We need many new resters in session Will you help? Why not make a present of three months Subscription (4s. 6d.) to a friend ?

Vol. 14, No. 52

December 26th, 1953

Threepence

#### THE TRIAL BERIA

AFTER six months of waiting the Soviet government is now preparing the trial of Lavrent Beria, the man who headed the most brutal and all-embracing police system the world has ever seen. Beria succeeded Yagoda and Yezhov during the treason trials of 1936 and 1937 during which Stalin finally liquidated the remaining old Bolsheviks. Yagoda and Yezhov were also "unmasked" as traitors and their downfall and death were prepared by their successor. Beria.

Dzerzhjinski, who founded the Tcheka and perfected it in the early twenties as the Bolshevik instrument of terror, died in his bed. Lenin described him, rather curiously one may think, as "a saint of the Revolution". All his successors have been traitors, confessing a remarkable degree of guilt going back for years (Beria is accused of having been a British agent since 1920, and will probably confess to it).

Stalin founded his supreme personal sower on the secret police, and also based much of Soviet economy on the millions of slave labourers which Beria's department provided. Like Stalin, Beria Georgian (three of his six fellow-used security leaders are also Georgof but Stalin played the method of dirige and rule too astutely and for too Tong II allow Beria to become strong enough to be a personal danger to his own power. How equally power was divided is shown by the fact that Malen-kov has been able to overcome Beria despite his key position as head of the police and the enormous economic power which his vast department wielded.

It has been suggested that in procuring the downfall of Beria, Malenkov has been joined by the Army represented by Marshal Bulganin. The Army also wields considerable economic and administrative power doubtless overshadowed and kept in check by the M.V.D., Beria's department. Malenkov represents the Party. the other great power in the State. It remains to be seen whether the elimination of Beria will bring to the surface the latent struggle between the Army and the Communist Party, or whether the Party, controlled by Majenkov is still able to fulfil Stalin's rôle and play off

#### Future of Persian Oil

W/HILE Persian government spokesmen are still very much on their dignity regarding the resumption of diplomatic relations with Britain, the economic realities of the situation show the true proportions of the actual situa-

For last week the representatives of the world's eight leading oil companies met in London to discuss the future of Persian oil. These companies comprised Anglo-Iranian, Shell, Standard Oil of New Jersey, Standard Oil of California, Socony, Gulf, the Texas Company, and the Compagnie Francaise de Petroles. After preliminary discussions, further progress now awaits the result of diplomatic negotiations in Teheran.

The situation now is and to be that there will be very considerable rifficulties in marketing Persian oil at all. FREEDOM many months ago pointed out that the Anglo-Iranian company could take the loss of its Abadan refinery in its stride and could afford to wait. Persian economy was in no such posicion and the Persian propertied classes have accordingly

Since Abadan closed down the Persian output has been largely replaced by increased supplies of oil from Kowalt and Irak, and by increased refining capacity in Western Europe. Hence considerable adjustment will have to be made before Persian oil can again be marketed. The companies will no doubt impose their own conditions and it looks as if the Persian government will have little aftermetive to appending.

the Army and the M.D.V. against one another in order to retain power in its own hands. It seems likely that the internal tensions to which the arrest of Beria bears witness are still present in an acute form after Stalin's death.

#### The People

But it should not be forgotten that the sacrifice of Beria must be welcomed by thousands of families who have lost a member to the slave gangs of the M.V.D. and serves to add some popularity to a government suddenly weakened by the death of a dictator. It also provides a backhanded means of catering for the hatred of Stalin which appears to have lain behind the continual "inspired" adulation, for Beria was both a friend and fellow countryman of the man whom Lenin called his "wonderful Georgian"

Just as Stalin did. Malenkov no doubt intends to use the method of the propaganda trial to promote anxiety about "foreign plots" and so stave of internal resentment until such time as the new government is strong enough to overcome any tendency towards resistance.

It hardly seems possible however that the Russian government can make any basic alteration in the police-state régime. and hence, although Beria has gone, the police terror under which the people live will persist. And this can scarcely be concealed from the Russian people.

#### Campaign of Abuse

According to the Soviet radio, thousands of workers have attended specially organized meetings and expressed their "anger" and "loathing" against the "traitors and betrayers". Pravda refers to Beria and "his gang of rotters" as "contemptible traitors, agents of international capital, and poisonous reptiles". Factory workers in Moscow "adopted" a resolu-tion declaring that "shame and curses must fall on all who, through their black

treachery, seek to return to the Soviet Union the might of capitalist slavery'

Moscow radio also announces that Beria and his six accomplices have 'pleaded guilty to high treason and other crimes". The death penalty is urged.

The Soviet government's indictment makes a reference to a decree of Dec. 1st, 1934 and the Times diplomatic correspondent makes the following interesting comment (18/12/53) on it:

"The reference in the Soviet indictment of Beria and others to a decree of Dec-1. 1934, raises the question whether the trial is to be rushed through at great speed, and whether the next news may be the announcement of the execution of the accused rather than the date of the trial proceedings. The only recorded decree amending the Soviet criminal code dated December 1, 1934, laid down that cases must be heard without participation of counsel, that no appeals or petitions for mercy should be allowed, and that the death sentence should be carried out immediately after the passing of the sentence.

The decree was passed soon after the murder of Kirov in 1934, and was designed to make it possible to rush through the trials of the maximum number of 'terrorists' in the minimum time.'

Kirov was governor of Leningrad. In 1934 Stalin had been greatly impressed by the Reichstag fire trial, and the use the Nazis made of it to arrest thousands of their political opponents. And also by Hitler's purge of June 30, 1934, whereby he established his own predominant position in the party. It has been suggested (e.g. by Krivitzky) that Stalin himself arranged the assassination of Kirov. What is certain is that he used the occasion to make widespread arrests and subdue the apposition which the collectivization of agriculture had provoked. It is interesting that such "emergency" legislation, is turned to use now.

## The Strike That Wasn't N.U.R. Leaders' Hoax

THE surprising spectacle of a nounced an official stoppage—but us last week with the possibility of a railway stoppage throughout the whole country, can be seen this week in its true light.

It is not too much to say that it appears that the officials of the National Union of Railwaymen had not the slightest intention of calling out their 400,000 members. It was all a gigantic hoax, calculated only to encourage confidence in the leadership, on the part of an embittered rank and file, and at the most to give the Government and the Transport Commission a very public hint of what could happen if the railmen got too raw a deal.

The only excuse a trade union leadership has to justify its existence to its membership to-day is that it can, in the course of plenty of time, negotiate wage increases with the employer. But the result of the arbitration court's deliberations on the railmen's wage claim was so niggling an award that the leadership knew perfectly well it could not be accepted without a show of fight.

The railmen were insulted by the 4s. offer, and incensed by the insult. Their immediate response was, in many areas, to institute some form of direct action-go-slow or work to rule-and it was clear that it would spread and most probably have led. in a very short time, to an unofficial strike of considerable proportions.

#### Ten Days' Notice

This was on its way when the N.U.R. leadership stepped in and an-

leadership of a large union not an immediate one. The N.U.R. turned "militant", which presented gave 10 days notice of its strike thereby allowing plenty of time for the Press to whip up a panic and for the Ministry of Labour to inter-

Not only that. The move by the officials put a stop to the threat of an unofficial strike of any size, and put the leadership in control of the situation. Once in control they have shown their ability to hold back the threatened stoppage. They protended to lead it, even to instigate it.

only to prevent it.

