he Motor Age - 2, Roads - p. 3

O Readers with Something to Say - p. 4



"Government is not reason, it is not eloquence-it is force."

—GEORGE WASHINGTON.

19, No. 13

March 29th, 1958

Threepence

With a World Depression Round the Corner -CAPITALISM

last Thursday week's Manches-Guardian the Financial ediis explaining that there was a al lack of interest in the City the bank-rate" and this made think that the authorities may find it harmless to bring it It was almost as if the ellor and the directors of the of England were "tuned in" Financial editor as he wrote, and behold on the Thursday ank rate was reduced from 7 per cent! And in Friday ing's Manchester Guardian the cial editor unmoved by his etic remarks of the previous lryly and modestly writes: "It ot exactly a surprise that the rate was reduced . . ! though City people may have expected aditional climb down in steps per cent., and the decision have been taken on any sday in the next few weeks".

would appear that the point in ing the bank rate is that it can at a later date be raised! ig, you see, has its good season s bad season, and in the Spring ust get ready for the Autumn Is that dampen Sterling's spring As the Financial editor saying the day before:

ion is becoming fairly urgent bethe Bank may need the weapon sudden rise in the rate once again autumn. Some time before mider, when sterling enters its unfavseason, the rate should have ed back to 5 per cent, or so. That loading a gun for the next time might want to use it. The diffiis that too many eyes may be hing for a sign to start moving d. Personal purchases, wage claims, capital expenditure might all be ulated if a cut in the rate was taken a green light.

BE that as it may, the fact remains that however successfully the financial machine can be trimmed by the raising or lowering of the bank rate, for millions of working people throughout the world the gun has fired as they find themselves joining the dole queues for the first time since the war started nearly 20

years ago. In the United States the number of workless continues to soar. (Only the State Division of Employment has vacancies, and last month there were 1,291 more people working for it than last September dealing with the ever-increasing number of applicants for unemployment insurance). And in this country in spite of the Government's jubilation over the February Trade figures which showed only a small gap between exports and imports, as well as an appreciable increase in dollar exports, no one swallows the bait and thinks that the future is rosy or that the February trick can necessarily be repeated.

The international repercussions to the American trade recession cannot be under-estimated; after all they are clearly visible. There is already a major slump in shipping; the prices of raw materials are dropping (a good thing some will say, but in the financial system under which we live the advantages to the industrial nations are offset by a decrease in demand for their goods by the countries whose purchasing power is directly controlled by the prices their raw materials fetch in world markets); stocks of unsold raw materials and manufactured goods are building up (in spite of the fact that production dropped last year in some industrial countries, notably in the United States by 6%, and is dropping even more this year).

But this is only the beginning, for the world has not yet felt the direct

Common Wealth?

For stealing an ear of corn from a field—a flogging.

This is the law now in Southern

Rhodesia, where subsidised crops are rotting for want of a buyer.

It is one of a series of minor crimes for which Southern Rhodesian M.P.s this week made flogging the punishment.

The floggings-with a four-foot cane not less than half an inch thick-are ordered under the new Magistrates' Court Amendment Bill.

It makes Southern Rhodesia the only country in the Commonwealth to extend flogging to minor offences not involving violence or indecency

Sunday Express 9/3/58.

impact of the American recession. The government is patting itself on the back over the record number of cars exported this year (thanks to the significant American demand for small cars), and will probably be still scratching its head over problems of inflation and when to fire that bank rate gun, when it will be swept off its feet by the wave of American depression! How will the government

deal with the new situation?
According to Professor James Meade* in the first of his two articles which appeared recently in the Manchester Guardian the only alternative to an intensification of disinflationary measures "in order to cope with a renewed pressure on our balance of payments" is a policy of reflation, which he has "no hesitation' in ad-

*Professor of Political Economy at Cambridge, and one-time economic adviser to the Government.

the growth of unemployment. In which case two questions have to be answered:

By what means are we going to reflate domestic demand? And having done so, how shall we deal with our balanceof-payments problems?

"For the rapid and extensive stimulation of money" he considers it of little use relying upon the old-fashioned remedy of "Public fashioned remedy of works", for such programmes require considerable preparation and planning in advance and considerable time for their execution. "It is not possible to start them (or when the appropriate moment comes, to stop them) with sufficient speed." As the Professor sees it:

The only promptly effective measure would be a reduction of taxation, leaving the public with larger tax-free incomes

And he suggests that the simplest way, both legally and actuarially, to operate this would be through the National Insurance contributions which the Treasury has the powers to vary. † by reducing these promptly the money would then "pour out through the weekly pay packet, a large and widespread supplement to the citizen's purchasing power"

(You see reader-or rather, we assume you don't see!—that the problem after all is not that too much money is chasing too few goods, but the reverse: that we are producing too many goods for the money available to buy them and that's why the goods are mounting up, and unless they can dispose of them it's no use producing more, so factories must close down, and mines declared uneconomic, and farmers paid not to cultivate their land. As a result of the unemployment that follows, people will have even less money to spend than they have at present, which means they will buy even less; and that will mean that more factories will close down, and so on. See? Crazy,

As to the second of the Professor's questions "how shall we deal with our balance-of-payments problems" he replies:

In these circumstances there are only two ways in which we could, unaided, meet any strain on our balance of pay-

Continued on p. 3

†The Professor considers that there are "grave, political, administrative difficul-ties in altering ordinary rates of taxation promptly and frequently". On the other hand "The National Insurance contribution provides a fiscal instrument which can be put up and down at any time, like bank rate."

NUCLEAR POLITICS Open Season for Peace

TO judge from the veritable smoke-screen of speeches and statements from the better known politicians it might almost be supposed that the absurdly small minority of pacifists and others of similar mind were about to swing public opinion in their direction.

Suddenly it has occurred to Mr. Macmillan that it is necessary for him to attempt a rationalization of his nuclear deterrent policy. According to the Prime Minister it is not the possession of weapons that is immoral, but the use of them, whether conventional or nuclear, for aggressive purposes. "The preservation of peace", he says, "depends on the determination to deter, clearly and unambiguously stated. It also depends on efficient weapons

Whlist he respects the pacifist view he cannot share it, nor in his view is it likely to be upheld by any Government formed in this country. Although some held that unilate-

and efficient means of delivery."

ral disarmament and reliance on America was a practical proposition there could be no moral value in that-it would lead to a diminution of British influence. "A gesture may sometimes be magnificent, but it is seldom a policy.'

"I want summit talks," said the Prime Minister. "But I want any talks we have with the Soviet leaders to achieve some real success.'

Mr. Duncan Sandys, the Minister of Defence has the right ideas too. "In the long run nothing less than comprehensive disarmament makes sense. "But . . . " (the comprehensive but), "provided that it does not upset the delicate balance of power upon which the peace of the world now rests.'

Mr. Gaitskell is a strong supporter of regular "summit" talks—perhaps every six months or so. Since he is at present out of power he can also afford to make one or two realistic comments: "Russia and the West should stop sending each other propaganda letters in which each side puts forward proposals known to be unacceptable to the other."

