Minority Sect or a

Social Force? - p. 4

"No simplicity of mind, no obscurity of station, can escape the universal duty of questioning all that we believe."

-W. K. CLIFFORD

Vol. 21, No. 43

October 22nd, 1960

Threepence

After the Monckton Report

E. RHODESIA PREPARES

Advisory Commission, headed Lord Monckton, on the Review the Constitution of Rhodesia and asaland, the white population in uthern Rhodesia have reacted plently against what they term uninterference in their airs by the British Government.

To cover up their fears that Afriwill be granted even a few man rights, they have singled out clause in the report for attack: recommendations that each terrishould be given the right to ede from the Federation within pecified period: But there seems be a great deal of confusion as to wants what except in respect privilege and white domination.

The findings of the Commission secession are, that in Northern odesia and Nyasaland, Africans mainly opposed to federation Europeans in favour; in South-Rhodesia African evidence was inly in favour and a large "vole of European opinion hostile to leration", the latter believing that will bring about too rapid an rease in the political power of

On the other hand, Sir Roy Wel-isky, the Federal Prime Minister, nd his United Federal Party who vere voted into power by a majorly, have "rejected out of hand" that he three constituent members of the Federation should be given the right

Sir Edgar Whitehead, the South-

FULHAM HOUSEHOLDERS WITHHOLD RATES AS CD PROTEST

Three Fulham people withheld part their rate this week as a protest against expenditure on Civil Defence,

They refused to pay sums from 1s. 8d. to 2s, which, they said, represented their portion of the Borough's contribution

The three are Mr. J. Bowles, of Cole-hill lane, Mrs. B. Bradford of Broom-house Road, and Mr. S. W. Wilcox of Fulham Road,

Fulham's borough treasurer has written to them: "No doubt on re-consideration and in view of the recent decision in the courts you will let me have the balance of the rates as soon as possible to avoid incurring extra recovery costs.'

The Star, 13/6/69

for Dismissal?

Bailey (Malta) Ltd. over pay increases, the union at one stage announced that it was banning overtime and night work until its claim was met. The company then issued a warning that if men did not report for overtime or night work they would be dismissed. After consul-tations with the Governor and with the Director of Labour, Mr. Rossignaud, the company agreed not to dismiss the workers involved, but to suspend them for 48 hours. It also offered to withdraw the suspension notices if the union would withdraw its ban on overtime

overtime become the grounds for dismissing a worker? What is the point of fighting for a 40-hour week if the boss has a right to insist on a worker doing an extra 20 hours overtime?

ern Rhodesian Premier, hitherto a federation supporter is now expected to lead the white secessionists.

Among the Africans themselves there are divisions of opinion on Federation, and criticism of the "moderate" leaders. The personal rivalries too within the National Democratic Party will not help the African cause already weakened by the imprisonment and exile of articulate Africans.

It is obvious that European objection to the Monckton report limited as it is in its recommendations to widen the franchise by changing the composition of the Assembly and to draw up a bill of rights in "accordance with the traditions of the English-speaking world", is that here is a conservative body issuing an indictment against methods of discrimination and exploitation.

The commission found discrimination in all parts of the Federation. but most strongly in Southern Rhodesia where there are no non-Europeans in the civil service.

Few people can believe now that the white population in Rhodesia consists only of decent people faced with an ungrateful African majority who have benefited from white civilization; and when Roy Welensky says that if merit was the yardstick for election to government there would not be any Africans in the Federal Legislature (there are now 12 Africans out of 59 representatives), he is in effect indicting his own system which limits Africans to certain jobs and degrees of education calculated to keep them servile and

More important than all the discussion on secession and Federation which are political and not human issues, is the attitude of the white minority whose claim that they have raised the standards of Africans is not backed by the facts.

Poverty and lack of constitutional rights are now acknowledged by a it. What respectable body to be the lot of the for ever.

FOR years we heard the moan that

what is more, a stooge of the gov-

ernment. All of which is quite true.

We call it a moan, simply because

it was not a protest backed by a bit

of militancy. The development of

the TV. industry (and the HP. in-

dustry) brought in its wake the

financial pressure groups who in the

name of the freedom of the screen

"persuaded" the government to permit an alternative programme to

be run by private enterprise and

financed by advertising revenue. We

understand that the result is punk

of the first order for most of the

time with a few first-class program-

mes (which appear first-class be-

cause the standard of what smothers

them is so bad). But free-enterprise,

freedom of the air, has been vindi-

cated by the operating companies

making annual profits far surpass-

ing their creators' wildest dreams.

the B.B.C. was a monopoly,

African. The minimum wage in the prosperous textile industry is £8 10s. a month, only recently established since the workers staged a strikenot all Africans are so well off.

Following the recent riots in Gwelo, Southern Rhodesia, it was reported (Guardian, Clyde Sanger) that in the town there are:

8,000 unemployed whose hunger tempts them to loot the nearest shop as soon as any chance disturbance rouses their spirits. There are scores of Africans who have been dismissed by employers for being active members of the National Democratic Party. There are 2,000 empty houses and flats in the European areas of Salisbury, and appalling over-crowding in the African townships.

However much sympathy the present Government may have for the white minority in Rhodesia, it can no longer afford to support the privileged position of the colonial and risk the censure of African states. now an important factor on the international political scene.

It is, therefore, faced with having to keep the Europeans in check now organising themselves into an "Active Citizens Force" one leader of which told an audience of 1,500 Europeans in Gwelo that "he who throws a stone will receive a bullet in return. They have learned a lot from their counterparts in South

Two European battalions and African troops have moved into the townships in Salisbury, Gwelo and Bulawayo, and the Government is pushing through legislation empowering the police to "clean out hooligans, spivs and loafers", a description which can be attached to any African suspected of holding the wrong political ideas or who refuses to be cowed by the police.

The British Government has created a monster which now chooses to go hunting on its own; we cannot feel sorry that it bites the hand of the mother who weaned What is certain, it cannot live

A Chance in a Lifetime



APPRENTICES THE

APPRENTICESHIP is a very ancient institution in this country which has always been sluggish to change in the wake of changes in methods of production and in the structure of industry and education. At no time was the state of the institution more in question than today: among apprentices, concerned with the disparity between their wage rates and the general level of wages among young earners, and with the quality of the training they receive; among would-be apprentices and their parents and teachers concerned with the pressure of the "bulge" in the birthrate, which is already reaching school-leaving age, upon the available number places; among economists and educators concerned with the relative efficiency of part-time and full-time technical education and with the changing needs of a highly developed technology; and among those sections of the trade union movement which are concerned with preserving differential earnings and restricting entry into occupations in order to maintain the premium upon craft

Strike

The Clydeside Apprentices'

The discontent amongst engineering and shipbuilding apprentices

which came to a head in the 1960 strike had been brewing for a long time. Their scale of wages ranged from 22½% of the craftsman's rate at 15 to 62½% at 20, with a supplement, won in 1952 of 5s. 6d, to 11s. In the lower-paid districts this worked out as a weekly wage ranging from £2 7s. 3d. to £3 7s. 6d. The longstanding claim by the apprentices was for a scale ranging from 524% to 90%. There were several token strikes at the beginning of the year, and at the youth conference of the Amalgamated Engineering Union on March 17 there were strong protests at the complacency of the union, and "utter disgust" was expressed at the employers' attitude. A meeting on the claim was held in Glasgow on April 20 and 500 ap-prentices demonstrated. A number of employers suspended the demonstrators, at which 4,400 journeymen on Clydeside struck in sympathy, while the boys came out again.

