Anarchist Weekly @

FEBRUARY 25 1967 Vol 28 No 6

The Military **Puts the Boot in**

EICESTER PROJECT, a libertarian action group, staged a demonstration in Lewis's, the biggest department store in the city, on Saturday. Lewis's had lent the majority of their third floor to the Army Recruitment Office's 'Army '67' exhibition and after a morning of leafletting-which incidentally is prohibited by an 1868 by-law in Leicester-members of the group staged what was originally intended to be a happening. Half a dozen people dressed in bandages. covered in mock blood, descended upon the entrance carrying placards and a banner proclaiming themselves as 'Victims of War'.

There was a tremendous queue to enter the exhibition so, while the others began to prepare to picket the entrance, one member of the group entered by the exit and began speaking to those inside from a bridge across a remarkably clear stream in the exhibition's simulated tropical jungle. Immediately a young soldier sitting in a nearby bush telephoned outside and four hefty young recruits appeared and proceeded to eject the now limp demonstrator.

As soon as he was deposited at the doorway, the picket arrived and began informing the queue of the horrific 'glories' of militarism that the exhibition had ignored. Gradually a dialogue began between the group and the soldiers present, which unfortunately degenerated into a useless exchange of insults. Without orders to the contrary, the soldiers were nevertheless willing to talk: yet now their commanding officer appeared and ordered his charges to 'get rid of them'.

The demonstrators offered no resistance -they sat down-at which the 15 or 16 troops began kicking, punching and karate chopping their opponents. Still, however, they did not move. A large crowd gathered and several people fainted as the demonstrators were first kicked, then thrown down the stairs. I was kicked extremely hard in the testicles, kidneys and ribs. While I was limp my fingers were bent back and sprained by one volunteer. Another member of the group, punched in the face, spurted blood the floor from his nose. hardly seemed to check Her Majesty's Forces though, for they ran to the landing where we were lying injured and threw us down the next fight as well.

By this time almost all of those in the queue had left it, traumatically alienated from the young warriors. The store manager was surprisingly sympathetic to us and when questioned about the behaviour of the troops said, 'I'll see about them'. Most of the comment we heard from the spectators was also favourable, was their reaction to our leaflet.

PETER GIBBON.

ANARCHY 73

ON SALE NEXT WEEK DISCUSSES

SCHOOLS

ANARCHY is Published by FREEDOM PRESS at 2s, on first Saturday of every month

This is

the Leaflet

You are entering Lewis's department store, Humberstone Gate, Leicester. On the third floor you will find an exhibition being held by the Army Careers Office. This exhibition is an attempt to illustrate the 'glory' of war, yet makes no mention of war's effects. There is nothing in this exhibition showing the agony of individual death, the horror of mass destruction, of the plague, disease and famine which follow war. The Army teaches mutilation, butchery and professional murder as a career, as a virtue. No glory will be found in the Army. There can be no pride in dying for the balance of power or for the domination of your country. The Army's only product is extermination, brutality and death. Ultimately, your death, or your children's. Printed and Published

by Leicester Project, c/o 13 Severn Street, AT A TIME when anarchist propaganda is practically dormant in the country one is grateful to the Government, the Army and the Police for expounding, however unwittingly, the case for anarchism. Every time a stupid magistrate sends an expectant mother to jail for a technical offence the ordinary citizen will say: How dare they do this?

The recent case of Mr. Leslie Parkes and his arrest and subsequent release has also opened the eyes of many citizens to the nature of oligarchical rule and the collusion that exists between the Army and the

Here is the story of a man who, having served his time in the Army and proved that he was a civilian in front of a magistrate, was lured to a police station seven months later and was promptly handed over to the military. This is how described the incident: This is how Mr. Parkes

The Stoke Police picked me up after saying that a van belonging to the firm for which I drive had been involved in a hit and run accident. They asked me to go to the station so that my van could be checked. I went down with a friend and the Police kept us there for an hour. No questions were asked about the so-called accident. Then the military police arrived. The manner by which the Police lured Mr. Parkes to the station was later described by the Chief Constable of Stoke, Mr. William Watson, as 'untrue, unre-hearsed and unnecessary'. But that the Police use such methods of lying and deceipt is well known to political demonstrators. Now a large public also knows this. There is no doubt

now in the public's mind that there was collusion between the Army and the Police, who worked in this despicable case 'hand in glove'

Mr. Parkes was then taken to the Royal Army Ordnance base at Blackdown, near Aldershot, where he was kept, handcuffed to a military policeman, in a windowless, corrugated-iron guardroom cell.

Mr. Jack Ashley, Labour MP for Stoke on Trent, saw Parkes and subsequently accused the Police of tricking Parkes going to a police station and acting like a twentieth century press gang'

When this matter was raised in Parliament, Ministers had to take into account the public outcry in this matter and Parkes's release was ordered in a matter of days.

The fact that the Government acted so quickly is not because they wished to usher in a libertarian millenium but because they wished to assert their authority over the undue independence of the Army and the Police. Mr. Denis Healey was therefore grilled for 18 minutes in the House by MPs who represent Army and Police interests. seemed to trouble these MPs was that a 'commanding officer's decision had been overruled by the Execu-

In fact the point is made by the Daily Telegraph's editorial writer that until the commanding officer declared that Leslie Parkes was not a soldier but a civilian, he was a soldier. That means that the Daily Telegraph supports the view that the magistrates court's decision that Parkes was a civilian 'has been disposed of'. In other words only the

Army is entitled to say who is a soldier and who is not.

The 'morale' of the Army is of course very important to the civil authorities. The Army is allowed a great amount of independence in its affairs in the hope that when it is needed by the Executive it can be relied upon. That the Army cannot be relied on at all times (they would have rebelled if they were asked to crush Ian Smith) the politicians know only too well. But in peace time the civilian population must also be handled with care.

A crisis is created when the civilian population realises that the Army and Police are mere excrescences whose main function is to keep in check and, on occasions like in the Parkes case, terrorise the very public that pays for their upkeep.

When the public realises this, it has come near the anarchist position. It was good to read in Peace News that the Parkes case has completely justified their suspicions of the Establishment and confirmed their belief in pacific/anarchism. nothing else has come out of our association with the peace movement, this is of considerable consolation that one of the most responsible newspapers in the country is taking the anarchist case seriously. We believe that many more people would agree with us if only we bothered to put our case across. The lesson that should be learnt from this case is that the Government, Army and the Police are equally 'untrue, unrehearsed and unnecessary'.

WHAT IS CHINA UP TO

TWO OR THREE years ago Max Patrick gave a talk to one of the London Anarchist Group's Sunday night meetings in which he stated his belief that the Pekin-engineered Sino-Indian border dispute was a military testing and that China's main territorial ambition is the re-conquest of those parts of Central and Eastern Asia, traditionally Chinese, but taken over by 19th-century Czarist imperialism and inherited by the Soviet Union. In other words, Max predicted war between Russia and China. I thought this rather far-fetched at the time (it was before the Sino-Soviet split had become the gaping breach it now is) but I now think that Max's prophecy may well turn out to be correct.

Let us try to ascertain the real ends of Chinese policy. For a start we can discount the official Western propaganda For a start we can line. There is a tendency in the West, currently being fanned by right-wing politicos, to regard the Chinese as the 'yellow peril', the 650 million Asian barbarians only waiting their chance to pour across their borders and ravage the world. The Hunnish and Mongol conquests of the fifth and thirteenth centuries are usually cited as terrible examples of Chinese aspirations, a view which conveniently forgets that the Huns and Mongols came from Central Asia and that China suffered as much at their hands as other countries. The Great Wall of China was specifically built to keep the Huns out.

The reason for the suspicion and fear of China which has been built up in the West has nothing to do with real Chinese history or policy. It is, rather, a great cover-up for the aspirations of an imperialist power whose aims make those of China seem insignificant by comparison-namely the United States. To keep its economy on even keel, America needs foreign markets and a huge armaments expenditure programme. Being a country whose inhabitants fondly imagine (for the most part) that their rulers are inspired by ideals of freedom and democracy, it cannot admit that its foreign and economic policy are motivated by such worldly ends. Hence the

need to have an enemy, someone to justify foreign policy and massive armaments.

CHINA'S AIMS?

Russia fits the bill up to a point but has certain disadvantages. Russia's Marxism has cooled down to such an extent that its leaders are now ready to come to profitable economic agreements with the West. It is white and belongs to European culture. It was a vigorous ally during the Second World War and even the most reactionary Westerner has a great admiration for the fighting and suffering qualities of the Russian soldier. By contrast the Chinese are ostensibly intransigent in their Marxism, they are 'yellow' (in fact they are white), they have 'slant eyes' (in fact they have slit eyes), they are 'inscrutable' (usually interpreted as 'feelingless'-in fact they have the same personal emotions as you and I but rarely parade them in public), their fighting and suffering qualities are no more admired than those of ants, and their language and culture are incomprehensible. In short, ready-made objects of suspicion, fear and hate. Accuse them of wanting to take over Australia and you cover up the fact that if American investment in Australia continues at its present rate, the country will end up firmly con-trolled from Washington and Wall Street, whatever the design on the Australian flag. Accuse them of wanting to take over England (Peter Simple does so every two weeks) and you cover up the fact that this country's 'special relationship' with America is mere economic subservience, a fact that no 'socialist' or 'patriotic' conservative politician or commentator in this country will admit. Accuse them of having designs on Disneyland, Blarney Castle and Timbuctoo, anything to keep the pot boiling and to divert attention from the real major imperialist power in the world.

What, then, are the aims of the Chinese leaders? I have no access to

top level meetings of the Chinese hierarchy so I can only surmise. First let it be said that in a sense the Western fear-mongers are right — China's rulers

would, in a vague way, like to rule the world. But doubtless every politician dreams of this, and there are times when even the staunchest liberal democrat sits musing over an atlas. But China's rulers are not more crazy than those of other countries and they do not see world conquest as a practical proposition. They have a relatively tiny submarine fleet which alone shows a lack of inter-continental ambitions. But they do have an enormous land army which offers a clue to their real intentions. Since the establishment of the Communist state 18 years ago, China has taken over, brought within its sphere of influence, or attempted to do these things to, Korea, Tibet, the Sino-Indian borderlands, and possibly Vietnam. Why only these countries and not, say, accessible and backward Afghanistan, which would be relatively easy to take over, and would provide a stepping stone towards the Middle East? Why especially Tibet which hardly fulfils the classic role of a country ripe to be included in empire for economic reasons? libel is a market and manufactury of practically nothing and is hardly the sort of place to warrant attention on pure Marxist ideological grounds. Why did the Chinese troops moving into India halt at precisely the demarcation line shown on ancient Chinese maps? Sense can be made of Chinese policies if one regards the territories China has taken over, not in the light of ideology or economics, but traditional areas of Chinese rule or influence and as military jumping-off grounds for the re-conquest of further traditionally Chinese territories. In other words. China's rulers see themselves not primarily as ideologists or as economists securing markets and supplies of raw materials, but as a continuation of the Manchu dynasty. And their biggest confrontation will be with the Soviet Union for the regaining of the geographically biggest objectives—namely Soviet Central and Eastern Asia. THE 'CULTURAL' REVOLUTION

Seen in this light, the Great 'Prole-tarian' 'Cultural' Revolution now sweep-

ing China makes sense. Various interpretations of it are put forward in the West. The first sees it simply as a power struggle—this is correct if meaning a power struggle between those Chinese leaders who believe in consolidating what China already has rather than embarking on expansionism. A second interpretation sees it as an attempt by the ageing Mao to ensure that the revolutionary spirit he largely created is carried on after his death by the Red Guards in spite of the technocrats who are more interested in building China's economy. A third interpretation, put forward by Guy Sears in a recent Observer dispatch based on an article in Red Flag, the CPC's theoretical journal, sees Mao deliberately wrecking the whole structure of Chinese government in order to turn China into a stateless society. Mao, fearful lest the Chinese state should not 'wither away' before his death, 'selflessly' sabotages it in order to fulfil, in a roundabout way, Marx's prediction. is unfortunate for this idiotic theory that Continued on page 2

BOLTON ANARCHISTS JAILED

THREE YOUNG ANARCHISTS were remanded in custody at Bolton, last month, following fires at an Army Information Office and a church. All three, Adrian Jeffrey, Les Smith and Ken Heap, were charged with maliciously setting fire to Bolton's Army Information Office and are due to appear before the Manchester Crown Court this month.

It was alleged that one of the lads had said, on being charged, that 'I did it as an act against the State, not as an act of vandalism.' Bail was opposed by the police, 'in view of the gravity of the offences'.

The lads were then remanded in custody for one week. That was a month ago and, up to now, they are still being held by the police. Anarchists in Man-chester are anxiously watching the situation, and will lend assistance as soon as this becomes possible.

Could readers who live near or around Bolton make enquiries on our behalf as to what is happening to our comrades.

books?

We can supply any book in print

SECONDHAND

We have a large stock of secondhand books.

Try us for what you want or better still

This week's selection

The Theory of Business Enterprise
Thorstein Veblen 6/The Soil and the Sea Julian Huxley, Boyd Orr, etc. 5/-

Julian Huxley, Boyd Orr, etc. 5/Days of our Years
Pierre van Paasen 8/6
New Light on Tolstoy
(ed.) René Fülöp-Muller 10/6
The Revolution of the Twentieth
Century (1897) Henry Lazarus 8/6
Ancient Law Henry Maine 5/The Fabric of Europe (1923)
Harold Stannard 5/Chinese Journey (1959)
Leslie Haylen 7/6
Tramping on Life Harry Kemp 5/-

Tramping on Life Harry Kemp
The Twenty Years' Truce 1919-39
Robert M. Rayner
The Problem of the Distressed Areas

The Problem of the Disaction (1937)
Wal Hannington (L.B.C. limp) 4/Essays in War Time (1916)
Havelock Ellis 7/6
Escape from Paradise (U.S.S.R.)
'El Campesino', etc. 5/The Labour Story (1924)
Emmanuel Shinwell 7/6
and Decasualization

Emmanuel Shinwell 7/6

Dock Labour and Decasualization

E. C. P. Lascelles and S. S. Bullock

Fringe Benefits

Alex Rubner 5/
Labour Policy: False and True

(1922)

Lynden Macassey 6/6 (1922)

Disputed Barricade Fitzroy Maclean 5/-

POSTAGE EXTRA

Freedom Bookshop

(Open 2 p.m.—5.30 p.m. daily; 10 a.m.—1 p.m. Thursdays; 10 a.m.—5 p.m. Saturdays).

