviour and developer of blind commitment to unquestioned goals. Australia's progress toward many UN goals is

reported in reports funded by Aussie taxpayers and prepared by bureaucrats. See above in section 13;

- Education systems in children's formative years. Arguably the world's foremost expert on human development through six decades of objective observation of children is Maria Montessori. She stated that the key years for formation of both character and intellect are birth to six. Separately, Hitler and the Jesuits endorsed this conclusion. Governments prey on it in education through to the age of 18. Henry Lamb's succinct yet comprehensive five-part series reveals UN methods. In Part 5 he discloses that the UN's Core (global) Curriculum for schools teaches the evils of national sovereignty and praises global collectivism (communism)  
<http://shelf3d.com/hVR3BPM5dU4#The%20Rise%20of%20Global%20Governance%20-%20Part%205>

## Leadership

People tend to focus on and value the focus of their leaders' attention.

- Leaders staying *on message* to keep consistent focus;
- Leaders' behaviour reveals her/his values and influence values across an organisation or country;
- Working through other groups and individuals so people are never aware of the identity of those pushing the agenda;
- International bankers have reportedly selected prominent politicians who have a blemish in their past. Once installed in a leadership position bankers can use the blemish to blackmail those politicians into pushing a desired agenda;
- Fabricating academic and/or expert panels to endorse an agenda;
- Promoting leaders directly through funding election campaigns and indirectly through favourable media reports and endorsement by experts or celebrities, massive media promotions and visibility. When Helen Clark was booted out as prime minister of New Zealand after installing a CO2 *trading* scheme she was rewarded with the third highest role at the UN;

## Universal Human Needs

- Wrapping policies as the optimal way to meet voters' needs;
- Emotive propaganda repeated through many varied media using emotional messages conveying ways for people to meet deep needs for belonging and acceptance;
- Replacing religious beliefs with the *religion* of environmentalism to meet people's needs for connection, care and contribution. Religion relies on faith whereas science relies on empirical observation. Although some religions by their nature have led to oppression as vehicles for control the world's major religions have simultaneously provided a moral code by which people can live in peace and goodwill. Fascists seeking control hijack religions. That reveals the power of religion. It does not though diminish the benefit to millions of organised religion. It does not erase the right of people to believe in a God or gods if that is people's choice. Nor our right to not belong to a

religion or to be guided instead by a philosophy. It's deceitful to break down the moral code of mainstream religion rooted in spiritual predecessors such as Jesus Christ and Buddha and presumably Muhammad by replacing them with an immoral code rooted in control. That's ultimately self-defeating because humanity will pay the price;

- Corrupted use of the Delphi technique to create the illusion of community support: [http://www.learn-usa.com/transformation\\_process/acf001.htm](http://www.learn-usa.com/transformation_process/acf001.htm) This and variations of it are techniques used in implementing UN Agenda 21 by subtly manipulating people. The corrupted Delphi Technique is a method of persuading a group of people into falsely perceiving that the facilitator's hidden agenda was the group's and community's idea. It's used by trained ICLEI facilitators steering community meetings and council meetings to push UN Agenda 21. Separately, Tim Flannery's effectiveness as a speaker and artful persuasive use of emotions and images overrides his many contradictions of empirical scientific evidence. See Appendix 9;

Notice the comments of Peter Holmes a Court writing on the World Economic Forum meeting in Davos during January, 2013 here: [www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1419\\_Davos.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1419_Davos.pdf). He illustrates many of the traits raised above to sanctify and endorse the Davos meeting. Those traits are revealed in my responses to his quotes from his article. Is he complicit in the push for global control or, borrowing Lenin's phrase, is he innocently acting as another "useful idiot"?

