SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER	
X	
SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC,	
	Index No. 9130/06
Plaintiff,	
	JUDGMEN <del>.I</del> :
-against-	
THE NATURE CONSERVANCY, REALIS ASSOCIATES THE TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE, ROBERT BURKE	
TERI BURKE, NOEL B. DONOHOE and JOAN! DONOHOE,	
DUNUTUE,	7 770 1.
Defendants. /	ER S
/ /	

WHEREAS, this action was commenced pursuant to RPAPL Article 15 to compel the determination of claims to real property located in Westchester County, New York; and

WHEREAS, Defendants, The Nature Conservancy, The Town of North Castle, Robert Burke, Teri Burke, Noel B. Donohoe and Joann Donohoe, filed motions to dismiss the Complaint in this action as asserted against each of them; and

WHEREAS, on November 3, 2006, the Westchester County Supreme Court, by the Honorable John L. LaCava, issued an Order (the "Order") which, among other things, granted the Defendants' motions, and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Seven Springs, LLC filed an appeal to the Appellate Division, Second Department, which was subsequently argued on November 5, 2007; and

WHEREAS, on February 13, 2008, a Decision and Order was issued by the Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department (a copy of which is

annexed hereto) which provides, among other things, that the Order is reversed, together with costs payable by the respondents.

NOW, THEREFORE, upon motion of DELBELLO DONNELLAN WEINGARTEN WISE & WIEDERKEHR, LLP, Attorneys for Plaintiff, SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the Plaintiff, Seven Springs, LLC, maintaining an office at c/o The Trump Organization, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022, shall recover of Defendant, The Nature Conservancy, maintaining offices at 570 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10018, Defendant, The Town of North Castle, maintaining offices at 15 Bedford Road, Bedford, New York 10504, Defendants, Robert Burke and Teri Burke, residing at 2 Oregon Hollow Road, Armonk, New York 10504, and Defendants, Noel B. Donohoe and Joann Donohoe, residing at 4 Oregon Hollow Road, Armonk, New York 10504, jointly and severally, the sum of \$5,904.83, representing Plaintiff's costs and disbursements, as taxed, and as set forth in the Bill of Costs attached hereto, and that Seven Springs, LLC have execution therefor.

ENTERED

garage and the

there we specificated a since

Clerk of the Supreme Court

County of Westchester

SUPREME COURT OF THE ST COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER		
	X	
SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC,	7	
	Plaintiff,	Index No. 9130/06
-against-		<b>BILL OF COSTS</b>
THE NATURE CONSERVANTHE TOWN OF NORTH CONTROL B. DONOHOE,	CASTLE, ROBERT BURKI	E,
	Defendants.	
	X	
<u>COSTS</u>		
Appeal to Appellate Division	- CPLR Section 8203(a)	\$ 250.00
Total Co	sts	\$ 250.00
DISBURSEMEN	<u>TS</u>	
Printing Record on Appeal, B	rief and Reply Brief -	

**Total Disbursements** 

\$5,654.83

**TOTAL** 

CPLR §8301(a)(6) (See annexed copy of Invoices dated 4/23/07 and 7/23/07 from Counsel Press, LLC)

\$5,904.83

### ATTORNEY'S AFFIRMATION

The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of this state, affirms that he is a partner in the firm of DelBello Donnellan Weingarten Wise & Wiederkehr, LLP, the attorneys of record for the Plaintiff, Seven Springs, LLC, in the above entitled action; that the foregoing disbursements have been or will necessarily be made or incurred in this action and are reasonable in amount and that copies of documents or papers as charged herein were actually and necessarily obtained for use.

The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under the penalties of perjury.

Dated: February 15, 2008

White Plains, New York

\$ 5,654.83

1237673 0143500-001

### Seven Springs, LLC v Nature Conservancy

2008 NY Slip Op 01327

Decided on February 13, 2008

Appellate Division, Second Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

Decided on February 13, 2008

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

ROBERT A. SPOLZINO, J.P.

MARK C. DILLON

DANIEL D. ANGIOLILLO

THOMAS A. DICKERSON, JJ.

2006-11431 (Index No. 9130/06)

[\*1]Seven Springs, LLC, appellant

V

Nature Conservancy, et al., respondents, et al., defendant.

DelBello Donnellan Weingarten Wise & Wiederkehr, White Plains,

N.Y. (Alfred E. Donnellan, Bradley D. Wank, and Matthew S.

Clifford of counsel), for appellant.