17a MAXWELL ROAD FULHAM SW6 Tel: REN 3736

FREEDOM PRESS **PUBLICATIONS**

SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM'

3 1953: Colonialism on Trial
4 1954: Living on a Volcano
5 1955: The Immoral Moralists
6 1956: Oil and Troubled Waters
7 1957: Year One—Sputnik Era
8 1958: Socialism in a Wheelchair
9 1959: Print, Press & Public

Vol. 8 1958: Socialism in a Wheelchair Vol. 9 1959: Print, Press & Public Vol. 10 1960: The Tragedy of Africa Vol. 11 1961: The People in the Street Vol. 12 1962: Pilkington v. Beeching Vol. 13 1963: Forces of Law and Order Vol. 14 1964: Election Years Each volume: paper 7/6 cloth 10/6. The paper edition of the Selections available to readers of FREEDOM

VERNON RICHARDS Malatesta: His Life and Ideas cloth 21/-; paper 10/6 E. MALATESTA Anarchy Paper 1/-ALEXANDER BERKMAN ALEX COMFORT Delinquency 6d. Anarchism (Seven Exponents of the Anarchist Philosophy) cloth 21/-RUDOLF ROCKER CHARLES MARTIN Towards a Free Society 2/6 JOHN HEWETSON III-Health, Poverty and the S cloth 2/6; paper 1/-VOLINE and the State Nineteen-Seventeen (The Russian Revolution Betrayed) cloth 12/6 The Unknown Revolution (Kronstadt 1921, Ukraine 1918-21) cloth 12/6 cloth 12/6
E. A. GUTKIND
The Expanding Enviror
(illustrated) boards 8/6
GEORGE BARRET GEORGE BARREY:
The First Person (Selections) 2/6
MICHAEL BAKUNIN
Marxism, Freedom and the State
(ed.) K. J. Kenafick (paper) 7/6
MARIE-LOUISE BERNERI
Neither East nor West (Selected
Writings) (paper) 6/-

I WAS STANDING under the statue of I WAS STANDING under the statue of Eros when an attractive young lady gave me a missive emblazoned with a red heart. My own heart leapt up and then I saw that she, the flirt, was handing out these Valentines to all and sundry grouped round Eros wating for Lefty, for Country for Country or the sering Llooked. I Love My Love with a Computer

is listening—machines are our servants, they seem to be taking over more and more of the business of living. This daunting prospect seemed to have come closer in Piccadilly or out there in Hendon Central where the computers register interest, affinity, make shy approaches, muter sweet nothings in electronic vibratory twitterings, entwine armatures, oscillate in static collisions, violently punch holes in virgin cardboard, ejaculate streams of perforated ribbon. for everyone—romance by electronic computer. For a moment I had an uneasy vision of robots copulating and, the insulation being faulty, giving issue in due course to some minor IBM machine. I had even more crotic fantasies of unnatural alliances entered into hypersonal transfer of the second control of the violently punch holes in virgin cardboard, ejaculate streams of perforated ribbon, lock cogs and gears in fond embrace and finally give birth to two pieces of identically punched cardboard whose Section 1. Marital Status, 2. Race, 3. Religion, 4. Height, 5. Sexual Experience, all coincide to make the perfect 'date' as made in Heaven or in the all-knowing entrails of the IBM electronic computer.

However, as a fully paid-un cardfantasies of unnatural alliances entered into by computers.

Once at the tu'penny rush I saw Brigette Helm in Metropolis; this gave me a healthy bias against the machine which has stood me in good stead for the whole of my life. Now, beneath Eros, erected for some obscure reason in memory of Lord Shaftesbury, the wheel in fact had turned full circle and Shaftesbury, whose Factory Acts threw legitimate monkey wrenches into the Industrial Revolution, would arise from his grave to find that

However, as a fully paid-up card-carrying Luddite, I can see the flaw in this machine-made marriage bureau and feel that even though the chances of meeting an ideal mate are slim in this imperfect society, the computer is not the answer than maiden's prayer and the answer to a maiden's prayer and basically there is a built-in sabot in the machine. One assumes that the basis of selection by the machine is that of similarity whereas if one looks around one will find, on the principle of Yan and Ying, of concave and convex, or even on the basis of my namesake and his wife, the ideal basis for a partnership would seem to be a modicum of differences rather than maximum of similarities. Nothing is so irritating to observe as one's own character flaws (or traits)

as one's own character flaws (or traits) exercised in another person.

The computer-dater goes into all the usual questions of Race (2), Religion (3). On 'race' it succeeds in producing a completely new subdivision: White (English-speaking) and White (Continental). The subdivision of 'Iewish' in a religion section is very dodgy. How come if I'm a racialist and get a White European who's Jewish by race but not by religion? How come if I'm a Protestant Episcopalian and get a Jehovah's Witness in the draw? How come if I'm an anarchist with an interest in politics (could be) and finish up in Section 2, part 42 with chist with an interest in politics (could be) and finish up in Section 2, part 42 with an Empire Loyalist? Come to think of it Section 2, part 51, Television, is awkward. I believe there are people who don't even know that the BBC has a television channel.

Perhaps the wires will be clogged

television channel.

Perhaps the wires will be clogged with the computer-daters equivalent of the 'don't know'—Mr. or Miss Immaterial.

Immaterial doesn't care about marital status, race, religion, height, sexual ex-perience, annual income, physical ap-pearance, motor car, hair, age, weight,

drinking, occupational status, or smoking. Obviously Immaterial is one of the great daters of our day, not caring how they come, in what size, shape, or colour, it's all 'immaterial', but think of the mating of these two Immaterials; they both merge into a shapeless, formless, opinionless grey. Perhaps that's how the majority finish up anyhow.

Passing on to Section 2. 'State whether you have an interest in the following.' It is hard to see that the full range of human experience has been accounted for. No mates for stamp collectors, big-game hunters, no vegetarians, theosophists, and the usual trouble just 'jazz' (what happens when 'trad' meets 'mod'?). Just 'food'—what about the vegetarians, the gourmets and the gourmandizers?

The final Section (3). 'State whether you consider yourself to be the following:

gourmandizers?
The final Section (3). 'State whether you consider yourself to be the following: 53 Adaptable (who doesn't?), 54 Aggressive (who does?), 55 Ambitious (who sive (who does?), 55 Ambitious (who does?), 56 Conventional (certainly not!). It goes on like this for 25 items, finishing up with the horrible choice whether I am: 77 Sociable, or 78 Talkative. The truth is, of course, that I am sociable, it is other people who are talkative.

Let's face up to it, Confidential Dating Ltd. The old method of mating is still the best. To hell with all your computerized, transistorized computers, I'll stick to Eros!

JACK SPRATT.

ROUND THE GALLERIES successful exhibitions in Spain and his

the arts, both academic and ex-rimental, are but a game for tired sthetics (and as such unworthy of the cliché-bound Heroes of Labour word-wise) consider and worry this simple fact—that it is the poets, the painters

would arise from his grave to find that Eros had been computerized.

In the fine flowering of artificiality which preceded the French Revolution,

an aristocrat is recorded as saying, 'Living?—our servants will do that for us'. Now let it be whispered in case one

Godot, for Cowboy or the scrip. I looked more closely at the token and it read 'Confidential dating by electronic com-puter' and saw that she was not only a flirt but an agent of commerce and the

fact—that it is the poets, the painters and the writers who, in country after country, have had to bear the full force and might of the State in its anger.

These are not just emotional words but a statement of what is happening. For these people, albeit reluctantly, are the vanguard in the fight for the right for the individual to express himself without impringing on the privacy of any

without impinging on the privacy of any other person.

They do not seek to force their views on any person or persons. They carry no banners and they do not participate in public demonstrations. They do not shout their slowner or consulty seek to

in public demonstrations. They do not shout their slogans or openly seek to impede the laws of their particular State. Yet, within the last few months, we have had the unpleasant spectacle of men forced to stand to attention like misbehaving children while the sleek and cheerful clerk of some drear court reads out the State's accusation at the behest of some (how shall we phrase it?) inout the State's accusation at the behest of some (how shall we phrase it?), individual who, without invitation, has by chance viewed a painting, read a book, or seen a film and then, by merely picking up the nearest telephone, has succeeded in bringing the full pressure of the State to bear on those who create without State sanction or authority.

This day I sat and watched John Sharkey and Gustav Metzger standing before Sir Denis Truscott in a Guildhall courtroom while they were being ordered to be committed for trial at the Old Bailey, and all because two reporters of the Press Association, Green (Church of England) and Crook, attended the

DIAS without paying, decided that they did not like it and walked out and phoned Scotland Yard.

My views on the DIAS happenings are on record and I stand by them but John and Gustav are charged not with what I publicly protested at at Africa House, but with causing an indecent exhibition to be shown. Cunliffs, Calder, the Leeds Gallery, the Robert Fraser Gallery, the unfortunate bookseller who sold some inoffensive Beardsley prints and now the Destruction In Art Symposium.

and now the Destruction In Art Symposium.

It is a bitter roll-call and a cry for action that few will obey, but for those who profess to love liberty it is their rallying point, for we cannot choose our battlefields or decide what we must defend for by the very nature of our cause we are always on the defensive.

The State has chosen DIAS and, like it or not, those words are your standard and Sharkey and Metzger will be called upon to test the sincerity of our slogans.

Meanwhile back in Old Bond Street, at the Marlborough Fine Art Gallery at 39 Old Bond Street, W.I., they have on current exhibition the paintings of the 36-year-old Spaniard Juan Genovés.

These are a series of paintings peculiar

These are a series of paintings peculiar to our times in that in no other period of painting could they have been executed. Genovés has taken as his model the ancient black and grey montage of the Eisenstein school of journalistic documentary fiction and in canvases divided into sharp compartments he has painted the world of the news-photographer.

These paintings have come to Bond Street with the knowledge that Genovés has been the official choice for the Spanish Pavilion at last year's Venice Biennale, that he has had at least two

successful exhibitions in Spain and his work has been purchased by various Spanish public galleries.

Yet this is without doubt one of the most exciting and worthwhile exhibitions to be seen in the Town for many a long month. Genovés has taken as his subject matter an authority hounding and harassing a running mob of unidentifiable people. Dark grey upon the dirty grey background these tiny figures scatter like herds of mice too small and out of focus for us to identify ourselves with like herds of mice too small and out of focus for us to identify ourselves with or against them as they panic across huge blank open spaces, along claustrophobic Kafka corridors or along doorless streets. These grey people stand against blank walls in small dark groups and within the next compartment of the same canvas stand to die in individual isolation in the amoral O of a single searchlight's evil eye. searchlight's evil eye.

But, unlike the men and women within Goya's etchings, they have neither an individuality or a meaning as a group. Genovés affinity is with the English painter Francis Bacon in that for both of them agony exists as an abstract thing to be recorded but not commented

on.

One can understand how Genovés's painting of the American military aircraft that crashed, A-bomb happy, on the Spanish coast could be accepted by all sections of Spanish opinion. But Radioactivity in Palomares is but a single painting out of key, by its inherent propaganda theme, with the rest of the works within this Bond Street gallery. What is missing in this important exhibition is the views of official Spanish public opinion on the subject matter of these paintings. Having officially accepted the work of Juan Genovés, we are surely justified in wishing to know how they justified in wishing to know how they explain away the subject matter or, to simplify it, 'Who is killing who and

ARTHUR MOYSE

WHAT IS CHINA UP TOP

Continued from page 1

Mao is at the same time building up a big personality cult around himse which is hardly evidence of 'selflessness A fourth interpretation (already pt forward in FREEDOM) is that it is a attempt to crush the demands of workers and peasants for better living standards. This last interpretation is correct as far as it goes, but it doesn't say why Mao should want to do this. Is the reason that before embarking on military adventures, a government must build up a spirit of self-sacrifice at home and an acceptance of the lower living standards which war (in the case of a country like China) must

(in the case of a country like China) must inevitably bring?

The Great 'Proletarian' 'Cultural' Revolution has (a) not been made by proletarians but by students, the most indoctrinated section of China's population, and (b) has only the vaguest connection with culture—in the beginning stamp collectors and youths with long hair were persecuted as dreadful examples of 'bourgeois culture' but this phase is now past. The 'Cultural' Revolution now seems concerned with whipping up feeling against those leaders and economists seems concerned with whipping up feeling against those leaders and economists likely to oppose foreign adventures, in creating a spirit of fervent nationalism and self-sacrifice and in building up hatred of Russia. Recently the BBC broadcast English language examples of Radio Pekin vituperations against the Soviet Union, they included every sort of invective short of outright obscenity. So is Russia the main, intended target of the 'Cultural' Revolution? If China does go to war, it will do so in such a way as go to war, it will do so in such a way as to deter Russia from using her nuclear arms—such as massive infiltration rather than all-out attack on a broad front. As than all-out attack on a broad front. As I have said, I do not attend meetings of the inner circles of China's rulers so this is mainly hypothesis. But if 'communist' China attacks 'communist' Russia for straightforward territorial reasons, then it will nail once and for all the lie that a 'communist' country is any better, or has any more to offer, than the most reactionary country of the West.

JEFF ROBINSON

Anarchist Federation of Britain

LONDON FEDERATION OF ANARCHISTS.
Temporary address c/o Wooden Shoe, 42 New
Compton Street, London, W.C.2

ANARCHIST MEETINGS AT HYDE PARK EVERY SUNDAY AT 2 P.M.

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP. Sunday evening meetings resumed March 5, 8 p.m. Lamb & Plag. Constitution of Garriek Street, London, W.C.2 Leicenter Square tube). First meeting General

HARLOW ANARCHIST GROUP. Enquiries to Barrick, 14 Centre Avenue, Epping LEWISHAM, LONDON, S.E.13. 2nd and 4th Thursdays Meetings at Mike Malet's, 61 Granville Thursdays Meetings at Make Masset, of Gravities
Park, Lessisham, S.E. J.
NORTH LONDON ANARCHIST DISCUSSION
GROUP, 'Doubnin' (back of St. Paneras Town
Hall), Sanday, February 26, 8 p.m. Subject GLC

month at Jeannie's, 6 Encore Close. Northolt Park, Middleser, at 730 c.m. EALING ANARCHIST GROUP. Get into touch with Adrian Dethyshire, 2 Oakley House. Oakley Avenue, Landon, W 3.

OFF-CENTRE LONDON DISCUSSION MEETINGS

3rd Wednesday of each month at Jack Robinson and Mary Canipa's, 21 Rumbold Road, S.W.6 (off King's Road), 8 p.m. at Donald and Irene Rooms's, now at 13 Savernake Road, London, N.W.3.

REGIONAL FEDERATIONS

AND GROUPS
ALTRINCHAM ANARCHIST YOUTH GROUP.
The Stephen Richards, 25 North Get in touch slip Stephen Richards, 25 North Vale Road, Timperley, Cheshire. ABERDIEEN GROUF. Currespondence to M. Dey, 29 Springhil Crescent, Aberdeen BEKLLEY ANAUCHIST GROUF. Correspondence to Paul Wildish, 2 Combrian Avenue, Barnehurst, Keest.

Keni BELFAST, Comact Roy McLoughlin, 46 Monre-land Park, Belfast H, Ireland BRONINGHAM ANARCHIST GROUP, Contact Dave Massey, 13s Church Road, Erdiogion, Browne Massey, 138 Church Road, Erdington, Bironingham, 24 UNIVERSITY OF ASTON GROUP. Contact Dave Kipling, 81 Kingsbury Road, Erdington, Britisham, 44 BRIGHTON. All those interested in activities and pation and pation of the contact of the conta

Birrangham, 24.
BRIGHTON, All those interested in activities and action should contact Richard Miller, 1/2 Percival Terrace, Brighton, 7.
BRISTOL. Contact Dave Thorne, 49 Cotham BISSON, BISSON, OR THE STORY OF THE STREET OF THE STORY OF THE STREET OF

by Cupar, Fife.
GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP ONE. Corconsordence to Robert Lynn, 2b Saracen Head MERTS CROUP, Anarchist Writers' Peter Ford. Saturday, March 4 8 p.m. 48 Lonsdale Road,

address and and address and a subject of the subjec

Saffror Walden.

ORPINGTON ANARCHIST GROUP. Knockholt,
Nr. Sevenoaks, Kent. Every six weeks at Greenways, Knockholt. Phone: Knockholt 2316. Brian
and Maureen Richardson.

OXPORD ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact H. G.
Mellor, Merton College, Oxford Mellor, Merton College, Oxford.
PLYMOUTH ANARCHIST FEDERATION. Con-tact J. Hill. 79 Underlane, Plymatock, Plymouth. Devon.

