The Social Psychiatry of Communism Neither Sheffield

Will America Use Atom Bomb - p. 4

Nor Berlin - p. 3

rreedom

"Politics is the art of plucking the goose without making it cackle."

TURGOT

December 9th, 1950

Threepence

Rivalry between great powers has brought present situation in Korea

THERE A SOLUTION struggle to drive the British out

ecates of economic planning and centralised organisation should been blinking and gulping a little during the last few weeks as forthcoming about production in our premier

tional Coal Board to spend news that the production of falling, that stocks were beter level, that miners were e industry and that, most of all, we shall now have coal in order to get through

1947, we were promised 8. Not only were the miners ated to a new deal, with because of the new spirit (?) ownership would enwould vanish and barmony worker and management and production soaring.

recently, production in many adily increased. Home needs satisfied, and a sufficient surisfied, and a sufficient sur-created to enable a certain J to be exported, and since ed that the British must e", we may take it that the miners was helping to keep stry alive. As far as the clues were concerned, the twas established, with a ge guaranteed—providing a stendance was recorded—

the proud announcement skilled workers, and the majority—of haulage and surface workers on day rates—have to handle increased tonnage without the corresponding increase in pay. The recent pay increases to these classes or recent pay increases to these classes of workers, earning around £5/10/0 per week, were regarded as so inadequate that 10,000 Scottish miners went on strike in protest against the niggardly award. As with all workers, miners are feeling the pressure of the rising cost of living, and so although, as I mentioned above, wages are higher than before, they are steadily falling below the level of the miners' needs.

Money Not All

we were promised we were promised and we deal, with conditions and continues and to fit he new spirit mership would enthat struggle-torn nish and harmony and management tion soaring.

"Tome about True, production in many reased. Home needs and a sufficient sured to enable a certain eat the British must may take it that the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. As far as the was helping to keep live. In all the lideas of community—together with the close grouper were the miners to slave for a boss; they were to serve the community; they were the miners to slave for a boss; they were to serve the community; they were the miners to slave for a boss; they were to serve the community; they were to serve the community; they were to serve the community. This has been shown to be a myth.

Miners on the whole are proud of their industry. This has been shown to be a myth.

It is work in which your very life may depend upon you comrades' skill, and such interdependence breeds a sense of community—together with the close groupe workers. Instead of a fundamental change in the relationships between heart and parleys between Board and Union, that there is a hierarchy of bureaucrats' directing policy, the union acting purely as a disciplinary organisation, and the workers themselves simply being exhorted and subject to penalties for disobedience. It seems to me not surprising then, that mine-workers who gave more than their hard of the bolod, toil, sweat and tears so necessary to "win" the war, who have the foundamental fears the production of the history.

politics regarding Korea may have completely changed the headlines of the newspapers, for the parallel between Attlee's Flight to Washington and Chamberlain's to Munich twelve years ago is obvious enough. But just as Munich made no change in the fundamental pattern of affairs, so the present international rivalries will remain the same, whatever is the result of the Washington meeting. And since it is this basic pattern which is the most decisive factor in shaping events, it is important to keep it constantly in mind, and not to permit revulsion in the face of the threat of war-natural enough to all men, and all the more so for anarchists with their traditional and well-founded antimilitarism-to deceive our judgment.

The basic pattern is the rivalry between the great powers. And it is this pattern which has brought the present situation into being. The American occupation of Japan after the war was clearly motivated by the military necessity to possess a base over-looking the Far East. Such a base could only be regarded by the rulers of Russia as-what in fact it is-a military threat. And here let us state that it matters not at all that politicians and publicists claim "unaggressive in-tentions" for such bases. Even if one allows that they are taken and fortified "in case" of aggression by another power, then one must also logically admit that another power must take these fortified bases into account and seek to neutralise them militarily "in case" . . . etc., etc.

Move and Counter Move

When the Soviet-assisted Red Chinese army ousted American-assisted Koum Koumintang army from China, the pendulum of international rivalry had swung once again. So America neutralised Formosa. It is not difficult to see that the next step was the Chinese neutralisation of Korea. (If we use colourless terms like "neutralise", it is for con-"neutralise", it is for con-venience and brevity. We do not for one minute forget what have already written regarding the moral baseness of fighting out international rivalries on the ter ritory of a small nation, and to considerable extent with the lives of its nationals, in an undeclared war which is also conducted—bloodlessly—in the em-

The situation which the Americans face in Korea is the bargaining pressure of Chinese Communists in their demands on Formosa, and the factors which operate in these political auctions are just these factors of force are just these factors of force and strength, although they are always rigged out in fancy dress for public viewing. A writer in the Observer (3/12/50), discussing "How it may look to the Chinese," obligingly supplies this diplomatic camouflage in advance, tinged nevertheless with contemporary realism:

"Then came the American neutralisation of Formosa last June. There is no doubt that it hit the Chinese hard. Formosa had not only been promised to China at Cairo and Potsdam. The Chinese regard it as their

own territory, taken from them by foreigners—Japanese—at a time of Chinese weakness and humiliation fifty-five years ago, Moreover, it is the last strong-hold of the little-loved Chiang

Kai-shek, the base from which a damaging blockade of the Chinese coast was being opera-ted, and from which Chinese coastal cities were being wanton-ly bombed. It is fairly certain that the Chinese were actively preparing to invade and take Formosa, and that they felt wholly within their rights in doing so. The American inter-diction of this, however reasonable and justified it seemed to the Americans, could appear to most Chinese only as a hostile

The Future

But supposing the present international tension is relieved by "concessions" on both sides ("wiser counsels have prevailed, etc., etc.) is the situation basic-ally altered? American conces-sions in Formosa only increase the military importance of Japan, while Chinese withdrawals in Korea will not stop the Asiatic

of Malaya. The Chinese and Russians (in the context of the present world, these terms mean their governments) cannot ig-nore military threats, potential or otherwise. Nor can the or otherwise. Nor can the Americans ignore threats to their pacific outposts, or the British forget the importance of Singapore to the defence of Australia. The pattern of international rivalry which underlies it all remains unchanged. When it comes to an issue, the head-lines only obscure the reality. If lines only obscure the reality active intervention, as in Korea, a combarked upon, a "muchis embarked upon, a "much-needed demonstration of resolution and solidarity by the free-dom-loving peoples" has taken place; if a retreat is sounded, "the voice of moderation has at last prevailed among the nations." But all that has really happened is that the happened is that the point of attrition has changed. When settlement is reached in Korea, the Formosans or the Malayans had better look out.

