"Liberty means responsibility. That is why most men dread

**—BERNARD SHAW** 

Vol. 20, No. 27

July 4th, 1959

Threepence

# BOYCOTT S. AFRICAN GOODS!

AST Friday, June 26, (South Africa 'Freedom Day') the nonwhite peoples of South Africa aunched a boycott campaign against all goods produced by firms the principals or owners of which are known to support the Nationalist Governnent and its apartheid regime.

This is the intensification of a boyott which has been practised poradically for some time. Now it being put on a national basis with resolve to continue indefinitely til the cruel exploitation of African orkers by their white mastersith all its aspects of slave labourreplaced by more humane relation-

But the campaign is not being mited to South Africa. Through e Committee of African Organiations, an appeal is being made to people of Britain to show their approval of the vicious racialism the South African Government by fusing to buy South African goods

One third of South Africa's total ports come to Britain. We spend 10 million every year on South African wines, fruits, tobacco and anned goods. And a large proortion of these goods are produced n farms that employ labour in conitions of slavery.

#### Chance to Act

Every time we enjoy a juicy 'Outpan' orange, or a bunch of grapes or wine made from the grapes, we help to provide the need for a police raid to round up some more Africans to be carted out to the farms. Every time we light up a 'Craven A' cigarette we help keep that boot on an African's neck.

We have often bewailed how little we in Britain can do to help the South African workers. Now is the chance to do something that will demonstrate clearly-even to the Nationalists blinded by prejudicethat we hate what they are doing in the name of European culture.

The African National Congress has sent to this country (and the story of how he got to this country is not for publication!) the secretary

Tennyson Makiwane. The ANC recognised that they needed a spokesman on the outside, and Mr. Makiwane is the first of their officials to leave the country to put the Africans' case to the world. He was one of the original list of 156 leaders of anti-Nationalist opinion to be charged in the infamous and farcical Treason Trial.

#### 1,760,000 Arrests!

At a press conference last week, Mr. Makiwane said that 1,760,000 Africans were arrested last year on Pass Law offences. Outlining the purpose of the boycott campaign, he

The South African government is pursuing a policy of racial discrimination and unprecedented persecution of the non-white population of South Africa. This policy has already precipitated a grave crisis, and serious deterioration of race relations in the country. The recent riots in Durban are a clear warning that the danger point has already been passed

'In spite of international condemnation of racial practises, in the United Nations and elsewhere, the government of South Africa shows no intention of reversing its policies. In fact, recent events have shown that the government is intensifying discriminatory and oppressive meas-

'Non-European students have been excluded from the South African Universities; the government is intensifying the hated pass-system and applying it to women; whilst the horrors of the farm slave-labour system recently exposed, have shocked the whole world, and compelled the government to make at least some show of suspending the system. However, the people are calling for the complete destruction of the machinery

'Now the South African government is trying to pull off the colossal bluff that it is extending self-government to Africans through the so-called Extension of Bantu-Self-Government Act. It is hardly necessary for me to point out that this act is in fact intended to take the Africans back to tribalism: it has already met with the firm rejection of the African people, and the outspoken contempt of all right-thinking people in the Union

'In the face of this mounting oppres-

#### allies, are launching an economic boycott of all firms supporting the present régime. We should note that this is virtually the only form of protest left legally open to them. Continued on p. 4

sion, the people of South Africa, led by the African National Congress and its

### All Africa Will Rally!

AT a public meeting in London last Friday, launching the campaign for boycott of South African goods, Julius Nyerere, president of the Tangan-yika African National Union, pledged the support of his organisation-which embraces the whole of Tanganyika-to support the boycott.

It is thought that it will spread to Kenya—largest African importing country from S.A.—and that the government of Ghana will soon find it impossible to stand aside from giving support for the

While all Africa will rally, all people of goodwill in Britain must also play their part. So far the British press has shown exactly where it stands—by ignoring the campaign (with three exceptions).

Support for the campaign can be offered to the Committee of African Organisations, at 200 Gower Street, London, N.W.1. (Euston 2763) who are organising pickets and demonstrations in as many busy shopping centres as possible. They are appealing for help.

Picketing so far carried out has brought sympathetic response (and of course, some of the reverse), but much more needs to be done to make an effect.

#### The Politician's Art of GETTING **NOWHERE AT GENEVA**

AFTER 41 days of getting nowhere at Geneva, assuming getting somewhere was the intention, the four Foreign Ministers (Britain, France, U.S.S.R. and U.S.) agreed to a three-week recess. Few can deny that they need a rest, it is an exhausting business walking round in circles.

In fairness we have to acknowledge that one major problem was solved in the early days of the conference. After serious discussion and private consultation a decision was reached as to the shape of the table at which they were to sit. Perhaps we will be forgiven when we admit to having forgotten whether the four major World Powers settled for a round or a square one, but a decision was made which included an "occasional" to accommodate the silent observers from East and West Germany who must have been disappointed if they hoped for a settlement of the "German problem".

According to one newspaper commentary "the Western powers have not come out of the conference too badly. They have surrendered no vital position in Berlin"; the Russians likewise, which is no more than we expected. The puzzle is that so few people seem to see how farcical these conferences really are, convened ostensibly to "discuss" decisions already made in secret before the representatives ever meet.

A News Chronicle editorial (June 22nd) comments that: "The failure of the Foreign Minister's Conference at Geneva can have surprised few people. The Conference has done no good but it has also done no great harm." A fair commentary on political techniques in our time.

Judging by the vague and meaningless statements emitting from the Government here, we are left with the conviction that Geneva amounted to nothing. As stated above no positions were surrendered in Berlin and no progress was made on the cessation of nuclear tests. Commenting on the latter, the Observer editorial (June 21st), states that the Soviet Union understands the need to avoid war as clearly as do the Governments of the West and asks the following question to which it supplies an answer:

"... if some perception of our common interest in avoiding war is slowly emerging, why does it not show itself in the other negotiations at Geneva, those on the cessation of nuclear tests? There is little sign of progress there, either. Indeed, the world awaits the first French nuclear test—and half suspects Western diplomacy of stalling at Geneva to allow this event to take place."

At home the Government also hopes that stalling tactics will have the right effect on the electorate bluffed into the belief that efforts towards "peaceful negotiations" are being tirelessly made by the Tories. Macmillan's speeches to his supporters are optimistically on a school-boy level suitable for consumption by lady Conservatives. The pointlessness of the whole situation is accidentally underlined by Selwyn Lloyd who best sums up the result of Geneva in these astonishing

"The time has come for East and West to pause and consider not only what each had really been saying [at Geneva]—but, even more important, what they had been meaning"!

# The Latest Statement on Nuclear Weapons The Labour Party's Damp Squib

revised joint statement on nuclear weapons and disarmament issued last week by the Labour Party and the Trades Union Congress, was no political bombshell, but a damp squib which will neither prevent the growth of the Nuclear Bomb Club, nor "unite" and strengthen the Labour movement in readiness for the electoral fray when it comes. For the leaders have committed themselves to nothing new.