READING ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact
Alan Ross, 116 Belmont Road, Reading, Berks.

ROCHESTER ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact
Emyl Davies, 22 St. Margaret's Street, Rochester.

Fortnighthy meetings from Monday. February 13.

SHEFFIELD. Contact Robin Lovell, cle
Students' Union, University, Sheffield, Tel. 24076,

SOUTHWARK ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact
Dave Burden, 45h Barry Road, East Dulwich,

S.E.22. Proposed meetings to be held on first and
third Thursday of each month. Dave Burden, 45h Barry Road, East Dulwich, S.E.22. Proposed meetings to be held on first and third Thursday of each month. SWANSEA, Please get in touch with Julian Ross, 28 Uplands Crescent, Uplands, Swansea.

NORTH-WEST FEDERATION

NORTH WEST ANARCHIST FEDERATION.
Regional Secretary: J. Bromley, S. Warwick Road,
Choriton, Manchester, 21. Meeting, Feb. 25, 12
noon at The Salutation, Cavendin Street, near
All Saluts, Manchester, Baxton: Christ Berristord, 10 Brown Steet, Bestoo, Chorley, meeting,
Rativay, 39a. Devoughire Road, Chorley, Manchester, Mike Mitchell, 3 Bakewell Road,
Droylesden, Manchester, Brenda Mercer, 6
Breckside Park, Liverpoot, 6. Rochdale: Ian
Heywood, 16 Mausfield Road, Baimford, Rochdale, Stoke-on-Trent Bob Blakeman, 52 Weldon
Avenue, Weston Coyney, Stoke-on-Trent.

EAST LONDON FEDERATION

WALTHAM FOREST ANARCHISTS. Contact Lionel Donnelly, 322a Hoe Street, Walthamstow, Lionel Donnelly, 322a Hoe Street, Walfnamstow, E-17. Regular meeting: WEST HAM ANARCHISTS. Contact Stephen Higgs, 8 Westhury, Road, Forest Gate, E-7. LIBERTARIAN TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION, Moctings—decision—activities. Contact Peter Ford, 82 North Road, Highgate, N.6. (Tel.: MOU 5702.)

PROPOSED GROUPS

Community, Chaptor House, Leverington, Wisbeeh, Cambridgeshire, CAMDEN (LONDON), Provos. Anarchist/Provo/C.100/Action group—anyone interested please contact Chris. Davis at 56 Chalk Farm Road,

NW1.

NW1.

WEST SUFFOLK. Please write to Carl Pinel, c/o West Suffolk General Hospital, Hospital Road, Bury St. Edmunds, Suffolk.

S.W. MIDLANDS. Kate, Bill and baby Jace Beveridge wish to contact libertarians in SW Midlands. Phone Mickleton 275 or write to Myrtle House, Mickleton, Chipping Camden.

Gloucestershire.

GOLDERS GREEN, LONDON, N.W.II. Proposed Group. Get in touch with Jane Wilson, 77 Ersking Hill, N.W.II or David McLellan, 54 Litchheid Way, N.W.II. Tel.: SPE 1783.)

MIDLAND YOUTH AGAINST AUTHORITY AND BUREAUCRACY, Proposed Group. Contact N. Juckson, 8 Leighs Close, High Heath, Palestly, Medical, Welson, 1981.

ABROAD

U.S.A. NEW YORK CITY. N.Y. Federation of
Anarchisis, e/o Torch Bookshop, 641 Bart 9th
Street, N.Y., 10009. Meets every Thursday evening.
AUSTRALIA. Anarchist Group, PO Box A 389.
Sydney South. Public meetings every Sunday in
the Domain, 2 p.m. and Mondays, 72 Oxford
Street, Paddington, Sydney, 8 p.m.
DANISH ANARCHIST FEDERATION. 52
Mindevel, Sobors-Copenhagen, Denmark
YANCOUPER, B.C., CANADA, Anyono interested
to the Company of the Company of the Copenhagen, Denmark
YANCOUPER, B.C., CANADA, Anyono interested
to the Copenhagen, Denmark
YANCOUPER, B.C., CANADA, Anyono interested
to the Copenhagen, Denmark
YANCOUPER, B.C., CANADA, Anyono interested
to the Copenhagen, Denmark
YANCOUPER, B.C., CANADA, Anyono interested
to the Copenhagen, Denmark
YANCOUPER, B.C., CANADA, Anyono interested
to the Copenhagen, Denmark
U.S.A. VERMONT/NEW HAMPSHIRE. Discussion group meets weekly, Contact Ed Strauss at
RFD 2, Woodstock, Vermond 50901, USA.
SWEDEN. Stockholm Anarchist Federation
Contact Nadir, Box 19104, Stockholm 19, Sweden
CANADA: Winnipeg, Anybody interested to
CANADA: Winnipeg, Anybody interested
CANADA: Winnipeg, Anybody interested
Mattheson Avenue, Winnipeg, 17, Manitoba,
BELGIUM: LIEGE, Provos, c/o Jacques Charlier,
Il Avenue de la Laiterie, Sclessini-Liege, Belgium,
EAST AFRICA. George Matthews would like to
make contact. Secondary school teacher from
UK. PO Box 90, Kakamega, Kenya

Peter Kropotkin:

from the point of view of its practical realisation-1879

ON October 12, 1879, the Jura Federation (the anarchist section of the First International in French-speaking Switzerland) held its annual meeting at La Chaux-de-Fonds. A discussion on the practical application

meeting at La Chaux-de-Fonds. A discussion on the practical application of anarchist theory concluded with a report given by a Russian delegate from Geneva called 'Alexéi Levashóv'. This was Peter Kropotkin. Kropotkin had first visited Switzerland in 1872, when he became an anarchist under the influence of the leaders of the Jura Federation. He returned to Russia and was active in the populist movement until his arrest in 1874. In 1876 he escaped from prison and left Russia, settling eventually in Switzerland. He wrote for Guillaume's Bulletin of the Jura Federation and then for Brousse's Avant-Garde until both papers ceased publication in 1878. In February 1879 he began a new paper, Le Révolté, which became the unofficial organ of the Jura Federation.

which became the unofficial organ of the Jura Federation.

When Kropotkin went to the meeting at La Chaux-de-Fonds, he was 36 years old and had been married for a year. He was writing the series of articles in Le Révolté which was later published in the book Paroles d'un Révolté (Paris, 1885), and he was becoming the leading anarchist intellectual in Switzerland, which was then the centre of the European anarchist movement. This was why he was chosen to conclude the

anarchist movement. This was why he was chosen to conclude the discussion at the meeting, though it is interesting that he was still using his revolutionary pseudonym.

His report—The Anarchist Idea from the point of view of its Practical Realisation—was printed in Le Révolté on November 1, 1879, and was published as a pamphlet with the same title (Geneva, 1879). Max Nettlau described it as the 'first statement of anarchist communist ideas made by Kropotkin' in his Bibliographie de l'Anarchie (Brussels, 1897, p. 73). He gave a German translation of it in his history of anarchism up to 1880, Der Anarchismus von Proudhon zu Kropotkin (Berlin, 1927, p. 289-293). The French text has recently been reprinted in the historical anthology. The French text has recently been reprinted in the historical anthology of anarchism, Ni Dieu ni Maître (Paris, 1965, pp. 335-337). The report is summarised in George Woodcock's and Ivan Avakumovic's biography of Kropotkin, The Anarchist Prince (London, 1950, pp. 175-176), but it has never been published in this country before.

An attentive study of the presituation leads us to the conviction that Europe is moving rapidly to-wards a revolution; that this revolution will not be confined to a single country but, breaking out in some place, will spread—as in 1848—to the neighbouring countries, and will embrace more or less the whole of Europe; and that, while taking different forms among different peoples according to the historical stage they have reached and according to the local condi-tions, it will nevertheless have a generally distinctive character—it will not be merely political, but will an economic revolution as well and above all.

The economic revolution may take different forms and have different degrees of intensity among different peoples. But it is important that, whatever its form may be, socialists of all countries, taking advantage of the disorganisation of the authorities during the revolu-tionary period, should apply all their strength to bring about on a vast scale the transformation of the property system by the expropriation pure and simple of the present holders of the large landed estates, of the instruments of labour, and of capital of every kind, and by the seizure of all such capital by the cultivators, the workers' organisa-tions, and the agricultural and municipal communes. The task of expropriation must be carried out by the workers themselves in the towns and the countryside. To hope that any government can under-take it would be a profound error; for history teaches us that govern-ments, even when they emerge from revolutions, have never done more revolutions, have never done more than give legal sanction to revolutionary deeds which have already been carried out, and even then the people has had to put up a long struggle with these governments to force assent to revolutionary measures which were loudly claimed during periods of ferment. Besides, a measure of such importance would remain a dead letter if it were not freely put into effect in each commune, in each district, by those who are actually involved.

3. The expropriation and communalisation of social capital must be accomplished everywhere where this becomes possible and as soon as the possibility emerges, without inquiring whether the whole or the greater part of Europe popular aspirations and to the demands of life and of mutual relations than to any theory—however beautiful it may be—which is worked out either by the thought and imagination of reformers or by the labours of any kind of legislative body. However, we think we shall not be mistaken in foreseeing even today that the bases of this new organisation will be—at least in the Latin countries—the free federation of producer groups and the free federation of communes and of groups of independent communes. If the revolution immediately puts expropriation into effect. puts expropriation into effect, it will gain an inner strength which will enable it to resist the attempts to form a government which would try to stifle it, as well as the attacks which may be made on it from outside. But even if the revolution were defeated, or expropriation were not extended as we foresee a personal extended as we foresee. were defeated, or expropriation were not extended as we foresee, a popular rising begun on this basis would render mankind the great service that it would hasten the coming of the social revolution. In bringing—like all revolutions—a certain immediate improvement in the lot of the proletariat, even if it were defeated, it would make impossible

Without equality there is no social justice and without social justice there is no morality

or of a particular country is ready to accept the ideas of collectivism. The disadvantages which might result from a partial realisation of collectivism will be largely compensated for by the advantages. That the deed has been done in a certain place, will become the most powerplace, will become the most powerful way of propagating the idea, and the most powerful motive for setting in motion places where the workers, being little prepared to accept the ideas of collectivism, might still hesitate to proceed with expropriation. Besides, it would be idle to discuss whether it is necessary or not to wait until the ideas of collectivism are accepted by the majority of a nation before putting them into practice, for it is certain that, except where there is a government prepared to shoot the people down, the doctrinaire socialists will not prevent expropriation taking place in districts which are most advanced in their socialist education, even though the great mass of the country is still lying inert.

4. Once the deed of expropriaway of propagating the idea,

4. Once the deed of expropriation is accomplished, and the strength of capitalist resistance broken, there will inevitably arise after a certain period of fumbling a new form of organisation of production and exchange, limited at first but later widespread; and this form will correspond much more to

any other rising in the future which did not take as its point of departure the expropriation of the few for the benefit of all. A further explosion would therefore inevitably bring about the end of capitalist exploitation, and consequently economic and political equality, work for all, solidarity, and freedom.

6. For the revolution to bring all the fruits which the proletariat has the right to expect, after centuries of increasing struggles and holocausts of sacrificed victims, it is necessary that the revolutionary period should last several years, so that the propagation of new ideas is not confined solely to the great intellectual centres but penetrates to the most isolated hamlets, so as to overcome the inertia which is inevitably shown by the masses before they fling themselves towards a fundamental reorganisation of society, and so that, finally, the new ideas should have time to receive their ultimate development which is necessary to the real progress of mankind. So, far from seeking to set up immediately in place of the overthrown authority a new authority which, being born at the beginning of the revolution when ideas are only just beginning to awake, would be fatally conservative by its very nature; far from seeking to create an authority which, representing the first stage of the revolution, 6. For the revolution to bring all

could only hamper the free develop-ment of the later stages, and would tend to immobilise and circum-scribe it fatally—it is the duty of socialists to prevent the creation of every new government, and to awaken on the contrary the strength of the people, destroying the old system and at the same time creat-ing a new organisation of society.

The Anarchist Idea

Such being our conception of the next revolution and the end which we intend to achieve, it is clear that, during the preparatory period we are in today, we must concentrate all our efforts on a wide propagation of the ideas of expro-priation and collectivism. Instead priation and collectivism. Instead of pushing these principles into a corner of our brains, so as to go and talk to the people only about questions of politics as mentioned above—which would hope to prepare minds for a largely political revolution, generally obliterating its economic character, the only thing which could give it necessary strength—we must on the contrary at all times and in all circumstances explain these principles widely, at all times and in all circumstances explain these principles widely, demonstrate their practical importance, prove their necessity; we must make every effort to prepare the popular mind for the acceptance of these ideas which, strange as they may seem at first to those who are imbued with political and economic prejudices, soon become an incontestable truth to those who discuss them in good faith, a truth now confirmed by science, a truth often admitted even by those who

now confirmed by science, a truth often admitted even by those who are publicly fighting it.

Working in this way, without letting ourselves be dazzled by the momentary and often artificial success of political parties, we are working for the infiltration of our ideas into the masses; we are inversely that the masses in the content of the cont working for the infiltration of our ideas into the masses; we are imperceptibly bringing about a change of opinion favourable to our ideas; we are gathering the necessary people for a wide propagation of these ideas during the period of ferment we are moving towards; and we know by the experience of human history that it is precisely during periods of ferment, when the transmission and transformation of ideas is brought about with a speed unmission and transformation of ideas is brought about with a speed unknown in periods of tranquillity, that the principles of expropriation and collectivism can spread in great waves and inspire the great masses of the people to put these principles into practice.

For the revolution to last several years and to bear its fruits, it is absolutely necessary that the next revolution should not the residence only to the large towns; the rising for expropriation must be brought about above all in the countryside. It is therefore neces-

brought about above all in the countryside. It is therefore necessary—without relying on the revolutionary impulse which might in a period of ferment be able to radiate from the towns into the villages—to prepare the ground in the countryside already from today.

As a provisional measure and as an experiment, the Jura Sections should adopt the task of undertaking in the villages around the towns a programme of propaganda following the line of the expropriation of the land by the rural communes. Attempts in this direction have been made already, and we can state that they have borne more fruit than might have been expected in the beginning. Experience will demonstrate what the best method to follow and what the means of spreading this propaganda may be. However difficult the start is, it must be made without delay. In addition, we cannot recommend too highly a study of the peasant risings in Italy and of the revolutionary propaganda which is being carried

out today in the villages of Spain,

When recommending that we should concentrate our efforts on a wide propagation in every way of the ideas of expropriation, we do not mean by this that we should neglect opportunities of carrying out agitation on all the questions of national life which are raised around us. On the contrary, we think that socialists must take advantage of all opportunities which may lead to an economic agitation; and we are convinced that each agitation, begun on the basis of the struggle of the exploited against the exploiters, however circumscribed its sphere of action, the ends proposed, and the ideas advanced may be to begin with, may become a fruitful source of socialist agitation if it does not fall into the hands of ambitious intriguers. It would therefore be useful for the Sections not to pass proudly by the various questions which concern the workers in their districts, for the sole reason that these questions have only very little to do with socialism. On the contrary, taking part in all questions and taking advantage of the interest should concentrate our efforts that these questions have only very little to do with socialism. On the contrary, taking part in all questions and taking advantage of the interest which they arouse, we could work to spread agitation to a wider extent and, staying on the practical basis of the question, seek to enlarge theoretical conceptions and awaken the spirit of independence and rebellion in those who are interested in the agitation which is produced. This participation is all the more necessary because it presents a unique method of fighting the false opinions which are spread by the bourgeoisie at every opportunity of this kind, and of preventing the workers' agitation being directed—thanks to the tactics employed by the ambitious—along a path absolutely contrary to the workers' interests.