The ten days' notice served its purpose. Everybody thought how beastly it would be for the railwaymen to strike in Christmas week. Every national newspaper assured its readers of the justice of the railmen's case but maintained that public sympathy would be alienated by the strike.

A lot of midnight electricity was burned at Unity House, N.U.R. Headquarters at Euston, and a lot of comings and going by Very Important Persons went on between there and the Ministry of Labour in St. James' Square-while the enginedrivers and porters and signalmen conscientiously carried on with their work-which is what the V.I.P.'s wanted.

#### Rejection-then Acceptance

When the 4s. award was first announced, the other two railway unions, the footplate men and the clerks, announced that they would accept it on the understanding that immediate discussions should take place on the whole of the wage structure throughout the industry The N.U.R., however, rejected this -and rejected the 4s. award-issuing its strike notice instead. Now what is the result? After Government intervention, the N.U.R. has accepted the 4s. award—on the understanding that a further 3s. will be forthcoming in six weeks' time!

So the insult stands—for six weeks -and then, instead of the 15 per cent. rise which was the original claim, the increase will total 7s. about a third of what the 15 per cent. would have represented on the average railman's pay.

The action of the N.U.R., in accepting this arrangement, has been described as statesmanlike, responsible, and a triumph for negotiation. Sir Walter Monckton, Min. of Lab., has been complimented on having come through his first big ordeal after 2 years in office, with flying colours-although the effort seems to have been too much for him and his doctors have ordered a few weeks' rest.

Continued on p. 4

#### -----COMMENTS-

# CRUELTY

MAGISTRATES are renowned neither for their intelligence nor their humanity, but it is seldom that their ignorant cruelty is so blatant as to make the headlines of the daily press.

Lieutenant-Colonel W. E. Batt, magistrate of Old Street Court, London, has earned that distinction by his treatment a woman brought before him last

She is the mother of two illegitimate children, one of seven years, the other of four, and she lives on national assistance. Through this she receives the mag-nificent sum of £3 3s. a week, plus a family allowance (on the second child of

She was hauled into court on a charge of stealing by finding a child's tricycle, worth £3, and she told the court her boy had brought it home when he was 5½ years old. She had tried to find the tricycle's owner, without success, and had decided to keep it.

This, of cours, is a criminal act. She should immediately have torn the £3 from her nute hoy's grasp and handed a straight over to the police who would have know what to do with it. But she didn't. She kept someone clse's property, and in the due course of time and through the act of some informer. she was brought to justice, to atone for her crime.

In the court, a probation officer said that this woman had said that she would not go to work because she was better off on National Assistance

At this, the magistrate exclaimed. "fan't that splendid! Really this is shocking and this sort of thing is going on all over London."

He went on; "It's a shocking state of affairs that you can bring bastards into the world and have them kept by the National Assistance "I ought to send you to prison, but

I'll put you on probation for two years on a conditions that you go to work." Hardly the statement of an emightened and generous man. The situation, how-

ever, is an even more biting commentary of the wage levels available for women workers. The National Assistance Board are not all that open-handed and have seen fit to assess this woman's needs, together with her children's, at £3 11s. per week, out of which she has to pay rent, fuel and light, before getting down to food and clothes. No-one could say she was living in luxury, or even in idleness, with two kiddies to look after. Even so, this meagre existence represents a higher standard that she could earn by

Another point. Most social workers to-day maintain that a primary cause of juvenile delinquency is lack of emotional security in the home. And a contributory factor to that is the fact that so many working class mothers have to go

they cannot give propert attention to their The two kids in this case had no father living with them, but they had a

out to work to make ends meet that

mother who wanted to be with shem. Magistrate Batt's action has now deprived them of that source of love and attention, it has lowered their standards of living, it has in fact laid the foundation for permanent injury to their emotional stability and their physical well-being.

And all because their mother is not married—as if that is their fault, or as if it matters anyway.

Lieut,-Colonel W. E. Batt reduced the woman to tears by his bullying. Some of us might think that fortune has not treated her very kindly already, without having to tolerate this ignorant and vicious outburst from a moralistic and narrow-minded militarist.

# Council Evicts Gaoled Man's Family

"The fact that the Paddington Borough Council are to invoke an Act of 1838 to eject a family from a council flat at Aird Court caused a storm of protest at last night's council meeting. The case conant serving 12 months' imprisonment for

tulse pretences. At his trial in September the council learned for the first time that he had 10 previous convictions.
"Mr. J. Tuffnell, a Labour councillor,

who asked the council to reconsider the matter, said 'nine of these convictions were before his marriage 15 years ago. His wife has done all she could. It is she and her two children, a boy of 13 and a girl of seven, who now have to suffer. Right in the Christmas season they are to be evicted. If you took them to court as a private landlord you would

not get an eviction order. Mr. R. Burrell (Conservative), chairman of the Housing Committee said is regretted there must be hardship in

THE following news item casts this case, but a prior regard must be had to other tenants. It is not in the public interest that wrong-doers be provided with accommodation while the housing situation is as it is."

"Alderman S. H. Crosse, Conservative, said: 'People guilty of unsociable actions should not occupy council flats. Other tenants have to be considered. A private landlord would get possession of his property and so should we. It is a very unhappy case'."

(Times, 18/12/53).

The argument of the Council is that they have to be "more responsible" than the private landlord. In order to be so they deprive their tenants of almost all the normal safeguards. Borough Councils are not subject to the Rent Restrictions Act: they can demand entrance to their flats at any time: they can demand all kinds of restrictions regarding guests and pets and general conduct

of their tenants' private lives and they can evict more or less at their own pleasure so that their tenams. have no security of tenure.

In this case, the Council's action has substantially added to the sentence of the law. The imprisoned man suffers the impotent anxiety of wondering what is going to happen to his family and is wholly unable to help them. The wife has this addi-tional punishment thrust upon her although she is in no way guilty. She will probably blame her husband rather than the Council, and the marriage, already strained by the imprisonment will be subjected to this still further strain.

[See Editorial Comment-p.

# FREEDOM'S NATURE & FUNCTION

things which once helps man to things which once helps man to things which once helps man to merge from Nature and notwithstanding the feebleness as a natural being, to ashis feebleness as a sential even than sert himself—more declinical world out the making of a formed for the purpose was this that he handed together pose—was this that he banded together with his own kind for protection and hunting, food gathering and work; and did so in such a way that from the very beginning and thereafter to an increasing degree he faced the others as more or less independent entities and communicated with them as such, addressing and being addressed by them in that manner, This creation of a "social" world out of persons at once mutually dependent and independent differed in kind from all similar undertakings on the part of animals' work. Apes, too, made use of some stick they happened to have found, as a lever, a digging-tool or a weapon; but that is an affair of chance only; they cannot conceive and produce a tool as an object constituted so and not otherwise and having an existence of its own. And again, many of the insects live in societies built up on a strict division of jabour; but it is just this division of labour that governs absolutely their relations with one another; they are all as it were tools; only, their own society is the thing that makes use of them for its instinctive" purposes; there is no improvisation, no degree, however modest of mutual independence, no possibility of "free regard for one another, and thus no person-to-person relationship. Just as the specific technical creations of man mean the conferring of independence on things, so his specific social creation means the conferring of independence on neings of his own kind. It is in the light of this specifically human idiosyncrasy that we have to interpret man's journey with all its ups and downs, and so also the point we have reached on this jourary, our great and particular crisis.