They reiterate their determination

TT was very soon clear that the to extend indefinitely the H-bomb test suspension which has been observed by the three members of the Bomb-Club since November. And because "it has now been accepted by the Medical Research Council that all exposure to radio-activity is potentially dangerous, and the greater the exposure the greater the danger [so] that there is thus no 'safe limit'" the Labour Party will continue to observe it even if "other countries were to break the truce". In such a case they would "im-mediately initiate fresh negotiations for an international ban on all tests".

They make it equally clear that there is no question of their accepting the policy of unilateral nuclear disarmament by this country—a policy which has been "decisively rejected both by the Trades Union Congress and the Labour Party" for "nothing has happened to weaken the arguments against it". So all that the Labour Party will do if returned to power is to take the initiative of offering to give up the Bomb (made in Britain) if all countries except Russia and the United States, agree to renounce any ambitions or plans they might have to produce their own nuclear weapons. The Labour politicians consider such a step deserving of praise by the foreigner and justifying a feeling of smug virtue by the Britisher, for

We do not deny that in taking the

ful would leave Russia and America as the sole nuclear Powers we should be making some sacrifice in power and influence. It was because of these considerations that the Labour Government decided in 1946 to make our own atom bomb, and that the Labour party decided some years later to support the produc-tion by Britain of her own hydrogen bomb. But we hold that, in the circumstances and under the conditions we have laid down, this sacrifice would be abundantly justified in order to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons to more and more countries throughout the world.

The frank admission, that Britain joined the nuclear-club for reasons of "power and influence" and not as some people seemed to think at the time, for reasons of "defence" against Russian threats to our "independence and freedom", expose the Labour Party's plan as worthless because one-sided. Indeed, the Labour politicians recognise this when they admit that

we can hardly deny these nations the right to follow our example. For all the arguments which prompted us to make our British nuclear weapons can be used with equal force and validity by the French or Chinese, for example, for producing their bombs.

Such sweet reasonableness and tolerance indicate not a change of heart but that the political leopard does not change its spots, and that when the Labour politicians talk of making "sacrifices" in power and influence if their proposals are accepted, it is a lie, which de Gaulle Continued on p. 3

#### IS BUSINESS BUT BUSINESS

TEL AVIV, JUNE 26.

A storm is brewing here after the disclosure by the German weekly Der Spiegel of the sale of a large quantity of Israel-made anti-tank grenade throwers (for the use of riflemen) to the German

Not only "Heruth, the main Opposition party, but also "Ahduth Avodah", which forms part of the Government coalition, are attacking the deal and the independent newspapers, both morning and evening, are highly critical. "Haaretz" asks whether the Government considered the international implications of the sale, which establishes in the eyes of the world the fact of West German-Israel friendship, and wonders how the inemory of Nazi atrocities against the Jewish people and arms supply by Israel to the German Army can co-exist side by side. The Prime Minister has considered it

prudent to attack the Ahduth Avodah party, and to claim that as both its Cabinet members knew of the coming transaction the party is not entitled to claim that it was surprised. Davar, the Labour Federation's daily, which usually ex-presses the opinion of Mapai (the Premier's party), defends it mainly on the ground (also advanced by Mr. BenGurion) that the proceeds of the German sale help the Israel defence forces to acquire much-needed modern arms elsewhere, but a feeling of embarrassment on the part of the writer is evident.

Mr. Ben-Gurion has said that he told the Cabinet last winter of the orders for aims from West Germany and other countries and the Cabinet authorising him to complete the arrangements.

There can be no question that the is come as a painful shock to many Israelis, who cannot help recognising the continuity between the Bundeswehr (the present army), the Wehrmacht and the Reichswehr, and who do not regard the reparations agreement between Bonn and Jerusalem as having spelt the unreserved rehabilitation of the German people in the eyes of the Jews.

A different question is whether Israel's Parliament, the Knesset, will be able to muster a majority in order to compel the Government to cancel the deal, as the various parliamentary groups have, especially in view of the forthcoming elections, each one different aims. It is, therefore, not yet clear how things will go in the Knesset next week.

(Manchester Guardian).

## A Bad Business!

PROGRESS OF A DEFICIT! WEEK 26

Deficit on Freedom Contributions received DEFICIT 250

June 19 to June 25

Springfield, III.: E.B. 3/6; Slough: E.C. 2/3; Glasgow: T.McG. 2/-; London: J.G. 10/-; London: D.O. 4/6; London: J.O'H\* 2/-; London: M.H.S.\* 2/6; London: P.F.\* 10/-; Manchester: R.L.C. 2/-; Glasgow: S.M. 2/-; Doncaster: B.S. £1: Los Angeles: R.B.G. 7/-; Wolverhampton: J.G.L.\* 2/6; Van Nuys, Cel.: Picnic, June 7, per J.E. £8/10/3; Greenford: Anon. 7/-: Sheffield: H.W. 5/-, Total 12 17 6
Previously acknowledged 457 12 6

1959 TOTAL TO DATE £470 10 0

"Indicates regular centributor,

initiative in a project which, if success-

FROM APE TO ANGEL, by H. R. Hays, Methuen, 36s.

THIS book is a good popular summary of the history of anthropology, though it has some curious gaps. Very few of the societies described in it would really meet the exacting standards of the anarchist, but mutual aid seems to have been the basis of most of them. Yet 1 can find no mention of Kropotkin's work. Is this controversy, war of each-againstall or mutual aid, then so completely dead? I doubt it, and in any case a work dealing with the history of anthropological theories should devote a chapter

"As a corrective to the nineteenthcentury Darwinian concept of the competitive struggle for survival, Ashley Montague traces the co-operative element in life forms and in society in detail . . . we are told, and then we are given a compressed summary of what are in fact Kropotkin's ideas. I have heard that Ashley Montague was influenced by Kropotkin, if so there is no mention of it

The majority of anthropologists have been men and women of liberal type, sympathetic to the often disappearing races they were studying, devoid of the arrogance of the missionary and the imperialist. Many of them had a strongly romantic streak. But it seems to me doubtful if anthropology can ever become a wholly exact science, any more than psychology. The prejudices and preconceptions of the investigator have to be taken into account in assessing his work. Moreover the amount of sheer knowledge that is now available is confusing. The man who believes that early society was monotheistic to begin with will find all the evidence he needs—and so will his opponents. There is plenty of ammunition for both sides in the matriarchal-patriarchal controversy, and for those who either support or oppose

the theory of the diffusion of culture.

"Anything you please you may find among your useful savages . . . You have but to skim a few books of travel, pencil in hand, and pick out what suits your case", wrote Andrew Lang in his criticism of Tylor's theories. It is only fair to add that he did exactly the same him-

In fact this book is somewhat slanted, being written rather from the liberalmanagerial-progressive point of view. The theory of cultural degeneration is discredited by being linked with the name of Archbishop Whately, thus giving it an air of mystical spuriousness. "The de-generation theory of savagery (that primitives regressed from the civilised state) had to be fought vigorously before social anthropology could progress." Why? Surely the point is not whether social anthropology should progress or not, but whether in fact degeneration can be shown to have taken place or not. As it can.\* Why should the recognition that mankind can go backwards as well as forwards harm the study of social anthropology anyway? It fits in less well with the liberal-progressive philosophy of life, but that is another matter,

While the number of different cultures is very great, very few are unauthoritarian and none (including modern cul-tures) free from irrational taboos and restrictions. Modern society does not appear to be becoming less taboo-ridden, however much the nature of the taboos are altering. A sort of profound anxiety seems to have seized mankind at a very remote epoch, with unpleasant consequences, varying from the chopped off fingers of some Stone Age peoples to the Hydrogen Bombs of the up-to-date savage. Human institutions tend to frustrate natural desires as much as to gratify

\*A simple case. Some South Sea Islanders isolated on atolls without boats. They had lost the art of making them, or the need. Did they fly there? A more complex example. The relapse into barbarism of twentieth century Germany, a social rather than technical degeneration. There seems to be some indication though that, due to the isolation of Germany and the expulsion of Jewish savants, technical degeneration would have set in eventually.