10. The efforts of the anarchists having the state in all its parts, we do not see the usefulness of forming ourselves into a political party which would endeavour to insert itself into the machinery of government in the hope of one day taking its share of the legacy of the present governmental system. We think that the best method of shaking this edifice would be to stir up the economic struggle. But we also think that it would still be useful to keep an eye on all the actions and exploits of our rulers, to make a careful study of those political questions which interest the working people, and to take advantage of every favourable opportunity to point out the incapacity, hypocrisy and class egoism of present governments, as well as the vicious and harmful character of the governmental system. Let us make war on the State and its representatives, not in order to take a place in their councils, as the political parties do, but in order to shake the strength which they use against the aspirations of the workers, and to speed their inevitable downfall. The efforts of the anarchists

11. Persuaded that the method of organisation which will come about in the near future—at least in the Latin countries—will be the commune, independent of the State, abolishing in itself the representative system and bringing about the expropriation of the first priorities—the instruments of labour, and the capital of use to the community—we think it necessary to carry out a series study of the collectivist commune, and to discuss the part which the anarchists can play in the struggle which is now taking place, on the political and economic fronts, between the communes and the State.

(translation by Nicolas Walter)

How to Live Without Taxes

my job at a dairy in Denver where 900 cows were milked daily. (In a sense I was 'social worker' to the mean cows, for they found that a pacifist handled them better.) On that date a withholding would be collected. I went to Albuquerque and worked on a farm, there being no collection of taxes from small farms until 1950. After the first year I turned in a report of my earnings on a tax report with the notation that I would refuse to pay them because as an anarchist and a pacifist I did not believe in paying taxes for the upkeep of the State, especially for war purposes. I was then working for a man worth 90 million. He fired me, saying that I would be arrested and he would be disgraced. I got jobs on other farms and was not

The tax office paid no attention to my refusal until in 1949 when I moved to Phoenix and the head tax man, who was Quaker, called me in and told me

my tax. By this time I owed about \$190 taxes each year. In March and on August 6 I picketed the tax office boasting that I had openly refused to pay my taxes since 1943. Each year I was arrested with warnings of the penalty of five years. I told them to go ahead. Crowds came to tear up my signs and threatening to beat me up but I kept on.

Then in 1953 I moved to New York City and continued my picketing there. was called in three times by the T-men from Washington who repeated about the penalties I would receive if I kept My Autobiography was published in 1954 and a tax man came from Washington to the printer to confiscate the books, but as I owed the printer he could not take them. Each year I picketed and fasted for as many days as it was years since we threw the bomb at Hiroshima. Crowds came around to beat me up, and I was questioned each year by different tax men.

In 1961 I moved to Salt Lake City, Utah, and told the tax department of my arrival and commenced to picket Tax officials came to question me at the Joe Hill House of Hospitality where I housed and fed transients and said they would not confiscate any of the furnishings as I was doing a good This year I picketed and my wife and I fasted for 21 days. I was called in on orders from Washington to check up on my open defiance of the law. I owe about \$1,500, and the last time that I owed anything was in 1961 when they gave me a bill of \$51.01. According to law if a man wilfully refuses to turn in a report of earnings, lies about his income, or refuses to pay he can be imprisoned and/or fined. Several radicals have done three months for refusal to tell of their income, but as yet for those who openly refuse and make a report they have not done anything. It depends upon each local office as to the amount of harassment given. If I would present

a large bill when purchasing anything in a store the tax man could take my change if he was nearby, but he couldn't reach in my pocket and take it. They could also garnishee my wages if they knew where I worked.

In Phoenix the tax man came to an Army captain farmer where I worked and asked what I had coming. The farmer replied that it was \$5, so he asked for it. The farmer told me about it and said it was out of his pocket and the next day he would pay me before the tax man got there. So the next day the tax man said to the farmer: 'What has Hennacy got coming?' 'Nothing,' replied the farmer. 'How come?' queried the tax man. 'Because I paid him,' replied the farmer. 'Why?' asked the tax man. 'So you wouldn't get it,' said the farmer. 'You are as bad as Hennacy,' the tax man told him. For a score of times the farmer paid me in advance until he wore out the tax man.

Here I get nearly everything free from the merchants so there is little that I have to pay in taxes. The local sales tax goes to pay for the state government, which of course helps pay for the hangman. This cannot be helped. However I have fasted and picketed from 10 to 45 days when executions have been pending and have helped to obstruct the death penalty.

There is a tax on telephone service that goes entirely for the war in Vietnam. Those who refuse to pay this tax are reported to the tax office, but to date the phone service has not been disconnected or any prosecutions made by the tax man. I do not have a phone. If I make a local call for a dime this is There is a special tax on liquor, tobacco and medicine, but as I do not use these products I pay no tax on them.

Some tax refusers do not pay their taxes but if they have a bank account it is taken from the bank, with extra charges. I barely get enough donations to pay the rent and utilities for my house, so keep nothing in the bank. I turn in a report to the tax office, not because they have a right to it, but as a courtesy to my enemy, the State. Last year I privately printed my Autobiography brought up to date. At the price of \$3 I do not make enough on it to be taxable. If the book was printed by a regular publisher the tax man could deduct my royalties and apply it to what I owe them. AMMON HENNACY.

HORRORS

HUMAN DOCUMENTS OF THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION IN BRITAIN by Royston Pike. Allen & Unwin. 52/6 cloth; 25/- paper.

> 'More commonly, suffering breaks people, crushes them, and is simply unilluminating.' (Saul Bellow.)

THIS is an appalling document. It consists largely of a series of Reports and Findings by various Commissions on the condition of working people in Great Britain at the beginning of the nineteenth century. The Editor, Royston Pike, allows the men, women and chilwho lived through the Industrial Revolution, to describe their experiences in their own words; and as he remarks, 'most of the pages . . . are bespattered with blood'.

The British have an unfortunate addiction to cruelty to children. Is it too farfetched to suppose that the taste for this addiction may have been born during the first two decades of that Opportunities were infiniterestraints almost unknown. Indeed, the despatch of 80 young orphans, in two locked wagons, on a four day journey from London to Nottingham, where they were 'apprenticed' to work, literally as slaves, for 14 years, recalls some of the worst episodes of the Nazi terror. It should be remembered, too, that the sale of children between the ages of five and ten was commonplace. A doctor reporting on conditions in Sheffield . diarrhoea, extreme emaciation, together with all the usual symptoms of pulmonary consumption, length carry them off, but not until they have lingered through months and even years of suffering, incapable of working so as to support either themselves or their families.

What is almost as shocking is the attitude of even the more enlightened employers; Robert Owen, though expressing a preference for older children, actually employed boys and girls of ten in his factory, for a working day of 12 hours. Oddiy ambiguous, too, the phrases that keep recurring in these ghastly and ghostly pages-the compulsive concern with the lamentably low moral condition of the women, combined, of course, with an 'utter absence of grace and feminine manners', etc., etc. This might seem comical, in a pathetic sort of way, if it were not for the

'Chained, belted, harnessed, like dogs in a go-cart-black, saturated with wet, and more than half naked-crawling upon their hands and feet, and dragging

their heavy loads behind them-they present an appearance indescribably disgusting and unnatural.' (The Englishare they human?)

There were very few exceptions to the general conspiracy of indifference and these came more or less haphazardly from a handful of individuals-Michael Sadler, Tory MP, or Lord Ashley, also Tory, who had happened to read reports in The Times from Sadler's Committee; and, of course, William Cobbett. Mr. Pike does not attempt to link this catalogue of horrors with the rise of the Chartist movement and it is true that this ground has already been well covered. But there is surely some scope for further studies in the field of what one might call the Emasculation of the Masses-specifically, the new race of Factory Workers. From these obedient slaves, dumbly suffering and dying from exhaustion in the factories, one could perhaps trace a direct line, right down to their great-grandsons, going blindly to an equally pointless and brutish death at Passchendaele and the Somme. Of course, the numbers who died in the War were far greater, their 'sacrifice' far more spectacular: the slaves had become citizens. In both and the cases, the factory owners politicians-died safely in their beds, no doubt fortified by all available church comforts. In both cases, too, the victims have been forgotten.

Was there then, no pity? No poetry at all in the making of the Black Country? Strangely, the Reports themselves sometimes surprise us, and by their curious insight, illumine for a moment the surrounding misery and gloom. Here, in conclusion, is a fragment from a Report by R. H. Horne, sub-commissioner, March 14, 1843: Wolverhampton, Sunday. Walked about the town, streets and outskirts, during church-time. Lots of children seen in groups at the end of courts, alleys, and narrow streets-playing, or sitting upon the edge of the common dirt-heap of the place, like a row of sparrows and very much of that colour, all chirruping Boys fighting; bad language and bloody noses. Girls playing with continual screams and squeaks of delight, or jumping from the mounds of dirt, dung, and rubbish-heaps. . . . Adults seated smoking, with an air of lazy vacancy-they did not know what to do with their leisure or with themselves. One group of five adults very decently dressed; they were leaning over the rails of a pig-sty, all looking down upon the pigs, as if in deep and silent meditation with the pigs' snouts just visible, all pointing up to the meditative faces, expecting something to come of it.

REMEMBERING THE WAR-

WHEN THE RED mountain ashes started withering when the gossamer sent its first tender threads . . . when all the roofs of the various towns were wet in the morning by the approaching autumn . . . he hit hard! Exactly at 5.45 in the morning, on the first day of September which was a Friday in 1939, the year of disaster.

He wore Wellington boots and a brown uniform with a leather belt. From a bloodily coloured red piece of cloth stared a sick white full moon in the centre of which swam a black heathenish sunwheel called swastika. He who had become a moulted 'Führer'-a housepainter without a nationality, with a pikeman's moustache and a dishevelled demagogue's fringe-raised his madman's voice in order to slaver: 'From 5.45 a.m. we are shooting back-!

The mere barking of this hound had lasted for six years. But now he was about to bite, to set forth to his huge run amok: across the Rivers Meuse, Scheldt and the Rhine ... from Finland to the Black Sea ... legions of motor fitters, bakers, students, roofers, workmen, hairdressers, gardeners, blacksmiths, beemasters, jewellers, waiters and surveyors overflowed Europe-all of them under the collective designation of 'Landsers'. A field-gray coloured restless and dazzled The best soldier all over the world'. Culprits and victims all together united in one body.

'FINAL VICTORY' cried the gazettes. And: 'HOLY DUTY. RESCUE OF THE OCCIDENT' howled the wireless sets, surnamed 'Goebbels' Muzzles'. Forward, go ahead, ahead, sang the youngsters from Bavaria and Baden on the dusty roads between Bromberg and Brest. For those who followed suit only the machine guns sang their deadly song. In the gravel-pits at the outskirts of eastern towns. And the name of an insignificant village in Western Poland haunted the world as an apocalyptic ghost: AUSCHWITZ!

The mixture of hatred and greediness and megalomania, accumulated in the rotten brain of the brown Braunauer, grew into unexpected dimensions. It seemed as though his annihilating rage did not know any limits. And it really did not: Ruthenian birchen woods of extraordinary splendour and the peaceful stillness of Flemish windmills burst in the shricking of grenades. Noble fronts

—Renaissance, Baroque, Classicism of by-gone ages: crashed in Warsaw, Rotterdam, Leningrad and Dresden. Spoilt the infinite yellow of Ukrainian sun-flower fiields, The distant blue of the cent little hospital ship will do-to begin

Carpathian Mountains. the temples in ancient Hellas. Tolstoy's and Chopin's graves robbed! brands raised all over Europe. groaning of the burnt increased in an accusing chorus. Desperately screamed the sites of the fire-brands into the silent and pitiless sky: AUSCHWITZ, screamed the chorus. And BELSEN, COVENTRY and DACHAU, EL ALAMEIN and FLOSSENBURG, GLEIWITZ, LIDICE, CASSINO, STALINGRAD, WARSAW - - BERLIN.

And then, after a rest, when all people thought that the concert was over-HIROSHIMA. Europe's ruins were overgrown by wormwood and dandelion. Only the fellow in the brown and leather-belted battledress could not see this any more. With precisely the last glow of his almost burnt down war torch reduced to a small heap of stink-ing ashes, he had silently stolen away. To Hell-to Valhalla of mass criminals. Pestilential stench competed with the smell of elder-blossoms over Europe's rubble. And the smell of the elderblossoms won.

However: far off in America lives a man who again already plays with the As a pretended guardian of occidental culture a Texan farmer swings his napalm torch. He does not wear Wellington boots. Just sometimes when he is on leave-back at home on his Otherwise he displays a manner of noble decency. But, despite his precious necktie-there a certain thing inciting comparison. It just needs to alter names-and the direction is plain. Put Vietcong for Bolsheviks, nerve gas for cyclone B, strategic villages for concentration camps and escalation for warand there you are. Not quite, you say? No, not quite so. Of course not. The SS-men did not wear green berets.

The so-called 'free world' has again to be defended. A subtle slogan just like 'Coca-Cola', put into circulation by eager people beating up for recruits. But it is a deadly 'propagandistic' campaign pushed forward by that boss. In spite of its asthmatic character there are numberless people of yellow, black and white skin perishing each day. And unless they have perished they will perhaps be patched together again on board of the HELGOLAND. For this is our game again, too. Obviously things don't get along without us. The Germans to the front. Whether this be a certain Congo-Müller for a change or a small nitrogen plant out of the IG-Farben



In a 'moral' and 'humanitarian' way a helping hand is offered to the lonesome man there in the White House in order to keep the flame burning. Anything done beyond that is passed over in silence, is demented and modestly covered by a screen of blue smoke - -(PEOPLE'S CHANCELLOR brand). Humanitarian behaviour means to be active as a human being for mankind's So Lyndon B. Johnson's German handymen are about to revalorize humanly and morally the inhumanity of the dirty war in Vietnam? Useless. A bombed village of rice farmers stays a bombed village of rice farmers. The torn body of a child, a youth tortured to death, a mother who has gone mad from sorrow and despair, an old man poisoned by 'harmless' gas, a GI in the minefield calling for his mother . . . everything remains what it is-despite all those beautiful words. And: even aiding and abetting the murderers is punish-

The Far East is not so far any longer. Haiphong-Hanover? It is but a stone's throw distant. How damned easy for a sparklet to flit over. Some time at an unexpected instant. When you sit and have your coffee in the morning, when you are about to pluck some coppercoloured roses for the vase beside the TV set or when lolling about comfortably in your bed and drowsily winking at the alarm-clock in order to turn over once more to the other side. forty-five in the morning.

Freedom is Indivisible

FREEDOM gives up so much space to syndicalism, or to obscenity cases, or to the rights of homosexuals, or to hostel dwellers under oppression. One is rather delighted to find that the ever-present space problem of FREEDOM is solved if only by the excessive coverage of distasteful items! However one is led to believe that this is merely an optical illusion, in the way that the reds and greens (whichever one happens to dis-like) leap out of a wallpaper or carpet

pattern and cause perpetual offence. The solution is, on the face of it. simple, one discards as one does the financial/parliamentary/women's/sporting

(delete where inapplicable) sections of the newspaper one finds non-interesting and reads the rest. However with FREEDOM the case is sometimes more violently put. Why should we workers have to worry about the fucking intellectuals' problems about censorship? Why should we intellectuals worry about the workers' struggle? With such a small paper one is inclined to resent the limited space being given over to topics which have for us only an academic or limited interest.

