POLICY STATEMENT

Organizational Principles to Guide and Define the Child Health Care System and/or Improve the Health of all Children



DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN

A New Era in Quality Measurement: The Development and Application of Quality Measures

Terry Adirim, MD, MPH, FAAP, Kelley Meade, MD, FAAP, Kamila Mistry, PhD, MPH, COUNCIL ON QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY, COMMITTEE ON PRACTICE AND AMBULATORY MANAGEMENT

Quality measures are used for a variety of purposes in health care, including clinical care improvement, regulation, accreditation, public reporting, surveillance, and maintenance of certification. Most quality measures are 1 of 3 types: structure, process, or outcome. Health care quality measures should address the domains of quality across the continuum of care and reflect patient and family experience. Measure development for pediatric health care has a number of important challenges, including gaps in the evidence base; the fact that measures for most conditions must be agespecific; the long, resource-intensive development process; and the national focus on measure development for adult conditions. Numerous national organizations focus on the development and application of quality measures. including the Pediatric Quality Measures Program, which is focused solely on the development and implementation of pediatric-specific measures. Once a quality measure is developed for use in national measurement programs, the organization that develops and/or "stewards" the measure may submit the measure or set of measures for endorsement, which is recognition of the scientific soundness, usability, and relevance of the measure. Quality measures must then be disseminated and applied to improve care. Although pediatric health care providers and child health care institutions alike must continually balance time and resources needed to address multiple reporting requirements, quality measurement is an important tool for advancing high-quality and safe health care for children. This policy statement provides an overview of quality measurement and describes the opportunities for pediatric health care providers to apply quality measures to improve clinical quality and performance in the delivery of pediatric health care services.

abstract



This document is copyrighted and is property of the American Academy of Pediatrics and its Board of Directors. All authors have filed conflict of interest statements with the American Academy of Pediatrics. Any conflicts have been resolved through a process approved by the Board of Directors. The American Academy of Pediatrics has neither solicited nor accepted any commercial involvement in the development of the content of this publication.

Policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics benefit from expertise and resources of liaisons and internal (AAP) and external reviewers. However, policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics may not reflect the views of the liaisons or the organizations or government agencies that they represent.

The guidance in this statement does not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

All policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-3442

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they do not have a financial relationship relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

To cite: Adirim T, Meade K, Mistry K, AAP COUNCIL ON QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY. A New Era in Quality Measurement: The Development and Application of Quality Measures. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(1):e20163442

INTRODUCTION

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and its members are committed to the highest-quality and safest health care for infants, children, adolescents, and young adults. Quality measurement is a critical tool for improving health care quality and patient safety. Quality measures are used for a variety of purposes, including improvements in clinical care, regulation, accreditation, public reporting, surveillance, and maintenance of certification (MOC) programs. In addition, the federal government and other payers are shifting to payment programs linked to quality, such as accountable care organizations (ACOs) and patientcentered medical homes, making it increasingly important that pediatric health care providers understand and implement quality measurement into clinical care processes.

The emerging focus on value in health care to address rising costs and to ensure desirable patient outcomes has led to a rapid increase in the development of quality measures.¹ Although the development of pediatric-specific quality measures has intensified, it has not kept pace with the number and breadth of quality measures applicable to adults. Much of the focus and resources allocated to the development of quality measures have been, and continue to be, targeted toward the adult population, which accounts for most of the nation's health care spending. The result has been relatively small investments in child health quality measure development. Although some measures may be applicable to both children and adults, it is important that all involved in the implementation of quality measures, such as national policy makers, health care organizations, payers, physician organizations, and pediatric health care providers, understand that measures that are appropriate for adults may

not be suitable for children. It is useful to think about the unique considerations for children compared with adults as the 5 Ds: developmental change, dependency, differential epidemiology, demography, and dollars (differences in financing of child health services).^{2,3} These differences are important when developing, choosing, and implementing quality measures. Another consideration for increasing the investment in child health measures is that many adult conditions begin during childhood, and therefore, early identification and intervention can lead to improvements in child health care quality and potentially can have significant positive effects on lifelong health combined with reductions in long-term health care costs. This policy statement provides an overview of quality measurement and describes opportunities for pediatric health care providers to apply quality measures to improve clinical quality and performance in the delivery of pediatric health care services.

TYPES OF QUALITY MEASURES

To understand how measures are applied to children, it is useful to understand the types of quality measures. Quality measures are "tools that help us measure or quantify health care processes, outcomes, patient perceptions, and organizational structure and/or systems that are associated with the ability to provide high-quality health care."4,5 The Institute of Medicine specified 6 key domains or targets for improving health care quality: safety, timeliness, effectiveness, efficiency, equity, and patient/ family centeredness.4 In addition, the components of the "Triple Aim" (http://www.ihi.org/engage/ initiatives/tripleaim/Pages/default. aspx), improving patient experience, improving the health of populations,

and reducing the per capita cost of health care, should be incorporated in measurement frameworks. Health care quality measures should address the domains of quality across the continuum of care and reflect patient and family experiences. Measures can be specific to conditions (eg, treatment of asthma in children) or cut across conditions, such as measures focused on coordination of care or hospital readmission. Preventive care, management of acute conditions, and increasingly, long-term and end-of-life issues as well as the transition from 1 site of care or 1 provider to another also should be included in pediatric quality-measurement frameworks.