In the past such a situation could just be tolerated, since explosions of aggression could have only a limited destructive effect. (Very few human societies studied by anthropologists have avoided warfare, though there are occasional ex-Nowadays such a state of affairs could lead to the destruction of the human race, but no one really seems to know what to do about it.

The distinction between savage and civilised does not really exist. To the Victorians it seemed obvious, but nowadays it is impossible to draw the line anywhere, because so many atrocities (of the kind associated with savages hitherto) have been committed by the most advanced civilised nations. Scientific knowledge has been used by barbaric and superstition-ridden despots to in-crease their power and destroy their

Lubbock wrote, "While savages show us a melancholy spectacle of gross superstition and ferocious forms of worship, the religious mind cannot but feel a peculiar satisfaction in tracing up the gradual evolution of more correct ideas and of nobler creeds." Comment is superfluous.

It was all very fine for Frazer to regard indulgently the cruel punishments inflicted on seducers and adulteresses in some cultures, because "superstition has strengthened the respect for marriage and has thereby contributed to a stricter observance of the rules of sexual moral-This was written prior to the First World War. Since then the past has come back into the present. Such gruesome playfulness is no longer funny after Belsen and Hiroshima. Although it is unlikely any of us will live to see the introduction of the custom of eating unfaithful wives alive, we have already seen the reintroduction of the medieval pog-rom with all the aids of modern science.

The United States may be considered as the home of modern anthropology. At least many leading modern anthropologists are Americans. This makes them less than just to the theory of cultural diffusion. A jibe was once aimed at Elliot Smith, who believed that agricultural civilisation came originally from Egypt, and nowhere else, that he was

influenced by his imperialist British patriotism, and saw all things coming from one "mother country". (But Egypt was a strange choice for a British patriot The argument is two-edged. Most American ethnologists and anthro-pologists scout the idea that American Indian cultures came originally from the Far East, though it is almost a certainty, and get extremely emotional about it. Isn't this due to the same sort of patriotism, an irrational repudiation of the Old World which is characteristically Ameri-

The truth is that the epoch and coun-

try of the anthropologist is bound colour his work to some extent. It were not to Living in a declining imperialis probably affects the present writer to and makes him a bit sceptical about the attitude of H. R. Hays, particularly with regard to the title he has chosen for h work. Our road from ape to angel seen to have been a rather bloody one. apes are fairly amiable creatures at angels an unknown quantity, one wonder whether it would have been better not have taken it. But are we really on an such road at all? ARTHUR W. ULOTH

IND

#### **Abolition of Capital Punishment** COMMENT LETTER

THE EDITOR. Sir,

"Kick Out the Hangman"

I have read with interest the article

under the above heading in your issue of the 23rd May.

Capital punishment can only be abolished by Members of Parliament. In the present Parliament this means that it could only be abolished if supported by a sufficient number of Conservative Members.

Anyone who has any knowledge of the parliamentary situation with regard to capital punishment knows that there is no prospect whatever of Conservative Members of Parliament disobeying the Party's Whip shortly before a General Election, and that no campaign of whatever size or character undertaken at the present time has the remotest prospect of success in the lifetime of this Parliament.

There is, however, I hope, reason to believe that the next Parliament, whatever its political complexion, will complete a reform long overdue.

Yours, etc. GERALD GARDINER, Chairman. National Campaign for the Abolition of Capital Punishment.

London, June 22

[Mr. Gardiner's logic is indisputable but how depressing it is! No less depressing is the fact that on the question of capital punishment. "public opinion" is even more reactionary than parliamentary opinion Perhaps, for this reason Mr. Gardiner puts all his eggs in the Parliamentary basket, and so far a abolishing the death penalty this may be the quickest solution, but at price. We are not quibbling. We too want to see the degrading terrible, practice of legalised murde abolished. But we are also aware of the fact that if it is achieved through Parliament and not as a result of widespread understanding of human frailty and deep social conscience then the punishment which will re place the death penalty will in it turn offend the feelings of decency o most of those people who cam-paigned against the death penalty

A hint of things to come was pro vided by Mr. Butler, the Home Sec retary in a written parliamentar reply to Mr. C. Osborne (C., Louth) He said that a person sentenced to life imprisonment might in an extreme case-where, for example, i was necessary for the protection o the public-be kept in prison until

he dies.

"Each case is considered on its merit. The factors taken into account include the circumstances of the offence, the age and character of the offender, the likeli hood of his being a danger to the public if he is discharged and, in the case of non-mandatory life sentences, the level of determinate sentences being imposed

by the courts for similar offences.
"Since 1920, six prisoners serving life sentences have been discharged after serving for twenty years; none has been detained longer. The average period has hitherto been nine years: but this will not necessarily be so in future because prisoners sentenced to life imprisonment will include murderers who, before the Homicide Act, would have been hanged and whose cases present no mitigating features.'

First things first, yes. But let us beware that in salving our own consciences so far as the death penalty is concerned we are not responsible for condemning men to a living death compared with which a quick death might seem to them almost preferable.—Editors]

#### FREEDOM BOOKSHOP OPEN DAILY

(Open 10 a.m. -6.30 p.m., 5 p.m. Sets:)

New Books . . . Sexual Behaviour in the Human

Female Alfred C. Kinsey 56/-In the Struggle for Equality

B. Yelensky 17/6 Guillotine at Work

G. P. Maximoff 17/6

G. P. Maximoff 17/6
From the Other Shore
Alexander Herzen 15/The London Years Rudolf Rocker 25/Pierre-Joseph Proudhon
George Woodcock 28/The Political Philosophy of
Bakunin G. P. Maximoff 42/-

Bakunin G. Cheap Editions

and Reprints . . .
And So To Bed Samuel Pepys 3/6
Advocate for the Dead

Advocate for the Dead
Alex Weissberg 2/6
Scottsboro' Boy Haywood
Patterson & Earl Conrad 3/6

Uses of Literacy Richard Hoggart 4/-

Second-Hand . . . Substance of Economics

Look to Norway William Warbey 3/-Communist Challenge
Kenneth Ingrem 3/Life of Henry David Thoreau

H. A. Silverman 4/-

Henry S. Salt 3/6
Soviet Opposition to Stalin

George Fischer 5/Essay on Contemporary Events
C. G. Jung 3/-Essays in Rebellion

Henry W. Nevinson 4/6 Birth of Tragedy
Friedrich Nietzche 6/The Attack
R. H. Tawney 5/-

The Attack R. H. Tawnay 5/Guild Socialism Re-stated
G. D. H. Cole 5/Impossibilities of Social
Democracy Dr. A. Schäffle 3/6
Lenin James Maxton 4/Guilty Men Cato 2/6
Shall Our Children Live or Die?
Victor Gollancz 2/6

Periodiculs . . .

