anarchist weekly

SEPTEMBER 30 1972 Vol 33 No 40

THE GOVERNMENT's advertising campaign to put over its Housing Finance Bill has run into slight difficulties on ITV. This is not because, as you might think, the description of the Bill as a 'Fair Rents' Bill is a gross fraud under the Trade Descriptions Act, but that it is felt to carry a taint of party political propaganda, which would never do for ITV. The usual shoddy hypocritical British compromise has been arrived at, which one understands describes the Bill as the Fair Rents Housing Finance Bill. It is as if a food manufacturer advertised his product as Nourishing Poison Food Starvation Product.

What is a Fair Rent? Conservatives, who have devoted their political philosophy to the capitalist system understandably believe that a fair rent is as much as the market will bear. The Labour Party, with vague pretensions to Socialism and an actual loyalty to the capitalist system, believe it should be what the tenant can pay—and what is economically sound. Post-war oscillations between both types of Government have exposed the tenant to insecurity, to rising rents and the non-tenant to homelessness.

At the back of the Conservative mind is the concept of fairness to the landlord, who is always envisaged as a frail old lady living on the few pence she gets from controlled rents—why is it one always lives under the other kind? There is also the magic formula that the Conservatives have dreamed up that THE GOVERNMENT's advertis-

trolled rents—why is it one always lives under the other kind? There is also the magic formula that the Conservatives have dreamed up that they are going to subsidize people not houses, hence the new Housing Finance Bill which will arrive at a mystic figure (by stages) of a fair rent. This will be imposed both more criticals tenants and moon upon private tenants and upon council tenants. (Upon the latter even if their housing authority has a financial surplus.)

a financial surplus.)
For a party opposed to bureaucracy and welfare the Conservatives have gone to the extraordinary length of setting up a complex bureaucracy which will determine a fair rent and a second apparat, which will make rebates to tenants. So that they can pay the increased rents! In this way they will subsidize the landlords!
The idealistic dream of the Con-

ENT IS FAIR RE

servatives is for a property-owning democracy and they have never concealed their dislike for municipal housing, this Bill is aimed at wiping out the stain of subsidized housing by discouraging Councils from further expansion and by raising municipal housing to economic levels.

The textbook concept of an unsubsidized society is set at nought by the Conservative concessions to a property-owning democracy, by their furtherance of tax allowances for mortgages and their continuance of generous subsidies (initiated by a Labour Government) for improvement grants to convert a tatty little slum house into a chic petite townhouse, However these are subsidies to the right kind of people!

This constant pressure upon the source of houses, the creation of the two-house family, the bourgeoisfication of working-class suburbs, the constant rise in municipal rents, the

cation of working-class suburbs, the constant rise in municipal rents, the elimination of rented property, have all forced families willy-nilly either into homelessness, overcrowding or into buying property on a mortgage and participating in the rat race at a higher social level. The problem of housing is nothing new, Engels wrote about it in the nineteenth entury, this solution was to take century (his solution was to take over the homes of the rich); it has nothing to do with immigration or emigration or what kind of governis in power.

Thirty years ago the government had a housing problem, thousands of people had to be housed in a hurry. The manpower of the building trade was mobilized and whole townships of accommodation were built, in many cases individual houses built, in many cases individual houses of a high standard. Where this was not considered mansions were ex-propriated and the homeless were allocated living space. The question of cost or of subsidies did not arise. There was a problem and it was solved by the quickest method. Thirty years later we still have the problem gency of a war-situation, for it was in wartime that we built townships for the services and billetted eva-cuees. We are not solving the problem and what is more we claim it is insoluble.

em and what is more we claim it is insoluble.

To give the Conservatives the credit of a devout belief in the ability of capitalism to solve the housing problem, this Bill is the purblind fumblings of an idiot creed rather than the deliberate malice of a grasping gang of moneygrubbers. That the money-grubbers will win out there is no possible doubt whatsoever. At the same time the Labour Party have shown no adherence to the least vestige of a Socialism which would solve the housing problem — which — forgive me Cathy!—is only a technical problem—given a determination to solve it which is conspicuously lacking in Governments of all stripes.

But what are we to do? On the rebound are in washing the constitution of the rebound are in washing headers.

But what are we to do? On the rebound, as is usual with electors, Labour councils were elected in many towns and cities. When the details of this Tory Housing Finance Bill were revealed they were full of

central Tory government. and is still, pointed out and is still, pointed out that the Tory government would levy a sur-charge on councillors who refused to implement the orders to increase council rents; the Tory government also threatened to install a Housing Commissioner to administer the increases. (It is strange how by some dialectic, the anti - bureaucratic Tories set up multiplying bureauc-

racies.)
The Sunday Telegraph (17.9.72) quotes a Labour councillor as saying, 'We had the choice of breaking our election promises, breaking the law or resigning.' Also in the Telegraph is the report of the Council at Rugeley in Staffordshire which desided regionally to appear the Act. rent payments (what's Socialist about that?). They decided to avoid surcharges on individual councillors, to ask the Minister of the Environment to appoint a Housing Commissioner. This the Minister refused to do, and said that the Councillors would be liable. They also threatened other steps

against tenants at which the Council retreated.

against tenants at which the Council retreated.

The Telegraph reports council leader Mr. Terry Cowlishaw as saying, 'It was the bitterest day of my 20 years in local government service. We are still determined to show that the rent increases are being imposed by the Government and not by the local authority. We have a banner outside the local rent office saying it is not the wish of the local council, and our rent books will show the rent we approve of as well as the total.—Thank you very much!

The number of Labour Councils 'opposing' the act has dwindled from the original numbers, some have been 'bought' off by concessions of reduced increases from £1 to 75p and others by the process and reasoning of the Rugeley council. We find once again that the so-called Socialists have feet of clay. As with the Common Market and the Trades Disputes Acts, we find these staunch champions of the

As with the Common Market and the Trades Disputes Acts, we find these staunch champions of the masses quibbling about 25p, or the interpretation of some clause or Continued on page 3

THE STRUGGLE CONTINUES

ALTHOUGH THE VAST majority of ALTHOUGH THE VAST majority of abuilding workers returned to work after the 'shabby settlement' with the employers, they did not feel that they had been defeated. Far from it, twelve weeks of struggle have changed the industry out of all recognition. As building workers we were told, that by the very nature of the industry, strike action, on a large scale, was not only impossible but would also be ineffective. The contrary has been proved on both contrary has been proved on both

There is now a widespread feeling that the unions, or rather the Union of Construction, Allied Trades and Technicians, got the employers off the hook, because, after another two or three weeks, the bosses would have settled the

dee, the strike continued for another week in protest against the settlement. Local union leaders were shouted down when they tried to get a resumption of work. But at later meetings they decided to return.

decided to return.

However it would be a mistake to say the struggle is over. For as the strike developed it became plain that the dispute went further than just a struggle about wages and hours. The strike for the activists became a fight against the 'lump', blacklisting and victimisation. The settlement has done nothing about these all-important questions. Having sent the men back, the unions left their members to fight these problems on their own. They ignored the demands of the picket centres for a phased return with the centres controlling the reopening. If and when the 'lump' had been removed from the site. This tactic would have driven the lumpers out of the industry but instead it was left to the sites who have union organisation to fight on their own.

ON STRIKE AGAIN

ON STRIKE AGAIN

However, disputes did reopen on the question of the 'lump'. At a GLC site in Marylebone, the 'lump' firm of O'Hara was removed from the job after another week of strike action. Operatives are hoping to get O'Hara withdrawn from all council sites. Another job in the area, Taylor Woodrows, had a similar success.

At the Cubitts World's End, Chelsea, Council housing site, the sub-contract bricklayer refused to pay the new increases. Cubitts also tried to transfermen off the site. By the afternoon the site was on strike again. They are trying to get the support from other Cubitt sites and force a victory over a company which has continually blacklisted and victimised shop stewards.