Roosevelt & Benowich, LLP, White Plains, N.Y. (Leonard

Benowich of counsel), for respondent

Nature Conservancy.

Stephens, Baroni, Reilly & Lewis, LLP, White Plains, N.Y.

(Gerald D. Reilly and Kristen L. Holt

of counsel), for respondent Town of

North Castle.

Oxman Tulis Kirkpatrick Whyatt & Geiger, LLP, White Plains,

N.Y. (Lois N. Rosen of counsel), for respondents Robert Burke, Teri Burke, Noel B. Donohoe, and Joanne Donohoe.

#### **DECISION & ORDER**

In an action pursuant to RPAPL article 15 to compel the determination of a claim to real property, the plaintiff appeals from an order of the Supreme Court, Westchester County (La Cava, J.), entered November 3, 2006, which granted the motion of the defendants Nature Conservancy and Town of North Castle and the separate motions of the defendants Robert Burke, Teri Burke, Noel B. Donohoe, and Joann Donohoe to dismiss the complaint insofar as asserted against them pursuant to CPLR 3211.

ORDERED that the order is reversed, on the law, with one bill of costs payable by the respondents appearing separately and filing separate briefs, and the motions to dismiss the complaint insofar as asserted against the respondents are denied.

The plaintiff and The Nature Conservancy (hereinafter the Conservancy) own abutting parcels of land that, prior to 1973, were both owned by the Eugene and Agnes E. Meyer Foundation [\*2](hereinafter the Foundation). The large parcel owned by the Foundation included the land lying under and on either side of Oregon Road. Oregon Road apparently became a town highway at some point in time by virtue of its having been used by the public as a highway for a period of 10 years (see Highway Law § 189).

In January 1973 the Foundation conveyed the parcel now owned by the plaintiff, a portion of land lying east and north of Oregon Road, to Yale University. This parcel was subsequently conveyed to the plaintiff in 1995. In May 1973 the Foundation conveyed another portion of its land to the Conservancy. Part of the Conservancy's parcel lies on the west side of Oregon Road directly across that road from the plaintiff's parcel, and part of the Conservancy's parcel lies under and around Oregon Road south of the plaintiff's parcel.

In 1990 the Town Board of the Town of North Castle caused a "Certificate of Discontinuance" to be filed in the town clerk's office purporting to "close" a portion of Oregon Road as it was no longer used for public travel.

The plaintiff commenced this action in 2006, seeking, inter alia, a determination that it has an easement over the portion of Oregon Road referred to in the "Certificate of Discontinuance" and owned in fee by the Conservancy so that it can access a portion of Oregon Road south of the Conservancy parcel that was not closed to the public.

The respondents moved to dismiss the complaint insofar as asserted against them on the grounds, inter alia, that the plaintiff had no implied private easement over the relevant portion of Oregon Road, that any easement was extinguished when the relevant portion of Oregon Road ceased to be a town highway pursuant to Highway Law § 205(1), and that the plaintiff was precluded from challenging Oregon Road's status as an abandoned public highway by the one-year statute of limitations period of Highway Law § 205(2).

Contrary to the respondents' contention, the plaintiff sufficiently stated a cause of action based upon an implied private easement arising in January 1973 when the Foundation conveyed to the plaintiff's predecessor in interest a parcel of land bounded by a road owned by the Foundation and used at the time as a public highway (see Holloway v Southmayd, 139 NY 390, 401-407; see also Glennon v Mayo, 221 AD2d 504, 505). The abandonment of a public highway pursuant to Highway Law § 205 does not serve to extinguish private easements, as Highway Law § 205 does not provide for compensation to the owners of any private easements that would be extinguished (see Holloway v Southmayd, 139 NY at 410; cf. Barber v Woolf, 216 NY 7, 14-15; Municipal Hous. Auth. for City of Yonkers v Harlan, 24 AD2d 633, 634).

While the respondents submitted evidence that any implied private easement was voluntarily abandoned by the plaintiff or its predecessor (see Consolidated Rail Corp. v MASP Equip. Corp., 67 NY2d 35, 39-40), the respondents failed to conclusively establish this defense as a matter of law for the purposes of a motion to dismiss (see Goshen v Mutual Life Ins. Co. of N.Y., 98 NY2d 314, 326). Similarly, the respondents failed to conclusively establish that they interfered with the plaintiff's use and enjoyment of the easement for the requisite period of time for the easement to be extinguished by adverse possession (see Spiegel v Ferraro, 73 NY2d 622, 625-626; McGinley v Postel, 37 AD3d 783, 784).

