RP-524 (10/02)



NYS BOARD OF REAL PROPERTY SERVICES

COMPLAINT ON REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT FOR 20 15

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW FOR THE TOWN OF OSSINING

(city, town village or county)

PART ONE: GENERAL INFORMATION

(General information and instructions for completing this form are contained in form RP-524-Ins)

1. Name and telephone no	* *	2. Mailing Addre	ess of owner(s)		
TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CI	LUB, LLC				
		Committee	own of Ossiwie		
Day no. ()_			mana e a sate		
Evening no. ()			JUN 16 2015		
3. Name, address and tel	ephone no. of representative te Part Four on page 4.)		SSSONS OF The ative is filing application.		
One North Lexington Avenu	e, 11th Floor White Plains, N	Y 10601 914-644-8900			
4. Property location					
100 SHADOW TREE L	ANE	Briarcliff Manor			
Street Add	ress	Village (if any)			
Ossining		Westchester			
City/Tow	City/Town Briarcliff Manor Union F		County ee School District		
	School	ol District	name visit		
5. Property identification	(see tax bill or assessment	roll) 55 3			
Tax map number or se	Tax map number or section/block/lot Tax Map # 98.14/1/1 Sec. 4.19 Block 12 Lot 8.8				
Type of property:	Residence	Farm	Vacant land		
	Commercial X	Industrial	Other		
Description:					
Assessed value appear	ring on the assessment roll:				
6. Land \$	Total \$_\$808,00	0			

7. Property owner's estimate of current full market value of property (see Part Two on page 2) \$_1,357,983 \\ 7050/7050

PART TWO: INFORMATION NECESSARY TO DETERMINE VALUE OF PROPERTY (If additional explanation or documentation is necessary, please attach)

Information to support the value of property claimed in Part One, item 7 (complete one or more):

1.	Purchase price of property:\$					
	a. Date of purchase:					
	b. Terms:CashContractOther (explain)					
	c. Relationship between seller and purchaser (parent-child, in-laws, siblings, etc.):					
	d. Personal property, if any, included in purchase price (furniture, livestock, etc.; attach list and sales tax receipt):					
2.	Property has been recently offered for sale (attach copy of listing agreement, if any):					
	When and for how long:					
	How offered: Asking price: \$					
3.	Property has been recently appraised (attach copy): When: By Whom:					
	Purpose of appraisal: Appraised value: \$					
4.	Description of any buildings or improvements located on the property, including year of construction and present condition:					
5.	Buildings have been recently remodeled, constructed or additional improvements made:					
	Cost \$					
	Date Started: Date Completed:					
	Complainant should submit construction cost details where available.					
6.	Property is income producing (e.g., leased or rented), commercial or industrial property and the complainant is prepared to present detailed information about the property including rental income, operating expenses, sales volume and income statements.					
7.	Additional supporting documentation (check if attached).					

^{*}If no information is attached, information will be supplied at a hearing if so requested by the Board of Assessment Review.

PART THREE: GROUNDS FOR COMPLAINT A. UNEQUAL ASSESSMENT (Complete items 1-4) al for the following reason: (check a or b)