By April 29, 35,930 apprentices were on strike in Scotland, and the strike had spread to Sheffield, Tynsside and Manchester. On May 2 the shipbuilding employers said that they would not discuss the claim on the 6th, as previously arranged, unless the boys returned to work. The AEU leaders urged the apprentices to go back and at the Union's Blackpool Conference, the president, W. J. Carron, refused to accept a motion urging support for the strike. By this time there were 13,000 out in England, and more followed in Coventry, Bury, Hanley, Mersey-side, and Rochdale. When a deputation from Scotland and the North of England went on May 9 to the headquarters of the Confederation of Shipbuilding and Engineering Unions in London, officials told them to address their appeal to the 40 separate unions in the confederation. On May 12, when the strike had spread to Belfast and the West Riding, the employers agreed to discuss the claim on the 20th, and guaranteed no victimisation of strikers.

There were now over 60,000 ap prentices out, and a delegate conference in Liverpool decided to recommend a return to work on May 17. The increases eventually awarded ranged from 4s. at 15 years to 16s. at 20. "Certainly," argues Donald McLaren, secretary of the Clydeside Apprentices Committee, "this falls a long way short of what we were fighting for, but of one thing we are all convinced, and that is that had it not been for our determined strike action, we would not have received a penny, far less 16s."

Local Radio for the People the exciting possibilities offered by a decentralisation of sound radio in terms of how it will affect Messrs. Pve's business interests!

What we are obliged to the Cambridge firm for is the information they supply which makes clear that not only is such a project feasible, but that economically it is not one of those pye-in-the-sky projects which only millionaires or cartels can afford. For £15,000-£20,000 a station can be set up (indeed the Guardian report states that a medium wave transmitter can be bought for £1,000 and a V.H.F. one for about twice the amount). The average number of staff would be "between 6 and 15", and so far as running costs are concerned "a small town might be served for between £20,000 and £30,000 annuallyworked out as a penny per day per

According to the Pye report "The idea that each sizeable town in Britain should have its own radio station catering for its own needs . . is gathering momentum". They

De Continued on p. 3

Refusal of Overtime Grounds

IN the dispute between workers in the Malta dockyard and their employers while negotiations on the claim pro-ceeded. The union refused to agree. Since when has the refusal to work

> LAST week another kite was launched (and we suspect that behind it are the same interests who

cannot absorb all the potential advertising revenue on TV.) with the aid of a pamphlet issued by Pye Ltd., the Cambridge radio and television manufacturers, which draws our attention to the fact that no important obstacle now stands in the way of establishing in this country 100 or more local sound radio stations, serving towns of 50,000 people or more, and operating over a radius of about ten miles.

We have not seen the pamphlet, but according to a Sunday Times summary the team responsible for it, led by the managing director of Pye Telecommunications is confident that

the plan is bound to have considerable impact on future planning in the indus-try, both for its ideas and its timing: the Pilkington Committee is at work, examining the future of broadcasting. and the radio industry feels sorely in need of a release from the effects of credit restrictions.

We could not expect Messrs. Pye to be interested in our entertainment or enlightenment, for our sakes, any more than we are interested in

Continued or p. 2

He puts the blame for the stoppage squarely on the employers:

by their consistent refusal to negotiate over the past six years, by their vicious victimisation of boys who had demonstrated to bring attention to their wage claim, and-most disgusting of all -by sending threatening letters to the boys' parents, trying to enlist parents' help in their low attempts at intimida-They must accept full responsibility for what has happened and what can happen in the future.

The apprentices learned a lot from the strike. Apart from their own development, ("Boys who had never uttered more than three or four consecutive sentences, became public speakers holding forth to thousands of apprentices, arguing a direct, forceful and sincere case"), they developed a hostility towards the rigid sectionalism and demarcation between unions, and strikers belonging to all unions, and some to none. They also developed a contempt for the union leadership, and The Times, reporting the Manchester demonstration, noted that "many of the boys seemed to be almost as critical of trade unions as they were of employers.'

Progress in Rochdale

One outcome of the apprentices' strike, in Rochdale (where 300 boys came out), was the publication in August by a group of apprentices,

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP

OPEN DAILY

Open 10 a.m.-5.30 p.m. and till 5 p.m. every Saturday.]

New Books . . .

Studies in Mid-Victorian Studies in Imperialism C. A. Book Imperialism C. A. Book Imperialism Defence James Boswell 30/0 Modieval

Political Thought in Medieval
Times J. B. Morrall 12/6
Collected Essays Aldous Huxley 30/-

Health and Humanity
S. & V. Leff 30/Autobiography Mark Twain 30/Education for Barbarism in South Africa

Reprints and Cheap Editions . . .

The Varieties of Religious Experience William James 7/6
Domesday Book and Beyond
F. W. Maitland 8/6 Revolution of 1848 lla Robertson 16/-

To the Finland Station

Crime and Punishment
F. M. Dostoievsky 12/The Chemical History of a
Candle Michael Faraday 8/-

Candle Michael Faraday 8/Medame Bovary
Gustave Flaubert 8/Mister Jelly Roll Allan Lomax 10/McSoriey's Wonderful Saloon
Joseph Mitchell 10/Nijinsky R. Nijinsky 13/6
The Art of Love and Other
Love Books
Comedies Oscar Wilde 8/The Russian Revolution
A. Moorehead 3/6

Second-Hand . . .

The French Revolution H. F. B. Wheeler 6/6 Economic Geography (1941)
R. C. Whitback & V. C. Finch 12/6
America's Economic Growth
[1951] Fred Albert Shannon 12/6
With Ironside in North Russia

Andrew Souter 6/6
The Spirit of Russian Economics
(1950) J. F. Normano 5/Family Views of Tolstoy
(ed.) Aylmer Maude 5/Money Writes! Upton Sinclair 10/Conspiracy of Silence
Alex Weissberg 17/6
To Feed the Hungry Danilo Dolci 15/-

Periodicals . . .