The situation is actually worse than this even; for the last twelve years since Munich have shown us that the pattern of inter-national rivalry is independent even of its principal actors. How often were we told that Go was the aggressor in Europe, Japan the aggressor in Asia? Yet the total elimination of these major factors in the international

PAGE FOUR

AT THE ANARCHISTS' TRIAL IN GENOA THEY PUT

comrades of Genoa made their ges-prison awaiting the hearing of their case. Finally, last month, they came up for trial, and it was made an occasion for exposing to the Italian people all the horrors of Franco's regime, which by American loans and the restoration of diplomatic representation by the United Nations, is being condoned and even strenthened. Genoa made their ges-

Is it Subversive to Oppose Atomic

THE recent Peace Pledge Union pamphic, Civil Defence—What you should do now", copies of which have been sent to Freedom readers, has been the subject of attacks in the House of Commons. On South Commons.

HE ANARCHIST PRINCE, a Biographical study of Peter Kropotkin. By George Wood-cock and Ivan Avakumovic. (Boardman, 21s.)

(Boardman, 21s.)

NO-ONE can read Kropotkin's books and pamphlets without perceiving some of his personal qualities; his clarity of thought and of expression, his geniality, his indignation at social injustice, his scorn of dishonest methods among socialists. Then there are the outlines of his early life; his upbringing at the Tsar's court, his paradoxical choice of a Siberian regiment, his imprisonment and escape from the Peter and Paul fortress—they all catch the imagination and whet the appetite for closer understanding of Kropotkin as a man. His own autobiography, Memoirs of a Revolutionist,

is an enchanting book, clothing in some detail the outlines of his early life, and evoking in vivid fashion the atmosphere of Tsarist Russia jin the latter half of the nineteenth century. But once again, the man himself escapes one. Kropotkin was altogether too modest, too extroverted, to write his ewn biography, and he wrote instead of his times, being content to introduce as much of himself as played a public part in what he describes—but no more.

Then the Memoirs were written before the turn of the century, and materials for his life after that time are very scattered and shadowy. But it is just in this latter part of his long life that the problems arise which are of special interest to anarchists; the strange anomaly of Kropotkin's support for the Allies in the 1914 war, and the part he played in Russia after his return there in 1917.

These gaps and questions give a special interest to the new biography of

return there in 1917.

These gaps and questions give a special interest to the new biography of Kropotkin by George Woodcock and Ivan Avakumovic, quite apart from the interest which the first definitive biography of any great social thinker naturally arouses, and it will be eagerly read by all who have been captivated by Kropotkin's elusive personality in his writings, whether they share his social outlook or not.

For the first part of the book, the authors have had Kropotkin's own memoirs as their chief source of material, and they give an able summary of it. But

inevitably they work at a disadvantage, for the two volumes of the Memoirs are a literary masterpiece, not readily susceptible of paraphrasing. Nevertheless, they have been able to add what the Memoirs lacked, an account of Kropotkin's geographical theories, and so give the reader some measure of his actual stature as a man of science, as distinct from his position in the socialist world. And from a variety of sources they have been able to give some details about the daily life of the ménage at Harrow and Bromley, of the friends and refugees who visited there; and some flashes of Kropotkin as a father and of his whole-hearted entry into children's ideas and games. In the background moves the shadowy figure of Sophie Kropotkin, never quite coming to life. If the book could have been longer, how much one would have liked to know more of her!

would have liked to know more of her!

Quite rightly, the authors devote some space to the ideological struggle within the First International between the libertarian, federalist ideas of Bakunin, and the authoritarian, centralist ideas of Marx. For it is these differences which lie at the root of the differing attitudes of anarchists and socialists towards the State, and it was mainly due to Kropotkin's systematic work that the anarchist attitude crystallised out so clearly in the last two decades*of the nineteenth century. Not all readers will find interesting the necessarily sketchy account of the differences between the various sections of the English socialist movement—the Socialist League and the Social Democratic Federation, the Fabian Society and the ILP, the Individualist and Communist Anarchists. Yet these differences reflect vital points of variance

in socialist theory and tactics, and they are made all the more instructive since the intervening sixty years act as a commentary on their premises and forecasts. From this scrutiny the anarchists emerge with fair credit, though it is pleasing to note that the biographers are not so blinded by loyalty to their subject, that they omit to point out instances where other, less well-known, but nevertheless influential anarchists differed from Kropotkin, and proved to be more Kropotkin, and proved to be practical than he on certain points.

Anarchism suffered much from Kropotkin's strange support for the Allies in the 1914 war. Following Lenin, the Bolshevik propagandists have used Kropotkin's position to imply that the anarchist movement as a whole abandoned its traditional anti-militarism; but Woodcock points out that only a minority—amongst whom, however, were numbered other eminent figures like Jean Grave, Charles Malato, Cherkesov, Paul Reclus and Christian Cornelissen—followed Kropotkin. Neverthe less, many other outstanding figures Malatesta, Emma Goldman, Berkman, Bertoni, Sebastian Faure, Luigi Fabbri, Shapiro, Domela Niewenhuis, Rudolf Rocker, Tom Keell and George Barrett, and a host of less well-known names) and the great bulk of the rank and file of the movement affirmed the traditional anti-war attitude of anarchism. It is to the credit of the movement, but also inherent in the anarchist conception of self-acting initiative and independence of "leaders", that even so eminent and well-loved a figure as Kropotkin was unable to divert the main stream of the movement.

Kropotkin's antipathy towards the

and towards German social institution in general, are already expressed in Memoirs, and further evidence is adduced to explain his pro-war atti But this reviewer did not feel that explanation was fully convincing, did feel that it was now possible to ustand Kropotkin's strange stand. P ably further research and a more determent of the subject is required was found possible within the scopthis book.

Kropotkin maintained his pre attitude after his return to Russia in and in this book there is implied an favourable contrast (though a regir and in this book there is implied an favourable contrast (though a regir one) with the Bolsheviks. This seems

Theses. Once again, the master Russian anarchists took an antistand.

Kropotkin's position would be understand if he had become rein his general views. But amd a ordinary outbursts against Gern social views often make their apsubstantially unchanged. And his judgment remained as acute as for example his remark that the coup "buries the Revolution" that he recognised it as the truthe counter-revolution.

Nor in those last years did his desert him, for he used to the immunity which his international tion gave him—even from the B. secret police gaols—by criticisins, the "revolutionary" government of the brutal and morally indepractice of taking hostsages, and hagges to the workers of the we even more timely and required even more timely and required even more timely and required to courage than Tolstoy's attacks. Tsarist administration.

The authors shirk little on the side of Kropotkin's life and to occasionally their desire to be in gives rise to an ungenerous estimand there. But the extraordinary and sincerity of his character unscathed from the most searching and there. But the extraordinary and sincerity of his character unscathed from the most searching and his reachings, which the heritage of anarchism, are seen a part and an expression of that produttractive character. With his trem gifts and his relatively insignificatings, Kropotkin's stamp are often feund movement—Malatesta, Durruti, I Mühsam—they are very far to the political parties.