1.	The assessment is unequal for the following reason: (check a or b)
	a. X The assessed value is at a higher percentage of value than the assessed value of other real property on the
	assessment roll.
	b The assessed value of real property improved by a one, two or three family residence is at a higher
	percentage of full (market) value than the assessed value of other residential property on the assessment
	roll or at a higher percentage of full (market) value than the assessed value of all real property on the
_	assessment roll.
2.	
	the following (check one or more):
	a. X The latest State equalization rate for the city, town or village in which the property is located is 5.95 %.
	b. X The latest residential assessment ratio established for the city, town or village in which the residential
	property is located. Enter latest residential assessment ratio only if property is improved by a one, two or three
	family residence 5.65 %.
	c. Statement of the assessor or other local official that property has been assessed at%.
	d Other (explain on attached sheet).
3.	ф 1 257 092
4.	ϕ 00 000
'1 .	
	B, EXCESSIVE ASSESSMENT (Check one or more)
T	he assessment is excessive for the following reason(s):
1.	X The assessed value exceeds the full value of the property.
	a. Assessed value of property
	b. Complainant believes that assessment should be reduced to full value of (Part one #7)
	c. Attach list of parcels upon which complainant relies for objection, if applicable.
2.	
<i></i>	a. Specify exemption (e.g., senior citizens, veterans, school tax relief [STAR])
	b. Amount of exemption claimed
	c. Amount granted, if any:\$
	d. If application for exemption was filed, attach copy of application to this complaint.
^	
3.	improper carculation of transition assessment. (Applicable only in approved assessing unit which has
	adopted transition assessments.) a. Transition assessment\$
	b. Transition assessment claimed\$
	D. Fransition assessment chained
	C TIME ASSETT ACCECSMENT (Check one or more)
an.	C. UNLAWFUL ASSESSMENT (Check one or more)
1.	the assessment is unlawful for the following reason(s):
I,	Property is wholly exempt. (Specify exemption (e.g., nonprofit organization))
2.	Property is entirely outside the boundaries of the city, town, village, school district or special district in
_	which it is designated as being located.
3.	Property has been assessed and entered on the assessment roll by a person or body without the authority to
	make the entry.
4.	Property cannot be identified from description or tax map number on the assessment roll.
5.	Property is special franchise property, the assessment of which exceeds the final assessment thereof as
	determined by the State Board of Real Property Services. (Attach copy of State Board certificate.)
6	X see attached addendum
	D. MISCLASSIFICATION (Check one)
Т	he property is misclassified for the following reason (relevant only in approved assessing unit which establish
	omestead and non-homestead tax rates):
	Class designation on the assessment roll:
	Complainant believes class designation should be
1.	The assessed value is improperly allocated between homestead and non-homestead real property.
2	
Α	llocation of assessed value on assessment roll Claimed allocation
Н	omestead\$
	on –Homestead\$
-	

PART FOUR: DESIGNATION OF REPRESENTATIVE TO MAKE COMPLAINT

I, SEE ATTACHED AUTHORIZATION	, as complainant (or officer thereof) hereby			
designate	to act as my representative in any and all			
proceedings before the board of assessment review of the	ne city/town/village/county of for			
purposes of reviewing the assessment of my real proper of such assessing unit.	ty as it appears on the(year) tentative assessment roll			
	SEE ATTACHED AUTHORIZATION			
Date	Signature of owner (or officer thereof)			
I certify that all statements made on this application are	CERTIFICATION true and correct to be best of my knowledge and belief, and I at of material fact herein will subject me to the provisions of instruments.			
June 15, 2015				
Date	Signature of owner (or representative)			
PART SIX:	STIPULATION			
The complainant (or complainant's representative) and assessors) whose signatures appear below stipulate that described property on the(year) assessment roll: I (Check box if stipulation approves exemption indicate				
Complainant or representative Assess	sor Date			
	BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW			
Unequal assessment Unlawful assessment Ratification of stipulated assessment	sposition Excessive assessment Misclassification t No change in assessment			
Vote	on Complaint			
All concur	•			
All concur except:	against abstain absent			
Name	against abstain absent			
Name	against abstain absent			
name	Decision by			
Tentative assessment				
Total assessment \$	\$\$			
Transition assessment (if any)\$	\$			
Exempt amount\$				
Taxable assessment\$	\$\$			
Class designation and allocation of assessed value (if a				
	ny):			
Homestead\$	\$\$			
Homestead \$ Non-homestead \$	\$			

Addendum to page 3, Section C (Unlawful Assessment):