Views and Comments, August 6d. Id No. 2 1/6

Send for our second-hand book list of:— Fiction, Poetry, Drama, Biography and Anthologies.

We can supply ANY book required, books searched for—and frequently hisher's name if possible, but if not, we can find it. Scarce and out-of-print including text-books. Please supply pub-

Postage free on all Items Obtainable from

17a MAXWELL ROAD FULHAM LONDON SW6

Tel: Renown 3736

of the first number of a monthly journal Progress, which by its third issue reached a circulation of 500. Its editors urge "that Rochdale apprentices should form a Pioneer committee which will be an example to the rest of the country". A shop steward, welcoming the suggestion, writes in the second issue:

"This is a matter which has been delayed too long as none or very little interest has in the past been shown to apprentices both in their internal troubles in these works, or in their external troubles with the National Execuof our national unions, least of all the AEU. Take for example the muddle and delay over the vicious and wicked attack of the firm against the apprentices. The much vaunted question of indentures is a matter which is still not settled although we have got some measure of satisfaction by getting these indentures withdrawn, but an active and virile apprentice committee could, and probably would, have obtained some measure of satisfaction long before relations at home for some apprentices became very strained .

An editorial discusses the organisational bases for such a committee and for a national committee of apprentices. It must cut across union demarcation lines, its delegates must be subject to recall from their respective shop committees in order to prevent "the form of bureaucratic dictatorship which is common in our national unions. The control of such committees must come from below and not from above as is the case in state-socialism and capitalist societies." Finally, Progress sets out three points, the achievement of which it sees as the aims of such a committee:

- (a) Day school for all apprentices.
- (b) A £3 10s. minimum wage for a 15-year-old apprentice.

(c) The abolition of cheap labour, These three points: education, remuneration and exploitation have always been the sensitive spots of the apprenticeship system. Is he being adequately taught, and at the same time adequately paid for his services? Is his status of pupilage being abused?

Cheap Labour?

It is alleged in Progress that some local firms are using their apprentices as "apprentice labourers"pushing a truck around the works or packing boxes with odds and

"The apprentices who are subjected to cheap labour are quite aware of their position, but do not seem unduly worried: in fact most do not complain because they accept it as a matter of course, due to the fact that they have no one to turn to but the whip-crackers themselves, who appear to have no interest whatsoever in the apprentices except as a form of cheap labour.'

Do these firms do this because they cannot afford to pay a labourer's wage, "or is it a case of being unable to find sufficient work for the apprentices? If this be the case why not inform the apprentices of their fate, rather than let them continue in this so-called trade?" later issue of Progress reports that since this attack was launched a number of apprentices previously involved in the cheap labour racket "are now receiving a training which will be of more benefit to them than their former scandalous occupation."

A thoughtful article in the same issue discusses the opposition of interests between employer and apprentice, and the way in which the coercive relationship can be altered to one of co-operation on a voluntary basis:

"The idea is that the employers forfeit the right to direct control over the apprentice, but in return is supplied with better trained and more versatile finished product. In the firm we know the apprentices are moved around the firm according to instructions from the apprentice supervisor. A more happy state of affairs for everyone would be for the supervisor to inform the apprentice committee of a need for an appren-

tice in a certain department, the committee would then find someone willing to work in that department. If, howan apprentice is spending too long in a particular department, the committee can then demand that he is given a choice of an alternative department.

(People interested in distributing Progress should write to Brian Bamford of 39 Alder Road, Rochdale, Lanes.).

Glorious (and Murky) History

The system of apprenticeship is very much older than capitalism. The master-craftsman of the Middle Ages had little capital beyond his tools and materials, and the three grades of artisan in the guild system, masters, journeymen and apprentices, did not indicate different ranks in the social hierarchy, they were simply different stages in the same man's working life. For this reason wages were seldom a source of conflict. In the heyday of the guild system there was no suggestion that the number of apprentices should be restricted, but by the close of the Middle Ages there was a growing restriction to the sons of guildsmen or burgesses, and the practise of requiring a premium arose. That some of the same tensions arose as are found today is suggested by the provisions that the guild might change an apprentice's master if he failed to fulfil his part of the contract by properly training his apprentice.

Guild apprenticeship was followed by statutory apprenticeship under the Elizabethan Statute of Artificers which made apprenticeship compulsory, fixed a period of seven years, assessed wage rates and fixed a ration of apprentices to journeymen. The statute fell into disuse and, with the rise of the factory system at the end of the 18th century, the factory visited the workhouses to collect batches of pauper children as "apprentices" under the terms of the Poor Law. The unfortunate chil-

dren, because they cost no more than their keep, displaced the craftsmen who continually petitioned against the way in which the law controlling the ration of apprentices to journeymen was ignored.

The modern system of voluntary apprenticeship began with the repeal of the Statute of Artificers in 1814, but during the last century there was a continuous decline in indentured apprenticeship because of the change conditions of industry. As John Hilton put it:

The increasing subdivision and specialisation of processes and the greater use of machinery rendered it unnecessary for many workmen to become acquainted with a complete trade or even a complete branch of trade; with the increase in the size of industrial establishments the obligation on the employer to teach the boy became more remote , the speeding up of the processes and the increasing use of payment by results made it difficult and even unprofitable for the journeyman to give the time and care necessary for the proper instruction of the apprentice; the competition of boy labour, which under the new condition had become a source of profit, with that of the journeymen led in some trades to the imposition of restrictions by trade unions on the number and condi tions of employment of apprentices."

There are many people in industry with bitter memories of the prewar days when in many trades, the ending of apprenticeship was celebrated with dismissal, the employers not wishing to pay a man's wage when they could get plenty of boys.

Why Does It Survive?

Over sixty years ago, Sidney and Beatrice Webb declared that "Undemocratic in its scope, unscientific in its educational methods, and fundamentally unsound in its financial aspects, the apprenticeship system, in spite of all the practical arguments in its favour, is not likely to be deliberately revived by a modern democracy." But it does survive, and in West Bromwhich, where you

can get about £10 a week on a n round at 15, forty per cent, of b leaving secondary modern scho are applying for apprenticeships £3 a week. More than half will disappointed. It survives becar there is something in it for ever body. For the boy and his pure it represents an avenue to secur and craftsman's status, for the a orities concerned with the provi of technical education, it prov practical training without dippi into the taxpayer's pocket, exce for one-day-a-week release, who this is operated, while for the e ployers and the unions,

"apprenticeship is not just a tom training but is also used by both of industry in order to further their spective sectional interests. All the n fications made in adjustment to tec cal and other developments have t made in such a manner as to pres the power of employers and trade uni bend apprenticeship to their ends," (Kate Liepmann: Appreni ship; an enquiry into its adequamodern conditions. Routledge 1960

And it retains its popularity boys and their parents because stands in the popular view for sl and security—a blend, as Dr. Linmann notes—of the trade unique purpose with that of the Ministry Labour.