However one finds the problem posing itself in more acute forms. An example from another field calls it to mind. During the war a conscientious objector

of my acquaintance expostulated about being cooped up in prison with 'a lot of criminals'. The trouble with you, mate, a mail-bag philosopher replied, 'is you broke a different law.' It was recently said that some homeless hostel residents resented being even mentally associated with a male down and out associated with homeless down-and-outs. regardless of the fact that basically

their problems were the same.

This is not to pull the problem down to the level of Marxism-for-infants.

'Basically comrades these are all facets of the class struggles and proletarians and intellect. and intellectuals must unite together. There are, of course, differences between us (that's what anarchism is about).

The Marxist interpretation of history has placed prime importance upon economic freedom. The example of Soviet Russia has shown the fallacy of

this and the unimportance of economic freedom alone. Britain's development has placed much importance on democratic freedom, we can see how big business and state socialism between them have encroached on this.

The simple fact is that freedom is indivisible. Freedom to work is useless without economic freedom and the freedom to strike. Freedom of expression is useless without freedom to publish. Freedom to demonstrate is useless without freedom to abolish rulers. Freedom of speech is useless without freedom to broadcast your ideas. Freedom to think is useless without freedom to act.

But because we have not or do not want all the freedoms is no reason for not agitating for as many as we can get. Freedom is probably not an obtainable absolute but this should not prevent us working to bring it as near as possible, each of us in his own field, in co-operation with others or ploughing his own lonely furrow

Eugene Debs, the American Socialist. once said, 'While there is a lower class I am in it. While there is a criminal element, I am of it. While there is a soul in jail I am not free.' If this hightoned thinking is not to your taste, it was said by Martin Niemoller, a German pastor, speaking of the advent of Hitler. When he arrested the Communists, 1 stood by, for I was not a Communist: when he took away the trade-unionists. I did nothing for I was not in a Union; when they rounded up the Jews, I said nothing for I was not a Jew. But when they came for me, there was nobody left

JACK ROBINSON.

Alienation

THE WORD 'alienation' has, over the last few years, become increasingly fashionable. It appears in books and articles by Freudian and Marxist revisionists, sociologists and existentialist philosophers. It is applied to all aspects of human culture. Its meaning has become more and more imprecise. Surprisingly, however, the subject has been both brought out into the open and, to a large degree, brought down to earth in Hungary.

For the first time, a completely scientific and empirical study was reported in the November, 1965, issue of the literary monthly Kortárs about alienation among Hungarian workers under 'socialism'. Until fairly recently, the concept of alienation was confined to philosophical circles; but lately a few American sociologists began bringing the concept closer to the realities of working-class life. They began examining the actual conditions and attitudes of modern industrial society. During the last couple of years the pattern in Hungary has followed the American. (The discussion in Poland, with the recent publication of a book by Professor Adam Schaff, still seems to be in an early stage.)

In Hungary, three distinct views have emerged: one, that there can be no alienation under 'socialism' (actually bureaucratic State Capitalism); two, that the presence of alienation within 'socialism' is only a remnant of the past and, three, that in fact 'socialism' creates new forms of aliena-The latter was the majority view of the participants of a one-day conference of Hungarian philosophers.

Obviously, then, alienation-in spite of its fashionableness among existentialists, neo-Freudians, Marxist-revisionists and the like-is well worth our consideration if we are going to try and understand just a few of the problems of modern industrial capitalism and 'socialism',

What does 'alienation' mean? What are its origins?

In a lengthy article in New Society (27.2.64), Norman MacKenzie discusses various aspects of alienation in considerable detail. 'Strictly speaking,' he says, 'alienation means estrangement; to alien ate means to transfer something to the ownership of another.' Alienation means And, continues MacKenzie Whether I use the concept to examine the relationship between a worker and his employer (economic), a man and his Party (political), a man and other men (psychological), or a man and his god (religious), I am, it is argued, analyzing essentially the same phenomenon-the way in which individuals lose some part of their human identity to objects outside of themselves. This process, it is said, is not unique to our age, but it has become peculiarly acute in mass industrial societies, accounting for much of our present discontents. modern man the full use of his humar capacities and the ability to enjoy life. Philosophically, he argues, the question of alienation presented itself as the separation of subject and object; the distinction between the T (ego), which seeks to control its own fate, and the 'me' which is moulded by and meaning ful only in relation to others.

In this discussion, however, I shall concern myself primarily with the concept of alienation as used by the early Marx (and the modern Hungarians). Marcuse and Erich Fromm. Although both psychological and philosophical, their approach is more down-to-earth than that of many psychologists and existentialists.

Originally, the word alienation was used to denote an insane person; but in the last century, first Hegel and then the young Marx referred to alienation not as insanity, but as a form of 'self-estrangement'. Marx claimed to have brought Hegel 'down to earth', by locating alienation in the labour process These arguments he first formulated in his Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts in 1844, and then, with Engels in The German Ideology in 1846.

Hegel, in Marx's view, understood that Man creates himself in a historical proess, of which the motive force is human labour or the practical activity of men living in society. But in Marx's opinion Hegel only conceived labour as the acti-vity of pure spirit. For him, the historical process was a movement of abstract categories, of which individuals were merely playthings. Moreover, in opposi-tion to Hegel's deification of the State. Marx regarded it as a transitional, external power dominating society. As a form

In his 1844 Manuscripts, Marx claimed that private property is the product, the necessary result, of alienated labour, of the external relation of the worker to Nature and to himself. This alienation itself in the process of production. The more the worker expends him-self in work, says Marx in a well-known passage, the more powerful becomes the world of objects which he creates in face of himself, and the poorer he himself becomes in his inner life, the less he becomes to himself. The worker puts his life into the object, and his life then belongs no longer to him but to the The greater his activity, therefore, the less he possesses. bodied in the product of his labour is no longer his. The greater this product is, therefore, the more he himself is 'DIVISION OF LABOUR'

Very early on in primitive communist society there arose what economists term the division of labour, or division of tasks. This is tasks. This first arose as a division based on sex. Later, this of the family and tribal group, with tribal group, with the separation tribal group, with of society into individual families opposed to one another and insimultaneously the distribution, and indeed the unequal distribution (both quandled) the unequal distribution (both quandled). titatively and qualitatively), of labour plies a contradiction between the interest of the separate individual and the com-munal interest of all individuals. It is the genesis of domination of Man over Man and alienation estrangement.

This 'division of labour', however, this

this crystallization of social alienation, activity, this consolidation of what we ourselves produce into an objective power above us, growing out of our control, thwarting our expectations, bringing naught to our calculations, is one of the chief factors in historical development up to now,' says Marx. This 'estrangement' can, in Marx's view only be abolished so long as it has become an 'intolerable' power over men. But it must have rendered the vast mass of humanity propertyless and, at the same time, given rise to conditions—on a world scale-wherein wealth can be produced in abundance in order to supply all human needs and wants. Such



diminished. The alienation of the worker in his product means not only that his labour becomes an object, but that it exists outside of him, independently, and alien to him; and that it stands opposed to him as an autonomous power, on its own existence. The life which he has given to the object sets itself against him as an alien and hostile

'A direct consequence of the alienation of Man from the product of his labour, from his life activity, is that Man is alienated from other men. When Man confronts himself he confronts other men. What is true of Man's relationship to his work and to him-self, is also true of his relationship to other men, to their labour and to the objects of their labour!

Marx then mentions a concept-'domination'-which Marcuse takes up, and further develops, over a hundred years later. In another well-known passage from the Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts, Marx asserts that (within property society) '... all means for the development of production transform themselves into means of domination over, and exploitation of, the producers; they mutilate the labourer into a fragment of a man, degrade him to the level of an appendage of a machine, destroy every remnant of charm in his work and it into a hated lot; they estrange from him the intellectual potentialities of the labour process. . . . A view also emphasised by William Morris in his Useful Work Versus Useless Toil and Art and Socialism, and touched upon very briefly by Oscar Wilde in the earlier paragraphs of his Soul Of Man Under

In The German Ideology, Marx develops his ideas on alienation, and in his notes on Pre-capitalist Economic Forma-tions where he discusses both alienation

were the views of the young Marx on alienation.

As he grew older, Marx no longer used such terms as 'alienation', 'estrangement', 'self-estrangement' or 'domination' except in a very brief passage in his notes on pre-capitalist formations. In his latter writings, say some of his more libertarian apologists, he took the moral and philosophical ideas and ideals, acquired in his youth, more or less for granted. As he grew older, however, he became increasingly authoritarian and intolerant; as have most of his alleged followers of the traditional 'left-wing' parties. All the same, we should not belittle his efforts in attempting to bring Hegel 'down to earth' by locating aliena-tion in the labour process.

Marx influenced William Morris. How much so is a matter of debate. He was also influenced by anarchism as well. All the same, a great deal of Morris' writings are a condemnation of alienation and domination (even if he did not popularise the words) and a demand for a free, non-alienated society. I do not, however, intend to discuss Morris' contributions to socialist or anarchist thought here, but instead mention first Erich Fromm and then Herbert Mar-cuse, both of whom have re-discovered and developed—the concept of alienation in more recent times. Both have, in fact, deliberately gone out of their way to discuss alienation—and to popularise the word itself. Their, and no doubt others', efforts seem to be bearing fruit in the most unlikely places!

Writing in 1942. Fromm discusses in great detail the evolution of modern in-

dustrial society, its emergence from Feudalism, the character structure of the men that brought it about-and those who have been nurtured and conditioned by it—in The Fear Of Freedom.

Although Man has reached a remark-

able degree of mastery over Nature, argues Fromm, society as a whole is not in control of those very forces it has The rationality of the system of production in its technical aspects is accompanied by the irrationality of our system in its social aspects. Man has built his world. 'But he has become estranged from the product of his own hands, he is not really the master any more of the world he has built; on the contrary, this man-made world has become his master, before whom he bows down, whom he tries to placate or manipulate as best he can. The work of his own hands has become his god. He seems to be driven by self-interest, but in reality his total Self with all its concrete potentialities has become an instrument for the purposes of the very machine his hands have built. He keeps up the illusion of being the centre of the world, and yet he is pervaded by an intense sense of insignificance and powerlessness which his ancestors once consciously felt towards God.'

In Fromm's view, modern man's feeling of isolation and powerlessness is increased still further by the character which all human relationships today have assumed. 'The concrete relationship of one individual to another.' he continues, 'has lost its direct and human character and has assumed a spirit of manipulation and instrumentality. In all social and personal relations the laws of the market are the rule. It is obvious that the relationship between competitors has to be based on mutual human indifference.' This also affects the relationship between employer and employee. In fact, the word 'employer' itself be-trays the whole story! The owner of the means of production, of capital, employs a human being in exactly the same way as he 'employs' a machine. He buys a factory, raw materials and human labourpower-and then puts them all to work. The worker is purely a means to an end. The relationship between a businessman and his customer is very much the same Moreover, the attitude towards work in modern industrial society has the same quality of what Fromm terms 'instrumentality'. In contrast to the medieval artisan, the modern capitalist manufacturer is not primarly interested in what he-or more correctly, his workers-prohe produces essentially in order to make a profit from his capital investment, and what he produces depends essentially on the market which promises that the investment of capital in a certain branch will prove to

INITIATIVE RESTRICTED

This buyer-seller relationship affects not only economic activities, but all the relationships between men. All social relationships have this character of alienation. They, in fact, assume the character of relations between things.

It is Fromm's case, however, that the most devastating instance of this alienation, this 'spirit of instrumentality', is the individual's relationship to his own Self, his whole being. It may appear that men sell commodities, that the labourer sells his 'physical energy'. But this is not all. He really sells himself; his image, his personality. 'This personality should be pleasing, but besides that its possessor should meet a number of requirements: he should have energy, initiative, this, that, or the other, as his particular position may require.' For, as with any other commodity, it is the market which decides the value of these human qualities and attributes.

The development of Big Business, of what both Fromm and the orthodox Marxist-communists call the 'monopolistic phase of capitalism', has tended to weaken the individual Self, or what Wilde terms 'Individualism'. Says Fromm: 'The individual's feeling of powerlessness and aloneness has in-creased . . his possibilities for indivi-dual economic achievement have nar-rowed down.' The concentration and centralization of capital has restricted the possibilities for individual initiative, courage and responsibility. Today, both in the so-called private enterprise West and in the spurious 'socialist' East, an whole of society is exercised by rela tively small groups. It makes very little difference whether the country is 'demo-cratic' or 'totalitarian'. This, naturally, weighs most heavily on the worker.

In the old days, at least, the worker knew who the boss was; he often knew him personally. Despite inequalities and unequal social status, there was a 'face-to-face' relationship between employee and employer. Today, the worker in an office, factory or plant which employer. position. The boss has now become an abstract figure, the 'management'. an anonymous power, or a government bureaucrat. The individual worker has become insignificant and even more alienated than than he was in Marx's day. In Fromm's view, this situation

THE YOUNG MARX ON ALIENATION

In what does this alienation of labour consist? First, that the work is external to the worker, that it is not a part of his nature, that consequently he does not fulfil himself in his work but denies himself, has a feeling of misery, not of well-being, does not develop freely a physical and mental energy, but is physically exhausted and mentally debased. The worker therefore feels himself at home only during his leisure, whereas at work he feels homeless. His work is not voluntary but imposed, forced labour. It is not the satisfaction of a need, but only a means In what does this alienation of faction of a need, but only a means for satisfying other needs. Its alien character is clearly shown by the fact that as soon as there is no physical or other compulsion it is avoided like the plague. Finally, the alienated character of work for the worker appears in the fact that it is not his work but work for someone else, that in work he does not belong to himself but to another person.

Just as in religion the spontaneous activity of human fantasy, of the human brain and heart, reacts independently, that is, as an alien activity of gods or devils, upon the individual, so the activity of the worker is not his spon-taneous activity. It is another's activity, and a loss of his own spontaneity.

From Marx's 'Economic and Political Manuscripts

has been partly balanced by Trade Union activity. The Unions, he feels, have helped to improve the worker's econoposition, and have also given him a feeling of collective strength. But he is forced to admit, however, that many Unions have also grown large and often bureaucratic, like industry. There is very little democracy or room for individual initiative in many of them. the member, he says: 'He pays his dues and votes from time to time, but here he is a small cog in a machine.' This trend has accelerated enormously since Fromm wrote Fear Of Freedom. In a very interesting chap-ter on Trade Union bureaucracy in T. Cliff and C. Barker's Incomes Policy.
Legislation And Shop Stewards (published in May), they rightly observe that '... the Trade Union bureaucracy, rising above the rank-and-file membership of the Unions, and feeling that it belongs to a group with a higher social status, hardly ever thinks of going back to the rank-and-file. To this degree it is alienated from those it supposedly re-presents.' In fact, most Trade Union leaders are not concerned with the prob-lems of alienation (in any form). Why lems of alienation (in any form). Why should they be anyway? They no longer work in a factory or car assembly plant!

AN ECONOMIC ATOM

In 1956, in The Sane Society (a less satisfactory book, in some respects, than Fear Of Freedom), Erich Fromm returns to the problem of alienation in modern industrial society. He does this, he says, because the concept alienation seems to him to touch upon the deepest level of modern personality; and because it is the most appropriate if one is concerned with the interaction between the contemporary socio-economic structure and the character-structure of the individual in our

Alienation in 1956, in modern society, has become almost total. It pervades the relationship of Man to his work, to the things that he consumes, that he does, to the State, to his fellow man, and, above all, to himself. Man has created a world of man-made things as it has never existed before. He has built a complicated social machine to administer completated social machine to administer the technical machine that he has con-structed and developed. And yet this whole creation stands above him. He now confronts himself with his own forces embodied in things he has created, alienated from himself. He has lost the ownership of his Self, of himself. What has happened to the worker?