SOCIAL PSYCH

Ideology and Practice

It is clearly necessary to stress the difference between the pattern of a culture
and the political use which is made of
this pattern by rulers. But it is the
cultural pattern which matters in
sociology, both for prediction of the
future and for deliberate attempts to
understand and modify the picture, since
it limits the type of action which the
rulers can undertake or impose. At first
sight one tends to emphasize discrepancies
between ideology and action—Communism
both exalts and coerces the workers—but
the fact that no cultural group implements
all its implicit standards is universal.
"Brotherhood" is no less a cultural
stereotype in the U.S.A. because it coexists with racialism, or public integrity
in England because it coexists with the
Zinovieff letter. A culture tends to
absorb standards which are dinned
into it as intensively as competition in
American films and art, or social solidarity in Russia. Absolutist state
machines are the least limited by such
factors, but they are limited by them.
Not even Stalin could impose American
cultural and ideological patterns on the
Soviet Union overnight, even if he employed the full weight of his authority to
do so. Communism has, however, been
able to impose a uniform culture-pattern
on widely dissimilar societies by virtue
of its dynamic elements. One cannot
imagine Hitlerism either wishing to incorporate, or succeeding in incorporating,
groups as diverse as the Greater Russians,
the Maachurians, and the Tajiks, even
though these groups were already within
the political orbit of Czarism. The important feature of this kind stand
any chance of setting up long-term, widespread civilisations such as those created
by past imperial nations. The combination of sociality with absolutism has far
greater powers of this kind than any
group which lacks primary social cohesion—our own, and American, society,
do at the present form they can compete
effectively with Communism except in
sociologically far more likely that any
attempts which we may make to alter

In the second part of his article on the sociological analysis of In the second part of his article on the sociological analysis of Russian Communism, Alex Comfort discusses the aims of social anthropology and seeks to apply them to the Russian problem. Does Communism possess a stabilising dynamic, and how far can it assimilate other cultural patterns without destroying itself? These are important questions, and Comfort deals with them in controversial fashion.

disproportionately wide influence within widespread, assimilative cultures like that tend to resist assimilation if they can escape extermination. At the present moment, there is a considerably better chance of incorporating some elements of libertarian practice, and more elements of libertarian theory, into English culture than into any other of comparable size and coherence, a fact which places a very heavy responsibility on English libertarians. American culture is both too unwieldy and too disorientated at the individual level to exert such an influence, except through individuals. The recurrence of competitive and sadistic symbols in its literature and the line of its political development suggest that it runs the risk of falling into a state of endemic neurosis comparable with that of Nazi Germany.

Since the Revolution a stream of visitors to Russia have come back depicting the Communist culture-pattern as either heaven or hell. These views are not incompatible or necessarily propagandist. It is apt to appear as heaven to those individuals who feel more need for social cohesion than for intellectual liberty, and as hell to those who prefer individual freedom to sociality. The objective of anarchism has been, and still is, by definition to secure both. In trying to do this we are undertaking a piece of sociological engineering far more complicated than that undertaken by Lenin, who secured one without regard to the other. Attempts to do this at a crudely political level, or without extensive research and observation, are like trying to build a hydroelectric scheme with film implements.

build a hydroelectric scheme with finite implements.

The aim of Lenin was, though he would not have phrased it in this form, to turn Communism from a political ideology into part of the culture-pattern of Russia, and this he succeeded in doing. Both Soviet Russia and some at least of the other cultures she has influenced are now at least as stable in their new form as Roman Imperial society. As culture-patterns they contain a bewildering mixture of positive and negative elements: centralisation and decentralisation, cooperation and coercion, repression and realisation, considerably more complex than in any single unidirectional pattern such as Naziism. Like other cultures, they are going to be determined almost wholly by the type of character-structure.

they produce in individuals, and this in turn will depend on everything from ideology and propaganda to patterns of infant feeding behaviour. Some of these forces are under control by the adminis-tration—others, especially in a system which discounts much recent work on character-formation, by forces which the administration does not recognise.

tration—others, especially in a system which discounts much recent work on character-formation, by forces which the administration does not recognise.

Clearly, however, the problems raised by such cultures are not radically different from those in our own—the tendency of certain individuals to think in terms of coercion as a "result of forces in their own personalities, and to write power-centred standards into the cultures they control. This we have always recognised as the fundamental historical problem for psychiatry. What I have said is not an apology for communism, but an attempt to show that our ideas here as elsewhere have got to be formulated in terms of observational science, rather than of political propaganda, if we hope to affect the direction in which these cultures grow. In summary, Communism is now a working and stable culture-pattern in Russia: it may easily adjust itself to other societies and assimilate them. If this occurs, history suggests that it is unlikely to be seriously modified by any sort of revolutionary agitation, but might well be modified by psychiatrically-directed action, or by incorporating other and equally stable cultures. As libertarians working in one such stable culture, where we have at present considerable scope for spreading our ideas, we have a peculiar responsibility to realise what we are about. In view of the fact that some elements of sociality and spontaneity are essential for the continuance of any culture, even one which is partly coercive, psychiatry always works with, not against, "human nature", but it has a far tougher assignment in dealing with the complex authoritarian cultures of our time, especially when they do in fact contain enough socially valid attitudes to ensure that individuals derive positive strength and satisfaction from association with them, than can be discharged in terms of political agitators on the 19th century activist model, we have got to become social anthropologists, on a strictly second anthropologists, on a strictly second anthro

therapeutic and objective basis. We are dealing not with "Communism", but with Communists.

therapeutic and objective basis. We are dealing not with "Communism", but with Communists.

In Margaret Mead's words, anthropology consists not only in an observation of cultures which includes an active emotional perception of how their members live and think, but in the establishment of a positive relationship. The political dissident in a society has a positive relationship to that society, as we have in our own, but it is a resented and therefore a limited one. Perhaps the best example of a minority setting out to change a culture in which it has to live, without accepting a limited relationship of this kind, has been the Quaker movement. Social psychiatry of the type which I think is our obligation depends increasingly upon a group relationship with other individuals who do not share our convictions, but who know themselves to be accepted as individuals, and anarchism, as an individualistic view of society, is to-day the only non-religious ideology capable of doing this. In a culture like our own, where group action and propaganda are traditionally tolerated up to a point, the problem is not so acute, but such cultures are becoming less common. The adjustment with an intolerant society which one intends to modify is one of the hardest there is—revolutionaries in the past have attempted every approach from fellow-travelling to deliberate martyrdom with varying results: most of us would reject any compromise which involved the suppression of our own views of what is right, yet, for psychiatry, a personal relationship with the opposition is something we must have. It is not a unique position to make it: for us, at least, there is ne ground to think that Gen. Franco is less accessible to psychiatry than any other delinquent, provided we can get at him—we have long since become so used to dealing personally and without anger with individuals whose cenduct seems to us foolish, wicked or psychopathic that no patient however obnoxious seems quite inaccessible. I am biassed by this traditional approach—medicine is