Birmingham workers on Bryant jobs are continuing their strike action to gain the £6 national award on top of their company agreement of £30 for a 40-hour week. This will mean that 23 sites will be idle. Some companies have cut bonus payments in order to recover the £6 settlement. Cementation is one of these and strike action is expected to force the firm to honour the settlement. Although the settlement stopped a long way short of our demands, it is not seen as a defeat. The picket centres are still in existence and are trying to function. The Action Committees are likewise still meeting but unlike the centres, the influence of the full-time Regional Secretaries makes these Committees talking shops, rather than initiators of action. In fact, one of the criticisms made of the London Action Committee during the strike, was that it only met once a week, when it could have played a more decisive role. After all the Committees included rank and file militants as well as full-time officials.

PICKET CENTRE MEETINGS

PICKET CENTRE MEETINGS

If the important gains of twelve weeks of action are not to be lost, then it is vital that the picket centres remain. West London and Gower Street centres have already held meetings in order to continue the struggle against the 'lump', blacklisting and victimisation. The feeling at these meetings was that if the unionised sites assisted one another and organised the picketing of 'lump' jobs, we could take up the struggle where the settlement left off. It was also felt that the agreement should be turned over after its first year and a further, increase and 35 hours demanded.

What is plain is that the strike has

What is plain is that the strike has created a rank and file militant upsurge which will use its new-found strength in the coming months. Both the em-ployers and the union leaders were aware

of this upsurge and therefore reached a settlement. As with other union leaders, the leadership of the building workers' unions are far removed from the day-to-day problems on site. The huge death and accident rate, the black-listing and victimisation, that are part and parcel of the industry, only appear as figures and reports to the union leader. His is the life of an administrator, negotiator and a labour statesman. His roots may be working class but his life and ambitions are those of the middle class. Workers should not put their faith in union leaders, but should come together themselves to build effective organisations of the rank and file. The twelve-week strike has shown us what can be achieved by our own efforts, the opportunity to learn from it and build on that experience should not be lost.

FRANCO'S FRIENDS

THIRTY-FIVE YEARS Russian Communist dictators and their sympathisers strangled the Spanish Revolution it now seems that they are set to further sabotage the continuing struggle against Franco's fascist regime.

A recently signed treaty provides for greatly increased trade between the two regimes. Negotiations are also under way for a civil aviation agreement which will allow the Russian state airline, Aeroflot, to fly a shorter route to Cuba and South America via Spain.

and South America via Spain.

The Russian rulers see the potential of trade with Spain and are eager to secure closer links with the Spanish regime. However, Franco is believed to be insisting on the return of the Spanish gold reserves shipped to Russia by the Republican Government during the Civil War and assurances against the encouragement of Communist agitation against his rule.

How long will it be before this final betrayal of the Spanish working class?

VALPREDA IS STILL IN PRISON

PIETRO VALPREDO, and three others. have now been nearly three years in prison. Accused of causing bomb explosions, as long ago as 1969, they still await trial, and the public seem entirely indifferent to this violation of what is supposed to be democratic justice in the free world, and all that. Furthermore, two Fascists have been arrested in Milan and charged with the bombing. Presumably the idea is to bring them all together, and charge them jointly, although the spectacle of anarchists and Fascists being tried side by side, as part of the same conspiracy, is a bit too much, even for the most bigoted authoritarians.

Valpreda is literally 'rotting in jail'. He is seriously ill with leukemia. Although he was arrested in Milan, he was brought to Rome for the pre-trial investigation, despite protests from his defence counsel that this was improper. The trial opened in February, but the court declared itself to be incompetent, and ordered that the hearings take place in Milan. Thus implying that the pre-trial investigation may have been irregular. The Milan public prosecutor

has asked the Court of Cassation to take the hearings away from Milan. And so round and round we go. Meanwhile the health of Valpreda and his comrades in misfortune continues to deteriorate. Perhaps the authorities hope that the problem of what to do with them will in time solve itself. In some ways Italy is still in the age of Mussolini—and operating on many of his laws!

Valpreda has now made an appeal Valpreda has now made an appeal to the Milan courts that he and his colleagues be either brought to trial or set free. Press reports do not strike an exactly optimistic note about his chances of achieving anything by this. Ultimately only public indignation can save him, and the popular mood (not only in Italy) seems to be one of cynical indifference.

According to the latest report we have received, Valpreda has now been transferred to a hospital. The trial, if it ever takes place, is not to be in Milan but in some, as yet unidentified, town in the South of Italy. No reason has been given for this.

DEAR MR. MOYSE, thank you for your letter of September 4, 1972. I agree with your opinion that Henri Gaudier-Brzeska's reputation now stands considerably higher than in 1952 when you previously suggested the commemoration of one of his London residences. However, on examining previous correspondence, I find that Gaudier-Brzeska's studio at 454a Fulham Road was in fact demolished prior to 1952 Etc. and oh etc. . . the possibility of erecting a plaque at 39 Sterndale Road or Reburn Street will therefore be investigated, etc., etc., etc., yours faithfully, Miss E. D. Mercer, BA, FSA, Head Archivist for Director General the Greater London Council, September 7, 1972.

Greater London Council, September 7, 1972.

And all those long hours that one spent, twenty years ago, sifting through the old street records have in the end been justified, and Henri Gaudier-Brzeska's short life within our Town shall, after twenty years, be so honoured. For those who love the creative arts there is that moment in time when a single painting or a poem became the key to an empire of the intellect and of the heart, whose lifelong exploration shall cease only at death. A few lines of a poem by Wilde, a Beardsley drawing, a painting by poor dead Kit Wood, a single chord of music or the memory of an actor posing on a bare stage, and we can name the hour, the day and the year, even as the mystic claims his personal communication with God.

One remembers Henri Gaudier-Brzeska's carying of a sentle, resting.

God.

One remembers Henri Gaudier-Brzeska's carving of a gentle, resting fawn, or the beauty of his marbled Laughing Torso. In the late nineteen thirties one stood, ill dressed and hopeless, lonely in the huge crowd around the platform of the Socialist Party of

A Declaration of Interests

Great Britain, and listened to Tony Turner rage at our corrupt society. This was our university and the lean and angry Tony Turner fed our starved souls with hope and our minds with a desire and an intent to examine and destroy our worthless world.

Then high unon his platform in the

an intent to examine an destroy worthless world.

Then high upon his platform in the grey November air Tony Turner held aloft Nina Hammett's autobiographical Laughing Torso (as Nina, despite what the film says, posed for the torso, she had every right to use the title). Tony Turner cried, with the religious zeal of one of Cromwell's Ranters, that here was living printed proof of an evil and a degenerate society and one knew that Tony Turner had fulfilled his task in the furtherance of my education, for he had revealed the cage of his brilliant intellect.

NO SAVAGE MESSIAH

NO SAVAGE MESSIAH
Gaudier-Brzeska was no savage messiah
but a young and creative sculptor in
the great European tradition. In elay
his work possessed all the flowing immobility of Rodan's fingered clay, when
flesh and dress cloaked the tortured
bones, and in stone he accepted not only
the discipline of the New Age but the
traditions of the Craftsmen of Orleans,
and in white marble he carved new
harmonies, yea, even unto his masterpiece Laughing Torso, whose young
breasts and scarcely rising belly reject
Ken Russell's cheerful and colourful
pantomime of the artist as a happy
clownish extrovert.