- A. Assessment roll is unlawful as it is not established at a uniform percentage of value as required by law.
- B. Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York requires only that "the complainant file a statement, under oath, specifying the respect in which the assessment complained of is illegal, erroneous or unequal, which statement must be made by a person whose property is assessed, or by some person authorized to make such statement who has knowledge of the facts stated therein". Therefore, the complainant herein complains that this assessment is erroneous, unequal, illegal by reason of inequality and over-valuation, and unconstitutional for any and all of the following reasons:
 - 1. That the assessment is unequal in that it has been made at a higher proportionate value than the assessment of other real property on the same rolls by the same officers.
 - 2. That the assessing jurisdiction has failed to assess at a uniform percentage of value in violation of Real Property Tax Law ("RPTL") §305.
 - 3. That such inequality exists not only in specific instances, but also generally throughout the taxing unit.
 - 4. That the assessing jurisdiction's deliberate policy of reassessing only those properties that have been recently transferred results in a denial of complainant's right to equal protection under the United States and New York State Constitutions.
 - 5. If the assessing jurisdiction is an approved assessing unit, Article 19 of the RPTL and the applicable local laws adopting the provisions of Article 19 violate the equal protection clauses of the Federal and State constitutions, because they permit similarly situated properties in different geographical areas within the taxing unit to be taxed unequally. That your complainant is thereby aggrieved and will be injured by such inequality and over-valuation.
 - 6. The assessment is illegal in that the taxing unit has exceeded its taxing limitations of 2% of the full value of its assessment roll in violation of Article VIII, Section 10 of the New York State Constitution.
 - 7. That the assessing jurisdiction's method of selective reassessment of the subject property upon transfer and its failure to reassess all real property on its assessment rolls is illegal and unconstitutional and results in complainant bearing a disproportionate tax burden. See, Krugman v. Board of Assessors of the Village of Atlantic Beach, 141 A.D.2d 175 (2nd Dept. 1988).
 - 8. That the assessing jurisdiction's method of utilizing the purchase price or market value of the subject property upon transfer, improvement or otherwise, to establish the subject property's tentative assessment while not utilizing the same method for all other real property on the assessment rolls is illegal and unconstitutional and results in complainant bearing a disproportionate tax burden. See DeLeonardis v. Assessor of the City of Mount Vernon, 226 A.D.2d 530 (2nd Dept. 1996); Stern v. Assessor of the City of Rye, 268 A.D.2d 482 (2nd Dept. 2000).
 - 9. That the assessment contravenes RPTL section 581 and Real Property Law section 339-y.
 - 10. That if and to the extent applied to the assessment which is the subject of this complaint, the provisions of RPTL §727 and/or §739 are unconstitutional in that complainant's assessment is illegally frozen thereby causing complainant's property to be taxed unequally, and your complainant is thereby aggrieved and will be injured by application of the aforementioned statutory provisions.
- C. This statement is made and filed in compliance with the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York and with such provisions of your Charter, Local Law and Ordinance as may apply and as may be valid. If you desire that the complainant appear before your Board of Review, by his agent or representative, and answer any material questions, please contact the agent/representative set forth herein at his office.
- D. Property is exempt from taxation, *inter alia*, as it consists of recreational facilities with restricted use solely for the benefit of individual lot owners who are also members of the association. *See Matter of Wolf Lake, Inc. v. Board of Assessors for the Town of Thompson*, 271 A.D.2d 925 (3rd Dept. 2000).
- E. The subject property no longer exists as it has been re-allocated to other parcels within the subdivision.

LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY TAX CORRECTION

With regard to the below captioned property(s), the undersigned taxpayer does hereby make, constitute and appoint Jeffrey S. Rodner, Esq. of Gellert & Rodner, One North Lexington Avenue, 11th Floor, White Plains, NY 10601, his true and lawful agent for the execution and filing of all applications, instruments and legal documents necessary to effectuate, institute and prosecute a proceeding to review the assessments of same, and to appear before all agencies, government bodies or boards on such applications for the assessment year 2015 and all ensuing years, unless this agency is expressly revoked in writing.

A	CORCOMICANO	WEAD.	2015
4	SSESSMENT	YEAK:	2015

COUNTY:

WESTCHESTER

MUNICIPALITY:

TOWN OF OSSINING

VILLAGE:

BRIARCLIFF MANOR

TAX MAP I.D.:

Tax Map # 98.14/1/1

ADDRESS OF PREMISES: 1

100 Shadow Tree Lane

Petitioner:

Trump National Golf Club, LLC

By.

Title: GENERAL MANAGER

Date: 6/13/15