"We owe respect to the living, to the dead we owe only the truth."

VOLTAIRE

Vòl., 12, 1

January 6th, 1951

Threepence

inveterate believers in fun and gaiety, we await with interest the 1951 Exhibition whose exciting architectural shapes are ing the appearance of the south bank of the Thames in al London. But while we are enjoying ourselves in the Concert Hall, or at the "Live Architecture" exhibition at ar, or (except on Sundays) at the Fun Fair in Battersea we will still be wondering what exactly the Festival will lebuting, and what we have to get festive about.

call it stock-piling) it would be antisocial for us to build a reserve of those delights and live laborious days, at "the imagediate outlook is the challenge very terrible" or, 24/12/58). Mr. Herbert on the other hand, explaining poses of the Festival of Britain, (Illustrated, 2/12/50). "As a of fact, the country's doing well now . Between us all, mastered the post-war economic Now we really ought to thing close a little." The Festival, he going to record the progress and the arts, and their into to everyday life, made in during the last hundred years asing the centenary of the Great on of 1851 is a very good mity to see how we have been modred years ago, Queen Victoria.

ndry to see how we have been under years ago, Queen Victoria, pening the Great Exhibition, as husband had organised in the Palace, described it in her diary leace Festival which united the of all nations of the earth." Id certainly not be said of this lestival. It will not be interbut strictly British, and it can-bescribed as a festival of peace, le it may have been conceived matrate our industrial and social from the last war, its in the situation of 1951 will demonstrate Britain's "merale", and industrial capacity for the ne.

the and industrial capacity for the tone.

here is something deeply ironical the fact that at the time when we exhibiting our creative effort in, for ance, education, housing and public lits, we should be curtailing our enditure on them to make way for destructive effort in becoming the call of Western Europe, and that on our scientific and technological ievements are on show in the Dome Discovery and the Pavilion of ustry, the housewife will find it hard buy a kitchen pail or a coal-scuttle.

Economic Fallacies

Economic Fallacies

few years ago, an exhibition was
of this country's manufactures,
the title "Britain Can Make It",
was promptly renamed by a cynical
ic, "Britain Can't Have It", since
goods displayed were reserved for
export market. Since the war the
omite fallacies of the export drive
dominated our industry. The
ment, with which we are all too
liar, is that in order to pay for
imported foodstuffs and raw
rials we must export more and
c manufactured foods, and consetitly go without them ourselves. But
y of the countries to which the
swere to be exported either don't
i them, or cannot afford them, or
to encourage their own newlyblished industries. This tendency
new which time intensifies rather than
inishes. An economy based on
an values, instead of the nineteenth
tury conception of Britain as the
kahop of the world, would be conad with redressing the balance of
culture and industry in these islands,
to make us less dependent on the
valural produce of the rest of the
dd and more able to supply our own
ds from our own factories.

Permanent Emergency

Permanent Emergency

tion, destruction and death. The rôle which Britain is expected to play has already ben decided. Firstly an arsenal and then an advance air base.

The memorial to Prince Albert in Kensington shows him sitting reading the catalogue to his Great Exhibition of a hundred years ago. If a monument survives to the anonymous citizen of 1951 it should depict him reading the Government's Atomic Warfare Manual of Basic Training, which has been published with four pages omitted because the authorities dare not tell its Civil Defence volunteers the full biological effects of the atomic bomb.

What is our own attitude to be towards the situation of 1951? Since our influence is negligible, and since our position incurs the enmity of both the conflicting authortarian forces, we can have no illusions about our power to alter the shape of the near future. We can only resolve to refuse our support, and to encourage others to refuse theirs, to any authority; and to try to build on a human scale those social forms which by nurturing responsibility and creative activity are our one hope of survival and freedom.

EVEN in its wildest flights of fancy the bourgeois press has never accued the Anarchists of such an "atrocity" as walking off with the Coronation Stone, it being so unlikely they would do such a thing (unless, perhaps, the king were just going to sit on it).

It is a pity the aunties of the B.B.C. have censored reference to it on musichalls as it would be interesting to know if the comedians could have beaten the Dean of Westminster's broadcast. He said that if anybody listening would turn copper's nark he would go "to the ends of the earth", and we trust that a few postcards from Australia or Japan will reach him, telling him that a chunk of Perth sandstone has been seen.

For a Churchman the Dean is singularly lacking in astuteness. They arrange these things so much better in the East. The Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil, standing in Mesopotamia since God created Adam, was broken by a soldier climbing up it, but it mysteriously reappeared to tourists intact next morning, and the Dean might have saved many people the anguish he felt by sending up to Scotland on the quiet for an identical stone for a couple of bob. That's not the way to earn dollars!

Turning from the comedy of the missing one to the sinister aspects of the affair,

we find illustrated once again the trend in modern methods of police detection. Up to the moment of writing, some time after the discovery of the disappearance of the stone, the police have not the foggiest idea of the identity of the culprits, but they know their policical convictions! The Press in its wisdom even knows the degree of intensity with which the unknown raiders hold those convictions ("fanatical Scottish Nationalists," etc.)!

("fanatical Scottish Nationalists," etc.)!

This is something that wants close watching, because it presents the danger that after any such incident the party in power gets the chance of suppressing its opponents, or at any rate harassing them by police visits and the like, or the attat the police may try to fit the accused to the already formulated idea of the responsible party. Nobody would be so blind or foolish as to say that this has never happened, both in this country and elsewhere. This is perhaps hardly a serious case (though enough police are employed on it) but consider the results when it is applied to cases of sabotage, etc.

of course, the reaction of Scottish Nationalists to the incident has brought their cause into the foreground during the past few weeks. While we cannot help smiling at the Press, which regards it as fanatical to worry about taking the Stone back to Scotland, but perfectly proper to keep it in Westminster Abbey, there is no doubt that the "fomantic" associations of mediaevalism have puddled a lot of people north of the Border, particularly those of recent Anglo-Saxon origin. However, there is no doubt that there must be a solid core of sanity in the independence idea, despite the Jacobite attitude of some of the partisans of Scottish Nationalism, because quite obviously it is absurd to rule Scotland from Westminster.

Westminster.

The result of central rule from Westminster—which becomes yearly more and more intensified as central government tightens its grip—causes the stagnation and decay of local associations and the inflated and artificial importance of London. In a free society a city like London would inevitably become decentralised and associations would be localised. When many people call themselves Scottish Nationalists they do so not because they have any hankerings for the bygone kingdom nor because they are specifically patriotic, but because they are opposed to the effects of central government from Westminster. This, however, is in fact, an aceptance of the anarchist case, since it is clear that wherever the capital of a government was, the same effect of stagnation on the outer regions it controlled would become apparent. Only in the absence of government can people on the spot determine the way they are going to live their own lives.

A.M.

◆Isolationists and America's War Policy

War Clouds Need no Smoke Screen

THE public discussion in America of Isolationism is plain evidence of the proment part which the possibility of war on a national scale is playing in current American life. The Republican apostles of Isolationism appropriately resurrected as their spokesman, a ghost from the political past in the shape of Herbert Hoover, the last Republican President of the United States. The threadbare nature of his arguments and the much more realistic approach. of John Foster Dulles and Dean Acheson serve to emphasize the distance we have travelled in twenty years.

Let us make our own position clear once more. We have often stated our Let us make our own position clear once more. We have often stated our belief that it is the economic structure of the modern state which makes wars inevitable. While capitalism (transitional between private and state in Britain and America, frankly state capitalism in Russia) continues, wars cannot be "avoided"; on the contrary they are becoming an increasingly integral part of the economic structure of society.

