Alphabetism: The effects of surname initial and the risk of being otherwise undistinguished

Alexander S. Cauley Jeffrey S. Zax

University of Colorado Boulder Department of Economics 10 February 2017

Participants in the Applied Micro Workshop at the University of Colorado Boulder have been generous with comments. The authorial order represents relative contributions. Any resemblance to alphabetical ordering is purely coincidental.

Alphabetism: The effects of surname initial and the risk of being otherwise undistinguished

Abstract

A small literature demonstrates that names and initials are correlated with important economic outcomes for firms and individuals. This paper presents the first comprehensive examination of the relationship between alphabetic rank of surname initial and life outcomes. Those outcomes include male investments in human capital and labor market experiences through middle age. These outcomes were, as expected, consistently better for individuals who performed better in high school, had higher IQ scores, whose high school friends were more ambitious and who received greater parental support. Perhaps surprisingly, they were unaffected by the "presentational characteristics" of appearance and body mass. However, surnames whose initials were farther from the beginning of the alphabet were significantly associated with less distinction and satisfaction in high school, lower final educational attainment, more military service and less attractive first civilian jobs. These effects were concentrated among those who were not distinguished by cognitive ability or appearance. The effects of surname initial appear to dissipate by age 35, presumably because other observable characteristics become sufficiently informative so as to supersede the correlates of surname initial. These results are consistent with other evidence demonstrating the relevance of "ordering effects". They suggest that the common practice of relying on alphabetical listings is anything but innocuous.

JEL Codes: D63, I31, J12, J71

Key words: alphabetism, surname initial, rank effects, ordered search

1. Introduction

Individual experiences of life, and of economic success in particular, depend on many individual characteristics. Human capital is the most prominent among them. Its role has been the subject of extensive study. The role of identity, defined by ethnic or racial affiliation, national identity or gender has also received substantial attention. Other salient characteristics include non-cognitive skills, personality traits, height and appearance.

At the same time, names are central to identity.¹ A small literature has exploited names to proxy for unobserved ethnic, racial and socio-demographic characteristics. A slightly larger literature has examined the role of alphabetization in academic publishing and in a few other domains. However, the literature does not contain any comprehensive attempt to assess the more general effects, if any, of alphabetization.

This paper presents a unique and extensive analysis of the effects of surname initial alphabetic rank on life outcomes. The outcomes examined here span those from late adolescence through middle age. They measure experiences in high school, investment in further accumulation of human capital through tertiary education and labor market success in early and mid-adulthood.

This paper demonstrates that those with surname initials ranked further from the beginning of the alphabet experience significantly, and in many cases substantively, worse outcomes through early labor market experiences. These effects are likely to be attributable to reduced opportunities in alphabetically-ordered searches. Moreover, the relative scarcity of such opportunities should reduce investment in the capacity to respond to them. The influence of initial rank disappears by midadulthood, presumably because it is superseded by observable characteristics that are more directly expressive of ability.

¹ "There is no single human culture or society that does not bestow personal names on its members ... personal naming is an inherently human activity" (Mateos, 2014, 36).

Section 2 reviews the literature describing the information content and economic effects associated with names and surname initials. Section 3 describes the econometric models and data employed here, including the twelve dependent variables that describe high school experiences, tertiary education accomplishment and labor market outcomes through mid-adulthood. Section 4 estimates general effects of surname initials on these outcomes. Section 5 distinguishes between these effects on individuals who are and are not distinctive in terms of cognitive ability and physical attractiveness. Section 6 concludes.

2. The economic role of names

Names convey a substantial amount of economic information. Given names, surnames and their combination may identify ancestry and ethnicity. They may also identify socioeconomic status. They can affect that status if changed.

Apart from name content, orderings by name can affect search outcomes. In turn, these can affect the distribution of resources and opportunities. Moreover, individuals whose rank in these orderings is disadvantaged may respond strategically by altering their position or by reducing their participation in search-based activities. However, distinction in these activities can transcend the effects of alphabetic rank.

a. Name content and economic outcomes

Given names alone are informative. They are indicative of parental economic status (Aura and Hess, 2010; Olivetti and Paserman, 2015). Teachers may have lower expectations for students with given names that are associated with low socio-economic status (Figlio, 2005).

Given names can influence economic outcomes, either because they are correlated with resources and attitudes or because they affect opportunities. Given names that are distinctively

African-American are associated with residence in poorer neighborhoods (Fryer and Levitt, 2004) and an array of poorer life outcomes (Aura and Hess, 2010). However, they were associated with longer life-spans among African-American males (Cook, et al. 2016).

Given names have been influential in other contexts as well. People are disproportionately likely to live in places and choose occupations whose names are similar to their given names (Pelham, et al., 2002). Men with first names associated with Croatian nationalism were more likely to enlist in the Croatian Army and to be killed in the Croatian War of Independence (Jurajda and Kova, 2016). Immigrants to the United States enjoyed greater occupational success if they replaced their original given names with typical American alternatives (Biavaschi, et al., forthcoming).²

Experiments suggest that combinations of given and surnames are also economically potent. Choices in common two-person experimental games depend on the full name of the partner (Fershtman and Gneezy, 2001). Its influence appears to be associated with behavioral expectations associated with ethnicity as indicated by full name.

Callback rates for synthetic online job applications are lower for those with complete names that suggest African-American origin in the United States (Bertrand and Mullainathan, 2004), Arabic origin in the United States (Widner and Chicoine, 2011), middle eastern origin in Sweden (Carlsson and Rooth, 2007), Arabic origin in the Netherlands (Derous, et al. 2012), African, Black Carribean, Indian subcontinent or Chinese origin in Great Britain (Wood, et al., 2009) and Turkish origin in Belgium (Baert, et al., 2015). Callback rates for synthetic online apartment rental applications in the United States are lower for those with complete names that suggest African-American or Arabic identity (Carpusor and Loges, 2006).

² Experimental evidence suggests that given names associated with older generations are also associated with reduced popularity and intelligence by those who are younger (Young, et al., 1993).

Non-experimental evidence is partially supportive. Mutual funds in the United States experience significantly lower fund inflows if the fund manager has a complete name that is widely perceived as "foreign" (Kumar, et al., 2015). However, complete names have no important effects on academic outcomes in college, holding constant race (Foster, 2008).³

Surnames alone convey important historical and demographic information. From 1924 to 1965, U.S. immigration quotas were assigned by nationality based on estimates of the nationality composition of the citizen population derived from surname distributions (Mateos, 2014, section 3.4). Clark (2014) and Clark and Cummins (2015) examine intergenerational mobility by characterizing the economic status of individuals from different generations bearing the same surname. Angelucci, et al. (2010) employ surnames to identify extended families. Arai and Skogman Thoursie (2009) demonstrate that, when foreign-born residents of Sweden change their surnames to names that are Swedish or ethnically neutral, their incomes rise significantly. Surname frequency is a source of inferences regarding intergenerational mobility (Collado, et al., 2013; Güell, et al., 2015), socioeconomic status (Collado, et al., 2008) and longevity (Pena, 2013).⁴

b. Ordering and primacy through surname initial

In environments with multiple options that must be evaluated sequentially and whose characteristics are ex ante uncertain, search theory demonstrates that continued search must balance the cost of delaying choice against the potential benefit of identifying a superior option. The optimal stopping rule consists of identifying a minimum acceptable, or "reservation" quality for the choice, and

³ These results demonstrate that full names are often taken to be correlated with information that is useful to others. They may also be correlated with self-assessments. Those with greater regard for their own full name have significantly higher self-esteem (Gebauer, et al., 2008).

⁴ Rubinstein and Brenner (2014) invoke unobserved surnames and their ethnic identifications as the mechanism by which parental ethnicity affects economic outcomes.

concluding the search with the first option that meets or exceeds that quality (Kohn and Shavell, 1974; Albrecht, 2011). Generally, the probability that an acceptable choice has appeared increases as search progresses. Consequently, the probability of being sampled declines with rank.⁵

This prediction is consistent with the results of several studies of academic publishing.

Feenberg, et al. (forthcoming) demonstrate that papers that appear first in an online listing are significantly more likely to be viewed, downloaded and cited, even though the ordering is random. Haque and Ginsparg (2009) demonstrate that first-listed papers are downloaded and cited more often in another online list with random order. Novarese and Wilson (2013) demonstrate the same result in long lists of papers whose order they manipulate experimentally. In yet another random online list, Dietrich (2008) finds that papers listed first receive more citations. Berger (2016) reports that articles listed first in randomly-ordered printed tables of contents receive more citations.

Ordering effects also appear in other contexts. Arbatskaya (2007) presents a model in which ordered, costly consumer search implies that, in equilibrium, prices for a homogeneous good vary systematically with search order. Ho and Imai (2008) demonstrate that first ballot position conveys an electoral advantage.⁷

⁵ Carney and Banaji (2012) demonstrate that immediate responses to multiple, objectively equivalent, options are characterized by a significant preference for the first. This effect is not present when the same options are compared under circumstances that encourage reflection. The "primacy" effect that they identify is probably different from that associated with deliberative search. Instead, they speculate that it has origins in evolutionary success.

⁶ In the contexts of Dietrich (2008) and Haque and Ginsparg (2009), papers are listed in order of submission. Both consider the possibility that papers submitted earlier in the submission window are of higher quality from those submitted later. However, neither finds any evidence to suggest that this concern is substantive.

⁷ When all alternatives must be considered, search incentives are not relevant. In these circumstances, later placement may not be prejudicial. In the musical competition studied by Ginsburgh and van Ours (2003), the first position was at the greatest disadvantage. In the arts performance competition studied by Page and Page (2010), the last position was at the greatest advantage.

Groups are often ordered and searched by alphabetic rank of initial. Consequently, economic outcomes may depend on name initial as well as name content. In alphabetic orderings, the probability that an acceptable choice has been identified increases as the search proceeds to letters with higher "rank", more distant from the beginning of the alphabet. Therefore, economic opportunities diminish and outcomes suffer with initial rank.