He has become an economic atom that dances to the tune of atomistic management. Fromm quotes I. J. Gil-lespic as saying that 'Work is becoming more repetitive and thoughtless as the more repetitive and thoughtless as the planners, the micromotionists, and the scientific managers further strip the worker of his right to think and move freely. Life is being denied; need to control, creativeness, curiosity, and independent thought are being baulked, and the result, the inevitable result, is flight or fight on the part of the worker, anathy, or destructiveness, psychic redestructiveness, psychic re

Continued on page 6

AT WHOSE EXPENSE?

SOON after I started this series, I was reproached by a fellow syndicalist for allegedly having written off the working-class as a revolutionary instrument—this was because I said that it may well be that the working-class, certainly the white working-class, is no longer the most exploited stratum within our society. Homeless, gypsies, old age pensioners, prisoners. . . .

Two days later, in another journal, I read an article by a comrade who should know better, who took exception to the fact that I had said that many people earn livings at the expense of their fellow workers; on a misconstruction of which he then proceeded to build a farrago of nonsense which allegedly represented my views. It ought not to be necessary to prove either point since in both cases evidence lay in the article.

To the first, I started by instancing the police, whom he immediately said were not workers; but had I asked to define workers, he would have said all who are employed and work by hand or by brain for a boss. He said, after I had continued with prison officers, soldiers, munitions workers and others, that of course one must make up one's mind as to whom one considers workers, those who do not do anything useful or do harm being excluded. But if the definition of a worker is purely the subjective views of the anarchist movement, then quite frankly it bears no relationship to the traditional view of anarcho-syndicalists, and the term might well be better dropped.

Police, soldiers and so forth are, in point of fact, workers who earn their living at the expense of other workers; so too are those who advertize shoddy goods knowing them to be shoddy; those salesmen who talk people they know cannot afford goods into buying these, and so forth; those people who work for stockbrokers to play the market (indeed the stockbroker may well be employed); those who do the spying out that precedes take-over bids — often throwing other workers out of work.

The NAB official who refuses money to a hungry family is as much a worker as the kinder one who might give it (though, since he has a senior breathing down his neck, he may not), as are those who maintain the hostels for the homeless with King Hill-type conditions, and very often quite liberal-minded ones at that.

It is no condemnation of them personally to say they are caught up in the system, it is impossible not to be. I work now in a bookshop-though not for a fat salary by any definition. In all sorts of instances I see that the normal trade rooks the customer, and that my pay is therefore at the expense of others. Before this I worked at OXFAM, a less obnoxious way of earning one's crust than most, but since many of the policies of the top executives cut down possible efficiency for the sake of respectability, and since the radicals who resist this have to make compromises, to continue doing so even here one did things one knew were less efficient than they might be and therefore from OXFAM at the expense of one's fellow man.

Years ago there was a song about the man, the dreadful man, who watered the workers beer, no doubt a capitalist took the decision, but a worker no doubt did it or helped. Now perhaps this is peripheral, but adulteration is a commonplace, and many are employed in more positively harmful tasks. Cigarette workers understandably object to the publication of the full facts of the relationship between smoking and lung cancer, they continue to work at the expense of their fellow workers' health. Car workers favour the closing of public transport and the proliferation of more cars and more giant roads, destroying the food-producing fields of the country-side, they work at the expense of their fellows'

One cannot say this is their fault, but one must take cognizance of this fact and realize that in agitating for a changed society there are now enormous numbers of working-class vested interests in the preservation of the existing system.

The Revolutionary Left during the war assumed that Capitalism would be so exhausted from the war, would have so boosted production during the war beyond peacetime needs, and would have shown its defects to such an extent, that the war would be followed by fundamental worldwide social change. When all that did in fact follow, the war was an extension of Stalinist-tyranny into Europe and East Asia, and of social-democrat treachery in the West; many were immediately disillusioned, others

assumed that this was merely an interim stage and that the pseudo-socialists would soon dig their own grave, playing on the historical scene the same role as Kerensky.

This failed, and yet others were to leave the movement in disillusion, particularly since this was a period in which more facts became known of Stalinist concentration camps than had ever previously been known, particularly since the social-reformists were even more timid than they had been expected to be. It was at this time when revolutionaries first, in any numbers, began to look at the implications of such analysis of the new class society as those of Simone Weil, Bruno Rizzi, Ure; this was the time of Orwell's 1984, and of Macdonald's The Root is Man.

Many of those who did stay and were then to be found round the anarchist movement (and I confess I was one) were then prepared to be dogmatic that the Stalinist and Fascist regimes had been so able to condition their slaves that it was impossible to consider revolution as being again a viable concept in those countries. It was obvious that the whole tendency of state reformism was to mirror this in the West and, though undoubtedly we had not yet this degree of despotism, and undoubtedly there were in the West remnants of an older and less efficient (therefore less oppressive) class system, we nevertheless for the most part believed that the position would soon be

For these there was still, no doubt, reason to fight the state but the fight was defensive; we might quote Macdonald who, whereas Trotsky said that if there was a new ruling class one had to accept socialism had ended as an Utopia and confine oneself to minimal defensive demands, argued instead that no doubt we had ended as an Utopia—'so, what

was wrong with Utopia?—it was time to take another look at the ideas of the Utopians'. But those who were as optimistic as Macdonald in the first place were apt not to last the course and to slide over into liberalism. The rest of us, however much we may have scorned this—as all other theories of Trotsky's—were in fact not so far removed therefrom

Hungary changed this. There had, of course, been East Berlin, there had been Vorkuta, there was in the West Montgomery; but it was Hungary that once again put revolution back on the map.

No doubt there are still those who would wish to cut the revolutionary perspectives out of anarchism; the Permanent (lack of) Protest-ers 'ye have alway with you': but their view is as it was in the early days of the anarchist movement, just pessimist belief that revolutioners are inevitably captured by new elites (in so far as certain revolutionary techniques are not themselves egalitarian these do indeed promote new ruling classes); such preserves of the pessimist are by no means the same as Dwight Macdonald's carefully reasoned theories argued from novel factors observed in world-wide social developments.

Since Hungary we have seen in this country a remarkable upsurge of political militancy-whereas a writer in FREEDOM in 1956 could say students are, of course, not noted for their radicalism, no one would say this now. The anarchist movement now numbers more groups than it used to number members. and if any say that not all the groups are active, the reply is you should have seen the members. On the Bomb, on Apartheid, on the Homeless, on Land for the Gypsies, people have come to use DA and to popularize essentially anarchist means of struggle far outside the anar-LO. chist movement.



ALIENATION

Continued from page 5

Fromm then discusses alienation in relation to the manager. The manager, very much like the worker, deals with impersonal giants. And here Fromm introduces an important aspect of alienation in modern, 'mature' capitalism. That of bureaucratization. Both Big Business and government administration, he observes, are conducted by bureaucracyby bureaucrats. (Trade Union bureaucracy has already been mentioned.) 'Bureaucrats,' he continues, 'are specialists in the administration of things and of men.' Moreover, due to the bigness and centralization of the apparatus to be administered, the bureaucrats' relationship to people is one of complete alienation. Relationships are completely-or almost completely-impersonal. This is not due to some inherent wickedness of the bureaucrats. It is a symptom of the ineluctable development, and evolution, of capitalist society. 'Since the vastness of the organization and the extreme division of labour prevents any single individual from seeing the whole, since there is no organic, spontaneous cooperation between various individuals or groups within industry, the managing bureaucrats are necessary; without them the enterprise would collapse in a short time, since nobody would know the secret which makes it function.' In countries such as America or Britain, bureaucracy, although increasing all the time, is as yet not absolute. In Soviet Russia it is. And so has it been in Poland and Hungary. Absolute bureaucracy means absolute alienation.

Before leaving the concept of alienation in our society, Fromm touches upon the process of consumption. For consumption under industrial capitalism is as alienated as the productive process. In our society, unlike in previous ones, we acquire almost everything that we require for our sustenance through the medium of money. And, as Fromm points out, money means labour in abstract form. If a person has sufficient money, he can purchase anything he requires—whether he has worked for that money or not. In his view, the truly human way of acquiring a thing would be

through individual effort qualitatively commensurate with what a person needed.

What do we do with things after we have purchased them? Do we, in fact, even need much of what we have acquired?

Quite often we acquire things in order to just have them, to possess them, to own them, because it is 'the thing' statuswise. Society has conditioned us to 'want' a new car, TV, washing machine, suite of furniture, every year or so, not because the new is necessarily better than the one we already have (it usually isn't!), but because we are pressurised to demand it. Indeed, modern industrial capitalism is so organised that it would 'collapse' if we did not behave thus. As Vance Packard has so ably demonstrated in his Waste Makers and Hidden Persuaders, we are now slaves of the

Admen. Today, then, we consume as we produce, without any concrete relatedness to the objects with which we deal. All this results in a situation where we are never really satisfied. Our craving for consumption has lost all connection with our real needs. Consumption has become merely an end in itself; not a means to greater happiness. Consumption has become compulsive. Our gods today are no longer Jehovah or Allah but Ford or Hotpoint! Moreover, this alienated attitude toward consumption affects our whole existence. It increases our passivity. To a large extent, we have become 'watchers' and 'listeners' rather than 'doers'. We still 'do' things, make things, have hobbies; but in the main we 'consume' TV programmes, films, sport, newspapers and magazines, 'pop' art, even the countryside that we rush past at 70 m.p.h. Alienated consumption permeates our whole existence, resulting in total self-estrangement. In Fromm's view, this total alienation is inherent in the socio-economic structure of modern capitalism.

At about the same time that Fromm wrote The Sane Society Herbert Marcuse wrote Eros And Civilization. Although he does not explicitly say so, Marcuse attempts to read Marx into Freud. He wants to resurrect the 'explosive' aspects of Freud's theories, although if Freud were alive today he would not probably recognise his own theories as interpreted by Marcuse. Here, however, I am primarily concerned with his observations

on alienation rather than, say, the oedipus complex.

The performance principle (that is the prevailing historical form of the reality principle), which is that of an acquisitive and antagonistic society in the process of constant expansion, says Marcuse, presupposes a long development during which organised domination has been increasingly rationalised. Under such conditions, therefore, the mode and scope of satisfaction for the vast majority is determined by their own labour-but their labour is work for an apparatus which they do not control; which operates as an independent power to which individuals must submit And, says Marcuse, echoing Marx, 'it becomes the more alien the more specialised the division of labour becomes.' Indeed, 'Men do not live their own lives but perform preestablished functions. While they work, they do not fulfil their own needs and faculties but work in alienation. Work has now become general, and so have the restrictions placed upon the libido: labour time, which is the largest part of the individual's life time, is painful time, for alienated labour is absence of gratification, negation of the pleasure principle. Libido is diverted for socially useful performances in which the individual works for himself only in so far as he works for the apparatus, engaged in activities that mostly do not coincide with his own faculties and desires.'

Alienation of labour is almost complete, says Marcuse. The mechanics of the factory assembly line, the routine of the office, the 'ritual' of buying and selling, all are freed from any connection with real human potentialities. Work relations have become to a large extent relations between persons as exchangeable things, objects of scientific management and efficiency experts. Individuality is literally in name only. Even so-called competition tends to be reduced to prearranged varieties in producing gadgets, wrappings, flavours, or colours, he argues. 'Beneath this illusory surface, the whole work-world and its recreation have become a system of animate and inanimate things-all equally subject to administration.' Unfortunately, however, much of the individual's awareness of the prevailing domination and alienation is blunted by the manipulated restriction on his consciousness, of his Self. With this decline in genuine consciousness, with the control of information from above, with the absorption of the individual into mass communication, real knowledge is confined. Today, the average individual does not really know what is going on. It is Marcuse's argument that Man no longer realises himself in labour; that his life has become an instrument of this labour; that his work and its products have assumed a form and power independent of him as an individual. But the liberation from this state of affairs, he says, seems to require, not the arrest of alienation, but its consummation, not its reactivation, but its complete abolition. The more external to the individual the labour becomes, the less does it involve him in the realm of

All the technological progress of

modern society has not eliminated the necessity of alienated labour, says Marcuse. The necessity of working mechanically, unpleasurably, in a manner that does not represent individual self-realisation, still remains. Progressive alienation, however, does increase the potential of freedom.

of freedom. 'Relieved from the requirements of domination, the quantitative reduction in labour time and energy leads to a qualitative change in human existence: the free rather than the labour time determines its content. The expanding realm of freedom becomes truly a realm of play-of the free play of individual faculties. Thus liberated, they will generate new forms of realisation and of discovering the world, which in turn will reshape the realm of necessity, the struggle for existence. . . . To the degree to which the struggle for existence becomes co-operation for the free development and fulfilment of individual needs, repressive reason gives way to a new rationality of gratification in which reason and happiness converge,' says Marcuse optimistically.

In these brief notes I have attempted to convey the concept of alienation as viewed by Marx in the middle of the last century, and then by Fromm and Marcuse one hundred years after.

Marx brought Hegel 'down to earth' by locating alienation in the labour process; Fromm demonstrated how alienation in production led to powerlessness and a feeling of isolation; how individual relationships lead to a spirit of manipulation and instrumentality, and how alienated production also presupposes alienated consumption; and Marcuse, arguing that alienation of labour is almost complete, evidences how it permeates the whole of society by blunting and restricting our consciousness, and confining our real knowledge of society.

And society itself? What is it really like today?

It is still a capitalist society. It is still a society of conflicting and warring socioeconomic classes. But it is very much different from the laisser faire, everyman-for-himself capitalism of the 19th century. Competition, as Marcuse pointed out, has largely given way to prearranged varieties of the same. Indeed, productive forces have become ever larger. Production today is dominated by giant trusts and combines. One important aspect of alienation, mentioned particularly by Fromm, is bureaucratization. Society has become increasingly bureaucratic. This applies both to State and non-State institutions. The State, however, has become far more powerful in all countries. Here in Britain, for example, the State, national and local, accounts for over 40% of the Gross National Product and employs over 25% of the labour force. Administrationally, its tentacles spread far and wide, affecting all our lives.

How have these developments of increasing bureaucratization and deepening alienation affected the masses?

It has, as Fromm says, diminished and restricted initiative and responsibility. This we can see today in what we call the 'couldn't-care-less' attitude towards

work and society in general. Because of alienation, work has lost all meaning. Indeed, life itself has lost all meaning for the majority. So, today, very many people retreat into a kind of private dream world. Community life, particularly in big cities, has largely disappeared.

INCREASE IN STRIKES

All this, however, has not made people entirely passive. In Britain, for example, there has been an enormous increase in strikes in industry. These, however, are very much different than they were before the war. Previously, they were almost always official (that is before Trade Union officials became part of the Establishment), whilst now they are generally unofficial. They usually involved large numbers of workers and lasted a long time, sometimes months on end. Today, strikes generally involve small groups and often last only a day or less. Like society itself, they have become localised, fragmented - almost private affairs. 'The growing number of strikes in Britain,' says Cliff and Barker in Incomes Policy, Legislation and Shop Stewards, 'express the worker's rebellion against this subordination, this mutilation, limitation and alienation of his own creativity, only too clearly.' And: 'Even in strikes for monetary causes, the rebellion against the basic alienation is never far from the surface.' In the so-called Communist countries, we have seen much the same thing. Strikes and 'rebellion' are illegal in these 'Workers' States', but although less frequent, they occur-and are usually far more violent and explosive. However, as in the West, dissatisfaction and opposition to powerlessness, meaninglessness, estrangement, i.e. alienation, usually takes the form of apathy, lethargy and restlessness. An illustration from the Hungarian weekly Elet Es Irodalom (Life and Literature) shows what I mean. In the Csepel Iron and Metal Works, in 1964 alone, more than one-third (11,638) of the total number of workers employed left their job. And of those who left, 62% were under thirty years of age and had been working there less than one year. Said one writer to the paper hopefully: 'Probably somewhere else they'll treat me as a human being.