Recommended Books.	
Woodcock and Avakumovic:	
The Anarchist Prince	21/-
P. Kropotkin:	
Ethics Alex Comfort:	12/6
Authority & Delinquency in	
the Modern State	8/6
George Orwell:	
Shooting an Elephant	10/-
Henry Miller:	1/6
The Colossus of Maroussi Avro Manhatten:	1/0
Catholic Church against 20th	
Century	5/-
Lowell Naeve:	1216
A Field of Broken Stones	12/6
Gerald Brenan:	25/-
The Spanish Labyrinth Wilhelm Reich:	
Character Analysis	35/-
B. Traven:	
The Death Ship	21-
Virgil Gheorgiu:	10/6
The 25th Hour	10/0
K. J. Kenafick: Marx and Bakunin	61-
Marx and Bastini	
Resistance, OctNov. 1950	3d.
Adolahi quarterly, Nov. 1950	2/6
Orgone Energy Bulletin, Vol. 2, No. 4	61-
+	3000

Our Special Bargains . . . Our Special George Woodcock: William Godwin George Woodcock: The Writer & Politics 3/6 (from 10/6)

The Wrands:

Han Edwards:
No Gold on My Shovel
2/6 (from 7/6)

The 3 volumes 10/- (U.S. \$2.00)
post free

27 red lion st, london, W.C.1

The document we are examining con-is in the main of a series of questions answers: the questions being cleal" of those they have received seople throughout the world since Berlin Congress launched its

doubt as to its true nature.

he introductory note the Committee (which includes mes as David Rousset, Arthur and Ignazio Silone) declare want our civilisation to surnd two conditions are essential, mand peace". For them freche more important "since peace metion of freedom. A nation to the peace of the peac

That wars are an inevitable con-comitant of Capitalism is not even considered by these gentlemen of "the educated classes who determine the ineducated classes who determine the in-tellectual climate of the nation", or is it that such a point of view would obviously drive them out of both camps —into the third camp where Ignazio Silone once stood? Yet they also tell us that the task they have set for them-selves is "to change the present con-fused and poisoned intellectual climate". When they baldly state that "the threat to peace came successively from countries in various degrees of en-slavement: militarist Prussia, Fascist Italy, Nazi Germany, Japan, Soviet Russia" by such a half-truth they are

simply adding to the confusion which they so deplore.

The limitations of the Congress for Cultural Freedom (we shall in future refer to it is the C.C.F.) and the first Answer: "We do not pretend that our democracies are anywhere approaching an ideal State. We are defending our relative freedoms against the total unfreedom of dictatorial regimes." With such a starting point it is inevitable that this C.C.F. should become simply a tool of the Americans (and their satellites) and a recruiting sergeant for the next war. We suggest that they adopt Mr. Churchill's high moral slogan of the last war that anyone prepared to kill a Nazi was an ally, with of course the substitution of Russian for Prussian, as the Nazis will be our allies this time.

Let us see to what depths this 'lesser evil' policy can drag these men of the "educated classes". To the question whether a real collaboration between "Socialists and right-wing parties is possible or even desirable" our brainstrust answers "We believe such a collaboration to be both possible and desirable—with certain reservations." It is desirable "if its objectives are limited to the task of uniting each free nation against threats to its freedom from within and without and thus activities.

limited to the task of uniting each free nation against threats to its freedom from within and without and thus acting as a deterrent against aggression. It is undesirable if the slogan of unity serves as a cloak for attempts to suppress the democratic rivalry between political parties and groups." But this is exactly what all "democratic" governments tell us and since we have been living in the shadow of aggression—first it was Germany, now Russiafor the past twelve years and this seems to have become a permanent state, the C.C.F. may as well 'come clean' and forget about the long-term policy altogether.

C.C.F. may as well 'come clean' and forget about the long-term policy altogether.

To the question "What about Spain?" they answer that they abhor Franco's regime but as it is "unlikely that fifteen million Spaniards will start a war of aggression against the world", whereas Russia will continue the "war of aggression they started in Korea", we can forget about Spain for the time being. Actually these are not their words. Theirs is a much more responsible and diplomatic language; the language of strategists and men who "determine the intellectual climate of a nation". "Therefore our emphasis"—they answer—"is on the immediate and principal danger from the East, and we refuse to fall into the trap of Cominform propagandists who want to divert our attention and energies from the real threat into a crusade against Francisco Franco."

JOURNEY THROUGH

UTOPIA by Marie Louise Berneri

330 pages + Index. Cloth 16s.

(Special edition for readers of Freedom 10s. 6d. post free,

U.S. \$1.75).

LEWIS MUMFORD writes:

"As an old student of utopias, I have special regard for Marie Louise Berneris." Journey Through Utopia:

for it is the most comprehensive and most perceptive study of that ideal land that I have come across in any language. As a work of scholarship, it is superior, both to my own study and that of Hertzler; but what gives it even more special merit is the fact that it is such a book as only a brave intelligence and an ardent spirit can produce: one who was an enemy to

that it is such a book as only a brave intelligence and an ardent spirit can produce: one who was an enemy to the forces that would degrade manino a servile automaton, and a friend to all that fosters freedom and creative expression. Utopia itself has almost as many circles as the Hell and Heaven that Dante visited under Virgil's tutelage; and Marie Louise Berneri is the best of guides to this super-world: not afraid to let its inhabitants speak for themselves or to let the reader draw his own conclusions. Altogether an admirable book on a subject too important to be neglected because of the contempt expressed by the dogmatic right wing (Macaulay) or the authoritarian "Left" (Marx and Engels). Those who retain a healthy hope for the future will find sustenance for their faith in this book."

Marie Louise Berneri-

This volume contains 14 photo-graphs and a number of appreciations by friends of Marie Louise Berneri who were closely associated with her Cloth 5/3 post free (U.S. \$1.00).

A Tribute.

U.S. \$1.75).

CHAN COMMENTARY

ombay Strike Balance Sheet

strike of textile workers in Bombay as only briefly referred to as a frem in the British Press. Press gs we have received from a correst in India, however, reveal what a tread and determined strike it y was, and though it has been for some time, we think the facts interest to our readers.

Socialist-sponsored strike inanearly 250,000 textile workers and 62 days, was over the refusal of oillowners to accept the workers and followners to accept the workers and sold workers to accept the workers warded by the Bombay Industrial on July 7th last.

Be cost of the strike is summed-up Bombay Free Press Journal 16th) as follows:—

The country will have lost about million yards of clyth and 58 ion pounds of yarn.