Business contexts present multiple examples. Jacobs and Hillert (2016) demonstrate that stocks with names that are ranked earlier in the alphabet experience higher trading volumes and liquidity than stocks in otherwise equivalent companies. Itzkowitz, et al. (2016) agree that stocks with names that are ranked earlier in the alphabet experience higher trading volumes. They present suggestive evidence that these stocks also have higher valuations. Hartzmark (2015) demonstrates that, within a portfolio, the stock with the initial closest to the front of the alphabet is most likely to be sold.⁸

Alphabetic ordering effects are exploitable. Ang, Chua and Jiang (2010) demonstrate that the difference in value between company shares that are equivalent with the exception of differential voting rights is less when the shares with inferior rights are designated as "A" shares and those with superior rights are designated as "B" shares than when the designations are reversed. McDevitt (2014) predicts and verifies that businesses that adopt artificial names for the purpose of appearing at the front of alphabetical listings target infrequent customers, charge higher prices and provide inferior service.

The ordering effects in academic publishing discussed above are amplified by alphabetical rankings. Richardson (2008) finds that journals disproportionately request reviews from referees

⁸ Hartzmark (2015) demonstrates that the stock with the initial closest to the end of the alphabet also has a significantly higher likelihood of being sold. This effect also appears in downloads of economic research papers from long lists (Novarese and Wilson, 2013).

with surname initials towards the beginning of the alphabet. Arsenault and Larivière (2015) present evidence that authors whose surname initials are ranked towards the front of the alphabet are more likely to be cited. Huang (2015) provides similar evidence. He demonstrates that the tendency to disproportionately cite papers by these authors is greater in disciplines where reference lists tend to be longer. This suggests that citations are generated by alphabetical search procedures that favor papers encountered earlier.

In economics, these effects are compounded by the tendency to list authors alphabetically in multi-authored papers. Frandsen and Nicolaisen (2010, 613) report that, for publications in economics between 1978 and 2007, authorship was alphabetized in "roughly three-fourths" of multi-authored papers. Waltman (2012) reports that this percentage was 72.3% between 2007 and 2011. Therefore, economists with surname initials ranked towards the beginning of the alphabet are disproportionately likely to be first authors, their papers are disproportionately likely to appear towards the front of reference lists, and, presumably, disproportionately likely to be cited.

Moreover, Maciejovsky, et al. (2009) present evidence that, under alphabetical author ordering, economists tend to assign slightly less credit to authors in later positions. Perhaps as a consequence, Einav and Yariv (2006) demonstrate that, within the highest ranked economics departments, faculty with initials that occur earlier in the alphabet are more likely to be tenured.⁹

These effects do not, however, extend to the highest professional recognitions. Hamermesh and Pfann (2012) find no significant relationship between alphabetical rank of surname initial and membership in the Econometric Society, receipt of honors from the American Economic

⁹ This effect appears to be attributable to the convention of listing authors of multi-authored papers alphabetically, rather than differences in faculty behavior across surname initials. It also suggests that the highest ranked departments may, incorrectly, interpret authorship order as correlated with contribution rather than alphabetic rank.

Association, receipt of the John Bates Clark Award or the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences.

As in the business context, alphabetical ordering effects in academic publishing invoke strategic responses. Ackerman and Brânzei (forthcoming) identify two conflicting incentives associated with alphabetical author lists. Authors with surname initials towards the front of the alphabet may shirk because their effort will not affect their rank in the listing. However, if authors with surname initials towards the end of the alphabet are to have coauthors with earlier surname initials, they will choose those who do not shirk.¹⁰

Efthyvoulou (2008) identifies a third strategic consideration. If authorship is understood to be listed alphabetically, that list, by definition, conveys no information regarding relative contribution. If authors agree to violate the norm and list authorship by contribution, and those with early surname initials have contributed most, the resulting ordering may be sufficiently similar to alphabetical ordering so as to be indistinguishable. Therefore, the true contributions of those with surname initials towards the beginning of the alphabet cannot be revealed in lists by alphabet and are difficult to reveal in lists by contribution.

As a first strategic response, alphabetical authorship listings across multiple scholarly fields have become less common. Waltman (2012) demonstrates that the frequency of alphabetical authorship listings in multi-authored papers diminished across all areas of scholarship from 32.2% in 1981 to 15.9% in 2011.

¹⁰ Similarly, Ackerman and Brânzei (forthcoming) identify two conflicting incentives with ordering authors by contribution. This ordering creates an obvious incentive to increase individual contributions. However, increased contributions that do not exceed the contribution of the author ranked just ahead do not alter the order. Therefore, this ordering also creates an incentive to contribute only slightly more than the author ranked just behind. In some circumstances, these

Waltman (2012) also attributes this, in part, to increasing numbers of co-authors. ¹¹ Frandsen and Nicolaisen (2010) confirm that alphabetical orderings become less common as the number of co-authors increases. This reduction occurs because alphabetical orderings are less likely to coincide with other ordering principles as the number of authors increases. In addition, authors with surname initials far from the beginning of the alphabet prefer collaborations where authorship lists follow some non-alphabetic ordering (van Praag and van Praag, 2008).

As a second strategic response, authors with surname initials far from the beginning of the alphabet strategically choose their co-authorships. Einav and Yariv (2006) and Kadel and Walter (2015) demonstrate that economists with surname initials ranked further from the beginning of the alphabet avoid participating in papers with more than three authors.

Moreover, Ong, et al. (2016) assert that, among authors with surname initials that are farther from the beginning of the alphabet, those with greater skill have a greater incentive to author singly. This reduces the risk that they will share credit with a less talented author who would precede them in alphabetical order. This is consistent with citation counts, which, among single-authored papers, are greater for authors whose surname initial is further from the beginning of the alphabet.

In contrast, Ong, et al. (2016) assert that, among authors with surname initials that are closer to the beginning of the alphabet, those with greater skill are more likely to co-author. Because of their greater skill, they are more likely to find a skilled coauthor who is nevertheless content to receive second listing. Correspondingly, double-authored papers receive more citations as the first author's surname initial moves closer to the beginning of the alphabet.

¹¹ As examples, Frandsen and Nicolaisen (2010) demonstrate that the shares of papers in both economics and information science with multiple authors increased from approximately one-third in 1978 to approximately two-thirds in 2007. The share of co-authored papers in high energy physics increased from approximately 72% to approximately 83%.

As a third strategic response, economists with surname initials further from the beginning of the alphabet simply tend to be less productive (van Praag and van Praag). This reflects an endogenous response to systematic disadvantage. Those who are consistently in later ordering ranks will have fewer incentives to invest in the skills necessary to take advantage of opportunities, should they arise.

Evidence of alphabetic bias arises outside of academic publishing, where choices occur between alternative individuals who are of roughly equivalent merit. The positive correlation between surname initial rank and secondary school test scores in Czechoslovakia is consistent with the hypothesis that these schools admit marginal students in alphabetical order (Jurajda and Münich, 2010). Potential donors with surname initials further from the beginning of the alphabet are less likely to make charitable donations, presumably because they are less likely to receive personal solicitations (Rosen and Meer, 2011). Law school faculty with surname initials closer to the beginning of the alphabet are more likely to receive invitations to visit other institutions (Merritt, 1999).

c. Primary effects dominate name effects

In all of the examples of alphabetic ordering above, the ordering itself is never substantive. The primary characteristic of interest would be, in the context of businesses, economic returns. In the case of academic publishing, it would be the quality of an article. Ordering should be influential only when primary characteristics are costly to assess or appear to be similar across options.

As examples, the ballot effects in Ho and Imai (2008) are most important in races and for candidates that attract little attention. The trading volume and liquidity effects in Jacobs and Hillert (2016) are most important for stocks in companies that are of lesser prominence. The effects

associated with paternal and father-in-law origin in Rubinstein and Brenner (2014) are markedly stronger for individuals whose imputed skin tone is less indicative regarding this origin.

Conversely, in contexts where the primary characteristics of interest can be readily assessed, ordering should be unimportant. Alphabetic effects may be absent in Hamermesh and Plann (2012) because, in the comparisons among outstanding economists, records of accomplishments are substantial and the numbers of such records are relatively few. In contexts such as these, where searches are over fewer options, each characterized by extensive relevant information, alphabetic orderings may be irrelevant.

3. Models and Data

This paper expands the investigation of surname initial effects beyond the limited domains described in the previous section. The next sections estimate these effects with respect to twelve individual outcomes representing experiences in high school, college and the labor force.

Section 4 estimates common effects of surname initial on all members of the sample described here. The regression equation employed for this purpose is model 1, where y_j represents each of the twelve dependent variables and j indexes sample members:

$$y_{j} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{\alpha} \alpha_{j} + X_{j} \gamma + \varepsilon_{j}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

The explanatory variable of interest is α_i , the index for surname initial, defined below. The coefficient of interest is β_{α} , the effect of surname initial.

As discussed at the end of the previous section, alphabetic rank may be most important for individuals who are not distinguished in other relevant characteristics. Section 5 explores this hypothesis with equation 2, which expands equation 1 to distinguish three IQ categories.

$$y_{j} = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_{i}(i_{j}) + \sum_{i \in I} \beta_{i,IQ}(i_{j})IQ_{j} + \beta_{M_{I},\alpha}(M_{I})\alpha_{j} + \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ l \text{ M}_{I}}} \beta_{i,\alpha}(i_{j})\alpha_{j} + \boldsymbol{X}_{j}'\gamma + \varepsilon_{j}$$

$$(2)$$

Set $I = \{L_I, M_I, H_I\}$ consists of three hierarchical categories of IQ.

The category i for individual j is i_j . Each category i has its own intercept, $\beta_i(i_j)$, its own IQ coefficient $\beta_{i,IQ}(i_j)$ and its own surname initial coefficient $\beta_{i,\alpha}(i_j)$. The effect of interest is that of surname initial for those with intermediate IQ scores, $\beta_{M_{I,\alpha}}$.