Hence Isolationism versus "Mutual Aid" and sticking-by-our-Allies is an unreal antithesis: whichever policy is followed, war will come (unless, of course, capitalism is superseed by a revolutionary ecenomy). The important thing about the question is the light it sheds on modern war-acceptance. Isolationism is an illusion, because economic necessity drives all major nations into war. The economic interests of the American banks dictated the U.S. entry into the first world war in 1916. Similar interests brought them into the last war in 1941. Even if Hoover's arguments won the day, the same forces would produce the same results in the next war. Isolationism is an illusion; but it is a useful illusion when a population are hostile to the idea of war. The Baldwin government had to disguise its rearmament programme in 1935 by phrases about peace and dis-

armament. The more forceful arguments of Dulles are not only more realistic: they are in their way more "honest". The depressing thing is that the populations of the west are so inured to war that they do not require to be bamboozled. The more forceful arguments

Economic Arguments

Dulles used certain economic arguments: notably that Europe is a valuable source of raw materials for America and that Isolationism was not feasible from the point of view of raw materials. Point was added to this 'argument by an editorial in *The Times* for 29/12/50, on Europe's steel industry:

"In the opening months of 1950, German output and exports of steel rose at the expense of neighbouring steelmakers on the Continent—in France, Belgium and Luxembourg—

whose export sales declined and whose output was correspondingly reduced. It seemed likely, as a much quoted report of the reel committe of the Economic Commission for Europe then emphasized, that there would be over-capacity in steelmaking as new equipment came into use. The position changed rapidly as the American industrial boom developed and when the needs of rearmament had to be faced. By the autumn steel output was no longer kept down by the limited size of the market; in practically all centres it was bigger than ever."

And The Times goes on to remark that "steel is still the sinews of warmaking and a crucial measure of a country's capacity to make modern war, but the great military demand for steel does not come until war itself arrives with its prodigal expenditure of shot and shell and machines." It is surely a commentary upon our times that plain speaking of this kind would have been impossible before 1939.

Scottsboro Boy Arrested HAYWOOD PATTERSON, the

38-year-old negro who escaped from Alabama prison while serving a 75-year sentence in connection with the famous Scottsboro rape case (which had been compared with the Sacco and Vanzetti case, as an ex-Sacco and Vanzetti case, as an example of American "justice") has been re-arrested. Not over his escape, since Governor Williams refused to extradite him to Alabama, and the Federal District Attorney at Montgomery, Alabama, had intimated that he did not wish to prosecute Patterson for escaping. But he has now been arrested, and bail refused, on a charge of the first-degree murder of another Negro, Willie Mitchell, by stabbing him

during a bar-room brawl.

The police state that five witnesses have identified Patterson as having been in the bar when Mitchell was stabbed and of having seen him with a knife, while one of them has sworn he saw Patterson stab Mitchell. Haywood Patterson claims he had not been in the bar since November 23rd, and denies he stabbed the man.

This is all the information we have

This is all the information we have at present, but we think it necessary that this case should be followed very closely by all men and women interested in seeing that this unhappy man has a chance of a fair trial. We do not know whether Patterson is guilty or otherwise of the murder charge. But, knowing how he and his companions, mere boys (Patterson was only 19 at the time of the Scottsboro case), were framed the first time on charges of rape, and knowing the case), were trained the inst time on charges of rape, and knowing the vindictiveness of the police aided and abetted by the strong anti-negro-elements in the country, we feel very uneasy about the murder charge on which the "Scottsboro Boy" is being held. After all, the police can always find witnesses. Indeed, as we know, in the case of Sacco and Vanzetti, they can even find the right juries to send innocent men to the electric

(More Foreign Commentary on p. 4)

Strain Russia's Internal

Do Not Miss Our Important Announcement—page 2



WEEKLY

MORE than two years have passed since we last discussed the "Future of Freedom" (August 7th, 1948). On that occasion we had to announce a reverse in the forward trend of our activities: the reduction of Freedom from 8 pages to 4 pages.

To-day, with the first issue of the New Year, we again wish to discuss the future of our paper. But this time it is to announce But this time it is to announce what, we hope, will be a very important step forward: that, beginning with the first issue in May, Freedom will appear as a 4-page weekly newspaper.

The problems of an editorial administrative nature that such a step involves are innumerable, and will be discussed during the coming weeks in a series of short informative articles in Freedom. For the present we shall confine our remarks to the broad implications of such a development in our activities.

Compared with a commercial publication, some of the problems that face us are greater (not having any paid staff we shall find it increasingly difficult to deal efficiently with office work and editorial work) others, simpler (that because we have no salaries to pay, the cost of production is reduced to a miniour paper self-supporting on a much smaller circulation than would be necessary if we had to pay ourselves and our contribu-tors for their articles). But these are still problems to which we must find a solution if we are to earry out our project in May and, more important, succeed in maintaining Freedom as a weekly in the future.

we envisage the weekly Freedom. Its first function is to contain more topical material than is possible at present with a fortnightly paper. We shall with a fortnightly paper. We shall attempt to present facts objectively and where we consider them of value, our comments. In this way our readers will not only be able to learn our point of view but will also be able, with the facts before them, to draw their own conclusions.

We shall also develop our international columns, for we believe that only by an international outlook on social problems can we ever hope to build a world without constant strife, injustice and economic oppression and inequality. Already Freedom has correspondents in many parts of the world, and we are now laying our plans for extending this collaboration. Besides regular correspondence from Europe, we have arranged for our American friends to be responsible for a half-page in each issue on topical material from the American Continent.

Nor will our Feature page (page 2) suffer. In fact we hope considerably to develop this section of Freedom by publishing a four-page supplement, six times a year which will attempt to deal, not only with critical notices of books with a social content, reprints of

articles published elsewhere (including translations) in our time or in the forgotten past (but which have a mesfor our time) but also which may not, according to some among us, have direct bearing on the urgent issues of the day, but which are, nevertheless, important in that they enrich our lives and thought. We will deal with these questions in another article, but if we must in a few will deal with these questions in another article, but if we must in a few words justify the inclusion of such material, we would say that most anarchists in advocating a world in which there is less toil and more leisure, do so because they feel that only in this way will it be possible for us all to live fuller and more satisfying lives. To-day, many people can only conceive of one kind of life; they are conditioned to the acceptance of existing patterns of social behaviour. Without indicating to them the many other aspects which they now ignore, how can we expect them to desire change?

Having briefly discussed the direction of our paper, we must now pass to the concrete problems of how we shall produce such a paper.

In the two years 1948-1949 we have succeeded in publishing Freedom every

fortnight and paying off a large part of the debt which had accumulated during the post-war "slump" years which affected all "political" publications. This has been achieved (1) by contributions to our Special Appeal Fund, (2) by sales of our publications from existing stocks already paid for, (3) by Freedom Bookshop cash and mail-order sales. These sources of income have supplemented that from the sales of and subscriptions to Freedom. Which means, in other words, that Freedom has not by itself paid its way. Yet the "gap" is not as large as it may at first sight appear. For, besides publishing Freedom, we have added some new titles to our Freedom Press publications list and have reprinted a number of works which continue to be in demand. We have therefore converted some of our income into "capital goods" (books). We have taken all these factors into account in considering to what extent we need to be "financed" during the current year in order to ensure the regular publication of Freedom as a weekly. And we have also carefully considered what minimum increase in our circulation will be necessary in order to establish our paper on a secure footing. These conclusions we now present to our readers: to those who are our comrader or who, without giving themselves labels, wish to support our work.

