

Verifying the accuracy of seasonal climate outlooks – an update

Bureau of Meteorology, Australia, email: [redacted]
Bureau of Meteorology, Australia, email: [redacted]

Seasonal rainfall outlooks have been issued by the Bureau of Meteorology since the late 1930s, whilst minimum temperature and maximum temperature outlooks have been issued from 2000. For almost the entire period, the outlooks have been represented by a map of Australia with the probability of the parameter predicted (total rainfall, mean minimum temperature and mean maximum temperature) exceeding the median.

At the Fifth International Verification Methods Workshop, which was held in Melbourne in December 2011, the present authors delivered a paper verifying the accuracy of these outlooks (Bureau of Meteorology 2011). The current paper utilises the methodology applied then to provide an update of that work. The verification methodology for each State, season and prediction element, is as follows:

The outlook is set equal to +1 should there be a region with >60% probability of exceeding the median of the prediction element and no region with <40% probability of exceeding the median of the prediction element.

The outlook is set equal to -1 should there be a region with <40% probability of exceeding the median of the prediction element and no region with >60% probability of exceeding the median of the prediction element.

All other outlooks are set equal to 0.

The observed value is set equal to the observed area averaged anomaly.

The correlation coefficient between the outlook and the observations is calculated for each State, season and prediction element. The correlation coefficients calculated on the data derived as described above are positive for most States, seasons and prediction elements, especially those for spring and summer rainfall outlooks. From the correlation coefficients, the % variance explained by the forecasts is determined.

Figure 1 Overall skill displayed by the rainfall, maximum temperature and minimum temperature seasonal climate outlooks: positive for most states and seasons, especially for the Northern Territory, Queensland, Western Australia and New South Wales.







Figure 2 Skill displayed by the rainfall seasonal climate outlooks: especially positive in the second half of the calendar year for the Northern Territory, Queensland, Western Australia, New South Wales and Victoria. Little skill during the first half of the calendar year.

Figure 3 Skill displayed by the maximum temperature seasonal climate outlooks: positive for most seasons (the exception being mid-year); strongest skill shown by maximum temperature outlooks for the Northern Territory and Queensland in the first half of the calendar year.

Figure 4 Skill displayed by the minimum temperature seasonal climate outlooks: especially positive in the first half of the calendar year for the Northern Territory and Western Australia. Little skill during spring.



Released Under FOI

Document 2





Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Weather predictability at 8 to 14 day lead times

[Redacted] Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, and

[Redacted] Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research, Melbourne





Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Focus of the Presentation

The authors are engaged in work involving an analysis of multi-year forecast and observation data sets of real-time subjective (manual) forecasts, NWP model output, statistical predictions, and a set of predictions generated in 'real time' from a blend of these.

The work has three primary goals. These are to:

- (i) document the skill of predictions out to 14 days, and to determine how confident one is that the skill achieved did not occur by chance.
- (ii) establish and record the value of blending subjective and objective forecasts, and
- (iii) evaluate variability in weather forecasting skill, and to explore how this relates to the broad-scale and synoptic-scale circulation, in addition to the fluctuations in key drivers of our climate (e.g ENSO).

The current presentation has as its focus the first of the aforementioned goals, that is, to report on the skill of predictions out to 14 days.



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Background

- o Lorenz's proposed 15-day limit to day-to-day predictability.
- o Aspiration to bridge 'middle ground' between day-to-day weather forecasts & climate prediction.
- o 'Real-time' experiments to establish limits of predictability.
- o Developing computer programs with a capacity to read & manipulate data in web documents.
- o Incorporating these programs into an existing forecast system so that it mechanically integrates weather observations & predictions from various web-based sources – official, direct NWP model output & statistical – to yield a more accurate product.
- o "Real time" trial of the modified system, generating forecasts out to Day-7 (since Aug-05), to Day-10 (since Aug-06) & to Day-14 (since Jan-09).





Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Verification

As measures of forecast skill, the *correlation coefficient* between the forecast & observed departure from normal, and *per cent variance explained* by the forecasts, are interchangeable, the latter being the square of the former (recall the Slide 1 graphics; now view the current graphics).

Per cent variance explained measures how much uncertainty is eliminated (i.e. the proportion of variability predicted), which relates well to the needs of users, and it is, therefore, the primary verification measure applied here.




Released Under FOI



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology


Accuracy of Day-1 Melbourne Max Temp Forecasts



% variance explained increases from <50% to >80% between the 1970s and today

Released Under FOI

Accuracy of Day-1 & Day-4 Melbourne Max Temp Forecasts




Day-4 forecasts go public with % variance explained ~ 20%

Accuracy of Day-1, Day-4 & Day-7 Melbourne Max Temp Forecasts



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology




Day-7 forecasts go to particular clients with % variance explained ~ 15%

Accuracy of Day-1, Day-4, Day-7 & Day-10 Melbourne Max Temp Forecasts





Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology



Day-10 forecasts currently have 15% variance explained. Note the temporary 'dip' in performance during the very wet 2010/2011 "La Niña" event

Released Under FOI


Accuracy of Day-1 Melbourne Precip Amt Forecasts



Day-1 % variance explained increases from ~25% to ~60% between the early 2000s and now
Note: Formal Precip Amt forecasts temporarily discontinued in Oct-08




Accuracy of Day-1 & Day-4 Melbourne Precip Amt Forecasts



Day-4 % variance explained increases only slightly between 2000 and 2008

Released Under FOI

Accuracy of Day-1, Day-4 & Day-7 Melbourne Precip Amt Forecasts




% variance explained by Day-7 forecasts only 2% when first provided to particular clients



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Accuracy of Day-1, Day-4, Day-7 & Day-10 Melbourne Precip Amt Forecasts




% variance explained by Day-10 forecasts currently about 4%. Once again, note the temporary 'dip' in performance during the very wet 2010/2011 "La Niña" event.

Released Under FOI

Overall Skill of Melbourne (past 12 months) Day-8 to Day-14 Forecasts: All Elements



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology



Overall skill is 'significant' (unlikely to have occurred by chance) at the 5% level out to Day-13



Released Under FOI

Specific Skill of Melbourne (past 12 months) Day-8 to Day-14 Forecasts:

Min Temp, Max Temp



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology





Skill of both Min Temp and Max Temp forecasts are 'significant' (unlikely to have occurred by chance) at the 5% level out to Day-13

Released Under FOI

Specific Skill of Melbourne (past 12 months) Day-8 to Day-14 Forecasts: *Precip Amt, Precip Prob*



Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology



Skill of both Precip Amt and Precip Prob forecasts are 'significant' (unlikely to have occurred by chance) at the 5% level out to Day-12





Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Concluding Remarks

- o The skill displayed by the experimental forecasts out to Day-12 during the 'real-time' trial is most unlikely to have occurred by chance.
- o Current skill levels at Day-10 are comparable to those prevailing at the time.

- When Day-4 maximum temperature forecasts were first issued officially to the public;
- When Day-7 maximum temperature forecasts were first issued to particular clients; and,
- When Day-7 precipitation amount forecasts were first issued to particular clients.





Australian Government
Bureau of Meteorology

Thank You

Any Questions?

