The Economist

Divorce in New York Let them unwed Breaking up is a bit less hard to do

Jun 24th 2010 | NEW YORK

CHANA and Simon Taub battled in the courts for years. Unlike every othe York does not allow a fast, blameless divorce. Irreconcilable differences fly; adultery, abandonment, or cruel and inhumane treatment must inste denied his wife's claims of abuse. Both refused to leave their Brooklyn he dividing wall split their living quarters. And the Taubs are hardly alone. If presidential candidate and former mayor of New York, famously feuded f ex-wife over who was cruel and inhumane. Both couples might have ben has just been passed by New York's state Senate.

If the bill is also passed by the Assembly, New York will at last join the c people to divorce speedily without the consent of their spouse or a proof Raoul Felder, a celebrity lawyer known to the gossip columns as "Dr Estr "Duke of Divorce". He thinks that divorce rates will rise and that the only change will be his fellow legal eagles. He is partly right. According to a 2 Wolfers at the Wharton School, divorce rates rose sharply after other sta divorce, but this trend reversed within a decade. Indeed, Mr Wolfers four reform, the divorce rate is lower."

The New York State chapter of the National Organisation for Women (NC church for once agree; they are both vehemently opposed to the new me change will leave women unprotected. Liz Krueger, a state senator who c disagrees. "The research shows female suicide and domestic violence fel no-fault divorce laws," she said. Ms Krueger had to move to Ohio to get

Even judges are fed up with the outdated process, not to mention all the New York's divorce law is in "the dark ages", lamented one law-school pi only antiquated law on the books. Adultery, for instance, is still a class E

United States

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Economist Newspaper Limited 2010. All rights reserved.