Apprenticeship fits into a los established tradition in this coun of "learning while earning", a tra tion which has many advanta not the least of them being degree of financial independer which it gives the earner, compar with the full-time student; and ma disadvantages-the varying qual of instruction, the high failure i associated with part-time education and, for those who think in si terms, the waste of the nation man-power resulting from the se city of apprenticeships by compa son with the number of wouldapprentices.

(To be concluded)

THEATRE

Paradise Sought

THE performance of the Wesker Trilogy last summer was one of the theatrical events in London since the War, and its publication in book form is therefore a welcome event, though a small volume of 225 pages is hardly worth a guinea. Arnold Wesker s a Jewish Londoner who was born in 1932, left school at 16, and has written four plays during the last four years-The Kitchen and the three parts of this socialist trilogy. He deserves praise simply for the effort involved, and in the same way the Belgrade Theatre in Coventry-and to a lesser extent the Royal Court here in London-also deserves praise for making the effort possible.

But however much we may appreciate what Wesker and his helpers have done, we must be honest enough to admit that the first and last parts of the trilogy are pretty awful plays. Chicken Soup with Barley (1958) and I'm Talking about Jerusalem (1960) try to deal with the socialist ideas of Marx and Morris by showing the disintegration of a Communist family in the East End between 1936 and 1956, and the failure of a married couple to start a new life after the War in Norfolk (originally in the Cotswolds, and one discrepancy still appears in the text at the bottom of p. 72); the connection is that the same family is involved in both plays. In fact Chicken Soup is interesting and moving less because of its political arguments than because of its Jewish background and personal portraits—the disintegration of Harry Kahn and the obstinacy of Sarah Kahn are more important to the audience than those of the C.P.G.B. The moral of the play, moreover, is absurdto remain a Communist in December 1956 because "if you don't care you'll die" and to drink Party doctrine as if it were the life-preserving soup of the title is really going too far. As for Jerusalem, it frankly isn't very interesting or moving at all; and its moral-"we must be bloody mad to cry"-is bloody mad

The real trouble is that there is far too much talk and far too little action. It will be objected that these are plays, not films or novels-but the subject would have been far better treated if they had been some other form of narrative and comment (a film of the Trilogy is in fact in the air, and it could be more interesting). You just can't deal with Marx or Morris in terms of stage dialogue, and cries of "They shall or the contemplation of a not pass!" single hand-made chair don't get us much further. There are some good lines-"Every defeat is victory and every victory is the beginning" (for the Party); "You can't alter people . . . you can only give them some love and hope they'll take it"; "You can understand the Labour Party losing the elections again, they change their politics like a suit of clothing or something"-but by far the best thing in the two plays is the character of Sarah Kahn (while Ronnie is the self-conscious worst). There are also some good minor characters-Aunt Esther and Libby Dobson (who has however performed the remarkable feat of marrying and separating twice between demob and July 1947)-and in the "Look-I'm-Alive" game an episode of the most embarrassing self-parody.

Nevertheless, the point is not that the plays are good or bad but that they are seriously concerned with serious problems that are hardly ever mentioned on the stage in such terms. In this field, the end almost justifies the means; Chicken Soup and Jerusalem are brave attempts, and at least they may start some people thinking.

To start people thinking is what the middle play is about. Roots (1959) doesn't really have anything to do with socialism as such, though its theme is very relevant to socialism (and anarchism). One assumes that Ronnie has taken his mother's passionate outburst at the end of the previous play to heart, since in Roots his girl-friend, convinced that he has started her thinking, spends most of the play trying to start her Norfolk family thinking too. (Incidentally, how can Beatie have lived with Ronnie for three years in September 1958 when he only came back from Paris in December 1956?) Of course the whole point

of the play is that she hasn't really started thinking for herself at all, and it is the shock of being jilted that brings on her Pentecost and forces her to begin at last, on her "own two feet", in one of the most moving curtains I have seen What has made the play outstanding it the performance of Joan Plowright.

Roots is also an excellent picture of working-class life in the English countryside, and here Wesker states the un-comfortable truth about "the people"that they "want the third-rate". is why they "got it". But another truth is revealed here, at least when the play is performed in a theatre. Both times I saw it the phrases that uncover the central tragedy of the English working-class were greeted yith roars of comfortable laughter-"Don't you come pushin' ideas across at us, we're all right as we "An English girl born and bred and I couldn't talk the language"; "Once we're married and I got babies I won't need to be interested in half the things I got to be interested in now"; "You spend your time among green fields, you grow flowers and you breathe fresh air, and you've got no majesty" the answer is not Boom, apparently but

Oh ves, we have the lumpen-proletariat, all right, and we have the lumpenbourgeoisie too (usually called the philistines); but how many people realise the existence of a lumpen-intelligentsia which is as bad as the others? Forster did when he described Rickie's father in The Longest Journey:

He passed for a cultured man beause he knew how to select, and he passed for an unconventional man because he did not select quite like other people. In reality he never did or said or thought one single thing that had the slightest beauty or value.

Twice in the theatre I was far more depressed by the oafs in the audience than by those on the stage; and similarly Aunt Esther in Jerusalem was guffawed at as if she were in a music-hall. I feel that it is to some extent the author's fault that this sort of response comes so easily; English culture-vultures are dangerously inclined to take refuge in laughter when things get too difficult

Continued on p. 4

Footnotes to an Editorial 'The Battle of St. Pancras'

ol. 21, No. 43. October 22, 1960 Local Radio for the People

Freedom

wed from p. 1 be right though we agree with Guardian that

is in fact not easy to find evidence prowing enthusiasm for the idea on part of the listening public,

But there is no doubt of its apto business and financial vestors. Already about 100 comnies have been registered with the ect of running such stations if when it becomes possible and of them by the Rank organisaalone!

o it is already clear what the tern of these "local" stations will if they are run by the Big Boys London, and they should be re-

ted by every meanse at the pubdisposal. he time to strike is now, assumthat it is not already too late. ry town of 50,000 inhabitants most likely a Dramatic Society. Ausic Society, a Jazz Club, a Deing Club and a number of politicultural, scientific and social anisations which are more or less ve, and it is from these voluntary lies that the warning should come well as the initiative to canvas rybody in the town to secure incial support for as well as inst in a truly local radio station. at above all should be avoided the big money boys and the idea the station should be kept going revenue from advertising. These fatal mistakes, for whatever may the initial intentions, eventually monied interests and the adverrs will control the programmes make or break the station as please. Surely the lesson of News Chronicle which this rning (Tuesday) appeared as a b-title to the Daily Mail is that more than a million readers just we no say in the fate of the paper, which they may have been faithul readers for many years, simply ecause it is the National advertisers who determined whether the paper hould continue by withholding or by increasing the space they bought in it each day. A local radio which s not concerned with advertisers does not need to compete for listeners, but can concentrate on the exciting task of using the medium not only to enlighten and to entertain