Section 5 attempts to further localize the effects of surname initial rank by subdividing each of the three IQ categories into three subcategories based on physical appearance, as in model 3:

$$y_{j} = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{r \in R} \beta_{ir} (i_{j} r_{j}) + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \beta_{ir,k} (i_{j} r_{j}) x_{k,j} + \beta_{M_{I} M_{R}, \alpha} (M_{I} M_{R}) \alpha_{j} + \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ (I \times R) \setminus \{M_{i}, M_{i}\}}} \beta_{ir,\alpha} (i_{j} r_{j}) \alpha_{j} + \boldsymbol{X}_{j}^{'} \gamma + \varepsilon_{j}$$

$$(3)$$

Set $R = \{L_R, M_R, H_R\}$ consists of three hierarchical categories of attractiveness scores. The cross between the IQ and attractiveness partitions yields nine subsamples, representing all combinations of low, intermediate and high IQ with low, intermediate and high appearance rating.

In model 3, $\beta_{ir}(i_jr_j)$ represent subsample-specific fixed effects. $\beta_{ir,k}(i_jr_j)$ represent subsample-specific coefficients for $x_{k,j}$, where $x_{1,j}$ and $x_{2,j}$ are IQ and attractiveness measures of individual j, respectively. $\beta_{ir,\alpha}(i_jr_j)$ are the coefficients for surname initial rank in the eight subsamples apart from that representing those with intermediate IQ and attractiveness scores. The coefficient for this last subsample, (M_IM_R) , is $\beta_{M_IM_R,\alpha}$, the effect of interest.

The explanatory variables \mathbf{X}_{i} in models 1 through 3 follow Zax and Rees (2002). As there, the analytical posture consists of observing each individual as they graduate from high school and predicting subsequent outcomes. Consequently, these variables describe individuals at that

graduation.¹² While post-graduation choices may affect outcomes of interest that occurred further into their adult lives, the analysis here captures the effects of these choices in the characteristics at high school graduation upon which they were based.

The Wisconsin Longitudinal Study, or WLS (Herd, et al., 2014; http://www.ssc.wisc.edu/wlsresearch) provides the data employed here. The WLS population consists of 10,137 individuals, representing a random sample comprising one-third of all seniors graduating from high school in 1957 in Wisconsin. These individuals have been surveyed intermittently from 1957 through 2011. The sample here consists of 3,281 men with complete data for all individual and family explanatory variables employed below.

Table 1 presents summary statistics for the explanatory variables that measure characteristics of the individual. Two of the variables, IQ score and high school rank, are direct measures of human capital. A third, measuring friends' intentions to attend college, serves as a proxy for the individual's ambitions regarding the acquisition of additional human capital.

IQ represents the individual's score on the Henmon-Nelson Test of Mental Ability, generally administered in the eleventh grade. According to table 1, the sample average IQ was 101.8 and the standard deviation was 15.0. This closely approximates the standard norming of most IQ tests (Gottfredson, 2009). The range of IQ scores was from 61 to 145, including individuals with limited and exceptional cognitive abilities.

High school rank measures human capital accumulation during high school. It is the individual's percentile rank in his high school class upon graduation. The average of 45.5 indicates that this sample is skewed slightly towards those whose high school performance was weaker,

¹² This construction holds constant completed education. All sample members are high school graduates at the time of observation for explanatory variables. None have had the opportunity yet to enroll in tertiary training.

Table 1. Summary statistics for individual explanatory variables

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Individual characteristics				
Alphabetical rank of surname initial	11.839	6.799	1	26
IQ	101.863	15.082	61	145
Facial attractiveness rating	0.0793	1.303	-4.011	4.149
Relative body mass - proxy for BMI	0.0161	0.829	-2.969	3.619
High school				
High school rank	45.5	28.115	0	99
Post-secondary education				
Friends' plan to attend college	0.404	0.491	0	1

The sample consists of 3,281 men.

presumably because it omits women. However, the range for this variable encompasses the entire range of possible values, from zero to 99.

"Friends' plans to attend college" is a binary recode of the WLS respondent's response to "What are most of your friends doing after high school?". This variable assigns the value of one to any response indicating intentions to continue schooling. The individual's own plans regarding college were presumably correlated positively with those of his friends.

"Attractiveness rating" and "relative body mass" measure personal characteristics that are not, themselves, components of human capital. They are, instead, characteristics that may affect the experience of social interactions. These effects could arise because an individual's sense of social efficacy or the social responses elicited from others depend on these "presentational characteristics". The role of these characteristics in social interactions may therefore affect returns to human capital and incentives to invest.

The "attractiveness rating" and "relative body mass" variables both derive from visual examinations of high school year book photographs of the WLS subjects. The attractiveness rating is the WLS variable "meanrat_fcoder". It is the demeaned average of attractiveness ratings on an 11-point scale assigned by six female raters from approximately the same age cohort as the WLS

respondents. "Relative body mass" is the WLS variable "srbmi". It is the average of body mass assessments assigned by three young female and three young male raters on an 11-point scale and then transformed into rater-specific Z-scores.

Lastly, "alphabetical rank of surname initial" is the explanatory variable of interest.¹³ It represents a simple numerical correspondence between the letters of the alphabet, ordered conventionally as "A" through "Z", and the ordered integers from one to 26. The average value of this variable, 11.8, indicates that "typical" surnames began with the letters "K" or "L".¹⁴

The assumption of linearity embodied in this transformation may appear restrictive. However, the intuitions that motivate this investigation are too general to imply any specific transformation. A fully non-parametric specification, consisting of letter fixed effects, is too cumbersome to be empirically useful. Fixed effects for groups of adjacent letters relax the linearity assumption across groups but at the cost of an equality assumption within groups. The transformation here is, at least to some degree, validated by its performance in the regressions below.

Table 2 presents summary statistics for the explanatory variables that measure characteristics of the individual's family. With the exceptions of number of siblings and birth order, all variables are categorical. Of them, those measuring parental attitudes towards college attendance were presumably correlated positively with their sons' college ambitions.¹⁵ The remainder, which describe

¹³ The WLS provided surname initials to this study under strict confidentiality restrictions.

¹⁴ Einav and Yariv (2006) and Ong, et al. (2016) employ the same assignment. Efthyvoulou (2008) employs the logarithm of this assignment. van Praag and van Praag (2008) employ both. Jurajda and Münich (2010) employ the numerical assignment and the percentile of the last name by the alphabetical ranking. Huang (2015) employs the numerical assignment and fixed effects for groups of initials and for individual initials. Hamermesh and Pfann (2012) "hold constant for alphabetical location" without further explanation. Similarly, Merritt (1999) holds constant "alphabetic placement".

¹⁵ The omitted category consists of parents who did not express opinions regarding college attendance.

Table 2. Summary statistics for household explanatory variables

Variable	Mean	Variable	Mean
Father's education		Parental attitude	_
College	0.0954	Parents encouraged college	0.614
High school	0.328	Parents discouraged college	0.0305
Missing	0.0698		
		Father's national/ethnic	
Mother's education		British	0.109
College	0.0933	Eastern European	0.0491
High school	0.407	French	0.0463
Missing	0.0749	German	0.489
<u> </u>		Irish	0.0658
Parental occupation		Mediterranean	0.0155
Father has a white collar job	0.268	Polish	0.0637
Mother has a white collar job	0.145	Scandinavian	0.143
		Minority	0.00518
Household income		Missing	0.0131
Bottom 25%	0.207	-	
Middle 50%	0.443	Household structure	
Top 25%	0.226	Both parents present	0.912
Below neighbors'	0.071	Number of siblings	3.086
Above neighbors'	0.242	Birth order	2.416

All monetary variables are in 1992 dollars. The sample consists of 3,281 men. Standard deviations of "Both parents present",

household structure, parental educations and occupations, household income and father's ethnic background, describe basic characteristics of the household.

Fewer than 10% of households contained only one parent. Fewer than 10% of both fathers and mothers had college degrees. A large majority, 61.4% of individuals, reported that their parents encouraged them to attend college. The omitted category for household income consists of those with missing values for this variable, comprising 12.4% of the sample.

The variable for father's national heritage differs substantially from more typical measures of race or ethnicity. The WLS, because of its geographic and temporal sampling frame, contains very few individuals with African-American or Hispanic heritage. As reported in table 2, "minorities" in this conventional sense comprise less than one percent of the sample. The conventional concerns

[&]quot;Number of siblings", and "Birth order" are 0.283, 2.472, and 1.895 respectively.

Table 3. Most common surname initials by nationality

Father's national/ethnic background	<u>First</u>	Frequency	Second	Frequency	Third	Frequency	<u>N</u>
British	S	0.104	Н	0.096	С	0.087	357
Eastern European	В	0.106	K	0.099	S	0.099	370
French	D	0.132	L	0.132	В	0.105	152
German	S	0.153	В	0.104	K	0.096	1,606
Irish	M	0.167	С	0.097	D	0.083	216
Mediterranean	R	0.137	S	0.137	В	0.117	51
Polish	S	0.196	K	0.139	В	0.1	209
Scandinavian	S	0.102	J	0.096	Н	0.085	469
Minority	С	0.177	Н	0.177	P	0.118	17
Missing	В	0.117	Н	0.116	В	0.093	44

Relative frequency distribution of surname initials (Table 3) is derived from our WLS sample. N = 3,281

with differences in outcomes that may be attributable to substantive racial or ethnic discrimination are, therefore, not relevant here.

The important distinctions in national heritage are largely between those with different European origins. While these distinctions are not generally associated with different experiences of discrimination, they may be relevant here because they could be associated with systematic differences in names, naming conventions, and therefore surname initials.

Table 3 demonstrates that the most common surname initials vary substantially across categories of national origin. In order to purge estimated surname initial effects of any influence arising from other attributes associated with national origin, all models include fixed effects for these national origin categories.

In addition to the explanatory variables of tables 1, 2 and 3, all regressions include fixed effects for high school. Individuals in the sample attended 303 different high schools. On average, each high school contributed 10.8 students. The actual contributions ranged from one to 60 students, with a standard deviation of 11.4.

In the presence of high school fixed effects, all other coefficients effectively compare students from the graduating class of the same high school. For example, these fixed effects control

for any systematic differences across high schools in the photographic techniques employed for yearbook pictures, upon which the attractiveness and body mass variables are based.