The cinema has ill served the artist by turning all the long hours at the canvas into a few seconds of filmic creations. So with Vincent Minelli's film of Van Gogh, so too with Russell's Savage Messiah. Yet one cannot protest but only sigh, for the film was made to amuse a mass audience and it can take its place alongside The Devils and The Music Lovers. The film belongs to Dorothy Tutin for we can believe that this was Gaudier-Breseka's friend and companion who lived out her sad, mad life after her young lover was killed in battle in 1915.

Throughout the film Dorothy Tutin gives a controlled performance of a woman under daily stress, and her final collapse, outside the scope of this film, must follow on with an awful logic.

For myself I can but regret the film that was never made. This was the world of Ezra Pound, Wyndham Lewis, Augustus John, John Middleton Murry and cheap meals at the Cafe Royal, yet Russell gives us nothing beyond a few music-hall caricatures of these people, and for reasons known only to God and Russell a night club scene named, for the well read, as the Vortex.

MANY FAULTS

There are many faults within this film. It is badly written, badly cast, with scenes that are no more than stage sets, especially with the Putney basement, for Russell lacks Ford or Hitchcock's use of the long shot to give his filmed drama a tragic universality, but it is a good attempt, and the Archivists of

Films + CONTROL OF THE REVIEWS + CONTROL OF THE BOOKS the Greater London Council should be paid and made to attend its showing. Russell, and all praise to the man, hired the poet Christopher Logue to write the script, and it is an honourable failure.

the poet Christopher Logue to write the script, and it is an honourable failure. Logue has written many a lovely lyrical poem, and like Browning mocked the world and its evils in blank verse and broken line, but there is a flaw in Logue's talent that, like a fart in a church or a hymn in a whorehouse, halts the well wishers. As within this film, one pays attention to Logue's dialogue, despite the visual. An unnecessary obscenity, a crude phrase or a too tailored mot that is merely a refashioned cliché marks the flaw in Logue's talent, the stain upon the flawless marble, for this film belongs to the actress Dorothy Tutin and the poet Christopher Logue, and it is their homage to the master Henri Gaudier-Brzeska, who died in hattle at one in the afternoon on June 5, 1915, during an attack on Neuville St. Vaast.

A Fistful of Dynamite

THIS FILM is the documentary type report of the 'revolutionary' activities of a Mexican bandit who becomes a leader of a guerilla army in the Mexican

of a Mexican bandit who becomes a leader of a guerilla army in the Mexican revolution, and an IRA man. The action takes place around the high point of the revolution with the Zapaństar about to enter Mexico City and the Villistas growing in the northern provinces. This would be late 1914 and therefore the existence of the IRA man is historically incorrect.

What is more disturbing, however, is the equation of revolution merely with military victory. The causes of the revolution, land redistribution and feudism, don't gain mention at all, instead we have ambushes, raids and attacks on trains. Indeed, in the most interesting scene, for revolutionaries at least, the Mexican attacks his Irish comrade for his detached, scholastic method of revolution and his constant book study saying that such things don't prevent the poor from dying. The Irishman considers and then throws his book into a puddle, incidentally the book is one by M. A. Bakunin.

This is perhaps characteristic of the film as a whole. Ideas are not presented except to back up the scripwriters' concept of revolution as being no more than a coup detat of the poor over the rich. There is however one part that gives us some satisfaction. The Mexican bandit initially concerns himself only with robbery. The Irishman uses this to fool him into raiding the bank in Mesa Verde which had been turned into a political prison. This brave act' of course turns the Mexican into a people's hero! From then on circumstances force the Mexican into the role of a revolutionary leader rated as highly as Villa and Zapata. The climax comes when the military government send one final punitive mission, the train is blown up, the forces shot down, but the Irishman is shot down with them and in the fire that follows his stock of explosives that he carries inside his coat blows up.

An interesting film of what revolution

An interesting film of what revolution



Address all letters to AFBIB at above address. The Contact Column in 'Freedom' is available for urgent information. Please laform AFBIB of new or changed addresses of groups and deferations. New enquirers aboutd write direct to the Regional addresses listed below or AFBIB office in Birmingham.

AFB REGIONAL FEDERATIONS AND/ORGROUPS

There are now anarchist groups in almost every part of Britain. To find your nearest group, write

part of Britain, To find your nearest group, write to —
N.E. ENGLAND, Mick Renwick, 34 Durham Road, Giteinead, C., Durham, M. Durham

HERTS, Val Funnel, 10 Pry Road, Chells, HIRKIS. Val Funnet, 10 Pry Road, Chelis, Description of the Control of the Control of the Control of Control

CAMBRIDGE. John Jenkins, 75 York Street, CAMBRIDGE. John Jenkins, 75 York Street,
cambridge. Lib. Grp., 81 Mytchett Road, MytSURREY, Surry,
YORKSHIRE. Trevor Bavage, Flat 3, 35 Richmond Road, Leods 6.
SCOTTISH FED. Secretary: Mike Malet, 1 Lynnwood Place, Maryfield, Dundee.
Glasgow, N.W., Chits Kerr, 9 Mayfield Terrace,
Edithourn, 9, Tel. 669 299;
WALES. c/o AFBIB Birmingham.
N. IRELAND. c/o Freedom Press.
LIB. TRACH. ASSN. Peter Ford, 36 Devonshire
Road, N.W.Y.

Road, N.W.7. PLYMOUTH. John Northy, 16 Adelaide Street, Stonehouse, Plymouth. SHEFFELD. Tikka, 4 Havelock Square, Shef-EIRE FEDERATION. c/o 20 College Lane, Dublin, Hire.

ORA GROUPS

NORTH LONDON. 68 Chingford Road, E.17. WEST LONDON. Steve Kebble, 1 Frays Court, Victoria Road, Uxbridge.

BELGIUM, Groupe du journal Le Libertaire, 220 rue Viveanis, Liège.
RADICAL LIERRYARIAN ALJIANCE. e/e LAIS, 2457 GPO, New York, N.Y., 10001.
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF ANARCHISTS. P.O. Box 9885, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440, USA.

Please notify us if entries in these columns need amending.

There is no End

Now AND AGAIN a slight feeling of bookphobia overtakes me, it is not not just an occupational disease but the serried ranks of books that pour from the publishers like the output of a sorcerer's apprentice give me spasms. Of books, and of books about books, and maybe books about books about books, and maybe books about books about books is of Pacifism: a selected bibliography compiled by John Hyatt and published by Housmans at 25p. It is, in fact, a 54pp. pamphlet. One's first impulse is to ask who wants such a pamphlet? The general reader (God bless him/her!) does not need such a guide. The student doing a thesis on the subject does not seem to be sufficient of a public; he will, in any case, simply badger the organizations concerned (perhaps PN or the PPU have such a market in mind). Next, there is the collector or bibliomaniac who will use this as his score sheet and badger the Clique with this formidable, and not entirely complete list. There are, of course, the reprint houses but one knows that an American publishing house has embarked on a massive programme of reprinting photographically the Great Masterpieces of Peace.

Since many of the books are out of print and really scarce—John Hyatt would have done everyone a service by putting the simple letters 'op' for out of print after—alas!—many of the titles. Whilst I am on about this, I will fall gracefully into the common reviewers' trap of attacking a book for not being what it never set out to be. As a bibliography it fails again by not describing the number of pages. I know many of these works to be pamphlets and it would save the time of booksellers and librarians if they knew this awful fact—pamphlets are often very important material neglected by libraries and most booksellers—Freedom Press and Housman's excepted. (As for second-hand pamphlets, they're either given away or fetch the earth!)

Secondly I think there should be a section classifying the renegade 'pacifists'. It does the writer very little service (and the reader too!) to believe that the writer held to these views. One might even list pamphlets or books in which the writer expressed contrary views. The prime example which springs to mind is Beverly Nichols, his good hack-work (when we were all pacifists) Cry Havoc, rendered for popular consumption the facts of the Bloody Traffic and is listed by Hyatt, his pamphlet 'In the Next War I shall be a Conscientious Objector'

is not—fortunately. But his apologia Men do not Weep (one essay-chapter) is also lacking even in the 'Pacifism's Critics' section. This section also lacks the very important C. Day Lewis (Left Review) reply to Huxley We Are Not Going To Do Nothing.