Although many quality measures are available, most are categorized into 1 of 3 measure types: structure, process, or outcomes.⁶ Structural measures assess "sufficiency of resources and proper system design," including organizational characteristics, such as the type of care provided (eg, primary or specialty) and the use of specific systems for improving care (eg, an electronic health record or registry).⁷ Process measures assess the interaction between the patient (and/or his or her family) and the practitioner.⁶ Specifically, process measures assess the way in which care and services are provided, including assessment, evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment (eg, prescribing an asthma-control medication for a child with chronic asthma, provision of private confidential one-on-one screening and counseling to adolescents). Process includes the extent to which the patient is able to access needed health care services and the practitioner's use of standardized assessments. Outcome measures assess the effect of care delivered on the patient's (and family's) health and function. Outcome measures may reflect immediate outcomes, such as the proportion of immunized patients; intermediate outcomes, such as the number of visits to the emergency department for asthma attacks; or long-term outcomes, such as permanent disability or death. Another outcome measure includes patient-reported outcomes, which increasingly are recognized as an important component of a qualitymeasurement program. Patientreported outcome measures include any report of the status of a patient's health condition that comes directly from the patient (or family) without interpretation of the patient's response by a practitioner or anyone else.^{8,9} The inclusion of the patient and family perspective regarding the delivery of health care is important in framing the pediatric approach to quality.

Quality measures do not have a hierarchy. Although an outcome measure may be ideal in some circumstances, process and structural measures also are important in assessing a patient's care, especially when the process or structure is proven to be critical to the patient's outcome. With regard to children, in many cases, outcome measures may not be useful, because adverse outcomes are rare and therefore are applicable to a narrow population of children with serious chronic disease. For that reason, process measures that are more closely linked to improving outcomes on the basis of available evidence, such as those assessing preventive care and counseling, may be more relevant to children and youth and may be easier and less costly to use.

DEVELOPMENT AND ENDORSEMENT OF OUALITY MEASURES

The quality-measure development process involves a number of key steps, which can take months or even years to complete. Alternatively, the process could begin with an already established evidence-based guideline. Otherwise, the process

generally begins with an evidence review to identify and prioritize measure topics. The next steps include an environmental scan of the literature to determine whether measures exist, development of draft measure specifications, testing of draft measures, endorsement of measures, implementation, and continual feedback from stakeholders (Fig 1).^{10,11} The development process is designed to assess a measure's importance, scientific soundness, feasibility, and usability. Moreover, as part of the development process, stakeholder input is critical in determining measures concepts and in prioritizing them. Stakeholders should include patients or family members, health care practitioners, and payers to ensure that all views are gathered from groups affected by quality measures. Once the measure topic area is determined to be important, developers begin the process of measure specification and testing. The components of measure specification include denominator, numerator, exclusions, data sources, and technical specifications to ensure proper implementation. Testing of the measure takes place to determine its validity and reliability, which entail determining whether the measure is scientifically sound and feasible to use. In addition, it is critical that data sources are available to extract the data used in the measure. 11 Data sources include administrative data (eg, health insurance claims data), disease registries, health records (paper or electronic), and qualitative data (eg, some types of patient surveys). Some data sources are easier to obtain and use than others; therefore, when developing measures, the accessibility of data for that measure or set of measures is an important consideration. Finally, stewardship of measures is an important component in the measurement process. Measure stewards maintain and refine measures to ensure that technical specifications remain

up to date. Measure stewards may or may not also be measure developers. There are various models delineating the qualitymeasures development process that are similar. The American Medical Association Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement model (Fig 1) shows that the development process is a cycle. The cycle often starts with a review of the evidence and gaps, proceeds to measure development/ enhancement and specification, and then moves to testing; and depending on the intended use of the measure, the measure may be endorsed by a national organization. After implementation, feedback and evaluation are important to determine the usefulness and validity of the measure.

Numerous national organizations focus on the development of pediatric quality measures. The federal government is a significant funder of health care qualitymeasure development. A variety of public-private organizations and academic institutions also develop pediatric quality measures. Organizations that develop a significant number of measures include the American Medical Association's Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement, the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA), the Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care, and the Utilization Review Accreditation Commission (URAC). The NCQA develops the measures that >90% of private insurers use. 12 The NCQA, a membership organization that includes most health plans, develops measures and certifies health plans on the basis of their performance by using its Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS). HEDIS measure sets include measures applicable to children as well as child-specific quality and performance measures.¹² The only



FIGURE 1

Quality measure development process. (Reprinted with permission from American Medical Association. Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement. Measure development process. Available at: http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/physician-consortium-performance-improvement/pcpi-measures/about-measure-development/process.page. Ocopyright 1995—2015 American Medical Association.) EHR, electronic health record; PCPI, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement; QI, quality improvement.

national program focused solely on pediatric-specific measure development and implementation is the Pediatric Quality Measures Program (PQMP).