These effects are also essential for the interpretation of high school rank. Unadjusted differences in ranks across schools are meaningless because schools differ in average academic standards. Higher ranks within the same school unambiguously demonstrate superior performance. Holding constant IQ as well, differences in rank probably reflect differences in chosen effort (Zax and Rees, 2002).

Table 4 presents summary statistics for the twelve dependent variables examined in the analysis below. Two of these variables measure outcomes of the high school experience. "Outstanding student" is a binary variable that represents the "Teacher's evaluation of graduate" and assigns the value of one to the response "Outstanding". "Favorable opinion of high school studies" is a binary recode of the WLS subject's response to the question "What is your opinion of your high school studies" with the value of one representing "Interesting, want to learn more". Three outcome variables measure the individual's experience with tertiary education. "Applied to college" is a binary variable indicating whether the individual had applied to college in 1957. "Withdrew from college" is a binary variable indicating that the individual attended post-secondary school but did not report receipt of a degree. "Received a post-high school degree" is a binary variable indicating whether the individual had earned any tertiary degree as of 1992.

The remaining seven variables measure labor market experiences. Two variables, income score and Siegel prestige score for the first job, characterize the individual's first experience. Four variables, income and Siegel prestige score in 1974 and 1992, characterize the individual's employment, if any,

Table 4. Summary statistics for individual dependent variables

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
High school				
Outstanding student	0.113	0.316	0	1
Favorable opinion on high school classes	0.564	0.496	0	1
Post-secondary education				
Applied to college	0.341	0.474	0	1
Withdrew from college	0.344	0.475	0	1
Received a post-high school degree	0.444	0.497	0	1
Labor market				
Military service	0.504	0.5	0	1
Income score for first job	270.756	236.823	0	877
Siegel prestige score for first job	396.858	165.714	144	812
1974 employment earnings (\$10,000s)	4.117	2.584	0	28.458
Siegel prestige score for employment in 1974	462.359	135.313	156	812
1992 employment earnings (\$10,000s)	6.242	28.435	0	999.999
Siegel prestige score for employment in 1992	465.374	139.568	154	812

All monetary variables are in 1992 dollars. The sample consists of 3,281 men.

at approximately ages 35 and 53. The seventh variable indicates whether an individual had served in the military as of 1992.¹⁶

4. Evidence of alphabetism

This section estimates model 1 in order to examine the effects of surname initial rank on individual experiences in high school, participation in tertiary education, labor market activity as a young adult and in mid-career. Table 5 presents estimates of equation 1 for the two high school outcome variables, whether an individual was recognized as an "outstanding student" and whether a student evaluated his high school classes favorably. The first represents an external evaluation of the student's high school performance. The second represents a self-reported evaluation of the high

¹⁶ Military service is a binary variable with one indicating an affirmative response to the question "Respondent ever been on active duty in the U.S. military or spent at least two months on active duty for training in the Reserves or National Guard?"

Table 5. Alphabetism in high school

Table 5. Alphabetism in high school						
Explanatory variables	Outstanding	Opinion on high				
-	student	school classes				
Individual characteristics						
Alphabetical rank of surname initial	-0.00128*	-0.00219*				
-	(0.000680)	(0.00122)				
IQ	0.00199***	-7.22e-05				
	(0.000502)	(0.000731)				
High school rank	0.00354***	0.00461***				
	(0.000345)	(0.000390)				
Attractiveness	0.000555	-0.00896				
	(0.00323)	(0.00680)				
Relative body mass - proxy for BMI	0.00591	0.0129				
	(0.00651)	(0.0111)				
Friends' plan to attend college	0.0209*	0.162***				
	(0.0124)	(0.0204)				
Household characteristics						
Household structure						
Both parents present	0.0154	-0.0116				
	(0.0193)	(0.0295)				
Number of siblings	-0.00179	0.0105**				
	(0.00222)	(0.00457)				
Birth order	-0.000142	-0.0137***				
	(0.00328)	(0.00515)				
Father's education						
College	0.00857	0.0496*				
	(0.0250)	(0.0282)				
High school	-0.00388	0.0153				
	(0.0114)	(0.0207)				
Missing	0.0124	-0.0194				
	(0.0193)	(0.0382)				
Mother's education						
College	0.00517	-0.0138				
	(0.0222)	(0.0307)				
High school	-0.00973	0.0189				
<u> </u>	(0.0108)	(0.0208)				
Missing	0.00299	-0.0232				
	(0.0206)	(0.0389)				
Parental occupation						
Father has a white collar job	-0.0153	-0.00443				
,	(0.0150)	(0.0229)				
Mother has a white collar job	0.0220	0.0337				
,	(0.0150)	(0.0230)				

Table 5. Continued

	Table 5. Continued	
Explanatory variables	Outstanding	Opinion on high
Explanatory variables	student	school classes
TT 1 11 1		
Household income		
Bottom 25%	0.00765	-0.00365
	(0.0144)	(0.0236)
Top 25%	0.0220	0.0361*
1	(0.0149)	(0.0186)
Missing	0.00798	0.0341
	(0.0171)	(0.0310)
Below neighbors'	0.0215	-0.0339
C .	(0.0207)	(0.0332)
Above neighbors'	0.00493	-0.00807
Ü	(0.0125)	(0.0210)
Parental attitude		
Parents encouraged college	0.0228*	0.215***
Tarents encouraged conege	(0.0120)	(0.0246)
Parents discouraged college	-0.0177	0.0678
Tarents discouraged conege	(0.0250)	(0.0543)
Father's national/ethnic	(0.0230)	(0.0343)
background		
background		
British	0.0214	0.0651
	(0.0205)	(0.0420)
Eastern European	0.00645	0.0177
•	(0.0275)	(0.0479)
French	0.0777***	0.0333
	(0.0274)	(0.0541)
German	0.0214	0.0267
	(0.0164)	(0.0350)
Irish	-0.0396**	0.0204
	(0.0196)	(0.0490)
Mediterranean	0.0215	0.0689
	(0.0399)	(0.0658)
Scandinavian	0.00638	0.0138
	(0.0174)	(0.0406)
Minority	-0.0317	0.309***
•	(0.0733)	(0.0882)
Missing	0.0344	0.0307
	(0.0490)	(0.0905)
Constant	-0.288***	0.144*
	(0.0541)	(0.0851)
Observations	3,281	3,196
R ²	0.198	0.244
High school FE	Y	Y
111811 3011001 1 1.1	<u>.</u>	

Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

school experience. Both dependent variables are categorical. Accordingly, both regressions in table 5 are linear probability models.¹⁷

The equation for "outstanding student" demonstrates that, as would be expected, individuals with higher IQs and with higher high school ranks were significantly more likely to be identified as outstanding students. The same was true for those with friends planning to attend college and those whose parents encouraged college attendance, presumably reflecting shared ambitions.

The estimated effects of both appearance and body mass are statistically insignificant. Only two of the nationality effects are statistically significant. Any of these characteristics may have affected student interactions with high school colleagues and staff. However, their unimportance in table 5 suggests that recognition as "outstanding" was not affected by these, arguably irrelevant, characteristics.

However, recognition did not depend solely on ability, ambition and performance. The effect of surname initial alphabetical rank on recognition is significant, negative and substantively large. Two otherwise identical students whose surname initials differed in rank by ten places, the difference between "A" and "K", "H" and "R", or "O" and "Y", as examples, would have differed in their probabilities of designation as outstanding by 1.28 percentage points. As the average probability of designation, from table 4, was 11.3%, this effect reduced the probability of designation for the student with the higher-ranked surname initial by more than 10%.

The regression for "opinion on high school classes" shares important similarities with that for "outstanding student". Students with higher high school rank, friends who intended to attend

¹⁷ Variations in sample sizes across regressions here and in the following tables are attributable, with one exception in table 6, to differing incidences of missing values for the dependent variables.

college and parents who encouraged college attendance were more likely to have favorable opinions about their high school classes.¹⁸ Opinions were not affected by either appearance or body mass.

However, IQ had no effect on student opinions regarding their classes. This seems implausible, at least unconditionally. For example, cognitive ability and appreciation for challenging courses might have been positively correlated. However, this correlation might have been of limited relevance if students of different abilities took different courses. Its relevance may have been further limited by the regression specification, which compares the effects of differences in cognitive ability for those whose high school performance and college ambitions were the same.

Regardless, the effect of alphabetic rank of surname initial on student opinions regarding their courses was, once again, significant and negative. Substantively, though, it was less important than in the evaluation as "outstanding". Two otherwise identical students whose surname initials differed in rank by ten places would have differed in their probability of expressing favorable opinions of their courses by 2.19 percentage points. As the average probability of favorable opinions, from table 4, was 56.4%, this effect reduced the probability of a favorable opinion by the student with the surname initial furthest from the beginning of the alphabet by less than 5%.

In sum, table 5 compares the high school experiences of two students in the same class and school, with the same IQ, class standing, appearance and family characteristics. Of the two, the student with the surname initial that was farthest from the beginning of the alphabet had a significantly less successful high school experience.

regarding high school studies.

¹⁸ The coefficients for number of siblings and birth order in this regression are both significant, of similar magnitude and opposite sign. Together, they imply that the addition of an older sibling, which would have increased both the number of siblings and birth order, would have had no substantive effect. However, the addition of a younger sibling, which would have increased the number of siblings but would not have changed birth order, induced a more favorable opinion

Although the estimated differences between these students are substantively large, they may well be underestimates. The relationship between high school experience and rank of surname initial among those who graduated suggests that those with surname initials farther from the beginning of the alphabet may have had higher propensities to leave school prior to graduation. This would imply that, among students with such initials, those who remained through graduation had relatively positive experiences.

Table 5 demonstrates that the alphabetical rank of surname initial affected both teacher evaluations of high school students and students' evaluations of their high school experience. Both evaluations could have been subject to distortions related to student characteristics that were not directly relevant to academic performance. However, the regressions in table 5 hold constant the non-academic characteristics that were most likely to have been salient, facial attractiveness and body mass. Moreover, it is unlikely that the alphabetic rank of surname initial was correlated with other unobserved but potentially relevant characteristics, especially in the presence of nationality fixed effects. Consequently, the estimated effects of surname initial are likely to capture the actual effect of alphabetic rank.

