"The primary aim of modern warfare . . . is to use up the products of the machine without raising the standard of living." -GEORGE ORWELL. ("WAR IS PEACE" in 1984)

Vol. 12, No. 28

September 8th, 1951

Threepence

THE LABOUR PARTY'S "DOUBLE-THINK"

PEACE IS W

HE policy statement issued last week by the National Executive of about Party with the title "Our Duly—Peace", is designed to offset influence of the Bevanite manifesto Way Only", at the Trade Union ress and the Labour Party's annual ence at Scarborough next month. Bevan-Wilson statement quarrelled, ith rearmament but with the scale The National Execuview is that the arms programme sents what all consider the miniequired to deter aggression and so a Third World War". The part of the document is there-voted to a series of platitudes to t the hardly original or historically ful doctrine, "If you wish for prepare for war." The emphasis aking the rich pay", which has so ed the anti-Labour press has ob y been inserted in order to steal nunder of "One Way Only". The nent declares that: "High rates of tax and surtax have already ed an enormous redistribution of the in Britain. In 1938, after taxes been paid, only £39 out of every of personal incomes went to wage and £24 to salary earners. In the share of wages had gone up 7 out of each £100. The share of earners was about the same at The increase in the share of the almost entirely to a reduction in the taken by those who live on unned income.

this means, presumably, that after six ars of "Britain's wartime revolution", id seven years of "the silent revolution our midst", the workers' share has creased by 8 per cent., and that 28 per of personal incomes still goes to eople who do not earn it. And this despite the increase in the working opulation and increased productivity, t should not be imagined, however, rom the talk of "sharing the burden" that it is these people who are going to pay for the arms race, for, "to pay for rearmament some sacrifice must inevitbe made by everyone." The worker and his wife will discover the meaning of this every time they go shopping. The homeless and the old will find what is meant by the phrase, "There is need for common sense and restraint on the part of everyone in the community."

The statement says that, "Investment in coal, electricity, gas, coke ovens, railways, roads, and petroleum during 1951 will be higher than in 1950. The pro-gramme of new building for the manufacturing industry will be about the same. There will be bigger investment in education. The housing programme will bigger investment be maintained.

But it does not add that increased expenditure will fail to rise with increased costs and consequently that real investment will be less. And it does not add that housing and educational authorities in many parts of the country are abandoning new projects for lack of materials diverted to armaments industries.

What will happen when the three-year programme is completed? It will be dis-covered that Russia and its satellites have rearmed to keep pace with America and its satellites, and that consequently the "security minimum" will have to be increased, another programme embarked

But research in the development of lethal weapons continues at a rate faster than their production. It is the field of research that advances most rapidly-because the demand is greatest. Germany lost the battle for air supremacy in the last war because its enemies, through starting, later were able to produce more advanced machines. the accumulation of armaments will have be used up somewhere before they become obsolete. This is the ultimate implication of "Our First Duty-Peace". is the implication of "policies" of the Labour Party's political opponets, who would serve up the same

dish with different trimmings.

And this is the policy which after suitable back-stage revolts and whipcracking, the Trades Union Congress and the Labour Party Conference will endorse. "We do not accept the view that was is inevitable," says the state-fent. It might as well have added: "But we'll do our bit to make it so.'

Railmen Attack Centralisation

IN an article entitled "The Commune and the Syndicates" (FREEDOM, 21/7/51). I wrote: 'Anarchists and Syndicalists are not ashamed to pronounce their Region-

It is with some interest, therefore, that I read of a protest organised by footplate workers of the Western Region of the British Railways. For this protest specifically pronounced the Regionalism of some 3,200 signatories who found the administration of the Railway Executive "reckless and inefficient"

The protest took the form of an open letter, which six railmen from Swansea, S. Wales—four drivers and two firemen-brought to London to present to the Minister of Transport.

The number of signatories represents a fifth of the sixteen thousand locomo-tive engineers and firemen working on the Western Region, and the letter may

thus be said to be representative of only a minority viewpoint. The fact is, how-ever, that workers as a whole (even Welsh workers!) are slow to put pen to paper on matters of administration, and that the initiative to do so was taken and that the initiative to do so was taken and, without, as far as I know, the machinery of any political organisation to "help" them, they were able to gather so much support indicates a fairly high general measure of agreement among their fellow workers. Add to this the fact that the workers launching the initiative elegally feel were strangely about tiative clearly feel very strongly about it and can thus become an influential "ginger group", and it seems likely that we have not heard the last from the regionalists of S. Wales.

For, of course, they were not made exactly welcome at the Ministry of Transport. This Ministry's offices are situated, like the National Coal Board, in Berkeley Square (where nowadays even the nightingales cannot be heard above the rattle of bureaucratic teacups) and when the six delegates arrived there, threading their way among the sleek limousines, they were refused sleek limousines, they were refused audience with the Minister himself, Mr. Alfred Barnes, and had to present their message to an underling, and leave.

They were indeed optimistic if they thought they could see the great man himself. If London dockers are not allowed to see their own union boss, Mr. Deakin, when they call at Transport House, what chance have these provin-cials of seeing a Minister of the Crown? After all, democracy has its limits. (I'll say it has!)

The open letter read:

"We, the undersigned locomotive enginemen and firemen of the Western Region, make a sincere appeal for the termination of the reckless and inefficient administration of the Railway Executive over the Western Region.

"In the interests of the safety of the railway passengers and ourselves we demand a complete control over the Western Region by our own regional officers, who were former Great Western Railway experts, to resussitate the Great Western Railway's highly efficient mechanical, technical, and welfare administration over the Western Region.

"We, as British subjects, fell it is our "We, as British subjects, fell it is our solemn duty to have at least one region of the British Railways to pay its way, to run speedily and, above all, safely. We recommend a public inquiry without delay into the rapidly deteriorating situation on the Western Region which compels footplatemen to seek alternative employment." employment.

The points raised in this letter are too numerous to discuss more than briefly here, while hoping to return to them at a later date. But what stands out a mile is that these workers feel responsibility for the running of their railway. The objection to workers control that "workers don't want the responsibility" is shown clearly in a statement like this open letter to be absolute nonsense.

It is true that the letter asks for control by experts—which is not the same as workers' control, but, as I have argued before, the technicians must be included in the term "workers" if they are concerned with production and not with finance. And it is obviously much better for the workers that administra-tion should be in the hands of those who know the job rather than those who do

The railways are second only to the coal-mines in the incidence of industrial accidents. Working on the railways is a dangerous job, and it becomes dangerous for the passengers too when adminis-tration is not in the hands of those directly doing the work.

We may feel the opening of the third paragraph to be rather pompously worded and capitalist-minded, but the sting lies in the tail. Footplatemen, more highly-paid than station staff, and years of training, are leaving the industry because they are dissatisfied with conditions after they had hoped for so much from nationalisation—that is why they

want their Region to pay its way.

Political and state-minded thinkers have told us for years that centralised planning makes for efficiency. They have had their way and are being proved wrong. The workers urge for responsibility as averagesed in the open letter. bility as expressed in the open letter above can only find its full expression through a syndicalist movement aiming at the most de-centralised form of con-trol—Workers' Control.

COMMENTARY FOREIGN KOREAN

ELSEWHERE we reproduce an editorial Industrial Worker (organ of the LW.W.) which deals with the Korea Truce talks a very similar vein to the one in which it was dealt in this column last week. And the New York anarchist weekly l'Adunata dei Refrattari in its issue for September 1st, refers to the Kaesong armistice talks as a "tragic farce" in which "the two sides are in agreement on only one point: of not concluding an armistice which might end the military operations and the shedding the military operations and the shedding of blood on both sides." Quoting from of blood on both sides." Quoting from the official figures of American casualties published in *Time*, our contemporary shows how during the two months use-lessly spent by the peace delegates in wrangling over procedure, 500 American soldiers have been killed and 2,200 wounded on the Korean battlefield. And from these figures one can guess what casualties there must be among the

Koreans, Chinese and other troops engaged in this senseless struggle.