In flipping through—who reads bibliographies?—one notes the absence of John Hoyland's How Christ Met Aggression. but this could be covered in April Carter's bibliography on Non-Violence. Dwight MacDonald's Root Is Man is listed only in the Indore, India edition, and not in the various amended and revised editions since; even MacDonald has revised some of his views! Randolph Bourne's The State seems not to be listed although his other works are. There are a lot of books on my mind published by the Peace Book Club before the war, by H. M. Swanwick and L. P. Jacks for example, which might have been included.

Finally while I'm still carping, why not list novels dealing with pacifists' They should be worthy of a section from FITZROY's Despised and Rejected, via HUXLEY's Eyeless in Graa to J. E. B. STUART'S The Objector. They have something to contribute to the serious student of pacifism.

'Pacifism' is still a fighting word in the anarchist movement, therefore any

Pacifism' is still a fighting word in the anarchist movement, therefore any serious study of it is to be welcomed. If John Hyatt's bibliography helps towards this it is welcome.

'Nothing but Quotes'

QUOTATIONS FROM THE ANAR-CHISTS. Edited by Paul Berman. Praeger, \$2.45.

HERE IS YET ANOTHER American paperback anthology of anarchist writings, but this time on a rather different pattern from Horowitz, Krimerman and Perry, Hoffman, and Shatz. Instead of a small number of long passages, it contains a large number of short passages—rather like Quotations from Chairman Mao. The closest parallel is in fact a French anthology edited by Bernard Thomas and published in 1969 under the unfortunate title Ni dieu ni maître (unfortunate because it was of course the same title of Daniel Guérin's massive and magnificent anthology of 1965). Thomas's book was a cheap paperback containing hundreds of brief quotations, mainly from the classic anarchist writers, rather carelessly arranged by subject, with a good preface, a chronology, and a bad bibliography. Berman's book is a relatively cheap paperback containing hundreds of brief quotations, mainly from some of the classic anarchist writers, rather carelessly arranged by subject, with a poor preface, a few biographical notes, and a summary bibliography.

The main problems of any anthology are what it includes and excludes and HERE IS YET ANOTHER American

The main problems of any anthology are what it includes and excludes, and what purpose it serves. Berman says: 'The only Anarchists included are socialists—more properly, either Anarchist Communists or Anarcho-Syndicalists' (with the addition of Proudhon). He has excluded all the individualists, 'because they were not explicit socialists', and also all Christians and pacifists—which means the omission of Godwin, Stirner, Tolstoy, and many other figures of great importance in the origin and development of the anarchist ideology. The writers represented most frequently are Bakunin, Kropotkin, Malatesta, Berkman, Goldman, and Rocker, in that order. The passages quoted date from between 1840 and 1938.

Almost all the material in the book is already available in English, though some has been translated from French. Berman seems to know no other languages, and as a result his coverage of—say—Russian and Spanish writers is weak; nor is it helped by such elementary slips as calling Makhno's followers 'Makhnovitsi' rather and Makhnovitsy or remarking that there are no works in English devoted exclusively to Spanish Anarchism'. So this book doesn't offer much that is new; the question is whether it offers it in a useful form.

A collection of quotations from this

It offers it in a useful form.

A collection of quotations from this group of anarchist writers certainly gives an impression of their ideas in a fairly painless way, but it isn't certain that this is the best way of doing so. We are given only a sentence or two at a time, followed by the name of the author and work, with a date (frequently incorrect). What we get is not so much anarchist thought as various anarchist thoughts, with no supporting argument, no linking commentary, no explanatory notes, no proper context, no attempt to place them in history or philosophy or anything else.

Perhaps there is something here for

Anarchist Classics Series ABC OF ANARCHISM

-Alexander Berkman

Biographical Notes and Reprint of Freedom Press

Edition with a new Intro-

duction by Peter E. Newell,

20p (2½p)

readers who know virtually nothing about anarchism and want to find out something quickly about its socialist variety. It is difficult to see what else there is—there is certainly nothing for serious students of the subject—except possibly a kind of source-book for striking aphorisms like those which used to appear on the front page of FREEDOM every week. For all their faults, the existing American anthologies really perform a more useful function; and there is still no substitute in English for Guérin's Ni dieu ni maître. Down Again!

Contributions

September 14-20 inc. London, S.W.18: A. & M.M.H. 50p; Wolverhampton: J.L. 80p; J.K.W. 20p; Sunderland: D.H. 20p; Huddersfield: J.F. 33p; Carmarthen: W.B. 36p.

PRESS FUND

Total: £2.39

(1) INCOME FROM POSTAL SUB-SCRIPTIONS AND SALES (Target for 1972—£4,500) Amount received

Amount received to September 20 £2,243.61
(2) PRESS FUND CONTRIBUTION (Target for 1972—£1,500)

Amount received September 14-20 inc. Previously acknowledged

TOTAL TO DATE £978.02

TO MAKE SIMPLE analogies with the past can be a futile and dangerous practice but it really does seem that history repeats itself. Marxist groups in the Bolshevik tradition have, like their ancestor, Lenin, been forced by the spontaneous level of spontaneous industrial and community militancy—the antithesis of sterile party-building—to effect a facade which anarchists might sometimes be tempted to look upon sympathetically. Workers' control and workers' councils have been seized upon with opportunistic

have been seized upon with opportunistic glee.

In 1917 many Russian anarchists were convinced by Lenin's April Theses and his State and Revolution that he had miraculously made a great leap in their direction. Yet subsequent events were to show that vaguely expressed libertarian sentiments were no guarantee against the construction of a ruthless, centralized party, which eventually crushed the implacable foe and the sympathetic critic without distinction. The left-wing Bolsheviks who had acceded to the suppression of the anarchist bandits' were soon afterwards to suffer the irony of a similar fate.

We know good revolutionaries who accept the anarchist critique of cen-

similar fate.

We know good revolutionaries who accept the anarchist critique of centralism and beaucracy and yet they continue to work within organisations with the expressed objective of creating a centralized party in search of power! To such comrades we cannot say too strongly that authority, centralism and bureaucracy within the revolutionary movement must be destroyed NOW. It is not an academic debate to be post-poned until after the Revolution because if bureaucracy and the power of leaders are not destroyed now then they will destroy us, and our hopes for the future, on the morning of their coup d'etat.

However, there is a simple acid-test which clearly divides the power-seekers from those who wish to see a free society created by the initiative and active participation of the people concerned. Anarchists believe that people everywhere—whether workers in Britain or peasants in Vietnam—could create a free world where people really would have control of their own lives tomorrow if they resolved to have no more of leaders and governments. Our efforts by word and

BE REALISTIC!

deed are dedicated to convincing our fellow-workers of that simple fact, and we do not believe that they are too stupid to comprehend it. We are not looking for followers, pawns to be used in our games, so we are not interested in conning workers with empty slogans, or flattering them when we believe they deserve criticism.

Two readers' letters recently criticised the position taken by FREEDOM over Vietnam and the recent docks dispute. In an orgy of opportunism one reader urged us to 'swim with the tide of workers' movements from Vietnam to dockland'. What this reader failed to recognise was that in the two instances quoted the best interests of working people were not being served, and this is why FREEDOM refused to join the bandwagon of mindless militants and pseudo-revolutionaries.

mindless militants and pseudo-revolutionaries.