Humanist, July University Libertarian, No. 9 1/-New Departures, No. 1.

Beckett, Schwitters, etc. 3/6

Liberation, June

We can supply ANY book required, including text-books. Please supply publisher's name if possible, but if not, we can find it. Scarce and out-of-print books searched for—and frequently found!

Postage free on all items

Obtainable from

27, RED LION STREET. LONDON, W.C.I

**CONVERSATION PIECE** 

#### Cups Outside No

I WAS having a cup of tea in the City Literary Institute the other night, which is a misfortune that could happen to anyone, when I had a visitation from another planet.

It happened thuswise. I had finished my tea, which, served in a plastic cup, has acquired a further exotic taste rather like one might imagine mescalin, or pootl or soma. Undermined by this heady brew I made a democratic gesture of returning my cup and saucer to the counter. This was almost fatal because I stepped upon a piece of banana skin left by a civics student and plunged head first to the floor.

My saucer described a parabola in the air and when it came to earth it had expanded three times its size and a gnomish character was upon it.

"You don't believe in flying saucers, do you?" he said.

"Well, I am at the moment inclined towards a willing suspension of dis-

"Perhaps you wonder how I come to speak English."

"Yes," I said.

"I took it at the Country Illiterate Institute . . . And why I am here?"

I nodded. All this was a little heady. Our Astronomy class had a field-day excursion among the nebular galaxy and I was assigned to reconnoitre on this particular crumb of cooling clinker.

For a moment I was seized with a paroxysin of cosmic patriotism but recollected I was in this world to broaden my mind so I belted up.

"I suppose you are interested in our bomb explosions?" 'What explosions?"

I explained to him about the H-Bomb.

"No, we haven't noticed anything. One or two planets have disappeared but that's in the natural course of events, it isn't significant, except to yourselves, of course. But why do you make these bombs? Is there a market for them? Are they useful? Or is it just beauty and pleasure like fireworks?"

"No, we need them as weapons."

"Is some other planet invading you?" "No, it's a defence against Commun-

"What is that, a disease?"

"No, it's a political philosophy." "Can you get rid of a philosophy with

"No, but we don't intend to use the bomb. It's to stop the others thinking they can use it and that we haven't got

"What others? I thought you said it was a defence against an attack of philosophy in the world."

No, it's a defence of the West against

"You mean you're still fighting amongst yourselves? Perhaps it's your hobby, now you've solved all your problems like climate, food-growing and distribution, the common cold and crime."
"We haven't solved them!"

"Well you great boobies, what are you threatening each other with these bomb things when you've got other things to see to?"

'Well, we've penetrated outer space, at least a dog, two monkeys and four mice have."

"One world at a time, my friend. We from our planet still have one or two problems like the problem of death and the problem of perpetual motion to resolve but we have leisure to follow up our hobby of space-travel. You are putting the cart before the horse. And you, to use your idion, are in the cart.

I adroitly changed the subject. "What is your planet like?"

"A little like this. We started with the same basic conditions but we took all the right turnings. Our evolution was conscious."

"Don't you want to meet our leaders? I'm sure they'd like to meet you. The newspapers too."

"Who are your leaders?"

"Why," I said, "you know, old so-and-so," with great difficulty I recollected the name.

But who is this man?" 'Ho's our elected leader."

"Leader?" this saucer-boy seemed very

"He's the one we've chosen to take us where we want to go."

"To where he wants to go?"

"No, we're democratic."

"To where we want to go . . . Don't you know how to get there?" "Of course we do."

"Then why do you want taking?" I ignored this, it seemed to be best.

"Do you want to meet our leaders?" "If they've led you to the state I hear you're in they must be bigger boobies than you, so I don't want to see them."

"No, no, it's the Others who are to blame for things being like this." "The Others?"

"Yes, the East."

"Well, if the East is leading the West into a mess why not exchange leaders or amalgamate, perhaps the Western leaders could get the East into a mess."

I looked at him very severely. Perhaps he was pulling my leg.
"Are you a materialist?" I said.

"Well, I'm here . . . if that's what you mean.' 'But don't you believe in an After

"Yes, I believe that something happens after life."

"What do you mean?" "Death. That's another process." "Don't you believe in a God who made this world?"

"Well... I can't believe in one of the gods that this world made and if he made the other worlds as well he must have been versatile."

"Don't you look at the wonders of space from your flying saucer, don't you think of the wonder of a Creator who made all this, from the Cosmic Cup to your Flying Saucer?" (I rather fancied this phrase).

No sir. As I look around at this Earth of yours as you call it, and as you have made it, and I say, 'take away this

The canteen staff were trying to bring me round with another cup of tea which I firmly refused, and staggered to my feet ready to face another session of Creative

# FREEDOM PRESS

### SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM'

Vol. 1, 1951, Mankind is One Vol. 2, 1952, Postscript to Posterity Vol. 3, 1953, Colonialism on Trial Vol. 4, 1954, Living on a Volcano Vol. 5, 1955, The Immoral Moralists Vol. 6, 1956, Oil and Troubled

Vol. 7, 1957, Year One-Sputnik

Vol. 8, 1958, Socialism in a Wheelchair each volume paper 7s. 6d. cloth 10s. 6d.

The paper edition of the Selections is available to readers of FREEDOM at 5/- a copy

V. RICHARDS :

Lessons of the Spanish Revolution 6s. JOHN HEWETSON : OHN HEWELTOON ?

Ill-Health, Poverty and the State cloth 2s. 6d., paper 1s.

ERRICO MALATESTA: Anarchy

27, Red Lion Street, London, W.C.I.

14 11 73

hits 4, 1050

### THE LABOUR RTY'S DAMP SQUIB

I so much beginning to ner and the others can see igh without even looking!

the Kle is only one way out of the Percent to markind, and or a sexume directed to the hearts in allow of the prophe, not to As he was who cannot afford that gesture should consist the world that the is destining completely (we 10 x cost of contact disarra-Service the Labour Party onto be exactly of this country, and the NATO strategy, ending expression of nuclear arms and a way he defence effort "on king up of conventional leaving the responsibility for respection of nuclear weapons b to the United States"). Such culd only ourn the conthe world's politicians bethey would see it as being of to all the traditions of the game The L.P. statement ms this right

is not the sightest evidence that, were to take this step, it would Amorica or Russia to follow suit my way juduence the policy of or Charle or the Chinese Gov-

al ciney are quite right, but only they think in terms of power s and not in solutions which ment appeal to the people which

here we reach the impasse, political parties. e is in fact no solution to the somets race, nor to the specific ex maclear weapons, within the work of power politics. It is knet which can be cut in by revolutionary, unconvenwe bods, but will resist those, even with the best intentionswe do not include the politicians nog them-would seek to unravel

West we are trying to say is this: successor the social conscience in country could express itself, it uld be useless to seek to use it influence either the American or Resear administration. But, to our wais, it would have a profound in-Becare if we directed that social constate to the ordinary peoples of las world

Can you visualise the persuasion of our arguments if we used only a part of the resources and human ingenuity, now wasted in developing weapons of extinction, for broadcastag to the world that we had abanseed them, and with the rest made a significant contribution to raising the standards of hving of the starving other half of mankind? And are was aware of the fact that this could be achieved without lowering the existing standards of living in this crawty? Indeed, we could even "afford" to provide a decent living for everyordy in Britain as well!