The strikers have lost Rs. 41 milni mages and the textile industry lost a total business turnover of out Rs. 230 million.

The strike, the biggest so far in the data in the day and the strike are still.

Six hundred strike leaders arrested connection with the strike are still.

August 14th. Six hundred strike leaders arrested

industrial history of the country, started on August 14th.

"Six hundred strike leaders arrested in connection with the strike are still in jail, while cases against 600 more Socialist workers are pending before the various police courts in the city.

"Twelve mill hands lost their lives due to police firing which was resorted to on five occasions. The police lathicharged workers several times and teargassed them on eight occasions. The ban on meetings was defied on no less then 22 occasions by Sabha volunteers."

The strike ended without the workers obtaining their demands, yet the Socialist leaders have described the decision to return as "not a surrender but a graceful retreat" and praised the "magnificent solidarity, discipline and spirit of sacrifice" of the workers. Another Socialist leader, Dr. Lohia, told the workers that the strike had lit the torch of consciousness not only among the working class of Bombay but the workers, the kisans, the middle class and srillions of "the victims of social injustice" all over the country. The strike led by the Socialist Mill Mazdoor Sabha had raised, side by side with the issue of bonus, the question of profits made by the mill-owners and that was a great factor which would decide the "legitimate profits made by mill-owners" in the future.

It is interesting—one dare not say, encouraging without being better acquainted with the history of the Indian Socialist Pary—in these days of lukewarm and respectable Labouries to read of a Socialist leader prophesying, as did Dr. Lohia, that "there would be a Socialist Pary—in these days of lukewarm and respectable Labouries to read of a Socialist leader prophesying, as did Dr. Lohia, that "there would be a Socialist Pary—in these days of lukewarm and respectable Labouries to read of a Socialist leader prophesying, as did Dr. Lohia, that "there would be a Socialist Pary—in these days of lukewarm and respectable Labouries to read of a Socialist Party—in these days of lukewarm and respectable Labouries to read of a Socialist Party

leaders and not all governments as such. He calls on the workers to "capture power" and "establish their own govern-ment". We have heard that one before! POLICE DISPERSE DEMON-TRATORS WITH TEAR GAS

AND LATHIS

The police used tear gas and lathis to disperse more than 1,000 workers of the European-managed Kankinara Jute Mills when they had been demonstrating in front of the Management's offices. Thirteen workers, including a pregnant woman, were arrested.

Thirteen workers, including a pregnant woman, were arrested.

It is stated the Management of the Jute Mills had assured the workers that their demand for payment of wages for the lock-out period of three days would be met within seven days.

On the failure of the Management to meet the demand, workers in batches went on hunger-strike inside the mill. Police were posted inside the mill.

The demonstration was simply to demand the release of arrested workers and payment of the wages to which they were entitled.

BLACK MARKET FLOURISHES

FLOURISHES
INDIA is not without her black-market.
A state-wide round-up erdered by
the Government of Bihar has resulted in
the seizure of vast quantities of cloth and
food grains, and a number of arrests,
including, according to one journal,
"several influential businessmen—two
were millionaires" and "a member of the
Bihar legislative Council".

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

THE Patna High Court has reluctantly taken the view that Section 4 (I) (A) of the Indian Press (Emergency Powers) Act is illegal and void.

Act is illegal and void.

The case arose out of a petition filed by a publisher against the order of the Government of Bihar demanding from her a security of Rs. 2,000 for publishing a pamphlet, which in the opinion of the Government purported to preach violence and bloodshed.

The industry description

pampinet, which in the opinion of the Government purported to preash violence and bloodshed.

The judges declared the Act void because, to quote their words, "In the scheme of the Constitution freedom of speech and expression has been designated as a fundamental right. The phrase is not an empty one and so not lightly used. It reflects the belief of the framers of the Constitution that the exercise of these rights at the foundation of the free Government, that without the freedom of speech, the appeal of reason, which is the basis of democracy, cannot be made."

The one dissenting judge, however, made no bones about what he thought of this view: "The Constitution of India contains no express declaration in favour of the freedom or liberty of the Press and at no period in history and in no country in the world has the Press been free.

"A judiciary ought not to declare an existing law to be void unless it is driven to the conclusion that it is, and it ought also to hesitate to come to the conclusion, when the consequence of it will be to deprive the Executive of a weapon which it may need to combat subversive movements and preserve the safety of the infant staff of the infant staff of the infant staff. Without being a sceptic one is inclined to consider the latter a more honest statement of reality: that there is no free Press in the world to-day.

LIDERTARIAN.

M. L. BERNERI MEMORIAL COMMITTEE, 27 Red Lion St., London, W.C.I.

Another questioner asks: "Your Manifesto protests against totalitarian slavery, but is silent on matters like racial discrimination against Negroes in the United States. Why?" Once more the Communist bogey is the excuse for their silence. "Communist propaganda deliberately plays up the Negro question to divert attention from the totalitarian threat and to spread confusion in the progressive camp."

The "lesser actual total training the state of the state o

threat and to spread confusion in the progressive camp."

The "lesser evil" philosophy is well summed-up in a footnote to their answer to the above question:

"Incidentally during the last decade the number of negroes lynched in the United States has never exceeded two a year. However horrifying even two such crimes are, they only represent a fraction of one per cent. of the number of crimes against humanity committed annually by the totalitarian regimes."

number of crimes against humanity committed annually by the totalitarian regimes."

We have said enough, we believe, to expose the Congress for Cultural Freedom as yet another phoney organisation from which we can hope for nothing. Their ineffectualness is only matched by their vanity and their impertinence.

"Tolerance of mental abberrations cannot be extended to members of the professional intelligential. Farmhands and factory workers labour under the handicap of a fragmentary education, and often it is physically impossible for them to get at the facts. The professional intelligential has no such excuses. Refusal to acknowledge facts, conscious or unconscious distortion of facts, frivolity and foolishness on the part of those who influence public opinion, are crimes against the spirit even if rarely definable by law.

"Before the last war, intellectuals who supported Hitler's policy of concentration camps, or refused to admit that German concentration camps existed, were ostracized by progressive intellectuals. The writers and scientists who to-day support Russian concentration camps and mass deportations, or refuse to admit the facts concerning them, are still regarded as "progressive", "idealistic", and so on. We are opposed to the persecution of the idnorant and the innocent. We are opposed to the professional intelligentsia. We refuse to grant intellectual respecta-

bility to the active or passive accomplices of tyranny, terror and defamation."

Silone and Koestelr were for many years active members of the Communist Party. Of the other members political pasts we know nothing. But to Silone and Koestler we must put this question: As prominent Communist Party members for many years, were you aware that concentration camps and a secret police existed in Russia long before you left the Party? and a secret police existed in Rus long before you left the Party?

and a secret police existed in Russia long before you left the Party?