Remarks like this are the equivalent of a conjuror telling the audience how the trick is done—except that politicians never produce anything out of the hat. The Leader of the Opposition was positively waspish over the Prime Minister's "summit success' speech.

"How are we to know whether there is or is not this prospect of success? Did not this kind of condition provide an easy excuse for those-who did not want the talks to be held?" (Giving the game away again.) "Was it not likely that it would never be known before the talks began whether they would be successful?"

The Colonial Secretary, Mr. Lennox-Boyd does not mince his words; he states that there has been "a great deal of wild and hysterical

T.V. 'SUCCESS' STORY

THERE are now more than 8,500,000 TV sets in use in this country, a 500-fold expansion in the past ten years. To-day in Britain one in six of the population, including children, owns a television set, and two in five are able to see TV in their homes. By 1962 it is estimated, according to the report published by P.E.P. (Political & Economic Planning) there will be 12 million sets in use.

Apparently the average evening viewing (between 6 p.m. and 11 p.m.) is about eleven and a half hours a week, that is about a third of the total programme time. One can only gauge the national "addiction" to TV by bearing in mind that a large percentage of the working population have not reached home and the Telly by 6 p.m., and that many people retire to their beds by 10 p.m. Equally it should be borne in mind that during the hours from 6 p.m. to 11 housewives are engaged in getting children to bed, and preparing the evening meal—as well as having to consume it, not forgetting that some workers when they get home have a routine of washing and changing and even of greeting their families with a few banalities. Furthermore most TV families will go out at least one evening a week (if only to the cinema!). At a modest estimate these activities will reduce the maximum possible hours of viewing from 35 hours a week to say 20. And thus the eleven and a half hours the average viewer is glued to his set apart from representing more than half his available free time, leaves him just a little more than an hour each evening to

read, talk, do things . . . and live!

THE AMERICAN SLUMP

New Fall in Production

Washington, March 17. The Federal Reserve Board announced to-day that its index of industrial production fell three points in February to 130 per cent. of the 1947-9 average lowest level since December, 1954.

The index for February was 11 per cent, below the highest level recorded last year. The board said that producmachinery, and other durable goods in February was 16 per cent, below the rate a year ago-Reuter.

Another 200,000 Unemployed

WASHINGTON, MARCH 20. Unofficial estimates are that the people unemployed in the United States now number 200,000 more than the figure of 5.173,000 reached in February.

Normally at this time of year there is a seasonal increase in employment and the Administration had been hoping for this to bring a reduction in unemploy-ment. The rate of unemployment among insured workers has risen by 4 per cent. so far this month. It normally goes

down by at least this. Mr. Robert Goodwin, Director of Unemployment Security, told a Congressional committee yesterday that the number of unemployed people exhausting their employment benefits would increase sharply in April and May. The rate been rising since December and would continue to go up for some

(MAX FREEDMAN in the Manchester Guardian).

14.3% of Workers in Michigan DETROIT, MARCH 15.

Unemployment in Michigan has increased to 415,000, or 14.3 per cent. of the labour force, the Michigan Employment Security Commission reported to-

The new total, described as a provisional estimate, showed an increase of 65,000 since mid-February when the commission said 350,000 were out of

The latest figure was the highest since the post-war lay-offs of 1945 and 1946. The commission reported that unemployment insurance claims for the week ended March 6 totalled 316,000 the highest since 1938.

MEXICO GRANTS ASYLUM TO REFUGEE

AS readers will have probably read in their daily papers a solution has been found in the case of Joacquin Perez Selles the young Spaniard who was denied asylum in this country by the Home Office on the grounds that his refusal to serve in Franco's armed forces was not a matter of "conscience". Both Bolivia and Mexico have agreed to accept him as a political refugee, and he has opted to go to Mexico.

The four Members of Parliament, including Fenner Brockway, who have done so much to give publicity to the case and to prevent Perez Selles from being handed over by the Home Office to the Spanish authorities, launched an appeal last week to raise funds to cover the cost of sending Perez Selles to Mexico. As Freedom had already gone to press when the appeal was launched we sent £10 to Fenner Brockway for the fund in the name of our readers, confident that they would wish to show their solidarity with this young and determined rebel in his struggle against the régime in Spain.

Send your contribution now to FREEDOM, which will be immediately forwarded to the Committee.

Continued on p. 4

FREEDOM AND SOCIETY LOVE,

Love, Freedom and Society, by John Middleton Murry. Jonathan Cape, 18s.

THIS book is described as "An analytical Comparison of D. H. Lawrence tnd Albert Schweitzer", two men whom one would not normally think of together.

Murry's basic thesis is that love as a positive force in society has its origins in the teachings of Christ. The book is really a study of how two men sought to adjust themselves to the apparent collapse of a culture based on what Murry regards as Christian ideals.

D. H. Lawrence comes best out of it. He rejected the ideal of universal love. He believed that the relationship between men and women was based upon love and hate, which alternate in a bewildering way in his stories. This is not the ideal of Christianity. However it is a good portrait of reality, at least in the present writer's experience. Lawrence had fought his way through to a good relationship with his wife when the cataclysm of the First World War burst over him. As a result he became completely disillusioned with civilisation and in consequence (according to Murry) Christianity. He retreated to the pre-Christian world, and went to commune in spirit with the Celtic gods on the moors of Cornwall.

"And he felt the light of love dying out in his eyes, in his heart, in his soul, and a great healing darkness taking its place, with a sweetness of everlasting aloneness, and a stirring of dark bloodtenderness and a strange soft iron of ruthlessness.'

Lawrence's dark gods demanded, and in some of his stories got, blood sacrifice. Murry, who lived near him during his time in Cornwall, is horrified by this. and seeks to show that in the end Lawrence was reconciled to Christianity, and even to Christian communism,

"Kill money, put money out of

It is a perverted instinct, a hidden thought.

Which rots the brain, the blood, the

Make up your mind about it: that society must establish itself upon almost certainly suffer. a different principle

from the one we've got now.

We must have the courage of mutual trust. We must have the modesty of simple

living. And the individual must have his house, food and fire all free like

a bird. This is not far from anarchism. But Lawrence right to the end would not acknowledge Christ's inspiration. It is not surprising in view of the fact that our sweet brothers in Christ are always

prowling around the bads of dying infi-

dels seeking whom they may devour. There was an obvious conflict between his vision of a free society and his equally passionately held belief in a hierarchical social order. Murry would no doubt have liked to see the contradiction resolved. It never really was. The question is, does it really have to be? Both the brotherhood of man and the authoritarian society are aspects of reality, which the artist portrays. We hope for and strive to attain to the ideal society based on brotherhood and freedom, but it would be hypocrisy for most of us to maintain that there is not a fair amount of authoritarianism in us, the result of our upbringing, which we find hard to eradicate or even control. A man can yearn for a world of mutual trust, and yet feel a fascination for bloody and barbaric societies, Celtic Cornwall or Aztec Mexico. If he represses this aspect of his character, and tries to be all love for his fellowmen, his love will be of a very sickly character, becoming mawkish and sentimental with a substratum of vicious bullying underneath. If an artist tries to repress an aspect of himself like this his creativeness will

Now the tragedy of Christian civilisation is exactly that it has endeavoured to do away with hate and cruelty by repressing it. The result has been that a religion of Love, headed by a Prince of Peace, has lovingly tortured and burnt all its opponents it could catch. The pagan religions had many customs of a revolting cruelty, but they rarely reached the depths which Christianity reached in its days of power. There is something wrong with this kind of love, and one does not have to romanticise pagan antiquity as so many have done, the rationalists in one way and Lawrence in another, to recognise that there is value in the pagan ideal of being a good friend to one's friends and a hearty hater towards one's foes.