Local radio is now an economic as well as a technical reality with huge possibilities for the good. Left to the financiers or the business men or to the local authorities it can only degenerate into a babble of vulgarity interspersed with the shrill voices of the detergent kings and the manufacturers of shoddy gadgets for jerry-built homes. It will be but a version in sound of the dreary, moribund local Press of today.

to sitmulate local participation

in all kinds of activities. And in so

doing re-create that feeling of com-

munity which the growth of the

huge urban centres, and the central-

isation of the Press and the Arts in

cities such as London, has all but

succeeded in destroying.

PROGRESS OF A DEFICIT! WEEK 42

Deficit on Freedom Contributions received £795 DEFICIT £45

October 7 to October 13

arrey: F.B.* 5/-: Durnford: R.S. £1/0/0; transeer: T.H.N. 3/-: Prescot: A.T. £3/10/0; yfleet: E.H.H. 1/-: Croydon: B.M. 5/--ordon: R.S. 3/-: Glasgow: J.M. 3/-tonecod: Anon. 2/6: Seattle: J.F.C. 14/-: valverhampton: J.G.L.* 2/6: Bilston: J.W.P. /c. Kingston, Ont. L.I £7/0/0: Gatesha

Previously acknowledged 1960 TOTAL TO DATE ... \$795 5 8 IN our "Reflection on the Battle of St. Pancras" (FREEDOM October (st), we drew special attention to the thoroughness with which the government went about its task of restoring "law and order", and commen-

Those people who imagine that the function or even the nature of the police in this country is any different from that of the police in all countries could do well to pause and reflect on the "battle of St. Pancras". Here was an incident which, on the surface at least, was far from shaking in any way either the authority of the law or the foundations of the State. But the guardians of law and order are trained to believe that even the smallest sign of unrest must be allowed to go unchallenged. Weakness at the beginning will only lead to the lawlessness growing and perhaps assuming proportions which cannot be controlled. The traditional ruthlessness of continental police is a measure of the ever-present current of unrest among the people. The traditional mildness of the British police is but a measure of the conformism of the British people. For as we have seen in St. Pancras, when even a pocket of resistance emerges, the police will clamp down on it with a thoroughness and efficiency which leaves nothing to be desired! And within two days, the Home Secretary had agreed to the making of an Order by the Com-missioner of Police prohibiting all pro-cessions (except those of a religious character) in the Borough for the next three months.

That we were neither exaggerating the "incident", nor misinterpret-ing the attitude of government to demonstrations which are something more than token, or symbolic protests, received confirmation from the Home Secretary himself when he addressed the Tory Conference at Scarborough last week. On the subject of "crime" Mr. Butler said:

"I want to make it perfectly clear that, as Home Secretary, it is my intention, with the crime wave at the present rate, to put the first emphasis on discipline and the prevention of crime.

He had done this, he said, during the recent disturbances in St. Pancras, "where, if the matter had not been firmly handled by the Commissioner of Police, backed by the Home Secretary, we might have had a very ugly situation indeed." . . . And he put first in the fight against crime a "stronger, betterpaid, and better-respected police force throughout the country.

It is to our minds significant that Mr. Butler should have chosen the police action in St. Pancras to illustrate how the government is tackling the "crime wave". To many people it may come as a surprise that the rent siege in St. Pancras was either criminal or an aspect of the "crime wave" which particularly threatened anybody other than an impersonal landlord-the Council. But there it is in black and white, straight from the Home Secretary's mouth!

We would also suggest that a "stronger" and "better paid" police force will not necessarily be "betterrespected": it may well be "more feared" which is probably what the Home Secretary had at the back of his mind. But surely there is enough evidence in the world to show that neither the size of the forces of law and order nor their ruthlessness ever succeed in abolishing "crimes" (which are committed in the main against property) or in keeping the people down indefi-nitely. It is the social and econo-mic injustices of society which are largely responsible for "crime". And the forces of "law and order", far from removing those injustices have the job of ensuring that they are maintained, with or without kid gloves!

IN our piece on St. Pancras, while welcoming the (all too rare) mili-tancy of the tenants involved in the protest, we were unable to show the protest, we were unable to since same enthusiasm for their cause. For they seemed to us to be attacking a "means test" which within the capitalist exclamation and in the particular custom.

cular context, aimed at subsidising the poorer tenant without obliging the better paid one to pay more than an "economic rent", and at no time directed their attacks to what is, surely, the real scandal, the exploitation of land and building by the property racketeers. Even discounting the anarchists' "extreme" demands for the abolition of money and private property as "unrealisin a Welfare State where it was being at last recognised that every individual who was born into this world was entitled to the necessities of life in the way of a minimum number of calories, as well as the mechanical means of seeing (spectacles) as well as chewing (dentures) them (in the event of the ravages of nature or urban civilisation depriving him of these natural faculties), one would have thought that it would also be taken for granted that this "body" was also entitled to shelter from the elements! Apparently not.

The Tory government has of course opened the flood gates to the property speculators. But the Labour Government during its period of office with an absolute majority, introduced no legislation to abolish landlordism or speculation in land (though we understand that socialism can be achieved by legislation "so long as we win a majority of seats in the House". Wasn't the 1945 Labour majority big enough?). And apart from the political parties, the working people of this country, in spite of the "silent revolution" of the war- and post-war years did nothing to ensure that with their dentures and their wigs they also got a box which they could call "home"!

CURIOUSLY enough. The Dolphin Square Tenants' Association, of all people, have sent the Minister of Housing and Local Government, a detailed memorandum on rents which strikes at the root of the present problem of high rents. A one-room flat (unfurnished) under the original controlled rent was let at £100 inclusive of rates. After the 1957 Act, three-year leases (which the landlords were obliged to offer) were granted at £165 exclusive of rates. Now, on expiry of lease, rents demanded are £350 exclusive. A two-room flat has risen

from £135 incl. to £430 excl., and a three-room flat from £165 incl. to £555 excl. In their memorandum the Tenants' Association were able to quote from inside information which came out at the recent criminal trial of the financiers Jasper-Grunwald-Murray, such as that between March and July, 1959, the directors of the company called Lintang, which had acquired Dolphin House, made a profit of "some £3 millions". Also it was pointed out at the trial that the property was worth £4.5 millions and that if sold at an average of £5,000 a flat, a sum of £6.25 millions would be realised. To which the Tenants' Association adds the comment:

"This would have respresented an increase in the value of the property of 250 per cent, in little over a year. It is perfectly clear that the directors Dolphin Square had made or intended to make fantastically high profits. At whose expense? Obviously the tenants' Only criminal proceedings on a matter not directly connected with the problems of Dolphin Square tenants brought these

The memorandum then relates the problem of Dolphin Square to the general London problem.