In the evolution of mankind hitherto this, then, is the line that predominates, the forming and reforming of communion the basis of growing personal independence, their mutual recognition and collaboration on that basis. The two most important steps that the man of early times took on the road to human society can be established with some cermmty. The first is that inside the individual clan each individual, through an entremely primitive form of division of abour, was recognised and utilized in his pacial capacity, so that the clan increasy took on the character of an evernarwed association of persons each the whicle of a different function. The mond is that different clans would, mder certain conditions, band together e quest of food and for campaigns, and solidated their mutual help as cusions and laws that took firmer and freer root; so that as once between inanduals, so now between communities respit discerned and acknowledged dif-

THE essential thing man to ever genuine burger wheredeveloped it has always been on this same basis of functional autonomy, mutual recognition and mutual responsibility, whether individual or collective. Power-centres of various kinds have split off, organizing and guaranteeing the common order and security of all: but to the political sphere in the stricter sense, the State with its police-system and its bureaucracy, there was always opposed the organic, functionally organized society as such, a great society built up of various societies, the great society in which men lived and worked, competed with one another and helped one another; and in each of the big and little societies composing it, in each of these communes and communities the individual human being, despite all the difficulties and conflicts, felt himself at home as one in the clan, felt himself approved and affirmed in his functional independence and responsibility.

-MARTIN BUBER: Paths in Utopia.

### **How Much Longer**

As they went past a tall, very young Negro turned and caught my eye. But the look he gave me was not in the least the kind of look you might expect. Not hostile, not contemptuous, not sullen, not even inquisitive. It was the shy, wide-eyed Negro look, which actually is a look of profound respect. I saw how it was. This wretched boy, who is a French citizen and has therefore been dragged from the forest to scrub floors and catch syphilis in garrison towns, actually has feelings of reverence before a white skin. He has been taught that the white race are his masters, and he still believes it.

But there is one thought which every white man (and in this connection it doesn't matter twopence if he calls himself a socialist) thinks when he sees a black army marching past. "How much longer can we go on killing these people? How long before they turn their guns in the other direction?"

It was curious, really. Every white man there had this thought stowed somewhere or other in his mind. I had it, so had the other onlookers, so had the officers on their sweating chargers and the white N.C.O.s marching in the ranks. It was a kind of secret which we all knew and were too clever to tell; only the Negroes didn't know it. And really it was like watching a flock of cattle to see the long column, a mile or two miles of armed men, flowing peacefully up the road, while the great white birds drifted over them in the opposite direction, glittering like scraps of paper.

-GEORGE ORWELL: England, Your England.

### Hedgehog and Fox

HOLIDAY

THERE is a line among the fragments of the Greek poet Archilochus which says: "The fox knows many things, but the hedgehog knows one big Scholars have differed about the correct interpretation of these dark words, which may mean no more than that the fox, for all his cunning, is defeated by the hedgehog's one defence But, taken figuratively, the words can be made to yield a sense in which they mark one of the deepest differences which divide writers and thinkers, and it may be, human beings in general. For there exists a great chasm between those, on one side, who relate everything to a single central vision, one system less or more coherent or articulate, in terms of which they understand, think and feela single, universal, organising principle in terms of which alone all that they are and say has significance—and, on the other side, those who pursue many ends, often unrelated and even contradictory, connected, if at all, only in some de facto way, for some psychological or physiological cause, related by no moral or aesthetic principle; these last lead lives, perform acts, and entertain ideas that are centrifugal rather than centripetal, their thought is scattered or diffused, moving on many levels, seizing upon the essence of a vast variety of experiences and objects for what they are in themselves, without, consciously or unconsciously, seeking to fit them into, or exclude them from, any one unchanging all-embracing, sometimes selfcontradictory and incomplete, at times fanatical, unitary inner vision. The first kind of intellectual and artistic personality belongs to the hedgehogs, the second to the foxes; and without insisting on a rigid classification, we may, without too much fear of contradiction, say that, in this sense, Dante belongs to the first category, Shakespeare to the second; Plato, Lucretius, Pascal, Hegel, Dostoevsky. Nietzsche. Ibsen. Proust are, in varying degrees, hedgehogs; Herodotus, Aristotle, Montaigne, Erasmus, Molière, Goethe, Pushkin, Balzac, Joyce are foxes.

-ISAIAH BERLIN: The Hedgehog and the Fox.

#### Unsuitable Friends and Regrettable Jokes

ONE of the simple facts which cannot be got into the skulls of purely political persons is that a natural-born anarchist, when fully grown, cannot be a Communist and is likely to detest Communism.

The natural anarchist is not a sinister chap with a bomb. He is one who thinks that while individuals are sometimes wise and good, organisations-especially large ones-are always silly and generally wicked. He tends to make unsuitable friends and regrettable jokes, and is the salt of the earth.

-FREDERICK LAWS in the News Chronicle.

# MISCELLANY

IT is intriguing yet most difficult to assess the damage done to children who have not been allowed to play as much as they wanted to. I often wonder if the great masses who watch professional football are trying to live out their arrested play interest by identification of selves with the players, playing by proxy as it were. The majority of our Summerhill old pupils do not attend football matches, nor are they interested in pageantry. I think that few would walk very far to see—say—a royal procession. Pageantry surely has a childish element in it; its colour, formalism, slow movement have some suggestion of toyland and dressed up dolls, and maybe that is the reason why women seem to love pageantry more than men do. As people get older and more sophisticated they seem to be attracted less and less by pageantry of any kind, and I doubt if generals and politicians and diplomats get anything out of State processions except boredom, but it is possibly unfair to form any judgment, because, personally I cannot easily share crowd emotions, loyal or disloyal, religious or pagan, political or unpolitical, and in every judgment the subjective will always lend some colour.

There is some evidence that children brought up freely and with the maximum of play do not tend to become massminded. Among Summerhill old pupils the only ones I can think of who could easily and enthusiastically cheer in a crowd are the ones who came from Communist homes. Again I cannot get away from the idea that crowd emotion has something to do with the repressed play instinct. I had that impression strongly when, in 1935, I stood in an enormous crowd in the Tempelhof in Berlin when Hitler made a speech. The hilarity of the crowd gave a school-picnic feeling. Only children seek a leader, but free children do not. It almost looks as if

John Opie the Painter

A DIVORCE was an expensive business, with its processes, ecclesiastical and civil, and Opie had a peasant frugality-besides a natural pessimism which never allowed him to be sanguine as to how long his success might lastbut he was determined to be rid of Mary Bunn. The palette had to be scraped

He did not take the mistake he had made tragically. With sardonic Cornish wit he wrote it off one day on a walk with Godwin. They were passing the church of St. Martin-in-the-Fields.

"Ah," said Opic, "I was married in that church."

"Indeed," said Godwin, "and I was christened in it." "Then it's not a good shop, their work

-R. GLYNN GRYLLS: William Godwin and His World.

free children become rank individualists. in spite of their years of self-government in school. Certainly most of my old pupils never seem to want to set the world right; not one has tried to set up a school that will follow, and then better,

MASS ENTHUSIASMS

Summerhill. I am neither surprised nor worried. Mass enthusiasms in youth stem from outside, from adult fashioning or at least inspiration whether they be Hitler Jugend or Boy Scouts or Young-Communist

> -A. S. NEILL: The Free Child.

### Comfortable Myths

THERE is something feeble, and a little contemptible, about a man who cannot face the perils of life without the help of comfortable myths. Almost inevitably some part of him is aware that they are myths and that he believes them only because they are comforting. But he dare not face this thought, and he therefore cannot carry his own reflections to any logical conclusion. Moreover, since he is aware, however dimly, that his opinions are not rational, he becomes furious when they are disputed. He therefore adopts persecution, censorship, and a narrowly cramping education as essentials of statecraft. Insofar as he is successful, he produces a population which is timid and unadventurous and incapable of progress. Authoritarian rulers have always aimed at producing such a population. They have usually succeeded, and by their success have brought their countries to ruin.