We ask our friends to obtain new readers preferably postal subscribers) to Freedom. To consolidate Freedom as a weekly we must increase our circulation during this year by 1,000 copies.

This is our first attempt to publish an Anarchist weekly in this country. We think it will also be the first weekly publication in this country to be published without any paid staff. Above all we think the moment propitious for putting forward with increased strength and vigour the anarchist case against war, and against the political manceuvrings of both East and West!

FREEDOM PRESS GROUP.

We ask that all our present subscribers renew their subscriptions when they lapse. At this period of the year such a request affects a very large number, and they were all notified last week by a postcard.

We ask those readers who have omitted to send their subscriptions in spite of our reminders, and who have now been sent a letter drawing their attention to the fact that they have been removed from our lists—we ask them to send at least their subscriptions for the current year and to let us have the arrears as soon as they are able to do so.

We ask our comardes and friends to show their confidence in our determination to establish Freedom as a weekly by contributing as much as they possibly can to our Freedom, Weekly, Special Appeal Fund. We need £600 this year to launch the weekly and to cover any losses we may incur during the coming months.

We ask those friends who are able, to donate large sums, but above all we appeal to those many more readers who can afford a small regular contribu-tion to assess for themselves the sum they will contribute each month to the fund.

DOES POWER CORRU

ANARCHISTS have always pointed out the corruption associated with power, and recent history has amply justified their warnings. We have seen in this century the rise of a mass Trade Union movement, and a great mass political party from humble beginnings, and its leaders have taken the road that anarchists condemned, the road of seeking political power. Events have proved that the anarchists were right, monotonously right. All that they predicted in the way of the corruption of the leadership by political power, the separation of the rank and file from its alleged representatives, the perversion of the aims of the struggle of the Labour movement, has been confirmed by events.

firmed by events.

No one doubts nowadays that political power corrupts. This fact is recognised by people of all shades of political opinion and it is reflected in the cartoons, the films, the literature of our time. People are conditioned to a degree of jaded acceptance of the fact that the men they elect to be their "representatives" either in parliament, the Trades Union movement, or in other organisations, will "do" them in one way or another. The anarchists who have proved so right in their predictions, have now nothing much to teach in this matter; the sterile victory of saying, "We told you so," brings our revolutionary ends no further. Now people are mainly concerned with which mob will exploit them the least, and they take it for granted that they must be exploited anyhow.

Preoccupation with this matter leads to certain amount of confusion within the evolutionary movement. We eschew to the confusion of the confus

Readers who attended the 1950 Anarchist Summer Sch will remember the remarks on "power" made during the dis-cussion there by Tony Gibson. He was asked to develop the theme in a Sunday-night talk to the L.A.G. We print below the substance of his lecture.

who fawn upon the god-like Stalin, who leave their own vital destiny in the hands a bunch of muddlers in Whitehall, they

a bunch of muddlers in Whitehall, they are the root of corruption. They have surrendered their power; too willingly they have given up the power of coming to their own decisions, of living their own lives, to the inflated figures of politicians, army chiefs, bureaucrats and policemen. Whenever atrocious and wildly stupid events take place, they are carried out by powerless men, such as soldiers acting under orders, civil servants obeying printed forms, devoted mugs carrying out the party line, blockheaded policemen doing their duty. All the man-made horrors of our time are carried out through the agency of men corrupted by their powerlessness; one could make an endless list of dreary sordid industrial oppression, famine-stricken populaces, useless corpses and scarred cripples, etc., which owe their origin to simple powerlessness.

We have taught that power corrupts, and there is no end to that lesson; but we must not leave it at that, or by implication, it may be thought that we advocate the abrogation of all power. On the contrary, anarchism implies the exercise of power by each and all of us. If everybody seeks to increase and exercise the power that is proper to his person the word revolution will have some meaning.

That the power of the political poobah is not in fact personal power, is plain for all to see. Such "mighty" figures as Richard II, Charles I, Zinoviev, Mussolini and Hitler had, in fact, little personal power. They were great inflated balloons inflated by their party apparatus with all the unwanted egos, all the personal power that properly belonged to the millions of other men who had not the wit to retain and use it themselves. heads, the powers they seemed to have rushed out of them like a great gust of wind, leaving them deflated and at the mercy of any assassin with few to weep their passing. According to Shakespeare,

King Richard II declared:
"Not all the water in the rough rude sea
Can wash off the balm of an appointed
king;

ng; breath of worldly men cannot depose
ne deputy elected by the Lord."

depose

The deputy elected by the Lord."

But he found to his cost that his power was an utterly nebulous thing, no shred of which became really attached to his person. He railed, as other deposed tyrants have done, against the fickleness of the people who had once seemed to revere him—but how can people be true to an emptied bag that has no known personality as an individual man?

The corruption of the ruler, be he elected politician or arbitrarily imposed tyrant, would seem to stem from the falsity of his position. He himself may possibly be a very pleasant sort of fellow in his personal qualities, but as a ruler he takes on all the huge corruption of the powerlessness of the mass. Millions of diverse egos which should properly be used in the lives of diverse men and women, are given up, supposedly for the common good, but in reality in the service of an anthropomorphic deity, be it the State, the Nation, Communian or Democracy, or some other abstraction. The interests of such deities seldom conicide with those of the individual persons who have surrendered their personal power, and any wholesale abuse of individuals in their millions is glibly justified by their ruler's homage to some idealised concept of Man. In reality, Man does not exist; all that exists is you and I and the other fellow—with our various desires and interests, which we can adjust to some sort of harmony provided that we each retain the power of controlling our personal destinies. Once we give up this power, we become irresponsible in the full and literal meaning of the word.

THE road to the establishment of our individual power is not to be found by a self-conscious quest. As the political racket leads to the subjugation of the

individual politician to the party machine, so the quest for power through the monetary system leads to as sterile ends. Financial magnates are notoriously liable to throw themselves off high buildings when their markets slump. If working men had as little consciousness of their own value to themselves, the streets would be full of corpses every time a factory men had as little consciousness of their own value to themselves, the streets would be full of corpses every time a factory closed down. Monetary power engenders a pathetic helplessness in the bourgeoise; without their bank balances they are as helpless babes, and the fear of losing their wealth makes them timid as a class. Who has not been moved to some genuine pity by the sad spectacle of the decayed rich? With the reduction of their incomes to a level that most working people would live well on and enjoy, these wretched families eke out a miserable life trying to keep up appearances, sadly conscious of their own worthlessness without the prop of money. Money is the power-magic of our civilisation, but pursuit of it and dependence on it debauches its devotees. A great deal of Marxist sentimentality has been wasted on the messianic nature of the working class, but working people as a whole have a sounder conceit of themselves than the moneyed classes.

The way to power lies in the pursuit of human aims. It is easy to talk of personal integrity, of altruism, of righteousness, but these poor words have had to serve many masters, and are charged with dubious meanings. It is better to cull from our own experience of people, their actions and their probable motives, than to play at semantics. Our observation of people teaches us that the

(Arts. Council) THE war must have been a victory sombre one for Picasso, and victory brought him real personal liberation peace and happiness. Being an old m of exceptional vitality, he enjoys youth, an entirely happy youth, his recent work fauns and centaur in acrobatic ecstasy, and frenzied sprout out all over with leaves. Some spectators moralise as that the playful pottery, and the aberoticism, saying: "Is this the plastic jokes, for preoccupation w for aesthetic fun and games? moment of history, and this Picasso's life, surely he should be and considerate of the suffering world, and mindful of disaster?"

world, and mindful of disaster?"