New York Herald Tribune (N.Y. edition) in a pointed editorial "Koreans are People" discusses the tremendous problems created for the Koreans by this war: "Americans are appalled—and rightly—at the terrible cost in young American lives of the fighting in Korea. Yet large though the American casualty list seems, more than twenty times that number of Korean civilians are dead, wounded or missing, if official Korean estimates are to be believed. Like Americans, these Koreans are peo-ple. Like the rest of us, they are human beings, with the same sensitivity to pain and the same desire to live. More than 2,000,000 Korean civilians have been killed, according to Korean estimates, and countless others have been uprooted from their homes or from the rubble of what was once their homes. Con-sidering Korea's population—only about 28,000,000—this would make the civilian disaster one of the worst, relatively, in history. Vast areas of the country have felt the crushing weight of war's steamroller-not once, not twice, but several times, as the contending armies swayed back and forth.

The editorial writer suggests that Americans are not sufficiently conscious of this tragedy—and we would add that the observation applies to this country as well. It may be because Korea is so far away or because the people's senses have been "numbed by the endless suc-cession of 'refugee stories' " that the two wars have produced, or just that "Per-haps some of us still suffer from the illusion that in crowded Asia death and suffering are less meaningful and somehow less painful than in America and Europe.* In any case, mere words have been singularly unsuccessful in conveying to the American people the extent and depth of the ordeal through which the people of Korea have been moving.

Do we owe any responsibility to the Koreans? asks the writer. And his answer is: "On humanitarian grounds alone, the answer, of course, is an emphatic yes. But there is more to it than that."

Whilst blaming the war on "powerhungry Communists dictated to from Moscow"—a sad lapse into cheap slogan-ising, in our opinion, from the high moral tone of the rest of the editorial he admits that splitting Korea "at its heart, without reference to the wishes of the people" encouraged and facilitated this "act of aggression".

Since he presents the Korean war as a struggle between Communism and

*The attitude that Asiatics are inferior is brought out very blatantly in the report on General Michael's testimony before a Congress Committee on the Korean war. At one point he indicated at least a suspected reason for the Chinese soldier's fight-to-the-end attitude after assuring Rep. Errett P. Scrivner, R., Kan., that this also was characteristic of the North Korean Communists. Mr. Scrivner wanted to know why it is impossible to get our South Korean allies to perform the same way in battle since they are "pretty much the same breed" as the North Koreans. (Our italics.)

Democracy, it is natural that he is con-cerned that a military victory in cerned that a military victory in S. Korea should not be meaningless, which it will be "unless it is accompanied a rehabilitation programme sufficient in scope to convince the S. Korean people that the costly war of resistance against Communism was worth while". We think it is asking too much of the Korean people to ever hope to convince them that this war was worthwhile—is there any war that has been worthwhile when everything is taken into account, including the new problems created by such wars?

NEVERTHELESS, the N.Y. Herald Tribune realises that a military victory for the "democracies" does not automatically carry with it an acceptance by the Koreans of the "democratic way of life". One can only counter the myth of "communism" by better ideas and obviously for the Koreans democracy must show them that it not only provides them with the freedom to starve but that "democratic" capitalism ensures economic security as well.

So far the Koreans have little to choose between the two 'isms'. Those refugees who same from the North to the South, have found that they have simply jumped from the frying pan into the fire. Now, a Canadian correspondent reports that more than 120,000 Koreans have been pulled from their homes in frontal areas to clear the way for military operations. Many, he says, have had to abandon their possessions, and in some consequences. and in some cases even rice stocks were Ninety per cent. of these refugees, the correspondent adds, are malnutrition cases.

To meet these ever-increasing human problems, the United Nations have voted 250 million dollars (about £84 millions)

Continued on p. 3

COLLECTIVE SECURITY

There have been nearly half a million casualties among South Korean civilians

toll among these homeless wandering people. And the shortage of doctors is

fertilisers are rapdily supplied.

A quarter of a million farm animals, mostly oxen, have been killed.

In industry, 85 per cent of Seoul's factories have been wrecked. And the once-vital factories of the North have been obliterated. War damage in the South alone is put at £600,000,000.

Sunday Pictorial, 26/8/51.

Mersey & London Dockers Form Co-operatives

WE reported, in our issue of August 18th, that dockers on Merseyside were planning to form a Stevedore's Co-operative. This has now been formed and London dockers have also taken the plunge and formed the Associated Steve-dores' (London) Ltd.

The idea is that the dockers take out shares in the co-operative (which operates in the same way as the ordinary stevedoring companies, the difference being that profit on work done is distributed to the shareholding dockers.

In London, the co-op is coming up against difficulties, in that they are not getting big ships to unload, being able, so far, only to get work on small ships, are not so promiable to

In the Surrey Docks, where the co-op was formed, there are eleven stevedoring companies already operating who get the big ships allocated to them in rotation. The dockers co-operative applied to go on the rota, and take their turn with the other companies, but the Board of Trade, which apparently governs these things, has turned down their application on the grounds that the dockers are "in-

But Mr. Henry F. Whitewood, secre-But Mr. Henry F. Whitewood, secretary and managing director of Associated Stevedores has pointed out: "Four foremen in charge of the last two ships we dealt with have worked 115 years between them on the docks. And they call that inexperience! But we need a share in bigger ships. One big ship equals in profit four or five of the small ships we have been handling."

For his work as managing director and secretary—"a 24-hours-a-day job"—Mr. Whitewood. himself a stevedore and trade union branch official, gets about the same pay as he would for an eighthour day on the docks. He is the

co-op's only paid official. The 12 elected directors, who undertake financial res-ponsibility for the company, are paid five shillings a year-and they pay their own

It was inevitable that difficulties would be put in the way of the new venture, but we hope the dockers will be able to overcome this opposition.

The general principles of the cooperative seem admirable as a means of
dealing with the matter of responsibility
and reward as things are to-day. The
dockers will be showing that they are
capable of running their own industry—
and already members include not only
dockers and stevedores but clerks dockers and stevedores, but clerks, lightermen and ship and tug pilots.

It may be that these co-operatives will prove the beginning of an industrial organisation which could eventually take over the control of the docks entirely. That remains to be seen.

There are dangers and snags in any venture endeavouring to work within capitalist society. The profit motive seems a dominant one in these new cooperatives, and, while that is understand-able, it would be a great pity if they were to develop in the same way as the consumers' co-ops have done-into just another vast capitalist concern.

However, as long as membership is limited to those who are actually doing the work, the directors are unpaid and the only paid official is not getting more than he would on the job, corruption

will not be so easy.

We wish the dockers of Merseyside and London the best of luck in their initiative. To work in co-operation with his fellows, and not under the control or for the profit of the boss, should be the aim of every worker.

There are 4,000,000 Refugees in Korea living—and dying—in the worst conditions in the World.

in the past year. Washington estimates that there have been nearly 200,000 "non-battle" casualties in the North.

Disease and death daily exact a terrible

appalling.
One hundred and eighty thousand

houses have been destroyed. The black shadow of famine is over the land. This year's rice crop-staple diet of the Koreans—is 75 per cent. of nor-mal. Next year's will be doomed unless

REWARDS LABOUR—Five Viewpoints

WE publish below five points of view on the rewards of labour, four of them from newspapers and magazines published during the last twelve months, and the fifth from one of the "classics" of anarchist theory. In the first extract in this symposium, the editor of the paper last twelve months, and theory. In the first extract in this symposium, the editor of the paper founded by Gandhi, comments on a complaint by an "educated" man that he can only get menial and poorly-paid work. The editor concludes with the sugestion that if differences are to be allowed, more strenuous or less attractive work should be the better-paid. The second contribution comes from an American magazine. Here a very similar complaint is considered and in discussing it, the editors show how special skills are rewarded by our society to the degree in which they help to maintain those who wield power.

The third point of view is that of "rugged individualism"—that the rewarding of personal ambition is a law of nature. But it contains one truth worth hammering home: "No State of any kind," says its author, "could possibly exist, much less thrive, in which the workers as a whole refused to co-operate with the governing power,"

The fourth statement is by an anthropologist, who observes in a mining village a reflection of the point of view discussed in the first two items. He believes the incentive to be not money but social status—a status based not on social usefulness but on the "middle-class" standard of values.