"The 1957 Rent Act has led to the deliberate exploitation of rented accommodation and to the creation of an un precedented speculative market in such properties. The homes of British families were surely never intended to come commodities from which speculators could make inordinate profits the 1957 Rent Act was allegedly based on the idea that in a free market the supply of accommodation would prove equal to the demand. This has proved a complete fallacy so far as London and other big cities are concerned.

The problem is exacerbated in London by the growing number of businessmen whose rents are paid as part of their company's expense accounts, the increasing embassy and high commission staffs, and other temporary residents who have rent allowances, and by the "short-sighted policy of permitting colossal office blocks to be built in Central

The Dolphin Square Tenants place little hope in the powers given to local authorities to acquire properties by compulsory purchase order where rents asked are unreasonable, and as to the Minister's inferred advice that tenants who cannot afford the new rents should move out of London, they reply that "this is no remedy, for the inflation-

NOW though the Memorandum strikes at the roots of the problem, how many of the 3,000 tenants of Dolphin Square are opposed to capitalism, of which property speculation is only one of its manifestations. How many of them dabble or operate on the Stock Exchange?* How many of them are executives in large companies, or are the direct employers of labour? How many are engaged in selling the product of other men's labour . at a profit? How many are professional people who enjoy large salaries out of all proportion to the wages paid to those who actually produce the basic necessities of life or services (The West Riding County Council last week awarded a salary increase of £10 a week to its chief officers-architect, treasurer, surveyor, education officer. Compare this increase with the £10 a week wages paid to a land worker, or a railwayman)?

The Dolphin Square Tenants' Association memorandum will perhaps evoke a sigh from the Minister and a printed acknowledgement slip from his civil servants, but very little change. And if the Tenants vote Labour next time it will only show that apart from suffering from split personalities they are fools as

*According to a writer in the Reynolds News "In 1958 and 1959 together total Stock Exchange gains were about £10,000 million." He also gives a pic-ture of the profit made by some companies which compares very favourably with the activities of the Lintang group! For instance:

Only last week Glaxo—now mak-

only last week chard-now making antibiotics and drugs, not just food for bonny babies—gave a fine exhibition of the way capital gains come into shareholders' hands.

Glaxo have this year raised their profit before tax by 24 per cent, and their dividend from 14 per cent, to 18 per cent. They are also making a "one-for-four" bonus share issue.

Since 1950 trading profit and the mount earned for ordinary shares amount earned for ordinary shares has doubled, and the amount paid in dividends has risen nearly tenfold. Bonus issues were also made in 1950, 1953, 1955, 1957, 1958 and 1959.

Even since last year Glaxo shares have risen from 35s, 3d, to 78s. If you had put £100 in these shares in 1950, it would be worth £1,000

So you see how a "growth stock"

grows.

And nobody suggests that the directors of Glaxo should be put in the dock at the Old Bailey!

The Wages of War

THE time has come for the anti-war movement to set up some kind of co-ordinating agency to deal with the economic problems of potential war objectors, much as the Central Committee for Conscientious Objectors and the National Service Board for Religious Objectors deal with the legal problems. The draft catches in its net a majority of the young men of the country be tween the ages of 18 and 26, but the growing militarization of the economy poses serious problems for a larger number of men and women of all ages who must reconcile their need to earn a living with the fact that, for most skills, the most easily available and highest

What comes in the weekly envelope is only part of the pay a person receives for his work. Equally, if not more im-portant, is the sense of creative accomplishment that should come from having given of one's mind and body to the production of something useful and beneficial. It is the general failure of the American economy to provide this latter reward that has long made a mockery of America's claim to have the highest standard of living ever known.

Today as the outdated myths of military defence gradually give way to the grim realities of nuclear fission (the right of little children to drink strontium 90 in their milk; the democratic right of everyone to be destroyed at the same time; the religious freedom to return to one's maker ahead of schedule), a grow-

be a cog in the manufacture of missiles, bombers, genocidal germs, etc. is an un-satisfying and ignoble life work. But there has to be some sort of realistic answer to the question hurled regularly at those who have been demonstrating at the Polaris submarine base in New London, Connecticut: Where else can 1 earn \$3 an hour?

It is not the business of the peace movement to compete with the munitions manufacturers in slush funds and monetary inducements. Unfortunately many of those who see the futility and feel the frustration of making arms will have to pay a monetary price for their convictions, just as (unfortunately) many of those who refuse to bear arms lose jobs or go to prison. But we cannot be satisfied with a situation in which people want to devote their skill and intelli gence to useful purposes and do not know where to turn in order to do so. We cannot speak self-righteously of "the benefits of sacrifice" to persons faced with a conflict between their need to provide for their families and their need for useful work.

The literature given to Polaris workers speaks of finding useful jobs for indivi-dutl workers who withdraw from munitions manufacture. Peace-makers has offered to include them in its economic sharing plan ("so that there will at least be food on the table and a roof over your heads"). Polaris Action has also urged "collective action [including strikes

from war work to useful work."

But so far these are largely verbal beginnings. We propose an exploratory conference of those who could begin to translate them into effective action. The growing militancy of the anti-war movement is less significant to date than it should be because the increased activities still leave too many workers in the schizophrenic position of "agreeing" with our ideas but seeing no realistic alternative to their present jobs. Just as civilian populations are the chief victims of modern warfare, so are they, today, its chief perpetrators. The problems economic conscription must be high on the agenda of those who are se interested in ending the arms race.

(Liberation, Sept. 1960).

REMEMBER!

Freedom Book Servcie is always at your service

MAIL ORDERS ARE OUR SPECIALITY

like to suggest that the campaign again

nuclear weapons, and particularly radical wing as represented by the Di

Action Committee, has a similar v

for us-as well as being directed aga

an abomination of far greater magnithan the death penalty. It is quite

sible that it may never succeed in act

ing its object, but if it could be fi

from its obsession with the legal

Gandhian niceties which at pre-hamper it, it could provide a deci

check to the lunatic activities of t

in power and to that extent constitution

potentially anti-state force. If it

not, then there is a distinct poss

that all of us, conservatives, refor

and revolutionaries alike, will be tu

of militarism did not understand

rationale of modern tyranny as deted in "1984".

criticisms of the superficiality of mo

those who protest against nu weapons, I consider that a case ca

made for anarchists giving critical

While I agree, therefore, with

into radio-active dust because some

ANARCHISTS have their fingers in every pie. The distinguishing feature of anarchism is that it brings political, social and individual revolution together and asserts that each of them is dependent on the others.