These, then, are just some of the aspects, some of the problems, of alienation in modern industrial society. Is there a solution? There is; and, of course, the simple one is: abolish our present society and establish a free society. But it is not quite as simple as that. People have become conditioned to our present way of life. To shout from a soapbox 'Overthrow the system!' is the negation of the very responsibility and freedom that we desire. Education for freedom, for a non-alienated society, will be a long and very difficult task; but I agree with Marcuse that probably progressive alienation itself will increase the potential for freedom. Unlike the 'scientific' socialists who claim that their Utopia is inevitable, I am, however, optimistic that we shall ultimately see a New Dawn. Despite the onward rush to '1984', history, I think, is on our side. I hope so!

nk, is on our side. I hope so PETER E. NEWELL.

NEWS FROM (practically) NOWHERE 'Thank God for the Press!'-Daily Mail

from anarchist groups. Activity is at a low ebb. Or, in true anarchist fashion, comrades keep all good news to themselves. Bad news reaches us fast enough which made an acquaintance remark: 'If I want a headache, I reach for my FREEDOM.'

ANARCHISTS AND THE COMMUNITY

Alistair Rattray writes from Chorley: How about this 'rave' notice in the Chorley (ultra-Tory) Guardian. Anyway, it indicates that we are beginning to

Public 'happenings' in the form of satirical dramatic sketches directed against the war in Vietnam; demonstrations against the bad conditions in schools and the use of corporal punishment; distribution of anarchist literature and anti-Spain leaflets in the town.

These and other forms of peace activities may be held in Chorley within the next few weeks by the Chorley Anarchist Group which held their first 'action meeting' on Wednesday. Many and varied ideas, all aimed at making Chorley people aware of this group and its aims, were discussed at the meeting.

aware of this group and the at the meeting.

It was decided that the group will begin their first active campaigning in the town in two weeks, when they plan to sell copies of 'Freedom', the anarchist weekly newspaper on Saturday

There was also a lengthy discussion on the possibility of opening an adventure playground in Chorley if a site could be found. This would be the anarchists gesture towards the people of Chorley to show that their aim was essentially to serve the community.

MEETING IN BELFAST

Belfast comrades have written to say that they are considering holding a meeting in Belfast of all those in Ireland interested in anarchism. They know of people in Bangor, Derry, Newry, Armagh, Cork and Newcastle and some of them are going to Dublin to meet a newly-formed group. All those interested should write to Roy McLoughlin, 46 Mooreland Park, Belfast, 11.

RICHMOND COMMUNITY WORKSHOP

Pete Polish writes: 'The proposed Richmond Community Workshop has its origins in the need for a radical social change. The themes, which have been discussed in the context of community organisation, have included the increasing powerlessness experienced by the majority of people in influencing government policies, the need for greater individual responsibility, the inability of party politics to deal with the major issues of our time and the decreasing quality of life in an urban and technological society.' He is writing a full account for the next Freedom.

BLACK AND RED GUARD

Harlow anarchists are now circulating a newsletter for West Essex and East Herts readers.

REVOLUTIONARY FOLK

Graham Moss writes: 'Recently an effort was made in an article in FREEDOM to put more revolution into folk songs.

At the General Picton pub, in Caledonian Road, N.1, an endeavour is being made to run a folk club on (businesswise) a Syndicalist basis. Directly involved are three anarchists and an Italian sympathiser. If you would pass it on to anyone who might find this useful, especially singers, I'd be grateful. We are open every Wednesday night. Admission is 4/- at the moment but we wish to reduce this soon.

HELP WANTED

The non-aligned peace activists in Scotland need further funds for demonstrations against the British Polaris

Introduce Your Friends to FREEDOM

SIX SHILLINGS EACH WILL BRING THEM 'FREEDOM' AND 'ANARCHY' FOR TWO MONTHS WITH YOUR COMPLIMENTS.

Subscription Rates

FREEDOM only (per year) £1 10s. (\$4.50) surface mail £2 16s. (\$8.00) airmail

ANARCHY only £1 6s. (\$3.50) surface mail £2 7s. (\$7.00) airmail

COMBINED SUBSCRIPTION FREEDOM & ANARCHY (per year) £2 10s. (\$7.50) surface mail both

£4 15s. (\$12.50) airmail both FREEDOM (airmail) &

ANARCHY (seamail) £3 17s. (\$10.50) (per year)

VERY LITTLE NEWS this month system. In late June they are planning a return march to Coulport with twoday-camp-cum-weekend-school on the beach. On Hiroshima day there will be a prolonged fast at Ardadnam Pier with distribution of an Anti-Vietnam War leaflet written by an U.S. Sergeant. They want to raise £25 by March 1, so that they can organise the activities properly. All communications to Alan Parker, 40 Murano Street, Maryhill, Glasgow, N.W. The letter to us was headed by a delightful drawing of the campfire by the Coulport base and was signed by George Williamson, Walter Weir, David Young and Alan Parker.

AND IN SICILY

Danilo Dolci has written to us asking to publicise a march he is organising (March 5-11), through Western Sicily against the poverty that exists there. He would welcome anarchist friends to march with him all the way. He would appreciate messages of solidarity or 'simultaneous action linked up in some way with our demonstration'. Danilo Dolci's address is Centro studie iniziative, Portinico (Palermo), Italy.

DEATH OF WHO?

We received a beautifully printed black edged card from our crazy friends in Strasbourg University 'that they announce with deep regret the death of Guy Debord as far as the International Situationists are concerned'. We think he is alive but hiding in Argentina.

Copenacre

THE MEETING HELD in Bath on Saturday, February 18 (convened by the National Committee of the Committee of 100) was reasonably well attended, especially by people from Bath and Bristol. Laurens Otter was in the

There was unanimous agreement that a demonstration at Copenacre should take place. The latest information is that the planning and development of Polaris has recently been moved to Copenacre from Bristol and that Copenacre is also

The immediate problem was timing. With only five weeks left to Easter, and a good deal of work to do to make the demonstration a success, there was a strong case for having it at Whit instead. If it was at Whit there would then be no unnecessary conflict with the Aldermaston March, planned by the London Committee of 100 for Easter, and there would be an opportunity for doing something in the meantime to start the buildup process in Corsham, the town that is in the centre of the military complex. To have it at Whit was agreed.

The Copenacre Action Group was constituted by the meeting and it was decided to have only a preliminary, small scale, locally based one-day action on Good Friday. This will start from the field on Pickwick Road at 10 a.m. and will involve leafletting the whole town to explain the meaning of the Whitsun demonstration, holding an open air meeting outside the local church at the end of the morning service and various other activities. This is envisaged as being undertaken by up to 50 people mostly from the West Country. The contact addresses of the new group are as follows: Tony Allwright (and teve Davis), 105 Ashley Road, Bristol 6; Andrew Ross (and Janet Burns), 31 Englishcombe Lane, Bath; Peter Cadogan and David McLellan, 13 Goodwin Street, London, N.4.

DEATH AT SEA

OWNERS' Association, is a man with

a natural turn of wit, and he has gone on

record that 'People* do get swept over-

board, but they often get swept back

again'. But ignoring Cox's odd approach

to this for the harsh realities of profit

and loss, and one is faced with the fact

that many a skipper is said to be earn-

ing over £10,000 a year, which to my

primitive mathematical reasoning works

out at £1 a corpse, and the owners state

that an eighteen-hour day is not adverse

to the crew because they are making

money, too, but though extra work on a

big catch may supplement a seaman's

earnings, the seamen claim that the figure

of £30 IN A GOOD SEASON is an

At Hull this year they are already

mourning the death of 12 seamen on

board the St. Finbarr that caught fire and

sank off Newfoundland on Christmas Day

and no graves will mark the land for

the men, even at the moment of their

PETER CADOGAN.

Continued from page 8

THE MORNING STAR in mentioning that Stuart Christie had passed his Advanced Level General Certificate of Education examination in history said that Christie was serving a four-year sentence—nought for history—the sentence is still twenty years. Hugh Delargy of the Sunday Citizen referring to the same event says, Splendid! May he soon leave a Spanish prison for a British university.' I can remember Mr. Delargy making less complimentary references to anarchists. Mr. Delargy goes on, In British prisons about 1,500 prisoners were sleeping two and 7,000 three in a cell. Fat chance they have of passing "A" levels."

JIM GARRISON, District Attorney of New Orleans (no doubt hell-bent on re-election), boasted that arrests will be made in New Orleans in connection with Kennedy's assassination. Now he tells us he has been converted from believing in the Warren Commission report. 'We already have the names of the people in the initial plot. We are not wasting our time and we will prove it. Arrests will be made, charges will be filed and convictions will be obtained.' He did not deny a report that his office was hunting 'a big and dangerous' 26-year-old Cuban known to have lived near Oswald in New Orleans. . . .

REFERRING TO AN ALLEGEDLY non-existent incident at Caxton Hall, on November 17 last, the American Trotskvist journal Spartacist makes great play with Healy's reference to 'allowing passengers alighting from coaches to enter the Hall without being obstructed. They comment in fine style: 'This grotesque legal language only serves to point up the hypocrisy of a man claiming to be a proletarian revolutionary leader using such a law-from the period when lords and ladies descending from their coaches had the right to smash beggars, petitioners, children and anyone else in their way-against another member of the labour movement.' Come down off that peroration comradeeditor! To set the record straight 'coaches', in this context is merely an English word for 'buses'. . . .

COMRADE ERNEST TATE, the allegedly ci-devant, quasi-victim of a so-called assault, contributes his letter on the imagined event and repeats his asking for full support from all people on the left at the next public meeting of the SLL. For the record, the Irish Militant were there, Ernie Tate was there, so were Freedom sellers but where were the Morning Star, Peace News, Tribune and the Socialist Leader? Bus-loads of young SLL-ers were brought in, but FREEDOM editors were denied admission to the meeting. In Newsletter (for August 20) the Political Committee of the SLL stated, 'We shall not hesitate to deal appropriately with the handful of United Secretariat agents who hawk it [the offending pamphlet] around the cynical fake-left in England.' . . .

COMRADE KOSYGIN and Comrade Wilson did at least one useful deal, at the expense of Latvians, Lithuanians and Esthonians. Britain will pay half-amillion over to Russia from the blocked gold reserves of the three republics, which were invaded by Russia in 1939. The other five and a half million pounds will be used to meet claims of British nationals who hold bonds on Esthonia, Riga or Vilna. Mr. George Brown was heard to say something about recognizing the Oder-Neisse line but this was a misunderstanding. The Editor of the Morning Star, interviewed on the BBC, welcomed Kosygin's proposal of a Treaty of Friendship with Britain. The BBC interviewer questioned the usefulness and

permanence of such pacts citing the Ribbentrop-Stalin pact as an example. The Editor refused to be drawn and the question did not appear in the Morning

THE TAILOR AND CUTTER magazine complained about the decision of Buckingham Palace to wear lounge-suits when entertaining Mr. Kosygin. 'It is ironic,' they say, 'that the British monarchy should be publicly exposed to what history may regard as the last symbolic step in the victory of the proletarian revolution.' Furthermore the magazine claims that Mr. Kosygin dined in a shiny mohair suit-one of the wryest strokes of sartorial one-upmanship we've seen for years-which was only removed from a real dinner-jacket by the absence of silk facing on the jacket and a couple of stripes down the trousers.' . . .

BYRON DE LA BECKWITH, unsuccessfully accused in 1963 of the murder of Medgar Evers is standing for Lieutenant - Governor of Mississippi. District Attorney Bill Waller who prosecuted Beckwith is standing for Governor. Both are Democrats. Beckwith is on bail pending a third trial, for which no plans have been announced. . . . WRITING TO The Times (where else?) the wife of an Oxford don described keeping a ferret as a pet. 'She loved the sound of music, and in the evenings would climb up on my husband's knee and on to his shoulder. Sitting there, sometimes for an hour or more, with a rapt expression on her small face, she would listen to him playing the spinet; only to nip his ear sharply whenever he stopped. So often, curious simple things in life can give us the greatest pleasure, and the friendship bestowed by a ferret is certainly one of these.'

JON QUIXOTE.

I am tired of making excuses for Elizabeth Windsor

Dear Comrades.

I am whole-heartedly in support of Robert Halstead's loter (28.1.67) asking for some strong protest about the

In general it is government rather than the monarchy that we want to hit out at. At most demonstrations it is assumed that people are protesting against the government's policies whilst in fact half of them are protesting against

I feel that one of the best ways to strike at the whole system of British government is to hit where they feel itthe monarchy. It has long been felt by many that anarchists often are not even rebels because they never make any serious attempt to topple the crown.

I am sure there are many who would be willing to come and demonstrate and, I think, we could be quite successful, and why be quiet about it? I think we should go and demonstrate outside Buckingham Palace at the queen, so that they will know that anarchists really exist.

Yours fraternally,

An Uncertain Smile

Dear Comrades,

Mr. Kosygin was welcomed into Glasgow by two large paper banners and several posters made by me for Glasgow Youth Against the Bomb Group-which,

lovely legends of the sea. Yet we can-

not expect men to risk death or mutila-

tion that we may eat of the fish of the

sea and death in abstract may pander to

the romantic within all but the dullest

among us, but to the drowning man it

is still death, cold, wet and evil, and all

for a boardroom profit. For let there

be no mistake about this, the Norwegians

have enforced the use of protections of

winches and the heightening of the ships'

railings and their figures for dead sea-

men is 6 per 10,000 compared to the

In that owners own the ships, it is

only just that the last words should be

given to Lionel Cox, Secretary, to give

him his full title, of the Hull Fishing

Vessel OWNERS' Association and the

Hull Fishing Industry Association who,

speaking on the problems of recruitment, said, 'We get a lot of boys who

are attracted by an advertisement in a

careers book, but there is a tendency for

LUMPENPROLETARIAT.

them to leave after a couple of trips.

Hull rate of 9.3 per 10,000.

LETTERS

according to the Scottish Sunday Express, took the smile off his face.

As an exercise in communications it was pretty good, as the Express states: The banners of Nuclear Disarmers mushroomed above the crowd. They read "Scotland will die when Kosygin's bombs hit the Polaris bases . . . Welcome to your No. 1 target area Mr. Kosygin."

his aides translated the messages.' Most of us thought we made our

point, which was replicated in many newspapers.

Yours fraternally, Glasgow, N.W. ALAN A. PURBEN.

Foulness

The Editors,

The East London Committee of 100 is proposing a two-day, weekend, march, in the near future, to the military installation on Foulness Island, near Southend, for the purpose of reclaiming it for peaceful purposes.

At the present time, a permit is re- 80 Marten Road, London, E.17

quired to enter the island and the population virtually live under a state of martial law. It will be remembered that the Christian Committee of 100 demonstrated there two years ago because the church is behind barbed wire and a permit is required to go to church.

We should like to hear from all those groups and individuals who will be willing to join us in this action.