In the final quotation Kropotkin, who also draws his illustration from miners, demonstrates that it is quite impossible to assess in relation to society the social contribution of any individual and consequently reaches the conclusion, "All is for all." To follow Kropotkin's argument for the abolition of the wages system—or of any labour-ticket system of barter, the reader should turn to the three-penny pamphlet The Wage System.

L. Rewards & Education

"Last year, 80,000 boys and girls sat for the High School Examination. This year, their number rose to 1,000,000. And in the coming year it may reach—so the educational authorities estimate—1,500,000. And as the number of undergraduates and exhigh school boys jumps, their "price" slumps. How much is a school-leaving certificate worth these days? Let an exhigh school boy who works as a "water-sprayer" answer. He says: 'It is Re 1-8 a day, during summer and none during the rest of the year. Because that is what I earn by spraying water on khas tattis during the summer months.' And how much is an undergraduate worth these days? If you put this question to one of the tribe who has just secured the job of a chaprasi his reply will be: "Formerly it was Rs 85 a month; now it is only Rs 45. I was then a clerk and now I am only a chaprasi." But there are not as many khas tattis to spray water on as there are ex-high school boys without work; nor is the job of a chaprasi waiting for every unemployed undergraduate."

The Times of India, 22/5/51.
Commenting on these remarks, Mr. K. G. Mashrulwala, the editor of Gandhi's newspaper, Harijan (30/6/51), says:

"What is wrong here is not that a high

says:

"What is wrong here is not that a high school boy has to accept the job of a water-sprayer at Re 1-8 per day, or that an undergraduate has to be chaprasi at Rs 45 per month. Indeed, if we want education to spread universally, having regard to the present standard of high school and collegiate education than that of a high school boy, nor should a chaprasi be less educated than an undergraduate. And if different occupations are doomed to have different scales of payment, the two cannot complain if they receive the remuneration appropriate for their respective jobs.

"The present condition looks 'bleak'

ate for their respective jobs.

"The present condition looks 'bleak' for two reasons: (1) because only a few water-sprayers and chaprasis are matriculates and undergraudates, and so a comparison is made on the ground of 'education'; and (2) 'the educated' by their very training are made less efficient and sturdy water-sprayers and chaprasis than their uneducated colleagues. The result is that both the employer and the employee are more satisfied when the worker is uneducated than when he is educated.

"What is needed is (1) that the

worker is uneducated than when he is educated.

"What is needed is (1) that the superiority complex of the educated must go; he must cease to thing that a water-sprayer's or a chaprasi's job is not a proper kind of employment for a mariculate or an undergraduate, or that it is less honourable than the work of a copying or a despatching clerk. If there is to be eight years' compulsory education, the whole nation will be educated; every boy and every girl, even a road labourer, a sweeper, and a cart-driver will be a matriculate or an undergraduate, that is, will have the amount of information and literary equipment of the present-day matriculate or undergraduate. Since the illiterate cooly will disappear, all work will have to be done by 'ladies and gentlemen'; (2) the education must therefore be improved so as not to decrease the educated person's efficiency and inclination for jobs requiring physical and unattractive labour; and (3) the differences in scales of remunation of different jobs must not be so wide as at present. Indeed, if differences and (3) the differences in scales of remu-nation of different jobs must not be so wide as at present. Indeed, if differences are to be allowed, better remuneration should be paid for more strenuous work than for less hard and more pleasant work."

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP

Contemporary British Art

A new Pelican with 70 black and white and 6 coloured plates.

The Meaning of Art Herbert Read 3/6 "The best packet introduction to the understanding of art that has ever been outlished."—Star.

ever been published."—Star.
Social Development in Young
Children Susan Isaacs 9/6
An shridged edition for students

. . Obtainable from

27 red lion st, london,

Rewards and Power

An American magazine, Manas (Los Angeles) in its issue of 13/12/50, says:

"The German scholar, E. Bodewig, announces that he will write no more for Mathematics Review—that he is going on "strike" against the small remuneration of advanced scholarship.

"I wrote a book on Numerical Methods in a year and a half, working 5—10 hours a day. It was translated in the U.S. When the contract was drawn up, it turned out that I was to get about \$350 (and the translator the same amount).—And this in a field where one can say that no book at all existed before. Afterwards the publisher wanted to make even these conditions worse in underhanded ways. lisher wanted to make even these con-ditions worse in underhanded ways. Then I cancelled the whole contract on the ground of violation of its terms. What lawyer, doctor, or chemist would work for a year and a half or two years for \$350? Are we scholars with the contract of the c only for philanthropic purposes?

"Naturally," one does not use his science for making money. (It would be terrible if a scholar did what every-body else takes for granted.) But the exploitation of the scholar is one of the worst in the world. . . .

"What, exactly, is the meaning of the phrase—the exploitation of the scholar"? What theory of rewards and punishments has this mathematician embraced? Ap-parently, there is not sufficient 'demand'

for mathematical reviews for him to be for mathematical reviews for him to be paid at the rate, say, that a lawyer would be repaid for the equivalent amount of research. So on amoral economic grounds, his complaint is without standing. Suppose he were a manufacturer who had given an incrdinate amount of the standing of the who had given an inordinate amount of time to developing a commodity which was of interest to only a very small number of people, and that, finding he could not market the commodity except at a loss, he then wrote a plaintive letter to the Journal of Commerce to object to the lack of consideration shown for his years of effort which remain uncompensated. The claim of such a manufacturer that he had been 'exploited' would be laughed at as ridiculous.

"Obviously, this is not the position assumed by the mathematician. What he really means is that he is not being offered a reward compensurate with his cultural contribution. But how does one measure the monetary value of a cultural contribution? An advertising agency can measure its value to its clients by the sales increase that results. The advertising agency exerts a kind of "will-power over the buying habits of the public, and is rewarded in proportion as a change in those buying habits increases the income of the client. But a truly cultural contribution may not affect income at all; more than likely, it will make the matter of income seem unimportant to those who are affected. Dr. Hutchins wants us to overcome our love of money. If we love money less, we shall probably have less of it, so that, on a monetary basis, Dr. Hutchins threatens our way of life.

Obviously. scholarship make a most complicated question. No doubt scholars should be better paid, but according to what standard? Dr. Bodewig proposes one

For example, Professor X invited to take a position at the Mathematical Centre at Amsterdam—for 300 gulden a month. I wrote to him that for that a month. I wrote to him that for that he could get a plumber. It is too bad that at the time I had not seen a newspaper advertisement for nurses in an insane asylum at 3,300 gulden a year with half-room and board. Otherwise I would have recommended a nurse from the insane asylum to my 'colleague' (even though she would have received rather less pay at the Mathematical Centre).

"Why is an expect mathematician worth more than a plumber or a psy-chiatric nurse? The mathematician may have an elevated brow and a penetrating intellect, but why is he worth any more? Now, if he were a theoretical physicist, as well as a mathematician, with potenas well as a mathematician, with poten-tialities for atomic energy research, his talents would probably command a much larger reward than they did fifteen years ago. To-day, a knowledge of atomic physics has a direct and evident relation-ship with power. The men who occupy positions of political power will pay highly for the kind of technical know-ledge that will make their some ledge that will make their power more secure. Such knowledge can be made to subserve the purposes of the human will, and can exact a corresponding price."

3. Rewards & Ambitton

Mr. Lewis Spence, writing in the Scottish Duily Mail (27/8/51), supply "If any theme seems to have grown unpopular in working-class circles in Scotiand these day, it is that which used to be known as the "from log-cabin to White House" story—the tale of the enterprising and enthusiastic youth who, by dint of hard work and organising mains, came to the top of the tree.

"Working-class philosophy has, of interprising and enthusiastic youth whe, by dint of hard work and organising mains, came to the top of the tree.

"Working-class philosophy has, of interprising and enthusiastic youth whe in mot in the interests of its solidarity that a man should accept promotion, which implies 'going over to the enemy and exclusion from the fellowship of one's class.

"Moreover, they demand that wark for which little technical preparation is required in some cases should be remunerated at much the same rate as that which the professional man receives after years of a gruelling and onerous apprenticeship, during which he is unpaid and has to subject himself to mental tests and strains which the average industrial worker never has to face and would regard as 'slavery'.