Perhaps Maurice Newman's article of January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2013 answer's that question here: <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/opinion/lifting-lid-on-a-ponzi-scheme/story-e6frgd0x-1226559587756>

**UN conferences masquerading as science are really about politics, coalition building and camouflaging the global governance agenda. Are conferences by other global organisations on topics such as economics similarly focussed on manipulating people?**

Retired journalist Tony Thomas quotes senior CSIRO scientist Dr. Mark Stafford advocating global governance while attending a UN conference, quote:

*"There was now a need for a "constitutional moment", like that in the 1940s which saw the establishment of the World Bank and other institutions, including the International Monetary Fund, to drive the new UN council, he said."*

Is Dr. Stafford aware of the IMF's and World Bank's roles in antihuman activities that some have labelled genocide? Quoting Tony Thomas:

*"The patrons for the London conference were the usual UN apparatchiks, activist and industry reps, academics, and a couple of standouts: our own Climate Comedian – sorry, Climate Commissioner - Tim Flannery and Phil Bloomer, director of campaigns and policy for Oxfam, a charity celebrated for its "75-million-climate-refugees" howler concerning Pacific islanders, whose population is only 7 million in the first place."*

<http://www.quadrant.org.au/blogs/doomed-planet/2012/09/the-csiro-sold-us-a-pup>

Please note:

- Activists' material has become quoted as scientific facts;
- Statement from CSIRO scientists contradicting empirical science;

- Attendance by 40 CSIRO *scientists* and Tim Flannery, funded by taxpayers.

## **Conclusion**

International bankers do not plot every detail. They develop strategies based on key aims and targets. Then they prey on events and opportunities as they arise and do so aligned with their overall aims.

Occasionally, they use their power to create opportunities such as taking down a country economically to take control economically or financially. Sometimes they merely push for UN conferences to be organised. Setting the agenda and determining outcomes in advance they cultivate and allow Lenin's *Useful idiots* to do the hard work for them. Money talks.

Some conclude that communists have won the battle for America. A surprising source confirms: [http://english.pravda.ru/opinion/columnists/19-11-2012/122849-obama\\_soviet\\_mistake-0/](http://english.pravda.ru/opinion/columnists/19-11-2012/122849-obama_soviet_mistake-0/)

Information is power. The Internet has rapidly improved information sharing and awareness. This is lessening government control of information. Realising this threat, the UN now seeks to control and limit the internet:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/australian-it/un-bid-to-control-the-internet-stalls/story-e6frgakx-1226536553203>

Control begets more control.

Some antidotes include:

- Gathering information and converting that to knowledge and then further to understanding;
- Exercising freedom to think and to express thoughts and ideas. Maintaining freedom requires that it be exercised;
- Converting awareness to deeper consciousness.

# Our Huge Opportunity for All Australians to Share in Australia's Wealth

## 21. The reality of human existence reveals our core opportunity

Antihuman ideology and demands to cut human population share many similarities with fraudulent global warming including:

- Its advocates include the same groups such as the UN, Greens, vested interests, ideologues and activists taking extreme positions pushing political agenda;
- They contradict real-world data on what's actually happening;
- It's backed by self-proclaimed experts and academics like Paul Ehrlich who contradict real-world data;
- It's driven by the Club of Rome, the World Bank, the UN and international bankers such as Rockefeller and Rothschilds banking interests;
- It's endorsed by academics and celebrities;
- It's taught in schools to children;
- Its pushers are in senior government positions such as President Obama's Science Adviser, John Holdren. Many are connected with international bankers through Goldman Sachs. It's become pseudo-science that has infected and over-run politics;
- It uses similar tactics to smear those who disagree;
- It has the same purpose and goal: control by the few through unelected and imposed global governance.

As with fraudulent global warming antihumanism relies on faith-based preaching.

Thomas Malthus implied that humans should be culled. Combined with other claims contradicting real-world data, key American Presidents and bureaucrats have spent billions of dollars on killing or injuring hundreds of millions of people and brutally forcing sterilisation and/or abortions on tens of millions of people. Misguided, unethical and inhumane eugenicists have barbarically hurt and maimed hundreds of millions of people.

Canadian author Elaine Dewar reveals that the perpetrators have connections with NGO's such as WWF. (Appendix 15). Together they use and spread unfounded fear and guilt to justify control. They divert money, attention, energy and medical resources to a non-problem, carbon dioxide (CO2). Their actions and diversions kill millions of people and prolong poverty and misery. It hurts the poor. It's keeping Africa in poverty, violence and racism pitting race against race.

Their antihuman methods continue leading to over-regulation and control of people. It controls fundamentals of life such as sex, eating, birth, and reproduction.

Dangerously, it continues leading to systems driving inhumane behaviour and thereby changing people's attitudes so that life is not valued. It's causing murder. It led to and caused the birth of ideologies like Nazism, a product of pessimism and antihumanism. It enabled Mao and Stalin to murder tens of millions of people.