> BERTRAND RUSSELL in the Rationalist Annual, 1954.

### Phrases & Realities

WE all know those meetings now—the fraternal handshake, the reek of tobacco, the indistinguishable hubbub of tongues, the frothy violence, the bottomless inanity of abstract discussions, that have less concern with human realities than the curve of the hyperbola through space. We all know that, and sometimes, perhaps, at the sight of some artist or poet like Heine-or, shall we say?, like William Morris-in the sulphurous crater of that volcanic tumult, we have been tempted to exclaim, "Not here, O Apollo, are haunts meet for thee!" But we had best restrain such exclamations, for we have had quite enough of the artistic or philanthropic temperaments that talk a deal about fighting the battle of the poor and the oppressed, but take very good care to keep at a clean and comfortable distance from those whose battle they are fighting, and appear more than content to live amongst the tyrants and oppressors they denounce. And we remind ourselves, further, that what keeps the memory of William Morris sweet is not his wall-papers, his beaten work of bronze or silver, his dreamy tapestries of interwoven silks or verse, but just that strange attempt of his, however vain, however often deceived, to convert the phrases of liberty into realities, and to learn something more about democracy than the spelling of its name.

> -HENRY W. NEVINSON: Words and Deeds.

# Notes for the Malatesta Centenary-4 Anarchist Organization

their interests, always see authority or the threat of authority, in organisation; and for this reason they accept it unwillingly . . . and hope that the day will come when everyone being able, and wanting, to act for themselves, will make organization unnecessary . . . We believe instead that organisation is not a transitory necessity, a question of tactics and of opportunity, but is an inherent need in human society, and must be considered by us as a question of principle. And far from these being a contradiction between the idea of anarchism and that of organiation, we believe that anarchism cannot exist, cannot be conceived, if not as a free organisation, made by the people concerned themselves, of all common interests.

For these same reasons Malatesta believed in organisation among anarchists for the purpose of propaganda and struggle and in his long life as a nulitant many were the polemics with the anti-organisers that took place on this subject. There is a certain amount of confusion in some anarchist circles about Malatesta's views on organisation as expressed in his early and late writings. Briefly, it can be said that his early hopes

From "Il Principio d'organiszazione" in Il Pensicra Rome Oct. 1, 1910.

the present century. His biographer, Luigi Fabbri,<sup>2</sup> quotes from a letter in which Malatesta expressed the view that in 1897 he had more faith and hope in syndicalism than in later years. And in a letter to a comrade written in 1931 he expressed the view that "workers organisations, revolutionary when they are weak, become, with increasing membership and struggle, bureaucratic and conservative, egotistic and political. Perhaps the same would have happened to the Unione Sindacale Italiana [the revolutionary syndicalist organisation with anarchist inspiration], if it had become a majority." But this observation did not prevent Malatesta from adding: "Yet the organisation of the workers, where it can be achieved is the best—and perhaps the only—means for bringing together that necessary nucleus for a resolutive action."3

But his views on anarchist organisation here and now were held firmly and defended with, to our

Luigi Labbri Malatesta, l'Uomo e il Pensiero Naples 1951. Rome Oct. 17, 1931 published in Mula-testa, Scritti Scelti Naples 1947

"There are anarchists—Malatesta once in the revolutionary potentialities of minds, convincing arguments against wrotel-who while admitting that men Syndicalism underwent considerable both the "individualists" and the must organise to defend their ideas and modifications in the first decade of "authoritarian" anarchists, and if the distinction between Malatesta's views on "workers' organisations" and "anarchist organisation" is clearly drawn, there is no reason for suggesting as does G. Fontenis in the current issue of Le Libertaire that it is possible to "interpret" Malatesta's ideas to fit in with the various tendencies in anarchist thought. Whilst Fontenis mentions this to anticipate any attempts that "anti-organisers" and "individualists" might make to claim Malatesta for themselves, he proceeds to do just what he deprecates in others by claiming Malatesta for the French Libertarian Communist Federation (until recently the French Anarchist Federation)!

Those who have followed developments in the French "Anarchist" movement, and the political manœuvres of such personalities as G. Fontenis, and who also have read Malatesta's post-1900 writings as well as the earlier ones, cannot allow these distortions of his thought to pass unchallenged. The French Libertarian Communist Federation and its organ Le Libertaire represent an authoritarian tendency which has developed beyond even the proposals contained in the so-called "Platform" which was a "project for a programme for the organisation of the General Union of Anarchists", published in Paris in 1927 in the name of "a group of Russian anar-chists in Exile". The "platform" was attacked by Malatesta in a long article (Il Risveglio, Oct. 1-15, 1927) and in a letter in reply to one addressed to him on the subject by the guerilla leader Nestor Makhno.

In his attack on the "Platform" Malatesta exposes the authoritarian spirit behind the project, and puts forward his concept of the anarchist organisation.

"It is clear that to achieve their objectives anarchist organisations must be, in their constitution and their functioning, in harmony with the principles of anarchism, and that means that they are in not way tainted with an authoritarian spirit, that they know how to reconcile the free action of the individual with the need for and the pleasure [to be derived] from co-operation, which serve to develop among its members the consciousness and the ability to take initiatives. and act as an example to others, and a

Continued on p. 3

#### Sexual Behaviour in the Human Kintey 47/6 he Rebel Albert Camus 18 Indignant Heart Matthew Word 2/y of American negro worker). Meriners, Renegades and Costaways An experiencian of Harman Moralla) Second-Hund . . . Communist and Co-operative

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP

OPEN DAILY

Sexual Behaviour in the Human

New Books . . .

OPEN 10 a.m. to 6.30: 5.0 SATURDAYS

Kensey 50

Colonies Charles Gide 10/Artists in Uniform Max Eastman 7/6 The Crisis of the Human Parson J. B. Coates 3/6 Technics and Civilization Lewis Mumford 15 Lord Arthur Savilla's Crime Of Mice and Man John Steinback 2/6 The Way of all Flash Samuel Butler 2/6 The Fects of Life Paul Goodman 2.6 Arthur Miller 4/-Focus Lenin

Grapes of Wrath John Steinbeck

4. The Forged Coupon, etc. Les Tolstey 3/4 Periodicals . . .
Perspectives No. 5 (Molecian
Cowley, Kenneth Burke,
Edmund Wiles ) 2/6 Rationalist Annual (Russall

Holdone, Maughann) 2/6
The Libertarian, Vol. 2, No. 2, 3d. Obtainable from 17, RED LION STREET. LONDON, W.C.I

# Freedom

Vol. 14, No. 52 December 26, 1953

### HUMAN PROBLEMS

report actions by public authorities which seem absolutely fantistic in their cruelty and inhumanity and which at the same time epitomize the actions and outlook of authority. In one case a man is sent to prison and the Borough Council Housing Committee proceed to evict his wife and children from their flat. In the second case a magistrate tells a woman in effect that National Assistance is not there to support her illegitimate children.

To anarchists and, we venture to think, all normally constituted humane people, a man who is sent to prison (and certainly his wife and children) and a woman who had no other support than herself or the N.A.B. for her illegitimate children are unfortunate. They are not people on whom fortune has smiled. Whatever one may think about them in critical terms (this is regarding stealing or illegitimacy), one is sorry for them and one's impulse is to help them.

