In this Issue: The Fear of Death - p. 2

Who Are They to Judge? - p. 3

Government and the Colour Bar - p. 4



"Treason doth never prosper; what's the reason? For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

JOHN HARINGTON.

6. 6

March 18th, 1950

Threepence

Keep Your Eye on M.I.5

ase raises many important considerations, many e discussed elsewhere in this issue. It has, how-bearings upon questions of civil liberty which le clear to a society which takes responsibility for prison for long periods of years.

ILING SCIENTIFIC FREEDOM

be condemned loss of liberty ry humane per-him wondering n't some fault ning side, too. one does not far to be still d. The crime Fuchs was sen-exist till a few it is only with that scientific been regarded perty. At the Harwell re-de subject to ts Act, Free-t the abrogarnationalism ching results. wing a man 4 years is a this reaction-

of scientific completely In practice ous as well, as isn't possible to

TCAL PRISONERS

mportant aspect of is that it practically the principle of imprisonment. Lord in sentencing Fuchs, t he was concerned to him having further op-es of passing on the

knowledge which he held in his head for the longest possible period of time. Surely we are not very far removed here from the Man in the Iron Mask. If men are to be sentenced for long

because they know too much and might in the future, pass on their information to others, then the next step is for the State, in self-defence, to increase the maximum sentence and silence the too knowledgable man for indefinite periods. And is it fanciful to fear that the next step is to liquidate the "dangerous" man, rather than imprison him?

Finally, there is the undoubted

filip this case gives to the Special Branch, the Secret Police, the M.I.5 outfit and the rest of them. Security, they will urge, makes it necessary for them to have still wider powers. Telephone tapping, censorship of letters and interrogations of suspects and their neighbours, will all increase. And greater resort to informers and agents provaca-teurs is already being urged in the name of "security".

Vigilance in regard to civil liberties was never more needed than now. Once again, scientists should examine their consciences as to whether they are right to give up freedom of communica-

Must These Lights Go

the aims and work its founders set out to achieve.

The Pioneer Health Centre set out to try and define health, not in the sense of more freedom from obvious disease, but of using to the full human capacities. It achieved extraordinary results. Families achieved a poise and balance there which they had not known before. Husbands and wives, parents and children developed new, freer and more responsible attitudes towards each other. Accompanying this new harmony went increased zest for life and increased physical exuberance and efficiency. Pregnant women went swimming up till the day of their confinements, and returned home within a few days, a



Pockham Health Centre photographed at night. 1947

recthem realth Centre phote were able to have a social life among others of their own age, and had the advantage of learning from those slightly older than themselves. Leaving contented kids in the Centre's nursery, mothers and fathers were freed to develop their own interests and activities without the limitations which do so much to poison the pleasures of family life and destroy the affections of parents and children.

The Pioneer Health Centre was different

The Pioneer Health Centre was different

The newspapers have suggested that the L.C.C. should take over the Peckham Centre to serve as a model Health Centre under the National Health Service. Nothing could more completely misconceive the aims of Peckham. It did not treat diseases, did not seek to duplicate the work of the existing mechanism for treating illness, of which the proposed N.H.S. Health Centres would merely be a development. The N.H.S. Health Centres would merely be a development. The N.H.S. Health Centres would merely be the did to the control of the control

County Hall has also usually meant bureaucratic strangulation, and individual initiative does not tend to thrive. The problem at Peckham will be to achieve the financial security of public control without the squeezing out of the original imaginative aims of the founders.

Official Recognition?

Official Recognition?

If this can be achieved, it may be that the closing of the centre as a voluntary institution and its re-opening with public ownership may represent an important landmark in its history. For it may mean that public recognition of the work achieved in the last twenty-five years has at last been accorded to Dr. Scott Williamson and his associates.

The Peckham Experiment has received sufficient attention from the advanced opinion of the world, that that opinion may aid the skill and experience of the founders to steer the centre through the undoubted perils of bureaucratic control.

Two considerations ought to be clearly in the minds of those who watch anxiously the future of the Centre. First, the aim of researching into positive health, to study human behaviour in conditions of freedom as Scott Williamson defines the task of Human Biology. And the second (which really stems from it), is that the member families shall be allowed to follow their own inclinations without organisers or masters of ceremonies or any any other kind of busybodies. To refrain from interfering will be the most difficult task of the municipal do-gooders of the U.C.C., especially in the cra of the Welfare State. Clear understanding will be of incalculable value in municipal progress.

J.H.

I. W. W. Anti-Franco Activity

THE I.W.W. Committee Opposing Franco reports from New York that opposition to Secretary of State Dean Acheson's proposal to restore full diplomatic recognition to General Francisco Franco's regime in Spain grows steadily in the United States. The aid given to that government by Hitler and Mussolini, and the spectacle of Franco following in their footsteps, are too recent for the American public to have forgotten.

American public to have forgotten.

Thousands of New Yorkers witnessed an impressive three-day anti-Franco demonstration in front of the Spanish Consulate there on Februray 8, 9 and 10. For two hours at noon-time each day the consular offices were picketed by members of the Industrial Workers of the World, the Libertarian Committee for Freedom in Spain, the Independent Socialist League, and the Libertarian Socialist League. The first two organisations named arranged the demonstration.

Vivid picket signs and coupeless leaflets.

Vivid picket signs and countless leaflets in both English and Spanish, handed to passersby, demanded that no American aid of any kind be given to the Franco

regime.

The leaflets given out charged that the Franco government had at least 100,000 political prisoners, with the number growing daily; that labour union members, Socialists, Anarchists, Syndicalists, and Anti-Facsists and liberals of every shade there had been tortured, imprisoned for long terms, and many of them executed. "When the Spanish people ask for bread," the committees sponsoring the demonstrations declared, "they get bullets. There is complete suppresssion of civil liberties. That is how Franco is 'saving' Spain."

Spain."

Some of the signs carried by the pickets bore the following words: "Franco Must Be. Stopped!" ... "No Loans to Butcher Franco" ... "Get Rid of Franco!" ... "Mr. Acheson: American Labour Does Not Like Franco" ... "There Will Be No Peace on Earth While Franco Rules Spain" ... "Two Down, Mussolini and Hitler. Two to Go, Stalin and Franco" ... "Solidarity With the Spanish Working Class" ... "No American Aid for Franco Regime" ... Boycott All Spanish Products" ... "Franco is Oppressing All Spanish Workers".

Spanish Workers'.

Pro-Francoites failed in two attempts to have the picketting stopped.

The spokesman for the cause of free Spain contended that the sending of an Ambassador to Madrid, favoured by Secretary Acheson, despite his assertion that this 'would not signify approval of the Franco regime,' would give Franco moral support to which he has long since forfeited any possible claim because of his inhuman policies.