The respondents' remaining contentions are without merit. SPOLZINO, J.P., DILLON, ANGIOLILLO and DICKERSON, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

James Edward Pelzer

Clerk of the Court

Return to Decision List

COUNSEL PRESS LLC PO Box 1053 New York, NY 10018-9998 (800) 427-7325 1 of 1

60

# INVOICE '

			INVOICE				
DelBello Don	nellan We	eingarten					
Wise & Wiederkehr, LLP					INVOICE NO.:	7048189	
	One North Lexington Avenue White Plains, NY 10601				INVOICE DATE:	04/23/2007	
		y D. Wank, Esq.			FED.TAX I,D.#	43-2070509	
					FILE NO. :	207639	
CASE NAME:	7 Sprin	gs v Nature Conservancy			TERMS:	Due Upon Receipt	
COURT:	APP D	IV 2ND DEP				Dao opon Roospe	
					<u> </u>	AMOUNT	
For Reproduction of	:						
Record on Appeal	- (21 copi	es)					
	1.00	Cover(s) - 1st side	6	<u>@</u>	125.000	\$125.00	
	6.00	Page(s) Table of Contents	(	<u>a</u>	95.000	\$570.00	
	386.00	) Pages		<u>a</u>	4.990	\$1.926.14	
	400.00	Numbered Make Ready	(	3	0.250	\$100.00	
	58.00	Page Headings		Ð	5.500	\$319.00	
	240.00	Color Photos	0	<u>)</u>	2.000	\$480.00	
	2.00	Retype(s) - 5531, 2105	@	)	35.000	\$70.00	
	1,00	Map Pocket	Ø	3	10.000	\$10.00	
	1.00	Hour(s) Fold/Insert Maps	@	2	95.000	\$95.00	
	1.00	Additional Copy Over 20	@	}	73.690	\$73.69	
		1 Filing & 3 Services				\$130,00	
		Federal Express Advance				\$19.00	
		Express Mail/Postage				\$112.45	
This Involce is Due U	Joon Receir	ot. Please Show			SUB-TOTAL: \$	4,030.28	
Invoice Number on C	heck When	Making Payment				0.00	
MCE			<b>A</b> .	י זכם	ICABLE SALES TAX: \$	0.00	
			A.		Who top total . •	317.38	

INVOICE TOTAL: \$

4.347.66

**COUNSEL PRESS ILC** PO Box 1053 New York, NY 10018-9998 (800) 427-7325

1 of 1

PQ

### INVOICE

DelBello Donnellan Weingarten

Wise & Wiederkehr, LLP

One North Lexington Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

ATTENTION: Bradley D. Wank, Esq.

INVOICE NO.:

7070954

INVOICE DATE:

07/23/2007

FED.TAX I.D.#

43-2070509

FILE NO.:

209451

CASE NAME: 7 Springs v Nature Conservency

TERMS:

Due Upon Receipt

COURT:

APP DIV 2ND DEP

AMOUNT

For Reproduction of:

Reply Brief - (20 copies, 49 pages)

Preparation of Brief

\$475.00

1 Filing & 3 Services

\$130.00

Federal Express - To Client

\$20.00

Postage

\$21.75

646.75

0.00

0.00 50.93

697.68

SUB-TOTAL: \$ This Invoice is Due Upon Receipt. Please Show Invoice Number on Check When Making Payment MÇE APPLICABLE SALES TAX: \$

INVOICE TOTAL: \$

**COUNSEL PRESS LLC** PO Box 1053 New York, NY 10018-9998 (800) 427-7325 I of 1

PQ

# INVOICE

DelBello Donnellan Weingarten

Wise & Wiederkehr, LLP

One North Lexington Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

ATTENTION: Bradley D. Wank, Esq.

CASE NAME:

7 Springs v Nature Conservancy

COURT:

APP DIV 2ND DEP

INVOICE NO .:

7048190

INVOICE DATE:

04/23/2007

FED.TAX I.D.#

43-2070509

FILE NO.:

207639

TERMS:

Due Upon Receipt

For Reproduction of:

Appellant's Brief - (20 copies, 68 pages)

Preparation of Brief

\$565,00

**AMOUNT** 

SUB-TOTAL: \$ 565.00 This Invoice is Due Upon Receipt, Please Show .,; 0.00 Invoice Number on Check When Making Payment 0.00 MCE APPLICABLE SALES TAX: \$ 44.49 INVOICE TOTAL: \$ 609.49

#### COUNSELLORS AT LAW MISE & WIEDERKEHR, LLP DELBELLO DONNELLAN WEINGARTEN

9130

06

ALL-STATE LEGAL® 07181-BF • 07182-BL • 07183-GY • 07184-WH 800.222.0510 www.aslegal.com

Index No.