As we have repeatedly pointed out in Freedom, there is room for an international Manifesto drawn up by men and women above party politics, and whose concern is not as to which is the lesser or the greater evil, but is the proposition of the greater of the period of the greater of the period of the greater of the period of the greater of the greate

Catholic Roman

The following is taken from Time, for 4/1

Doctor's Dilemma

Doctor's Dilemma

Teresa Gonzales is the wife of a bricklayer living in Colonia Mexicana, the
dirt-poor shack town of Brownsville,
Texas. Now 35, Mrs. Gonzales has had
four children in 13 years. The first died
in infancy. The next two, both girls,
were delivered by dangerous high-forceps
methods. Fortnight ago, Teresa Gonzales
was to be delivered of her fourth child.

The family physician, Dr. John M.
Stephens. 37, a rangy, moustached Californian, had his patient X-rayed. The
foetus, he found, was lying in a wrong
position and its head was much too big
for normal delivery. Delivery by Caesarean section (less risky than high forceps)
was decided on. Worried Husband
Mariano Gonzales asked the doctor to do
something to keep his wife from having
more babies. Dr. Stephens said he would
see about it.

Dr. Stephens was in a dilemma. He

see about it.

Dr. Stephens was in a dilemma. He believed that another pregnancy might endanger Teresa Gonzales' life, and that therefore sterilization was medically advisable. It would also be legal, But Brownsville's only hospital is run by the Roman Catholic Sisters of Mercy; its rules forbid such an operation and Dr. Stephens had signed a promise to abide by the hospital's rules.

Dr. Stephens delivered the boy baby by Caesarean section. It took but a minute for him to tie off the Fallovian rubes with surgical thread, so that Mrs. Gonzales would not become pregnant again Dr. Stephens closed the peritoneum (the. membrane lining the adbodminal cavity).

Standing by in the delivery room at this time was Sister Mary Adele, in charge of Mercy Hospital's obstetrical department. She asked to see the patient's tubes. She was told that they were all closed up. The sister asked Stephens whether he would admit that he had tied them. He did. She told him that he was an a dilemma. about it.
r. Stephens was in a dilemma.

She told him that he would hem.

Again Dr. Stephens was in a dilemma. He felt that he could not defy the sister. He decided it would be quickest, and least dangerous for the natient to reopen the peritoneum and untie the tubes. That is what he did.

For violating its code of ethics (which it shares with other Roman Catholic hospitals in the U.S.), Mercy Hospital denied Dr. Stephens the use of its facilities for his patients. Within hours, another of his patients reached hospital, going into labour. She was told that she would have to get another occur. She

Pressure

went back home, where Dr. Stephens delivered her baby.

In the ruckus last week over the case, most of Brownsville's townspeople backed Dr. Stephens. So did fellow doctors, though none could raise his voice for fear that he, too, weald find the doers of Mercy Hospital shut in his patients' faces.

Little comment is required here.

is to be noted however that the Mercy Hospital is the only hospital in the town. It sees nothing wrong in using this monopoly to force its Roman Catholic opinions on all and sundry. English people have long been accustomed to the idea of religious toleration, and since the religious toleration, and since the Catholics are a minority here they cannot force their opinions upon others, because there is always somewhere else to go. Perhaps this instance will show one reason why she anarchist movement in Catholic countries is so bitterly anti-clerical.

WAR and its Alternatives

RESISTANCE, an anarchist review (U.S.A.), Vol. 9, No. 2. Oct.-Nov., 1950. Price 3d.

THE main feature of the new Resistan is a long article on war and its alternatives by David Wieck, who con-

There are also articles by Irving Feldman and Howard Griffen, and poems and reviews.

PAGE FOUR QUIZ

WHO IS HE?

"WE greet in you the outstanding class ..." Who is this super-man who has "tirelessly" who is this super-man who has "tirelessly" worked for Socialism; who has made an "immense contribution to "the struggle for peace and against imperialist war"; who "saw immediately the historic significance of the victory of the Russiam workers"; who played a "leading part" in the 'hands off Russiam workers; who was "the principal inspirer and organiser" of the International brigade, "foremost in defence" of the national independence of the Czech

the national independence of the people; who gave the same sacrificing and unsparing effort, cause of "victory over Fascism Second World War"; whose "I

EVERY now and then the justified gloom of the columns of Freedom is punctured by a report of some small example of people taking the initiative, getting together and solving their own problems or making life pleasanter for themselves without regard to the paralysing effect of our permanent state of emergency, and our absurd economics.

This capacity to get on with things irrespective of governments and powersthat-be, which may well become a contained on the survival is illustrated by Arnold Toynbee, the historian, in his Civilisation on Trial, where he says, "A simpler social structure has far greater recuperative powers than a more complicated one. When I see our rebuilding programmes in Great Britain being retarded by stoppages of labour and of highly processed materials, and perhaps not least by the mere complications of the administrative machine, my mind goes back to a glimpse that I had in 1923 of a Turkish village reconstructing itself after it had been devasted in the last phase of the Gracco-Turkish war of A.D. 1919-22. Those Turkish villagers were not dependent on materials or labour from outside and they were rebuilding their houses and replacing their household utensils and agricultural implements with their own hands out of wood and clay within their reach."

Ickford, in Buckinghamshire, with only 300 inhabitants, is, says the Times Educational Supplement, one of those outlying villages which are sometimes condemned, on grounds of size and situation, as unpractical social units.

The people of Ickford wanted a village hall and four years ago architect Lawrence Dale prepared a design for one.

Two years ago, a small band of entusiasts staked out the site. To-day, the building is ready for use—for theatre, cinema, dance hall, etc.—the result of the voluntary labour of the village.

Every evening and week-end these steeming the villagers have worked on their hall, and now it stands, as a monument to their own independence, good sense and initiative. Buckinghamshire,

Syndicalist Notebook

IT is not merely because trade unions enforce the closed-shop that we oppose it. We should still be against it if workers' syndicates were strong enough

to enforce it.

Just as we are against enforced collectivisation, but support the idea of collectivisation of industry by the workers on a basis of mutual agreement, so we regard as ideal 100 per cent. syndicalism, but as completely against our principles of revolutionary freedom any measures to compel workers to unite: If unity under a certain banner or for a certain course does not have enough significance or advantage for all to see_they will not see it after pressure has been applied.

Meetings and Announcements

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP

Lecture-Discussion Meetings are held THE TRADE UNION CLUB

Great Newport Street W.C., I (near Leicester Square Station)

"CIVILISATION ON TRIAL IN SOUTH AFRICA"

DEC. 17th, 24th, 31st, NO MEETINGS

The Meetings will resume in January See this column for particulars

FILM SHOW

Closed Shop Pressure

HOME MADE HALL WIll America Use Atom Bomb?

scene has found worthy understudies immediately ready to take the footlights. What an irony the footlights. What an irony that one of them is the war-stricken China for which col-lections and Red Cross work were such a feature of the prewar Left!