Readers of America's leading news-paper, the New York Times, were re-minded in a full-column letter from the national executive secretary of "Americans for Democratic Action", that Secretary of State Acheson wrote differently about the Spanish situation on May 9, 1949, when he said in a public statement:

"The Franco Government was established with the active support, and only with the active support, of Hitler and Mussolini. A Government was established in Spain which was patterned on the regimes in Italy and Germany and was, and is, a Fascist Government and a dictatorship."

LAND OF PLENTY

IT was discovered on the 9th March that a colony of 100 poverty-stricken families has been starving to death in a desolate labour camp 12 miles from Phoenix, Arizona.

The News Chronicle reported that some of the children had not had a meal for ten days.

"The families are migratory workers, who come and go in brokendown cars, moving to wherever they think there is a change of work in citrus fruit or cotton or vegetable fields."

OVER WIN TO UNION BOSSES

so socially.

powerful union leader, Imberman frequently expects that he will same social recognition generally ded successful businessmen. It is k moment for him, says Imberwhen the labour leader has the lim realisation that he has . . . estige in the eyes of his com-

RECENT issue of Time (U.S.A.), gives employers the following advice on how to win over Union bosses. Both in an advantage of the workers know, to their cost, that a tactic that succeeds, an employer wants to avoid trouble, he should invite the sent of the local union to join his out of the local union to join his out of the really hankers for trial peace, he should get the leader's daughter enrolled in a mable dancing sehool. It isn't, heat that sound silly? It isn't, heat that sound silly? It isn't, heat that sound silly? Chicago relations man, in the January of the Harvard Business Reviewman, who has had several union head who went through weeks of the Harvard Business Reviewman, who has had several union head who went through weeks of the sour knowledge that his dature of their sunities to accept them and their unities to accept them and their unities to accept them and their unities to accept them and their powerful union leader, Imberman "Those who want to fight [their social stigms] do it in the only way they know how—shaking down the employer in one of 500 different ways, e.g., work stoppages, slowdowns, shakedowns. Imberman tells of a Detroit union head who went through weeks of nerve-sapping contract negotiations with the sour knowledge that his daughter had just been forced out of her sorority because her father was a labour leader. Says Imberman: The impotence of the father to deal with such a situation is not unrelated to the fury with which he pursues his strike ends.

such a situation to the pursues his struc-fury with which he pursues his struc-ends. "What to do about it? The business-man, says Imberman, should ask the labour leader over for a Saturday night's bridge dame, nominate him for the local-country club, invite him to work in the Red Cross and Community Chest drives. The employer's wife can help by shep-herding the union man's wife into upper-crust women's clubs."

THE FEAR OF DEATH

HERBERT READ'S new book* is which gives its title to the volume. The second and longer one consists of a series of quotations and reflections on subjects relevant to anarchism. The first piece of writing was originally delivered as a lecture in London last winter; parts of the second, under the present title Chains of Freedom, have been published congrately in magazines. eparately in magazines.

of Freedom, have separately in magazines.

In the first essay, Read gives his interpretation of the main existentialist doctrines relating to essence, existence, freedom, engagement, and the feeling of Angst. He compares these doctrines with Marxism, and offers some suggestions regarding an anarchist attitude to each of the two philosophies. It should be made clear that though he deals with these matters in simple language, for the most part, his essay is neither detached nor comprehensive enough to be called an introduction to them. (A useful introduction is Paul Foulquie's Existentialism, published by Dobson; acquaintance with it is a help to consultation of Read.) The essay is Read's contribution to a wide-spread but rather obscure controversy. The everyday language he uses does give immediacy to what he says, but it is not always appropriate to the subtleties of the subject. For this reason his thought seems very uneven; like a succession of waves it rises sometimes into brilliance, throwing off aphoristic particles which

* EXISTENTIALISM, MARXISM & ANARCHISM by Herbert Read. (Freedom Press, 3/6.)

The Anxiety of Our Time

reflect even more than they contain, and it descends abruptly to dimmer regions, leaving the reader gravelled. The peaks of the argument concern freedom and the interweaving of essence and existence; it comes to shore, almost to shipwreck, on the issue of Angst, to which Read gives especial attention. The present review must be confined to this aspect of the essay.

Angst is a condition of anguished anxiety and dread, and something like it is obviously felt by many people at the present day, for differing reasons and in respect of different things. It has an important part in the existentialist attitude to the world, but it is not equally important for all existentialists (as Read suggests), for many of whom a condition of hope, and of faith in God, provide either a release from despair, or a basic attitude from which Angst is a departure. Reads' dismissal of the various schools of religious existentialism is too easy to be satisfactory; but his concentration on the atheistic forms of this philosophy does have the advantage of giving breadth to his discussion of Angst, in the sense that he is able to speak of it not merely in relation to Sartre, but in relation to the numerous followers of that novelist whose main agreement with him is not in the field of philosophy but in the field of emotion. For though the dissemination of this particular dread is clearly due in part to the collapse of established economic and moral patterns, it is equally

due to the breakdown of religious beliefs. Angst and atheism go together; one might even say that the religious existentialists experience this anguish as part of doubt, as a lapse of faith, as an approach to atheism.

Read begins by describing the existen-

alist thus:

He is suddenly aware of his separate lonely individuality, and he contrasts this, not only with the rest of the human species, but with the whole goings-on of the universe, as they have been revealed by scientific investigation. There he is, a finite and insignificant speck of protoplasm pitched against the infinite extent of the universe . . against the still more mysterious concept of Nothingness . . So there we have the Little Man gaping into the abyss and feeling—for he still retains an infinite capacity for sensation—not only very small, but terrified. That feeling is the original Angst . .

One can speak of an infinite capacity for sensation only in connection with a very young child, and by bringing this lost infant on the scene, Read is making quite a shrewd comment on modern man, if not on the existentialists. In such a situation, the Little Man might assume a posture of defiance, or he might invent a heavenly Father to take the place of his absent parents; but Read goes on to recommend a third way of dealing with nothingness:

He surveys the scene, the little speck of protoplasm which is man, the universe, finite or infinite, on which he finds himself, and, if he thinks of the universe as finite, the dreadful gulf of nothingness beyond. His feelings are feelings of profound interest, excitement, wonder. He sees Fire and Air, Earth and Water, elementary qualities—hot-cold, dry-moist, heavy-light, hard-soft, viscous-brittle, rough-smooth, coarse-fine—and these combining and interacting and producing worlds and life upon these worlds, and he is lost in wonder. His greatest wonder is reserved for the fact that he, man, stands on the apex of this complex structure, its crown a perfection.

It seems to me that this is a striking example of Read's sensuous and spatial awareness of life, a characteristic of all his writing; but here it seems inadequate. For obviously he is no longer directing our attention to nothingness, but to Fire, Air, Earth and Water; it is just because these are so wonderful that nothingness is so dreadful, and to turn our backs on the enemy is not likely to decrease our fear. Again, the four elements he mentions are essences, which for the existencialist are subsequent to existence. But the main inadequacy, in my opinion, is that Angst is not felt in relation to space so much as in relation to time; it is not the feeling of a new-born child so much as the dread of a man about die.