Title IV of the Children's Health
Insurance Program Reauthorization
Act (CHIPRA) of 2009 focused on
a number of provisions to improve
quality of care for children in
Medicaid, including establishing the
PQMP to (1) develop a portfolio of
publicly available, new, and enhanced
evidence-based pediatric quality
measures for use by Medicaid/
Children's Health Insurance Program
(CHIP) and other public and
private programs and (2) provide
opportunities to improve and
strengthen the Child Core Set (CCS).

Since 2011, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, in partnership with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), has worked to fulfill the PQMP provisions.¹³ Seven PQMP Centers of Excellence (COEs) were funded (through 2016) to develop a broad range of measures and methodologies that fill previous gaps in child health care quality measurement. The primary domains for measure development included perinatal/prenatal health, preventive services, patient-reported outcomes, and the management of chronic and acute conditions. Completed measures may be considered for future updates to the CCS of quality measures reported on by state Medicaid/CHIP or for other publicprivate uses.

Once a measure is developed for use in national measurement programs, the organization that develops or "stewards" the measure may submit the measure or set of measures for endorsement. The National Quality Forum (NQF), a nonpartisan publicprivate organization, serves as the primary measure-endorsement body nationally. The NQF convenes the Measure Applications Partnership, which provides input to the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) on the selection of quality measures for public reporting, evaluating performance, and supporting value-based programs.14 The NQF endorsement process includes broad participation from various health care stakeholders, including health care professionals, consumers, public and private purchasers, employers, hospitals, health plans, and other organizations involved in health care research or quality improvement, including the AAP. The NQF evaluates proposed measures to ensure that they are "evidence-based, important to making significant gains in health care quality, and improving health outcomes for a specific high-priority (high-impact) aspect of health care where there is variation in or overall less-than-optimal performance."15 Many CMS reporting programs prioritize the use of NQF-endorsed measures; however, many other rigorously developed measures are available and appropriate for use by health care practices and institutional quality-improvement programs.

MULTIPLE USES FOR QUALITY MEASURES

Quality Measures for Clinical Improvement

A variety of quality measures are used at the practice or institutional level to improve and monitor quality of the health care services delivered. Domains most frequently measured in health care settings include

process of care (timely and efficient), outcomes (effective), safety, and patient experience (patient centered and equitable). 16,17 Measures should be selected to reflect the attributes and goals of the practice or institution, and their outcomes should be compared with national and regional benchmarks to help determine improvement activities. Pediatric health care providers also can identify areas for improvement within their practices by using tools such as the Plan-Do-Study-Act cycle. 18,19 Measurement is used to determine whether the practice change has resulted in improvement. Pediatric health care providers planning on integrating quality improvement into their practices can learn how to do so by accessing resources shown in Table 1.

Pediatric practices and health care systems may create registries of patients by disease or condition with the use of their electronic health records system to monitor care and patient outcomes, which requires the implementation of measures to track progress. For example, an institution may want to set goals around the care of high-risk patients, such as children and youth with chronic persistent asthma, to determine how many are being prescribed controller medications and what percentage have had to visit the emergency department for an acute exacerbation. Alternatively, in smaller practices, pediatric health care providers may want to monitor immunization rates to help their practices meet national goals. In other health care settings, such as emergency departments, a goal may be to administer antibiotics for immune-compromised patients presenting with specific symptoms within 60 minutes of arrival. Registries can vary in sophistication from simple spreadsheets for smaller practices to large electronic databases across multiple practices or institutions. In setting up

TABLE 1 Resources for the Pediatric Practitioner

Learn More About	Resources
Quality-improvement methods for practice	AAP Quality Improvement Toolbox Institute for Healthcare Improvement Plan-Do-Study-Act Worksheet AAP Education in Quality Improvement in Pediatric Practice AAP National Center for Medical Home Implementation
	National Institute for Child Health Quality
Quality measures inventories	AHRQ National Quality Measures Clearinghouse
	DHHS Quality Measures Inventory
	American Medical Association Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement
	NCQA HEDIS Measures
Pediatric Quality Measures	AHRQ Pediatric Quality Measures Program
Program	Academic Pediatrics Journal Supplement on Quality Improvement
Quality-improvement collaborative networks	AAP Quality Improvement Innovation Networks
National endorsement process	National Quality Forum

AHRQ, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

registries, practices should use clear and accurate definitions to ensure that the data used reflect the true incidence of the measure selected. The pediatric practitioner should also be aware of the challenges of implementing quality measures across practices and in larger institutions or networks. Although most practices use electronic health records, some still collect data by hand. Moreover, because at this time electronic health records may not be interoperable across practices and within and across institutions, aggregating data can be challenging.

Because quality improvement is a core competency of the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education for pediatricians in training, institutions with residency programs usually have active quality-improvement projects. Training programs are required to "ensure that residents are integrated and actively participate in interdisciplinary clinical quality improvement and patient safety programs."20 Moreover, practicing pediatricians are required to participate in quality improvement in practice activities through the American Board of Pediatrics' MOC part 4 or "Performance in Practice." Many of these quality-improvement activities require measures to assess

and track progress. (See Table 1 for a list of resources.)