THAT is all very fine, but it's not praedeal"- do we hear some-body object? "Not Praetical" for whom? If you are thinking of the interests of the politician and the Trusts, we agree. Without the backing of force, deprived of privilege, they are lost, are humble citizens like you and me. For us, the ordinary people, what we have proposed is practical because it is what we want. The Russian people want leisure, the necessities of life and they want to hive in peace just as much as we do in this country. But only by bypassing the politicians—whatever their professed ideology-can we acquire the power and the social responsibility as individuals which we need to build a world at peace; a world in which every human being will feel able to be himself.

#### **EVALUATING EXPERIENCE** THE SPANISH

W/RITING in the May issue of the review Volonia, Giovanna Berneri remarked that "the literature of events in Spain from 1936 to 1939 has grown in the last few years, but the time story of the period has yet to be told." She was discussing a new study of the Spanish Civil War, Spagna by Pietro Nenni, the Italian socialist leader, The book is, she emphasises, a politic-ian's, a party man's interpretation of events, while "most of Nenni's judgments on the anarchists could have been read in the columns of Pravda or Isvestia at the time." In refuting Nenni's denisee the time. In relating Neum's deni-stration of the Spanish anarchists she cues the evidence of non-anarchist for-egn observers. Carlo Rosselli, Aldo Garosci, Francesco Fausto Nitti, George Orwell, H. E. Kaminski, and Gerald Brenan. She points out how politicians, because of their mode of thinking, have succeed at, or dismissed as an absurdity, the collectivisation of industry and agriculture in Catalonia, "because they would alienate sympathy from abroad, because they were in the middle of a war, etc., Nenni for instance sees the agricultural collectives as an expensive diver-sion, to which Giovanna Berneri answers that they were in fact a necessity, and could have resulted in the solution of Spain's biggest problem, that of the land, "In the chaos of July 1936, in spite of the immense difficulties and risks, first the industrial workers and then those of the land, saved the situation, by enthrough their direct control of the means of production, the continuity of social and economic life"

The history of the Spanish war and revolution was again discussed at a meeting at the Eliseo in Rome on June 4th, reported in Umanità Nova, where Nicola Chiaramonte and Giovanna Berneri denounced the misrepresentation history given by a Communist speaker. In Britain there has been little attempt at re-assessment, compared with the large number of (mostly ephemeral) books which appeared at the time here. V. Richards' Lessons of the Spanish Revolution (published by Freedom Press), a critical appraisal of the part played by the Spanish anarchists, is alone in the field, while the two chapters in that book on the agricultural collectives and the collectivised industries are the only sources of information in print in English on what may, historically, be considered the most important aspect of the Spanish

It is a well-known failing of the anarchist movement that, in relation to its size, it devotes too much of its attention to its past, and too little to attempts to exert an influence on the world of today. But there are other reasons beside the sense of historical justice for wanting to see a critical and analytical account of the Spanish collectives. Sooner or later, the issue of workers' control of industry is going to come back into prominence, and when it does, the experience of Spain must be discussed. Marie Louise Berneri, in an article we reprinted recently, claimed that,

Never again can it be held that work-"Never again can it be held that workers and peasants are unable to organise and control their society and their lives without direction from a government of leaders'. Virtually for the first time in history the Spanish people showed what workers' control of production can do; that production for need is entirely practicable and not at all the 'utopian dream' of the entering or patronising senties." of the sneering or patronising sceptics."

We need to be able to provide the evidence to substantiate this claim. We want to know what were the sources of strength and of weakness, the limitations and the triumphs. To what extent can the types of organisation adopted be described as unarchistic? What would their subsequent evolution have been?

ANOTHER reason why such a study is overdue is because of the importance of the Spanish collectives in the sociology of co-operative undertakings. Writers who have drawn attention to the social and industrial mulaises of our society have paid a great deal of attention to collective experiments of one kind or another, for very good reasons. Erich Fromm, for example, in his The Sane Society, after discussing the structure of the French Communautés du travail, cemarks that they

"are not, of course, the only examples for the possibility of communitarian life. Whether we take Owen's communities, or those of the Mennonites or Hutterites, or the agricultural settlements of Israel, they all contribute to our knowledge of the possibilities of a new style of life. They also show that most of these communitarian experiments are executed by men with a shrewd intelligence, and an

immensely practical sense. They are by no means the dreamers our so-called realists believe them to be; on the contrury, they are mostly more realistic and imaginative than our conventional business leaders appear to be. Undoubtedly there have been many shortcomings in the principles and practice of these experiments, which must be recognized in order to be enabled." order to be avoided."

We have to place the Spanish collectives in this tradition, as a guide and spur to future action. Fromm probably did not know about the Spanish experience, for he goes on to say:

The question is whether conditions similar to those created by the communitarians can be created for the whole of our society. The aim would then be to create a work situation in which man gives his lifetime and energy to something which has meaning for him, in which he knows what he is doing, has an influence on what is being done, and feels united with, rather than separated from, his fellow man. This implies that the work situation is made concrete the work situation is made concrete again; that the workers are organised into sufficiently small groups to enable the individual to relate himself to the group as real, concrete human beings, even though the factory as a whole may have many thousands of workers. It means that methods of blending centralisation, and desortedisation are found. isation and decentralisation are found which permit active participation and responsibility for everybody, and at the same time create a unified leadership as far as it is necessary"

Can we claim that the Spanish collectives give an answer to the question of Fromm's first sentence? (It may be that his curious requirement of a blend of centralisation and decentralisation were met by the Spanish collectives, for in Gaston Leval's Social Reconstruction in Spain occur some sentences which must bring misgivings to anarchists: "Administrative centralisation is one of the most outstanding features", p.11, and "Its organisation was on federal lines—conducted both from above and belowpressure from below, direction from above", p.23. This may be an unfortunate choice of language, or it may indicate that, constructive achievements though they were, the collectives did not reach, in their brief life, the kind of organisational structure which we would call anarchistic. We need to find out.)

In his Paths in Utopia, examining the theories of Marx, Proudhon, Kropotkin and Landauer, and various co-operative experiments, culminating in what he calls the "signal non-failure" of the Jewish kibbutzim and kvutzot, Martin Buber declares in favour of

"the new communes—they might equally he called the new Co-operatives—I mean the subjects of a changed economy: the collectives into whose hands the control of the means of production is to pass".

duction is to pass".

He too appears to have been unfamiliar with the Spanish experience, which might lend force to his argument. Even Dr. Henrik Infield, who has done more than anyone to promote the study of co-operative communities, and especially to arrive at reliable means of them, seems to have published nothing on the Spanish experience. All this points to the need for a new effort to put the Spanish collectives "on the map" from the point of view of anarchist history and anarchist theory, from the point of view of the advocate of workers' control, and from that of the sociologist and that of the student of the sociology of co-operation.