Picasso, apparently, has found happiness, and this is a prais achievement, for the poor are always, but every individual has life to enjoy. Picasso's recent we much for his family life; at times of suspects (of some of the plates), the are a family affair and the little of have assisted, in, the plate-making Yet there is something macabre at frivolity of this old man's wo youth, contrary to customary be very serious in its attitude to lirightly so, for love and life are of serious matters as are sufferindeath, and deserve far more at than the problems of survival or hilation after death. The work recently at the New Burlington G is not serious in its attitude to any though I realise that other, mor portant recent works by Picasso m But of those I cannot speak, for not seen them.

These angular ecstasies, My

READER'S VIEWPOINT ON

Picasso's Second

Spring

Picasso in Provence Exhibition

not seen them.

These angular ecstasies, flyste gaities and earthenware jokes may be fruits of despair at being unable to another their crimes, expressing positive how values, or stirring human pity. His searned him fortune, his name is a household work (meaning incomprehensible art that or Johnny could do better), and his picture are all over the world. Yet their apparent social influence is nothing, though their aesthetic influence is enormous. The Spanish poor who provoked the blus pictures are as poor as ever, war is yet more brutal, and people are still repressed and frightened of themselves. I do not think he has exhausted his indignation, but he has divorced his social conscience from his art, and has handled the perplexities of independence over to a political movement, so that these works of Picasso are irresponsible art.

Anna T. Butt.

people most powerful in themselves, are those who are remarkable in their individuality, who have resolutely pursued their own line, depending on no party machine or State apparatus for support. In this connection it is illustrative to consider the condition of Russia under the terrorism which the Bolsheviks had newly-imposed after they seized the State apparatus. Obscure Russian anarchists were being steadily murdered by the Cheka, but individuals such as Kropotkin, Berkman, Goldman and Maximof were immune because the Bolsheviks did not dare to touch people of such great personals power, even though they were the first revolutionaries to denounce the regime from within both loudly and effectively. How did these famous anarchists achieve such distinctive personal power? They had no storm-troop at their command, no foreign State to protect them, no party to study their interests. Their power was attached to them as individuals, built up to a strength of their unique selves. Their personal distinctive newsed solidarity even from the tinction evoked solidarity even from the tinction. By contrast we may look at them alone. By contrast we may look at

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP

Origin and Ideals of the Modern School Francisco Ferrer Francisco Ferrer 6d. The Horse's Mouth Mahommedaism The Problem Family A. S. Neill Nineteen-Eighty-Four George Orwell 10/-Authority and Delinquency Alex Comfort 8/6 Confessions
St. Augustine
Field of Broken Stones
Covantes
S/
Lowell Naeve 12/6
Covantes
S/
W.C.1

JOURNEY THROUGH UTOPIA Marie Louise Berneri 16/-(Special Edicion for Freedom Readers 10/6)

Some Periodicals Nine, No. 5, Autumn 1950 Journal of Sex Education, Dec.-Jan.

7/6 Ready January 19th The new COMPLETE Penguin edition of The Good Soldier Schweik, by Jaroslav Hasek 2/6

IRAQ TO EXPEL ALL JEWS

Basra, December.

IN the popular Middle East game of "cutting off one's nose to spite one's face" which the Arab countries (not to mention India and Pakistan) have been playing for the last few years with a ferocious concentration and to the greater glory of national prestige, should certainly be awarded a itable medal for the assiduity with which it is hacking off not only its ose but its very limbs with a patri-tic cry of "Alhamdolillah", in the terests of racial purity and Arab There is no doubt that the ious slap dealt by Israeli to what remained of the prestige of the Arab countries nious Jordan), following the withf British forces from Palestine, a festering sore of shame and ent in the breasts of Arab demagogues which has the more unbearable in view continued impotence uption of the political s make various Middle East (exception made for Jordan: ordan's long subservience to policy and its consequent tion of raditional British all methods of compromise and ncy in its relations with Israel, an efficient British-trained equipped and British-officered have led that country to imit a profitable "working agree-with Israel rather than to join doleful chorus of "sour grapes" sung discordantly by the other East political leaders under dows of the Arab League). may perhaps be compared to l boy who, with other members street gang, has started punch-

when, to his indignation and dismay he has got back such a resounding whack from the intended victim that he has had to take to his heels. daring to attempt a second assault on this nasty, rough little boy, he can think of nothing better to restore his injured vanity than to punch every other little boy smaller than he is who may happen to be within reach, even if this means that in the end he has no more playmates left outside the members of his own gang) all nasty little sneaks with whom he's always squabbling, in any case).

Translated into terms of national policy, Iraq has simply decided to expel, if possible, its whole Jewish population, in a spirit of childish vindictiveness inspired, no doubt, vindictiveness inspired, no doubt, additionally by the continuing fiasco of the Arab countries' economic "blockade" of Israel, which has certainly harmed Arab economy more than it has hurt Israel. A few days ago I saw 134 Iraqi Jews leave Basra on board a Skymaster 'plane bound for Israel (normal commercial passenger load for this aircraft is 40), and and this was already the twentieth senger load for this aircraft is 40), and and this was already the twentieth load to leave Iraq by air. These are people speaking only Arabic, who had been living in this country since the start of the Christian era, and were in start of the Christian era, and were in every way indistinguishable from their Arab fellow-countrymen. They are mostly merchants, small traders, clerks and minor bureaucrats, accountants and so on, together with their families, and I should be fascinated to know how these people are going to fit into Legal's creating. are going to fit into Israel's creaking economy, crying out as it is for agricultural labourers and skilled industrial workers and craftsmen—but that is another question again. Now, that is another question again.

men are Jewish; in the vast keepers and clerks are Jewish. Already way and expels practically the as much as they will the ordinary citizen

Even the most elementary con-sideration given to this problem by Iraq's political leaders (dulled though their wits may be as a result of chronic inflammation due to excessive nationalist fervour) must surely have nationalist revoluty must surely have indicated exactly the results which are now being felt, and yet the government, with the chauvinistic Yellow Press yapping like so many dingos at its heels, carries sublimely heaping invective on Jewish ne" and Jewish "imperialism", "crime" sticking out its tongue at Israel from a safe distance-and knocking out its

a safe distance—and knocking out its own most hard-working citizens. It is clear, then, that Iraq deserves a special award of *some* sort for colossal fat-headedness and impudence (though Egypt must certainly dence (though Egypt must certainly be a close runner-up) and I hereby recommend that a special Booby Prize be established by the Nobel Committee for annual competition by the Arab States, winning points being: stupidity, hypocrisy, gullibility, bravado and self-hypnotism. S.W.T

in Basra, which has a normal Jewish population of about 20,000, more than 95% of the merchants and small Basra Port Authority organisation practically all the accountants, book-Receives and clerks are Jewish. Already the gradual exodus of Jews has had its effect in the commercial and administrative spheres of the city's existence, and there seems no doubt whatever that if the government has whole of Iraq's Jewish population, the country's whole economy will be completely disrupted, with adverse results which will affect the government itself IT is doubtful if the most subordinate Press in the world could behave in the manner in which the British Press behaves voluntarily. We see yet another instance in the treatment of the Bertha Hertogh case, which has been depicted as the "Jungle Girl" episode, in the manner inspired by Edgar Rice Burroughs. As incidental parts of the narrative one learns inspired by Edgar Rice Burroughs. As insciental parts of the narrative one learns that British soldiers are being killed in Singapore. This is by no means news-worthy, as there is no outpost of the world where, thanks to the bloody course of empire, British soldiers are not buried for the most fantastic of causes, and it might not even be newsworthy if the Press by some streak of lunacy were to tell its readers the truth! That while at one end of Malayar their sons were dying in

its readers the truth! That while at one end of Malaya their sons were dying in the jungle owing to the struggle between Bolshevik Russia and Capitalist America, occasioned by the Socialist Government's policy of peace-time conscription, at the other end (in spite of the purely fictitious "Jimgle Girl" caption) the soldiers of traditionally Protestant England, whose King still takes the oath of Protestant supremacy, were dying in the cause of Roman Catholic supremacy.