I would not say that the ideal of universal love, even to one's enemies, is bad, or impossible altogether. It may well be that in future ages men will come to it. But it is better that people should stick to loving when they can, hating when it cannot be helped, and avoiding as far as possible the infliction of suffering even on those we hate. Efforts to "love" in the Christian sense seem so often to lead to smugness, cruelty or a masochistic taking on oneself the burden of guilt.

No wonder Lawrence rejected this. We may not think his sadism a necessary alternative, but he did at least recognise that it was there in him. His experience with the military authorities the time of his call-up, and later on when he was forced to leave Cornwall, were bad enough in all conscience, though many have suffered worse. His comment is characteristic of that side of him which Murry so deplores:

"And because they had handled his private parts, and looked into them, their eyes should burst and their hands should wither and their hearts should So he cursed them in his blood, with an unremitting curse, as he waited."

I think most of us have felt something like this at one time or another when confronted with the brutality of authority. It was possible for Christ to say, "Father forgive them, for they know not what they do", or Ferrer to forgive his firing squad, "Shoot boys, its not your fault." These men believed in salvation, and near at hand, Christ in Heaven, and Ferrer* on earth in the social revolution. To those who have no faith in such salvation, either in Heaven or on Earth such courage probably comes less readily.

Albert Schweitzer is one of those numerous people whose works I am always going to read. From what little know of his ideas he seemed to be a practical humanitarian, with a not very original ethical religion based on the key-phrase "reverence for life." This seemed unexceptional, if not particularly exciting, but Murry analyses this philosophy and it is incredible, reaching in fact the bounds of sanity, if not going beyond them.

Schweitzer considers that it is necessary to find a rational basis for altruism. It is not enough simply to have a "noble feeling" for it. This was the mistake of the men of the eighteenth century. We must be able to arrive at an ethical imperative by a process of reasoning or our

*Of course he would not live to see it, but he, like so many revolutionaries of his day, could imaginatively identify himself with the men of the future, whose cause he served.

teachings will not succeed in establis themselves, any more than did teachings of the men of the Age Reason.

He finds the solution in the phr "Reverence for Life". He argues follows: Nature is nothing but a number of competing wills-to-live am will-to-live and therefore must spect other wills-to-live. They exist the same right as I do.

He appears to regard this formula a terrific discovery. Here we hav ethical imperative that can be re by anyone capable of elementary re ing. Or so Schweitzer thinks. can hear followers of Max Stirner background beginning to mutter thing about there being no such thin "a right". In any case, even "right" could be proved one faced with the fact that all obliged to live at the expense of life. Even if one has given up mea still has to live on vegetables, and cannot help stepping on beetles i grass. One has to kill germs or pests (though the cruelty with animal pests are frequently killed necessary), and this according philosophy of Reverence for Life Although one cannot he this killing one remains guilty. from the mere fact of being aliv acquires a load of intolerable guilt

Schweitzer fringes anarchism, maintains that those who exercise coercive measures of society criminals or external enemies having unethically, though their cal behaviour is "unegoistic" Schweitzer's practice is conservative orthodox to a degree. Indeed gone out of his way to defend co ism. So his philosophy is unliv and unlived.

Murry protests against all this frankly admits that he kills garder and fights germs. Some time ago Japanese Buddhists held a service souls of the germs and microbes by medicine. It is the best one c One has to kill to live. But Murr over to the opposite extreme in action against Schweitzer's doctrin

"How many of those heroic a who fought and won the Batt Britain, and so saved Christian cir tion in Europe for a breathing

De Continued on

What About the Causes?

AT this time when many people, who cannot be called social revolutionaries, are giving their support to the campaign for nuclear disarmament of the West, we are being asked to re-state the anarchist attitude to war on the assumption that the old arguments no longer apply.

We would agree that the thought of war is even more horrifying than it was before the development of up-to-date forms of destruction but so far there is no evidence that the causes of war have suddenly changed. If these are, as we usually put forward, economic and political, the prevention of war is more likely if there were changes in the economic and political systems in the countries which are strong enough to wage it.

Since these changes necessitate a social revolution, the abolition of capitalism and power politics, most people argue that we are unrealistic. We should therefore concentrate, we are told, on programmes which stand some chance of realisation.

Our reply is that while we support the campaign for nuclear disarmament it is more unrealistic to suppose (assuming the campaign will be successful), that this alone will prevent the recurrence of the threat of war. We think it necessary to ceaselessly point out to people in our propaganda the causes of war in an attempt to impress upon them the need to develop constructive attitudes towards the society in which they live.

To-day most people probably agree with the aims of the various groups active against nuclear war. But how many people will have considered very deeply the points we have raised?

If they were all stricken with a universal disease they would be anxious to find a cure, but they would have to be very stupid indeed if they did not try to discover the cause so as to prevent a recurrence of the malady. It is because people generally think of war as a natural part of human society instead of a curable disease that few which is felt in times of actual war and is considered to be an expression of "natural" love for one's

At this moment in Britain perhaps more people than ever before are thinking about the possible effects of war. This new awareness probably springs from fear of the results on themselves and their children and it may be that out of it will come some understanding of what war means not only to themselves but to people in remote parts of the world.

The people of Korea died in their thousands not as a result of radiation but of 'old-fashioned' war weapons. Perhaps if the present day campaigners had started a little sooner in their worthy cause the Korean tragedy would have been prevented. The Korean war was not an act of aggression or a defensive war waged by the Koreans themselves; it was fought over by two foreign powers extending their political struggle into the military field. But how many people in the West worried about the causes of the Korean war or of the effects?

They believed what they were told by their newspapers and politicians that the war in Korea was to prevent the extension of Communism which was a threat to our democratic way of life.

To-day the Soviet Union is ahead of the West in her nuclear development and is more powerful than ever. We are now told, in order to justify the millions being spent on Western defence, that this is the only way to hold the Soviet Union in check when it is obvious that the more money and energy which the West spends on war 'prevention' the more likely is the Soviet Union to do likewise.