Not so authority. Speaking with Conservative Housing Committee Chairman Mr. R. Burrell, it declares that "It is not in the public interest that wrong-doers be provided with accommodation while the housing situation is as it is." And again (this time it is Conservative Alderman S. H. Crosse speaking); "People guilty of unsociable actions should not occupy council flats. Other tenants have to be considered..."

Evil likes to masquerade under the pretence of righteousness. "Other tenants have to be considered". In such cases as we know, other tenants come very much to the assistance of wives whose husbands are gaoled. Other tenants are frequently inbued with an instinct of mutual aid in these sad situations ("It is a very unhappy case," declared the Conservative alderman), such that authority but seldom shows. We do not know in this case. Perhaps the Alderman's concern for the other tenants is not wholly hypocritical—they may have complained. If so the authorities should have behaved with mercy and forbearance, whereas they have supported such envious complaints supposing they were indeed ever made.

What of the other case? The mother of illegiumate children lived on assistance and would not take a job and send the kids to a nursery. So the magistrate orders her to take

a jub or he will send her to prison. Now what is the position of a mother of illegitimate -- that is, socially fatherless children? To go to work she must send them to a nursery for which there is often a charge, or find a minder which also costs money. Such a relinquishing her position a WOULD only justify itself to her if her income were so increased that she could effect considerable material improvements in the way her children were brought up. In fact, as miserable as National Assistance payments are they are often greater than the difference between minding and nursery charges and the kind of wage many working mothers can afforo.

Even if it is economically possible it means separation of children from their mother, a proceeding which everybody who has to do with children knows to be fraught with danger for the character and future of the child. Yet authority in this case says: "Separate or go to prisonand so separate in any case".

A humane society would regard such a woman as unfortunate and it would cherish her. Those who occupy the lowest position in the social scale are the very people who are most in need of love, who most need to feel that society has affection for them and that they belong. The welfare State and its higher admin-

# What is Obscenity?

THE Antiquarian Bookman, the American "Specialist Book Trade Weekly" in listing the contradictory rulings of American Courts as to what constitutes obscenity in literature shows quite clearly that legally there is no clear definitionwhich of course is not surprising. Is it not time that the stupid nosey-parkers and self-appointed protectors of our morals busied themselves with some other more useful occupation? They should be now realise that suppression only whets the appetites of those who seek pleasure in pornographic literature, and in our society there are always those who are prepared to take a risk to make money and who therefore "cash-in" on this demand which cannot be satisfied through the normal channels. The blackmarket in pornography is so well known as to be a music hall joke and all the vice-squads in the world will not succeed in wiping it out, if only for the fact that among those who are the most vocal in their denunciations of this "disgusting" and "filthy" stuff are surely some secret pornography fans, and they will see to it that the business is kept within bounds for the sake of appearances, but wipe it out? Oh dear, no!

What is Obscenity?

At one time or another, the courts have held

- Indelicacy and bad taste are obscene.
   Indelicacy and rudeness are not obscene.
- 3. The language, not the meaning, contains the obscenity.
- 4. The meaning, not the language, con-
- tains the obscenity.

  5. A suspicion of obscenity is enough to
- convict.

  6. A suspicion of obscenity is enough to convict.
- enough to convict.
- 7. A word is, of itself, obscene.
- 8. Words, of themselves, are not obscene.
- 9. The effect on the child is the test of
- obscenity.

  10. The effect on the average person is
- the test.

  11. The effect on the lewd is the test.
- 12. The effect on the pure is the test.

  13. The effect on the reader is immaterial.
- 14. Intent of author is the test.
- 15. Intent of author is immaterial.
- Comparing one book with another is test.
- 17. Comparisons are immaterial.

  18. Medical books are not obscene.
- 19. Medical books are not obscene:

  truth is not a defense.

20. Excerpts from the Bible are obscene.
21. Nothing in the Bible violates the law.
Censor's Guide

The thinnest ice on which customs inspectors are obliged to skate involves art and literature. What may look like a hunk of bronze on which duty should be paid, Kennedy warns the class, may actually be a work of art, which gets in free. Such, to the embarrassment of customs men who held it up, was the verdict of three United States Customs Court judges in the famous case of Bird in Space, a sculpture by the Rumanian modernist, Brancusi. Nor are books sprinkled with four-letter words necessarily obscenities which regulations require to be destroyed. Customs discovered this when it seized copies of James Joyce's novel, "Ulysses", only to have United States District Judge John M. Woolsey rule that it was not obscene. On the other hand, many a gusty classic remains on Custom's black list-the Decameron and the massive German survey of sex in the arts, Edward Fuchs' "Sittengeschichte", to name two of the most sought-after-and these, Kennedy reassures his students, they will be fairly safe in confiscating. Regarding those two books and several others, however, city and state censors evidently do not share Customs' dim view. While the books cannot be legally imported, editions published here are sold openly by many

—School for Customs Inspectors, from

The Saturday Evening Post,
July 11, 1953.

[Ed. Note: "The Decameron" was legally 'cleared' as admissible in a Federal Court decision in 1930].

# Film Review A World I Never Made

THE JUGGLER (Berkeley)

with ideas, like "They Came to a City" or "The Captain of Kopenick", both of which were shown off the commercial over the commercial of the commercial of the commercial of the commercial circuits. Hollywood (and the box-office) are afraid of ideas.

Unfortunately, Edward Dymytryck, the director of "The Juggler" became afraid of his own idea and "The Juggler" never completely came off.

The opening scenes are very promising. A boatload of Jewish refugees is sailing into Haifa harbour, the disembarking passengers are met with cheers, they crowd into 'buses and are driven off into the all-too-familiar surroundings of the barbed-wire.

A father has difficulty in persuading his small daughter to get off the 'bus, "You promised me we wouldn't go into a camp again," she cries. Hans Muller, a juggler (played by Kirk Douglas), has also descended from the bus and says: "That's one of the things you have to learn about people, they never keep their promises." A camp official intervenes. "We're doing the best we can under the circumstances." "And that's another thing about people, they always make excuses"

The refugees are ushered into a hall where they have to answer a question-naire. Muller replies that he is a juggler by profession. They are conducted into the dormitory and soon a call comes for plumbers and carpenters and Muller

realizes he is alone with a blind man a frail, old man. Even the new of Israel has no use for his talents. From this point the story deterior Muller walks out of the camp, is cornered by a policeman, who, in an attack of claustrophobic panic, he mistakes for a Nazi and seriously injures. From this point it develops into a cops-and-robbers chase. Douglas is befriended by a 'Young Pioneer' who conducts him across Israel. The 'Young Pioneer' is a rather priggish boy-scout type who is injured in a minefield placed in front of kibbutz to keep out the Arabs.

At this point in the story there enters the wholly deplorable, but box-office desirable, love element in the person of a campus cutie in shorts with a rifle who never convinces one that she was nearer a kibbutz than Broadway.

The small girl whom Muller has befriended is interviewed by the police for her photograph and she lies like a saint to protect her friend, which is far more than any of the adults do, however the adults assure her that it's for her friend's own good and she hands it over.

The film closes with Muller deciding not to resist and overcoming his claustrophobia by deciding to stay at the kibbutz with the kuty.

But the main problem of the film has been side-stepped, the archetype of our time is the refugee and the world has no place for the "neurotic" artist, particularly in an autarchic society like Israel. It is no solution to psycho-analyze him and fit him into a society which has no room for the artist for it is the world which is neurotic and no psycho-analyst's couch is big enough to contain it.