Yours sincerely, ROGER SUTTON. (Convener, East London Committee of 100.) He smiled and waved until one of 72 Lawns Way, Romford, Essex

Holiday Camp

Dear Comrades,

My leaflet about the holiday I am organising at a small seaside camp in Kent was enclosed in many copies of FREEDOM. Briefly, booking is for either or both of two weeks beginning September 2 and September 9 and costs (full board) approx. £8 10s. per week, less for children, coach laid on from Victoria £1 return, 10/- children. If you want all the gen, send me a 4d, stamp. Please hurry all those interested.

BRONIA McDONALD.

Through the Anarchist Press

AMERICA-RUSSIA

T will be remembered that some weeks ago the US announced selected details of the Nike-X, a missile designed for the defence of their major cities, and that the equally peace-loving Russians countered this with the news that Moscow and Leningrad were already protected by systems using similar missiles. At a time of increasing world hunger, the estimated cost to each side for complete systems covering all important cities is 50,000,000,000 dollars.

IVORY COAST

The press doubtless tends, from time to time, to exaggerate the situation in some of the 'emerging' African states, but it would seem that strange views are held by some African politicians on the subject of democracy and individual rights generally. France Eurafrique for November 1966 offers the following statements by M. Philippe Yacé, President of the Ivory Coast's Chamber of Deputies:

'Although in theory our constitution allows for several political parties, the people of the Ivory Coast and their leaders have in fact instituted a single party, as we feel that we do not have the right to divide ourselves.'

'A vote is the expression of a choice, of an opinion, and as such implies a degree of commitment; seen from this point of view, a vote inevitably leads to dangerous outbursts of feeling. . . . On

November 7 the people's vote did not involve any kind of choice, since for them there is but one President of the Republic, President Houphouët; it was simply a question of letting the President know that now, and always, he can count on their loyal support.'

JOHN THURSTON.

WEEK 7, FEBRUARY 18, 1967: Expenses: 7 weeks at £90: Income: Sales and Subs.:

> DEFICIT: £201

£429

£42 17 9

£148 6 8

Surrey: P.C. 10/6; Libertaria: Anarch. 5/-; Upopia: M.K.W. 5/-; Freedomville: J.O.T. 5/-; Fraternaland: Pax 5/-; London, S.W.2: B.L. 14/-; London, N.W.6: N.B. £3; Wolverhampton: J.K.W.* 2/-; J.L.* 3/-; Peterborough: F.W. 2/6; Sheffield: Anon £1/1/-; Leeds: D.S. 1/3; Solihull: G.H. 6/6; Cheltenham: L.G.W.*

10/-; Manchester: D.P. 7/-; Anon: Special

donation to 8-page issue £35. TOTAL: Previously Acknowledged:

1967 Total to Date: £191 4 5

*Denotes regular contributor.

death, became part of the harsh and Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1. Published by Freedom Press, 17a Maxwell Road, London, S.W.6.

exaggeration.

The Creeping Paralysis

forward with its plans of controlling incomes. Of course, ostensibly this is being done in consultation with the TUC and CBI, but in fact the Government is playing at dutch auctions, allowing outrageous proposals to leak out so that their intended proposals do not appear too bad.

The Prices and Incomes Board, under the chairmanship of Aubrey Jones, is to have more power; there will be no back-dating of wage rises, and prices and incomes increases

can be delayed for one year.

As was to be expected Part 4 will not be renewed after the expiring date on August 11. Part 2 of the Act is to be implemented. The PIB will be the sole arbiter of any increase and any additional delay. The Government will not initiate delay without reference to the Board,

Contact Column

This column exists for mutual aid. Donations towards cost of typesetting will be welcome.

Anarchy. Will exchange copies of 2 and 33 for 37 and 38. Box 48

Abolition of Royalty. Individual Action being discussed now in all parts of the country and abroad. For details of meetings apply Box 49.

Badges. 'Free Stuart Christie—Franco's Prisoner', 3/6 for six (including postage), minimum order. Proceeds to new campaign expenses. to John Rety, c/o Freedom Press.

Who wants the Easter meeting? £8 10s. was raised in December towards the cost of an indoor anarchist propaganda meeting; to be held in London on Easter Sunday (cost of last year's meeting: about £20). The group who organized the fund-raising now find themselves unable to organize the meeting. Anyone undertaking this work should apply to Donald Rooum for the £8 10s., which will otherwise be given to Freedom Press. D.R., 13 Savernake Road, N.W.3.

East London Committee of 100. Social Evening. Friday, March 3, 7.30 p.m. Downs Hotel, Downs Road, Clapton, London, E.5. Admission 3/-.

House or Apartment wanted for July. American comrades (4) need house or flat to rent for month of July.

Speakers. Harlow Anarchists intend to run a series of public meetings and indoor forums. Comrades willing to speak on anarchistic topics will be paid expenses if necessary. Please contact the Harlow Group (see groups column) with offers or suggestions

Conference on 'Race Toward Tolerance'. Islington International Friendship Council. Speakers: David Pitt; Eric Silver of the Guardian; Mrs. Shirley Williams, Chairman: James Cameron 2.30 p.m. Saturday, February 25, 1967. Islington Town Hall, Upper Street, London, N.1. Refreshments and collection.

Voluntary Editorial Secretary. Wanted for FREEDOM editors. Two evenings a week. Fridays and Mondays. Shorthand not essential but own typewriter desirable. Write Freedom Press.

Christian Anarchists wishing to meet other Anarchist or Libertarian Christians please write to Carl Pinel, c/o West Suffolk General Hospital,

Hospital Road, Bury St. Edmunds.

Pageant. PPU Pageant. 'Tools for Life or Weapons for Death'. Hanley, Stoke on Trent, February 25.

Assemble Bethseda Methodist Hall, off Broad Street 2 pm. Support off Broad Street, 2 p.m. Supper party/peace concert. 8 p.m. Keele

Lecture (in Spanish). 'Two Literary Lectures'. J. C. Pradas, Sunday, March 5 at 3.30 p.m. Lecture Room, Royal Hotel, Woburn Place, W.C.1.

although such a reference would mean a four-month delay anyway. By the time one has been through the process of protracted negotiations with the employers, have it referred to the PIB, who could recommend an extended delay, the original increase negotiated could work out at about twopence.

The necessary legislation envisaged will be limited to 12 months, and is not framed so that it can be repeated. This is pure window dressing, Parliament is supreme and can do what it likes, therefore fresh legislation having the same effect, could easily be introduced.

The 'no back-dating' is a real knife-stabber. Back-dating to the expiring date of the last agreement, is one of the main features of collective bargaining which forced the employers to make progress during wage negotiations. Now they will be able to take as long as they like, knowing full well that an increase can only commence when all and sundry have given it the 'once over'.

The Confederation of British Industry welcomes the delay appertaining to wages, but is upset at the possibility of the PIB, in fact, recommending price cuts. John Davies, Director General of the Con-John federation, stated, 'This is a brand new and very disagreeable concept'.

The TUC General Council when it

meets on Wednesday will discuss the Government proposals and decide on its recommendations for the meeting of all union March 2 executives.

The T & GWU is expected to lead the opposition to the Government proposals at both meetings, with what success is anyone's guess, although Jack Jones, Assistant General Secretary of T & GWU, may have cemented some support for the Government, when he was reported as saying that if the TUC supported the Government plan the T & GWU might have to consider disaffiliating from the TUC. This august body may be open to gentle persuasion but to hell with being blackmailed, particularly by Frank

The outlook for the people of this country looks pretty sick. The State is daily increasing its hold over the lives of people. The basis is lives of people. The basis is gradually being laid for the establishment of a corporate state, our Duce is far more subtle than Mussolini ever knew how to be. Our State intervention is like a creeping paralysis, introduced with the hand over the heart and a smile, but, nevertheless, just as paralysing. If we don't wake up real soon we will wonder what the bloody hell has hit us.

BILL CHRISTOPHER.

A Potential Power

IT IS STRANGE how the traditional left wing clings to the lobbying of Parliament as a means of correcting a grievance. Many admit it is a waste of time and those who queue up, fill in the request form and, if their MP is there, finally express their grievance, often come away with the feeling that they have achieved very little. This is the general impression that one gets from those who have carried out the lobbying exercise. Yet once again workers are being called upon to lobby their MPs.

This lobby, on Tuesday, concerns a campaign adopted at the National Conference last December for the 'Defence of our Trade Unions' (see Freedom, 17.12.66). Many who attended the conference belonged to various left-wing political organisations and consequently the campaign adopted was one of compromise. In the 'Declarations of Purpose of the conference, delegates pledged a 'National Week of Protest and Campaign, according to local circumstances' and leading to Mass Demonstrations or Lobby to Parliament, TUC and, by its members, to their Trade Union head

This is a very tame way of starting a week of protest, unless something else happens on Tuesday, and even The Week, a strong supporter of Labour's left, is getting apologetic for the failure of those MPs to act against the Government's income policies. They There have been a number of lobbies of Parliament and the point must be crossing people's minds as to what good these demonstrations do. We will say quite bluntly that if the lobbies stop short at the point of merely advertising how best Labour can save the capitalist economy they are no good at all. If however they have as their aim the stimulation of struggle it is another matter.

Chatting to your MP is, I should think. the last thing to stimulate struggle, unless it is with the MP concerned. Lobbying these MPs can only contain the struggle in that some become very disillusioned. The Week is also very worried because many have blamed the 'traditional left leadership' for the lack of resistance to the Government's policies. They apologise and say: But we must qualify this by saying that many have supported the Government or have been slow to resist it for good intentions. We do not believe that every MP, every trade union

There has been very little resistance to the incomes policy. What has come has been from small isolated groups who have successfully gained their claims. Obviously it can be done, if workers are determined and take the necessary action. However, there is more to it than winning a pay increase. There is the question of control by the Trade Union Congress and their relations with the Government. centralised control becomes a reality, then what little say trade unionists now have in policy decisions will be lost.

This trend of centralisation of more control from the top is one that should be reversed. Even the second action, of a lobby of trade union executives at the Central Hall, on March 2, indicates that some think that they can influence them. A demonstration of our contempt would be more appropriate. The third action of a demonstration and march from Speakers Corner to Trafalgar Square on Sunday, March 12, at 2.30 p.m., is a far better way of expressing opposition to Government policies.

While our voice and influence may be small among trade unionists, many are seeing the futility of changing or appealing to the leadership. Workers are forming their own organisations in their industries and localities to fight against the effects of Government policies and the drift towards more State intervention which accompanies these.

The potential power within a rank and file organisation would be very strong, not only strong enough to defeat the policies of the Government, but also to effect a radical change in our society. After all, we have to go further than defeating the Government's intentions, for whichever one is in power, it will assist the capitalist system to exploit and control the vast majority of people. We know that this exploitation is not so obviously oppressive as in the last century, but is more subtle, and affects our whole environment.

If from the present struggle workers turn to a direct approach in preference to the usual constitutional methods, then as aparet in the should welcome then as anarchists we should welcome this. To a certain extent the nature of this struggle will force workers to take this action. It is important that our ideas have a platform in this struggle and that we play our part.

For Workers' Control

FEBRUARY 25 1967 Vol 28 No 6

Myton's Site Stays Idle

has been in dispute for 17 weeks. The men were locked out after taking strike action when the management sacked three steel fixers.

Two union executives (the Transport and General Workers' Union and the Amalgamated Union of Building Trade Workers), gave their support, while other unions, notably the Amalgamated Society of Woodworkers, backed it at district level. The Executive of this union has been very vicious in its attempts to break their members who have continued to picket the site. They even sent a circular to union branches saying that members should not give money to appeals from the lock-out committee. As far as the ASW executive was concerned, they had no dispute with the management and said the dispute was one of rivalry between the T & GWU and the AUBTW.

Now the National Federation of Build-

ing Trades Operatives has reached an agreement with the management, without consulting the lads, that the site can be reopened without the reinstatement of stewards. Lou Lewis, the ASW Federation Steward and one of the six. said: 'This is our reward for sticking to trade union principles. Our reward for listening to the NFBTO is victimisation.

This agreement allows Mytons to start men this Monday (today), another 50 the week after and then if the site has progressed satisfactorily, make the job up to full strength the following week. Eaton, Regional Secretary of the NFBTO said the agreement allowed the six stewards a chance to use the dis-putes machinery and during the three weeks this would take, Mytons would pay them their wages. However he did not mention that it is the same cronies who reached the above agreement that would be sitting on the disputes panel, so they would not have a snowball's chance in hell of winning.

Following the sending out of letters by Mytons to employees, telling them to return to work on Monday, a mass picket was organised. Lads from other

sites on the Barbican voted to clock-in an hour late so that they could give their support and other jobs in London sent delegates. When the men turned up for work they were met by a mass picket, but this was not really needed, for those returning soon made it clear that they not start back without their stewards.

At a meeting of Myton lads, at which Len Eaton tried to justify the agreement, but was verbally torn to pieces, they voted to stay outside the gate. Then a full meeting was held at which the Federation Steward, Lou Lewis, reported the decision to the other pickets. He said they were determined to beat Mytons and if neccessary would oppose those union officials who were willing to sacrifice the stewards. He explained that the agreement also meant a 10% reduction in bonus earnings and a site negotiating procedure which would hamstring the stewards by bringing in national union officials. He said they were determined that the site would open on their terms and that they would say what price Mytons would have to pay for completion.

Now this struggle enters a new phase in their national officials and the manage-ment. Whether these union officials will escort 'scabs' into the site remains to be seen, but it seems certain that by today's vote none of Mytons men will go back without their stewards. Many have had a hard time since the lock-out started, having worked for five or six companies earning low wages. After this experience they have come to recognise the benefits of a well-organised union site.

The other sites on the Barbican have pledged further support if it is needed If the site remains idle for another two or three weeks, there is a good chance that, due to pressure for completion, Mytons will give in and take back the six stewards.

Readers can help financially by sending money to A. D. Leadbetter, 9 Wells House, Rosebery Avenue, London, E.C.1.

DEATH AT SEA

WE are an island race born to the poetry of Kipling and Masefield and death and the sea have long been part of our cultural heritage. It has part of our cultural heritage. been our cathartic and our escape, both in reality and in fantasy, from the brute world of factory and office. We hear of the dead at sea offly in retrospect when their bodies have long been lowered into the grey and unmapped waters and their human screams have mingled with the vagrant winds and we have accepted the mutilated seamen as a welcomed persona from an odyssey that was old before the Romans stepped upon these shores.

But in this age of computers, television and multi-coloured statistics, the small and lonely ship creeping along the horizon to ports that poets have loved to log becomes for us all the floating slum that the underpaid and overworked crews have long accepted as their normal working life.

In 1965 Professor Schilling of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine carried out his own analysis of the national average death rate in the fishing industry and found that it was over twice the figure for coal miners. Schilling's figure was 9.3 per 10,000 men

which, in human terms, means that of the 2,500 fishermen at Hull, two will be killed while working each and every year. Seamen at Hull have already voiced their protests that their's is an occupation that leads to more injuries and loss of life than in almost any other industry and the pamphlet written by three students of Hull University and published by the Socialist *Humberside Voice* has the blessing of the TGWU and the local Labour Party.

The seamen's claims of graft, black mail, greed and gross negligence may not be easy to prove, as so many of us know to our cost when we have to examine our own industries, but when men stand before you with missing fingers, arms or hands, then the evidence is there by sheer non weight of physical The pamphlet claims that elementary safety precautions are too often absent such as winches are insufficiently protected and regularly caus maimed hands, loss of fingers, etc.; th trawler's rail is usually so low as to not to prevent men being washed overboare Lionel Cox, who beside being the secretary of the Hull Fishing Vesse Continued on page

If you wish to make contact let us know.