Some pediatric quality-improvement networks provide infrastructures for establishing connections between practices for sharing data and tools. Examples include the AAP Quality Improvement Innovation Networks and the AAP Practice Improvement Network. In all settings and circumstances, quality measures are instrumental in assessing improvements in the quality and safety of health care delivery. Quality measures used locally for clinical quality improvement do not have to be NQF endorsed but should be scientifically valid and reliable. (See Table 1 for a list of easily accessible inventories of validated pediatric measures for local qualityimprovement activities.)

In addition, as many small and solo groups merge into clinically integrated networks, it is increasingly important that these practices show that they are providing value to payers by measuring clinical outcomes. A foundation of quality measures and quality improvement supports the development of large, clinically integrated networks, and the recent advent of value-based care by both private and public payers will accelerate the need for appropriate pediatric measures.

Quality Measures for Regulation and Accreditation

Practitioners and health care institutions collect and report measures for health care provider incentive programs (for regulatory purposes) and accreditation/ certification programs. The largest entity requiring reporting of measures for incentive programs is the CMS, located within the DHHS. The CMS develops and uses measures for its qualityimprovement, public-reporting, and pay-for-performance programs. In 2014, 33 federal programs (mostly related to Medicare) required health care practitioners to submit data on 1675 quality measures, with state, local, and private health plans using hundreds more.²¹ The only program relevant to pediatric health care providers and pediatric subspecialists is the Medicaid Electronic Health Record Incentive Program. As a federally administered program, the CMS requires Medicare providers in all states to report on uniform quality measures. As stateadministered programs, Medicaid and CHIP quality reporting varies.

In April 2016, the CMS issued the Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Final Rule, the first major update to the managed-care regulations in more than a decade. The final rule "aligns key rules with those of other health insurance coverage programs, modernizes how states purchase managed care for beneficiaries, and strengthens the consumer experience and key consumer protections."22,23 More specifically, the final rule includes a number of major state requirements regarding qualitymeasurement and performanceimprovement programs, including the development and implementation of a quality rating strategy by May 2019.

Organizations that use quality measures for accreditation and certification purposes include the NCQA, the URAC, and The Joint

Commission, among others. The NCQA manages several accreditation, certification, and physicianrecognition programs, such as ACOs and patient-centered medical homes.24 HEDIS measures are used in the CMS Quality Rating System to help consumers and families compare qualified health plans (health plans offered in the Affordable Care Act Health Insurance Marketplaces), and they are used in a report card of the NCQA-accredited health plans for consumers to compare plans as well as in other reports and rankings of health plans.²⁵ The NCQA also maintains the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems patient-centered medical homes core set of measures, an important set of measures used mostly by hospitals and for which public reporting has major marketing importance. The URAC is an independent, nonprofit organization that promotes health care quality through accreditation of health plans, case-management programs, provider care and integration programs, and pharmacy quality-management programs.²⁶ The Joint Commission is an independent, nonprofit organization that accredits and certifies >20 500 health care organizations and programs in the United States and internationally. The Joint Commission maintains core measure sets for hospitals.²⁷

Quality Measures for Reporting and Surveillance

Quality measures are used for reporting to public agencies and nongovernmental organizations and for public reporting. Pediatric health care providers who participate in the Medicaid Electronic Health Record Incentive Program report measures to the CMS for attestation of meaningful use. The NCQA, discussed previously, and other membership groups and organizations also publicly report on health plans, practitioners, and other health care institutions. For NCQA certification of patient-centered medical homes,

practitioners are required to report quality measures.²⁴ The Leapfrog Group, a membership organization of employers and other health plan purchasers, manages a voluntary program to recognize hospitals and health care providers that have made progress in health care safety, quality, and customer value, which are assessed with NQF-endorsed quality measures.²⁸

In addition, recognizing the need "to estimate the overall quality of health care for children," CHIPRA included specific provisions requiring the Secretary of the DHHS to report annually to Congress on the following: (1) the status of the efforts of the DHHS to improve the quality of health care furnished to children under Titles XIX (Medicaid) and XXI (CHIP), (2) the status of voluntary reporting by states by using the initial core quality measure set, and (3) any recommendations for legislative changes needed to improve the quality of care provided to children under Medicaid and CHIP.^{29,30} The Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures (CCS) serves as an important starting point for establishing a national system for standardized reporting by states. The CCS is updated annually to allow for the identification of new measures and methods that reflect the latest evidence and approaches to health care delivery. Information on the CCS can be found at http:// www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/ Quality-of-Care/CHIPRA-Initial-Core-Set-of-Childrens-Health-Care-Quality-Measures.html, and information on the Secretary's annual report to Congress on child health quality can be found at https://www.medicaid. gov/medicaid-chip-programinformation/by-topics/quality-ofcare/downloads/2015-child-secrept.pdf.