Roman Catholic supremacy.

The case of Bertha Hertogh was summed-up in the last issue of Freedom, and so far as the snatchings from parent to parent and mother to foster-mother are concerned, I can only echo the wonderment at the apparent callousness of parents at the damage done to the child. Behind most of these snatchings, however, lies the black power of the Roman Catholic Church, and the doctrine of Catholic supremacy so supinely assented to by Protestants, that the child of "mixed" marriages should be brought up in the Catholic religion. Hence the bitterness in so many cases, and the adroitness of the Church in recognising that it cannot control its adherents' choice of marriages, but can keep a grip on the children—with the Jesuit dictum that it only needs to get them young to keep them for life.

So far as the case of Bertha Hertogh

that it only needs to get them young to keep them for life.

So far as the case of Bertha Hertogh goes, I am glad for her sake she has got out of her Malayam marriage into Holland. No libertarian could feel any sympathy for the Muslim religion and least of all for its attitude to women. Those who followed the much-publicised divorce of Queen Farida will realise that the poorest and most degraded child in Holland stands a better chance than a princess in Islam. The most progressive-minded Moslem sees no farther than the liberation of women from polygamous marriages and one-sided divorces. The riots in Singapore—and some sympathy with them, since they are so obviously not Communist-inspired in spite of the attempted links between the fanatic muezzins of Singapore and the Chinese Communists in the Malayam jungle, made by such champions of, loyalty as Mr. Malcolm MacDonald—are not primarily based on a determination to keep Bertha Hertogh married to Mansoor Adabi, but on the insult they feel to the Moslem religion by the British court's acceptance of Catholic supremacy. This the average Moslem feels rather more strongly than the lukewarm Protestant of England. And since it is mixed up with feelings of anti-

"JUNGLE GIRL" CASE imperialism, it is much stronger than the

hell-and-brimstone Protestants of Bellassia.

Consider the facts! Bertha Hertogh was a Dutch Catholic girl, stolen (in fact) by her nurse, Che Aminah, brought up in the Moslem faith and married off to a Malayan Moslem. The parents want to reclaim her and this is granted. She is taken from her foster-mother and husband because, says the judge, Che Aminah knew very well she is a baptised Catholic, a Dutch subject and therefore the marriage was illegal.

The Moslems riot because (as incidents

The Moslems riot because (as incidents in Pakistan show) they believe in forced conversions. But what of Rome? What would have been the case if Bertha Hertogh had been a Moslem and Che Aminah a Catholic?

Aminah a Catholic?

We have the answer for that. The case of Edgar Montara. This case caused a furore not so many years ago. It shocked the Jewish communities of the world in the middle of the "liberal" 19th century, and contributed to the formation of most of the modern international Jewish defence organisations. It is exactly parallel to the Bertha Hertogh case. Edgar Montara was a happy child in a Jewish family living in Italy. His Italian nurse, like Che Aminah, was devoted to her charge. She with equal famaticism to Che Aminah's, determined to save his soul, and baptised him a Catholic in secret. Later she told it "in secret" in the confessional.

The Papal police raided the Montara

Compare the parallel cases of Edgar

Compare the parallel cases of Edgar Montara and Bertha Hertogh. The lesson

Montara and Bertha Hertogn. The lesson is clear.

Bertha was a Catholic and must remain so. Edgar was made a Catholic and must remain so. The doctrine of Catholic supremacy, so readily understood by the Singapore mob, who rush to attack Europeans, not differentiating (as how can they?) between the soldiers of the Protestant King and the black-robed Jesuits. If we read of British soldiers killing and dying in more battles to defend churches in Singapore during these riots, let us remember that it is not fighting against Moscow reaction which fears competition of its rival dopepeddling gang, nor against the sort of anti-clerical revolutionary feeling that was seen in Barcelona, but merely fighting (such being the twists of fate that imperialism brings) against the rebellion against Rome that cost Charles his head and ultimately gives George VI his throne. INTERNATIONALIST.

Does Power Corrupt? (Continued from page 2)

figures of that time who stood en-med in the nebulous power of political —Bukharin, Zinoviev, Radek—when stepped out of line with the Party, uttered criticisms far less outspoken in those of the anarchists, the Party fely discredited their reputations and refered them. As men they had no wer, they had only the reflection of the ver of the Party.

even smaller boy next door,

It may be pointed out that courage and personal integrity do not always save the dain of their possessor. The deaths of Francesco Ferrer, Sacco and Vanzetti and many others may be quoted as examples of the murderers in authority defying the tide of public feelings and blindly risking the consequences. The making of martyrs" is, of course, a bad practical policy and is avoided by shrewd governors, but authority is often crassly stupid. It must be remembered, however, that a martyrdom of the inarticulate mass of the people goes on all the time; their humility and obedience invite a ruthless abuse far more consistently than the recalcitrance of the minority. The regimes of Hitler and Franco have murdered far fewer Communists than the number that have met their death under Stalin. In Nazi Germany and Spain the Communists have been in opposition, willy and tough; in Stalinist Russia they have been poor nooges going to their death intoning "mea Culpa" with every swing of the party line over thirty years.

In Britain we have seen in the last war how anarchists and other war-resisters smeetimes suffered imprisonment and sonomic hardship, but on the whole they are better off than the compliant mass. The permitted no lasting violence to be permitted no lasting violence to be permitted no lasting violence to be permitted to lasting violence to be permitted to lasting violence to be permitted to lasting violence to be observed where were readed with the war war endered incapable of enjoying the rest of their lives. Even in the U.S.A. where war-resisters were treated with frester harshness, would you honestly refer to be the average war-resister laking his loss of 'liberty', or the average safety of the state of the war war was the work of the war was the work of the war was the way was the way

In countries where objection to military tryice is simply not recognised, warnesstance, like other forms of resistance of the demands of the State, must take thatever varied forms the circumstances of the countries of the demands of the same broad principle ald good—resistance is healthier for the individual than meek compliance.

way of power is the way of but not the courage of a fool. of courage that gladly embraces on, that meets the violence of by with a bared breast, is self-

destructive. The method of non-violent resistance is effective when used intelligently and appropriately, but it does not simply consist of holding out one's head to the axe. To use our power we must recognise that a wily obduracy must be employed to resist the cunning of those who would persuade honest men to commit suicide as a matter of honour. Too many high-principled men and women have surrendered themselves up to jails, concentration camps and shambles under the mistaken impression that they were striking a blow for freedom. In actual fact such action destroys freedom; it makes the work of the oppressors too easy. A certain propagandist once enjoined his followers to be "as wily as serpents and as gentle as doves"; his Church has certainly embraced the former quality if not the latter, to the Greater Glory of God. It is fitting, therefore, that we individual men and women should use as much wiliness in studying our own self-interest, to the greater glory of ourselves.