A victory for the NLF in Vietnam will not bring freedom to the peasants in Vietnam. The 'national liberators' will form a new government, a new tyranny over the people. Like all politicians everywhere they inflict every suffering on the people in the name of the struggle for 'freedom' whilst they plan the ways they will regulate the lives of the people when they take over from the old rulers. The label 'guerilla army' is no guarantee of revolutionary good faith. Yesterday's guerilla is today's dictator in so many parts of the world. There is no iron law of history which demands that a colonial people must endure the dictatorship of nationalist rulers on the way to their own liberation. Furthermore, there is no reason whatsoever to believe that a nationalist government is a step towards that liberation. By perpetuating the myths about 'national liberation struggles' people who claim to be internationalists confuse workers by suggesting that there is a nationalist oution to the problems of the people. Whether in Vietnam, Ireland or Britain there is a common

enemy: government and authority.

The action of the dockers in the docks dispute underlined everything that is wrong in the trade union movement. Instead of uniting with container-workers to further their common interest the dockers turned on their fellow-workers. Such divisive action weakens the working-class movement and strengthens the hand of the employers and the State. Undoubtedly, great differentials in wages are the greatest enemies of solidarity and should be discouraged by anarchists within their own unions. Militancy and direct action are not automatically revolutionary and they are, in fact, reactionary if they destroy the freedom and solidarity on which a new society could be built.

It was one of those unfortunate twists of history that the first time solidarity action was needed in support of workers imprisoned under the Industrial Relations Act the workers involved had been involved in action which inevitably tended to destroy that solidarity, e-tunately, on this occasion, working-class

tended to destroy that solidarity. For-tunately, on this occasion, working-class solidarity was strong enough to stand

the strain and it forced the release of the imprisoned men. However, the sight of dockers' delegates being violently attacked by militants of dubious origin further alienated many workers. Anarchists demand the right of a dissenting minority to make their own decisions and to act accordingly in the way of unofficial action, etc., but it is the tactic of the fascist thug to use violence against fellow-workers in a vain attempt to achieve a 'democratic' majority. Revolutionary ends cannot be separated from means: freedom of action is the only basis for creating genuine solidarity.

Freedom will continue to advocate the building of Utopia now because it is a realistic possibility here and now. We are all men and women with free minds capable of changing the world and building a better life; we are not the slaves of mythical historical processes, doomed to the fatalistic acceptance of the suffering and injustice of humanity. We will have a struggle to throw-off all the rulers and exploiters but the important step is to have done with the dirty game of politics and the commen ever eager to set themselves up as leaders. A slogan which appeared on the walls in Paris during the revolutionary days of May 1968 states the position clearly: Be realistic—demand the impossible!

Terry Phillips.

Terry Phillips.

TERRY PHILLIPS.

Rent Tribunals

HOW STUPIDLY LAZY we all are. Here I was in a cosy cottage-slum paying four pounds a week and seemingly quite happy to pay it. For it seems most people take no action until they are driven through desperation or absolute need. Also although a person may be able to read and write it doesn't necessarily mean he can fight with words and pen. Then again it causes unpleasantness. Few people want to upset others unless it is absolutely necessary in order to obtain one's rights—a fair rent; or a deservedly cheaper rent—or a stay-of-eviction! But unless some action is taken then it only causes the feeling that it is not worth ever approaching rent tribunals.

However what must be done is simple; in my own case, having received notice to quit, I got in touch with the local. Rent Officer. From him I received the terms to fell in for a Tribunal (Rent

in my own case, having received notice to quit, I got, in touch with the local Rent Officer. From him I received the forms to fill in for a Tribunal (Rent Tribunal). After filling in the form for Furnished Lettings (Rent Act, Part VI, 1968) stating what furniture was the landlady's and what was mine; adding comments as to the nature of the cottage; being without drains; water and gas but having electricity; stating that no rent book existed for first 18 months of nearly fours years' living there (called optimistically 'Six Chimneys' but having only two; being able to see six mine, enginehouse chimneys down the Troon to Cam Kie valley) and explaining that the furniture provided cost a total of perhaps just £25 and could in no way be con-

sidered sufficient to call it a 'furnished letting' . . . the three members of the tribunal, a solicitor chairman; a trade unionist; and a conservative hat-wearing lady-like personage, plus the council officer recording evidence, took note, heard the landlady's evidence, then considered the case in private; having earlier that day been up to the cottage to check that what was stated on the tribunal form by landlady and tenant was in fact so.

form by landlady and tenant was in fact so.

The tribunal came to the conclusion that they could not make any statement on the 'fair rent' or time to quit since they found the cottage to be outside their jurisdiction . . . declaring in other words the cottage to be inadequately furnished—to be an unfurnished tenancy, with six months' notice before eviction can take place.

This can be challenged by the landlady through a county court but would of course cost money and she would be unlikely to win in this case.

So take heart and defend yourself whenever possible. If went into the local library and read up on the 1968 act. Made notes and tried to understand as much of it as possible. You can do the same for any such legal affairs. To pay solicitors is a business racket and too costly in every way, since win or lose, morally right or wrong, they couldn't give a shit to put it simply. All the best with your court cases.

DENNIS GOULD.

The New Bigotry

Dear Comrades,

John Brent writes a plain misstatement of fact in FREEDOM (9.9.72.

The New Bigotry'). He writes, 'Jensen believes that Negroes are innately inferior to whites...' This is a lie about Jensen, and it is worthwhile to nail lies about people wherever they are published. Obviously reactionary and racist papers would like to pretend that this is what Jensen and other men of similar scientific eminence have claimed, but the scientists have not claimed that Negroes are 'inferior'. It is a pity that lies of this kind should be retailed in an anarchist paper.

Not only deliberately racist journals

be retailed in an anarchist paper.

Not only deliberately racist journals have used this lie, but bourgeois journals like New Society and the Guardian have helped to cloud the issue for political ends or for the sake of sheer journalistic sensation. They would like to pretend that there is a real, meaningful difference of scientific opinion between so-called 'environment-alists' (goodies) and 'hereditarians' (baddies). In fact, scientists competent in related disciplines know that this is an entirely false dichotomy which is being played up by journalists. It is also being seized on by ignorant bletherers of both the right and the left-including some well-known academic figures who blether on TV without any competence in this particular field.

If anyone is interested in what Jensen did say, they should study Jensen. As far as I have read his writings they seem to me eminently sound. By careful research and sensible theorizing he has made a valuable contribution to knowledge. In contrast, John Brent's dogmatic statements are largely unfounded and dogmatic, and his views appear to be both snobbish and sexist. He writes, '... most men and nearly all women are profoundly reactionary in their feelings'. What bloody nonsense is this? 'The New Bigotry' seems a fitting tile for this hodge-podge of the prejudices of an elitist snob.

London, N.5 Tony Girson.

LETTER

John Brent replies: Source of information, Guardian, 20.9.72. This paper seems to have taken quite a fancy to the man. People seem to me to be reactionary because of what they are taught. It is not innate, and not their fault, but sexist, elitist or not, I rarely meet people who are not reactionary. Male anarchists outnumber female by a large majority. I think this is due to the roles society imposes on people and trains them to submit to. As far as the media are concerned, I have decided to give up both the Guardian and New Statesman, who seem to be using racism as means of increasing circulation, and if Tony Gibson is correct are not too squeamish about lying.

ST. IMIERa Century has passed

A Gentury has poor of the Black International, formed by the anti-authoritarian socialists in 1872. Bakunin neglected to inform his readers on the state of the weather. Which probably accounts for the optimism that the organisers of the recent reunion felt in billing it as a centenary picnic: it was bucketting down in the Swiss Jura mountains as almost two hundred people of varying nationalities gathered near St. Imier's tiny square, now used as a car park. Amongst them were Swiss, Italian, German, French, Austrian, Japanese, Australian and American comrades, with myself as the sole representative of British anarchism. We retired to a dead posh hotel, where we crammed ourselves into a first-floor restaurant, having mounted a staircase that was so plushly carpeted as to make me consider the fact that our comrades of 1872 would marvel to see us! In the restaurant some of the younger comrades braved the hard floor to 'pic-nic', whilst those with more money partook of an expensive meal with lots of booze.