ONE of the reasons why the subject has been given so little notice outside anarchist circles is the fact that the Spanish revolution has been so misrepresented and its events so distorted, both at the time and since, that most of the people who might benefit from its experiences and lessons are simply unaware of its existence. Another is the lack of published material and documentation, particularly of a comparative kind—comparisons, for example, with the Soviet kolkhoz, the Chinese commune, the Israeli kvutzu, the Mexican ejido, the Indian Gramdan villages, the Jugoslav "workers' collectives", and the rather more genuine workers' councils that sprang into a brief existence in Poland and Hungary in 1956.

The printed sources for an evaluation of the Spanish collectives are given in Lessons of the Spanish Revolution as

Gaston Leval: Ne Franco ne Stalin. Le collectività anarchiche spagnole nella lotta contro Franco e la reazione stalin-

iana (Milan, 1952).

A. Souchy: Entre los campesinos de Aragon (Barcelona 1937).

A. Souchy and P. Folgare: Colectiviza-

ciones. La Obra Constructiva de la Revolución Española (Barcelona 1937).
J. Peirats: La C.N.T. en la Revolución Española, Vol. I, pp. 297-386 (Toulouse,

A few chapters from the first of these books have been translated in FREEDOM and Resistance and were reprinted in the course of last year in the New York Views and Comments. Readers who are able to translate from Italian or Spanish and would like to collaborate in an effort to re-assess the Spanish collectives are invited to get in touch with me.

Another essential source is the material to be found in the relevant newspapers of the period, yet another is the groups of exiled Spaniards with first-hand experience, another, which will probably have to wait until a change in the régime, is Spain itself. One prime necessity for any serious evaluation is to secure corroborative material from nonanarchist sources, as this anecdote from Franz Borkenau's The Spunish Cockpit

"The gap between ideals and reality is sometimes grotesque in Spain, and people are completely satisfied with their own good intentions without bothering to put them into effect. In the village committees the anarchists seemed usually to take the lead, and among other achievements they prided themselves upon the abolition of private commerce in the harvests. All crops were now sold direct to the trade unions, I learnt and I was inclined to admire this extraordinary feat of organisation. My curiosity, in one case, went so far as to ask for an interview with the man who was charged with the commercial handling of the main crop, which in this instance was wheat. And then came disappointment. There was no such man; thus there was visible dismay on the faces of the committee members when I asked to see the man who did not exist. After a few minutes they decided to admit that the committee members when I asked to see the man who did not exist. After a few minutes they decided to admit that the crops were handled exactly as before, by private merchants. In fact, the problem of the handling of export crops such as the Valencia oranges is far beyond the capacity of small villages. But if the ideals of comunismo libertario could not be put into practice, at least it was not be put into practice, at least it was nice to talk about them."

If this were typical; if the achievements of the village collectives and the collectivised industries were more wishful thinking than accomplished fact, it would be a waste of time for us to attempt to draw conclusions from them. It is because we believe that this story is not typical, that we think that a systematic and critical examination would be useful.

#### CORRECTION:

#### BAKWIN AND BAKUNIN

Our typesetter is getting infected by anarchy. In the review of Mr. and Mrs. Ritter's The Free Family in our last issue, the reference to Bakunin should be to Bakwin. Dr. Harry Bakwin is the American authority on babies. Bakunin wasn't interested in babies (although two old ladies in Naples, who were still living in 1948 claimed to be his daughters).

#### Education The State of British

#### I The Teachers

IT might be expected that parents would treat the matter of suitability of teachers as one of great importance and it is most unfortunate that so many mums and dads exhibit no interest at all. To some of them, probably as a result of their own schoolday disciplining, the teacher, particularly the headmoster, is something approaching a deity: quite absurd, of course, since the teaching profession harbours all types ranging from the genius to the dullard, the sadist to the ordinary and, as we all know, at least its fair share of that most despised and misunderstood "creature", the homo-

Let us take a look at the men and women who are paid, so miserably we are told, to take charge of our children for about 35 hours each week: we will take one aspect at a time:

(a) HONESTY. There are perhaps degrees of dishonesty and it may be that judg-ments should be modified by situations, but there is no valid excuse for the fact sity lecturers reject Christianity it is impossible to find one solitary State School Headmaster who openly admits to unbelief. We are assured that there is no religious test for teachers but even if there is a virtual atheist bar in operation against headmastership it is not easy to excuse: the honest teacher only stands to lose promotion money, not his job.

(b) Unserrisiness. Teachers are quite as mercenary as the next man. Lately, the press has positively bulged with their clamourings for higher salaries. Much of this begging and demanding, in the case of the clergy, has been effected by representatives of their organisations but, as with the clergy again, many a teacher

has pled his case tearfully, often making apparently compelling comparisons with salary scales in other public professions. Teachers' Unions have passed strong resolutions demanding more money for the (spiritual?) guardians of our children, sometimes giving the impression that they might be a little strike-conscious and we have seen pictures in the papers showing hundreds of teachers marching through London to demonstrate their solidarity in matters of pay.

One poor destitute wretch wrote up to the Times Educational Supplement bemoaning the fact that he would have to wait till he was 35 years old before getting a miserable pittance of £900 p.a. The writer had half a mind to open a relief fund for the poor chap but no doubt Observer correspondent Dinah Brook will take the barrel-organ out for him ("When the teacher is the only one in the classroom without a television set in the home, he can't help feeling his position")! So all those cars one is so used to seeing parked in the school entrances must belong to the pupils . . . which only goes to show that first impressions can be faulty in the extreme!

(c) SNORBERY. It is to be hoped that the snob does not predominate in the staff rooms but we are left in little doubt that snobbery does rear its ugly head in Secondary Schools where the Assistant Masters have recently passed a resolu-tion insisting that "all new entrants to the teaching profession be required to furnish evidence that they have successfully completed a recognised course of training"—this in the face of a most serious teacher shortage. One can appreciate the necessity of such a rule in the huge centralised, stereotyped, educational systems of today. There is no time to find out what a chap is like.

Education must march on, from one certificate to another diploma. Unfortunately our educators do not see or will not heed the fact that it just cannot work anyway unless direction of labour is applied to the teaching profession—and the teachers would all say "We can't stand for that in the free world"

(d) LOYALTY is another quality which is rather rare in teachers—that is, if some reports are to be heeded. There is no doubt that some teachers are most devoted and loyal (the writer once had cause to be grateful for free coaching from one such teacher), even if their causes are misguided, but here is the "In spite other other side of the coin: a serious shortage of teachers in Britain, the number migrating has increased over the past few years at a rate greater than that of almost any other profession" (Manchester Guardian report). This report went on to say that the main attraction overseas seems to be the higher salaries, though some complain of the smugness of the British Education System and the lack of oppor-

only application of loyalty would be to the human race as a whole and therefore we would applaud every teacher who emigrated so long as they went to educate the millions of under-privileged in Africa, and other such areas, with the right ideas. But they go to Canada where there's "opportunity".