One should not separate the spatial and the temporal in this absolute way, and the concept of nothingness does have an intimate connection with that of death, and with existentialism. But though the contemplation of astronomy may evoke awe, it does not give rise to the guilt which is often a component of Angst, and the nothingness of which the existentialists speak is not a spatial emptiness, as I understand them, but a moral emptiness, due to the fact that essences, and hence essential values, do not precede a man's existence, but are made by him as he asserts that existence. According to Sarrte, a man makes himself; he chooses freely, but at the same time he is engaged with the whole human situation about him; therefore he is responsible for that situation and for the values existence, but are made by him as he asserts that existence. According to Sarrte, a man makes himself; he chooses freely, but at the same time he is engaged with the whole human situation about him; therefore he is responsible for that situation and for the values created. Every action involves the world and a world out of nothing, not out of the possible; if he made the possible depend upon the existence of the world created; then he might certainly feel anxious, not about nothingness, but about his creation. The atheistic e

In the case of religious existentialism, Angsi is more obviously associated with death, being bound up with the fear of damnation and the concept of, original sin. One may die without grace, and life without an existential relation to God is life given over to death. As for that widespread Angsi which is not connected specifically with existentialism at all, it

seems to me that it is a dread of nothing-ness in a temporal and personal sense: it is a dread of death, and its power in the world to-day is due to the abandomment of man's greatest psychological defence against the dark, his belief in personal immortality. (Belief in an immortal God of man's greatest psychological detence against the dark, his belief in personal immortality. (Belief in an immortal God could be considered as an impersonal mode of the former faith.) It is only in the last hundred years or so that the majority of people in Western Europe began to die; before that they had souls. For the rationalist, death is obviously of no importance to the dead, but it does concern the living in two ways: firstly, the living become responsible for the dead, i.e., tradition; the living become like God in that they have to give a socialised immortality to the dead; the hundredweight of the past comes down upon their backs, and in order to bear the burden they have to kill off the dead, narrow down tradition, and so suffer guilt or Angst: secondly, they have to meet the future, also in the present, and realise death in existence. For death is part of our expectation of the future, and thus it enters into life: this is what is meant by the phrase, "In the midst of life we are in death": in his novel The Outsider, Camus speaks convincingly of the dark breeze of the future, and thereby his instincts; but to do this diminishes his life in the present, robbing it of value and issuing forth in an irresponsibility which in extreme cases resembles insanity; this escape is a popular one, and it leads to nationalism: i.e., a substitute religion lacking the enormous psychological advantages of the former faith. In view of these considerations, it seems to me that Read misses the point when he says that the apart. lacking the enormous psychological advantages of the former faith. In view of these considerations, it seems to me that Read misses the point when he says that the anarchist "just doesn't feel that Angst, that dreadful shipwreck on the confines of the universe", and advises us to turn to the wonder and light of nature. Blake pointed out in a celebrated quatrain that the confines of the universe may be very close at hand. Read says that an animal at play is not conscious of Angst, and that "man is only an animal that has learnt to play more elaborately"—but this second statement is not true, while the first is a recognition of the fact that animals are not conscious of death lying before them. He reminds us of Aristotle's dictum that knowledge begins with wonder; but knowledge does not necessarily lead to the end of dread; it is supposed to have led to the Fall of Man. Read seems to put forward Faust as an ideal; but Faust led a life of illusion because he was not free.

rernaps it is with the dom that we may find a problem. Freedom is r recognition of necessity: as Read remarks, and it s increase in the as Read remarks, and increases in the quan little if any difference freedom. Liberty is the range of variable it often means no moof living-standards—schools, more choices bugget is the process. schools, more choisuggest, is the pro suggest, is the pro an attribute of self less and therefore r and the fear of de distinct from that c at the point w sected by the timel core of personality, into time and socie with other selves.

have space only piece of writing h Press, and that circulation.

Information, Plea

I am attempt on the life and Magon. Unfor dearth of materia subject, so that any help Freedo to offer. Any treated carefully

c/o Freedom

Socialist Malthusians-

DR. Julian Huxley has recently given which an increasing population presents our social organisation, and urged that governments concern themselves with the problem of contracting their populations; or as Prof. Huxley put it, concern themselves with the quality of their peoples instead of the mere quantity. Even if one disregards the fact that the population of the world is still rapidly increasing (the devastation of the last war was insufficient to reverse this trend, even temporarily, despite Malthus' idea that war provided a population 'check''), anyone who uses his eyes and his imagination can see that every civilised country is uncomfortably overcrowded. Yet it is quite certain that the governments of the world will neglect Prof. Huxley's arguments, for they are all seeking to increase their numbers of subjects.

That Socialists to-day give little atten-

That Socialists to-day give little attention to this subject is due to several main causes. First, they are so committed to the idea of controlling government that their thought is entirely in nationalistic terms, and therefore consciously or unconsciously anxious to maintain supplies of cannon fodder. Then practical steps about population control obviously leads to the spread of birth control measures; but socialists are so much influenced by woting factors that they dare not antagonise the Catholic worter, nor their own substantial Catholic membership—and this is true also of every political grouping. However urgent the population problem may be, it is now integrally bound up with the need to extend the practice of effective contraception, and this scems to be absolutely repugnant to a sex-denying social organisation, against which socialists simply do not fight. Finally, the neo-Malthusians, supporters of "Eugenice" as they prefer to be called, mostly have a reactionary social outlook That Socialists to-day give little atten

which renders them unsympathetic to socialists. Even to-day, many of them become apologists of capitalist competition on pseudo-biological grounds. Thus Dr. C. V. Drysdale, in a debate with a socialist said that, "However great our sympathy for the poor and weak may be, and whatever measures we may take to relieve them, we must accept the view that those who are unable to support themselves and their children in a competitive state by their unaided exertions are biologically unfit, and that we should do all in our power to discourage their reproduction . All this is opposed to the doctrines and spirit of socialism, with its fostering of the "have-nots", denial of the superiority of the rich and powerful, and denunciation of competition . . Any idea that the poor on the average are biologically inferior is contrary to their most cherished ideals." (Eugenics Review, July, 1935, p. 110.)

It is my purpose, however, to point out that Malthusians have not always been so reactionary, nor socialists always so unconcerned with the population problem. The earliest advocates of birth control among the working-class were socialistic and very much concerned that workers should be in a position to improve their ability to carry on an effective struggle for better wages, better social conditions, and a fuller and happier life. The socialist movement of to-day, and more especially the anarchist movement important world problem. Still less so since it is becoming increasingly clear that population control, through its necessary mechanism (when applied to individuals) of effective birth control, leads on to the whole question of misery and frustration. In due course I shall discuss the urgent relevance of this question to the revolutionary movement of to-day.