All the old grandpas were there, or

partook of an expensive meal with lots of booze.

All the old grandpas were there, or so it seemed, taking advantage of their seniority in years to deliver long-winded accounts of the anarchist movement of the last century, doubtless from the first hand. Most of the speeches were in Italian, which left me yawning as I sat in the middle of the floor.

Those of us who are still active were eager to use the few hours together to exchange ideas and experiences. In

my halting French, I did my best to explain that there were still anarchists in Britain and that some of us were kicking. A plea for action seemed to go in scores of ears and come out the other side, but did receive some replies; so if there is anyone in this isle who wishes to make contact with our comrades on other continents, please get in touch with me via Freedom Press.

At one point we were treated to some revolutionary Spanish songs, complete with accompaniment on a Spanish guitar, which was followed by a multi-lingual rendering of the 'Internationale', twice. A Japanese comrade started speaking in French but finding the strain too hard broke into Japanese amid a round of applause and bemused laughter. But we got the message.

After everyone who had something to say had said it with more besides, some made a pilgrimage to 'L'Auberge de la Clef', where lived Bakunin whilst he was amongst the watchmakers of the Jura Federation. Photographs were solemnly taken, especially by some Italians who lined up the entire family from Grandpa down to the littlest, in a way reminiscent of a Catholic ritual. Doubtless the photo will be framed and on the sideboard for all to see along with a bit of Bakunin's hair.

At the Passover, the Jews say, 'Next year in Jerusalem'. Well, here's to the mext centenary in St. Imier, in the Free Commune of St. Imier,



The Doctrine of Anarchism
of Michael A. Bakunin
Eugene Pyziur £1.10 (6p)
The Rise of the Irish Trade
Unions 1729-1970
The Owner-Built Home
Ken Kern £1.95 (12p)
The Libertarian Handbook 1972
(ed.) Vincent McCaffrey
and Mark C. Frazier £0.80 (3‡p)
The Slavery of Our Times
Tolstoy £0.25 (3‡p)
Making Communes
Clem Gorman £0.75 (5p)

Making Communes
Clem Gorman £0.75 (5p)
Bakunin on Anarchy
(cd) Sam Dolgoff £1.35 (9p)
Black & Red—An Anarchist
Journal from Australia £0.20 (2‡p)
As we don't see if
Solidarity (London) £0.05 (2‡p)
The Great Flint sit-down strike
against General Motors 1936-37
Walter Linder (Solidarity) £0.10 (3‡p)
From Bolshevism to Bureaucracy
Paul Cardan (Solidarity) £0.05 (2‡p)
The One Big Union Structure
of the IWW £0.28 (2‡p)
The Penal Digest International
—American prison Mag.
Edited by the prisoners
themselves £0.50 (10p)
New Urbanism

New Urbanism Contact Nieuwenhuys £0.10 (21p)

Please send foolscap (9" x 4") S.A.E. if you would like to receive booklists



2 p.m. to 6 p.m.

Open Evenings
Open Evenings
close at 8.30 p.m.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES, 1972

Inland, and Surface	Mall abroad	
for one year	£3.00 (\$7.50)	i
six months	£1.50 (\$3.75)	į
three months	£0.80 (\$2,00)	į
Airmail		
Europe &	1 year £4.00	
Middle East	6 months £2.00	į
The Americas	1 year \$12.50	Å
100 1100	6 months \$6.25	ł
India, Africa, &c.	1 year £4.50	j
Ilidia, ittiidi, iii	6 months £2.25	į
Australasia &	1 year £5.00	į
Far East	6 months £2.50	į

Inland, and Surface	e Mal	abros	nd
12 issues		£2.25	(20.00)
6 issues		£1.13	(\$3.00)
Airmail			
USA & Canada	12	issues	\$11.00
	6	issues	\$6.00
Australasia &	12	issues	£4.50
Far East	6	issues	£2.25

RENT

Continued from page

another, instead of rejecting the Bill

another, instead of rejecting the Bill completely!

The primary concern with acting in a Parliamentary manner and doing nothing illegal—or anything which will affect the Councillors or MPs personally is an abhorrent concept. Has it been forgotten that George Lansbury, when he was a Poplar councillor, went to jail on just such a principle as a conflict with the Central Government on the poor law? And did not the councillors of St. Paneras suffer financially for a similar principle. It may be claimed that the working class are lions led by donkeys, but to accept leadership is proof of lack of lionlike qualities. What of the

rent strike? Must the tenants al-ways depend on the broken reeds of morally bankrupt Labour coun-cillors giving a lead? As if one were a dog!

cillors giving a lead? As if one were a dog!

The primary insult of the Housing Finance Bill is the degradation of having to go cap in hand, as it were, to ask the Authorities for a rebate. It is quite obvious, as with much social services, that many are too proud to claim what is theirs by right, but which has been elevated to the status of a favour. Short of telling them what they can do with their Rebate, the only thing is to refuse to pay the increase on the grounds that you're not getting a rebate.

Jack ROBINSON.

JACK ROBINSON.

This week in Ireland (& Darlington)

MISS SMARTY / gave a party / No one came' ran a nasty little rhyme of my childhood. It does look as if Whitelaw's conference in Darlington on Monday is going to a large extent to justify that doggerel. Only three out of the seven parties invited are attending, unless he and Heath have a bit of real courage and let out all the internees. Another thing working against anything useful coming from this meeting is that upon the very same day the European Commission on Human Rights begins its enquiries into Lynch's allegations of torture, etc., in the six counties. Of course Heath will say all 'domestic remedies have not been exhausted', and that no 'inhuman or degrading treatment has taken place', and try to get any hearing quashed before it begins. The Dublin Government will be doing its utmost to push the case up to the admissibility stage—which it feels it can win. If they succeed Britain will be only too anxious to find a friendly settlement.

Meanwhile the SDLP have issued their propositions for bringing peace and stability in the six counties, and to me

propositions for bringing peace and stability in the six counties, and to me they are like a breath of fresh air in their innate reasonableness. They are their innate reasonableness. They are novel in that they see an interim system for Ireland, not only as necessary, but

as a positive advantage for the evolution of a proper government system for the whole of Ireland. They allow for dual nationality, the flying of whatever flag one likes, the Union Jack, the Tricolour or a special six county one (myself 1 hate ALL flags as 'aggro'). The Unionists will fight the reasonableness towards every one of the SDLP suggestions. In the awful words of Maudling they are prepared to accept 'an acceptable level of violence', until they can beat everyone who thinks differently from them, and allow them to retain their terrible powers of brutality and repression against ALL the minority. The SDLP document is refreshingly free from any taint of oneupmanship. It is primarily a buying of time to let men of good will talk.

Meanwhile John Taylor says MORE not less guns should be issued to private citizens to defend themselves against the IRA. I can only think his wound deranged his mind completely. Craig and Faulkner have quarrelled and Craig won't go to the Whitelaw non-party at Darlington,' saying it is a betrayal, and Stormont must be fully restored with all powers for security vested in it. The saddest thing is the bidding for personal power among ALL degrees of Unionism.

rather than any consideration of the good of the country, or even of their deluded poor working class followers. They are a mass of paper Hitlers, but like Hitler capable of doing so much hurt to the

Over the weekend I was at a 32 cor

Over the weekend I was at a 32 con-ference held in Carrickmacross on The Human Environment. We worked well together, which goes to show what can be done, and if my speech shocked some of the other delegates it pleased more than it shocked.