(e) KINDNESS. Unfortunately, Dr. Arnold remains very much in charge of the classrooms. It is tragic to have to report that the "spare-the-rod-spoil-the-child" educator has just been given encouragement by the United Nations General Assembly Commission on Human Rights which rejected a Russian motion read-

Continued on p. 4

# Boycott South African Goods

Continued from p. 1

'Meanwhile, at the All-African Peoples' Conference in Accra in December 1958. the delegates, as a spontaneous gesture of indignation against the racial policies of the Union of South Africa, called for BLANKET BOYCOTT OF ALL SOUTH AFRICAN GOODS. This decision is an essential complement to our own internal and more limited boycott, and the A.N.C. gives it its fullest support. We call upon all those, in this country and abroad, who sympathise with the heroic struggle for freedom and human rights of the oppressed people in South Africa, to lend their support to the boycott of all South African goods.

#### A Means of Solidarity

For anarchists and indeed libertarians generally, this boycott is of special importance. Firstly because it provides a means by which those outside of South Africa can bring pressure on the psychopaths in power there. Secondly because the plight of the Africans and coloureds in South Africa is desperate; they have no other means available to them. In this, we and they can join in solidarity in answer to the régime we all hate.

Thirdly, by using the means of boycott, of direct action, the Africans will discover their strength. The blind riots of last month in Durban were not to be wondered at, nor to be stupidly condemned, but they don't achieve much. The hopes for political action fade as the Government whittles away the constitutional rights of the non-European.

The Africans have virtually two choices of action: violent revolution or economic struggle. The very doubtful outcome of the former (with the South African Government being supplied with Saracen armoured cars by the British Government) both in chances of success and probable consequences, make it a most unfavourable choice of action. From every point of view, the economic struggle is the line which promises most.

#### Immediate Gains

It is the channel through which immediate gain may be made. For already victories can be chalked up to the boycott as a weapon. Just as in Montgomery, Alabama, the Neg-

roes ended segregation on the buses by the boycott, so two years ago did the Africans of Johannesburg defeat attempts of the bus companies to raise the fares by the same method. And the present economic boycott has already had effect, too.

A few weeks ago the Africans began a boycott against potatoes as a protest against slave-labour on the dreaded Bethal farms. There is now no market for potatoes in the African areas.

Lists of firms to be boycotted are being circulated and one almost immediate result was that one large firm hurriedly came to terms with the African National Congress.

This is a large canning cooperative, Lengeberg Kooperasie, whose directors and members are believed to be predominantly Nationalist, but who have preferred to

agree to ANC demands rather than face the consequences of boycott. This firm has agreed to recognise the Africans' trade union, to allow the union organisers to collect dues and hold meetings in working hours and to reinstate victimised workers. Not bad for a start!

#### The Real Power

Through this method the Africans can discover their strength. They can come to the realisation that for all the military power in the hands of the state, the real power in society is in the hands of the people.

The Africans and coloureds provide by far the greatest majority of the working class in South Africa. They produce and distribute the wealth. As producers alone they have tremendous power which they have yet to organise and to use

through the strike and other techniques of struggle.

But they are also the vast majority of consumers, and through the power this gives them can they strike at those who own and control the means of life.

But a large part of the prosperity of the European South African comes from selling in the rich, white, markets of the world the products of poor, black, labour. We can show our disgust with his methods by refusing to buy those products, at least until he operates conditions acceptable to the Africans and in line with civilised, European prac-

The least we can do to help the non-white workers of South Africa is to do whatever we can to bring pressure on his ruling class in the way that matters most.

BOYCOTT THE PRODUCTS OF SLAVE LABOUR!

BOYCOTT SOUTH AFRICAN

### Letter to a Newcomer

# Is Anarchism Possible Now?

DEAR NEWCOMER.

You ask me if anarchism is possible in our modern world. It is true that government and authoritarian organisations have so permeated society that it is almost impossible to conceive of any alternatives. Nevertheless many anarchists including myself, consider that anarchism is practicable in an industrial

I cannot give you a brief and comprehensive answer but I shall endeavour to provide one or two pointers in the hope that you may be sufficiently interested to investigate anarcho-syndicalism fur-

Before describing how an anarchist society would work it is necessary to pinpoint two almost unconscious assumptions which are prevalent today. These ideas are inherent in the form of present society and hence instilled into us from childhood.

The first assumption is that the state is a necessary, permanent and almost sacred institution. This is in our opinion a mistaken idea. The state is merely a territorial unit formed sometimes by the majority of its members for convenience and mutual protection. More often however it has been created

by a powerful and privileged minority in order to delineate its sphere of influence. National currency and national laws merely serve these ends.

Whether the state is formed by a majority or a minority it invariably ends in minority control for in consolidating force, military or police, it produces powerful officials. Hence even in allegedly democratic states general direction of public affairs is delegated to a few people backed up by a permanent, and therefore influential, body of government em-

The second assumption is that organisation, especially in the economic field, necessitates centralised direction. One can understand why this should be a common idea in a society dominated by the state. Nevertheless in the economic field other factors must be considered.

Industrial organisation is still largely based upon the form of individual capitalism. The primitive capitalist was self-employed and hence directed his business, his property. As business expanded other people co-operated, but under his instructions and further expansion necessitated the appointment of subordinate officials. In a highly competitive market economy this form or organisation had survival value.

This authoritarian trend was reinforced by the state which favoured individual competition at the expense of co-operation. In Great Britain alone we have many examples. There was the plundering of guild funds by King John. There were laws restricting guild activity, forbidding trades unions and other workers' organisations.

The land enclosures acts in seizing commonly-owned land not only enriched the wool barons but provided a cheap and subservient labour force for the industrialists.

Hence although the individual capitalist may be almost obsolete in some societies, the form of organisation persists. No matter what consultation may take place between employer and employee, control is in the hands of a minority, be they private directors or state managers.

But is this form of organisation necessary in a non-competitive and egalitarian society? We think not,

#### Special Measures to Protect Shopkeepers?

A resolution calling for the reintroduction of flogging and compensation for victims of attacks on shopkeepers was passed at the National Union of Retail Tobacconists' conference at Paignton, Devon, yesterday. The resolution also urged that the increasing number of attacks on shopkeepers be brought to the notice of the appropriate authorities with a view to maximum enforcement of penalties.

Moving the resolution, Mr. R. Iceton of Darlington, said the victim appeared to be of no consequence. More sympathy was shown to the criminal. The attacks were becoming daily occurrences, and the public was "fed up" with the "kid-glove" treatment of the criminals, [Why not re-introduce the death penalty for stealing while we are about it?]

A syndicalist society means simply control by the majority, which may be obtained by various means. In one organisation there may be no managers whatever, with individual departments cooperating voluntarily, if necessary by contract. In another organisation officials may be appointed and removed by ballot. In yet another, officials exert only a moral control over their fellow workers. In all cases important policy decisions are made by the workers as a whole. The concepts of employer and employee have no meaning in this context.

Co-ordination between groups, or syndicates, would be effected by liaison officers or committees in some cases delegated by their respective syndicates, in others experts appointed for their knowledge. In no cases would these officials be able to force their decisions upon the syndicates.

You might accept the foregoing as practicable but consider state control necessary in other fields. Let us examine a few of these.