Can one escape the conclusion of the anarchists that the existence of States not only affronts the natural solidarity of mankind, but provides actively the cause for internecine strife?

But to leave the matter on this abstract level would be to ignore another question, which involves the atomic bomb, but which ought to be discussed in a more fundamental and analytical way. When Mr. Peter Roberts, Conservative M.P. for Keeley, asked in Parliament on June 27th whether the government would advise the use of the atomic bomb on the North Korean capital if the North Korean Government ignored the U.N. Korean Government ignored the U.N. korean Government ignored the U.N. resolution on Korea, he was greeted with shocked cries from the Government benches, and was finally ruled politely out of order by the Speaker. The impression conveyed was that "of course" the Western governments had never even dreamed of using the atom bomb.

It now appears that in fact the whole question was thoroughly considered at the time, for the Manchester Guardian (27.11.50) reports that, "authoritative sources in Washington say that the United States Joint Chiefs of Staff considered—but voted against—dropping atomic bombs on North Korean troops early in the campaign.

The proposal is said to have been made almost simultaneously, but inde-pendently, by army and air force officers when the bulk of the North Korean

Two incidents at the moment: Durham County Council have ordered all their employees to join unions or get out; 440 electricians at London Airport are on strike because two men will neither join the union nor transfer to another workshop set aside for non-union workers.

At Durham, the arguments hark back to depression days when there was virtually a Tory closed-shop against militants. Now the Labour Council want their form of monopoly, but neither way lies freedom for the worker. At London Airport, the two dissidents appear to have consciences against unionism. From reports I can't tell whether they are Jehovah's Witnesses or Fascists, but in any case the closed-shop hamstrings the militant more than the blackleg to-day—1950 unionism being what it is. This strike is unofficial. The workers should know better by now.

LONDON BOYS CHOOSE

SHOWING a highly commendable regard for fundamentals, London boys on leaving school are shunning white-collar jobs for more constructive

BUILDING

army was concentrated in a small area near the town of Suwon, south of Scoul, the South Korean capital. Because the approval of the President is required before atomic weapons can be used in war, the question was put first to the Joint Chiefs of Staff, who apparently decided on their own that it would be unwise and did not refer the matter to President Truman." As might be expected, the decision not to use the bomb was tactical rather than moral, for the report continues; "At that time the United States was seeking wide support for the stand against aggression in Korea, and it was feared that world sympathy might be alienated by what might have been considered a hasty resort to atomic warfare."

The military situation, however, now

resort to atomic warfare."

The military situation, however, now offers many good tactical reasons in the opposite direction. The Chinese armies are far less well equipped than the Americans and British, but they achieve success by an overwhelming expenditure of the one factor in which they have yast superiority—mannoyer. Their of the one factor in which they have vast superiority—manpower. Their human sea" tacties involve a fantastic toll of human life. "We are killing them by the thousand," one correspondent was told, "but they just come marching on." He goes on to say that this tactic "has emphasised as never before the grave handicap confronting a Western country, where human life rates higher than anything else, in fighting the fatalistic Asiatic armies where men are the cheapest and most expendable commodity."

We will pass over here the demoralis-

will pass over here the demoralising effect on men who to save their own lives have to inflict a ghastly

carnage on less well equipped, less educated, altogether poorer fellow-men. Long may human life rate higher than anything else in the West. Progress demands that the same respect should be extended to the coolie workers in the East, but this kind of war clearly does not favour progress there.

Now the United Nations' reverses show that the Chinese superiority in expendable manpower is greater than the American superiority in arms. Since the U.N. Forces can only with difficulty be increased and relieved, the military problem is clearly to increase still further the technical power of the troops in the field to inflict even greater slaughter on the advancing "human seas". It does not need very much imagination to see that to use the atomic bomb would achieve this result to some extent, and could be justified on the grounds that not to use it would be to sacrifice unnecessarily "our boys' lives". It will also be seen that there will always be a strong temptation—and strong military arguments—for using it against the limitless armies of the peasant countries.

It is a grave prospect.

But underlying it there remains the world pattern of sovereign states—handfulls of men ruling over millions and all drawing daggers on each other. This is not a necessary form of human organisation, but an arbitrary one. It can be changed. But only if men of good will give up struggling for alleviations of present "pressing" problems, and give themselves to the revolutionary problem of changing the pattern of social and economic life.

Coal:

(Continued from p. 1)
been exhausting themselves in a production drive which has brought them
neither reward nor satisfaction, should
have no desire to face again the stress
and strain of the industry at war, and
should be pulling out while the going is
good and before a new Essentiial Works
Order ties them to the pits whether they
like it or not.

Still Paying the Owners

Still Paying the Owners
It is not even as though the old owners
are really off the backs of the miners.
The mines were not expropriated by the
community, they were taken over by the
State on terms of compensation. The
miners, who kept the owners for so many
generations, have still to work for the
profit of the N.C.B. so that the State
can pay interest on the loans floated to
compensate the old owners, for presumably these interests are paid out of
these profits and from taxation. So that
as producers, consumers and taxpayers,
the workers in all nationalised industries
are paying for the State to become their
boss.

Another big payment (there was one last

are paying for the State to become their boss.

Another big payment (there was one last June of £19 million) is expected by the colliery companies. It is believed to total about £20 million, to be divided between 84 companies, and is an interim payment only. The total to be paid in compensation is not yet fixed—but on the scale of these interim payments will be much more generous than the recent wage awards to the miners. To cover this payment a quantity of "Coal" stock—Treasury 3½ per cent. 1977-80—will soon be finding its way to the Gilt-edged market of the Stock Exchange. It seems there may soon be more coal stocks available in the City of London than in the bunkers of industry—to the greater profit of City gents!

To make up the Shortage

And what are the measures suggested to deal with the crisis in the coal situation? Well, of course, the first and usual one is—more work from the workers. The five-day week, so proudly introduced, is now to be thrown overboard, and six full shifts a week to be required from every mine-worker. So far, it is regarded enly as an "appeal" by the union, but Sir William Lawther is already uttering ominous phrases about "toeing the line". The second remedy is the importing

ENLIGHTENMENT

A conference of Protestant churches in the Transvaal to-day unanimously approved a request to the Government that no Roman Catholic immigrants should be admitted to South Africa.

Manchester Guardian, 1/11/50.

of coal from abroad. So proud were the N.C.B. about their export figures that they apparently did not notice the decreasing coal stocks here. Our export drive is aimed mainly at the dollar

of coal from abroad. So phose
N.C.B. about their export figures that
they apparently did not notice the decreasing coal stocks here. Our export
drive is aimed mainly at the dollar
market, but now we have coal contracts
with—for instance—Sweden, which we
must continue to fulfil, while we import
coal from America, paying out those
precious dollars in return!