AMACHIST

Bitter R

"RISO AMARO" ("Bitter Rice") Italian film, with English sub-titles. London: Rialto Cinema.

London: Rialto Cinema.

THIS is an outstanding film, even among the exceptionally fine series of Italian films which have been shown here since the end of the war. It combines the simplicity and humanity of "To Live in Peace" and "Four Step in the Clouds"; the insight into working-class life shown in "Bicycle Thieves" and "Angelina", and the warm understanding of social issues and "criminal" motivation of "Shoeshine". And as in these other films the humanity and sympathy for the men and women and children of to-day's Italy carries with it a contemptuous dislike for the inhuman intrusions of the bureaucratic and State machinery. "It am not a policeman," says an Army sergeant in "Riso Amaro", when he refuses to denounce a thief. And he adds that "prison was invented by a man who never went there—they don't do any good."

On the surface, the film has two

adds that "prison was invented by a disciplent of the any of the surface, the film has two themes. There is the life of the rice girls—a huge army recruited for a few weeks in the year to plant out the young rice plants in the flooded rice fields of Tuscany; and there is the crook who hides from the police in the rice barn while his girl friend joins the army of rice girls to conceal a stolen necklace. These two themes converge on the personality of one of the rice girls—played with extraordinary warmth and vitality by Silvana Mangano. The real plot lies in the impact of the imagined glamour of the crook on Silvana. Consumed by the struggle to escape from the misery of poverty, and with an imagination fed chiefly on books about the excitement of city life and the glitter of riches, the thief sees the answer to her wishes and desires, and not till too late does she see that the reality does not correspond to her desires. Instead, she replaces his former girl friend and accomplice as his former girl friend a

are made to play an integration. But there is a welcome absence of propa example, the majority of the contracts from the labour endered there is also a fair number girls" who hold in ocards, a refused work. Desperate frice these latter seek to secure by showing that they can that the regulars. Inevitably treated as blacklegs and a strin which an ugly situation Ultimately, they all recognisinterests coincide, and the regulars to uncless the "outside taken on as well. But the heroics, no party stuff; on motives of all concerned work unless the uncommended the string t

As Silvana get involved in the steal the entire rice store, she final increasingly separated from the padmire her and make her their queen, and increasingly driven as the frankness and generosity of mature. The psychological conflict develops seems to give an unders of "criminal" impulses which mai temporary police and penal proc hopelessly inadequate and inhuman. The insight and subtlety of

. . . from our stock . . .

Herbert Read's EDUCATION FOR PEACE George Padmore's AFRICA: BRITAIN'S THIRD EMPIRE 12/6 L. B. Namier's EUROPE IN DECAY 1936-1940 16/-16/-Emile Zola's THE MASTERPIECE

Tales of Mystery and Imagination
E. A. Poe 3/6
Winston Churchill in War and Peace
Emys Hughes 2/6
Gulliver's Travels (complete) Gulliver's Travels (complete) Jonathan Swift 4/6

The Eighteen Nineties
Holbrook Jackson 2/6 Journal of Sex Education
(Fob.-Mar.)
Volontá (Naples) Fob.
Pense et Action (Brussels) Fob.
Defense de l'Homme (Paris) Fob.
Estudios (a new illustrated anarchist
monthly from Cuba) Fob. Essays, Poems and Tales
H. W. Novinson 18/Tudor England S. T. Bindoff 2/6
Condition of the Working-Class in
England in 1844 F. Engels 8/6

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP

27 RED LION STREET LUNDON - W.C.I.

They to Judge? Are benefit of readers who may be seeing Freedom for the first time,

avoid misunderstandings which might be used to detract from avoid misunderstandings which might be used to detract from ents we shall develop in this article on the Fuchs case, we wish clearly that anarchists have been opposed to the political set-up com its very inception, and that anarchists in Russia were given all that anarchists in Russia were given lay they felt secure in their power.

THE Lord Chief Justice in passing sentence on Fuchs, permitted himself to make certain remarks which prompted even the Manchester Guardian to suggest that one might "dislike" them and that perhaps "our judicial system showed up less well than in the Eisler case." But both the Judge and the Attorney-General were distinctly uncomfortable because the man before them was obviously motivated by a higher morality than those who judged him. So much so, that the Attorney-General limited himself almost entirely to the facts of the case—or, in other words, to Dr. Fuchs' own statement and the most the Judge could say was, "You have betrayed the hospitality and protection given to you with the grossest treachery," whilst having to admit that what Fuchs did was not "for gain", but his object was to further his "political creed". And what an admission of moral weakness is contained in the Judge's closing remarks as he passed sentence: "It is not so much for punishment that I impose it, for punishment to a man of your mentality means nothing. My duty is to safeguard this country. How can I be sure that a man of your mentality, as shown in that statement you have made, may not at any other minute allow some curious working in your mind to lead you further to betray secrets of the greatest possible value and importance to this land? The maximum sentence Parliament has ordained is fourteen years; that is the sentence I pass upon you." the Bolsheviks the day they felt secure in their power. tion of the Russian

been set out at length to which the reader event of any doubts mind as to the truth But what most not d this is equally im-new reader—is that pose the regime follow that they camp—on the "democracies". e or system is affect the degree

> reason for this ur opinion that Dr. Fuchs can the grounds of (or unconcious)

by P. G. Maximoff rom Russian Prisons

Resign Prisons
peters of the control of the control

view of the lition of the providential moral end, be ordained

hwell in ay Times, \$\square{3}/50. BY ORDER ?

of order.

LICATION

Sunday Pictorial, 5/3/50.

MUTUAL AID

Though I disagree entirely with Dr. Fuchs' former faith in the Russian State—and the fact that once disillusioned with Communism he turns to Capitalist democracy, clearly shows him to be woolly-headed so far as politics are concerned—his actions were honest, though it may be argued that his behaviour to-wards his fellow scientists was not honourable. We shall discuss this point later.

SIR Hartley Shawcross said of Fuchs that "it was a tragedy when a men of high intellectual attainment allows his mental processes to become so warped by devotion to Communism that, as he himself says, he became a kind of controlled schizophrenetic—the dominant part of his mind allowing him to do things which the other parts of his mind recognised clearly were wrong." §

But is it only "devotion to Communism" which warps the mental processes. Has Sir Hartley never heard the expression "My country right or wrong", and is not the judge a partisan of this blind philosophy when by implication he expects every miserable refugee who lands on these shores to show their gratitude by abandoning their political views so as not "to bite the hand that feeds them" Why, the Capitalist system breeds the "controlled schizophrenetic" and indeed, maintains its position by breeding them. And in any case, the blind Communist is no more blind than the blind Tory, but is obviously more willing to make sacrifices for his belief—and is that such a bad thing?