Year 20

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER**

SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE NATURE CONSERVANCY, REALIS ASSOCIATES, THE TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE, ROBERT BURKE, TERI BURKE, NOEL B. DONOHOE and

JOANN DONOHOE, Defendants. JUDGMENT NTH BILL OF COSTS DELBELLO DONNELLAN WEINGARTEN Wise & Wiederkkihr, llp COUNSELLORS Plainfiff Attorneys for XINGTON AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601 (9/4) 681-0200 Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1-a, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State,

certifies that, upon information and belief and reasonable inquiry, (1) the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous and that (2) if the annexed document is an initiating pleading, (i) the matter was not obtained through illegal conduct, or that if it was, the attorney or other persons responsible for the illegal conduct are not participating in the matter or sharing in any fee earned therefrom and that (ii) if the matter involves potential claims for personal injury or wrongful death, the matter was not obtained in violation of 22 NYCRR 1200.41-a.

Dance	***************************************	Signature		<u> </u>	***************************************
		Print Signer's Na	ıme		
Service of a	a copy of the within			is h	ereby admitted.
Dated:					
		Attor	ney(s) for		
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE  that the within is a (certified) true of the clerk of entered in the office of entered		-,-		on	20
NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT	$egin{array}{c} Hon. \ at \end{array}$		, one of the s	exented for settlement to udges of the within-nan	
Datad	on	<b>20</b>	, $at$	M.	e grane du la co

Dated:

DELBELLO DONNELLAN WEINGARTEN WISE & WIEDERKEHR, LLP COUNSELLORS AT LAW

Attorneys for

To:

ONE NORTH LEXINGTON AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601

S	TATE OF	NEW YORK, COUNTY OF	•		ss:	
I Check Applicable Box	Afterney's Certification Attorney's Verification by Affirmation affirm tha	rsigned, am an attorney admitted to pr certify that the annexed has been compared by me with the or say that: I am the attorney of record,	riginal and found to be a true an or of counsel with the attorney(s). I have read the annexed ame are true to my knowledge, atters I believe them to be ing.  stead of der penalties of perjury.  being sworn some same are true to my knowse matters I believe them to be to of	d complete copy the s) of record, for except those matte be true. My beli is ss: ays: I am vledge, except those	reof.  rs therein which are stated to be all ef, as to those matters therein (Print sign)	n not stated upon
	Corporate Verification	know the contents thereof and the information and belief, and as to those matters therein not stated	e same are true to my know se matters I believe them to be to	vledge, except thos rue.	e matters therein which are state	ed to be alleged on
	STATE O	refore me on  F NEW YORK, COUNTY OF eside at On  by mailing the same in a sealed en	, 20 , 20 , I served a transition in the served in the ser	rue copy of the ann	ays: I am not a party to the action, exed oner:	
Check Applicable Box	Service by Mail Personal Service Service by Facsimile Service by Electronic Means Overnight Delivery Service	addressed to the address of the addressee(s) indicated below, which has been designated for service by the addressee(s) or, if no such address has been designated, is the last-known address of the addressee(s):  by delivering the same personally to the persons at the address indicated below:  by transmitting the same to the attorney by facsimile transmission to the facsimile telephone number designated by the attorney for that purpose. In doing so, I received a signal from the equipment of the attorney served indicating that the transmission was received, and mailed a copy of same to that attorney, in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post office or official depository of the U.S. Postal Service, addressed to the address of the addressee(s) as indicated below, which has been designated for service by the addressee(s) or, if no such address has been designated, is the last-known address of the addressee(s):  by transmitting the same to the attorney by electronic means upon the party's written consent. In doing so, I indicated in the subject matter heading that the matter being transmitted electronically is related to a court proceeding:  by depositing the same with an overnight delivery service in a wrapper properly addressed, the address having been designated by the addressee(s) for that purpose or, if none is designated, to the last-known address of addressee(s). Said delivery was made prior to the latest time designated by the overnight delivery service for overnight delivery. The address and delivery service are indicated below:				
		Sworn to before me on	, 20		(Print six	ner's name below signature)