Only in inflexible dictatorships does mass civilian life become uncertain: Ukraine 1930's, China 1960's, and North Korea today.

It's caused hundreds of millions of lives to be lost. It's caused enormous social problems that will last for generations through imbalance of societies and nations. It's led to savage and brutal practices inflicted on the poor. Its led to policies that are: racist, wasteful of resources, dishonest, inflicting brutality on millions of people, coercive, medically irresponsible and negligent, cruelly callous, abusive of human rights and dignity, ... It's being used to justify dictatorial control and justification of force including the killing millions of people and the smashing of property rights.

Some extremists justify controls by falsely claiming that they prevent ecocide. They need to be careful as the world awakes to the fact that preventing unsubstantiated ecocide is actually causing genocide.

Greens politician Clive Hamilton recently said that taking action on global warming might require suspending democracy in Australia.

It's based on the debilitating and false belief that for a person to have something someone else misses out. They imply it's a zero-sum game. For every successful person someone else must lose.

That is insanity. Wrapped falsely as benefitting people. Yet what they religiously preach contradicts reality and harms people.

Instead, thanks to inherent human care and creativity, the reality is that one plus one is much more than two. That is because humans are more than material objects. We come with a heart and brain, a creative mind, an energised spirit and willing hands.

Human creativity creates new resources. It drives ingenuity. It enables and energises entrepreneurs and innovation. It lifts and even removes limitations.

We now have more resources than ever before: mineral, energy, forestry, agricultural, food, scientific, technical, material, medical, social, intellectual, spiritual, physical, ...

Currently more people have more food than ever before. A higher percentage than ever before are adequately fed. Matt Ridley shows that the typical poor today live better than did royalty just 200 years ago in terms of: life expectancy, ease, health, nutrition, mobility, communication, care, ... and with greater care for the environment.

Thomas Malthus and his followers see humans as objects, matter. Yet we are much more than bodies. They ignore the human mind and spirit. They ignore our creativity, compassion, care, connectivity, communion and love. All of these are inherent in humans and in humanity.

History proves our creativity, care, compassion and connectivity are best unleashed in freedom. Our hearts confirm this.

Freedom is crucial for the environment and humanity. It's not coincidence that science, individual liberty, and the industrial revolution were born virtually simultaneously. It's not coincidence that they were and continue to be liberated by high-energy-density, cost-effective, reliable, environmentally responsible and economically productive fuels containing carbon or possibly uranium.

Our reasoning skills, knowledge, understanding, creativity, care, compassion, connection and communion are magnified with trade of ideas. Trade of ideas is even more powerful than trade in commerce.

Matt Ridley shares obvious solid real-world data that proves the importance of free exchange.

We're the only species on Earth with the analytical and reasoning skills to be able to identify when our behaviour will affect our future or the future of other species. We then seek to understand the causes to prevent imbalance. All other species that become successful remain ignorant of their impact. They are kept in check only by death as the exhausted environment regains equilibrium.

Why are extremist greens ideologues implying that they should be in control of evolution on Earth? Why do they claim the role of being master of the Universe? Instead, could it be that human awareness, reasoning and consciousness are part of evolution on Earth? Earth progressed through geological evolution bringing stability and then the introduction of life and now ongoing evolution of life forms. Couldn't development of consciousness be another future stage of our planet's journey?

Why do socialists assume they know Earth's plan? Why do they have such a low opinion of humans that they advocate controlling people? Why do they need to be the controllers? Why do they rely on opinion and religious faith contradicting empirical scientific evidence and observation? Without objective evidence how do they define their position is sound? On what basis do they appoint themselves as rulers?

Since one's view of others reflects one's view of oneself, do socialists' policies reflect negative views about themselves? If so, we need to be compassionate.

We've been so bashed and brainwashed with negative antihuman stories about humanity that we don't see the obvious. Humanity is improving in every field including and especially the environment.

Our previously listed human traits enable trade, exchange of ideas and specialisation. These increase productivity so we all get more for less effort. I can build a house yet I'm better at other things. So I become more productive and those who like building houses become more productive. Then we trade what we have to offer. Our combined productivity is far higher. Our satisfaction is much greater. Our combined knowledge as a society is enormous. So is our combined wisdom.

