Not ideal that ... not sure where the 'Brisbane suburbs only' is from as the media announcement back in May talked about south east Queensland and media from then even highlighted some areas in Logan, Moreton and Ipswich as the high target places.

As I wasn't here at the time I can only go on the briefing notes and statements from then and info in the Budget and Annual Report.

Not sure if it assists but I could contact Lea.

In regards to the format you are asking for, as mentioned on Friday the latest one is being compiled and will be provided as soon as it is completed through the formal Dept-Ministerial briefing processes as it contains sensitive information.

Regards

Graham

From: Scott Forbes Contrary to Public Interest
Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 5:01 PM

To: Graham Metcalf

Cc: Media

Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

Yes... I just had a stoush with her on the phone and repeated that it's not a Gold Coast story, that the teams are targeting Brisbane suburbs

Please request that SPER go back to reporting in the old 'tranche' format so that we know what is happening where and when.

Thanks

From: Graham Metcalf Contrary to Public Interest

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 4:54 PM

To: Scott Forbes Contrary to Public Interest

Cc: Media < media@treasury.q/d.gov.au > Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

Hi Scott

Assuming Lea is still chasing this. As you will see there is a bit of action on the Gold Coast. Pls find response below which may assist

Regards

Graham

This Part Out of Scope of Application





Please consider the environment before printing this email.

individual or entity who is intended to be a recipient of this e-mail may access or use the information contained in this e-mail or any of its attachments. Opinions contained in this e-mail or any of its attachments do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Queensland Treasury. The contents of this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged and the subject of copyright. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify Queensland Treasury immediately and erase all copies of the e-mail and the attachments. Queensland Treasury uses virus scanning software. However, it is not liable for viruses present in this e-mail or in any attachment. ***********************************

This email, together with any attachments, is intended for the named recipient(s) only; and may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, you are asked to inform the sender as quickly as possible and delete this email and any copies of this from your computer system network.
If not an intended recipient of this email, you must not copy, distribute or take any action(s) that relies on it; any form of disclosure, modification, distribution and /or publication of this email is also prohibited.
Unless stated otherwise, this email represents only the views of the sender and not the views of the Queensland Government.
Please consider the environment before printing this email.
This email, together with any attachments, is intended for the named recipient(s) only; and may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, you are asked to inform the sender as quickly as possible and delete this email and any copies of this from your computer system network.
If not an intended recipient of this email, you must not copy, distribute or take any action(s) that relies on it; any form of disclosure, modification, distribution and /or publication of this email is also prohibited.
Unless stated otherwise, this email represents only the views of the sender and not the views of the Queensland Government.
Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Pages 9 through 37 redacted for the following reasons:

Cabinet Matter - Sch.3(2)(1)(a)&(b)
Cabinet Matter - Sch.3(2)(1)(b)
Contrary to public interest - personal information



Lauren Martin

From: Sent: To:	Natalie Barber Wednesday, 11 January 2017 8:02 PM Elizabeth Goli
Subject:	Sch. 3(2)(1)(b)
Hi Liz	\wedge
Yes agree. I will get a meeting se	t up.
Regards Natalie	
Sent from my iPad	Contrary to Dublia Interest
> On 11 Jan. 2017, at 6:28 pm, Eli >	zabeth Goli Contrary to Public interest wrote:
> Hello Natalie, Sch. 3(2)(1)(b)	
> Regards, > Liz >	
> Sent from my iPad	

Contrary to Public Interest

From:

Elizabeth Goli

Sent:

Monday, 16 January 2017 2:29 PM

To:

Natalie Barber

Cc:

Contrary to Public Interest

Subject:

FW: Ministerial questions re SPER

Dear Natalie,

Do we need to discuss how we respond here?

If we provide this information, I think we need to also consider providing details of the increasing volumes of referrals of debts to SPER, and the improved finalisation rates of late ie that the changes being implemented are having an effect.