Bertrand Russell, in explaining why he is now in favour of nuclear disarmament when ten years ago he favoured bringing pressure to bear upon Russia "and even if necessary, to go so far as to threaten war on large-scale attempts are made to the sole issue of internationalising understand or prevent it, coupled of atomic weapons", displays the kind

course with the patriotic fervour of naiveté we expect from unthink-which is felt in times of actual war ing people. We do not condemn him for changing his views but for helping to perpetuate the myth that because America alone possessed the atom bomb at that time Russia would accept the proposal to internationalise all the uses of atomic energy, thus preventing an atomic arms race. To say now that it was "Stalin's suspicious nature which made him think that there was some trap", and so Russia decided to produce her own atomic weapons, is to ignore the nature and purpose of competing states. If Russia had emerged from the war the sole possessor of the atomic bomb the Western statesmen would have displayed their suspicious natures and suspected Stalin of laying a trap. Was it likely that the Western powers would have agreed to internationalise atomic energy with Russia in possession of the atomic bomb but not themselves?

To believe this is also to ignore the aim of the West to crush international communism. We have not forgotten that fascism in Europe was regarded as a bulwark against Communism and later it was hoped that Russia would emerge from the war so devastated that it would be decades before she would recover nationally let alone internationally.

It was Stalin's ruthlessness towards his own people which to a great extent accounts for the astonishing economic strides made by the Soviet Union. But do not let us forget that the Western powers were also indifferent to the fate of the Russian people.

The arms race which Bertrand Russell and many other genuine people hoped to avoid was inevitable, and will continue if the causes are not removed. This can only be done by the conscious action of people everywhere who understand the necessity for change and the form it must take.

In our view this ultimately means the rejection of a governmental society, all the oppressive institutions of the State and the money system. Utopian? Maybe, but our survival depends upon it.

A LITTLE SANITY IN A CRAZY WORL

A strong recommendation that e baby born in Britain should have special urine test at 21 days old is ma by five specialists, led by Dr. Lou Woolf, at the Hospital for Sick Childre Great Ormond Street, London.

They argue that an estimated babies are born every year with an ur suspected "metabolic" disorder calle disorder called phenylketonuria, which will almost certainly result in the children becoming mentally deficient unless promptly treated.

Early diagnosis by means of a simple urine test, immediately followed, where positive, by the institution of a very special diet could save these children's

Babies with this complain have an inherited inability to break down a chemical called phenylalanine, present in almost every food protein. When this substance in the blood rises above a certain level, it exerts a toxic effect on the brain that, continued long enough, causes a permanent defect.

Mental deterioration advances rapidly soon after birth and continues steadily, although it is usually not detected until the age of six months. Often phenylketonurics are also afflicted with a form of epilepsy unusually resistant to drug treatment. These children frequently have ash-grey hair and an exceptional tendency to eczema.

THAT in order to save the sanity

(Sunday Times).

of say 30 children a year, members of the medical profession should recommend that every child born should be given this urine test as a matter of routine is, to our minds, one of the encouraging signs in a world which appears more crazy and suicidal. That when a large number of scientists are engaged in perfecting the weapons which if launched could in a matter of hours eliminate most of mankind others can remain quite uninfluenced by the atmosphere created by this death psychosis, and consider that the saving of the minds of 30 babies each year warrants a test for all babies as soon as they are born, is indeed remarkable, and hearten-

existence. bones, the stones, the soul. FREEDOM BOOKSHOP OPEN DAILY (Open 10 a.m.-6.30 p.m., 5 p.m. Sats:) New Books . . . he Love Ethics of D. H. Lawrence M. Spilka Homosexuality: a Subjective and M. Spilka 21/-Objective Investigation Charles Berg 30/-Bertrand Russell's Best: Silhouettes in Satire 10/6 American Paperbacks . . . Two Sources of Morality and Tales of Good and Evil The Birth of Tragedy and the Genealogy of Morals

F. Nietzche 8/-The Lonely Crowd David Reisman 8/-(Abridged by) David To the Finland Station Edmund Wilson 10/-Second-Hand . . . Life of Tolstoy (2 vols., Large Type) Aylmer Maude 10/-Berlin Diary (1941) Political Education at a Public School Victor Gollancz 2/6 A Long Day in a Short Life Albert Maltz 4/-The Menace of Privilege Henry George, Jr. 3/-The Essential Hemingway The Reeling Earth
Sarah Gertrude Millin 2/6 Can We Be Neutral? Allen W. Dulles and Hamilton Fish Armstrong (1936) 2/6 Half a Million Tramps
W. A. Gape 3/6 Flight to Arras

> Postage free on all items Obtainable from

Antoine de Saint Exupéry 3/Dependent America
William C. Redfield 3/-

University Libertarian, No. 5 1/-

We can supply ANY book required,

including text-books. Please supply publisher's name if possible, but if not, we can find it Scarce and out-of-print books searched for—and frequently

Irving Stone 4/-

Darrow for the Defence

Periodicals . . .

found!

RED LION STREET. LONDON, W.C.I

which the Road Board had set up to carry out, being started. In 1909 it was announced that "a new road constructed by the Road Board will be primarily a road confined to motor traffic, and the speed limit will not apply on such a road". In fact it was not until 1949 that, with the Special Roads Act, such roads were authorised, and not until this

OF the present Trunk Roads, the country's most important highways, Mr. Buchanan write:

month that the firs of hem was begun.

"If it be accepted as a broad generalisation that they should be at last dual-carriageway roads, then it comes as a considerable shock to learn that at present dual carriageways exist on only about 0.5 per cent, of these roads. If it be accepted that a trunk road is a road for the swift passage of motor traffic then again it comes as a shock to learn that nearly 20 per cent. of the total mileage is subject to a 30 m.p.h. speed limit, which by definition means that for 20 per cent, of their mileage the roads pass through built-up areas where there is not merely hindrance to traffic, but noise, danger and confusion to local interests as well".

So far as through-traffic is concerned, we know the kind of roads which are desirable; opposing streams of traffic must be separated, only fast-moving traffic admitted, without any use at any time by pedestrians or cyclists, all other roads must be carried over or under, points of access must be limited and designed to ensure safe filtration of traffic-with fly-overs, clover-leafs, etc., there must be no frontage development. This is being attempted in the present programme of motor-roads, the first of which, the 53-mile southern section of the London to Yorkshire motorway has just been begun at a cost of over £15 million. This kind of road is bound to be expensive, it uses an enormous amount of land-a total width of 112 feet not counting cuttings, embarkments and the 'sterilisation' of surrounding land. In a small and densely populated country like this there are innumerable objections to contend with (as just one instance, this month a petition signed by over 30,000 people protesting against the routing of the Yorkshire motorway through Charnwood Forest was presented to the Minister of Transport), and it is obvious that the majority of trunk roads can never be of this standard. Even if the claims made on economic grounds for express motorways could be sub-

stantiated, the truth is, as Mr. Buchanan there are few grounds for believing that expressways would achieve any dramatic effect on the knots and tangles of town traffic where the bulk of the accidents take place and where the real nuisance of motor traffic is felt . . . It is the towns that are the generators and attractors of traffic and the amount of through traffic divertible from towns is less than many people think. The 'draw-in' factor explains why many by-passes have had comparatively little effect in easing congestion and nuisance in the towns by-passed. The roads have been of value to the through traffic using them but have been a disappointment to townspeople hoping for substantial re-

The problems of town traffic are far more intractible than those of through traffic. It is a problem older than the motor age, and the Road Board in 1911 (reaffirming that the Road Fund should be used for major projects and not merely for relieving congestion caused by local traffic, reached the conclusion that "the substitution of motor traffic for horse-drawn traffic has tended not to increase but rather to decrease congestion". Any improvement was of course

lief from traffic chaos'

soon swallowed up by the vast increase in numbers. Mr. Buchanan also quotes a report of the Ministry of Transport of 1921 which declares that "Roads perform many gratuitous services to the public beyond those for which they are primarily intended . . . and apart from the fact that the mere construction of a highway produces a beneficial effect on the development of adjoining land". This conception of the main road as the speculative builders' opportunity certainly came to fruition in the inter-war

years, the dangers of standing vehicles

of children dashing into the road, of all

the horrors of 'ribbon development' has still not been foreseen. C.W.