The substantive differences in the surname initial effects of table 5 may be informative regarding the behavioral mechanisms, discussed in the section 2, by which those effects might arise. Teachers were responsible for designation as an "outstanding student". The large effect of surname initial on the probability of achieving this designation suggests that, for teachers, ordering effects were important.

In contrast, students were responsible for evaluating their courses. The smaller effect of surname initial on the probability of a favorable opinion suggests that students with surname initials further from the beginning of the alphabet were able to compensate, at least to some degree, with the associated disadvantages.

Table 6. Post-secondary educational attainment

Explanatory variables	Applied to	Withdrew from	Received post-
Explanatory variables	college	college	high school degree
Alphabetical rank of surname initial	-0.00293***	0.00562***	-0.00267**
	(0.00106)	(0.00184)	(0.00107)
IQ	-0.000458	-0.00174	0.00256***
	(0.000634)	(0.00108)	(0.000712)
High school rank	0.00294***	-0.00493***	0.00374***
_	(0.000360)	(0.000601)	(0.000395)
Attractiveness	0.00646	-0.000214	-0.00406
	(0.00532)	(0.00866)	(0.00666)
Relative body mass - proxy for BMI	0.0117	0.000242	-0.000610
, 1 ,	(0.00908)	(0.0150)	(0.00911)
Friends' plans to attend college	0.156***	-0.0654**	0.108***
•	(0.0209)	(0.0253)	(0.0176)
Parents encouraged college	0.0730***	-0.0282	0.145***
	(0.0177)	(0.0420)	(0.0191)
Observations	3,281	1,610	3,280
\mathbb{R}^2	0.161	0.182	0.226
Additional household controls	Y	Y	Y
High school FE	Y	Y	Y

Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 6 explores the relationships between the explanatory variables of greatest interest and tertiary education outcomes. It presents estimates from linear probability models for the probabilities of applying to college prior to high school graduation, withdrawing from college if ever enrolled prior to 1992, and receiving a college degree by 1992. These estimates reinforce the themes apparent in table 5.

As in table 5, better performance in high school was associated with more favorable outcomes. Men with higher high school ranks subsequently accumulated more human capital: they were significantly more likely to apply to college, significantly less likely to withdraw and significantly

¹⁹ The authors can provide complete results. Among the explanatory variables not presented in table 6, an individual was significantly more likely to apply to college if his father had graduated from college, significantly less likely to withdraw from college if either father or mother had graduated from college and significantly more likely to earn a college degree if either father or mother had graduated from college. Other explanatory variables did not display consistent significant effects. The sample for the regression analyzing withdrawal from college consists only of those who ever enrolled.

more likely to receive a college degree. The same was true for those whose friends intended to attend college. Those whose parents encouraged college attendance were significantly more likely to apply and to graduate. Holding constant high school performance and proxies for college ambitions, higher IQs were significantly associated with only higher probabilities of receiving a college degree.

Facial attractiveness and relative body mass had no significant effects on college outcomes. Their absence reinforces the implication of table 5 regarding these variables. Any relevance they may have had to the experience of young men does not appear to have affected their investments in human capital.

However, this again did not hold for surname initial. As in table 5, individuals with surname initials ranked later in the alphabet had consistently inferior outcomes. The coefficients for surname initial rank are significant for all three outcomes. These coefficients imply that a difference of ten ranks in surname initial was associated with a reduction of 2.93 percentage points in the probability of applying to college, an increase of 5.62 percentage points in the probability of withdrawing after enrolling, and a reduction of 2.67 percentage points in the probability of receiving a college degree. Compared to the average probabilities from table 4 of, respectively, 34.1%, 34.4% and 44.4%, each of these differences was substantively large.

Table 7 explores the relationships between the explanatory variables of greatest interest and early employment outcomes. It presents a linear probability model for the probability of serving in the military, on the presumption that those who served were most likely to do so at the beginnings

Table 7. Initial employment

Evaluation vanishles	Military	First employment		
Explanatory variables	service	Income score	Prestige score	
Alphabetical rank of surname initial	0.00465***	-0.00424	-0.749*	
	(0.00148)	(0.00281)	(0.390)	
IQ	0.00194**	0.00760***	1.065***	
	(0.000771)	(0.00175)	(0.243)	
High school rank	-0.00197***	0.00810***	1.765***	
	(0.000402)	(0.000821)	(0.127)	
Attractiveness	0.000988	-0.00190	-0.163	
	(0.00798)	(0.0152)	(2.191)	
Relative body mass - proxy for BMI	-0.00415	0.0181	-2.170	
	(0.0118)	(0.0239)	(3.312)	
Friends' plan to attend college	-0.0706***	0.190***	40.47***	
	(0.0231)	(0.0461)	(6.759)	
Parents encouraged college	-0.0634***	0.299***	47.75***	
	(0.0211)	(0.0462)	(6.375)	
Observations	3,281	3,086	3,087	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.035	0.213	0.311	
Additional household controls	Y	Y	Y	
High school FE	Y	Y	Y	

Income score is in natural log. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

of their careers. Table 7 also presents regressions which describe the natural logarithm of the income score²⁰ and the Siegel Occupational Prestige Score for the first job. These estimates suggest that employment was a superior option to military service. They further support the themes apparent in table 5.

Students with higher high school ranks were significantly less likely to have military experience, and had significantly higher incomes and prestige scores for their first job. The same was true for students whose friends intended to attend college and whose parents encouraged them to attend college. These results indicate that the more accomplished and ambitious were more likely to avoid military service and obtain better entry-level employment.

²⁰ The WLS documentation does not offer a thorough description of this variable, "ocix1" (http://www.ssc.wisc.edu/wlsresearch/documentation/waves/?wave=wls75&module=cjobh). It appears to be the median income of workers in an individual's occupation.

Holding constant high school performance and ambition, students with higher IQ scores were significantly more likely to serve in the military, and obtained first jobs with significantly higher income and prestige scores. All three of these effects seem plausible as demand-side responses: among those with equivalent high school performance and subsequent ambition, both the military and employers preferred individuals with greater cognitive ability.

Once again, neither attractiveness nor relative body mass had significant effects on any of the table 7 outcomes. However, surname initial continued to confer advantages on those with initials closer to the front of the alphabet. The coefficient on surname initial is significantly positive in the linear probability model for military service and significantly negative in the regression for the prestige score of the first job. An increase of ten in alphabetic rank increased the probability of military service by 4.65 percentage points, or nearly one-tenth of the average probability of 50.4%. The same increase in alphabetic rank reduced the prestige score by 7.49 points, or approximately two percent of the average score, 396.9.

Table 8 explores the determinants of earnings and prestige scores for employment in 1974, at approximately age 35, and in 1992, at approximately age 53. Broadly, measures of innate human capital, high school effort and proxies for ambition at the end of high school continued to be associated with superior outcomes. Presentational characteristics continued to be largely irrelevant. In contrast, surname initials had become less influential.

As in all previous regressions, higher high school ranks were significantly associated with better outcomes at both ages. The same was true for ambition, as proxied by friends' plans for college and parents encouragement for college enrollment, with the exception of the insignificant coefficient for the latter in the regression for 1992 log earnings. In addition, higher IQ scores were significantly associated with higher earnings and prestige in both years.

Table 8. Employment in adulthood

Explanatory you able	Emplo	yment in 1974	Employ	ment in 1992
Explanatory variables	Earnings	Prestige score	Earnings	Prestige score
Alphabetical rank of surname initial	-2.13e-05	0.214	0.000858	-0.203
	(0.00145)	(0.324)	(0.00238)	(0.353)
IQ	0.00294***	1.199***	0.00644***	1.432***
	(0.000920)	(0.206)	(0.00169)	(0.199)
High school rank	0.00144***	1.287***	0.00302***	0.895***
	(0.000423)	(0.107)	(0.000797)	(0.120)
Attractiveness	0.0131	3.359*	0.0119	0.478
	(0.00831)	(1.727)	(0.0126)	(2.080)
Relative body mass - proxy for BMI	-0.00289	-3.208	-0.00679	-4.887*
	(0.0111)	(2.614)	(0.0201)	(2.818)
Friends' plan to attend college	0.0689***	20.71***	0.0835**	22.43***
	(0.0243)	(5.445)	(0.0356)	(6.021)
Parents encouraged college	0.0686***	35.21***	0.0406	41.46***
	(0.0237)	(5.484)	(0.0381)	(6.362)
Observations	2,694	3,220	2,426	2,863
\mathbb{R}^2	0.077	0.262	0.092	0.208
Additional household controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
High school FE	Y	Y	Y	Y

Earnings are in natural logs. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

As in all regressions for tables 5, 6 and 7, both attractiveness and relative body mass make no statistically significant contributions to three of the four regressions in table 7. The former is significant in the regression for 1974 prestige score, and the latter in the regression for the 1992 prestige score. These coefficients indicate, respectively, that more attractive and less massive individuals had jobs with greater prestige. Given the absence of similar effects in all previous regressions, these results probably do not indicate systematic effects.

In contrast to all regressions for tables 5, 6 and 7, alphabetical rank surname initial is insignificant in all table 8 regressions. This implies that the effects of surname initial rank dissipated as adulthood progressed. Presumably, the accumulation of productive skills and records of accomplishment eventually superseded any ordering or conditioning effects associated with alphabetic rank.

5. The interaction between more salient forms of distinction and surname initial

Section 4 estimates effects for alphabetic rank of surname initial that were common to all sample members. However, section 2 suggests that a characteristic, such as surname initial rank, that is not of primary salience may be unimportant for those who are distinguished with regard to more salient characteristics. The previous section offered implicit support for this suggestion. It demonstrated that surname initial rank had no effects on labor market outcomes for adults beyond the first job, presumably because they had informative work histories.

This section explores the effect of distinction with regard to more salient characteristics in greater detail. In the previous section, two personal characteristics, high school rank and IQ, had significant effects on all or almost all outcomes. These effects were plausible, because all outcomes should have improved with more human capital. Moreover, both academic performance and cognitive ability were readily estimable, if not actually observable. Therefore, both were plausibly more salient individual characteristics than was alphabetic rank of surname initial.