When individuals come to use their own power for their own true self-interest, there will be no unwanted and latent power with which tyrants and inhuman machines like the modern State may become inflated. When idealists abandon the vain struggle to liberate Man, with a capital M, and recognise that this is just an airy concept, an idol, then they may turn their attention to the practical task of liberating men—their own flesh. Here again courage is needed, and courage of the highest order, the courage to admit amongst comrades that it is our own selfish ends we serve, whatever our actions may be, and that the attainment of real personal power is a part of our programme, in spite of the training in hypocrisy that we all receive at the hands of hypocritical society.

And what of altruism, of the values set

And what of altruism, of the values set out in the Sermon on the Mount? Whatever the meaning was of the author or authors of this we do not know. As with most mystical writing every man may read into it what he chooses. Some hold that it is the perfect ethic, some that it is a net of lies spread to catch fools, some that it is a net of lies spread to catch fools, some that it is an intelligently subtle exposition of egoism. But codes of ethics have been claimed to justify every sort of action, including the liberation of Man with fire and sword, a process that the great States are hammering out right lustify at this present moment. "By their fruits ye shall know them." We who seek personal power intelligently have the greatest claim to altruism, for we recognise that we can rise to our full stature only when power is wrested from the institutions which cramp us, and is vested properly in every individual man and woman.

WITHOUT COMMENT

TOKIO, December 31. General MacArthur, the United Nations Commander-in-Chief, said here to-day that Japan may have to rearm if "international lawlessness" continues to threaten peace.

continues to threaten peace.

In a New Year message, he said that renunciation of war as an instrument of policy in the Japanese Constitution was "one of the highest, if not the highest ideal the modern world has ever known," but added that if international lawlessness continues to threaten peace and exercise its dominion over the lives of men, "it is inherent that this ideal must give way to the overweening law of self-preservation, and it will become your duty within the principles of the United Nations, in concert with others who cherish freedom, to mount force to repel force."

General MacArthur said it was his

mount force to repel force."

General MacArthur said it was his fervent hope that such an eventuality would never come to pass, but if it should, Japan's security would be the "deep concern of all the other free nations in the Pacific area." He hoped that 1951 would bring Japan the blessings of complete political freedom through an effective peace treaty, and that Japan "may be counted upon to exercise profound influence upon the course of destiny in Asia." The message was addressed "to the people of Japan."

—Reuter and Associated Press.

NEW YORK, December 26.

NEW YORK, December 26.

Dr. Hideki Yuakawa, the Japanese atomic scientist who won the 1949 Nobel Prize in physics, has accepted the permanent post of Professor at Colombia University. The announcement was made to-day by the university.

EDUCATION

The "A for Apple" alphabet will soon be replaced in Washington schools by "A for Atom" and "B for Bomb". The new alphabet is described in a manual which the Washington Board of Education says will be used in schools to train children in elementary civil defence. It explains in simple terms the nature of atomic energy and how to behave in an attack. In the new alphabet "A is for Atom—that indivisible which has been split.

for Atom—that memory applit.

"B is for Bomb—an explosive package, of which atom is the new brand.

"B is for Civil Defence—our protection against attack."

The children are told: "Be calm. Try to reach shelter. Drop flat on your face. Cover all exposed parts of the body."

Manchester Guardian, 22/12/50.

SOME AMERICAN STUDENTS DEFEND

A Negro's Rights

JOFFRE Stewart, who is a Negro student at Roosevelt College, Chicago, was arrested in October for his third attempt to get a haircut at the barber's shop in the college, which is permitted him by the Illinois Civil Rights Statutes which guarantees to enforce equal rights for "all citizens of every race and colour". The barber refused to cut his hair and Stewart was arrested for "discorderly conduct", and fined \$100 plus costs and sent to the House of Correction to serve out the fine at \$2 a day. Although by law the barber should pay a fine for denying Joffre Stewart his equal rights, it was Stewart who was sent to jail.

The student's paper, Roosevelt Torch, opened an appeal among the 4,700 students and 300 teachers of the college, to pay the fine and get Stewart back to his studies. Answering criticisms in the press of his methods and his attitude of non-cooperation, Joffre Stewart wrote:

non-cooperation, Joffre Stewart wrote:

"In refusing to walk (or eat) I was acting out my philosophy of non-cooperation with the State. The State, i.e., violence in its institutional aspect or more simply: a body of armed men, continues only because people lend it their consent. By not contributing one's vitalisy to political relations, by courageously denying the State one's loyalty and practising disobedience and insubordination wherever it presumes to infringe on one's existence, by refusing taxes and service (as soldier or "civilian") this social evil would necessarily become organised—out of society. He'she who participates in the State participates in his her own exploitation as well as sharing responsibility for the oppression of others.

"Consequently I did not want anybody to pay my fine as that would be contributing to the evil. If I believed in fine-paying, I might have arranged for that myself. It was not at all so important that the college community's energy should have been channeled into getting me out of jail, as in removing the cause of my having been put in jail. Namely, the segregation in barbershops, specifically: that of the Fine Arts Building.

If there should be a fund in my same

ally: that of the Fine Arts Building.

If there should be a fund in my name, I wish it were not called "Civil Rights" as to claim "civil rights" implies affirmation of the State with all the consequences that carries of war, coercion, exploitation, etc. A memorial so named would be a travesty on my beliefs. I do not accept the discrimination between alien and non-alien that citizenship entails. I claim only human rights. (I make no demand on how the money is used.)"

Commenting on the case an editorial

Commenting on the case an editorial in the students' paper wrote tartly:

in the students' paper wrote tartly:

"While collecting money to release Joffre Stewart from jail, we found a paradox in this Liberal Ghetto called Roosevelt College. We found that it is the students of this school who demand justice and equality and back their demands with cash. Interestingly enough those teachers who pride themselves on their Social Democratic beliefs were the most niging agradly with their pennies. This is indeed a peculiar kind of democracy fostered by these Socialists, who are with us in such number. It belies their pious, prolific, publicity platitudes when materially they shy away when the chips are down."

We send our greetings to reader Stewart and to those who support him in fighting not for "civil rights" but for human rights.

Are We Civilised?

MANY opponents of Anarchism, on first hearing our economic and social ideas, react by getting red the face, pretending to be very civilised, and say "But you want us to go back to the Stone Age!" This, you notice, because we criticise the existing order to the extent of suggesting that perhaps we could get along perfectly well without any of the institutions of the modern state.

Now it is true that Anarchists are prone to point to the virtues of such primitive societies as still exist, and to show how communities can survive without centralised authority, without the private ownership of property and without money or the exploitation of wage slavery. But this we do, not because we desire a standard of living as sparse as, say, that of the Eskimo, or wish in any way to relinquish the control over our environment which modern science could ensure for us, but because the continued existence over centuries of such so-called primitive societies, and their peaceful and harmonious ways of life, contradict directly those arguments about "human nature" which

COLNE AND NELSON **ANTI-MILITARIST** CAMPAIGN

ABOUT two months ago, the Colne and Nelson Libertarian Group asked various other local societies to co-operate with them in forming an Anti-Militarist Committee. In this way we hoped that our efforts to rally local anti-war feeling would cover a wider field than would have been possible had any of the societies continued to work alone. So far the IL.P., the P.P.U., and the S.W.F. have joined us on the Anti-Militarist Committee. In our refusal to accept war as the ultimate resort of international disputes we are all united, and we shall welcome any other local societies which are prepared to work with us on this particular issue.

During the first week of November,

particular issue.