In an effort to continually inform the selection of measures for the CCS and to strengthen the knowledge

base underlying reporting and quality-improvement efforts for children in Medicaid/CHIP, the PQMP launched a new effort in October 2016. This new phase of the PQMP, through the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA), funds 6 grantees to build on the work previously completed by the PQMP COEs in developing pediatric measures but shifts the focus to assessing the feasibility and usability of the newly developed measures at multiple levels of service delivery. Overall, this effort aims to build evidence to support performance monitoring and quality improvement for children in Medicaid/CHIP by increasing the number of new measures being implemented and reported at multiple levels (state, health plan, and provider) in key gap areas, informing efforts to streamline data collection and reporting processes, and supporting states to drive improvement in health care quality by using the CCS and the PQMP-COE measures.31

Quality Measures for Payment

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) provided access to health insurance for millions of Americans. In fact, Medicaid, CHIP, or private insurance now covers almost 95% of children. The ACA has also facilitated the implementation of new payment models intended to improve the quality of care while reducing costs for families. In January 2015, the Secretary of the DHHS announced that it "intends to focus its energies on... using incentives to motivate higher value care, by increasingly tying payment to value through alternative payment models..."32 Furthermore, the DHHS announced new goals for its payment programs, including that 85% of all Medicare fee-for-service payments will be tied to quality or value by 2016, and this number will increase to 90% by 2018. Although these goals are

specific to the Medicare program, The DHHS also is encouraging state Medicaid programs and private payers to make progress toward increasing the use of value-based payments. Section 2713 of the Affordable Care Act allows the Secretary of the DHHS to establish guidelines to permit health insurance plans to use value-based insurance designs.³³

Defining and measuring value are challenging. Value is related to the cost and quality of care, which include patient outcomes and patient satisfaction. Value-based payment models aim to increase health care quality while decreasing costs. These programs accomplish this by tying payment incentives (or disincentives) to goals and measurement. The CMS defines value-based payment as holding "health care providers accountable for both the cost and quality of care they provide. It attempts to reduce inappropriate care and to identify and reward the best-performing providers."34 Porter³⁵ defines value in health care as "the patient health outcomes achieved per dollar spent," and furthermore, "value should define the framework for performance improvement in health care. Rigorous, disciplined measurement and improvement of value is the best way to drive system progress." In the context of child health, Forrest and Silber36 described dimensions of value that include outcomes, patient (or family)-reported outcomes, financial cost to family, and financial cost to society. These dimensions can be assessed at the individual level or the systems level. Value-based measures are a nascent concept, and frameworks have been proposed for the development of pediatric value measures.

Alternatives to the current feefor-service model of payment being promoted and implemented by the DHHS and private payers include ACOs, episodes-of-care payments, and global payments to health care providers. ACOs are networks of providers with unified governance that assume risk for the cost and quality of the care they deliver.³⁷ ACOs are designed to reduce medical costs by monitoring care across multiple care settings, including physician practices, clinics, emergency departments, and hospitals, and they hold providers accountable for the quality and overall cost of the care provided.³⁸ Providers are given spending targets, and if costs to care for patients are less than the target, the providers share in the savings. ACOs theoretically incentivize providers to choose the most effective and efficient care for patients. In fact, cost savings must be achieved while maintaining or improving quality, so setting limits on utilization alone would not be acceptable. Episode-of-care payments or bundled payments are single payments for a group of services related to a treatment or condition that may involve multiple providers in multiple settings as opposed to fee for service with reimbursement for each service during the course of treatment.39 Furthermore, additional payments are not made for complications that may occur during treatment. Providers share any savings if the cost of the care is below a target amount. Bundled payments incentivize practitioners to reduce unnecessary tests and visits and to improve processes with the aim of reducing complications related to care. 40,41 The challenge with this payment design for pediatric patients is that, unlike acute illnesses and conditions, many chronic pediatric conditions do not have discrete dates of onset and no resolution. Global payments are fixed prepayments made to providers or a health care institution or system that cover most or all of a patient's care during a specified time period. Instead of each provider receiving payments, they are paid as a group,

which theoretically creates more cooperation, coordination, and accountability among providers.⁴² Global payments are similar to episode-of-care payments, but the difference is that global payments are made for a group of patients, such as enrollees in a health plan, and cover all care that is covered by the health plan.

The CMS has developed a framework for progression of payment reform for practitioners and health care organizations from traditional fee for service, to fee for service with a link to quality and other alternate payment models, including accountable care, medical homes, and global payments.43 All of the models except for the fee-for-service model require quality measurement and reporting. Moreover, private insurers and state Medicaid programs are implementing these newer models, and therefore, pediatric health care providers should understand the role of quality measurement within these payment schemes to navigate successfully through these payment programs.

LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES RELATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF QUALITY MEASURES

Developing pediatric-specific and pediatric-relevant quality measures involves a number of challenges. There is a relative paucity of evidence in the pediatric scientific literature supporting the best diagnostic approach and management of pediatric conditions. In addition, quality-measure development is an expensive and time-consuming process, and without a sustained funding source to support development, implementation, and stewardship, the pipeline of pediatric quality measures likely will be considerably reduced. The MACRA only extends CHIP and PQMP funding through September 2017.