One of the consequences of this, taken together with the nature of anarchist ideas, is that we are at a crossroads among minority groups. In one direction the I.L.P., S.P.G.B., the dissident Marxists and libertarian socialists all feel some kind of friendship towards anarchism, particularly aspects such as support for direct action, workers' control, and hopes for a free socialist society. Despite some assertions of purism this friendship is reciprocated. On the other hand groups which are chiefly interested in individual salvation, such as the pseudo-psychology cults also find it possible to exchange mutual sympathy with anarchists. In yet a third direction, every reformist organisation whose objects or methods can be stretched to include a leavening of libertarianism finds some support among anarchists who feel concerned in that particular direction. Hence anarchists are of were closely associated with the Aldermaston and Direct Action movements, the Boycott, and the anti Capital Punishment campaign. The list can be extended. Occasional voices assert that truth can only be found when the anarchists get together with the Flying Saucer people, and so on.

Anarchists therefore, tend to develop a kind of minority consciousness. That is, a feeling which is common to people who belong to minority groups of very varied kinds, and is independent of the particular features of their own minority

This brings several problems in its train. One of them is the way in which peculiar individuals find a home on the fringe of the movement, and discourage casual inquirers. There are certain people who cause groans to go up by simply coming in to a meeting because whatever their hobby horse, they will get a chance to bring it up in discussion, divert the course of the meeting and considerably reduce its value in attracting non-anarchists. Whereas the authoritarian parties would expel or dissociate people who misrepresented their views to outsiders, the tolerant anarchists welcome them as just another group of valiant non-conformists.

SELECTIONS FROM

'FREEDOM'

Vol. 1, 1951, Mankind is One Vol. 2, 1952, Postscript to Posterity Vol. 3, 1953, Colonialism on Trial Vol. 4, 1954, Living on a Volcano Vol. 5, 1955, The Immoral Moralists

Vol. 5, 1935, The Immoral Profalists
Waters
Vol. 6, 1956, Oil and Troubled
Vol. 7, 1957, Year One—Sputnik Era
Vol. 8, 1958, Socialism in a
Wheelchair
Vol. 9, 1959, Print, Press & Public

The paper edition of the Selections is available to readers of FREEDOM at 5/- a copy

Anarchism (Seven Exponents of the Anarchist Philosophy) cloth 21s.

Revolution 6s.

PAUL ELTZBACHER:

Lessons of the Spanish

V. RICHARDS :

On the group and movement level this spirit of generous acceptance also makes itself felt. As mentioned above, anarchism has a practical ed above, anarchism has a kinship with an extremely varied varied of movements. Simply form a group which is generally progressive, and a tiny minority, and you will find some anarchists supporting it, writing about it in our papers, writing about anarchism in its papers, and so on. As a result, anarchism is often treated, not on the merits of its case, but as one of an indistinguishable array of minor-

Another problem, which is more important, is the effect on anarchist ideas themselves of this minority position. In a recent issue of FREE-DOM the leading article began:

Writers in this newspaper are not at all elated that our speculations on world politics are usually accurate

It strikes us as a social tragedy that a handful of anarchists are alone able to grasp the significance of competitive political power when the facts are available to all.

Now if we are right, and our ideas are fairly simple to grasp, then the mass of people who have consistently ignored them for a century must be particularly foolish, neurotic or vicious. Unfortunately, it is these people to whom we have to get our ideas across if they are going to have very much effect.

It is suggested by more conformist people that rebels just enjoy opposing the majority attitude for the sake of being different. Whether this is ascribed to moral defects or complicated psychological drives depends on the sophistication of the attacker. However, this sort of feeling only becomes dangerous when it is inwardly accepted by the person to whom the criticism is directed. Once a group assents to the idea that it is doomed to be an that the anarchist influence will be ineffective and diminishing minority, as big as possible? that the big wide world is too cor-

rupt even to recognise the people who can put it right, and that rebellious attitudes often spring from psychological needs quite different from the ones to which they are ascribed, then it will indeed become ineffective and its activities sterile.

Objectivity demands that we concede some truth to the above criticisms, but what is really important is that it should be demonstrable that they are not true as a whole. That is, anarchists must find ways of expressing themselves which do not consist of crying in the wilderness. or playing ring-a-ring o'roses with all the other minority sects. It is no use making any propaganda at all unless we feel confidence that it will have a good effect.

To achieve this, anarchists should consistently try to find answers to the problems that ordinary people are facing. The outlook that leads to debates on "Which should the Working Class support: the Revolutionary Marxist Unity Party or the United Socialist Workers Front?" has nothing to do with reality. The ideas we put forward must however, be anarchist ones and not watered down versions for popular consumption. The false dichotomy between the conceptions of "escapist" revolutionaries and practical minded "revisionists" needs breaking down, and the best way is by showing in practice that anarchism can provide a realistic way of facing up to practical problems.

Finally, to what extent does anarchism still involve the idea that it can only be effective when everyone has accepted it? Are we looking for world-wide unanimity or are we content to make a contribution to finding a way through social problems, knowing that the solution reached will be either a synthetic one or a pure compromise, and hoping

P.H.

Those Apolitical Beatniks

AMERICAN editor Irving Wechsler, taking a cool glance at the "beats" in "Reflections of an Angry Middle-Aged Editor" takes the line that, along with other "apolitical young people" of America who have contracted out, they ought to get busy like the organisation Americans for Democratic Action. Replying to him in the New Leader, Paul Goodman, writer and psychologist (and anarchist) declares that Wechsler's book "quite misses the point for by and

large the beatniks are not apolitical. They are programmatically defecting from the major state-structure of our times: the Organisation of semi-monopolies, the Pentagon, the FBI, Communications, Personnel, etc.; and they are using the most relevant means to combat such a structure, namely, non-violent direct action: 'I won't do that; I will do this.' We anarchists have always urged that the right method is to create little islands of freedom and nature, and some of these kids are trying to. The trouble with their anarchism is not that it is apolitical, but that they don't know anything, technologically or culturally: Therefore, they are unimaginative; there fore, some of them lapse into drink and drugs and trivial music and poetry

Wechsler points out that the draft law is a foolish and wicked thing. It ought to be abolished. But what happens when they come after the kid in his individual life, right now? He ought not to do what is foolish and wicked: Ought he then to dodge? to be a conscientious ob-jector? to go to jail? to picket with his fellows in the same plight and be forced into every step under protest? These, it seems to me, are the interesting questions for a young fellow, and we find nothing of them in this book."