In Dublin to the great joy of practic-ally ALL the ordinary people the govern-ment HAVE refused to allow an oil refinery to be set up in Dublin Bay, though the get-rich-quick speculators are furious, considering their profits more important than amenities for Dublin people.

people.

Meanwhile in the six counties the murders from both sides, and the British troops, go on unabated. A youth was killed. Three shots IN HIS BACK as he ran away, but the army swear he was an armed gumman and they shot him down as he fired at them (over his shoulder with eyes in the back of his head?). No gun was found, and onlookers, including priests and a doctor, say he had none. The army stick to

This is what can be termed as acceptance.

This is what we can fight now, during a time, if only nominally of peace.

If war is the result of bad diplomatic argument, it follows that it can be avoided by good political argument. That international aggression can be avoided by expertly applied logic. That, in a theoretical utopian state, war would not be necessary, would not be 'accepted', because learning, logic, argument, had superseded it. This also implies that history is a form of evolution that has taken man from a state of savage barbarity to a state where 'politics' can, if used correctly, do away with the necessity for violence. Project this graph into the future, to a more civilised, to a more learned world, and perhaps that

ising could outgrow.

Bertrand Russell, in a different way, also hinted that man's was a dualist character, that 'education' could eliminate his basic savagery. His much quoted dictum that 'Survival is a race between education and annihilation' proves this.

education and annihilation' proves this. Wells' disillusionment, and subsequent pessimism is, of course, easily mirrored by the whole direction of literature in the first half of the twentieth century. From Eliots Waste Land, to the total dedication to pessimism of Andreyey, to the Dadaist movement. Jacque Vache lived his life as an art-object, the ultimate expression of which was double murder and suicide, a gory joke upon a life that had no meaning, morals or reason. This provement to pessimism can be

This movement to pessimism can be seen as a reaction to the overwhelming confidence of the latter part of the 19th century, with the promise of industrialisation as a means of creating a utopian society. A reaction to the

what they said. As John Hume pointed out of the 30 shot in somewhat similar circumstances by the army only three were armed attackers, but the army swear all were gunmen and stick to it, in spite of evidence to the contrary.

The little town of Lugan has had an attempt at burning out the small enclave of Catholics by uniformed UDA. Many have been intimidated and have left voluntarily in terror. It is outsiders who come in and trigger off these happenings, and though here the police behaved very properly the army DID NOT, helping the UDA. I have to go to the six counties twice for weekends to speak in October so will be able to see a lot for myself if I come back alive.

I find light relief in the remark of soi-disant Sean MacStiophan that the

LESSONS

WEA (Manchester Branch) lectures in-clude one on 'ANARCHISM'. The blurb is as follows: 24 meetings from October 2, 7 p.m., Mondays, Arts Building, S.I.8, Man-chester University. A. P. Tsavlopoulas, BA

Mondays, Arts Building, S.I.S., Man-chester University. A. P. Tsavlopoulas, BA.

The course has a two-fold aim: to acquaint the students with the lives and writings of the major Anarchists and to attempt an interpretation of the Anarchist phenomenon in all its man-festations. It is proposed to establish the essence of Anarchism by drawing on etymology, mythology, anthropology, history and literature. The theories of rebellion and revolution will be examined as well as the different visions of an anarchical society. No previous knowledge of the subject will be assumed, but a general interest in ideas would be desirable.

Further details: Miss E. B. Finlay,

desirable.
Further details: Miss E. B. Finlay,
9 Ullswater Road, Handforth, Wilmslow,
Cheshire. Tel. 95-25974.

IN ANARCHISM

attitude of 'all's well in this best of possible worlds', in the light of World War, Fascism, and destruction of the

And, getting back to the original

nessity for violence. Project this graph into the future, to a more civilised, to a more learned world, and perhaps that theoretical utopian state could exist. It can, for the convenience of this argument, be stated that man is a successful evolutionary experiment. He has cities, a complex legal code, vaunting ideals expressed in great art, literature and music. It can also be stated as an accepted fact that evolutionary civilisation is a process not only of racial, but of the eradication of traits of savagery in the individual.

This premise can be illustrated by H. G. Wells' passage in his History of the World, where he quotes the example of a theorised, unprepared temporal traveller finding incongruous, the sophistication of philosophy, art, legislation of ancient Rome, with its belief in prediction of the future by examination of the intestines of freshly killed animals, its lusty pagan religions, its barbarity in the arenas. The two extremes, he implies, should not be found together.

At this stage in his development Wells would also have found paradoxical the ceremony of ripping the hearts from living victims, in the civilisations of central America, whose mathematical genius is a source of amazement and respect today. And, getting back to the original argument, that of the shift of polarities of the attitude to racial violence. The first idea that the force for destruction could be bred out of the race by logic, learning, and civilisation. Meaning that the force of aggression was 'learned', taught by the environment, was not inherent, and could be unlearned.

And the idea that superseded it. That man was inherently evil, and doomed by his own genius for destruction.

Both ideas can be seen as unreconcilable opposites.

Or as two sides of the same coin.

Paralleling the shift from optimism to pessimism is the breakdown of that other 19th century characteristic, the idea of 'systems'. The belief that everything could be set apart, divided, neatly labelled. The great age of the pigeon-hole, the effect of which extended into the rigid class system of the Victorian caste strata, to the arts, sciences, every facet of life and belief. The very fact that we speak of the 'arts' in the plural, instead of art in the singular, is but one legacy of this method of thinking.

Therefore the shift from one ideological extreme to its polar opposite can, in this light, therefore be seen as just the product of one particularly limited way of thinking. Confused by semantics to an almost impossible degree.

Can we not go beyond this backwards and forwards bickering?

Go beyond the complex arguments, the division, the word games.

Think of Wells' paradox of ancient Rome, its twin in Aztec central America, the Auschwitz, the Hiroshima, the Vietnam, that will confound all philosophers who attempt to construct a Darwinist theory of evolutionary pacification through civilisation.

Every peak of achievement throughout history, be it in the field of science, art or philosophy, is equalled only by the ferocity and barbarism of the military or political activities that parallel 't. Buoto stamping on a peasant's face—for ever' rings painfully true.

It can be argued, of course, that this apparent connection between barbarity and achievement is just proof o

central America, whose mathematical genius is a source of amazement and respect today.

Later, as he wrote Mind at the end of its tether Wells had ceased to be perplexed, as he predicted the extinction of the human race. By then he appears to have gone to the other end of the ideological spectrum. From optimism, to an extreme pessimism that believed in an inherent capacity for destruction and savagery that no amount of 'civilising' could outgrow.

Bertrand Russell, in a different way.

(To be continued) ,

ontact Contact Column is for making contact! Use is free, but donations towards typesetting costs are welcome

Provos are going to court martial Maria Macguire for treason and she will be condemned to death. 'First catch your

Help Fold and Despatch 'FREEDOM' Thursdays from 2 p.m., followed by discussion at 7.30 p.m.

Workers' Control. Brighton Group need speakers for a meeting. Expenses paid. Contact: Flat 3, 26 Clifton Road, Brighton.

Croydon Libertarian Group meets 1st and 3rd Tuesday each month at 7.30 p.m., Top Flat, 4 Warminster Road, S.E.25. Contact for other activities: B. Miles, 38 Farm Fields, Sanderstead, S. Croydon, Surrey, CR2 OHL (01-657 4860).

Comrades seek flat within 1 hour public transport journey Enfield, Middx Box 001, Freedom Press.

Double Room Wanted or Small Flat.
Urgently required for editors (couple) of 'Libertarian Sunrise'. Approx.
£8 p.w. Ask for Chris Hall, Education Dept., 636 1577 or leave message at 748 1407.