Given the effects of surname initial rank on high school outcomes, high school rank may be structurally related to alphabetic rank of surname initial.²¹ In contrast, IQ is generally considered to be largely innate. Therefore, to a first approximation, surname initial rank is probably assigned randomly across IQ strata. This conceptual approximation is consistent with the empirical correlation, -0.0067. To the extent that this approximation is appropriate, it should be possible to test unambiguously whether alphabetic rank of surname initial has more important effects for those who have intermediate cognitive ability than for those whose cognitive skills distinguish them.

²¹ However, the two are unrelated empirically. The simple correlation between the two is at .0148. The regression of high school rank on all other control variables, high school fixed effects and surname initial rank yields an insignificant coefficient on the latter variable.

Table 9. Alphabetism by IQ strata

Dan and and and shall a	Alpl	nabetical rank of i	nitial	- N	\mathbf{R}^2
Dependent variables	Low IQ	Intermediate IQ	High IQ	- 11	K-
High school					
Outstanding student	-0.000318	-0.000983	-0.00326	3,281	0.216
<i>(</i> 1	(0.00138)	(0.000781)	(0.00214)		
Opinion on high school classes	-0.00243	-0.00293**	(0.00251)	3,196	0.245
α	(0.00311)	(0.00148)	-0.00201		
Post-secondary educational					
Applied to college	0.00127	-0.00379***	-0.00307	3,281	0.172
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0.00296)	(0.00122)	(0.00281)		
Withdrew from college	0.00223	0.00726***	0.00224	1,610	0.186
•	(0.00842)	(0.00230)	(0.00270)		
Received post-high school degree	0.00299	-0.00420***	-0.00103	3,280	0.233
1 // //	(0.00292)	(0.00133)	(0.00263)		
Initial employment					
Military service	-0.00166	0.00569***	0.00560*	3,281	0.038
,	(0.00332)	(0.00184)	(0.00309)		
First employment - income score	0.00600	-0.00722**	-0.000855	3,220	0.171
1 /	(0.00768)	(0.00339)	(0.00660)		
First employment - prestige score	0.382	-0.875*	-1.240	3,087	0.314
1 3 1 17	(0.898)	(0.483)	(0.941)		
Employment in adulthood	, ,	, ,	•		
1974 employment – earnings	0.000272	-0.00109	0.00352	2,694	0.079
1 3	(0.00306)	(0.00178)	(0.00358)		
1974 employment - prestige score	0.415	0.0910	0.367	3,220	0.266
. ,	(0.757)	(0.374)	(0.840)		
1992 employment – earnings	-0.00387	-0.00144	0.0117*	2,426	0.099
1 3	(0.00611)	(0.00267)	(0.00652)		
1992 employment - prestige score	0.303	-0.0867	-1.044	2,863	0.209
1 3 1 63	(0.886)	(0.440)	(0.802)		

Each regression includes full set of controls and high school fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 9 presents this test. It stratifies the sample into three subsamples, as in equation 2. The first consists of those individuals with IQ scores between 61 and 86, inclusive, more than one standard deviation below the sample average. The third consists of those with IQ scores between 118 and 145, inclusive, more than one standard deviation above the sample average. The intermediate subsample consists of those with IQ scores within one standard deviation of the sample average, between 87 and 117, inclusive.

Each row of the table presents the coefficients for surname initial alphabetic rank interacted with the dummy variable for each of these subsamples from equation 2.²² These coefficients are, for the most part, consistent with the hypothesis that the ordering effects associated with surname initial alphabetic rank are more important for individuals who are not distinguished in more salient dimensions.

The first column of table 9 demonstrates that the alphabetic rank of surname initial had no significant effects for those with low IQ scores. This is consistent with the expectation that individuals with weak cognitive ability would receive distinctive recognition in many contexts, regardless of their surname initial. This recognition would supersede any ordering effects associated with alphabetic rank.

The same may be true for those with strong cognitive ability. The third column demonstrates that alphabetic rank had significant effects on only two of the twelve outcomes for those with high IQ scores. The first, a positive effect on the probability of military service, reproduces the sample-wide effect in table 7 with a slightly larger magnitude. The second, a positive effect on the natural log of earnings in 1992, does not appear in the sample as a whole and suggests, anomalously, that, among those with high IQ scores, individuals with surname initials further from the beginning of the alphabet had higher earnings in that year.

In contrast, the middle column of table 9 demonstrates that those with intermediate IQ scores were largely responsible for the sample-wide effects of surname initial alphabetic rank in tables 5, 6, 7 and 8. The coefficients for alphabetic rank are significant at better than 1% in the regressions for whether the individual applied to college, whether the individual withdrew from college having enrolled, whether the individual earned a post-high school degree and whether the individual served in the military. They are significant at better than 5% in the regressions for the

²² The authors can provide complete results for these and all subsequent regressions.

individual's opinion regarding their high school classes and the income score of their first job, and at better than 10% for their first employment prestige score.

The coefficient for surname initial rank in the regression for income score for the first job is statistically significant in table 9 and larger in magnitude than its insignificant counterpart in table 7. The other six significant coefficients in table 9 are all larger in magnitude than the corresponding coefficients in tables 5, 6 and 7, and of at least the same statistical significance. The table 5 coefficient for surname initial rank is the only effect that is significant for the entire sample but not for those of intermediate IQ in table 9.

Table 9 demonstrates that the significant effects of alphabetic rank of surname initial were restricted almost exclusively to those who were undistinguished in terms of cognitive ability.

Analogous estimates in which the sample is stratified into three groups by high school rank do not reveal any consistent patterns of effects across these groups. As suggested above, this may be attributable to causal effects of surname initial rank on high school rank.

In contrast, surname initial is probably randomly assigned across appearance scores for the same reasons that it would be randomly assigned across IQ scores. The empirical correlation between the two is -0.012, essentially zero. The absence of significant appearance effects in tables 5 through 8 suggests that there may not be significant interactions between appearance and surname initial rank. However, the comparison between the effects of surname initial rank across different levels of attractiveness scores is, statistically, less problematic than that across different levels of high school performance.

As with IQ, the analysis here stratifies individuals with attractiveness scores more than one standard deviation below or above the sample average from those with scores within one standard of that average. The range of scores for each stratification is, respectively, from the minimum of -4.011 to less than -1.24, from 1.37 to the maximum of 4.14 and between -1.24 and less than 1.37.

Table 10 Sample size by IQ-attractiveness strata

	Low IQ group	Intermediate IQ group	High IQ group	Total
Low attractiveness group	91 (2.77%)	337 (10.27%)	88 (2.68%)	516 (15.73%)
Intermediate attractiveness group	334 (10.18%)	1,533 (46.72%)	341 (10.39%)	2,208 (67.29%)
High attractiveness group	73 (2.23%)	377 (11.49%)	107 (3.26%)	557 (16.98%)
Total	498 (15.18%)	2,247 (68.49%)	536 (16.34%)	3,281 (100%)

Perhaps as expected, there are no consistent patterns of surname initial rank effects across these strata.

However, the pattern of table 9 reemerges, further strengthened, when the sample is stratified by both IQ and appearance score, as in equation 3. This stratification subdivides each of the IQ-defined subgroups of table 9 into three further subgroups distinguished by the low, intermediate and high attractiveness scores.

Table 10 reports the numbers of individuals within each of the nine subsamples. The four subsamples with either high or low scores for both attractiveness and IQ together comprise 10.9% of the sample. The four subsamples with intermediate scores for one and high or low scores for the other comprise 42.3% of the sample. The subsample with intermediate scores for both IQ and attractiveness includes 46.7% of the sample.²³

²³ Stratifications that place more of the sample in the extreme categories yield less distinctive results. Empirically, it seems that "within one standard deviation of the sample average" is an accurate implementation of "undistinguished". However, it is possible that some of the differences in statistical significance apparent in the following tables are the consequences of different subsample sizes, rather than differences in behavioral responses.

Table 11. Alphabetism in high school by IQ-attractiveness strata

Explanatory variables	Outstanding student	Opinion on high school classes
Alphabetical rank of surname initial		
Low IQ group		
Low attractiveness group	0.00336	0.00458
0 1	(0.00292)	(0.00907)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00130	-0.00312
	(0.00170)	(0.00376)
High attractiveness group	0.000769	-0.00535
	(0.00246)	(0.00808)
Intermediate IQ group		
Low attractiveness group	0.000335	-0.000378
	(0.00171)	(0.00357)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00233**	-0.00457***
	(0.00103)	(0.00170)
High attractiveness group	0.00325	0.000905
	(0.00224)	(0.00329)
High IQ group		
Low attractiveness group	-0.00380	-0.00194
	(0.00497)	(0.00643)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00376	0.000773
	(0.00292)	(0.00327)
High attractiveness group	-0.000992	0.00464
	(0.00456)	(0.00587)
Observations	3,281	3,196
R ²	0.224	0.252

Includes full set of controls and high school fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 11 presents results for the regressions of table 5 with this expanded specification. It reports only the coefficients for alphabetic rank of surname initial within each stratum of the IQ-by-appearance categorization, $\beta_{ir,\alpha}$. These coefficients confirm that alphabetic rank of surname initial was important only for those who were not distinguished in terms of cognitive ability or appearance. Significant effects occur only for those who were of intermediate IQ and average attractiveness, in stratum (M_IM_R). In magnitude, these effects are approximately double those estimated for the entire sample in table 4 and larger than the effects in table 9.

Table 12. Post-secondary educational attainment by IQ-attractiveness strata

Evaluation variables	Applied to	Withdrew from	Received post-
Explanatory variables	college	college	high school degree
Alphabetical rank of surname initial			
Low IQ group			
Low attractiveness group	0.0144**	-0.0392**	0.00275
	(0.00680)	(0.0159)	(0.00836)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.000963	0.00918	0.00303
	(0.00357)	(0.0101)	(0.00369)
High attractiveness group	-7.69e-05	-0.0157*	0.00536
	(0.00877)	(0.00907)	(0.00815)
Intermediate IQ group	,	, ,	
Low attractiveness group	-0.00441	0.00240	0.00128
	(0.00342)	(0.00579)	(0.00334)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00335*	0.00917***	-0.00591***
	(0.00174)	(0.00275)	(0.00169)
High attractiveness group	-0.00435	-0.000513	-0.00309
	(0.00327)	(0.00490)	(0.00319)
High IQ group	,	, ,	
Low attractiveness group	-0.0126*	-0.00355	-0.00607
	(0.00738)	(0.00593)	(0.00668)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00267	0.00473	-0.00318
0 1	(0.00361)	(0.00308)	(0.00301)
High attractiveness group	-0.00104	0.00108	0.00839
<u>.</u>	(0.00526)	(0.00553)	(0.00609)
Observations	3,281	1,610	3,280
\mathbb{R}^2	0.178	0.214	0.239

Includes full set of controls and high school fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 12 presents the same elaboration on the regressions of table 6. Once again, the effects of surname initial rank in all three regressions are significant for those with intermediate IQ scores and intermediate attractiveness. The signs are identical to those estimated for the entire sample in table 6.

The coefficient measuring the effect of surname initial rank for those with intermediate IQ scores and intermediate attractiveness on the probability of applying to college is slightly larger in magnitude than the coefficient for the entire sample in table 6, but slightly smaller than that for all with intermediate IQ scores in table 9. However, the coefficient magnitudes for this stratum are

much larger than in table 6 and markedly larger than in table 9 with regard to the probabilities of withdrawing having enrolled and of receiving a college degree.

These regressions also display incidental significance for three of the other 24 surname initial rank coefficients. These results may indicate that other behavioral mechanisms may also have been linked to surname initial rank. However, the inconsistency of these effects across the regressions of table 11, compounded by inconsistency across the other tables in this section, suggests that they were probably not substantive.

Table 13 expands the regressions of table 7 with the interactions between IQ score, attractiveness score and surname initial rank. Here, surname initial rank is associated with only one significant coefficient in strata other than that with intermediate IQ and attractiveness scores. However, in this strata, the coefficients for surname initial rank in the regressions for military service and the prestige score for first employment are significant, of the same sign and larger in magnitude than the corresponding coefficients for the entire sample in table 7 and for those with intermediate IQs in table 9. The significant coefficient for surname initial rank among those with intermediate IQ and attractiveness scores in the first employment income score regression is much larger than the insignificant coefficient for the entire sample in table 7 or the significant coefficient for those with intermediate IQs in table 9.

Finally, table 14 presents the elaboration of table 8. Only two coefficients for surname initial rank are statistically significant in table 14, one for the subsample with intermediate IQ and intermediate attractiveness scores. The coefficient magnitudes for this variable in this strata in the other three regressions do not differ systematically from those for the entire sample in table 8. Therefore, it appears as though the effects of surname initial rank dissipate with age for this subsample, as well as for the entire sample.

Table 13. Initial employment by IQ-attractiveness strata

E-mlanata managahlan	Military	First employment		
Explanatory variables	service	Income score	Prestige score	
Alphabetical rank of surname initial				
Low IQ group				
Low attractiveness group	-0.00729	-0.0109	-0.0387	
	(0.00866)	(0.0205)	(2.365)	
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.000708	0.0119	1.225	
	(0.00412)	(0.00842)	(1.055)	
High attractiveness group	-0.00350	-0.000379	-1.613	
	(0.00948)	(0.0187)	(2.136)	
Intermediate IQ group				
Low attractiveness group	0.00324	-0.00783	-0.364	
<u> </u>	(0.00455)	(0.00859)	(1.143)	
Intermediate attractiveness group	0.00618***	-0.0114***	-1.386**	
	(0.00211)	(0.00420)	(0.569)	
High attractiveness group	0.00653	0.0110	0.739	
	(0.00441)	(0.00865)	(1.254)	
High IQ group				
Low attractiveness group	0.000646	0.00369	-2.043	
	(0.00689)	(0.0166)	(2.747)	
Intermediate attractiveness group	0.00753*	-0.00216	-1.475	
	(0.00409)	(0.00733)	(1.159)	
High attractiveness group	0.00195	0.00144	-0.427	
	(0.00651)	(0.0158)	(2.149)	
Observations	3,281	3,086	3,087	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.045	0.221	0.318	

Income score is in natural log. Includes full set of controls and high school fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

6. Conclusion

The analyses presented here demonstrate that outcomes regarding investments in human capital and the returns to those investments, from late adolescence through middle age, are consistently affected by individual characteristics. All twelve outcomes examined here, measuring educational experiences, educational success and educational attainment, as well as incomes and occupational prestige, are significantly and positively affected by improved high school performance, as measured by higher rank in graduating class. Greater ambition in high school, as captured by friends with aspirations to attend college, is also significantly associated with more positive outcomes for all twelve.

Table 14. Employment in adulthood by IQ-attractiveness strata

Explanatory variables	Employment in 1974		Employment in 1992	
	Earnings	Prestige score	Earnings	Prestige score
Alphabetical rank of surname				
Low IQ group				
Low attractiveness group	0.0102	-0.477	-0.00502	-0.318
	(0.00791)	(1.709)	(0.0152)	(2.671)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00379	0.884	-0.00946	0.848
<u> </u>	(0.00362)	(0.826)	(0.00707)	(1.059)
High attractiveness group	0.00949	-0.313	0.0185	-1.632
	(0.0111)	(2.043)	(0.0132)	(2.152)
Intermediate IQ group				
Low attractiveness group	0.00162	0.944	-0.0125**	-0.772
	(0.00445)	(0.918)	(0.00576)	(1.104)
Intermediate attractiveness group	-0.00385**	-0.303	0.00101	-0.0834
	(0.00174)	(0.425)	(0.00345)	(0.502)
High attractiveness group	0.00586	0.767	-0.00437	0.375
	(0.00637)	(0.898)	(0.00752)	(1.251)
High IQ group				
Low attractiveness group	0.0169	2.837	0.0354	-0.987
	(0.0142)	(2.277)	(0.0254)	(1.938)
Intermediate attractiveness group	8.20e-05	0.113	0.00259	-0.544
	(0.00383)	(1.053)	(0.00648)	(0.958)
High attractiveness group	0.00647	-1.020	0.0290	-2.474
	(0.00723)	(1.668)	(0.0224)	(1.876)
Observations	2,694	3,220	2,426	2,863
\mathbb{R}^2	0.09	0.272	0.114	0.213

Earnings are in natural logs. Includes full set of controls and high school fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at high school. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Holding constant high school rank and friends' ambitions regarding college, greater cognitive ability and parental support are nearly as influential. IQ score has significantly positive effects for nine of the 12 outcomes, and for all seven that measure labor market outcomes. Parental encouragement for college attendance has significantly positive effects for 10 of the 12.

At the same time, standard presentational characteristics appear to be unimportant with regard to investments in human capital and the subsequent returns. Both the attractiveness and body mass ratings have statistically significant effects for only one of the twelve outcomes.

However, the characteristic of interest here, alphabetic rank of surname initial, has significant and substantial negative effects on outcomes in high school, educational attainment and

first labor market experiences. Those with higher-ranked initials are less likely to be recognized as outstanding students in high school, less likely to have favorable opinions of their high school experience, less likely to apply to college while in high school, less likely to remain in college if admitted and less likely to earn a college degree. They are also more likely to have military experience and to have first jobs with lower occupational prestige scores.

These effects would be consistent with the experience of alphabetic orderings, both in assigning opportunities and in conditioning individuals to be receptive to opportunities. Those whose surname initials are ranked further from the beginning of the alphabet are presumably offered fewer opportunities in any alphabetic-based ordering. They are consequently less prepared to take advantage of those opportunities that are offered.

These effects also appear to be dependent on experiences of prominence in other domains. They are inconsequential for those who are distinctive, either through especially low or especially high scores, in cognitive ability. Moreover, within those of intermediate cognitive ability, these affects appear to be limited only to those of intermediate physical attractiveness. For these men, who otherwise attract the least attention, the further disregard associated with later surname placement in the alphabet is especially harmful.

References

Ackerman, Margaret and Simina Brânzei (forthcoming) "The authorship dilemma: Alphabetical or contribution?", <u>Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems</u>.

Ang, James, Ansley Chua and Danling Jiang (2010) "Is A better than B? How affect influences the marketing and pricing of financial securities", <u>Financial Analysts Journal</u>, Vol. 66, No. 6, November/December, 40-54.

Angelucci, Manuela, Giacomo De Giorgi, Marcos A. Rangel, and Imran Rasul (2010) "Family networks and school enrolment: Evidence from a randomized social experiment", <u>Journal of Public Economics</u>, Vol. 94, Nos. 3-4, April, 197-221.

Arai, Mahmood and Peter Skogman Thoursie (2009) "Renouncing personal names: An empirical examination of surname change and earnings", <u>Journal of Labor Economics</u>, Vol. 27, No. 1, 127-147.

Arbatskaya, Maria (2007) "Ordered search", <u>Rand Journal of Economics</u>, Vol. 38, No. 1, Spring, 119-126.

Arsenault, Clément and Vincent Larivière (2015) "Is paper uncitedness a function of the alphabet?", ISSI (International Society for Scientometrics and Infometrics), 286-287.

Aura, Saku and Gregory D. Hess (2010) "What's in a name?", Economic Inquiry, Vol. 48, No. 1, January, 214–227.

Baert S, Cockx B, Gheyle N, et al. (2015) "Is there less discrimination in occupations where recruitment is difficult?", <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 68, No. 3, May, 467–500.

Berger, Jonah (2016) "Does presentation order impact choice after delay?", <u>Topics in Cognitive Science</u>, Vol. 8, 670-684.

Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan (2004) "Are Emily and Greg more employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A field experiment on labor market discrimination", <u>The American Economic Review</u>, Vol. 94, No. 4, September, 991-1013.

Biavaschi, Costanza, Corrado Giulietti and Zahra Siddique (forthcoming) "The economic payoff of name Americanization", <u>Journal of Labor Economics</u>.