In turn, trade further improves ideas, combines ideas and develops new knowledge. Society and civilisation benefits from trading ideas and the fruits of our skills.



Combining free exchange, trade, cheap energy and minimal government restricted to protecting life and property will dramatically raise productivity and everyone's wealth. Improvements will continue to develop at accelerating rates.

Freedom is crucial to caring for the environment and humanity. It's essential spiritually, physically, socially and economically.

Our core problem is big government controlling, corrupting and restricting through rules, restrictions and regulations. National government taking states' rights and making regulations intrudes into and controls many areas of our lives. Examples include the CO2 tax and global governance.

Global governance is already intruding and destroying initiative and efficiency. It's working at national level ceding our sovereignty. It's infested state government policy. It's working at local level restricting private property rights.

Bureaucracy replaces the multiplication of human creativity among millions of independent hearts and minds with the narrow view of a few bureaucrats with their own agenda ignoring people's universal human needs. Inherently lacking accountability they're vulnerable to being twisted, pushed and pulled by vested interests. Under the weight of large government, such vulnerability is often hidden from politicians chasing personal and/or political agenda under the thumb of party powerbrokers.

Under the money fraud and the climate fraud bureaucracy leads to greater corruption.

Robert Zubrin reveals that antihuman ideas and programs represent a war against human freedom and global solidarity:

*"If the world's resources are fixed with only so much to go around, then each new life is unwelcome, each unregulated act or thought is a menace, every person is fundamentally the enemy of every other person, and each race or nation is the enemy of every other race or nation. The ultimate outcome of such a worldview can only be enforced stagnation, tyranny, war, and genocide."*

Historian Jacob Burckhardt says it's a terrible simplifier to reduce people to mere matter.

Matt Ridley reveals that combined with free exchange of ideas and free opportunity to implement ideas, humans multiply each other's efforts. As a result the reality is that in all main measures of our society from lifespan to nutrition to environment to ... all measures are improving. In all measures their rate of improvement is accelerating.

Each newborn human come with a mouth and a belly. More significantly each newborn arrives with a creative, spirited mind and heart. That is the reason why humanity is leaping ahead in every meaningful material measure.

Humans are capable of accepting freedom. Our creativity, compassion, care, connectivity, communion and love are inherent within each of us and enable us to thrive.

## **Antihumanism and control hurt the environment**

The greatest enemies of the environment are war, ignorance and poverty. The UN's global agenda via destruction of the middle class and control by bureaucrats and corrupt politicians is cultivating such enemies of the environment and of humanity.

UN advocacy and actions in many areas hurt humanity and the environment. Antihumanism is collapsing developed nations into poverty. Antihumanism and control destroy the environment.

Instead of reducing developed nations to being on a par with undeveloped nations we need to lift undeveloped nations to the level of developed nations. Abraham Lincoln said, quote: *"You do not strengthen the weak by weakening the strong"*.

Nowhere is that clearer than in the area of societal development and national economic development.

Identifying our core problem leads to discovering our core opportunity: For the sake of the environment and humanity we need to dump antihumanism and self-hatred cultivated by eco-extremists and replace them with understanding of fine inherent human traits. We need be proud of these traits.

If one believes in the reality that we are of our consciousness, there is no limit. There is no need for harmful and inhumane artificial limits.

We need remember that deep within we are caring, creative, compassionate, connected and loving. It's natural for us to love. We need to return to the source of that enormous love within each of us. It connects us with each other and with our real Self, with each other and with our universe. Then instead of fear we have enormous power and support from the universe.

We can tap our universe's abundance and wisdom. We can return to its inherent freedom. History proves it. Science proves it. Experience proves it. Freedom.

Only in freedom can creativity and science flourish.

Our core opportunity is to return government to its only legitimate role: the protection of life and property.

There exist four domains of governance in Australia. These are:

- National
- State
- Local
- Family and personal.

The most important is personal governance. It's the fundamental reason and grantor for all other domains. It grants rights to all other domains. We are each sovereigns.

Under Australia's constitution the most important domain for delivery of governance to the individual and family is the state.