"By what arguments do the disgruntle seek to justify such a contentic Thousands of their own class have chraced the opportunities presented increased educational facilities and succeeded in breaking into the well-professions. Are these overpaid facilities of fortune?

"In a long experience of life I never yet known of a man who diligent in his business, whatever it have been, who did not succeed plain words, if he gave his whole and mind to his job and used averintelligence and industry in its proction, there is no power on carth we can retard him from promotion succeeds.

"And this is true despite the politic conditions under which such a man exist, whether, then

"And this is true despite the polic conditions under which such a man exist, whether they be Liberal, Fa Conservative, Socialist or Comme No State of any kind could poexist, much less thrive, in which workers as a whole refused to co-op with the governing power. Ask Je Stalin, ask Clement Attlee, ask Wi Churchill. On that point they wou unanimous.

Stalin, ask Clement Attiee, ask would unanimous.

"No, the rule reads; the person y specialised knowledge is more valuated to the State and to humanity than man who has little or none. He thus, naturally, cost the communimore. If you wish for a better stands of living, master your job, know thoroughly and become pre-eminent in and you will, in the long run, certainly enjoy the success which your effort deserve.

"But if you are disgruntled, unenthusiastic or suffering from lack of ambition, you will remain as you are. That is not a law designed by any system. It is the law of nature."

Continued on p. 4

Society and the Adolescent

WE saw in the last article that the Trobriand Islanders placed no obstacles in the way of their children in respect of their sexual games and sexual activities. Neither did they surround their children with an atmosphere of "morality", in the sense in which it is generally understood in our society, nor exact a compulsive obedience from them. We indicated, all too briefly, that the adults were able to do this because they themselves had been brought up in freedom and love. Love for children exists to be sure in our society; but because it is combined with the idea that children must learn to obey at any cost, it becomes tempered on the children's side with resentment and on the

exists to be sure in our society; but because it is combined with the idea that children must learn to obey at any cost, it becomes tempered on the children's side with resentment and on the parents' with irritation. In the upshot it becomes mixed with a good deal of hatred, often enough disguised as excessive affection, and becomes the motive for much undesirable behaviour. (It is not possible here to illustrate and develop these bare statements any further. To anyone who is accustomed to look beneath the surface and to understanding family situations they will seem self-evident). We shall see that a like atmosphere of freedom and approval is extended to the sexual activities of adolescents. With his customary brevity of expression, Malinowski describes this period thus:

"As the boy or girl enters upon adelescence, the nature of his or her sexual activity becomes more serious. It ceases to be mere child's play and assumes a prominent place among life's interests. What was before an unstable relationship culminating in an exchange of crotic manipulation or an immature sexual act becomes now an absorbing passion, and a matter of serious endeavour. An adolescent gets definitely attached to a given person, wishes to possess, her, works purposefully towards this goal, plans to reach the fulfilment of his desires by magical and other means, and finally rejoices in achievement. I have seen young people of this age grow positively miserable through ill-success in love. This stage, in fact, differs from the one before in that personal preference has now come into play and with if a tendency towards a greater perrmanence in intrigue. The boy develops a desire to retain the fidelity and exclusive affection of the Joved one, at least for a time. But this tendency is not associated so far with any idea of settling down to one exclusive relationship, nor

do adolescents yet begin to think of marriage. A boy or girl wishes to pass through many more experiences; he or she still enjoys the prospect of complete freedom and has no desire to accept obligations. Though pleased to imagine that his partner is faithful, the youthful lover does not feel obliged to reciprocate this fidelity. . . . "Young people of this age besides

that his partner is faithful, the youthful lover does not feel obliged to reciprocate this fidelity. . . . "Young people of this age, besides conducting their love affairs more seriously and intensely, widen and give greater variety to the setting of their amours. Both sexes arrange picnies and excursions and thus their indulgence in intercourse becomes associated with an enjoyment of novel experiences and fine scenery. They also form sexual connections outside the village community to which they belong. . . "As time goes on, and the boys and girls grow older, their intrigues last longer, and their mutual ties tend to become stronger and more permanent. A personal preference as a rule develops and begins definitely to over-shadow all other love affairs. It may be based on true sexual passion or else on an affinity of character. Practical considerations become involved in it, and, sooner or later, the man thinks of stabilising one of his liaisons by marriage. In the ordinary course of events, every marriage is preceded by a more or less protracted period of sexual life in common. . . "The pre-matrimonial, lasting intrigue is based upon and maintained by personal elements only. There is no legal obligation on either party. They may enter into it and dissolve it as they like. . . "

Affirmation of Sex by Society

As with child sexuality, Trobriand society finds the sexual activity of adolescents natural and desirable. But it does more than that—and this is especially important for our society—its social structure is modified to facilitate the needs of the young.

At puberty "a partial break-up of the

family takes place . . . the elder children, especially the males, have to leave the house, so as not to hamper by their embarrassing presence the sexual life of their parents. This partial disintegration of the family group is effected by the boy moving to a house tenanted by bachelors or by elderly widowed male relatives or friends. Such a house is called bukumatula. The girl sometimes goes to the house of an elderly widowed maternal aunt or other relative."

Such an arrangement makes for much greater freedom for the growing children, and serves to liberate the parents also. The contrast with our society is even more marked when one considers the difficulties which beset young people in large cities in finding a place of privacy in which to be merely alone, let alone to make love. The use of so inconvenient a place as the cinema whose only advantage is the darkness, but which allows only of a more or less furtive petting, vividly illustrates the difficulties in our society. Anyone who reads the News of the World, and many must be adolescents, knows that it is a criminal offence to make love with a girl under sixteen years. Such girls are regarded as being "in need of protection"—an elegant way of saying the remand home and reform school. It is a relief to return to Malinowski and the Trobriand Islanders whom he so unreasonably calls "savages".

"It is necessary to add that the places used for love-making differ at the

Islanders whom he so unreasonably calls "savages".

"It is necessary to add that the places used for love-making differ at this stage from those of the previous one. The small children carry on their sexual practices surreptitiously in bush or grove as a part of their games, using all sorts of makeshift arrangements to attain privacy, but the adolescent has either a couch of his own in the bachelor's house, or the use of a hut belonging to one of his unmarried relatives. In a certain type of yam-house la house for storing food.—J.H.] too, there is an empty closed-in space in which boys sometimes arrange little 'cosy-corners', affording room for two. In these, they make a bed of dry leaves and mats, and thus obtain a comfortable garconnière, where they can meet and spend a happy hour or two with their loves. Such arrangements are, of course, necessary now that amorous intercourse has become a passion instead of a game.

"It is obvious that the lasting liaisons of youth and adult girls require some

special institution, more definitely established, more physically comfortable, and at the same time having the approval of custom. To meet this need, tribal custom and etiquette offer accommodation and privacy in the form of the hukumatula, the bachelor's and unmarried girls' house. . . In this a limited number of couples, some two, three, or four, live for longer or shorter periods together in a temporary community. It also and incidentally offers shelter for younger couples if they want amorous privacy for an hour or two."

what Vocabulary Reveals

By now, the reader will be glad to excuse me from making the comparison or rather, the contrast, with our own, supposedly civilised, society. Instead, I will point to an aspect which might otherwise be unregarded, but which is also illuminating in regard to ourselves. This is the obvious difficulty which besets Malinowski in his search for words to describe the sexual lives of the islanders. He is at pains to stress their freedom and naturalness, yet he has to use words like "amoure" and, still more frequently "intrigues"; both words carry the implication for our ears of illicit love. The fact is that we do not have words to describe an innocent and natural love relationship, so long has a natural attitude been repressed.

An instance of the same revealing kind arises when Malinowski comes to describe the act of sex itself, for in decribing the difficulty he unconsciously reveals the European's negative attitude. "When the natives wish to indicate the crude, physiological fact" [without, that is, any moral overtones—the italics are mine.—J.H.] he writes, "they use the word kayta, translatable, though pedantically, by the verb "copulate with." Now there is another, briefer word which would also do; but, once again the associations of this word, in our society, are unpleasant and reflect the smut and repression with which we surround sex. The context in which the word kayta is used by the natives (see the quotations in the last article) show quite clearly that for them it has no such overtones, nor would they regard intercourse as a crude, physiological fact. If this respect the Trobrianders are considerably more delicate than European society, and even so valuable an elmographer as Malinowski cannot escaps the vulgarity of language which centure of sex-negation imposes.