The third remedy suggested is one in
which the miners themselves—or their
unions—show themselves in a poor
light. It is on the question of foreign
labour. Britain has been offered the
services of 10,000 skilled Italian miners
who are at the moment unemployed in
Italy. They have gained their experience
in Belgian mines (for Italy has no coal
herself) but now are no longer needed
there and so have been sent back home.

In at least four districts, Yorks, Lancs,
West Midlands and South Wales, miners'
representatives have turned down the
the scheme of bringing in these workers
on the grounds of the British miners' own
fear of unemployment and lowering of
wage standards. On these grounds, the
objections cannot hold water. There is
no likelihood that there will be unemployment in the industry for years ahead, as
far as one can see, and in any case agreement could be made that the Italians were
the first to go if any miners had to be
sacked. Similarly, it could be stipulated
that the Italians received the same wage
as British miners so there would be no
question of undercutting.

Certainly there is the point that easing
the labour shortage might weaken the bargaining power of the unions, but if the
unions were prepared to fight for the
demands of the men instead of not wishing to "embarrass" the Government,
which seems their main concern, that
would not apply either. But if the arguments against bringing the Italians here,
thereby lifting them and their families
out of the misery of unemployment, have
any basis in nationalism, distrust of the
foreigner, or such-like patriotic nonsense,
then the miners are certainly betraying
the fine sense of internation

The Anarchist answer to the crisis is more fundamental. We maintain that permanent solutions are not to be found at all within the framework of capitalism. The first step is for the miners themselves to take control of the mines, thereby gaining at the same time both freedom and security. With the establishment of workers' control, the frustrations inseparable from centralisation and managerial control could be abolished, to be replaced by the satisfaction of those who actually do the work on their own responsibility. The second step is for our society to stop using coal in the manufacture of things we don't need (armaments, for one thing), and then to develop alternative sources of power to eliminate the drudgery of coal-mining altogether as soon as possible.

But these solutions are revolutionary ones and will not recommend themselves to the powers that be. This means that the miners' task is to prepare themselves to work against the powers over them to-day, that they may have power over themselves to-morrow.

P.S.

this gentle giant who takes "a cra pride in attention to defails and ciency in the small things as well big"; whose "harved of the class has been accompanied by "the solicitude for hundreds of comrad-movement, by care for the old whose life-long experience is emb the "penetrating and helpful adu-he gives "so readily"; whose-"in able ability" as a speaker has gi-multitudes "renewed faith in the c-class"; who is this "incorruptible of the working-class", this whose "courage, tireless energy, and loyalty" leaves us speechle breathless?

and loyalty" leaves us speeches breathless?

No, dear reader, it is not Stal Great; for He, besides possessithese attributes, is also the inspite Arts, of Genetics and of Lin the general of generals, father of the General of generals, father of Then Who is Hel—The answ Harry Pollitt. The occasion: his birthday. The boot-lickers: the Excommittee of the Communist Party Later, at a birthday party given Harry (by the Party), the half decorated with "flowers, Union Red flags and Party banners", report Daily Worker (27/11/50), and at the of "a smiling portrait of the gue honour were the words 'Greetings, Leader in the Fight for Working Unity, Peace and Socialism."

"Harry entered the half and walke the platform through a guard of he of young Communists."

But we hope, for Harry's sake, I Stalin hasn't read this paean in his pra For Stalin is a jealous god, and I ordered many an "outstanding leader" the Party to take a rest cure in Moso for lesser things than the Executive Co mittee's letter to Harry!

Many gifts were showered on our hero. Indeed, with the "beautiful cake" and "standard rose bushes" it was almost a harvest festival. But the two most useful (and one almost feels there is some deeper significance in these two gifts) were an overcoat from the London District Committee of the C.P. with their wishes that "it will keep him as warm in the bitterest weather as the feelings in our hearts", and a travelling rug to keep his body warm as well as his heart" from the C.P.'ers at Fords. For they certainly have cold winters in Moscow!

But let us pray that Harry will be spared to us. We can ill-afford to lose the super-man who J. R. Campbell described in these simple words: "Probably no-one living in Britain at the present time has such a sense of British reality, such a knowledge of the British labour movement and its ways, such an underlying confidence in the British people to conquer power and construct Socialism in this country of ours."

My—what a head he must have!

P.S.—Mr. Campbell is a seasoned Party man and Editor of the Daily Worker. He made sure of not arousing Stalin's jealousy, in praising Harry, when he said, "Probably no-one living in Britain, etc." It is naturally understood that outside Britain there is an even greater authority, whose image is engraved on the hearts of countless millions, whose name is on the lips of every self-respecting person throughout the world!

white-collar jobs for more constructive occupations.

The London County Council youth employment service have just published their first annual report, which shows that there is practically no industry in which employment for boys cannot be found in London. So the lads are choosing, not the soft jobs, but those in which some satisfaction is to be found. First, building and contracting work takes the greatest number; engineering, shipbuilding, electrical and distributive trades follow in that order. Girls are choosing distributive trades and the clothing industry.

These figures are for girls and boys leaving elementary schools at 15 years of age. It would be interesting to know which occupations are being chosen by those leaving secondary and grammar schools.

DISCONTENT BREWING ON RAILWAYS

THE railwaymen have put up with low wages and bad conditions for a long time out of loyalty to their unions and the idea of nationalisation. There are signs of patience wearing out, however. London footplate men, seeking a 15 per cent. increase in wages, have resolutely refused a Railway Executive offer with too many strings attached. They are also seeking unity with Nu.R. members to combat Compulsory Arbitration Order 1305. Discontent of new lodging turns is also increasing again.

The Rail Board had better look-out!

AGE OF

On September 23rd, one of the London daily papers published a report from Johannesburg saying that a 12-year-old boy, seriously 4ll in a hospital, refused, for two days, food prepared by an Indian cook. In appreciation of his action, the South African Protection Society awarded him a gold medal.

Picture Post, 14/10/50.

FREEDOM Anarchist Forte

FREEDOM PRESS 27 Red Lion Street London, W.C.I England Tel.: Chancery 8364.

ADMISSION FREE FULL DISCUSSION GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP INDOOR MEETINGS EVERY SUNDAY AT 7 p.m.

CENTRAL HALLS, 25 Bath Street.

Frank Leech, John Gaffney, Eddie Shaw. J. Raeside

NORTH-EAST LONDON GROUP
Discussion Meetings Fortnightly
7.30 p.m.
Enquiries c/o Freedom Pross PEC. 12th
1984: THE SOCIAL SATIRE

DEC. 26th NO MEETING
JAN 9th USUAL MEETINGS RESUMED