DR. Fuchs was not alone in holding the view that the results of atomic research should be made available to all countries. Rightly or wrongly, he thought that control of the atom bomb by the United States alone, constituted a threat to world peace, but that, shared with Russia, the power of the bomb as a war weapon was destroyed. As Freedom pointed out recently in an editorial comment, one cannot blind oneself to the fact that there is the same fear of America to the East of the Iron Curtain as there is of Russia on the West, and since both attitudes are false (for they each assume that one side is pacific), who can honestly say one side is right and the other wrong? The Manchester Guardian obviously recognises this fact when it states in its editorial (30/3/50):

General altered the whole sense of what Fuchs and. Fughe did not admit that what he did was wrong, for at first he had "complete the Western Allies deliberately allowed Germany and Russia to fight each other to the death." It was only when he had iost faith a light of the word of the western allies deliberately allowed Germany and Russia to fight each other to the death." It was only when he had iost faith miggivings.

In any case, as the Manchester Guardian points out: "If he bit the hand that fed him, it had st any rate fed him for a purpose."

"Knowledge can impose a responsibility wider than any national loyalty, and in this case we failed to convince Fuchs that the two coincided. During the war it was hard to appreciate the sense of withholding information from the Russians, however surly and unco-operative they might be. Even to-day it is by no means impossible, or even the mark of a Communist, to doubt the ultimate purpose of our atomic work, and we do not always make clear the seriousness of our desire for atomic disarmament. These are hesitations which, unless the Western Governments can lay them, might disturb any man sharing the same unique and dangerous knowledge. And a whole succession of public pronouncements by scientific bodies, notably in America, has shown that this is in fact so—that the conscience of the atomic scientist is not at rest. For so long as they are swept up in the cold war, where science serves strategy and its findings have to be kept secret, scientific workers will often be uppeasy."

WE come now to a point which has obviously influenced adversely many people, who otherwise felt a certain sympathy for Dr. Fuchs. And it is that they consider Dr. Fuchs' attitude to his colleagues at Harwell an immoral one, in that he behaved as if he were their friend, thereby enjoying their full confidence, and then passed on to Russian agents all the information he obtained from them. The right thing to have done—goes the argument—was for Fuchs to resign and make a public statement to the effect that unless atomic research was shared with Russia, he could not take part in the work. Knowing beforehand what such action would mean—it is not the first time it has been tried, and the view that world peace depended on all powers sharing atomic information, would have been cowardice and irresponsibility for, to repeat the words of the Manchester Guardiam. "knowledge con impose a responsibility wider than any national loyalty", and one should add: wider than any personal loyalty. This may be a terrible admission for me to make, since a fundamental tenet of anarchism is mutual trust between individuals and is one that anarchists seek to put into effect in their everyday relations. Pew individuals—apart from the ruling-class who use moral arguments only to condern their enemies—are in the position whereby their actions may have international repercussions. Dr. Fuchs was perhaps one such individuals and I think that the burden of his responsibility was such as to morally justify "betraying" (though in such circumstances this is not the correct term) the confidence of those who were his friends.

It will be said that because the responsibilities were so great, no individual has the right to decide. But as things are to-day, the only alternative is to leave everything to the ruling-class. For my part, I have less confidence in their judgment and sense of responsibility than I have in Dr. Fuchs', and therefore from my point of view I am not influenced by this argument. For, though I can have no confidence in Dr. Fuchs' judgment in these matters (since he has not even now recognised that all governments are dishonest) yet I believe that what he did was done for honest motives, whereas a Government would have taken into account only one factor in arriving at a decision: strategy. And strategy is the antithesis of honesty and morality.

In Brief:

300,000 Miners Strike in Japan for 72 Hours

The Associated Press reports that:
"Three hundred thousand of Japan's organised coal miners struck to-day and will stay out for seventy-two hours.
"The nation's labour crisis is mounting. Sixty-sax thousand of Japan's 75,000 metal mine workers struck yesterday. Electrical workers are due to strike tomorrow. Wage increase demands are the cause of the walkouts."

Nearly 10,000,000 United States families received incomes of less than \$\$2,000 in 1948, reports the Census Bureau.

Eight million families received incomes of \$5,000 or more during the year. Median income was \$3,200 or \$150 higher than in 1947. Incomes of white families were about

Incomes was \$3,200 or \$150 higher than in 1947.

Incomes of white families were about twice those of non-white families. In 1939 they were three times as high. The bureau said the greatest income gains from 1939 to 1948 were those of miners, whose median pay rose from \$1,000 to \$3,000 in nine years. Farmers' incomes rose from \$500 to \$800; workers in manufacturing industries: \$1,000 to \$2,500.

"In general," the bureau said, "white collar industries such as public utilities, finance, professional services and government showed smaller increases than others."

SOVIET PUPPET ADMITS FAILURES

A HIGH East German official has made the admission that mismanagement and incompetence are rife in the national-ised industry of the Soviet Zone of

ised industry of the Soviet Zone of Germany.

Reporting to managers of the "people-cowned" industries in convention at Leipzig, Minister of Industry Fritz Selbmann rebuked light industry for overdrawing its credit to the tune of £18 million. He criticized the Eastern automobile factories for trying to export shoddily-made cars.

In spite of his complaints, however, he optimistically asserted that production quotas of the two-year plan have been generally met, sometimes overfulfailed.

Worldover Press—Frankfurt.

I HAVE already conveyed the impression that I believe Dr. Fuchs to have been motivated in his actions by a high sense of responsibility and morality, and that the State in its prosecution was immoral and jesuitical. Obviously, in taking the view that the individual has the right to oppose the State with every means at his disposal, I recognise that the upholders of the status quo will use every weapon at their disposal to crush their enemies when they threaten the status quo. Hence, if the Judge had simply said, "We have caught you giving information to another power, and I give you the maximum sentence of 14 years to keep you from doing more mischief and as a warning to others," one would gasp at the sentence (what person with a little human feeling and imagination would not at the idea of even an enemy spending so many years in prison?), but one expects it. When the State, however, introduces morality into the question, then it is time to protest. FOREIGN COMMENTARY

Miners' Strike Ends

THE American coal strike which has just recently ended has won for the miners an extra 5/- a day in wages and an extra 4/7 in welfare benefits.

an extra 47 in weather bedeaus.

For the next two years they will receive a basic daily wage of £5 5s. 4½d., and the coal owners are to pay into their welfare fund 13s. 10d. a day in royalties on the coal they mine.

Those people who imagine that workers strike for the fun of it would do well to read the News Chronicle's Washington corresponder's account of the price the miners have had to pay for this struggle, in which they "have stood solidly together in the face of hunger and hardship, threats and court injunctions." Apart from the fact that the average miner has acrificed more than £650 in wages during "this grimmest nine ments in their memory", the struggle has mean tremendous sacrifices for their families as well. "For a long time"—writes the News Chronicle correspondent—"may many stores exhausted, have lived on the bordering of the struggle has the News Chronicle correspondent—"may many miners' families, their credit at grocery stores exhausted, have lived on the bordering of starvation.