During the first week of November, 11,000 of Alex Comfort's pamphlet "Civil Defence" were distributed house to house in Nelson. At the same time we took part in considerable local press controversy and followed this by a sandwist hourd parade advertising an anti-militarist public meeting, which was held on Sunday, 19th November, at 2.30 p.m., in the Weavers' Institute. About 120 people were present. We hoped to adjourn to an out-door pitch for the evening but the weather was on the side of Mars.

A speaker from each of the groups.

weather was on the side of Mars.

A speaker from each of the groups addressed the meeting, Mat Kavanagh speaking for us, pointed out that wars are never made by peoples, but by governments, who sacrifice the interests of the many to those of the few. He stressed that we must cultivate the will to peace just as the government in its insidious propagand adily cultivates the will to war. While paying tribute to the ideals of the well-meaning advocates of World Government, he pointed out that as governments in themselves are the cause of war, the only way to peace is to secure freedom from all governments whatsoever.

The local press attended the meeting.

The local press attended the meeting, and most of the points made were fully reported. Early in the new year we hope to arrange a Debate between a representative of the Anti-Militarist Committee and the prospective Conservative candidate for the division.

the division.

One of the most disappointing aspects of the work of the Committee so far has been that the public response we have received has been from middle-aged people. In the audience at our public meeting, very few were under thirty. Not one youth who faces conscription has got into touch with the local C.B.C.O. adviser nor with any of our organisations. Why? Is it that there is less desire for independence of thought and action among the children of the Welfare State? K.R.

FREEDOM Anarchist Fortnightly Price 3d.

Price 3d.

Price 3d.

Postal Subscription Rates
6 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$1).
12 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$2).
Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies
6 months 7/6 (\$1.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
13 months 15/- (\$3.50).
14 months 15/- (\$3.50).
15 months 15/- (\$3.50).
17 months 15/- (\$3.50).
18 months 15/- (\$3.50).
19 months 15/- (\$3.50).
10 months 15/- (\$3.50).
10 months 15/- (\$3.50).
10 months 15/- (\$3.50).
11 months 15/- (\$3.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
13 months 15/- (\$3.50).
14 months 15/- (\$3.50).
15 months 15/- (\$3.50).
16 months 15/- (\$3.50).
17 months 15/- (\$3.50).
18 months 15/- (\$3.50).
19 months 15/- (\$3.50).
10 months 15/- (\$3.50).
11 months 15/- (\$3.50).
11 months 15/- (\$3.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3.50).
13 months 15/- (\$3.50).
14 months 15/- (\$3.50).
15 months 15/- (\$3.50).
16 months 15/- (\$3.50).
17 months 15/- (\$3.50).
17 months 15/- (\$3.50).
17 months 15/- (\$3.50).
18 months 15/- (\$3.50).
19 months 15/- (\$3.50).
10 months 1

tell us that mankind is inherently greedy, competitive and warlike.

NO, when we point to the lessons of principle we can learn from simpler societies, we do so because we are not particularly proud of the achievements of our own complex social structures, because we do not consider ourselves, mechanised as we are, superior to those who sustain themselves by hunting and fishing, building their own houses and making their own clothes. Not because we ourselves think we should all "go back" to hunting and fishing for food, but because it is painfully obvious that people who live closer to the soil, closer to the source of their sustenance, appear to find a satisfaction in life which the tin-opening, frozen-food-eaters of our great cities have lost.

to the source of their sustenance, appear to find a satisfaction in life which the tin-opening, frozen-food-eaters of our great cities have lost.

In fact, it looks as though it is the defenders of modern capitalism and its material benefits, who are going to be the ones to lead us back to the mud-hut and the cave. For certainly the ability of 20th century society to house its populations has not kept pace with its ability to render them homeless. Or, to be more precise, the technical possibilities of the 20th century are nullified by the political absurdities which its populations, when the social systems which give them with one hand, snatch them away with the other. The same modern science which has opened the door to health and well-being, straddles the threshhold with ghastly means of destruction that no savage would ever dream of producing.

SO that a comparison of "civilised" and "primitive" societies, leads us inevitably to the conclusion that commerce

So that a comparison of "civilised" and "primitive" societies, leads us inevitably to the conclusion that commerce and competition are the grave-diggers of the community, and centralised authority the enemy of progress. For, far from being the source of material advancement, history can show that commercial expansion has created divisions between peoples which have led to social strife, economic competition and finally, war. It is not, in my opinion, too much to say that what material progress we have seen during the wars inseparable from it as has been in spite of it and not because of it, for although it may seem that capitalism has been responsible for the industrial development on which our ideas of material progress are based, still its competitive nature has led to as much restriction of the human genius as its use, and nearly as much destruction during the fars inseparable from it as construction during the uneasy periods of peace.

construction during the uneasy periods of peace.

How many pioneer inventors have been encouraged and financed in their experiments, and how many, like Faraday, Edison, Pasteur and countless others, have been sneered at by the narrow-minded bigots who have always been the leaders of Big Business? But those same block-heads will be quick to see the market possibilities of the other fellow's ideas! And will soon jump in to capitalise them—once they have been

A POLOGISTS for capitalism, no doubt delight in thinking of the last two hundred years as the Golden Age of Opportunity. And yet I doubt if there has ever been an age in which the outstanding characteristics have been so obviously frustration and misery. The terrible toll which from the beginning the Industrial Revolution took of the dispossessed workers; the savage exploitation of women and children as well as of grown men—these have abated in their worst aspects, only to be replaced in the 20th century by the extent to which militarism eats into our lives, by the shadow of more-frequent, more terrible warfare.

The steady economic progress on

shadow of more-frequent, more terrible warfare.

The steady economic progress on which Marx based his revolutionary optimism has not worked out as he anticipated. The State, the centralisation, which he thought could be used for emancipation, have been used as Bakunin foretold, only for greater slavery—and that by a "revolutionary workers' Government" just as much as by the bourgeoisie.

Those of us who have grown into maturity during the 20th century—who stand to-day in its middle years looking back on its achievements and forward to its prospects—may have much to be thankful for. But we have even more to fear. Our technical civilisation has brought us to a point when we could produce abundance, health, well-being and leisure for all the peoples of the earth. It has also brought into being monstrous institutions which threaten not only our material standards of living but our very chances of living at all.

SOMETIMES, when listening to the clichés of the politicians, I wonder if they have any notion at all of the magnitude of the task we face. Still, it seems, they imagine that adjustments, compromises, pacts and agreements can save us from destruction. We must, however, expect them to be hidebound by such conceptions, which are their stock-intrade. Certainly, to be charitable (though one scarcely feels like being charitable to our own destroyers) we have to accept that probably they have not the vaguest notion of the fate into which they are leading their peoples. They blunder on, the blind leading the blind, imagining fondly that they are doing good, but all the time trampling on our chances of reaching the heights to which the human genius could guide us.

As for civilisation, then, I think it is about time that we began to make efforts to achieve it. About time that we began to see to it that we benefit from science instead of fearing it. That entails the freeing of science from its authoritarian masters, the freeing of production from its financial shackles, the release of the constructive human genius from the cause of destruction.

That will mean the end of government, the end of capitalism, the end of the State and the possibility of begining a free society and a true civilisation for all the peoples of the world.

P.S.

Dear Comrades,

I noted with some amusement the publication in your journal of what purports to be the anti-anarchist "case" in the shape of a highly critical letter by A. T. Butt. The amusement springs from a certain scepticism that has grown on me as the result of some years' acquaintance with the so-called anarchist movement. The scepticism itself is derived from a firm conviction that there is no case for "anarchism", and that therefore there cannot be a case against it. Anarchism has come to mean everything and anything, and is defined according to the particular set of principles one or other sub-sect of anarchists may choose to create. For instance, anarchism may be interpreted as revolutionary bomb-throwing à la Nechayev, or a Third Programme talk on the relation of Anarchism to Poetry. It all depends on what you mean by anarchism as a set of "principles" for the achievement of a free society, a society desired by all socialists.!