Distribution: A centralised distribution organisation is unnecessary, particularly in the light of modern development. Electronic computers, regionally placed and fed with information about world production, and demand, would enable storage depots to function independently, gauging stock positions and re-

Currency Control: But why have a currency subject to manipulation by local governments? Why not an internationally recognised standard of currency? Or do we need currency at all?

Trade Agreement and Protection: Surely it would be better for international industry to negotiate direct instead of using the medium of manipulative governments?

Health Service and National Insurance: This is a recent state development. In the middle ages guilds protected their members and controlled hospitals. Trades unions and other societies provide these benefits today. In a syndicalist society, syndicates would collectively support health organisations which would be available to all.

Education: Syndicates would subsidise

National Law: First we have the problem of working rules, customs, modus vivendi or local rights. National organisation is unnecessary for these. If greater fields of activity require more general rules, state enforcement is unnecessary. The Hanseatic League and the Cinque Ports, for example, needed no state support. So far as local arrangements are concerned, Kropotkin has pointed out that "law" subject to local democratic control existed long before the state usurped this function.

Another problem is that concerning disputes. Most disputes are purely local so why should they be subject to national control? Furthermore, why should such disputes be submitted to authoritarian officials? Why not voluntary arbitration or, if this fails, a majority decision by all affected parties?

I hope that the foregoing has given you some idea of the anarchist approach and, if it hasn't entirely answered your question, it may encourage you to consult our literature on the subject.

Yours faithfully,

Solidarity with a Comrade The David Bell Fund

As reported in FREEDOM a fortni ago, David Bell was heavily sentenced Lambeth Court to nine months im onment for refusing to accept condu of exemption from National Service

He has now decided to appeal and is being arranged by the C.B.C.O. has been suggested that fellow anarch and other sympathisers contribute wards the cost of it. The London A chist Group has therefore set up a f both for this purpose and to assist during and immediately after his of imprisonment.

So, how about it, comrades?

David has suffered hardship twice putting pacifist-anarchism into pract First at North Pickenham (Swaftha and now at Lambeth Court. Sure the least we can do is to offer him material support!

Please send any money you are a to give to the address below. A li contributions received will periodic appear in Freedom.

PETER FEAK & MAX PATRICK

Send your gifts (money, postal-ord heques) to:

PETER FEAK, cheques) to:— PETER FEAK, 27, Walcot Square,

Kennington, London, S.E.11.

DAVID BELL FU LIST 1.

A.A. 5/-; J.A. 2/-; D.B. 5/-; P.F. 1 M.C. 10/-; M.P. 3/-; J.R. 10/-; P.T. A.U. 5/-; L.W. 5/-; H.K.H. 5/-; N 5/-; J.G. 20/-; N.D. 2/6; S.B. 2/-; 1/-; F.H. 2/6; K.A.N. 2/-; A.F. H.L.M. 6d.; A.S. 2/6; P.H. 5/-; B.R

> TOTAL TO DATE-£5 14s. 0d. MAX PATRICK

### MEETINGS AND ANNOUNCEMENT

LONDON ANARCHIST **GROUP** 

Regular Sunday meetings now held "Marquis of Granby" Public How Rathbone Street (corner of Percy Street Rathbone Place and Charlotte Street 7.30 p.m.

JULY 5.-Tony Weaver on A PHILOSOPHY OF WAR WITHOUT VIOLENCE.

### UNIVERSITY LIBERTARIAN

Number Nine now available Price One Shilling from Freedom Bookshop or by subscription (6 copies 7/-) from John Upton, 228 Holmesdale Road, London, S.E.25. Back numbers 2-8 still available.

#### SUMMERHILL SOCIETY **MEETING**

At a meeting organised by the Summerhill Society on Friday, 26th June, at 7.45 p.m. at the Conway Hall, Red Lion Square, London, W.C.1., Paul Ritter will be speaking on "The Free Family". A later meeting, on Friday, 10th July (same time, same place), will be addressed by John Aitkenhead, founder and headmaster of Kilquhanity House School.

Admission to these meetings is 2s, at the door.

#### FREEDOM

The Anarchist Weekly

Postal Subscription Rates:
12 menths 19/- (U.S.A. \$3.00)
6 menths 9/6 (U.S.A. \$1.50)
3 menths 5/- (U.S.A. \$0.75)

Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies 12 months 29/- (U.S.A. \$4.50) 6 months 14/6 (U.S.A. \$2.25)

Chemies P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to PREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payes, and addressed to the publishers FREEDOM PRESS 27 Red Lion Street

London, W.C.I. England Tel.: Chancery 8364

# The State of British Education

Continued from p. 3

ing: "The child shall not be subjected to corporal punishment in schools." Times Educational Supplement comment supported this, saying "The teacher has rights . . . and these must include the right to spank" (and they failed to pubiish a letter from an irate parent denying such "rights" to teachers).

Would it were possible to forecast a change of attitude in the not-too-distant future but, alas, at the moment the staff rooms are being freely offered as a haven for retired and redundant army officers. It may not be long before form (252?) is introduced into the schools. Meanwhile we shall continue to witness the absurd speciacle of the six-foot sixthformer being beaten by a short Head.

(1) Conscientiousness. Recently the headmaster of Millbank Secondary School told the London Schoolmasters' Association that more and more teachers "strictly 9.20-4.20 merchants" regard their teaching careers as part-time jobs. H. C. Dent reviewing the progress of Secondary Modern Schools, in a book of that title, recognises the existence of teachers who are 'too lazy, too cynical or too incompetent to put out the required effort" but claims that a large proportion attack their task "with zest"

However, despite the foregoing discouraging observations, 'where there's life there's hope'-and there are signs of life, It gave almost a thrill to read about the headmistress of Longmeadow Secondary Modern Girls' School who was faced with the problem of smoking in the cloakroom. Unlike most Heads we know, she invited the girls to smoke in her study—and provided the cigarettes free. Is this not more reasonable (assuming that she wasn't trying to encourage

the girls in the sport) than standing up at a Parent-Teachers' meeting, cigarette in hand, and declaring "We can't have our boys and girls smoking and eating in the High Street" as one headmaster put it recently? There was also the letter in the Times Educational Supplement from a Grammar School teacher who did not like that paper's idea of "giving the headmaster more control in his own school" because it might easily produce the worst kind of tyranny. It is good to know that at least one school teacher has noticed the tendency of headmasters to ignore the wishes of parents and assistant teachers (not to mention the pupils) when given a bit of independence. Then we have been delighted to hear the headmaster of Nuneaton Technical School get up and welcome the youthful romances that are a part of co-educational school life cannot understand how any enlightened authority can stift hamstring education with monkish or nunnish segregation" said the Head according to a News Chronicle report. And then of course we have A. S. Neill whilst we have that foothold there is always hope.

This, then, has been a brief, scrappy look into the teacher position, which at least shows that there is room for improvement and, happily, the right ideas to be found in odd corners. It is no wonder that such conditions prevail in a profession which is bedevilled by competition for status; in a set-up where it is no simple task to decide whether a teacher is working conscientiously for promotion or for the sheer hell of the thing; in a system where promotion comes easier to those who teach with a cross in one hand, a cane in the other and a Union Jack sticking out of their

ERNIE CROSSWELL.