. 19, No. 13. March 29, 1958

Freedom

Is Capitalism Worth Saving?

Continued from p. 1

which might develop: we could exchange rate between the pound other foreign currencies go or we impose restrictions on payments to countries and on imports from countries. If the situation got bad, we might well have to do hese things at once. But it is my that our first and basic step should et the exchange rate go.

ofessor Meade, we are told by who profess to know, is putorward a policy fraught with rt. We are neither competent iscuss such matters, nor, and is more to the point, are we oularly interested in seeking ons to the financial problems e capitalist system! If it ded on us we should do everyin our power to ensure that inancial crisis was pushed beall hope of retrieval.

e steps taken by governments al with these financial crises, n it is plain as a pikestaff have ng to do with "production" or "living beyond our means", interest us, not because we nxious to help, but because we that whatever steps they take e at the expense of the people whole in the interests of a small, eged class. Nor have we faith expertize wisdom or "socialof the economists who, after would be out of a job the ent they sought to make the operate in the interests of

HE full employment and "prosperity" enjoyed in some induscountries during the past 19 s is not a matter of new-look pitalism or a new social consciousin the employing class. Full ployment has been paid for by 20 millions who died in the orgy destruction in World War II; by millions of conscripts who have en removed from the labour maret during these past-war years; by he millions employed in sustaining the conscripts and feeding the cold war with weapons which are con-veniently "obsolete" before they leave the production lines. Now that the dead have been replaced, the factories rebuilt and re-tooled and the markets saturated, we are back to where we were, albeit at a higher level, so far as standards of living are concerned. We have, as it were, moved from a crystal-set to a Telly-civilisation, from Bedeaux to Automation, from short skirts via long skirts back to short ones, but Capitalism hasn't changed.

NOT even the trades unions have changed; they are clearly going to be as spineless, and accommodating in the present "crisis" as they were in the lean inter-war years. Indeed as we have so often pointed

The Manchester Guardian which actually published Prof. Meade's articles, comments in an editorial on "Reflation"

His way of avoiding a run on the pound would be to make the pound exchange rate float. This means living dangerously. A change in Government policies has to come in time to be effective: but if it came too early it would probably revive inflation. That is what the Americans are afraid of, and we have at least as much reason to be wary. The worst thing we could do is to go into a world recession with rising costs and prices. As for the floating pound, the present Government would have to eat many solemn words if it abandoned the fixed rate. That might not matter much, but not everybody will share Professor Meade's view that our sterling creditors would accept the change lmly. The present dual exchange rate which there is a fixed and a flexible element has much to be said for it. The heart of the matter is really now to de-cide that world trade has entered a real ession. To make the wrong decision this point would be disastrous.

MOTOR AGE-2.

(Continued from previous issue)

THOUGH traces can be found and followed of still older tracks, the basis of the road system in Britain is the surprisingly complex network built during the Roman occupation in the first four centuries A.D. They were, like the Autobahnen of the nineteen-thirties, military roads, and Mr. Buchanan* com-

"The military road system must always be the product of a central or occupying power; its use for civil purposes may follow and may be encouraged, but primarily it exists by reason of the drive and initiative of a central authority. The purely civil road system on the other hand is usually a local affair; it comes into existence following a local demand and is the result of a co-operative effort by the inhabitants of the area to im-prove their lot. In most cases the civil system can be expected to lag be hind the demand for improvements only get done when there is a sudden general realisation that conditions are intolerable. The popular saying that in this country we had nothing resembling a naional road sysem between the depar ure of the Romans in 410 A.D. and the passing of the Trunk Roads Act in 1936, may be true as a statement of fact, but it tends to overlook the fact that the two systems originated in entirely different ways"

For many centuries after the Romans, road-building was for local purposes

*Mixed Blessing: The Motor in Britain, by C. D. Buchanan. (Leonard Hill Ltd.,

out they are only militant when there's full employment.

Yet the crises of capitalism should be the occasions for Labour to seek to destroy a system in which production and distribution are geared to the interests of profit and power for a small majority of the community. Instead we see photographs in the American Press of the President surrounded by the Union leaders whom he has called to consultations, and read of workers' unions urging the government to transfer defence contracts to factories formerly engaged on consumer

The high rate of unemployment in Detroit has not produced a spark of revolt against the system. But instead, these workers pin their hopes

The anxious, practical men [who] are hastening to learn about a new and mysterious flock of subsidiaries known as ground support gear". The missile itself, they hear, may cost only 10 per cent. of everything that goes into building, transporting, testing, cradling, installing, launching and watching it. The encouraging word has come from Cape Canaveral that in two or three years as much as one billion dollars will be spent on "auxiliary hardwear" supplied by five or six thousand companies.

The biggest American trailer company is already well established as a manufacturer of missile-trailers. Chemical companies are building fuel-dispensing machines unknown before the missile age. There are such new specialities as warhead carriers, giant balloon tyres, testing support gear, missile erectors, closed circuit television units, and missile "shakers" for pre-testing atmospheric pressure and oceanic roll.§

FOR the pioneers of workers' organisation better working conditions and rates of pay were the snort-term, day-to-day, aspects of a struggle which had as its goal the replacement of the system of ex-ploitation and profit by one of cooperation and production according to need. The struggle was for "emancipation", for human dignity and a fuller life. To-day, when science and technology provide the instruments for the satisfaction of mankind's basic material needs, workers have lost the dignity, and abandoned the principles, which inspired those pioneers.

To-day material security at any cost has become the end, and a job the means; irrespective of whether in doing that job one is forging the weapons of one's ultimate destruction or buttressing the system which in peace and war is the working man's enemy and oppressor.