Carlsson, Magnus and Dan-Olof Rooth (2007) "Evidence of ethnic discrimination in the Swedish labor market using experimental data", <u>Labour Economics</u>, Vol. 14, 716-729.

Carney, Dana R. and Mahzarin R. Banaji (2012) "First is best", <u>PLoS ONE</u>, Vol. 7, No. 6, June, e35088. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035088

Carpusor, Adrian G. and William E. Loges (2006) "Rental discrimination and ethnicity in names", <u>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</u>, Vol. 36, No. 4, 934-952.

Clark, Gregory, and Neil Cummins (2015) "Intergenerational Wealth Mobility in England, 1858–2012: Surnames and Social Mobility", The Economic Journal, Vol. 125, No. 582, February, 61–85.

Collado, M. Dolores, Inacio Ortuño Ortín and Andrés Romeu (2008) "Surnames and social status in Spain", <u>Investigaciones Económicas</u>, Vol. 32, No. 3, 259-287.

Collado, M. Dolores, Inacio Ortuño Ortín and Andrés Romeu (2013) <u>Long-run intergenerational</u> social mobility and the distribution of surnames, working paper.

Cook, Lisa D., Trevon D. Logan and John M. Parman (2016) "The mortality consequences of distinctively black names", <u>Explorations in Economic History</u>, Vol. 59, 114-125.

Derous, Eva, Roland Pepermans and Ann Marie Ryan (forthcoming) "Ethnic discrimination during resume screening: Interactive effects of applicants' ethnic salience with job context", <u>Human Relations</u>, 1-23.

Derous, Eva, Ann Marie Ryan and Hannah-Hanh D. Nguyen (2012) "Multiple categorization in resume screening: Examining effects on hiring discrimination against Arab applicants in field and lab settings", <u>Journal of Organizational Behavior</u>, Vol. 33, No. 4, May, 544–570.

Dietrich, J. P. (2008) "The importance of being first: Position dependent citation rates on arXiv:astro-ph", <u>Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific</u>, Vol. 120, 224-228.

Efthyvoulou, Georgios (2008) "Alphabet economics: The link between names and reputation", <u>The Journal of Socio-Economics</u>, Vol. 37, 1266-1285.

Einav, Liran and Leeat Yariv (2006) "What's in a surname? The effects of surname initial on academic success", Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 20, No. 1, Winter, 175-188.

Feenberg, Daniel, Ina Ganguli, Patrick Gaulé and Jonathan Gruber (forthcoming) "It's good to be first: Order bias in reading and citing NBER working papers", Review of Economics and Statistics.

Fershtman, Chaim, and Uri Gneezy (2001) "Discrimination in a segmented society: An experimental approach", The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 116, No. 1, February, 351–77.

Figlio, David N. (2005) <u>Names, Expectations and the Black-White Test Score Gap</u>, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 11195.

Foster, Gigi (2008) "Names will never hurt me: Racially distinct names and identity in the undergraduate classroom", <u>Social Science Research</u>, Vol. 37, 934-952.

Frandsen, Tove Faber and Jeppe Nicolaisen (2010) "What is in a name? Credit assignment practices in different disciplines", Journal of Informetrics, Vol. 4, 508-517.

Fryer, Roland G. Jr. and Steven D. Levitt (2004) "The causes and consequences of distinctively black names", <u>The Quarterly Journal of Economics</u>, Vol. 119, No. 3, August, 767-805.

Ginsburgh, Victor A. and Jan C. van Ours (2003) "Expert opinion and compensation: Evidence from a musical competition", The American Economic Review, Vol. 93, No. 1, March, 289-296.

Gottfredson, Linda S. (2006) "Logical fallacies used to dismiss the evidence on intelligence testing", Chapter 1 in Phelps, Richard P. ed., <u>Correcting Fallacies About Educational and Psychological Testing</u>, American Psychological Association, Washington, D.C., 11-65.

Güell, Maia, José V. Rodríguez Mora, and Chris I. Telmer (2015) "The Informational Content of Surnames, the Evolution of Intergenerational Mobility, and Assortative Mating", <u>Review of Economic Studies</u>, Vol. 82, No. 2, April, 693–735.

Hamermesh, Daniel S. and Gerard A. Pfann (2012) "Reputation and earnings: The roles of quality and quantity in academe", <u>Economic Inquiry</u>, Vol. 50, No. 1, January, 1-16.

Haque, Asif-ul and Paul Ginsparg (2009) "Positional effects on citation and readership in arXiv", <u>Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology</u>, Vol. 60, No. 11, 2203–2218.

Hartzmark, Samuel (2015) "The worst, the best, ignoring all the rest: The rank effect and trading behavior", Review of Financial Studies, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1024-1059.

Herd, Pamela, Deborah Carr and Carol Roan (2014) "Cohort profile: Wisconsin longitudinal study (WLS)", <u>International Journal of Epidemiology</u>, Vol. 43, 34-41.

Ho, Daniel E. and Kosuke Imai (2008) "Estimating causal effects of ballot order from a randomized natural experiment: The California alphabet lottery, 1978-2002", <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>, Vol. 72, No. 2, Summer, 216-240.

Itzkowitz, Jennifer, Jesse Itzkowitz and Scott Rothbort (2016) "ABCs of trading: Behavioral biases affect stock turnover and value", <u>Review of Finance</u>, 663-692.

Jacobs, Heiko and Alexander Hillert (2016) "Alphabetic bias, investor recognition, and trading behavior", Review of Finance, Vol. 20, No. 2, 693-723.

Jurajda, Štěpán and Dejan Kovač (2016) What's a Name in a War, IZA Discussion Paper No. 10331.

Jurajda, Štěpán and Daniel Münich (2010) "Admission to selective schools, alphabetically", Economics of Education Review, Vol. 29, 1100-1109.

Kadel, Annke and Andreas Walter (2015) "Do scholars in economics and finance react to alphabetical discrimination?", Finance Research Letters, Vol. 14, 64-68.

Kohn, Meir G. and Steven Shavell (1974) "The theory of search", <u>Journal of Economic Theory</u>, Vol. 9, 93-123.

Kumar, Alok, Alexandra Niessen-Ruenzi and Oliver G. Spalt (2015) "What is in a Name? Mutual Fund Flows When Managers Have Foreign-Sounding Names", <u>Review of Financial Studies</u>, Vol. 28, No. 8, August, 2281-2321.

Maciejovsky, Boris, David V. Budescu, and Dan Ariely (2009) "The researcher as a consumer of scientific publications: How do name-ordering conventions affect inferences about contribution credits?", Marketing Science, Vol. 28, No. 3, May-June, 589-598.

Mateos, Pablo (2014) Names, Ethnicity and Populations: Tracing Identity in Space, Springer, Berlin.

McDevitt, Ryan C. (2014) "A' business by any other name: Firm name choice as a signal of firm quality", <u>Journal of Political Economy</u>, Vol. 122, No. 4, 909-944.

Merritt, Deborah Jones (1999) "Calling professor AAA: How to visit at the school of your choice", <u>Journal of Legal Education</u>, Vol. 49, No. 4, December, 557–563.

Novarese, Marco, and Chris M. Wilson, <u>Being in the Right Place: A Natural Field Experiment on List Position and Consumer Choice</u>, MPRA Paper No. 48074 (2013).

Olivetti, Claudia and M. Daniele Paserman (2015) "In the name of the son (and the daughter): Intergenerational mobility in the United States, 1850-1940", <u>The American Economic Review</u>, Vol. 105, no. 8, August, 2695-2724.

Ong, David, Ho Fai Chan, Benno Torgler and Yu (Alan) Yang (2016) <u>Endogenous Selection Into Single and Coauthorships by Surname Initials in Economics and Management</u>, working paper.

Page, Lionel and Katie Page (2010) "Last shall be first: A field study of biases in sequential performance evaluation on the Idol series", <u>Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization</u>, Vol. 73, No. 2, February, 186-198.

Pelham, Brett, Matthew Mirenberg and John T. Jones, "Why Susie Sells Seashells by the Seashore: Implicit Egotism and Major Life Decisions" (2002) <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, Vol. 82, No. 4, 469-487.

Pena, Pablo A. (2013) Surname Frequency and Lifespan, working paper.

van Praag, C. Mirjam and Bernard M.S. van Praag (2008) "The benefits of being professor A (rather than Z)", <u>Economica</u>, Vol. 75, 782-796.

Richardson, Michael L. (2008) "Alphabetic bias in the selection of reviewers for the American Journal of Roentgenology", <u>American Journal of Roentgenology</u>, Vol. 191, No. 6 Supplement, December, 213–216.

Rosen, Harvey S. and Jonathan Meer (2011) "The ABCs of charitable solicitation", <u>Journal of Public</u> Economics, Vol. 95, 363-371.

Rubinstein, Yona and Dror Brenner (2014) "Pride and prejudice: Using ethnic-sounding names and inter-ethnic marriages to identify labor market discrimination", <u>The Review of Economic Studies</u>, Vol. 81, No. 1, January, 389-425.

Young, Robert K., Ana H. Kennedy, Ann Newhouse, Pam Browne and Del Thiessen (1993) "The impact of names on perception of intelligence, popularity, and competence", <u>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</u>, Vol. 23, No. 21, November, 1770-1778.

Waltman, Ludo (2012) "An empirical analysis of the use of alphabetical authorship in scientific publishing", <u>Journal of Informetrics</u>, Vol. 6, 700-711.

Widner, Daniel and Stephen Chicoine (2011) "It's all in the name: Employment discrimination against Arab Americans", <u>Sociological Forum</u>, Vol. 26, No. 4, 806–823.

Wood, Martin, Jon Hales, Susan Purdon, Tanja Sejersen and Oliver Hayllar (2009) A test for racial discrimination in recruitment practice in British cities, Department for Work and Pensions, Research Report No. 607. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

Zax, Jeffrey S. and Daniel I. Rees (2002) "IQ, academic performance, environment and earnings", <u>The Review of Economics and Statistics</u>, Vol. 84, No. 4, November, 600-616.