Vol. 12, No. 28

English stage coach, one is transported into another world. Before the railways, it took days to cross England where now it takes hours. and for the travellers the journey was rich in impressions and experince. In achieving this speed in travel, it is not difficult to see what ee have lost both as regards the scomforts and the events of a arney: it is much less clear what have gained.

e have gained time. Recently planes have crossed the Atlantic reached the Far East in ablly short times and we take it ranted that this represents solid evement. But if one asks just in real terms one has gained is asking one of the awkward stions of the age. There is a tale Chinese sage who was told the record for the hundred s had been lowered by a tenth second. "And what," he asked, the winner going to do with the gained?"

Time, it is said, is money. Speed sought after abstraction not vely in travel but in industry, ere increased speed in production worker per hour is continually ressed for. It is easy to "underand" the economists' argument at a speed-up reduces production osts and confers advantages in the constant struggle for markets. But where does this process stop?

Such a question in our age is naive. But from merely a practical point of view it is not irrelevant The speed-up in the mines has increased the dust that kills by silicosis. Speed and safety are seldom fully compatible. Everyone knows that piece-workers remove the safeguards which slow up work and so decrease the wage-packet; that piece-work is itself simply a demand for speed, In "Modern Times' Charlie Chaplin set the production belt in a comical, a ludierous perspective. But his satire was directed at the age of speed. Where does the continuous acceleration stop?

But speed is not confined to in-dustrial processes. Its desirability is not questioned in every walk of life. The Red Indian children who confounded the intelligence testers simply taking their time over the problems set them instead of com-peting for who could do them in the shortest time (FREEDOM, 25/8/51) exposed the unconscious attitudes of civilisation. One must save time!

But for what? Why must one hurry? It is not difficult to see that we are hurrying away from some-thing, from life itself. For in our age of anxiety scientists ponder the practicability of space-travel but almost no one is happy. A feverish concern for haste serves to divert us from the sense of emptiness in life.

Millions of people all over the world lack the bare necessities of life, but we have no time to consider such problems. Unhappiness is almost universal, but we can't stop now to consider that, we must hurry on . . .

But mankind's vision of the Golden Age has always pictured leisure and ease and quietness. Meanwhile, we live in the Age of Speed, the Age of Anxiety.

THE reappearance of the redoubtable Mr. Kerensky in the headlines has some significance, more perhaps for this side of the Iron Curtain than the other. Despite the curious feeling one gets at learning that Alexander Kerensky is still alive and even at recognising that he is a real person and not just an Aunt Sally of the Marxist textbooks ("At the point in a revolution when a Kerensky-type Government arises . . in the last analysis . ."), he is, of course, at seventy years of age not a very old man as politicians go.

Prior to the Revolution, Kerensky was one of the able young lawyers of the Social-Democrate movement, and the man selected as Prime Minister of the short-lived Republic of 1917. In a few months the return of other intellectual lights eclipsed Kerensky, and the coup of Lenin and Trotsky ousted him from Russia. Ever since, if his movement inside Russia has dwindled and been decimated to nothing whatever, Kerensky has been always (one would think) sitting in his hotel bedroom with his bags packed waiting for the telegram to tell him to come home. At every crisis he has announced that the moment is at hand, and the one consolation that might have been afforded him in its long-putoff arrival has been the fact that all the gentlemen concerned in the putsch that put an end to his few months of office have either died a natural death or perished at the hands of the monster they created.

And now there appears (from the "practical political" point of view, which never seems fortunately—to succeed in practice) no alternative but Kerensky. The hopes of the Romanovs are not impossible of fulfilment—neither, perhaps, are those of the Stuarts—but the conduct of that house and its followers, so arrogant in victory and craven in defeat, has brought it to the stage where its pretensions are a comic opera joke. It may be that by way of light relief after the tragedy of Stalinism and war the Romanov clowns may waddle across the stage (as Heine said of the Bourbons in a similar position). But though such an absurdity could happen—and may certainly be trotted out as a war-time diversion just to keep attention away from the main issues (as was the restoration of the Hohenzollerns or all that Vansittart business during the last war)—there is really no doubt the hardheaded men who control the destinies of America would not throw away their dollars on the Imperial Court tomfoolery.

Alexander the Great (Optimist)

For the whole point of the Kerensky movement is that it is a straw in the wind not to the way Russia is blowing this connections with underground Russia crased years ago, for although Social-Democrats still exist there, illegally in Russia, openly in the camps of Siberia, as do the other anti-Stalinist groupings, any question of co-ordination with the outside is extremely remote), but to the way America is blowing. Kerensky is seeking the support of the powerful Russian-American organisations for his propaganda directed from Munich to Russia. This may perhaps affect a few soldiers in the Russian Zone of Germany (not so much the propaganda as the chance of escape) but even more will it affect the U.S. policy-makers.

In the twenties the capitalist world hoped for the restoration of the Tsar, and poured away wealth into the attempts of the Whites to succeed. Our Mr. Churchill was somewhat frustrated in his ambitions in that direction (as he no doubt came to welcome, since otherwise he might never have had those magnificent receptions in Moscow where he was treated like a sprig of the Bolshevik aristocracy). They have come to appreciate reality and now no longer make attempts at the overthrow of Bolshevism. In the propaganda which is poured out regardless of cost even by impoverished Britain, no cue, no direction, no suggestion, is made to the Russian people as to what they should do about it. Mr. Morrison may saw it would be very nice if they had a Parliamentary opposition, the U.S. radio may tell them how wonderful is the American way of life with television in every bedroom, but as to what they should do about it or how they should get such luxuries remains a mystery.

But not a mystery, of course, to Mr.

But not a mystery, of course, to Mr. Kerensky in his hotel bedroom, who has no doubts about the answer and is still waiting for the telegram. Only now it is becoming clear to him that the telegram will have to be sent by the American Commander-in-Chief. And that is the whole secret of this propaganda. The Russians should be used by now to the re-importation of lawyers to control their destinies, but, of course, there is no guarantee whatsoever that the second Kerensky government would be any more successful that the first, still less if a mere façade backed by foreign bayonets.

After so much that they have endured the Russians may be able to bear Kerensky again, too, but at any weaken-

LIVES SACRIFICED TO MILITARY ETIQUETTE IN KOREA

THE current "peace" negotiations in Korea would be grimly humorous were it not for the fact that the lives of men, women and children are involved. The sad truth is that the posturing and palavering of the military popinjays on both sides must be paid for in human lives. Each hour that passes without a cease-fire means more useless blood-shed and destruction, and if the cost was only a single life or a single Korean worker's home destroyed, it would not be worth it.

only a single life or a single Korean worker's home destroyed, it would not be worth it.

The touch of dignity of the big brass now carrying on the "negotiations" points up not only the fact that they have the mentality of spoiled children, but also the more significant and sinister truth that these gentry are extremely reluctant to stop plying their trade under any circumstances.

The spectacle of the generals of both sides breaking off the "peace" negotiations in a hulf because they fancied themselves insulted in some manner, or at least not accorded the respect they thought proper, may look to some like commendable zeal and military dignity, and it certainly has been played up as such in the press reports.

But to those who can think objectively about the matter at all it will appear for what it is—strutting antics based upon a basic desire to continue the senseless slaughter.

One statement can be made with complete confidence in its absolute

PASSPORT REFUSED

PASSPORT REFUSED

When Mr. Ali Naidoo, stated to be a leading member of the Indian community in South Africa, was prosecuted in London last week for stowing away on a ship bound from Durban for Britain, the defending solicitor said that Mr. Naidoo had been asked to act as an adviser before the United Nations on the conditions of Indians in South Africa. When he returned to South Africa his passport was taken away from him and he was told that if he wished to leave the country again he would have to make application for the passport.