§ Alistair Cooke in the Manchester Guardian (19/3/58).

only, and as the main network gradually emerged it was simply a linking of local systems. Wheeled traffic dwindled away, travel was largely on foot or by horse and pack animals, requiring little more than a beaten track. By the 14th century regular carriers' carts were running between large towns and the need for hard surfaces and means of maintaining them re-emerged. In 1555 the roads had got into such an appalling state that the first Highways Act was passed. This

"placed the responsibility for maintenance squarely on the parishes, with provision for the appointment of surveyors and regular statute labour from the inhabitants. A long series of en-actments and amendments followed in the attempt to make this system work; but to an increasing extent the parishes objected to maintaining roads for the benefit of traffic which merely traversed the area"

A century later the first Turnpike Act was passed, giving powers for the levving of tolls, the toll money being used for maintaining the road. This privately operated scheme was not particularly successful and in 1706 a Turnpike Trust was formed to take it over. By 1730 there were 71 trusts, and Mr. Buchanan tells us that:

"Eventually in the early part of the 19th century, there were no less than 1,100 trusts administering 23,000 miles roads, drawing a revenue of £1,500,000 annually and employing some 20,000 pikemen. All this, it seems, came from the people themselves without any central encouragement or co-ordination. Where a road was not covered by a Turnpike Trust then it was still the responsibility of the parish, indeed the statutory position of the parish was never changed, and if a Trust failed for any reason then the job of upkeep went back to the parish automatically. The episode of the Turnpike Trusts was a most remarkable and largely successful example of government by laissez-faire which deserves more detailed study than it has yet been given'

To this period beyong the technical improvements of Telford and Macadam. The Highways Act of 1835 abolished statute labour and introduced the principle of local highway rates. The railway boom of the same period put the Trusts in financial difficulties because they lost the bulk of long-distance traffic, and great indignation was felt at the tolls they continued to exact on local traffic. In the Victorian age the turnpikes were abolished and the main roads vested in County Road Boards. A bewildering succession of legislation followed, and by the dawn of the motor age at the end of the last century, the position was that county councils were responsible for all main roads, and rural, urban and borough councils for the remainder. Central grants covered half the cost of upkeep of main roads, the other half being spread over the county

N 1903 the Motor Car Act required all cars to be licensed, to carry lights, horns and number plates, and established a Road Fund from the license fees. In 1909 a Road Board was set up (which ten years later became the Ministry of Transport) and a Road Improvements Fund instituted, Lloyd George giving an undertaking that the expenditure would "be covered by and limited to the produce of certain special sources of revenue", in other words that the vehicle and petrol taxes would be spent on the

ROADS

After the first world war various roadbuilding projects, arterial roads and bypasses were undertaken, largely for the relief of unemployment. If it had not been for the inter-war unemployment, says Mr. Buchanan, "we should not even have had the modest improvements to our highway system that have been granted us". Since the war road-building has been largely a matter of plans, modest or ambitious, being savagely cut by Treasury restrictions, as 'crisis' has followed 'crisis' in the economy. Mr. Buchanan notes sardonically:

"In 1951 a useful, if diminutive substitute for the fairy godmother of unemployment made its appearance, for we read that 'Because of the general economic importance of trunk roads and classified roads from the defence point of view, payments from the Road Fund towards expenditure on the maintenance of these roads during 1951-52 were in-

This is the point at which to digress on the sad history of the Road Fund. There are three obvious ways of financing roads: by tolls on users as in the old Turnpike Trusts, the new American turnpikes, the Italian autostrade or the Mersey Tunnel; from general local rates and central taxation; or by taxes on vehicles in the form of licences and duty on petrol. In theory, with the Road Fund, the last-mentioned was chosen. But by the mid-twenties the increase in motor traffic coupled with the tardiness of road-building meant that the Fund was accumulating more money than was being spent. In 1926 the Government decided that one-third of the net proceeds on licences was to be retained annually by the Exchequer which also took a lump sum of £7 million out of the Fund. (Petrol duty had already ceased to be earmarked for roads). The Finance Acts of 1934 and 1936 consolidated the Treasury's control. "The liability to credit part of the receipts of vehicle taxation into the Road Fund was repealed, and henceforh the Fund was only to be credited with such sums as the Minister, with the consent of the Treasury, might determine to be required out of moneys provided by parliament" In 1955 the Road Fund was formally abolished. In that year only 23 per cent. of teh motor-vehicle tax receipts was spent on the roads. When Winston Churchill as Chancellor made the first

FREEDOM PRESS

SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM'

1951, Mankind is One 1952, Postscript to Posterity Vol. 3, 1953, Colonialism on Trial Vol. 4, 1954, Living on a Volcano Vol. 5, 1955, The Immoral Moralists Volume 6, 1956, Oil and Troubled

each volume paper 7s. 6d. cloth 10s. 6d.

The paper edition of the Selections is available to readers of FRBEDOM at 5/- a copy

VOLINE :

Nineteen-Seventeen (The Russian Revolution Betrayed) cloth 12s. 6d. The Unknown Revolution (Kronstandt 1921, Ukraine 1918-21) cloth 12s. 6d.

E. A. GUTKIND : The Expanding Environment 8s. 6d. V. RICHARDS: Lessons of the Spanish Revolution 6s.

HERBERT READ :

Art and the Evolution of Existentialism, Marxism and 3s. 6d. Anarchism 3s. 6d.
Poetry and Anarchism
cloth 5s., paper 2s. 6d.
The Philosophy of Anarchism
boards 2s. 6d.
The Education of Free Men 1s.

ERRICO MALATESTA: Anarchy Vote-What For?

RUDOLF ROCKER: Nationalism and Culture

cloth 21s. PETER KROPOTKIN: The State: Its Historic Rôle The Wage System Revolutionary Government 3d Organised Vengeance Called Justice

MARIE-LOUISE BERNERI: Neither East nor West paper 7s. 6d.; cloth 10s. 6d.

GEORGE WOODCOCK: New Life to the Land Railways and Society What is Anarchism? The Basis of Communal Living JOHN HEWETSON:

Ill-Health, Poverty and the State cloth 2s. 6d., paper 1s.

ALEX COMFORT: F. A. RIDLEY : The Roman Catholic Church and the Modern Age TONY GIBSON : Youth for Freedom paper 2s. Who will do the Dirty Work? 2d. * K. J. KENAFICK :

Michael Bakunin and Karl Marx

Marie-Louise Berneri Memorial Committee publications : Marie-Louise Berneri, 1918-1949 ; A Tribute cloth 5s Journey Through Utopia cloth 18s. (U.S.A. \$3)

27, Red Lion Street, London, W.C.I.

(To be continued)

A.I.D. Love & the State

IN his letter in Freedom of March 8. George Gilfillan of Peebles, with, I fancy, his tongue well and truly in his reminded us of the State's right to make sure that its citizens are born properly in order for their early demise to be adequately organised.

It is true that I had ignored the State's rights in this instance, in dealing with the problems of the Christian and A.I.D. It is in fact a habit of mine to ignore the State as much as I possibly can, but had I chosen to consider it in this context I would have had to admit that I have never noticed that the State cares very much where its cannon fodder comes from.

In fact I have no doubt that were the normal supply to dry up, the State might very easily organise laboratory production on a large scale. And one of the reasons why A.I.D. is not an offence whilst the equally amoral abortion is, is the State's perpetual need for popula-

Illegitimate children probably provide a fairly high proportion of the inmates of institutions. And inmates of institutions probably provide a high proportion of the regular personnel of the armed forces. It is surely those who have never had satisfactory home lives who are most prepared to sell themselves into the State's forces. And since, in time of war, you have to fight to keep out of the Army, and if you are still warm they want you in. I have never been impressed with the thought that the State cares about our origins when it needs us.