Attendance at schools in the coal towns

INEQUALITY IN THE FATHERLAND

scientists and artists when related to an average worker's wages should therefore be an eye-opener to such people—if they are still able to face unpalatable truths.

are still able to face unpaintable truths.

Six scientists were awarded Stalin Prizes of 100,000 roubles each for theoretical and experimental investigations into the burning of hydrogen. A prize of 200,000 roubles went to Dr. Gregori Shain, director of the Crimean Astrophysical Observatory, for discovering the presence of "heavy hydrogen" in the atmosphere of several stars.

In all, 277 Stalin prizes for inventions or discoveries were announced. The prizes totalled 20,100,000 roubles.

In the arts, prominent artists received 5,500,000 roubles in prizes. Most of the literary prizes were awarded for works having anti-American and anti-Western

Mr. Shostakovitch was awarded 100,000 roubles for his Song of the Forest, an oratorio which hailed the re-forestation programme of Premier Josef V. Stalin, and his songs for the film, "Fall of Berlin".

"Fall of Berlin".

The award indicated that Mr. Shostakovitch had won his fight to get backinto the good graces of the Communist party whose central committee castigated him and various other Soviet composers in February, 1948, for writing music that "strongly smells of the spirit of the current modernistic bourgeois music of Europe and America."

Europe and America."

Now for a Russian worker's wages, as given by Alexander Werth in the Manchester Guardian (6/3/50): "Wages in Moscow vary, in the case of unskilled workers, from 250 roubles to 500 roubles —that is, 560 to \$125 a month, and those of skilled workers vary from 500 to 1,500 roubles (or \$125 to \$375) a month, with shock workers and Stakhanovites earning anything up to 3,000 roubles, or \$750."

roubles is the amount an unskilled worker would earn for 33 years' hard work. Tut, tut, comrade!

TO ACCOUNT RENDERED

RENDERED
THOUGH the war ended some five years ago, the accounts have not yet been settled, as readers of this column already know. Here are three more bills for settlement.

1. Official figures presented to the Austrian Parliament show that the occupation of that country has cost them more than 5,000 million schillings (approximately £38 million) since the end of the war.

2. An informed allied source stated quite recently that the Western Allied occupation will cost Western Germans more than 4,000 million marks (approximately £320 million) for the budget year 1950-51. A consolation for the miserable Germans is that this figure is 10% less than the last budget year!

3. Poland's expulsion of the last 125,000 Germans in her territory gained from Germany after the war is now under way.

Twenty-five thousand are to go to West German y under a Polish agreement with the Western Allies and the West German republic. One hundred thousand are to settle in the Russian Zone. Within a year there will be no Germans left in the East German provinces "recovered" by the Warsaw government, a semi-official Polish source declared.

"These territories had about 8,000,000 Germans before the war," the source said, "Now they have over 5,000,000 Poles."

Can anyone estimate in dollars or roubles the price in human misery that this last item represents, so that it may be entered in the Accounts Book?

C. Berneri:
KROPOTKIN—HIS FEDERALIST
IDEAS
Errico Malatesta:
ANARCHY
VOTE MILAT FORD WHAT FOR? 6d. Id. M. L. Berneri:
WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley:
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH
AND THE MODERN AGE
2d. Gaston Leval: COLLECTIVES IN SPAIN Id. Charles Duff:
A HANDBOOK ON HANGING 2/-John Olday:
THE MARCH TO DEATH
THE LIFE WE LIVE, THE DEATH
WE DIE 2/6 A. Ciliga: THE KRONDSTAT REVOLT Icerus: THE WILHELMSHAVEN REVOLT 6d. "Equity": THE STRUGGLE IN THE FACTORY 3d. McCartney: THE FRENCH COOKS SYNDICATE 3d. William Godwin: SELECTIONS FROM POLITICAL P. J. Proudhon:
GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUTION
IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5/-

FREEDOM PRESS 27, Red Lion Street, London, W.C.I.

VERY few people with any interest in politics or insight into social affairs will have been much impressed by the government's denial that colour questions had no part in the Seretse decision. The facts of the case are not fully clear, but since the government refuses to publish the findings of the Court of Enquiry, they are mainly to blame for that; and it seems a reasonable inference from their refusal that they are hushing-up information which does not support them.

them.

When Seretse Khama married an English girl, and returned to his tribe to justify his mixed marriage, his apparent success was a welcome advance in the struggle which progressive people have for years carried on against colour prejudice and mutual hostility between peoples of different colour. The colour issue has been in the forefront from the beginning.

beginning.

A fortnight after the third meeting of the tribe which finally accepted Seretse and his English wife as rulers, Sir Evelyn Baring, the High Commissioner, according to Seretse's statement, sent his congratulations and said that he expected to receive confirmation of his recognition as thief from London. Significantly enough (again according to Seretse's statement) he added that he expected to receive protests from South Africa, but that the matter was a domestic one which did not concern the Union. Sir Evelyn Baring, in addition to being High Commissioner for the Bechuanaland

meetings and announcements

UNION OF ANARCHIST GROUPS: CENTRAL LONDON

INDOOR Lecture-Discussions ever unday at 7.30 p.m. at the Trade Union Club, Great Newport St., W.C.2 (near Leicester Square Station).

March 19th Speaker: Albert Meltzer "WHY DOES ANARCHISM PROGRESS SO SLOWLY?" March 26th Speaker: F. A. R
"PRESENT POLITICAL PROSPECTS

April 2nd Speaker: Michael Bass
"RUSSIA'S FOREIGN POLICY" April 9th No Meeting

GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP

INDOOR MEETINGS every Sunday et in land 17 p.m. at the CENTRAL HALLS, 25 BATH STREET, GLASGOW. Frank Leech, John Gaffney, Eddie Shaw.

MERSEYSIDE ANARCHIST GROUP OPEN DISCUSSION MEETINGS held fortnightly PLEASE NOTE: NEXT MEETING

Sunday, March 26th, at 3.0 p.m. Meetings fortnightly thereafter. Enquiries: Ring Royal 4669

COLNE & NELSON DISTRICT

Discussion Group to be held fortnightly. Sunday, March 26th at 7.30 p.m. Twisters and Drawers Club, Cambridge Street, Colne (Lancs.)

HAMPSTEAD

Discussion Meetings
are held every Tuesday at 8 p.m. prompt.

5. Villas-on-the-Heath,
Vale of Health, Hampstead, N.W.3

Series on Will.

Series on Wilhem Reich:

Thursday, March 23rd: "THE SCIENTIFIC BASIS OF REICH'S WORK"

Discussion led by Jimmy Telfer ase note change of day—Thursday, not Tuesday, for above meeting only)

Tuesday, March 28th:
"REICH AND IDEOLOGIES"
cussion led by Albert Benveniste

ANARCHIST SUMMER SCHOOL 1950

It has been suggested that the Summer School be held in London this year. Will Groups and individuals send "Freedom" their views or elternative suggestions? Remember that the School has to be planned a considerable time in advance.