Another challenge for pediatric quality measurement is the reporting and implementation of existing measures across a wide variety of unique settings. Because state Medicaid programs are not required to report on the pediatric core set of measures, there is wide variation in reporting among the states, such that a comparison of child health indicators on a national level is not possible with the Medicaid/CHIP CCS of measures. Data sources also represent a challenge. Most measures reported by state Medicaid agencies are derived from administrative data, but some measures may be derived from chart abstraction. Chart abstraction is an expensive and time-consuming process, and states may not have the resources to undertake the process. Electronically specified, clinical quality measures are promising and may help to reduce this burden while taking advantage of richer data types. However, neither traditional nor electronic chart data are able to provide parent- or adolescentreported data that address the patient's and family's perspective on the content and quality of the care they receive. A challenge for pediatric health care providers and child health care institutions alike is the burden of multiple reporting requirements. Pediatric health care providers are asked to report quality measures for a variety of purposes including for government programs (eg, meaningful use for eligible providers), for accreditation (eg, patient-centered medical home), and for MOC. Hospitals report on many more programs, and often the same measures are reported for a variety of purposes. In addition, government incentive programs, health plans, accreditation organizations, and certification programs require different measures that may measure similar targets. This lack of alignment and harmonization among programs is burdensome for practitioners and health care institutions.

Implementing quality-improvement programs in pediatric practice is challenging with the many demands on the pediatric practitioner. Evaluating clinical quality and monitoring quality-improvement interventions require knowledge of quality-improvement techniques, can be time consuming, and may require resources that practices do not have. However, quality improvement is becoming an important part of everyday clinical practice. In the future, electronic and real-time data can and will facilitate the ability of pediatric practices to undertake quality-improvement initiatives.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For general pediatric health care providers and pediatric medical subspecialists:

- 1. Assess your practice's or institution's readiness to engage in quality-improvement activities, starting with identification of areas for improvement and learning about health care quality and quality measurement (see Table 1 for resources).
- Champion quality measurement and quality improvement in your practice and within your institutions.
- 3. Consider investing in educating yourself and your practice on the science of quality improvement and measurement. Use existing resources and educational opportunities that will allow successful participation in changing payment models.
- Consider engaging families and adolescents in the process of determining priority areas for practice improvement.
- 5. Consider setting qualityimprovement goals for your practice and use quality measures to track progress. Understand the population served by your practice/clinic/institution to

- more meaningfully prioritize quality-improvement goals and measurement and to allocate resources more effectively.
- Consider participating in collaborative improvement networks.
- 7. Consider participating in advocacy activities on behalf of pediatric health care quality.

For national policy makers:

- 1. Increase federal and state investments in pediatric-specific quality measures, including support and promotion of development, testing, validation, endorsement, implementation, and stewardship of quality measures.
- 2. Increase federal investments overall in pediatric health care quality-improvement research.
- 3. Increase national clinician stakeholder organization support for education of current and future pediatric health care providers in quality improvement. Recognize quality-improvement activities as valid academic endeavors for promotion.
- 4. Support the expansion of the availability of pediatric-specific measures to include a broader array of health indicators that matter to families and pediatric health care providers and that better align with child health outcome goals. Adequate resources should be allocated toward the maintenance of a repository of available validated pediatric-specific measures that are useful for local qualityimprovement activities as well as for reporting to state and federal agencies and other regulatory bodies.
- 5. Discourage the inappropriate use of adult measures for the assessment of child health in reporting programs.

- 6. Provide incentives to state Medicaid and CHIP agencies to support reporting on all measures within the CCS of measures. States could, in turn, provide incentives to health care practitioners to support state reporting.
- Promote the dissemination, implementation, and use of existing validated, tested, and endorsed pediatric quality measures.
- 8. Quality measures, as much as possible, should be reportable by either International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification, or Current Procedural Terminology category II codes to reduce burden on pediatric health care providers. However, the inclusion of measures that also capture patient-centered perspectives on care is essential.
- Harmonize and align measures used in national/state reporting programs, including payment programs such as state Medicaid and private payers, accreditation bodies, regulatory agencies, and MOC programs to reduce reporting burden on physicians.
- 10. Harmonize and align measures across federal and state government maternal and child health (eg, Medicaid, Title V programs, federal health centers) and social service programs to reduce burden on providers and state agencies.
- 11. Develop a distinct ongoing process within the NQF for considering pediatric quality measures for endorsement. The content expertise required to assess child-specific measures differs from the expertise needed to assess adult measures, and because of the gaps in child-specific health measures when compared with adult measures, a separate process could expedite

endorsement and availability for use in federal and national programs.

LEAD AUTHORS

Terry Adirim, MD, MPH, FAAP Kelley Meade, MD, FAAP Kamila B. Mistry, PhD, MPH

CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS

Allan Stuart Lieberthal, MD, FAAP Rita Mangione-Smith, MD, FAAP Joel S. Tieder, MD, MPH, FAAP Mary Anne Whelan, MD, FAAP

COUNCIL ON QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY, 2014–2015

Wayne H. Franklin, MD, MPH, MMM, FAAP, Chairperson
Terry Adirim, MD, MPH, FAAP
David Gordon Bundy, MD, MPH, FAAP
Laura Elizabeth Ferguson, MD, FAAP
Sean Patrick Gleeson, MD, MBA, FAAP
Michael Leu, MD, MS, MHS, FAAP
Brigitta U. Mueller, MD, MHCM, FAAP
Daniel Robert Neuspiel, MD, MPH, FAAP
Michael Lawrence Rinke, MD, PhD, FAAP
Richard N. Shiffman, MD, MCIS, FAAP
Joel S. Tieder, MD, MPH, FAAP