Volunteers Wanted, with theatrical experience preferred, for Anarchist project. Possibly November 5th, London. Quite legal and not a demo. Box 9. Freedom Press.

demo. Box 9, Freedom Press.

Libertariam Book Club Fall Lecture
Series. Thursdays, 7 p.m., 369 8th
Avenue at 29th Street, New York:
October 12, Nunzio Pernicone, The
Italian Anarchist as Rebel and Outlaw'; October 26, Olga Lang, The
Problem of Conscience in Russian
Literature'; November 9, Terry Perlin, 'Anarchism and Elitism'; November 23, Judith Malina and Julian
Bock, 'Anarchism and Organization';
December 14, Murray Bookchin,
'Ecology and Anarchism'.

Would any commade huving a new

Would any comrade buying a new vacuum cleaner offer the old one rather than trading it in. Freedom

Welsh anarchist, male, 22, needs room in London flat. Any area considered. Neil Jones, c/o Freedom Press.

e Immortal Temple' by R. C. van Orden. 'A challenging series of thunderous essays on Individualism!' \$5.00, Integrity Pub. Co., Dept. FRD, P.O. Box 305, Garfield, New Jersey, 07026.

Catonsville R.R. No. 38 out. On Wales, Liberation or Nationalism, Diggers, etc. 8p monthly, 12 issues £1,25, from 28 Brundretts Road, Man-chester, 21.

ORA Special Conference, October 13-15, Leeds. Anyone wishing to attend contact T. Bavage, Flat 3, 35 Rich-mond Road, Leeds 6. Phone: Leeds 59762.

ORA Newsletter. Articles on Festival of Light, Tenants' Organisation, Media, Germany, France, etc. 15p + postage from 68 Chingford Road, London, E.17.

Black and Red Outlook', monthly ASA paper, on Ecology, Rock 'n' Roll, Stuart Christie on Special Branch Persecution, etc. 5p plus postage from A. Portus, 116 Gilda Brook Road, Eccles, Lancs.

rom A. Portus, Irio Ginda BrookRoad, Eccles, Lancs.

Peace News' for theory and practice of
non-violent revolution, £4.95 p.a.
(students less 10%). Trial sub. 7
weeks for S0p with free M. Duane
Biological Basis of Anarchism'.
5 Caledonian Road, N.I.
Inside Story No. 5 now out. On Squatting
in Islington and Paris, Building
Strike, Political Trials, Subs.: 6 for
£1.50, 1 copy 25p. From 3 Belmont
Road, London, S.W.4.
Michael Tobin Defence Committee, c/o
265 Dale Street, Chatham, Kent.
Libertarian Ald Committee for the Hyde
Park '3', Visiting: Phone 677, 1526
(Mrs. Carty), Letters-gifts (must have
Prison No.): 110305 M. Callinan,
110281 L. Marcantonio, 110280 Stan
Quinn, Donations: Mrs. Callinan,
59 Brondesbury Villas, London,
N.W.6.
Stoke Newington & Trial. Send all aid.

N.W.6.

Stoke Newington 8 Trial. Send all aid to '8' Fund, Compendium Bookshop, 240 Camden High Street. Meals, fruit, papers, books (new ones only), cigarettes and money needed.

OPPOSING FORCES OF GOOD

WHY DEMONSTRATE peace when we are not at war?¹

A question of sharp definition asked by a small man in a cloth cap and turn-ups. The street lamps cast shadows of us across the rain damp pue street, shadows of monolithic intensity. Would that questions were as two dimensional, as clearly defined as they. Yet the comparison is true only in the likeness of their fleeting tangibility.

Should I quote the reality of Vietnam or Bangla Desh, pointing out that in today's 'global village' world the outrage of one nation becomes the collective responsibility of all thinking people regardless of race or geographical location.

WAR. (Wor) M.E. fr of Gme origin. Open conflict between nations, active international hostility carried on by force of arms.

— Universal English Dictionary.

—Universal English Dictionary. Fight tooth and nail for peace'—un-credited slogan in white-wash on a

Leeds wall.

A basis to work from in the argument with the small cloth-capped man. A clear definition. Probably he needs no such definition, probably he fought, probably lost friends, in the last war. The acts of war, of international aggression, are obvious. The motives somewhat more difficult to pin-point.

Going beyond political arguments and propaganda justifications can it be argued this way? War has been initially identified as open aggression between large numbers of men. Could the root cause be aggression in the individual, multiplied until that individual becomes the nation?

It is not difficult to find evidence of Leeds wall.

resort to violence for very little apparent reason. An acceptance, perhaps that violence is a way of settling argument or dispute. The pub brawl, the wife beater, the teenage gang fight are evidence that is painfully apparent.

But to hold that war is a problem of individual aggression magnified is also untrue. To accept this idea is to refute all the research which has been carried out into crowd behaviour, mass hysteria and aggression. The nature of the crowd, the psychological process of the crowd is very different from the nature of the individual. It can be seen that even the most unaggressive and mild man can be carried along by the crowd, can fall victim to mass hysteria. War is different from individual aggression. War requires the mass mobilisation of the nation's resources and manpower, their transportation to many miles away, their employment against people who are not directly guilty of miles away, their employment against people who are not directly guilty of any act, except to be in the unfortunate position of being in the same position —but in the pay of someone else. This is not the way the individual would

is not the way the individual would act.

War has been described as the last resort of bad politics. Implying that under certain conditions of international diplomacy, war is inevitable. That beyond a certain point, beyond a certain preakdown of verbal dispute, open warfare is the accepted result.

Politicians apparently accept this logic, as is obvious to anyone after even a tertiary glance at any newspaper. This is also apparently accepted by the 'man in the street.' The small man with cloth cap and turn-ups. The most unaggressive and mild man you know, perhaps.

PAUL PAWLOWSKI AND BILL DWYER

PAUL PAWLOWSKI, head of the PAUL PAWLOWSKI, head of the Church of Aphrodite has been sentenced to six months imprisonment, suspended for two years, for cultivating cannabis at his church. He believes that the taking of cannabis is part of his religion. He told the magistrate, 'I have permission from The Goddess to use cannabis. I don't need the permission of the police.'

As he was hustled from the court, he shouted, 'Down with the fascist.

of the police.'

As he was hustled from the court, he shouted, 'Down with the faxcist, criminal, insane magistrate. He should he taken to a mental hospital for four weeks' observation.' Pawlowski had already been remanded himself for medical reports. He was brought back into the court for sentencing, and finally departed, still shouting. After his departure the magistrate, Mr. Loudon, said. 'And they say in the medical reports that there is nothing wrong with him.'

Bill Dwyer, who worked with Paul Pawlowski to create the Free Festival in Windsor Great Park, has also been sentenced for possessing a large quantity of LSD. He received a two year sentence, suspended for three years, and is now contemplating refirement to Ireland to write a book.

Most anarchists do not seem to be interested in 'the drug scene', nor are they usually inclined towards mysticism, gods, goddesses, witcheraft, etc. But they are strongly opposed to the persecution of people whose views differ from those of the conformist multitude, especially when, as in the case of Paul Pawlowski and Bill Dwyer, they are not seeking to force their views upon others, as is the case with some minorities. The magistrate's comment might at first sight seem amusing, but he has really condemned himself. For he has in effect said that someone whose views are unusual (or just out of date, the Goddess was worshipped in ancient Britain), and who gets 'emotional' (terrible sin in England!) about the way he is treated, must be insame. In other words, 'Someone I cannot understand must be mad,' It is probably counter-productive to shout at magistrates, but that is lack of wisdom or prudence, not insanity. In fact Paul Pawlowski has been examined more than once, after being arrested, by doctors, and on each occasion the verdict has been the same, 'He is as sane as we are,' In this mad world who can ask for more? ARTIRUR WARDO.

Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1