Also we need to get the message out that the \$1.1Bn includes debts that are under payment arrangement, ie that it

is not debt that is just sitting idle on the books.

Regards,

Liz

Elizabeth Goli

Commissioner of State Revenue Office of State Revenue Queensland Treasury

Level 21, 1 William Street

Contrary to Public Interest

Web: www.qld.gov.au/osr



From: Graham Metcalf

Sent: Monday, 16 January 2017 1:48 PM

To: Elizabeth Goli; Natalie Barber

Cc: Contrary to Public

Subject: Ministerial questions re SPER

Pls find below a series of questions regarding SPER debts – current and past years.

Could you pls advise if this information is easily accessed so that the Ministerial staff can be advised.

Regards

Graham

From: Scott Forbes Contrary to Public Interest

Sent: Monday, 16 January 2017 1:45 PM

To: Media

Cc: Jason.humphreys Contrary to Public Interest

Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

HI Guys,

In addition to this, can I also get a breakdown of the total amount of SPER debt by fines and specifically what the make-up of the \$100 million increase reported in today's paper (By type of infringement/crime).

Steve Austin will be asking for this 6am tomorrow morning on ABC.

Can I also get the financial year-on-year rises in debt for:

2010-11

2011-12

2012-13

2013-14

2014-15

2015-16

I expect journalists will start to question the success of SPER's debt recovery efforts to date.

Please advise how long this will take to be sent through.

Cheers,
-Scott

From: Scott Forbes

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 5:29 PM

To: Graham Metcalf Contrary to Public Interest

Cc: Jason Humphreys

Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

Attached is the template I sent through last week.

Can I get that updated. I'll speak with Logan and Ipswich media tomorrow afternoon.

Tomorrow morning I'll need to know which suburbs the two vehicles on the Gold Coast were clamped in and the model of vehicle.

Media < media@treasury.qld.gov.au>

Cheers

From: Scott Forbes

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 5:27 PM

To: 'Graham Metcalf' Contrary to Public Interest

Cc: Media < media@treasury.qld.gov.au>

Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

No, don't contact the journalist. We did good work last year in keeping media abreast of movements and updates, which was tedious but necessary.

Last year we did a suburb-by-suburb breakdown for all of them over Christmas.

We need to maintain that level of engagement to keep media involved in the narrative, but SPER has been very reluctant to assist.

The filming with Channel7 was a breakthrough, but we need regular reports and we need it factored into our forward plan.

Cheers

From: Graham Metcalf Contrary to Public Interest

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 5:16PM

To: Scott Forbes Contrary to Public Interest

Cc: Media <media@treasury.qld .gov .au>
Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

Not ideal that ... not sure where the 'Brisbane suburbs only' is from as the media announcement back in May talked about south east Queensland and media from then even highlighted some areas in Logan, Moreton and Ipswich as the high target places.

As I wasn't here at the time I can only go on the briefing notes and statements from then and info in the Budget and Annual Report.

Not sure if it assists but I could contact Lea.

In regards to the format you are asking *for*, as mentioned on Friday the latest one is being compiled and will be provided as soon as it is completed through the formal Dept-Ministerial briefing processes as it contains sensitive information.

Regards

Graham

From: Scott Forbes Contrary to Public Interest

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 5:01 PM

To: Graham Metcalf

Cc: Media

Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

Yes ... I just had a stoush with her on the phone and repeated that it's not a Gold Coast *story*, that the teams are targeting Brisbane suburbs

Please request that SPER go back to reporting in the old 'tranche' format so that we know what is happening where and when.

Thanks

From: Graham Metcalf Contrary to Public Interest

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2017 4:54PM

To: Scott Forbes Contrary to Public Interest

Cc: Media <media@treasury.qld.gov.au>
Subject: RE: SPER on the Gold Coast

Hi Scott

Assuming is still chasing this. As you will see there is a bit of action on the Gold Coast. Pis find response below which may assist

Regards

Graham