LIAISONS

Medical Students, Residents, and Fellowship Trainees
Kamila B. Mistry, PhD, MPH — Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Suzette Oyeku, MD, FAAP — National Institute for Children's Health Quality
Lisa Rossignol — Council on Quality Improvement and Patient Safety Parent Liaison
Mimi Saffer — Children's Hospital Association

Cory J. Darrow, MD, FAAP - AAP Section on

STAFF

Lisa Krams, MS

COMMITTEE ON PRACTICE AND AMBULATORY MEDICINE, 2014–2015

Geoffrey R. Simon, MD, FAAP, Chairperson Cynthia N. Baker, MD, FAAP Graham Arthur Barden III, MD, FAAP Oscar "Skip" Wharton Brown III, MD, FAAP Jesse M. Hackell, MD, FAAP Amy Peykoff Hardin, MD, FAAP Kelley E. Meade, MD, FAAP Scot Benton Moore, MD, FAAP Julia Richerson, MD, FAAP

STAFF

Elizabeth Sobczyk, MPH

ABBREVIATIONS

AAP: American Academy of

Pediatrics

ACO: accountable care organization

CCS: Child Core Set CHIP: Children's Health Insurance Program

CHIPRA: Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act

CMS: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

COE: Center of Excellence

DHHS: Department of Health and Human Services

HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness
Data and Information Set

MACRA: Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015

MOC: maintenance of certification

NCQA: National Committee for Quality Assurance

NQF: National Quality Forum PQMP: Pediatric Quality

Measures Program
URAC: [formerly] Utilization
Review Accreditation
Commission

REFERENCES

- Panzer RJ, Gitomer RS, Greene WH, Webster PR, Landry KR, Riccobono CA. Increasing demands for quality measurement. *JAMA*. 2013;310(18):1971–1980
- 2. Stille C, Turchi RM, Antonelli R, et al; Academic Pediatric Association Task Force on Family-Centered Medical Home. The family-centered medical home: specific considerations for child health research and policy. *Acad Pediatr*. 2010;10(4):211–217
- Raphael J, Sadof M, Stille C, et al. Not just little adults: considerations for quality measures of child health. National Quality Measures Clearinghouse Expert Commentaries. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; April 7, 2014.

- Available at: www.qualitymeasures. ahrq.gov/expert/expert-commentary. aspx?id=47906. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 4. Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century.* Washington, DC:
 National Academies Press; 2001
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Clinical quality measures basics. Baltimore, MD: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Available at: www.cms. gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/ Legislation/EHRIncentiveProgr ams/ClinicalQualityMeasures.html. Accessed September 8, 2015
- Donabedian A. The Definition of Quality and Approaches to Its Assessment.
 Ann Arbor, MI: Health Administrative Press; 1980
- Donabedian A. Evaluating the quality of medical care. Milbank Mem Fund Q. 1966;44(3 suppl):166–206
- 8. US Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry. Patientreported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims. *Fed Regist*. 2009;74(235):65132–65133
- National Quality Forum. Patient reported outcomes in performance measurement. Washington, DC: National Quality Forum; January 10, 2013. Available at: www.qualityforum. org/Publications/2012/12/Patient-Reported_Outcomes_in_Performance_ Measurement.aspx. Accessed September 8, 2015
- American Medical Association.
 Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement: measure development process. Available at: https://www.thepcpi.org/programs-initiatives/measurement-science/measuredevelopment/. Accessed September 8, 2015
- Byron SC, Gardner W, Kleinman LC, et al. Developing measures for pediatric quality: methods and experiences of the CHIPRA pediatric quality measures program grantees. *Acad Pediatr*. 2014;14(5 suppl):S27—S32
- National Committee for Quality
 Assurance. HEDIS measures. Available at: www.ncqa.org/HEDISQualityMeasu

- rement/HEDISMeasures.aspx. Accessed September 8, 2015
- Mistry KB, Chesley F, LLanos K, Dougherty D. Advancing children's health care and outcomes through the Pediatric Quality Measures Program. Acad Pediatr. 2014;14(5 suppl):S19–S26
- 14. National Quality Forum. Measures applications partnership. Available at: www.qualityforum.org/setting_priorities/partnership/measure_applications_partnership.aspx.

 Accessed September 8, 2015
- National Quality Forum. Consensus development process. Available at: www.qualityforum.org/Measuring_ Performance/Consensus_ Development_Process.aspx. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 16. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The six domains of health care quality. Available at: https:// cahps.ahrq.gov/consumer-reporting/ talkingquality/create/sixdomains.html. Accessed September 8, 2015
- Institute of Medicine. Performance Measurement: Accelerating Improvement. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2005
- Taylor MJ, McNicholas C, Nicolay C, Darzi A, Bell D, Reed JE. Systematic review of the application of the plando-study-act method to improve quality in healthcare. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2014;23(4):290–298
- Institute for Healthcare Improvement.
 How to improve. Available at: www.ihi.
 org/resources/Pages/HowtoImprove/default.aspx. Accessed September 8,
 2015
- 20. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. ACGME program requirements for graduate medical education in pediatrics. Chicago, IL: Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education; 2013. Available at: https://www.acgme.org/acgmeweb/Portals/0/PFAssets/2013-PR-FAQ-PIF/320_pediatrics_07012013.pdf. Accessed September 8, 2015
- Beck M. Debate heightens over measuring health-care quality. Wall Street Journal. January 31, 2015.
 Available at: www.wsj.com/articles/ debate-heightens-over-measuring-