### UNTOUCHABILITY STILL RIFE IN INDIA

TO Western modes of thought one of the most difficult aspects of Indian life is "Untouchability" and the caste system. Indians themselves were (and, in Africa, still are) subject to the colour bar prejudices of Europeans. But the Hindu caste system is far more complicated and far reaching in its effect, being accepted both by caste Hindus and the untouchables and low caste groups themselves

Gandhi devoted a considerable part of his practical teaching to breaking

down this rigid hierarchical system which condemned untouchables to a permanently outcast position. One of the results of his work was the forbidding of untouchability under the Indian Constitution (Article 17), but the difficulties of eradicating such ideas is shown by a report of the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Tribes, discussed by the House of the People on December 18.

"The report gives only a sketch of the condition of 51 million untouchables and

19 million so-called scheduled tribesmen, but it was found that in the rural areas, which means most of India, orthodoxy, superstition, and resistance to reform prevailed over a more enlightened approach. In Madya Pradesh, for instance, untouchables were still not allowed to use village wells or to enter temples, and in Madras they were too afraid to use shops, bathing ghats, and roads for fear of offending the caste Hindus who employ them.

"In Saurashtra they could not ride horses or eat ghee (clarified butter) and the wearing of personal ornaments by women was frowned upon. In the Punjab they walked miles to fetch water or waited hours at wells for caste Hindus to draw the water for them and pour it into pits, 'for direct pouring into their vessels would be pollution'. In some villages they tried to draw water under police protection, but 'caste Hindus stoutly resisted'.

"Last year Rajput landlords shot men when they refused forced labour, stood for election to village assemblies, and gave up 'their profession of flaying skins of dead animals'. Punitive expeditions were made by the police and villages were fined, but the terrorized untouchables were long afraid to cultivate their lands without protection.

"The untouchables are generally afraid to claim their new civic rights and degrees of untouchability divide them. In Mysore, the commission reports, untouchability is experienced even between Adidrae Vidas and Adi-Karnitka, both of whom belong to the scheduled castes, and they have separate wells."

"The Home Minister, Dr. Katju, in

"The Home Minister, Dr. Katju, in opening the debate, said that a Bill would be introduced to penalize the practice of untouchability, but persuasive rather than coercive methods were required to bring about a change of heart."

(Times Delhi Correspondent, 19/12/53).

This shows once again that legal prohibition is inadequate to put an end to a social evil. But it also shows what formidable difficulties he in the way of the attempt to abolish practices and ways of thought which are well rooted in religion and tradition, especially in a country like India where tradition plays so powerful (and colourful) a part.

Nevertheless, the legal prohibn: on of untouchability and the present concern about difficulties of making such prohibition effective also show that Gandhi has achieved the beginning of a revolution, in thought regarding easte. In the last resort the replacement of traditional ideas by more humane ones depends on the efforts by individuals.

# Malatesta & Anarchist Organisation Continued from p. 2

moral and material preparation for the future we hope to achieve."

To the "Platform's" suggestions that Executive Committees and "collective responsibility" are anarchist concepts Malatesta replies:

"In my opinion anarchist organisation must be founded on quite different bases to those proposed by those Russian comrades.

'Full autonomy, full independence, and thus full responsibilty, of the individuals and the groups; free agreement among those who believe in the use of coming together to co-operate for a common purpose; the moral duty to carry out one's accepted commitments and do nothing which is contrary to the accepted programme. On these bases the practical formulas, and the suitable instruments to give real life to the organisation are adopted. Follow the groups, the federations of groups, the federations of federations, the meetings, congresses, correspondence committees, etc. But all this must be done freely, in such a way as not to fetter the thought and initiative of individuals, and only in order to render more effective those efforts, which made in solution, would be impossible or hardly effective

And Malatesta goes on to show that anarchist congresses with all their defects

"are free from any authoritarianism, because they do not lay dawn the law, and do not impose their deliberations on others. They were the purpose of maintaining and increasing the personal contact between the most active comrades,

of summarising and encouraging the programmatical study of ways and means for action; to inform everybody on the conditions and on the activity most required in the various regions; the formulation of the different opinions current among anarchists and to express it statistically, as it were. Their decisions are not obligatory rules, but suggestions, advice, proposals to be submitted to all concerned, and do not become binding and executive except for those who accept them....

"In an anarchist organisation the individual members can profess all opinions and use all tactics which are not in contradiction with the accepted principles and do not prejudice the activity of the otthers. In all cases a particular organisation will last so long as there is a greater measure of unity than of dissension; otherwise it dissolves and makes way for other more homogenous groupings."

But always the organisation, as Malatesta conceived it, had to have a life of its own, a raison d'etre:

"Experience teaches us that organisations created by the initiative of a few individuals, and without the need for it being felt by the many, in the hope that they will eventually grow and justify their existence, either remain sterile and die, or they confine means with ends and become an end in themselves and use up their energies in usaless formalities, and are a bindrance rather than a help to the movement.

Thus to those comrades who were proposing vast national and international federations Malatesta suggested first things first. The important thing was to "continue to form groups, increase contacts between them and to bring them together in local, provincial and regional federations. If then the actual needs of the work demanded the formal constitution of a national federation then the demand would rise from all and and such a federation would be

justified and useful."4

Can comrade Fontenis and his friends of the French L.C.F. still claim Malatesta? They, who in creating the "homogenous", "strong"

organisation, have expelled or driven away individuals and groups from Whose journal Le Liberataire speaks with one voice, that of the National Committee, and rejects every contribution which does not conform to the "party line", which disagrees on questions of fact or with opinions expressed in its columns? It is because the columns of Le Libertaire are not open to criticism that we have felt it our duty to introduce in these notes on the Malatesta centenary our protest at this wilful distortion of his ideas which one would expect from a communist journal, but hardly in one which professes to be anarchist or, as they now call themselves, libertarian-commun-

Perhaps there is something in the change of title. In Malatesta's early days there was not sinister meaning attached to the word communist. It is significant that the *Libertaire* should adopt it now that the word "communism" is discredited and tainted with authoritarianism, and drop the word "anarchism".

But though we have no illusions that our protest will influence these "libertarians", its introduction in these notes on Malatesta's thought is not altogether out of place, for in our view they underline his foresight and his understanding of human behaviour which informed all his writings and give them an importance and validity which are as real to-day as they were when they were written.

Trom the article Per l'organizzacione avar le a in Volonte Dec. 6, 1913. V.R.

(To be continued)

istrators Borough Councillors and magistrates, for example on the other hand always show this righteous, judging, attitude. They talk of "wrongdoers" of "laziness", "irresponsibility" and so on, and they succeed in planting bitterness, resentment, and hopelessness in the hearts and characters of the unfortunate.

Letter from Denmark

COPENHAGEN, Dec. 10.

The read with increasing surprise distress your interesting article November 7th issue "False Friends in therty and, later, "Telephone Tapagam" in the November 28th issue.

what especially arrested my attention was the English Postal Act of 1953 (1), ting the Government right to open s under various pretexts, they may to or not, without any kind of judicial hearing. This is certainly an ominous when such a law is perpetrated in was always regarded as one of the freest countries in the world, the believer in true democracy must wonder where repression and interference with private rights will end. No doubt, this deplorable Act was passed chiefly for political purposes, for the suppression of Communist propaganda, that is; and probably t am justified to think that here again there is question of some sort of the abominable U.S. "witch-hunt" infection, spreading gradually all over the socalled free world. Yet, once granted the power, who knows to what lengths a government will carry it? In this connecthe possible purposes and motives behind the Act are of no importance because it will under all circumstances, ate the most fundamental rights of the single citizen, rights which we, somewhat naively as it seems, consider a vital part of our Constitution-only "the politicians have their game" as you so correcity put it.