PUBLIC MEETING

Y OUTH HOUSE, 256 Camden Road Camden Town, N.W. I on MONDAY, MARCH 20th at 7.30. ALBERT MELTZER

"ANARCHO-SYNDICALISM IN EUROPE"

Protectorate, is also Ambassador to the Union of South Africa.

The Government's Bad Faith

The government however acted differ-ently and have now exiled both Secretse and his uncle, the Regent Tshekedi Khama, who opposes mixed marriages, from Bechuanaland for five years—the situation to be reviewed after that time has elapsed.

has elapsed.

The way in which this decision was reached suggests bad faith on the part of the government. Scretse and his wife were invited to London for talks. They obviously suspected that it was a device to get them out of the way and so present the tribe with a fait accompli, for they asked for assurances that they would be allowed to return. Scretse writes: they asked for assurances that they would be allowed to return. Seretse writes: "We received numerous official assurances that we were not being shanghaied, but all requests for a written undertaking that we should be allowed to return were turned down. Mr Fraenkel, my legal adviser, was given a emphasic serve. turned down. Mr Fraenkel, my legal ad-viser, was given a emphatic assurance by Mr. Clarke, the Imperial Secretary, that there could be no question of my being barred from Bechuanaland in view of my birth there. But he would not give me this in writing. When a tribal delegation made the same request it was also rejected..."

The government's double-faced attitude seems confirmed by Seretse's impression that before the talks started the government had already reached a decision and that nothing he could say would make any difference. In other words, the talks were merely a formal blind.

The Tribe Were On His Side

The Tribe Were On His Side

The government states that it fears
"repercussions" in the tribe. But the
tribe's initial doubts appear to be
adequately cleared up and Seretse's position, rather than Tshekedi's, accepted.
Mr. Fraenkel told Mr. Gordon Walker
that he was authorised by the other seven
Bechuanaland tribes to say that they supported Seretse and his wife, and he produced a petition organised by one of
them. A leader in The Times discussed
the question of whether Seretse had the
support of his tribe and regards the
evidence that he had such support as
beyond question. The Times goes on to
say in so many words that the government's decision was based on consideration for the colour bar policy of Dr.
Malan. In view of the importance of
the question, and its source, The Times'
remarks are quoted here at length:

"It is common knowledge, however,
that the Nationalists now in power are

WHEN

WE certainly do not object to people who were "Communists" changing

depths to which the Tory business class can sink.

There would not be the need for such witch-hunting, going to the point of refusing people the right to work for their living because they once were pro-Stalinist when their persecutors were pro-Nazi, incidentally (but that seems more respectable to-day), if the U.S.A. had a Labour movement similar to that in Great Britain. There is no doubt whatever that the majority of the members of the British Government could never pass the scrutiny of an un-American Committee. There has always been a certain degree of more or less pro-Stalinism in the Labour movement as there has always been a certain degree of more or less pro-Stalinism in the Cansental Even the Labour leaders who were most bitterly against the British Communist Party were sympathetically inclined to the Soviet Union, and the present generation of Communist youth flatly

not only committed to the doctrine of the separation of races but dislike sharing their continent with other communities in which it is not applied. On this issue, moreover, the Opposition in the Union is by no means united against them. They have also a deferred claim to the transfer of Bechuanaland to Union sovereignty, which they may well be disposed to assert the more insistently if they see growing up there a society fundamentally at variance with their ideas. These grave considerations must be acutely perplexing to the British Government. But they do not touch the rights and wrongs of the case. No good can come of compromise, especially a compromise involving injustice to individuals, if its aim is to blur the outline of the truth. The truth is that British Africa is divided between one great independent State which believes in the colour bar and a number of smaller States in which the colour bar is repudiated. The conflict is tragic for the Commonwealth, but it cannot be for ever evaded."

Contemptible Actions Protect Business Interests

Now, on reflection, what attitude are we to take over all this? That the government have acted hypocritically and in bad faith seems unquestionable. It will not be the first time that the Labour Party has behaved contemptibly, and it is diffi-

in bad faith seems unquestionable. It will not be the first time that the Labour Party has behaved contemptibly, and it is difficult not to feel bitter contempt for this sort of thing, especially as Seretse and his wife are clearly striking a blow against the colour bar which could have powerful reactions all over Africa.

But can one take a more charitable attitude towards the men in the government who have taken this despicable line? One can argue that they have to consider not merely the immediate issue, but its repercussions on imperial unity, on the United Kingdom's relations with the Union of South Africa (no doubt involving trading agreements, etc.); in short that it has to be guided by practical questions as well as by principle. There can be no doubt that these are valid considerations for men in office, and they may go some way towards excusing the government as individuals.

On the other hand, this charitable interpretation is a serious indictment of the business of government itself, for it means that the considerations of office corrupt honest men and permit injustice to be done for the sake of placating reactionary, fascist, race attitudes. The Seretse decision only underlines what everybody knows about governments. It is time they were finally discredited. J.H.

knows about governments. It is they were finally discredited.

ABC OF AUTHORIT

J is for Justice, which Kropotkin pointed out, is merely organised vengeance. He showed that so-called Justice and the State, "are related institutions—derived from one another, supporting one another, being historically one . . . both have a common origin in the same idea: Authority . . Coming from an age of serfdom it helps to maintain serfdom in present society; through its police, prisons and the like, it is an open sore, throwing out a constant stream of purulence into society, a far greater evil than the one it is supposed to fight against."

Central London Meetin

THE meetings at the Trade Union Club near Leicester Square, have been well attended so far this year, with discussion being lively and partisan, where controversial issues have been raised.

Subjects dealt with ranged from a balanced comparison of Marxism and Anarchism by Allan Vaughan, and the nature of direct action, in a stimulating address by Sidney Parker, to a thorough examination of the impact of birth control on our lives by John Hewetson. Just before the general election, Albert Meltzer spoke on the futility of elections, skilfully outlining the histories of the contending parties and the unlikelihood of any of them solving the problems of our time.

of our time.

Workers' Control or War?

A feature of our programme this year has been the introduction of debates, and the two held on March 5th and 12th indicate that although debating can be stimulating, it does not necessarily provide the means of arriving at a greement.

On March 5th, Philip Sansom for the London Anarchist Group debated with Don Bannister of the London League for Workers' Control on the motion that "Workers' Control will be achieved by Industrial Action alone." Philip Sansom, the proposer, attacked the use of political parties as a means of achieving Workers' Control of industry, pointing out that the workers' strength lies at the point of production and not in Parliament or National Boards.