When application was made, both he and his wife were refused their passports.

we learn from South African visitors that this case is by no means exceptional.

factuality—there never has been a time in history when the generals really wanted to end a war so long as there was any possibility whatever to continue it. There never has been a time when they did not strut, posture, and spew forth belligerent bombast as long as they could induce a single soldier to shoulder a rifle and go to the front. There never has been a time when they actually wanted the shooting to stop, except on those occasions when things were so had that they felt there might be grave personal danger in continuing.

The generals in Korea on both sides are faithfully following the stereotyped pattern. They are reiterating emphatically, as all generals have at all previous times, their love of peace, while at the same time they are doing everything they can to keep the war going. With them, when the shooting stops, it is a case of "Othello's occupation gone," and it doesn't take a particularly perspicacious person to see it.

And with it all they cannot help but reveal the meater quality of their minds.

person to see it.

And with it all they cannot help but reveal the meagre quality of their minds. Their dominant characteristic is vanity; and running vanity a close second is bloodthirstiness. It is a sad day for any nation when it begins to put its trust in the military. By and large, they are as stupid, as unwarrantedly egotistical and as crassly brutal a lot as can be found.

It should be been promined to the

It should be born in mind, too, that they are always ready to shoot down unarmed strikers, "subversives," and non-conformists at the drop of a hat. They don't even have the virtue of desiring a good contest, but much prefer to butcher in droves an "enemy" which is not equipped to fight on anything like even terms.

lf the negotiations for peace in Korea were in the hands of those who actually have to suffer from the war, it is a certainty that they would not be delayed a second by peacock swaggering and ill-founded demands for formal respect. These vicious and little-minded characters think that homage to them and military etiquette is more important than the lives of useful men and women, and the sooner they are totally removed from the scene the better it will be for the reafly productive and useful members of mankind throughout the world.

—Industrial Worker (Chicogo).

ing of the Stalin regime the prison camps would be open and there are many seasoned revolutionaries, tried and experienced, who would soon demonstrate a better way to the workers and peasants of Russia who, in spite of all the indocrination of thirty years, may yet show the startled Commies of the outside world in our lifetime how false was the exterior show of the Kremlin.

exterior show of the Kremlin.

No doubt, if the Kerensky propaganda succeeds and Alexander the Great Optimist is recognised as the legitimate heir to all the Russias, the approach of the Western Powers to Russia may crystal lise, and in the event of war post-war plans for the alteration of the Stalain regime may ensue. But naturally these plans are based on the supposition of victory, and preparations for war always leave for the not very important persons one terrible uncertainty—namely, what is going to happen in the event of defeat? They enjoy curding our blood with what well happen in the event of defeat, and tell us that is why we must support the war. However, defeat is always a military possibility, and it seems more logical to know what we should do when "the captains and the kings depart".

That is one more reason why as revo-lutionaries we are not concerned with military peparations but with our revolu-tionary task. In the event of victory, there is not much we can do except give

all help in our power to the movements that artise in the defeated countries. In the event of defeat, we have the task of resistance on the same lines as we offer to our national oppressors; a task which may require more intensity if the degree of oppression intensities. In this as anarchists we have a particular task; even Mr. Churchil has granted that the last movement in Russia to withstand Bolisbevism was the Anarchist movement. If there is to be any social revolution in Russia, it can only take an anarchists who have withstood Bolisbevism to the pates of Siberia in Russia, and likewise in countries such as Rumania and Bulgaria. In Bulgaria the fight against overwhelming odds is an epic of the post-war period: the anarchist movement, a small enough section of the working-class, has made a stand against Bolisbevism that may yet crack the edifice wide open. The other opponents of Stalinism may worship power and transfer their allegiance from Trotsky of Zinoviev or Kerensky (or any other of the lawyers so completely out of touch with the real Russia) to Tito, the Man of the Moment. The anarchist movement keps alive the spirit of resistance because it believes in freedom.

We may yet be fighting an under-ground struggle against Stalinist domina-tion. In it we know we have sure allies within that country itself, which would not welcome the assistance of the armed forces of any other Government.

INTERNATIONALIST.

-Letter from Paris-FRANCE'S MAN OF DESTINY

dangerously conclusive evidence, and often very hard to conceal.

It was then that there appeared, providentially, an illiterate rag-picker, the Lithuanian-Jewish Soviet citizen (as he then was) Joanovici, known as Monsieur Joseph—under the protection of his embassy. For three years he bought explosives and arms from the resistance as old iron, and sold them in Tact to the Wehrmacht at an honest profit. His discretion and his generosity brought him solid friendships in both camps, and a fortune estimated at more than a thousand million francs (almost ten thousand million francs in to-day's currency). He made use of various police—to liquidate those who stood in his way, or who engaged "illicitly" in his own occupation. In exchange, he "rendered services" to them all in every way, and kept an open table of Roman anguificence in a time of scarcity.

When the liberation of Paris was approaching, the Surete Nationale and the Police Indiciatre of the capital, who had until then been completely "collaborationist", found the means of "saving their honour". The only way for the

"I demand above all that nothing more should be said about me. I have suffered too much and justice has been so distorted because of the unjust and prejudicial publicity given to demonstrate their patriotism under the aegis of the Communist Party and its my case. I fear that people will still try to do me harm without reason. My good name has been rained. I ask all the world to leave me and my family in peace."

(Signed) IOANOVICI. 23/8/51.

HERE, in brief, is the story of the Joanovici affair, wrangled over two years ago, by the Court of Justice in Paris.

From 1941 to 1944, the R.A.F. supplied the French resistance network with three things: papers, money and arms. The money was always useful; the papers were easily destroyed in an emergency; there remained the arms—and fren very hard to conceal.

It was then that there appeared, providentially, an illiterate rangeless, the same was reasoned at the point of fact, he has just left the same was always useful; the papers were easily destroyed in an emergency; there remained the arms—dangerously conclusive evidence, and often very hard to conceal.

It was then that there appeared, providentially, an illiterate rangeless, the same where he calculated their skims, was evidently to "go into the streets" to demonstrate their patriostum under the aegis of the Communist Parity and its affiliated organisation. Homeur de la Police. But arms, at this precise momend twere lacking—one can understand why. Monsieur Joseph, also affected by resistance fervour, procured for the "itberance of Parisians futilely perished.

Later, unjustly troubled for his activities as double-crosser, informer, plunderer and murderer, the patriot Joano was warned in time by the Communist, Inspector Piednoir, of his instructions to arrest him he was stand-offish at first and then negotiated, as between great powers, with the government of the Fourth Republic. His conditional surrence was ratified by a term of five years' imprisonment and a fine of a hundred million france.

Foreign Commentary

THE KOREAN TRAGEDY

for Korean reconstruction, a fraction of the sum the United States has given Europe for the purpose of building up its armies, and irony of ironies, UNESCO have voted \$100,000 (about £34,000) for educational reconstruction "with authorisation for a large overdraft". It will certainly have to be a large overdraft if anything effective is to be done? According to UNESCO, one-fifth of the school buildings have been destroyed and the remainder much damaged by military use. The Communists are alleged to have destroyed as far as possible existing text books (which may have been a good thing) while the Library of the National University has suffered irreparable losses since soldiers were quartered in it and used books and archives as fuel to keep themselves warm. And 60 per cent, of the teachers are reported to have been killed or carried off so that in the primary schools only about a quarter of the required staff is now available.

WHEN one faces up to the conditions under which millions of people are existing to-day in Korean, and add to

this the conditions of permanent semi-starvation in which millions of Indians and Africans live those few years be-tween birth and death, and the millions who actually die of starvation or disease—when one faces up to these terrible realities, how bogus does all the fine talk about democracy and freedom sound coming from well-fed successful intellectuals comfortably installed in their centrally heated apartments, with all the latest gadgets within reach!. For them, obviously, there is a difference be-tween Stalinism and the American way of life. It is worth fighting and dying for—especially when one is over mili-tary age or a general in the army for psychological warfare; when one's gun is the microphone and the ether one's battlefield.

To those hungry millions, to those homeless and destitute refugees, they are meaningless phrases as insulting to their intelligence and dignity as human beings as that remark made to fellow sufferers in days gone by, that if they hadn't cread they could eat cake. But the author of those words lost her head!