#### N.U.R. Leaders' Hoax

Continued from p. 1 Congrutulations—for Some

Everyone connected with the ne-Stations—Sir Walter, the Trans-Commission, the N.U.R.—are therefore to be congratulated on their fine efforts. The only interesparty with no cause for congratu-

lation is the rank and file of the N.U.R.—the 400,000 railway workers whose standard of living was the cause of the whole affair. But they are not being considered.

And what's more, they won't be considered as long as they fall for the slimy antics of a leadership which sells them out in this fantastic The railway workers were ready for strike action-and they would have won that strike, make no mis-

take about that. 400,000 skilled railmen cannot be replaced as easily as 3,000 lorry drivers were in the petrol strike. But now the impetus has been lost. The leaders started leading and led them up the garden path. They diverted the certainty of an unofficial strike into the certainty of failure through negotiation and the railmen are left out in the cold, facing the winter with four shillings.

# "Ghost Registration-Office"

It might be of interest to your readers to learn that even here and Denmark was essentially and in almost every imaginable respect a free country before 1939 a certain department of the police has been established, or rather enormously expanded, the purpose of which is to enrol as many Danish citizens as possible who are, according to an occasional policeman's judgment, liable to behave as a "threatening danger to the State" in a "critical situation", F.B.I. being evidently the beau ideal. The most secret (and surely also most valuable!) work of this special police force is not, as someone might be inclined to think. a result of orders by a Hitler or a Stalin, oh no: it has been thus established or rather enormously expanded years after the final surrender of the Germans, and there is a very suspiciously close connection between this new branch of the police and our membership of the 'Atlantic Pact"

Generally speaking, the U.S. influence in all countries adhering to this Pact seems in my opinion to be no less than fatal to the citizen's rights in these coun-

The existence and special sort of work done in our country by the above mentioned police department-until a year ago unknown to the vast majority of the Danish population because its activity was, for obvious reasons, kept clandestine and surreptitious-was revealed in an article published in our biggest newspaper Politiken, November 2nd, 1952. written by a prominent man, Aage Schoch, one of the founders and leaders of the Danish Liberation Movement during the German occupation, for half a year himself arrested by the Gestapo, later chief editor of Berlingske Tidende, which is our principal conservative paper. Consequently, Mr. Schoch is far from being a communist, he is only a truly liberty-loving man, and the background for his article was the fact that a highranking Danish official had been "recommended" not to undertake a planned journey to Korea. The official in question, being unable to understand the motives of this "recommendation", insisted on full information and it then turned up both that the unfavourable particulars about him were drawn from a special police department (now baptized "ghost-registration-office" - "ghost" because, as mentioned, nobody ought to know anything about it, officially) and that the information given by this department was entirely false; closely pressed, the police had to admit that there was a question of gossip and nothing but gossip, filed, however, with the utmost care and, as we have learned, used against the man.

The then Minister of Justice (=your Home Secretary) was now asked some unpleasant questions in our "House of Commons" ("Folketinget"), and during the whole month of November, 1952, almost all Danish papers were filled with articles about this interesting "ghostregistration-office". It was officially admitted, with great reluctance, it is true, that such a department actually existed and that it worked in collaboration with

**ALEX COMFORT:** 

PETER KROPOTKIN :

Barbarism & Sexual Freedom

boards 3s. 6d.

overty and the State cloth 2s. 6d., paper 1s.

Delinquency

ly, all sorts of information about the people's alleged or real-political opinions, membership of certain parties, articles in the papers, political statements given in public, etc.—at least, as it seems, if these opinions, etc. were in contrast to the Government's military and foreign policy-were carefully filed, together with mere gossip! The Minister of Justice declared this secret department (the work of which should be "conducive to the registration of potentially 'dangerous individuals'") necessary and not at all in conflict with the Constitution; but the Minister was, unfortunately, unable to afford any guarantee as to the verification and control of information thus gathered and filed: registration and estimation of every bit of information was left to the discretion of anonymous police officers.

Several protests by politicians who pretend to be liberal-minded were raised -but, curious as it may seem, exactly the same thing happened as in the letteropening case related by you: the whole matter died down quite peacefully. A month's sierce public and political discussions-the rest is silence: again the politicians have had their game.

That what we call "law" and "human rights" could only be based upon some sort of power, the necessary prerequiste

to the enforcement of laws and rights, ought to be an unquestionable fact for every person who is thinking along scientific lines, however common the confidence in "ideals behind" these rights and laws might be, both among uneducated people and among people with scientific training and insight, both groups being equally naïve, evidently. The difference between a totalitarian state and a socalled democracy, however, was, even to people who are less naïve and more realistic in their viewpoints, that in a totalitarian state the actual life of the citizens in their relation to the government is only to a negligible degree determined by a body of laws and statutes because the caprices of the "leader" and the raison d'Etat are in all cases paramount, the laws being entirely subordinated and a mere fiction-while in a so-called democracy the Constitution and the laws were more than a fiction; we were inclined to believe that the democratic government had a real respect for the Constitution, and that the laws influenced this government's actions to such a high degree that these actions could be predicted rather satisfactorily and that, accordingly, every thinking citizen would be able to anticipate what was likely to happen in most situations where he and the Authorities were involved, under the evident condition that he knew the law,

mentality of the judges.

The sad lesson of the cases mentioned above is that this supposed difference between a totalitarian state and a democracy, as expressed in the Constitution, the body of laws, the administration of justice, the methods of investigation and especially!—the respective government's actual obedience to this Constitution, etc., is progressively decreasing.

The explanation of a violation of justice and of human rights by reference to the existence of a highly efficient foreign espionage system is not convincing, however complicated a problem we are here facing The members of a spy underground movement know that they are engaged in unlawful activity, and that they will, if detected, be tried and severely punished, by virtue of the law. To fight against such unlawful activity by unlawful means and secret police methods which are in flagrant conflict with the democratic Constitution is equivalent to destruction of the very roots of democracy

Every government and every politican who approves, implicitly or explicitly, of unlawful methods and of violations of human rights which are founded on the laws of democratic Constitution, is making a fatal step towards the totali-tarian police state. No explanation and no pretext will ever conceal this fact.