He illustrated the lessons to be learned from Russia, Spain and Britain (1926), when militant workers' action was side-tracked by political interference. Anarcho-syndicalism with workers organised in Industrial Unions, is the only method by which workers will gain full control of industry.

Don Bannister opposed the motion, stating that whilst he agreed all political parties had failed in the past they would not necessarily fail in the future, but that there was no way of avoiding the risks taken by political action. He maintained that the failure of political parties was due to their un-democratic structure, but that a democratic political group could bring about workers' control. In the discussion which

the reasons for man's to his religious and mo that the inculcation of and unselfishness made for the powerful.

Sybil Morrison oppabolishing Governmer War. She felt instead sufficient number of war, the Government abandon it. She states be persuaded not to appealing to his instincts.

The discussion which with Tony Gibson's suthe flaws in the opposite

* Out and THE MUNI DOSS HO

IN East London the turned into a broke out and Facing the cobbl of little cells, eac The windows we at the bottom of false sill of stee was several included window-sill of bri perforated by two about the size of You may woods?

Special Appeared February 23rd to March

R.S. 5/-; Glasgow: A.McD.* H.A.A.* 6/-; Anon* 2/6; Lond 15/-; Hounslow: W.E. 5/-; C.L.D.* 5/-; Douglas: M.C.* 2/ C.L.D.* 5/-; Douglas: M.C.* 2/ K.L. 6/6: London: E.B. 9d.; Londo 5/-; Stirling: R.A.B.* 10/-; Londo 5/-; Gosport: A.J.M.* 5/-; London: 8/-; London: E.B. 1/-; London: Huddersfield: A.L. 5/-.

1950 TOTAL TO DATE

GIFTS OF BOOKS:
Bargoed: A.R.O. Thundersley: C.W.
*After initials indicates contributors
the 5/- a month scheme proposed by
London reader.

FREEDOM Anarchist Fortnightly Price 3d.

Price 3d.

Postal Subscription Rates
6 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$1).
12 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$2).
12 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$2).
13 months 9/6 (U.S.A. \$2).
14 months 9/6 (U.S.A. \$2).
15 months 9/6 (\$3).
16 months 9/6 (\$3).
17 months 9/6 (\$3).
18 months 9/6 (\$3).
19 months 9/6 (\$3).
10 months 9/6 (

CHANGE

WE certainly do not object to people who were "Communists" changing their minds. What really surprises us is that many intelligent workers in the "Communist" ranks could fail to do so. But the new figure of "ex-Communist" to which we object is somebody quite different from the man or woman honest enough to admit he has seen through his illusions. This is the person who has for years been a Communist, or (lacking the courage of his convictions and wishing to continue making the best of both worlds) been a Communist "fellow-traveller", and who now changes because it is rather a convenient time to do so. Having thus tempered himself to the winds he proceeds to attack his former comrades using all the inside information to which he formerly had resource.

The type is rather more common in the U.S.A. than here, but then the wind is somewhat fiercer. To shake clear of the Communist stigma, it is usually necessary to adopt some religious label, and the good old Catholic-Comintern has come in rather useful in that respect. The American Tories still howl after the blood of the ex-Communist, however; in the attempt to link up the Roosevelt administration with Communism (for political ends), they are digging back into the Popular-Frontist period when the Liberal professional class was mixed up with Stalinism, and the Un-American Committee has furnished some interesting examples of the depths to which the Tory business class can sink. TRAINS disbelieves that when the Anarchists first wished to make known the truth about the Bolshevik dietatorship when Lenin was still alive, the men who refused a hearing to such militants as Emma Goldman were not the present pseudo-"Lefters" who were then not heard of, but the Ernest Bevins who the beautiful the beautiful that if it were new. We are reminded of a similarity with one noble Tory peer who after having been enthusiastically pro-Hitler through all the massacres, stated a few weeks after the outbreak of war that one incident of ineffectual firing on fishermen had changed his views completely, indignation and convenience being very closely allied.

FELLOW

CHICKENS COME HOME TO

CHICKENS COME HOME TO ROOST.

The London Evening Standard has endeavoured to bring the American procedure here by an attack on John Strachey's appointment as Minister of War, which they linked up rather libellously with the Fuchs case. Strachey's denials that he was ever a member of the Communist Party, and the Herald's naive explanation that this was before the Politbureau era (it apparently overlooked the fact that this was then known as the Comintern), are pointless. There is no doubt that Strachey was the leading Communist intellectual in this country. Prof. Laski, of all people, tries to vouch that he was not a member of the party. Probably not; neither was Gollanez, neither was Laski. But the three, as directors of the Left Book Club, put over the "Popular Front" following closely and slavishly the Stalinist line; there was not a hair's breadth of difference between them until the war broke out, and what happened then was not that the L.B.C. changed, but the C.P. changed. Strachey's book Betrayal of the Left by its very title is an indication that they felt the C.P. had run away from their joint beliefs.

However, the L.B.C. had a very good run in the war exposing the pro-Fassist records of the Tories. They never went quite so far as to suggest any Ministers.

TRAVELLERS

might actually be spies because of their past, but they went pretty near suggesting they might be potential Quislings. Probably quite correctly, but they had their innings—Lord Beaverbrook hit back at Laski by making him the Bogeyman of 1945 and has now hit back at Strachey (Gollancz is presumably next)—and how can they blame the Tories if they try the same thing on now that pro-Stalinism is to be the enemy?

Would it not be more in keeping with decency for the Ministers to admit an honest truth they know very well: namely that in spite of Sir Hartley Shawcross's blather at the Fuchs trial, it is not true that a Communist must necessarily be a spy.

Perhaps some people may be led by their convictions to supporting the opposing side in a war, as did some of the fascists. But we know that the majority of ex-Fascists and ex-pro-Fascist did in fact change their views, or at least their side, as did the ex-Communists and ex-pro-Communists, as Mr. Strachey knows very well. Why, then, hound so many people from their jobs, as has already happened, and—as will probably happen should their be another war—impose another form of 18B that will this time take in the Stalinists, (and with them not the top-ranking leaders who will naturally repent in good time but the people who have nothing really to do with Stalinism and have only cooperated with them because of a woolly desire to do something to help their ideas.?

We need no speak from expediency. The dumbest secret policeman in White-hall knows our record of opposition to

desire to do something to help their ideas.?

We need no speak from expediency. The dumbest secret policeman in White-hall knows our record of opposition to Stalinism. But if there are Ministers who feel uncomfortable at the unwarranted and impertinent digging up of the past, let them not continue and intensify a drive against the muddly, vague, uninformed but often very well-intentioned amorphous mass that passes off as the "Left". They have one advantage over the Americans—they have come through the mill themselves and know very well that the Communists could not stop a faifgue party from the unarmed Pioneers going to the front if they really wanted to do so. It is not really necessary to be quite so hysterical as some would find that all our present C.P.-ites were secret spice of Wall Street all along.

A.M.