THE Anarchist Summer School for 1951 (the sixth) was held at Glasgow over the weekend of August 25-26. Some forty comrades from various parts of the country attended the lectures on the Saturday afternoon and Sunday, and on Sunday evening a large meeting was held in the Central Halls.

Eddie Shaw spoke on Saturday afternoon, on "Anarchism and the Ego." "We've got Z-men, G-men, Frog-men and Yes-men," said Eddie. "What we now want is some Ownmen." And he went on to expound, with his usual wit and good humour, the egoism of Max Stirner-or rather, the egoism of Eddie Shaw.

On Sunday morning, Tony Gibson spoke on "Anarchism and Resistance to War." He discussed the rôle Britain was playing at the moment, and the means by which the individual could avoid the fate which the lunacy of the politicians was preparing for us all. He also

countered the arguments which many comrades are putting forward in favour of emigration.

On Sunday afternoon, Jimmy Raeside was to have spoken, but was prevented from doing so by family matters, so Philip Sansom led a discussion on "Anarchism Today." He mentioned how the end of the war in 1945 had seen a reemergence of Anarchist movements in the countries which had suffered dictatorship and occupation, and discussed the work done by nonanarchists in other fields which backed up the Anarchist case.

On Sunday evening, an audience of about 300 workers attended a public meeting in the Central Halls, Jane Strachan took the chair and introduced John Gaffney, Philip Sansom and Eddie Shaw, who all forcefully rammed home the Anarchist point of view on current affairs. They all stressed the importance of

the workers, and how the ruling class depended on them for all their

The audience were appreciative and enthusiastic, and the meeting was a very encouraging ending to the week-end.

On Monday, several comrades went to spend a week amid the Scottish Lochs, at Garelochhead. The weather throughout the weekend was not too good, but the comradeship and hospitality of the Glasgow comrades more than compensated for that, and once again our Summer School proved stimulating and a valuable event in the life of the movement in Britain.

A PENNY A LIFE SAVED

The sum of two guineas was awarded Grantham yesterday to Driver E. Walton (64), of Robertson Road, Grantham, who was driving the Heart of Midlothian, Edinburgh-to-Kings Cross express, on July 5th. Near Peterborough the train, with five hundred passengers was negotiating a curve at 50 m.p.h. under a bridge with the signals in its favour when the crew saw a fish train ahead on the same line. By prompt action Mr. Walton stopped the express with a little more than a dozen yards to

Manchester Guardian, 25/8/51.

That amounts to a penny per pas-

If this is the kind of treatment they can expect, no wonder the staff are discontented and inclined to leave the railways.

In view of the responsibility they bear, drivers are poorly paid at best. But that is no excuse for treating them like children who get a penny for being good. A putty medal would be better than a pittance when rewards are called for. At least it would not mark down merit.

News Chronicle, 25/8/51.

Rewards of Labour

Continued from p. 2

4. Rewards & Status

In an article in the architectural students' journal Plan (No. 8, 1950), an anthropologist, Bill Watson, reports on a two-year study of a Scottish mining community, of which he says:

"The most 'intelligent', that is socially ambitious, families now send their sons and daughters into non-mining activities, and the pits are getting the indifferent and unambitious residue . . . In fact, there has grown up a carefully graded scale of job-desirability which is now universally accepted among the people ... At the lower end of this scale is casual labour, at the other end such occupations as teaching and medicine. Mining is not very far from the bottom of this scale in spite of the present high wages that can be earned by a minority of miners. For together with the scale of jobdesirability goes the notion of status, and although people believe that high status confers material rewards, it does not follow that plenty of money gives you high status. This is a most important distinction. For example, many school teachers at present earn much less than the highest-paid among the miners. But because the teacher has high status and the miner low, teachers feel extremely bitter about this seemingly contradictory difference in wages. And in reverse, the miner refuses to believe that anyone with a higher-status job than his own can have poorer circumstances than himself.

"Miner and teacher, shop-assistant and factory worker, clerk and steel-worker, all live in identical houses; their children attend the same schools; their wives buy in the same shops. But behind this egalitarian façade is a never-ending struggle for status, as intense as ever . . . No daughter of a status-seeking miner works in a factory, although as a clerk she earns 25/- a week while the factory girl earns £2/10/0. And no miner's son who has reached the fourth year of secondary education will work in the pit except as a surveyor or tradesman, or in the office as a clerk. As the miners in Haven have narrowed the material difference between themselves and what are called the middle-classes, they have taken over the middle-class ethic.'

5. No Rewards but

Peter Kropotkin in his essay on The Wage System, first published in book form as a chapter in The Conquest of Bread (1888), says:

"Service rendered to society, be it labour in factory or field, or moral service, cannot be valued in monetary units. There cannot be an exact measure of its value, either of what has been improperly called its 'value in exchange' or of its value in use. If we see two individuals, both working for years, for five hours daily, for the community, at two different occupations equally pleasing to them, we can say that, taken all in all, their labours are roughly equivalent. But their work could not be broken up into fractions, so that the product of each day, each hour or each minute of the labour of one should be worth the produce of each minute and each hour of that of the other.

"Broadly speaking, we can say that a man who during his whole life deprives himself of leisure for ten hours daily has given much more to society than he who has deprived himself of but five hours a day, or has not deprived himself of any leisure at all. But we cannot take what one man has done during any two

hours and say that his produce is worth exactly twice as much as the produce of one hour's work from another individual. and reward each proportionately. To do this would be to ignore all that is complex in the industry, the agriculture, the entire life of society as it is; it would be to ignore the extent to which all individual work is the outcome of the former and present labours of society as a whole. It would be to fancy oneself in the Stone Age, when we are living in the Age of Steel.

"Go into a coal mine and see the man stationed at the huge machine that hoists and lowers the cage. In his hands he holds a lever whereby to check or reverse the action of the machinery. He lowers the handle, and in a second the cage changes the direction of its giddy rush up or down the shaft. His eyes are attentively fixed upon an indicator in front of him which shows exactly the point the cage has reached; no sooner does it touch the given level than at his gentlest pressure it stops dead short, not a foot above or below the required place. And scarcely are the full trucks discharged or the empties loaded before, at a touch to the handle, the cage is again swinging up or down the shaft.

"For eight or ten hours at a time he thus concentrates his attention. Let his brain relax but for an instant, and the cage would fly up and shatter the wheels, break the rope, crush the men, bring all the work of the mine to a standstill. Let him lose three seconds upon each reverse of the lever and, in a mine with all the modern improvements, the output will be reduced by from twenty to fifty tons a day.

"Is it he who renders the greatest service in the mine? Or is it, perhaps, the boy who rings from below the signal for the mounting of the cage? Or is it the miner who risks his life every moment in the depths of the mine and will end one day by being killed by firedamp? Or, again, the engineer, who would lose the coal seam and set men hewing bare rock? Or, finally, is it the owner who has put all his patrimony into the concern, and who perhaps has said, in opposition to all previous anticipa-"Dig there, you will find excellent

"All the workers engaged in the mine Human Rights contribute to the raising of coal in proportion to their strength, their energy, their knowledge, their intelligence and their skill. And we can say that all have the right to live, to satisfy their needs, and even gratify their whims, after the more imperious needs of everyone are satisfied. But how can we value exactly what they have each done?

> "Further, is the coal that they have extracted entirely the result of their work? Is it not also the outcome of the work of the men who constructed the railway leading to the mine, and the roads branching off on all sides from the stations? And what of the work of those who have tilled and sown the fields which supply the miners with food, smelted the iron, cut the wood in the forest, made the machines which will consume the coal, and so on?

"No hard and fast line can be drawn between the work of one and the work of another. To measure them by results leads to absurdity. To divide them into fractions and measure them by hours of labour leads to absurdity also. One course remains: not to measure them at all, but to recognise the right of all who take part in productive labour first of all to live, and then to enjoy the comforts of life."

FREEDOM PRESS

ERRICO MALATESTA: Anarchy.
Vote-What For? M. BAKUNIN: Marxism, Freedom and the State. paper 2s. 6d., cloth 5s. HERBERT READ: Art and the Evolution of Man. 4s. Existentialism, Marxism and Anarchism. 3s. 6d. Poetry and Anarchism.

cloth 5s., paper 2s. 6d.

The Philosophy of Anarchism.
boards 2s. 6d., paper 1s.

The Education of Free Men. 1s. ALEX COMFORT: Barbarism & Sexual Freedom.

paper 2s. 6d., stiff boards 3s. 6d. RUDOLF ROCKER: Nationalism and Culture. cloth 21s.

ALEXANDER BERKMAN: ABC of Anarchism. PETER KROPOTKIN: The State: Its Historic Rôle. 1s. The Wage System. Revolutionary Government. Organised Vengeance Called Justice.

JOHN HEWETSON: Ill-Health, Poverty and the State. cloth 2s. 6d., paper 1s. Italy After Mussolini. M. L. BERNERI:

Workers in Stalin's Russia. GEORGE WOODCOCK: 2s. 6d. Anarchy or Chaos. New Life to the Land. 6d. Railways and Society. 3d. 6d. Homes or Hovels? What is Anarchism? 1d. The Basis of Communal Living. 1s.

WILLIAM GODWIN: Selections from Political Justice. 3d. On Law.

F. A. RIDLEY: The Roman Catholic Church and the Modern Age.

Marie Louise Berneri Memorial Committee publications: Marie Louise Berneri, 1918-1949: A Tribute. Journey Through Utopia. cloth 16s. (U.S.A. \$2.50)

K. J. KENAFICK: Michael Bakunin and Karl Marx.

27, Red Lion Street, London, W.C.I.

As an anarchist (and therefore pacifist)
I should like to add my criticisms of Philip Sansom's support of workers'

militias to those of M.A. of Minneapolis. The suggestion of the use of workers' militias must have behind it the idea of defeating by force people who do not want to be subjected to the principles and beliefs of those who constitute these workers' militias. A workers' militia cannot be formed by people other than those who wish to force their ideas upon

Philip Sansom suggests that at the time the workers take over the factories. there may be soldiers who will remain "True to the colours" and endeavour to expel the workers from these factories, This means that there may be people (the soldiers) who believe that they will benefit by removing the workers from the factories, even be it that they are short-sighted enough to believe that the pay they receive is sufficient benefit.

Anarchism cannot be forced upon people. Anarchism imposed is not anarchism at all but despotism-neither can anarchism exist where there are people prepared to use force to replace anarchism by a system alien to it. Anarchism cannot exist until even the capitalist realises that the System by which he imagines he benefits, is the factor which prevents him from being free!

Despite P.S.'s arguments to prove that workers' militias (decentralised mobile forces) are the most efficient organisations for waging war, never in history have they brought about Anarchism.

The supposed need of workers' militias indicates that the time is not ripe for anarchism. Workers' militias must use force or they are not militias-to use force is to impose one's will-to impose one's will is not anarchism. Workers' militias must wield power to be effective as militias, and power corrupts. . Anarchism can never be brought about

by force. Only by the enlightenment of people, all people, will mankind be free. Hoddesden, Herts.

Philip Sansom replies :

I wonder how much progress—in any field of human activity—would have been made if progressive and advanced thinkers had always waited to convince even the most reactionary before putting their ideas into operation?

Surely the point about workers' militias is that they are formed to prevent someone from imposing his will by force. But if we accept the pacifist argument, then even occupying the factories peace-ably is "imposing our will". We must patiently explain to our bosses how they are exploiting us (as if they don't know already!) and then wait for them, conscience-stricken, to invite us to take over. This will ensure that we are always in the "right" morally—but will always remain dominated by the will of others.

I did not try to prove that workers militias are the most effective organisations for waging war. I tried to show that they are effective means for defending a social revolution from reactionar violence. The only pacifist defence sweet reason, but it is surely clear the he who serves the State has thrown aw his reason, and although I have s confidence in my ability to debate a chism, I don't fancy my chances i a Fascist, or Communist or any o servile or patriotic tool of the State coming at me with a bayonet.

Neither have I tried to prove workers' militias would bring a anarchism. The social revolution me social reconstruction, and as far am concerned anybody who does want to join in is perfectly free to his own way-as individualist peasa were able to do in Spain while majority were collectivising the la But will the remnants of authority al us to go our own way? Not until are all persuaded, says Mr. Wheeler. surely persuasion is a form of impos

And like this the argument could on for ever-and so would your job R. WHEELER. persuading the capitalists!

infidel about it and shall never

(2) "Having some foundation for be

lieving by this time that nature and

accident had made me an author, I pur

I had been writing in the newspaper and

elsewhere so prosperously that when my

new success was achieved I considered

myself reasonably entitled to escape from the dreary debates. One joyful

night, therefore, I noted down the music

of the parliamentary bagpipes for the

last time, and I have never heard it

since, though I still recognise the old

drone in the newspapers, without any

substantial variation (except, perhaps, that there is more of it) all the livelong

H.T.D.

sued my vocation with confidence . .

Re-Reading David Copperfield

converted."

THE life story of David Copperfield, which has intimate relation to that of the author himself, is now running as a serial on the radio. This has enticed me to take up the book once more to renew my earlier acquaintance with its intertwined humorous and tragic events. The following illuminating passages are to be found respectively in Chapters 43 and 48:

(1) "Once again, let me pause upon a memorable period of my life. Let me stand aside to see the phantoms of those days go by me, accompanying the shadow of myself in dim procession . . . I have come legally to man's estate. I have attained the dignity of twenty-one. I have tamed that savage stenographic mystery. I make a respectable income by it, and I am joined by eleven others in reporting the debates in Parliament for a morning newspaper. Night after night, I record predictions that never come to pass, professions that are never fufilled, explanations that are only meant to mystify. I wallow in words. Britannia, that unfortunate female, is always before me like a trussed fowl, skewered through and through with office pens and bound hand and foot with red tape. I am sufficiently behind the scenes to know the worth of political life. I am quite an

WHITE SUITS & D.D.T.

THE appearance in London of the new Ealing Studio's film The Man

in the White Shirt about the troubles of

a man who invented a clothing fabric

which would not stain or wear out, re-

minds me of the way in which D.D.T.,

the insecticide, was kept from production

until the needs of war over-rided the

interests of the insecticide makers, just

as zip-fasteners though invented years

before were not manufactured until

wanted for ammunition pouches in the

First World War. The story of D.D.T.

was told by Edwin Kemp, farm editor

of the Philadelphia Record in an article

in that paper in 1945. He told how

D.D.T. was discovered in 1874 by a

young German chemist, Othmar Zeidlar,

but was deliberately withheld from

general use because it would have killed

the market for other less effective

agencies which must be used several

is simple. It wouldn't have paid the

people who decide the economic policies

of the nation. As for the people them-selves, it would have saved both property

and life and opened the way for general

by failure to use D.D.T. against disease-

carrying insects is revealed by the fact

tha the U.S. Army used D.D.T. success-

fully to put down typhus and malaria

shortly after the North African invasion."

ventions and discoveries are being with-

Who knows what other beneficial in-

"That many people sickened and died

advances in the level of living.

held from us to-day?

The reason why D.D.T. was not used

times-daily instead of twice yearly.

MEETINGS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP OPEN-AIR MEETINGS at HYDE PARK

Every Sunday at 3.30 p.m. NORTH-EAST LONDON DISCUSSION MEETINGS IN EAST HAM

SEPT. 19—Edgar Priddy THE ABC OF ANARCHISM Enquiries c/o Freedom Press

at 7.30

SOUTH LONDON Meetings suspended for the time being. Readers interested in possible future activities, please contact S. E. Parker, c/o Freedom Press.

GLASGOW OUTDOOR MEETINGS at MAXWELL STREET Every Sunday at 7 p.m. With John Gaffney, Frank Leech, Jimmy Raeside, Eddie Shaw

FREEDOM

The Anarchist Weekly Postal Subscription Rates 12 months 17/- (U.S.A. \$3.00)

6 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$1.50) 3 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$0.75) Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies

12 months 27/- (U.S.A. \$4.50) 6 months 13/6 (U.S.A. \$2.25) Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, grosse a/c Payee, and addressed to the publisher. FREEDOM PRESS

27 Red Lion Street London, W.C.I England Tel.: Chancery 8364

Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1. Published by Freedom Press, 27 Red Lion Street, London, W.C.1.