### PRISONS - The Black Fower of Civilisation

(continued from last issue)

Government still deals with criminals by the old-fashioned process of punishment, but science and philantrophy concur in pronouncing that the grand remedial agency for crime is prevention, and not cure. And only the smaller fry among criminals get caught. Jails are made for the unskilful, not for the criminal. Wealth is impunity. If we punished all the crimes that are committed. we should send every real-estate man, every landlord, every financier, every banker, every industrialist, in short, every monopolist in the country to prison for theft. The actions by which most men become rich are far more harmful to the community than the obscure crimes of poor men. And yet they go unpunished, because the Law is not, as Galsworthy said "a majestic edifice sheltering us all," but is simply an instrument for the maintenance of exploitation and the dominance of the idle rich over the toiling masses. Money, the representative of privileged property, which the State only exists to defend, is the weapon the State puts into the hands of the class in whose interest it exists to oppress the poor and weak. Theft is only punished because it attacks the right of property; but the right is itself a theft, so that the law punishes theft because it attacks theft. Robbery and other offences against private property are punished with the harshest and most horrible severity. The wealthy have no temptation to commit them. The little thieves are sent to prison, but the big ones are at large and enjoy public respect. When the ideal of society is material gain or possession, and the thirst for riches acquired by every possible means is the essence of bourgeois society, the object of its special condemnation is the thiefnot the rich thief, for he is already in possession and respectable, but the poor thief. In bourgeois society a good man is one with an empty head and plenty of money. There is nothing so respectable as being well-off. The respectability of to-day is the respectabilty of property. Respectability of the offspring of a

Dullards and idiots, the servile, the nant and controlling influence in human

liason between a bald head and a bank

account."-AMBROSE BIERCE.

affairs, they set the fashions of opinions and taste, and occupy most of the high places in politics, art, literature, science and theology. Liberty is an empty name to the bourgeois, and truth at best but a verbal veil for the sycophantic falsehood of a mercenary spirit. Religion is a useful consoler of the hungry and good preserver of the well-fed. The gospel tells us, cries the priest, who undertakes the management of your spiritual affairs as a means of improving his temporal ones, that there will always be poor people; and that property, consequently,

so far as it is a privilege and makes

people, poor, is sacred. A robber is a

candid man of affairs.

The little thieves who are to-day solemnly denounced as enemies to society, because the accumulation of private wealth is our great good, are protesting against that fruit of pillage, property. The malefactor is the chief factor in the progress of the human race. The best among the poor are discontented, rebellious, and disobedient. Why should they be treated as instruments of production? Why should they toil for the rich, weave silks and wear rags, build palaces and live in hovels? Why should the few engross all the advantages of life? Down with private property! There is no wealth in the world but this,

"Seeing the rogueries of little men and the extortions of the great in office, one is tempted to look upon Society as a wood infested by robbers, the most dangerous being the constables sent to arrest the others."-NICNOLAS CHAMFORT.

The main supports of crime are idleness, law and authority, poverty and want. Society has no right to punish any one for any anti-social acts he may commit, because the organisation of society is always and everywhere the one cause of all the crimes committed by men. Men are made criminals and vicious by poverty, ignorance, bad example and hatred resulting from inequality, and the struggle for life. Educate people to help and love each other; and crime will become an anachronism. A society is infinitely more corrupted and brutalised by the trammels of obedience, the very essence of law, by the habitual employment of punishment, a specious name but in reality nothing but force put upon one being by another who happens to be stronger, by judges, executioners and policemen-in a word, by all the degrading effects of law, authority and religion, than it is by the occasional occurrence of crime. The government and police foster and abate a great many crimes, prevent and cure none. Crime increases with the growth of poverty and injustice fostered by the State. Anarchy will demolish the prisons, those monuments of human hypocrisy and cowardice. A law inflicting penalties is an abomination which will cease to exist. Although there will be no police or prisons, no more laws and no more judges, men will agree together. Crimes resulting from government, its oppression and injustice, and from private property will disappear under Anarchy. These constitute by fur the greatest percentage of crimes. A very few people may continue to commit crimes; but they will be treated with care and kindness. They

will be fitter subjects for a hospital than a prison. But they will not be compelled even to be cared for by doctors. As Max Stirner wrote: "Curative means or healing is only the reverse side of punishment, the theory of cure runs parallel with the theory of punishment; if the latter sees it as an action against right. the former takes it for a sin of the man against himself, as a decadence from his health." Anti-social acts need not be feared in a society of equals, all of whom have acquired a healthy education and the habit of mutually aiding one another. Liberty is the chief essential to human happiness, and the remedy for every social evil. In a free society the plague spots of vice and poverty in our great cities will vanish like mists before the rising sun.

DOUGLAS MUIR MACTAGGART.

### HAVE YOU INTRODUCED A NEW READER TO FREEDOM?

# FREEDOM PRESS

The Expanding Environment 8s. 6d. V. RICHARDS: Lessons of the Spanish Revolution 6s.

MARIE-LOUISE BERNERI : Neither East nor West cloth 10s. 6d., paper 7s. 6d. Workers in Stalin's Russia 1s. SELECTIONS FROM FREEDOM Vol. 1, 1951, Mankind is One

Vol. 2, 1952, Postscript to Posterity paper 78. 6d. TONY GIBSON :

Youth for Freedom paper 2s. Food Production and Population 6d. Who will do the Dirty Work? 2d. PHILIP SANSOM :
Syndicalism—The Workers'

Next Step ERRICO MALATESTA : Anarchy Vote -- What For? M. BAKUNIN I Marxism, Freedom and the State.

HERBERT READ . Art and the Evolution of Mun 48 Existentialism, Marxism and

Anarchism
Poetry and Anarchism
cloth 5s., paper 2s. 6d.
The Philosophy of Anarchism
boards 2s. 6d., paper 1s.
The Education of Free Men 1s.
RUDOLF ROCKER 1 K. J. KENAFICK 1 Nationalism and Culture

The State: Its Historic Rôle 1s
The Wage System 3d
Revolutionary Government 3d
Organised Vengeance Called Justice ALEXANDER BERKMAN: ABC of Anarchism JOHN HEWETSON : Sexual Freedom for the Young 6d. Ill-Health, Poverty and the State GEORGE WOODCOCK : Anarchy or Chaos New Life to the Land Railways and Society Homes or Hovels? What is Anarchism?

> F. A. RIDLEY:
> The Roman Catholic Church
> and the Modern Age Marie-Louise Berneri Memorial Committee publications : Marie-Louise Bernerl, 1918-1949: A Tribute

Journey Through Utopia cloth 16s. (U.S.A. \$2.50)

Michael Bakunin and Karl Morx

27, Red Lion Street, cloth 21s. London, W.C.I.

# Special Appeal

December 9th to December 19th

EB///0: Los Angeles: per "Noi" £7/7/0: Chicago: R.C. £3/10/0: Vancouver: L.B. 14/-; San Francisco: Part Proceeds Nor 14 £17/10/0: Perma: H.P. £1/5/0; Edgware: L.A.P. 1/3.

Previously ecknowledged

V.R.: Landon: J.L.

Horwich: W.H.B. 5/6; Freshwater: D.A. £1; Llenelly: E.G.R. 2/2; London: G.G. 3/7; Dublin: Anon. 5/3; London: R.M. 5/4; Per O.M. E. Boston, Mass.: Circolo Aurora E8/1/0: Los Angeles; per "Noi" £7/7/0;

€553 0 9 1953 TOTAL TO DATE

GIFTS OF BOOKS: Rachdele: J.R.; London:

#### MEETINGS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP OPEN AIR MEETINGS

Weather Permitting HYDE PARK Sundays at 3.30 p.m. TOWER HILL Tuesdays at 12.30 p.m.

NORTH-EAST LONDON DISCUSSION MEETINGS IN EAST HAM Alternate Wednesdays at 7.30 p.m.

**GLASGOW** INDOOR MEETINGS every Friday at 7.30 p.m. at 200 Buchanan Street. Speakers: Mark Kramisch, Hugh Mc-

#### FREEDOM

Cutcheon and others.

The Anarchist Weekly Postal Subscription Rates:
12 months 17/- (U.S.A. \$3.00)
6 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$1.50)
3 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$0.75) Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies
12 months 27/- (U.S.A. \$4.50)
6 months 13/6 (U.S.A. \$2.25) Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers: FREEDOM PRESS 27 Red Lion Street London, W.C.I England
Tel.: Chancery 444

Printed by Express Printers, Landon, R.I. Published by Freedom Press. 27 Red Lion Street, London, W.C.I.