Whether we are good enough to gain commissions and enter the class of officers and gentlemen is another matter. but even here I am sure that pedigree could be introduced into A.I.D. if necessarv, so that if you can prove that you came out of the right test-tube, it will ensure a commission as certainly as if you went to the right public school.

It was reader Gilfillan's last paragraph, however, which puzzled me. He said:

TO READERS WITH SOMETHING TO SAY

The lack of a Letters to the Editors feature in FREEDOM every week is no fault of ours. If only enough letters were forthcoming we would willingly devote the whole back page to contributions from our readers. We do receive letters for publication which we do not publish, not because we disagree with them but because in most instances they do not add anything to what has already been recently been published in our columns. We thoroughly enjoy controversial letters but do not publish contributions which are simply statements of what this or that political party or religious body stands for, on the grounds that we are specifically an "anarchist weekly", and any of our readers interested in these other isms are as free as we are to read their journals.

On the other hand, no one can say that FREEDOM's horizons are limited. The world and mankind are our stage. One has only to glance through the six volumes of FREEDOM SELECTIONS to realise how wide is the range of subjects on which we have had something to say. There are many among our readers who can add to our modest comments and to the subjects dealt with in our columns. For many it's a question of "finding the time", for others a false modesty, which prevent them from contributing to Freedom. To the former we again make an earnest appeal to consider FREEDOM not as an unimportant little sheet but as one of the few free voices left in a world in which even ideas are mass-produced. To the latter, forget your modesty; put your ideas on paper as best you can, and if necessary we will try to put them into better shape for publication.

'So if the State says we mustn't have children by the new three letter system, we'll just have to have them in the oldfashioned four-letter word fashion. No, I wasn't thinking of the one you thought Love was the word I had in mind.

Now it may be love and love alone that makes the world go round, or whatever it is claimed to do, but love alone is certainly not enough to make babies. (And for many a young couple a good thing too). I know that George Gilfillan lives in the backwoods, but I was always given to understand that country folk know more about the facts of life than us townies, and therefore I feel sure that although the sentiment he expresses is a correct one (and where would Hollywood be without it?) G.G. knows as well as I do that it is a five letter word that is necessary to produce children.

No. I don't mean 'stork'; I mean 'semen', and even that has to be qualifield by a seven letter word ('fertile') to be generative, and then has to be got, by fair means or foul, into the right place at the right time.

The sex act is thought of, romantically, as the highest expression of love between a man and woman, and so it may be in some circumstances, but, I feel sure, pitifully few. Neither will all the love a man's heart is capable of help him to give his loved one a baby if his semen is infertile. Herein lies the tragedy which is being alleviated by A.I.D., and for which the alternatives are as I outlined in my article (FREEDOM 1/3/58): frustration or fornication-assuming that the married partners retain their love and wish to remain together, otherwise there is the third choice, divorce, and after that probably no problem.

From my own point of view, fornication is by far the healthier of the first two alternatives. But they have to be a rather remarkable couple who objectively and practically accept this. I know 'anarchists' who have been unable to, such is the millstone of moral conditioning that weighs us down.

The ideal, of course, I would agree with George Gilfillan to be children born of a love relationship, loved themselves and brought up in an environment of love. That's the ideal. Unhappily so many have to put up with the next best thing—and they choose a test-tube rather than the other.

London.

P.S.

KULTUR—1958

Music is now mainly regarded as part of the public entertainment service. Singers, pianists, fiddlers, and other instrumentalists fly across the world, here, there, everywhere-London to-day, New York the day after, all engaged like commercial travellers selling Tchaikovsky, Beethoven, Brahms, and the other sound lines. A new public attends concertsin London at any rate—which is more musical than it is gramophonic or televisionary. Music as a means of extending awareness to spiritual and truly aesthetic regions of consciousnesswhich include the gay as well as the mystical or the deeply expressive—is out of fashion temporarily. Music conceived that way by the composer is generally presented at the present time with the technical surface blindingly polished. There is little time in the concert halls of 1958 for meditation, for absorption in either dream or dance. "Next please! All the stars in their courses, every week -Belgrade to Brisbane. Musical sput-

NEVILLE CARDUS in the Manchester Guardian 15/3/58).

Secret of the Vanguard Rocket's Success

WASHINGTON, MARCH 23.

A St. Christopher medal was placed inside the Vanguard rocket which put a satellite into orbit "for divine guidance." St. Christopher is the patron saint of

The United States Navy spokesman confirmed that a small medal was bolted to the gyroscopic guidance apparatus of the rocket's second stage after an Episcopal clergyman, the Rev. Charles Kean, criticised the Navy for doing so. Mr. Kean, Rector of Epiphany Church, Washington, said that use of the St. Christopher as a good luck charm was blatantly superstitious, and the action caricatured the Christian faith and affronted many people.

A Navy Spokesman said that no such medal was included in the first two Vanguard rockets, which were failures. The proposal for adding the medal had come from the contractors and a Navy official had approved it.—British United Press.

Love, Freedom and Society

natural Christianity? the essence of Christianity was in their

This of course raises the problem: How can a real Christian, such as the author of this book, love mankind, love his enemies, and yet support war against them in whihh many of them are certain to be killed? I believe he was at one time an editor of *Peace News*, but if he did not support the war when it happened at anyrate he appears to be supporting it in retrospect. I do not believe the airmen in question were Christians, though they would probably have considered themselves such theoretically, they were pagans, and as such were behaving quite consistently.

Kropotkin's ethical system, based on the idea of Mutual Aid, that is to say co-operation within the species, has not the fine sound of Reverence for Life, with its religious undertones, but it is more in accordance with the possible. That all life lives by the destruction of other life is a horrible truth, but nothing at the moment can be done about it, whatever the development of science may hold in store for us in the distant future. If one is able to put up with this horror one can at least better the lot of one's fellowmen, and the animals immediately associated with man. If one takes Schweitzer's philosophy seriously one becomes paralysed by guilt, and the fact that Schweitzer runs a hospital shows that he does not really care all that much about the germs he kills or injures in the process of his humanitarian labours.

Murry's criticism of him is fully justified, but I cannot accept the alternative he has to offer to the teachings of Lawrence and Schweitzer. Briefly, so far as I can understand it, it is this:

Modern civilisation, in the West at least, is founded on Christianity, by which he means, I take it, the teachings of Jesus. Progress and scientific development are manifestations of Christ's doctrine of Love, and so is the Welfare State. But this progress is menaced by Soviet Communism, which has a totally different ideal, and is actually engaged in

PROGRESS OF A DEFICIT! WEEK 12

£240 Deficit on Freedom £198 Contributions received DEFICIT

March 14 to March 20

London: C.W. £5; Fulda: E.A.B. 7/-; Lon-3/-; London: W.F.* 2/3; Leeds: J.H. I/-; Shirley: A.W.H. 3/6; Falmouth: R.W. 2/6; Preston: R.S.M.* 3/-; Cleveland: G.A. 10/9; Berkeley: R.E.J. £1/3/0; Hounslow: W.M.E. 6/-; London: M.M. 10/0; Sheffield: H.W.* 6/6; San Francisco: P.D. Sheffield: H.W.* 6/6; San Francisco. 3/-; London: D.S. 1/6; London: H.O.C. 1/-. Total ... 9 4 0

Total ... 9 4 0 Previously acknowledged ... 189 13 0 198 17 0 Less (misapplied) ... 12 0

1958 TOTAL TO DATE ... £198 5 0 GIFTS OF BOOKS: London: S.E.P.; London:

*Indicates regular contributor.

thrusting men back. The West must develop an ideology based on Love in order to resist Communism.