Humans are not a blight on Earth. Nor are humans in control of Earth. To think so is fanciful ignorance and delusion. Humans did not create our species. Humans were not plopped **onto** the environment. We evolved **within** Earth's environment. We are perfectly adapted to it for one reason: we evolved within and are a creation of Earth's natural environment. The universe created and shaped us: physically, sexually, mentally, emotionally, socially, spiritually, ...

Earth's is a highly dynamic environment. Life on Earth will always be on the edge. There will always be storms perceived fear as extreme yet really the norm. That is our planet's inherent nature. When Earth's boundaries of variability change under Nature, species either adapt to the new limits or die. Expert geologists reveal that four times in Earth's past Nature almost destroyed all life on our planet through enormous geological or cosmic events. Each time life bounced back.

Had our ancestors not survived, we would not be here. Our existence is proof that we survived Earth's variation and diversity.

Storms, meteors, fires, droughts, floods, ... are a characteristic of Earth. Species that survive such events continue.

Does some people's fear of death cause them to think we can prevail over Nature? Do they wish we had such power?

Our body's death and passing are inevitable. Humans though have unique abilities to explore and more deeply understand our consciousness. Is that our purpose on Earth? Is that why we're here?

Or are we here to be slaves to international bankers?

How can the extreme environmental movement be utopian when it's antihuman? It can't be. Sadly, extreme environmental ideologues, activists and Lenin's *Useful Idiots* have discredited one of the world's most important movements: the genuine environmental movement.

Contrary to the views of Karl Marx and socialists, people do not exist for the benefit of the state. Government exists for the benefit of the people. The state is the creation of the people. Government exists to serve the people. From the unanimous declaration of independence by the United States of America, quote:

*"Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed"*

Effectively, the people are the sovereign.

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1420\\_DeclarationOfIndependence.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1420_DeclarationOfIndependence.pdf)

It has been said that if there were no central banks there'd be no major wars because governments wouldn't be able to pay for them.

Seizing our opportunity will bring: freedom, creativity, greater economic wealth for all peoples, restoring western democracies to the path of scientific progress, understanding and enlightenment, peace, plenty, ...

## 22. Concluding comments and questions

Remember reality as George Henry shared through Robert Zubrin:

*the difference between the animal and the man. Both the hawk and the man eat chickens, but the more hawks the fewer chickens, while the more men the more chickens.*

Despite this, Henry Louis Mencken observes, quote:

*"The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed (and hence clamorous to be led to safety) by menacing it with an endless series of hobgoblins, all of them imaginary."*

Prior to this appendix we knew WHAT advocates of climate alarm are doing on climate, we knew WHO is doing it, WHEN they do it, WHERE they do it and HOW they do it. We now know WHY they push climate fraud. They're pushing global control.

Both main Australian political parties reveal similar behaviour on key issues. Both implemented the Lima Agreement. Both assisted or surrendered to international bankers on currency and enabled international bankers to take control of Australian money. Both perpetuate the corruption of climate science. Both contradict empirical scientific evidence to promote policies for cutting human CO2 production and controlling energy. Is that sufficient grounds to question whether power brokers in both major parties and the Greens are colluding?

The core problem is massive over-government through international bankers seeking to control. Alfred Jay Nock - *Memoirs Of A Superfluous Man* – 1943, quote:

*"What I was looking at was a tussle between two groups of mass-men, one large and poor, the other small and rich. As judged by the standards of a civilised society, neither of them any more meritorious or promising than the other. The object of the tussle was the material gains accruing from control of the State's machinery. It is easier to seize wealth than to produce it; and as long as the State makes the seizure of wealth a matter of legalised privilege, so long will the squabble for that privilege go on."*

Elimination of free choice replaced by wasteful government control of resources based on fraud, smashing of property rights and theft through 'legalised' yet unlawful taxation are evidence of deceit. This is not sound reform. It's not sound governance. It is dishonesty, theft, and fraud.

How is it that Winston Churchill was aware of the sustained campaign for global governance underway for centuries yet his successors Tony Blair and David Cameron appear not? Why do Tony Blair and David Cameron both contradict empirical scientific evidence to support taking action to cut human CO2? Why do Julia Gillard and Tony Abbott both contradict scientific evidence to support taking action to cut human CO2?