Anarchism boils down to either a naughty belief of high-brow intellectuals or a violent form of syndicalism. In the former case it serves no useful purpose except to convince some of our writers that they are performing a revolutionary function—at best, ill-defined—and in the latter case the syndicalism becomes transformed into a half-hearted appendage of the working-class in the struggle for political power. Spain thoroughly exposed the dilemma of the anarchist movement, the main sections participating in the Popular Front Government in 1936 at the most critical point in the unfolding of the Spanish Revolution, and the revolutionary sections making common cause with the POUM and the Bolshevik-Leninists who were the bitterest enemies of the anarchist betrayal of Barcelona to the capitalist-Stalinist bloc. In other words, when anarchist betrayal of Barcelona to the popular Front Government in 1936 at the most critical point in the unfolding of the Spanish Revolution, and the revolutionaries it becomes reformism or a pure socialist party, aiming at the establishment of power "i

ment of power "in the name of the proletariat".²

The political results of applied anarchism in the 20th century are rooted in the rather diffuse history of the classical anarchist movements founded in the 19th century by Bakunin and Kropotkin. The anarchists wax indignant about Marx's authoritarianism and brutal political methods, yet refuse to confront themselves with the equally damning facts about Bakunin's unsavoury machinations in the First International. The fact that Bakunin was at the receiving end of the expulsion notice instead of Marx is more or less incidental—Marx was probably more efficient at rigging the expulsion of "undesirables". To conclude that Bakunin was the valiant fighter for democracy within the workers' movement, where Marx was the upholder of bureaucratic tyranny is as fantastic as to assume that just because Trotsky was expelled from the Communist Party of the Soviet Union by Stalin that Trotsky was a democrat while Stalin was a bureaucrat.

No, my former friends, the "founders'

of anarchism, like the "founders" of Marxism, were birds of a feather. This unpalarable fact was indicated in Max Nomad's devastating exposure of the common traits of the early Marxists and Anarchists in his Rebels and Renegader. The anarchists, I am afraid, will have to concoct a better syncretic system that they have done so far to convince libertains revolutionary socialists that they are some thing other than sour grape Marxists an inverted authoritarians. Fraternally,

ALLAN VAUGHAN.

1 Allan Vaughan's main case depends on the

The Ex-Anarchist's Case

meetings and announcement

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP CENTRAL LONDON

Regular Sunday evening meetings will be held in future at 7.30 p.m., at

THE PORCUPINE PUBLIC HOUSE,

corner Charing Cross Rd. and Gt. Newport St., next Leicester Square Underground Stn. JAN. 7th Stuart Morris

JAN. 14th

"SEXUAL LIFE CONSIDERED AS A
PROBLEM OF THE WORKING CLASS"

COMRADES IN WEST LONDON Will any Anarchists interested in forming a group in the West London area please write to FREEDOM PRESS.

NORTH-EAST LONDON GROUP

Discussion Meetings fortnightly, 7.30 p.m. Enquiries c/o Freedom Press. JAN. 9th Ted Mann
"FREEDOM & THE CHILD" JAN. 23rd SOCIAL EVENING

GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP INDOOR MEETINGS EVERY SUNDAY AT 7 p.m.

CENTRAL HALLS, 25 Bath Street. Frank Leech, John Gaffney, Eddie Shaw.

PRESS FUND

Dec. 15th to Dec. 31st:

Bolton: R.T.S. 1/2: Anon 2/6: San Francisco: A.G. 83/10/11: Cambridge: C.L.D. & E.A.A. 7/6: Anon 2/6: Margate: T.L. 10/: London: M.C.* 5/: London: Anon 2/- Chicago: Free Society Group £44/5/5: London: B.G. 10/-.

TOTAL FOR 1950 ...

NOTE: The sum of £44/5/5 acknowledge

*After initials indicates contributors to the 5/- a month scheme proposed by a London reader.

FOREIGN COMMENTARY (cont. from p, 1)

The Irish Railmen's Strike

THE Irish railwaymen's strike, THE Irish railwaymen's strike, which started on December 17th, and which at the time of writing has not yet been settled is yet another example of the weakness of Trade Unionism. In Ireland, as in this country, the railway workers are organised in a number of unions, and in the present strike we have the in the present strike we have the fantastic situation of the railway members of three unions having accepted unanimously the terms offered cepted unanimously the terms offered by the Companies, which are the same terms that the fourth union— the Irish Transport & General Workers—have rejected. The result is that the strike is a partial one; bitter feelings will be worked up be-tween the workers, and it seems more than likely there a prepared expresses than likely that a prolonged stoppage will end in capitulation by the strikers who will be economically worse off at the end than they were when the strike started.

strike started.
Support is coming, however, from the Irish Transport Union's members working at docks and goods depots who are refusing to handle goods normally carried by train.

**AMERICAN INSPIRED PEACE LOVERS...
ENOUGH has been written about

ENOUGH has been written about the Communist-inspired Peace Congress and the millions of signatures to its petition for the banning of Atomic warfare, for the whole business to be dismissed as phoney.

But equally phoney, in our opinion, is the American-sponsored Freedom Scroll with its fifteen million signatures. Apparently, the signatories have contributed not only their names but also £500,000 'for the expansion of the Free Europe radio which beams its broadcasts to Company and the state of the Free Europe radio which beams its broadcasts to Communist satellite countries." When we will read that 15 million citizens have protested at the political witch-hunt in America, has now reached unbelieveable proportions, then we shall believe that Americans are really beginning to believe in Freedom!

PRESIDENT Truman's announced Emergency Measures which as far as we understand them, literally conscript the nation (including the 15 million signatories of the Freedom Scroll!) have also seriously affected Wall Street. The N. York Herald Tribune (Dec. 18) reports that "the stock market advanced to around the highest levels since 1931 to-day as aggressive buying, centring on the second aggressive buying, centring on the so-called war shares, boosted volume to a peak since June 27 . . . The crush of orders which had flowed into Wall Street over the week-end was so great that tickers ran late during the two most active periods." Altogether 4½ million shares were sold! And this is how the "investors as well as market operators" figured out Truman's anst active periods. nouncement

"That control actions for the time being will not be too drastic, that high production, profits and possible divi-dends should continue at high levels even with higher taxes . .

"Investors bid enthusiastically for rail bonds to the tune of sharp advances. On the curb, 15,800 shares of Kaiser-Frazer figured in a single trade at an advance of \$\frac{1}{8}\$. War influences also were potent in grain markets, where futures moved up

1½ to 3½ cent. a bushel.
"Cotton futures at New York closed \$1.70 to \$3.10 a bale higher."

THE AMERICAN WAY OF DYING

OF DYING

If is a recognised fact that Americans do everything in a bigger and better way than any other country. Think of anything, and you will find that the American way is more efficient and on a bigger scale. When they celebrate they make sure that their festivities are bigger and better than any other. Over the Christmas holidays they have even beaten all records for being killed on the roads. According to the United Press no less than 731 people lost their lives in accidents, traffic accidents acounting for 537 in what their National Safety Council calls "a carousel of carelessness". Such contempt for life is a little disturbing. We wonder if it has any connection with, for instance, the indiscriminate bombing by American airmen during the last war? And should "democrats" feel so sure, after all, that the Atom bomb in American hands is in safe hands?