Christ is regarded as a tremendous tragic figure. The author's beliefs about him are a little obscure. He does not believe that Christ actually rose from the dead in a literal sense, but only figuratively in the minds of his disciples. This increases the tragedy of his last cry that his god had forsaken him, since this was his last conscious thought. He did not revisit his disciples and then rise to Heaven to enjoy his reward. I think that Murry here becomes a heretic from the Christian point of view, if not a pagan. I think that he over-rates the importance of Christ, a natural enough thing to do in a Christian environment. All the things which Christ taught had already been expressed much better before him by Jewish thinkers and pagan philosophers of both East and West had taught that war and violence were evil, that all men were brothers, that one should love one's enemies and refrain from retaliating against them.

Murry in fact is a victim of the cult of "gentle Jesus, meek and mild", though in a more intellectualised form. The irony of the situation is that Christ is represented as heaping abuse on the scribes and pharisees, with a violence which outdoes that of Lawrence. To me it seems that such humanitarian idealism as has survived in authoritarian society from ancient to modern times is an inheritance from the early matriarchal civilisations (see Erich Fromm, "The Forgotten Language", cahpter VII). The ideal of the brotherhood of man in particular was originally based on theory that all men were equally children of Earth Mother (see J. McCabe's "Rationalist Encyclopedia", the article on the Lydians). Christ was merely one of a line of teachers who carried on an extremely ancient tradition. By an historical accident he has become the central figure of an extremely powerful authoritarian religion and everyone is brought up under its sway. Consequently his importance is made out to be greater than it really is, even by people who have emancipated themselves from orthodox Christianity.

A religion of Love in an authoritarian society, and Murry is a quite orthodox supporter of authority, could easily lead to a tyranny as terrible as that of Communism, and in fact is not Communism an authoritarian religion of Love? Does it not aim at a society without classes or exploitation in the long run? Cannot the same be said of the Catholic Church, when it ruled Europe in its heyday? It was its love for mankind that led to its persecution of heretics, because it believed that it was saving people's souls from Hell. Power and Love cannot co-exist. Murry says, "Order first, then love. And love itself is bound to take upon itself the responsibility of order. Hence the authoritar-ianism of the Christian Church." And hence, I would add, the Inquisition, and hence the secular tyranny of Soviet Communism! If love cannot maintain its own order, then let us find some other principle that can. If love can only prevail by the stake and the firing squad, if love is compatible with murdering, with the greatest refinements of cruelty, the loved one, then love is evil. Which

conclusion it is perhaps better to simply that one cannot love more a few of our fellowmen, but that w refrain from exercising power ov and hurting them, and that that we can do. We do not tyrannit cause we cannot be free ourselves do, we do not hurt because pain and we should not inflict it. But are forced in self preservation to out, let us not pretend that we love the person we are hurting, or are trying to establish "order probably hate him for attacking may simply feel sorry for him, obviously love ourselves better th or we would let him have is wa say that we are hurting him for hi good is in most cases to add hyp to hypocrisy. Who is to judge it is for his good or not? He not agree with us. Love cannot without freedom, where there is no dom there can be no love.

ARTHUR W. ULC

MEETINGS AN ANNOUNCEMENT

LONDON ANARCHIST

Bvery Sunday at 7.30 at THE MALATESTA CLUB. 32 Percy Street,

Tottenham Court Road, W.1.

LECTURE - DISCUSSIONS

MARCH 30.—Brains Trust. APRIL 6.-No meeting. APRIL 13.—Philip Sansom on

ANARCHISM & THE CLASS

Questions, Discussion and Admiss all free.

HAMPSTEAD LIBERTARIAN GROUP

Fortnightly public discussions are he on alternate Mondays at 7.45 p.m. in basement of 12, Oak Hill Park (Frognal) N.W.3. Nearest tube statio Hampstead (Northern Line).

Monday, March 31st at 8 p.m. A discussion on Folk Music introduce by John Glaister, with illustration o

* Malatesta Club *

SWARAJ HOUSE. 32 PERCY STREET, TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD, LONDON, W.I.

ACTIVITIES

Every Sunday at 7.30 p.m. London Anarchist Group Meetings (see Announcements Column)

Trad Jazz at the Malatesta

Every Saturday from 7.30

THE MALATESTA JAZZ BAND

> Admission 2s. includes coffee MALATESTA CLUB 32 Percy Street Tottenham Court Road W1

Open to Public Jazz Men welcome Organised by IAC

BONAR THOMPSON speaks

Every Wednesday at 8 p.m.

The Anarchist Weekly

Postal Subscription Rates:
12 months 19/- (U.S.A. \$3.00)
6 months 9/6 (U.S.A. \$1.50)
3 months 5/- (U.S.A. \$0.75)

Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies

12 months 29/- (U.S.A. \$4.50) 6 months 14/6 (U.S.A. \$2.25) Cheques P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publisher

FREEDOM PRESS

27 Red Lion Street London, W.C.I. England

Tel.: Chancery 8364

Open Season for Peace Continued from p. 1

... much clamour ... "

to clamour of that kind. We have made our position clear. In the event of a major conventional attack on Great Britain or her allies we would be forced to use nuclear weapons in retaliation."

Mr. Jo Grimond, the Liberal leader, considers that negotiation with Russia is going to be a long and perhaps frustrating business. "For my part I have little faith in the 'summit' . . . " "There is a danger of the free world being distracted from its true rôle by endless military debates." Nevertheless in Mr. Grimond's view Britain should make a contribution to the general Western defence programme, and the nuclear deterrent is that the West must have it-but Britain herself should not make any more

Lord Mancroft, Minister Without Portfolio deplores "the present upsurge of pacifism in this country." "How the Russians must be rubbing

agitation about nuclear deterrents their hands with joy over the junketings of the campaign for nuclear dis-"We don't propose to give way armament. To disrupt N.A.T.O. and sow the seeds of suspicion among the Western allies is a cardinal feature of Russian policy.'

Is it all a question of nuclear deterrents and summit talks, or is it the balance of power and the preservation of mutual trust in the Anglo-American alliance? Surely it cannot be the moral value inherent in British world influence or the necessity for immediate full-scale retaliation. Since no one can agree perhaps it is none of these things, in which case why are all the important people beating their brains out to express the point of view in which they really believe? It must be open season for peace—or is it only that since there is nothing else to talk about one might as well revert back to a safe, reliable subject containing none of the political dangers associated with colonial policy or old age pensions.

The result of the Torrington byelection may prove to be the turning point.

Printed by Express Printers, London F.I.