Private citizen Lionel Griffin posts on his blog, quote:

*"Truth demonstrates and enables. Faith can only assert, force compliance, and disable. It is the difference between an engineer who makes things that work and a priesthood aligned with thugs enforcing their will with lies, distortions, clubs, swords, guns, bombs, etc...."*

<http://lkgnet.com/blog/12.30.12.htm>

[www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1421\\_LionelGriffin.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1421_LionelGriffin.pdf)

Are the climate policies of the government, Coalition and Greens informed policies based on solid data, sound analysis and considered judgment? No. They are misinformed—seemingly deliberately so, or at least knowingly so. This is not a basis for sound national governance.

The timidity and/or dishonesty of Australian federal MP's mirrors that of American members of congress in shirking their responsibilities to enable international bankers to form and capture their privately owned Fed.

The founding fathers of America and Australia learned from international bankers devastating Europe. The constitutions of America and Australia are designed to protect us from those same bankers that continue to control people's lives through fraud. The bankers' deceit though enabled them to fraudulently breach our constitutions.

Statements in this appendix are not theories about what may one day happen. They reveal what is happening. People in developed nations are being enslaved to international banks.

Australia and America are each being governed in breach of our constitutions and to the harm of each country and its people. Subverting national constitutions enables government to control people to the detriment of the people. Constitutional governance needs to be restored for the benefit of Aussies and Americans.

Control begets its own demise because it weakens the controller and those seeking to be beneficiaries. Already Europe and the USA are collapsing economically.

Does Iceland lead the way, quote:

*"The Icelandic people have demonstrated a way to beat the international money printers and controllers of information. The last thing entrenched usurers would want is for you to think you could also free yourself from their chains."*

<http://crazyemailsandbackstories.wordpress.com/2012/05/12/icelands-amazing-peaceful-revolution-still-not-in-the-news-backstory/>

*"They should look to Iceland. Refusing to bow to foreign interests, that small country stated loud and clear that the people are sovereign."*

<http://www.dailykos.com/story/2011/08/01/1001662/-Iceland-s-On-going-Revolution#>

History reveals that the Magna Carta, common law and our constitution give peace seekers, planet lovers and people lovers the path to end control and bring loving respectful peace and prosperity to all.

Using quotes from the UN and from the USA, Henry Lamb summarises fundamental differences between control under the UN and freedom under constitutions of free nations. Global governance according to the UN states, quote:

*"the governed derive their freedom from the consent of the government."*

The American Declaration of Independence says, quote:

*"Governments ... derive their just power from the consent of the governed."*

The UN's global governance cannot coexist with freedom. The UN, on behalf of international bankers, wants to end national sovereignty and individual freedom:

[http://www.google.com.au/search?client=safari&rls=en&q=fdr&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&redir\\_esc=&ei=pioDUd\\_mKvGwiQfbyICACg](http://www.google.com.au/search?client=safari&rls=en&q=fdr&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&redir_esc=&ei=pioDUd_mKvGwiQfbyICACg)

*"Do not assume malice or conspiracy when an explanation of stupidity is sufficient."*

**Adapted from Robert Heinlein, author**

*"Socialism in general has a record of failure so blatant that only an intellectual could ignore or evade it"*

**Dr. Thomas Sowell, economist, social theorist, political philosopher, author and Stanford University Hoover Fellow**

*If there were no central banks there'd be no major wars because governments wouldn't be able to pay for them.*

**Widely repeated from source unknown**

*"I know of no safe depository of the ultimate powers of society but the people themselves, and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion."*

**From one of America's most respected founding fathers, Thomas Jefferson**

*"Government, like fire, is a dangerous servant and a terrible master"*

**George Washington**

*"As government expands, liberty contracts"*

**Ronald Reagan**

## BEAT DECEIT—HUMANITY NOT INSANITY

- Our challenge is to restore integrity and freedom.
- Australians need to remove the UN from our country.
- The people and legislature of Alabama state awoke in 2012. They banned UN Agenda 21.

*“There’s no limit to the amount of good you can do if you don’t care who gets the credit”*

**Alabama freedom campaigner Ken Freeman quoting Ronald Reagan**

We and our children can be justifiably proud to be members of the human species.  
We are wonderfully creative and inherently loving and caring.

---

Australian researcher and speaker John MacRae’s information on taxes, treaties, tariffs and families is acknowledged and appreciated. As are his mateship and enthusiasm for our country.