Paradise Sought

Continued from p. 2

And let us make no mistake—this Trilogy is very difficult. Wesker's theme is quite simply Jerusalem; he is in search of paradise of the Kingdom of Heaven In each of these plays there is an attempt to build Jerusalem, as the dictatorship of the proletariat, as awareness, as a miniature economic unit; and in each one the attempt fails; but out of each failure comes success—an oblique success, but success all the same. Ronnie learns that the important thing is not being a Communist but being someone who cares; Beatie learns that awareness must grow from within and cannot be imposed from above; Dave and Ada

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS NUCLEAR PROTESTS

ONE of the reasons why I supported the campaign against hanging was that its success would have reduced the power of the state. Capital punishment is an abomination in itself, as is all "organized vengeance called justice", but it is also a part of the coercive mach-inery of government and its abolition would have made this machinery that much weaker. At the same time, how-ever, its abolition would not have affected in any fundamental way the punitive apparatus of law, and the police and prison systems would have continued to exist. From an anarchist point of view, therefore, the disappearance of the hangman would have had only a limited and relative value, but that did not step many comrades from giving what help they could to make him

Looked at in this manner, I would

Anarchism and Religion

DEAR COMRADES,

Whilst agreeing that both in the past and at present individual religionists have sometimes proved superior to their creed the religions of the world have been found out ever since there has developed a science dealing with relgious growth, development and retention. It has been shown to be an earlier attempt to understand the world around and within us and to be found wanting by the scientific standards that operate in some quarters to-day. I state this in spite of some previous writers in Free-DOM attempting to "cock a snoot" at the scientific method which not only, it must always be remembered, deals with the realms of physics and chemistry but also with the social and mental departments of human existence. Note also that science is not to be confused with technology a favourite trick of many modern writers and speakers when attempting to rebuff the modern man who attempts to think scientifically. Science I take it is organised knowledge based upon experience and appealing to experiment for verification, not as is religion, an appeal just to believe amplified into what is usually called faith Science develops from observation and experiment, religion believes first and appeals either to faith or to pseudoexperimentation afterwards.

Nowadays opposition to atheism is frequently coloured by referring to it as 19th century rationalism (a somewhat spineless term); but as I see it anarchism means social living without government and atheism consists of living without accepting the idea of supernatural beings. the two outlooks being two aspects of the same attitude. I therefore equate God wih government and reject both.

Yours.

Guernsey, Oct. 16 BERT SMITH.

DEAR SIR.

The Catholic Anarchists must surely regard any sort of realised Anarchism as at best only a temporary condition. Whichever of the "eternal states" we are eventually transported to will, I suppose, be still organised on an authoritarian basis. Or do they plan to revolutionise even those classic monarchies?

Yours, etc.

London, Oct. 16 JOHN ARCHER.

learn that they must cultivate their own garden. I think this message is in the Trilogy, but it is very much obscured by extraneous matter, and in particular by Ronnie's rantings; it is not accidental that Roots is by far the best of the three-and even then Ronnie's letter is a bad touch. But I hope that now Wesker has got his own past out of his system he will not forget Jerusalem. His work to bring the trade unions into British cultural life (together with Bill Holdsworth) suggests that he will not. It would be ironical if he should fail, but should himself discover in the failure that the search for paradise is paradise

The Wesker Trilogy is published by Jonathan Cape (21s.), Chicken Soup with Barley was included in New English Dramatists (3s. 6d.), published by Pen-guin Books in 1959, as was Roots

port to those who make their protemeans of non-co-operation and disobedience, while continuing to phasise that only a grass-roots chin human attitudes and relation can create permanent peace. S. E. PARKE Bristol, Oct. 8.

Meetings and Announcement

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP and MALATESTA DEBATING SOCIETY

IMPORTANT

MEETINGS WILL BE HELD in basement, 5, Caledonian Road, 1 (near King's Cross Station)

> Sundays at 7.30 p.m. All Welcome.

OCT. 23.-Speaker from Works Party.

OCT. 30.-Jack Robinson on THE SIEGE OF SIDNEY STREET NOV. 6.-A. Rajk on

THE VOCABULARY OF POLITICS NOV. 13.-Tony Gibson on Subject to be announced.

NOV. 20.-lan Leslie on Subject to be announced.

NOV. 27.-lan Celnick on THE KRONSTADT REVOLT.

MANCHESTER

Will any reader interested in contacting others in Manchester and district write

> F. A. GRESTY. 4 Smythy Lane, Gee Cross, Hyde, Cheshire,

London Anarchist Group AN EXPERIMENT IN OFF-CENTRE DISCUSSION MEETINGS

1st Thursday of each month at 8 p.m. At Jack and Mary Stevenson's, 6 Stainton Road, Enfield, Middx.

Last Wednesday of each month at 8 p.m.

At Dorothy Barasi's, 45 Twyford Avenue, Fortis Green, N.2.

1st Wednesday of each month at 8 p.m. At Colin Ward's,

33 Ellerby Street, Fulham, S.W.6.

FREEDOM

The Anarchist Weekly Postal Subscription Rates: 12 months 19/- (U.S.A. \$3.00) 6 months 9/6 (U.S.A. \$1.50) 3 months 5/- (U.S.A. \$0.75) Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies 12 months 29/- (U.S.A. \$4.50) 6 months 14/6 (U.S.A. \$2.25) Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers

FREEDOM PRESS

17a Maxwell Road London, S.W.6. England Tel.: Renown 3736

VOLINE: Nineteen-Seventeen (The Russian Revolution Betrayed) cloth 12s. 6d. The Unknown Revolution (Kronstadt 1921, Ukraine 1918-21) cloth 12s. 6d.

E. A. GUTKIND: FREEDOM PRESS The Expanding Environment 8s. 6d.

ERBERT READ:
11 and the Evolution of Man 4s.
2. Assistentialism, Marxism and
3s. 6d. HERBERT READ

Toetry and Anarchism
forth 5s., paper 2s. 6d.
The Philosophy of Anarchism
boards 2s. 6d.
The Education of Free Men 1s.

MARIE-LOUISE BERNERI:

Neither East nor West paper 7s. 6d., cloth 10s. 6d.

RUDOLF ROCKER: Nationalism and Culture cloth 21s.

The State: Its Historic Rôle 1s.
The Wage System 3d.
Revolutionary Government 3d.
Organised Vengeance
Called Justice 2d.

Marie-Louise Berneri Memorial Committee publications:

Marie-Louise Berneri, 1918-1949: A Tribute cloth 5s. Journey Through Utopia cloth 18s. (U.S.A. \$3)

17a Maxwell Road, Fulham London, S.W.6