- health-care-quality-1422661664. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 22. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicaid: managed care final rule. Available at: https://www. medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-programinformation/by-topics/deliverysystems/managed-care/managedcare-site.html. Accessed September 15, 2016
- 23. Rosenbaum S. Twenty-first century Medicaid: the final managed care rule. Health Affairs Blog. May 5, 2016. Available at: http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2016/05/05/twenty-first-century-medicaid-the-final-managed-care-rule/. Accessed September 15, 2016
- 24. National Committee for Quality
 Assurance. Patient-centered medical
 home recognition. Available at: www.
 ncqa.org/Programs/Recognition/
 Practices/PatientCenteredMe
 dicalHomePCMH.aspx. Accessed
 September 8, 2015
- 25. National Committee for Quality Assurance. Health plan accreditation. Available at: www.ncqa.org/Programs/ Accreditation/HealthPlanHP.aspx. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 26. Utilization Review Accreditation Commission. Accreditation and measurement. Available at: https:// www.urac.org/accreditation-andmeasurement/accreditation-andmeasurement/. Accessed September 8, 2015
- The Joint Commission. Performance measurement. Available at: www. jointcommission.org/performance

- measurement.aspx. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 28. The Leapfrog Group Web site. Available at: www.leapfroggroup.org/. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 29. Mangione-Smith R, Schiff J, Dougherty D. Identifying children's health care quality measures for Medicaid and CHIP: an evidence-informed, publicly transparent expert process. Acad Pediatr. 2011;11(3 suppl):S11–S21
- Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009. Pub L No. 111-3 (2009)
- 31. National Institutes of Health. AHRQ FOA RFA-HS-16-002: "Pediatric Quality Measures Program (PQMP): Dissemination and Implementation of Child Health Quality Measures Cooperative Research Grants (U18)". Available at: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-HS-16-002. html. Accessed September 15, 2016
- Burwell SM. Setting value-based payment goals—HHS efforts to improve U.S. health care. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(10):897–899
- 33. Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 42 USC §18001 et seq (2010)
- 34. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Value-based purchasing. Available at: https://www.healthcare. gov/glossary/value-based-purchasing-VBP/. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 35. Porter ME. What is value in health care? *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363(26):2477–2481

- Forrest CB, Silber JH. Concept and measurement of pediatric value. Acad Pediatr. 2014;14(5 suppl):S33–S38
- 37. McClellan M, McKethan AN, Lewis JL, Roski J, Fisher ES. A national strategy to put accountable care into practice. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2010;29(5):982–990
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Accountable care organizations. Available at: https:// www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Feefor-Service-Payment/ACO/index.html? redirect=/ACO. Accessed September 8, 2015
- 39. Silversmith J; MMA Work Group to Advance Health Care Reform. Five payment models: the pros, the cons, the potential. *Minn Med.* 2011;94(2):45–48
- Mechanic RE. Opportunities and challenges for episode-based payment. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(9):777-779
- 41. Mechanic RE, Altman SH. Payment reform options: episode payment is a good place to start. *Health Aff* (Millwood). 2009;28(2):w262–w271
- 42. Robinow A. The potential of global payment: insights from the field. New York, NY: The Commonwealth Fund; February 2010. Available at: www. commonwealthfund.org/~/media/Files/Publications/Fund%20Report/2010/Feb/1373_Robinow_potential_global_payment.pdf. Accessed September 8, 2015
- Rajkumar R, Conway PH, Tavenner M. CMS—engaging multiple payers in payment reform. *JAMA*. 2014;311(19):1967—1968

A New Era in Quality Measurement: The Development and Application of Quality Measures

Terry Adirim, Kelley Meade, Kamila Mistry, COUNCIL ON QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY and COMMITTEE ON PRACTICE AND AMBULATORY MANAGEMENT

Pediatrics 2017;139;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-3442 originally published online December 26, 2016;

Updated Information & including high resolution figures, can be found at:

Services http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/139/1/e20163442

References This article cites 16 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/139/1/e20163442.full#re

f-list-1

Subspecialty Collections This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the

following collection(s):

Committee on Practice & Ambulatory Medicine

http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/committee

_on_practice_-_ambulatory_medicine Administration/Practice Management

http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/administrat

ion:practice_management_sub

Quality Improvement

http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/quality im

provement_sub

Permissions & Licensing Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or

in its entirety can be found online at:

https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/

Reprints Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/reprints

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN:

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN*

PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

A New Era in Quality Measurement: The Development and Application of Ouality Measures

Terry Adirim, Kelley Meade, Kamila Mistry, COUNCIL ON QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY and COMMITTEE ON PRACTICE AND AMBULATORY MANAGEMENT Pediatrics 2017;139;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-3442 originally published online December 26, 2016;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/139/1/e20163442

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN:

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN*