

Why Governments and Parties Manipulate Elections

Theory, Practice, and Implications

Why Governments and Parties Manipulate Elections advances a general theory about the motives that drive electoral manipulation and tests some of the theory's main observable implications using a variety of empirical sources. Alberto Simpser argues that there is often substantially more at stake in manipulating elections than simply winning. The central idea is that electoral manipulation can convey information of relevance to the choices and behavior of bureaucrats, politicians, unions, businesspeople, citizens, and other political and social actors. By utilizing electoral manipulation to appear strong, therefore, a party can align actors' incentives with its own, increasing, for example, its bargaining power, reducing demands from out-of-power groups, and mitigating future political challenges. This perspective is able to account for the otherwise puzzling fact that electoral manipulation is frequently utilized excessively and perpetrated blatantly, even when manipulating in this manner cannot contribute to winning. In addition to its theoretical contributions, the book provides an empirical snapshot of the patterns and correlates of electoral manipulation around the world in recent decades.

Alberto Simpser is Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Chicago. He has been Research Fellow at the Center for Globalization and Governance at Princeton University and National Fellow at the Hoover Institution at Stanford University. Professor Simpser received his B.Sc. from Harvard College and his Ph.D. in Political Science and M.A. in Economics from Stanford University.



A mis padres y abuelos, y a mi bisabuela



Political Economy of Institutions and Decisions

Series Editors

Stephen Ansolabehere, *Harvard University* Jeffry Frieden, *Harvard University*

Founding Editors

James E. Alt, *Harvard University* Douglass C. North, *Washington University of St. Louis*

Other books in the series

Alberto Alesina and Howard Rosenthal, Partisan Politics, Divided Government and the Economy

Lee J. Alston, Thrainn Eggertsson and Douglass C. North, eds., Empirical Studies in Institutional Change

Lee J. Alston and Joseph P. Ferrie, Southern Paternalism and the Rise of the American Welfare State: Economics, Politics, and Institutions, 1865–1965

James E. Alt and Kenneth Shepsle, eds., Perspectives on Positive Political Economy
Josephine T. Andrews, When Majorities Fail: The Russian Parliament, 1990–1993
Jeffrey S. Banks and Eric A. Hanushek, eds., Modern Political Economy: Old Topics, New Directions

Yoram Barzel, Economic Analysis of Property Rights, Second Edition

Yoram Barzel, A Theory of the State: Economic Rights, Legal Rights, and the Scope of the State

Robert Bates, Beyond the Miracle of the Market: The Political Economy of Agrarian Development in Kenya

Jenna Bednar, The Robust Federation: Principles of Design

Charles M. Cameron, Veto Bargaining: Presidents and the Politics of Negative Power Kelly H. Chang, Appointing Central Bankers: The Politics of Monetary Policy in the United States and the European Monetary Union

Peter Cowhey and Mathew McCubbins, eds., Structure and Policy in Japan and the United States: An Institutionalist Approach

Gary W. Cox, The Efficient Secret: The Cabinet and the Development of Political Parties in Victorian England

Gary W. Cox, Making Votes Count: Strategic Coordination in the World's Electoral System Gary W. Cox and Jonathan N. Katz, Elbridge Gerry's Salamander: The Electoral Consequences of the Reapportionment Revolution

Raymond M. Duch and Randolph T. Stevenson, The Economic Vote: How Political and Economic Institutions Condition Election Results

Jean Ensminger, Making a Market: The Institutional Transformation of an African Society David Epstein and Sharyn O'Halloran, Delegating Powers: A Transaction Cost Politics Approach to Policy Making under Separate Powers

Kathryn Firmin-Sellers, The Transformation of Property Rights in the Gold Coast: An Empirical Study Applying Rational Choice Theory

Clark C. Gibson, Politicians and Poachers: The Political Economy of Wildlife Policy in Africa

Avner Greif, Institutions and the Path to the Modern Economy: Lessons from Medieval Trade

Stephen Haber, Armando Razo, and Noel Maurer, The Politics of Property Rights: Political Instability, Credible Commitments, and Economic Growth in Mexico, 1876–1929

Ron Harris, Industrializing English Law: Entrepreneurship and Business Organization, 1720–1844

Anna L. Harvey, Votes Without Leverage: Women in American Electoral Politics, 1920–1970



Murray Horn, The Political Economy of Public Administration: Institutional Choice in the Public Sector

John D. Huber, Rationalizing Parliament: Legislative Institutions and Party Politics in France Jack Knight, Institutions and Social Conflict

John E. Jackson, Jacek Klich, Krystyna Poznanska, The Political Economy of Poland's Transition: New Firms and Reform Governments

Jack Knight, Institutions and Social Conflict

Michael Laver and Kenneth Shepsle, eds., Cabinet Ministers and Parliamentary Government

Michael Laver and Kenneth Shepsle, eds., Making and Breaking Governments: Cabinets and Legislatures in Parliamentary Democracies

Michael Laver and Kenneth Shepsle, eds., Cabinet Ministers and Parliamentary Government

Margaret Levi, Consent, Dissent, and Patriotism

Brian Levy and Pablo T. Spiller, eds., Regulations, Institutions, and Commitment: Comparative Studies of Telecommunications

Leif Lewin, Ideology and Strategy: A Century of Swedish Politics (English Edition)

Gary Libecap, Contracting for Property Rights

John Londregan, Legislative Institutions and Ideology in Chile

Arthur Lupia and Mathew D. McCubbins, The Democratic Dilemma: Can Citizens Learn What They Need to Know?

C. Mantzavinos, Individuals, Institutions, and Markets

Mathew D. McCubbins and Terry Sullivan, eds., Congress: Structure and Policy

Gary J. Miller, Managerial Dilemmas: The Political Economy of Hierarchy

Douglass C. North, Institutions, Institutional Change, and Economic Performance Elinor Ostrom, Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective

Daniel N. Posner, Institutions and Ethnic Politics in Africa

J. Mark Ramseyer, Odd Markets in Japanese History: Law and Economic Growth

J. Mark Ramseyer and Frances Rosenbluth, *The Politics of Oligarchy: Institutional Choice in Imperial Japan*

Jean-Laurent Rosenthal, The Fruits of Revolution: Property Rights, Litigation, and French Agriculture, 1700–1860

Michael L. Ross, Timber Booms and Institutional Breakdown in Southeast Asia

Shanker Satyanath, Globalization, Politics, and Financial Turmoil: Asia's Banking Crisis Alberto Simpser, Why Governments and Parties Manipulate Elections: Theory, Practice, and Implications

Norman Schofield, Architects of Political Change: Constitutional Quandaries and Social Choice Theory

Norman Schofield and Itai Sened, Multiparty Democracy: Elections and Legislative Politics Alastair Smith, Election Timing

Pablo T. Spiller and Mariano Tommasi, The Institutional Foundations of Public Policy in Argentina: A Transactions Cost Approach

David Stasavage, Public Debt and the Birth of the Democratic State: France and Great Britain, 1688–1789

Charles Stewart III, Budget Reform Politics: The Design of the Appropriations Process in the House of Representatives, 1865–1921

George Tsebelis and Jeannette Money, Bicameralism

Georg Vanberg, The Politics of Constitutional Review in Germany

Nicolas van de Walle, African Economies and the Politics of Permanent Crisis, 1979–1999 John Waterbury, Exposed to Innumerable Delusions: Public Enterprise and State Power in Egypt, India, Mexico, and Turkey

David L. Weimer, ed., The Political Economy of Property Rights Institutional Change and Credibility in the Reform of Centrally Planned Economies



Why Governments and Parties Manipulate Elections

Theory, Practice, and Implications

ALBERTO SIMPSER

University of Chicago





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107030541

© Alberto Simpser 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Simpser, Alberto, 1971-

Why governments and parties manipulate elections : theory, practice, and implications / Alberto Simpser.

pages cm. – (Political economy of institutions and decisions) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-03054-1

1. Elections - Corrupt practices. 2. Political corruption. I. Title.

JF1083.856 2013

364.1'323-dc23 2012036772

ISBN 978-1-107-03054-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

| xiii xv xvii 1 |
|-------------------------|
| xvii |
| |
| I |
| |
| Ι |
| 3 8 |
| |
| ΙI |
| 12 |
| 20 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| |
| 32 |
| 32 |
| 38 |
| 41 |
| 43 |
| 46 |
| |

vii



| viii | | Contents |
|------|---|------------|
| | 2.4 A Snapshot of Electoral Manipulation Around the World, | |
| | 1990–2007 | 49 |
| | Appendix | 58 |
| | | |
| 3 | The Puzzle of Excessive and Blatant Manipulation | 61 |
| | 3.1 Conventional Wisdoms on Electoral Manipulation | 61 |
| | 3.2 The First Conventional Wisdom | 63 |
| | 3.3 The Empirical Record | 66 |
| | Electoral Manipulation and the Margin of Victory | 66 |
| | Tight Races and Electoral Manipulation | 70 |
| | Blatant Electoral Manipulation | 72 |
| | 3.4 Conclusion: Patterns of Electoral Manipulation | 74 |
| | Appendix | 78 |
| 4 | More than Winning: Information and the Consequences of | |
| | Electoral Manipulation | 79 |
| | 4.1 The Direct and Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation | 83 |
| | Example: Electoral Manipulation and Union Acquiescence | 86 |
| | Example: Electoral Manipulation and Bureaucratic Support | 88 |
| | 4.2 Causal Mechanisms Driving Indirect Effects | 90 |
| | From Expectations to Political Behavior | 91 |
| | From Political Context to Expectations A Model of Political Action under Electoral Manipulation | 93 |
| | Coordination | 97 |
| | | 97 |
| | Equilibrium Selection and Learning Party "Strength" and Indirect Effects | 101 |
| | | 103 |
| | Costly Signaling From Electoral Manipulation to the Political Context | 104 108 |
| | Blatant Manipulation and Popularity | 110 |
| | The Time Dimension in the Mechanisms | 112 |
| | Indirect Effects and the Level of Government | 112 |
| | 4.3 Conclusion | 114 |
| | Appendix | 116 |
| 5 | The Strategic Logic of Electoral Manipulation | 121 |
| | A Model of Party Competition with Electoral Manipulation | 124 |
| | 5.1 Equilibrium Electoral Manipulation When Only Winning | |
| | Matters | 124 |
| | Aside: Election-Night Fraud | 130 |
| | 5.2 Equilibrium Electoral Manipulation When More than Winn | ing |
| | Matters | 131 |
| | An Example with Specific Functional Forms | 135 |
| | 5.3 Background Conditions, Over-Time Feedbacks, and Pattern | ıs |
| | of Electoral Manipulation | 137 |
| | Institutional Setting and Excessive/Blatant Manipulation | 137 |



| Cc | ntents | 1X |
|----|---|------------|
| | Regime Type and Excessive/Blatant Electoral Manipulation Feedbacks, Over-Time Dynamics, and the Excessive/Blatant | 143 |
| | Electoral Manipulation Trap | 146 |
| | 5.4 Alternative Explanations for Excessive Electoral Manipulation Uncertainty | 152 |
| | Cost | 153 |
| | The Stakes of Office | 156 157 |
| | Keeping the Machine Well Oiled | 158 |
| 6 | The Theory at Work: Evidence from Case Studies | 161 |
| | 6.1 Overview: Empirical Implications of the More than | |
| | Winning Theory | 161 |
| | 6.2 Electoral Manipulation in Russia and Zimbabwe: Case Study | |
| | Evidence | 162 |
| | 6.3 Post-Soviet Russia | 164 |
| | The Distribution of Power and Resources in Post-Soviet Russia | 166 |
| | "From Russia with Fraud" | 168 |
| | Motives for Electoral Manipulation in Russia | 176 |
| | Alternative Explanations for Excessive Manipulation under Putin | 183 |
| | Conclusion: Electoral Manipulation in Post-Soviet Russia | 186 |
| | 6.4 Zimbabwe | 188 |
| | The Distribution of Resources and Power in Zimbabwe | 188 |
| | Excessive and Blatant Electoral Manipulation in Zimbabwe Keeping the Puppies Far Away: Motives for Excessive and Blatant | 191 |
| | Electoral Manipulation in Zimbabwe | 194 |
| | Marginal Electoral Manipulation in Post-2000 Zimbabwe | 200 |
| | 6.5 Conclusion: Parallels and Differences | 203 |
| 7 | Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation: Quantitative | |
| | Evidence | 205 |
| | 7.1 Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation on Voter Behavior: | |
| | Evidence from Surveys | 206 |
| | Perceptions of Electoral Manipulation Relationship between Perceptions of Manipulation and Turnout | 208 211 |
| | 7.2 Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation on Voter Behavior: | 211 |
| | Evidence from Mexico's Electoral Reform | 220 |
| | Research Design | 22C 22I |
| | Measured Turnout versus True Turnout | 221 |
| | Data | 224 |
| | Results | 225 |
| | Conclusion: Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation in Mexico | 228 |
| | 7.3 Electoral Manipulation and the Longevity of Rule | 229 |
| | Empirical Analysis | 230 |
| | 7.4 Conclusions | 236 |
| | Appendix | 238 |
| | | |



| X | Content |
|--------------|---------|
| 8 Conclusion | 239 |
| References | 245 |
| Index | 273 |



List of Figures

| 2.1. | Distribution of Extent of Electoral Manipulation by Type of | |
|---------------|---|---------|
| | Election, 1990–2007 | page 48 |
| 3.I. | Distribution of Margin of Victory in Clean versus | |
| - | Manipulated Executive Elections | 67 |
| 3.2. | Robustness Check: Distribution of Margin of Victory in | |
| - | Executive Elections, Clean Elections in Wealthy Democracies | |
| | versus Manipulated Elections + Reclassified Clean Elections | 69 |
| 3.3. | Distribution of Margin of Seats in Clean versus Manipulated | |
| | Legislative Elections | 70 |
| 3.4. | Tight Races and Electoral Manipulation in Executive | |
| | Elections | 71 |
| 3.1A. | Robustness Check: Distribution of Margin of Victory in | |
| | Clean versus Manipulated Executive Elections, Restriction to | |
| | Election-Day Electoral Manipulation | 78 |
| 4.I. | Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation: Mechanism | 90 |
| 4.2. | Indirect Effects of Electoral Manipulation: Detail of Link | |
| | between Political Context and Expectations | 95 |
| 5.1. | Equilibrium Electoral Manipulation for the Incumbent Party, | |
| | Numerical Example | 137 |
| 5.2. | Margin of Victory and Pre-Electoral Polls, Executive | |
| | Elections | 154 |
| 6.1. | Effect of Perceptions about Electoral Honesty on Probability | |
| | of Voting, Zimbabwe 1996 Presidential Election | 199 |
| 7 . I. | Effect of Perceptions of Electoral Fairness on Probability of | |
| | Voting, CSES | 2.1.5 |

xi





List of Tables

| 2.1. | Scoring Factors, Principal Components Analysis | page 47 |
|-------|--|---------|
| 2.2. | Incidence of Widespread Electoral Manipulation, 1990–2007 | 49 |
| 2.3. | Average Extent of Electoral Manipulation by Group of | |
| | Countries and Type of Manipulation | 51 |
| 2.4. | Correlates of Electoral Manipulation | 54 |
| 2.5. | Correlates of Electoral Manipulation, Regression Analysis | 56 |
| 2.1A. | Descriptive Statistics | 59 |
| 3.1. | Generalized Beliefs about Electoral Manipulation versus | |
| - | Actual Manipulation | 74 |
| 5.1. | Initial Institutional Setting and Subsequent Excessive | , . |
| _ | Manipulation | 142 |
| 5.2. | Incidence of Excessive Electoral Manipulation by Regime | · |
| _ | Type, 1990–2007 | 144 |
| 5.3. | Countries with At Least One Excessively Manipulated | |
| , , | Election in the 1990–2007 Period | 145 |
| 7.I. | Proportion of Respondents Perceiving Elections as Very or | ., |
| | Somewhat Unfair, Dishonest, or Unclean | 210 |
| 7.2. | Regression of Individual Turnout on Perceptions of Electoral | |
| , | Manipulation | 213 |
| 7.3. | Marginal Effect of Perceptions of Electoral Manipulation on | - |
| , , | Probability of Voting | 214 |
| 7.4. | Marginal and Total Effect of Perceptions of Electoral | |
| , · | Fairness on Turnout, CSES | 216 |
| 7.5. | Variables for Mexico Analysis: Decline in PRI Vote and | |
| , , | Turnout Change | 226 |
| 7.6. | Average State-Level Turnout Before and After the 1990s | |
| • | Electoral Reforms | 227 |
| | | , |
| | | |

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

xiii



| xiv | L | ist of Tables |
|-------|--|---------------|
| 7.7. | Average Length of Party Tenure in Office by Pattern of | |
| , , | Electoral Manipulation | 231 |
| 7.8. | Effect of Electoral Manipulation on Length of Party Tenure | 2 |
| | in Office | 233 |
| 7.1A. | Marginal and Total Effects of Perceptions of Electoral | |
| | Manipulation on Turnout, Afrobarometer and | |
| | Latinobarómetro | 238 |



Preface

More than Winning

The initial spark for this study was the observation that there is a mismatch between actual patterns of electoral manipulation and the idea that parties manipulate with the goal of winning: quite frequently, parties assured of victory nevertheless manipulate heavily, and they do so blatantly. The residual, the part that is not accounted for by the idea of manipulating for winning, constitutes the "dark matter" of electoral manipulation: its excessive and blatant use.

Motivated by that mismatch, this book develops a theory of electoral manipulation. The key idea is that electoral manipulation is about more than meets the eye. Beyond its role in helping to accumulate more votes than the next contender, electoral manipulation can provide information to the public about the power of the manipulating party. Manipulating elections excessively and blatantly can make the manipulating party appear strong, while failing to manipulate in this manner can convey weakness. A party that is perceived to be powerful and resourceful will enjoy greater bargaining power, ampler scope for governing, a lesser need to share rents and to compromise in policy, and fewer challenges to its hold on office, than a party that is perceived to be weak and vulnerable. This set of ideas constitutes the gist of the *more than winning* theory of electoral manipulation.

Once the informational properties of electoral manipulation are recognized, the common practice of excessive and blatant electoral manipulation begins to make sense: cheaters do not stop at winning not because they err or miscalculate, but rather because their goal is something other than simply reaching the victory threshold. Under this view, we cease to expect that only unpopular leaders will cheat in elections. Instead, popular leaders with a strong record and a large reservoir of goodwill and legitimacy will often choose to manipulate elections substantially too. In addition, we cease to expect that electoral manipulation will be the discreet, hushed-up affair that it is supposed to be: showing that one can cheat with impunity can make one appear stronger in the

ΧV



xvi Preface

public eye. Finally, the *more than winning* perspective implies that even when cheating big leads to a loss in popularity or legitimacy, this need not result in net harm for the manipulator: an unpopular ruling party may nevertheless command considerable deference if it is perceived to be strong.

Attempts at excessive and/or blatant electoral manipulation do not always succeed, but many do. Examples abound and include Mexico's PRI in its heyday, Putin's Russia, and Mugabe's Zimbabwe up until the late 1990s. This practice can form part of a self-sustaining dynamic in which a powerful ruling party further empowers itself via excessive and blatant manipulation, in turn expanding possibilities for subsequently manipulating excessively and blatantly. For this reason, the *more than winning* perspective implies that policies that render electoral manipulation more difficult, or more competitive, can have salutary effects even if they do not manage to fully prevent parties from manipulating or stealing elections. When excess and blatancy are curtailed, manipulation's informational role is undermined: a cheating party that wins with 55 percent of the vote will certainly appear weaker than one that obtains 75 percent, for example, with positive consequences for the vigor of political competition and for the relative balance of power between different social and political forces.

Alberto Simpser Chicago August 2012



Acknowledgments

I wrote most of this book at the University of Chicago's Department of Political Science, an extraordinary intellectual community from which this project, and I personally, have benefited enormously. For this I thank all my colleagues. I am especially grateful to Dan Slater for his ongoing, enthusiastic, and reliably insightful advice and support; to Lisa Wedeen, for finding, even as department Chair, the time to carefully read and to comment on a full draft of my manuscript; to Bernard Harcourt for his guidance and support; to Dali Yang for his confidence in this project from its early stages; to John Mark Hansen, former Dean of Social Sciences, for supporting my research and data collection efforts; and to Elisabeth Clemens, who in her capacity as Master of the Social Science Collegiate Division found the occasion to read an earlier version of this book. For their comments and guidance I am also grateful to John Brehm, Cathy Cohen, Julie Cooper, Michael Dawson, Gary Herrigel, Will Howell, John McCormick, John Mearsheimer, Sankar Muthu, Eric Oliver, John Padgett, Jennifer Pitts, Gerald Rosenberg, Betsy Sinclair, Duncan Snidal, and Steven Wilkinson. My cohort of faculty - Julie Cooper, Stan Markus, Jong-Hee Park, Betsy Sinclair, and Paul Staniland – provided advice and levity at key times. Last but not least, a nod to my candy-run companions Dan, Betsy, and Steven, without whom I would have foraged for mind fuel on my own.

This project has also been enriched by the larger community of scholars at the University of Chicago. I thank Milena Ang, Daniel Berger, Chris Berry, Ethan Bueno de Mesquita, Chris Haid, Milton Harris, José Antonio Hernández Company, Juan Fernando Ibarra, Julene Iriarte, Friedrich Katz, Pablo Montagnes, Roger Myerson, Luis Rayo, Jesse Shapiro, Boris Shor, Felicity Vabulas, and Beth Wellman for helpful conversations and for commenting on various pieces of the manuscript.

This book grew out of my doctoral work at the Department of Political Science at Stanford University. I am grateful to the members of my dissertation

xvii



xviii

Acknowledgments

committee: Alberto Díaz-Cayeros, James Fearon, Stephen Haber, and Norman Nie, for invaluable guidance. I also thank Larry Diamond, Simon Jackman, David Laitin, Isabela Mares, Douglas Rivers, Kenneth Schultz, Michael Tomz, and Jeremy Weinstein for providing feedback and advice. I am especially grateful to James Fearon for providing advice and support from the early stages of this project.

Helen Milner, and the Princeton Center for Globalization and Governance (now the Niehaus Center), where she is Director, sponsored and hosted a book conference devoted to my manuscript in 2010. I am grateful for their generosity. I am also grateful to Steven Levitsky, James Snyder, Carles Boix, and Daniel Posner for being part of the conference and for providing outstanding suggestions, from which the book undoubtedly profited. A Research Fellowship from the Center, which I held in 2005–6, supported work that fed into this book project. I thank Rebecca Morton and the New York University Department of Politics for hosting me in 2005–6 as a courtesy Visiting Scholar. I also thank the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, where I was a National Fellow in 2011, for generously hosting me as I worked on the final stages of this project.

For helpful comments and conversations at different stages of this project I also thank John Aldrich, James Alt, R. Michael Alvarez, Alexandra Benham, Lee Benham, Henry Brady, Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Gary Cox, Alexandre Debs, Desiree Desierto, Jorge Domínguez, Daniela Donno, Thad Dunning, Jonathan Fox, Adele Frankel, Sebastián Galiani, Miriam Golden, Susan Hyde, Stathis Kalyvas, Phil Keefer, Andrew Little, Peter Lorentzen, Skip Lupia, Ellen Lust-Okar, Nikolay Marinov, James McCann, Juan Molinar, Marusia Musacchio, Rohini Pande, Esteban Rossi-Hansberg, Nasos Roussias, Andreas Schedler, Mary Shirley, Susan Stokes, Milan Svolik, and Joshua Tucker. Special thanks go to Kenneth Greene for providing detailed comments on various chapter drafts; to Michael Bratton and Adrienne LeBas for carefully reading and commenting on the Zimbabwe case study; to Sergey Shpilkin for commenting on the Russia case study, for granting me an interview, and for ongoing conversations about Russian elections; to Alexander Kynev for granting me an interview; to Sergey Sanovich for comments and excellent research assistance; to Maria Yudkevich for logistical support while in Moscow; to Scott Gehlbach for guidance and helpful conversations; to Susan Hyde, for her early and ongoing support of this project; to Dara Kay Cohen for feedback on various iterations of this book; to Daniel Ziblatt for commenting on a chapter draft; to Aldo Musacchio for useful advice and comments; to Mariela Szwarcberg for providing suggestions on various chapters; and to John Nye for helpful insights and suggestions.

I also received useful comments from seminar participants at the University of Chicago, the Harris School of Public Policy, Stanford University, Princeton University, the University of Wisconsin at Madison, Yale University, the University of California at Los Angeles, the Public Choice Seminar at George Mason University, the David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies at



Acknowledgments xix

Harvard University, the Harvard-MIT Political Economy Seminar, the University of Texas at Austin, the Department of Political Science at Northwestern University, the Northwestern University Law School, the Ronald Coase Institute workshop, the Empirical Implications of Theoretical Models workshop, and the Political Economy in the Chicago Area meetings.

The Social Sciences Division at the University of Chicago provided several grants to fund the data collection reflected in this book, as did the University of Chicago Center for Latin American Studies under its directors, Dain Borges and Mauricio Tenorio, and associate director, Josh Beck. For valuable research assistantship I thank Steven Aaberg, Bushra Asif, Adam Bilinski, Avital Datskovsky, Zach Dorfman, Julene Iriarte, Dylan Silva, Beth Wellman, and Petar Yanakiev.

I thank Eric Crahan, editor for political science and history at Cambridge University Press, for overseeing most of this book's publication process with great professionalism; Lewis Bateman, senior editor for political science and history at Cambridge University Press, for setting the publication process in motion and for seeing it through its final stages; and Robert Dreesen, senior commissioning editor for the social sciences and humanities, and Abigail Zorbaugh, senior editorial assistant, for their support with the production of the book. I also thank Stephen Ansolabehere and Jeffry Frieden, coeditors of the Cambridge Series on the Political Economy of Institutions and Decisions, for including my manuscript in the series. The drawing on the cover is the work of the Mexican artist Celia Camarena. I apologize in advance to anyone I may have forgotten to acknowledge.

Finally, for their love and support, I thank my parents, grandparents, siblings, siblings-in-law, my uncles and cousins, my partner Erica, and my fun and beloved nieces and nephews Gabriel, Max, Alexis, Valeria, and Daniela.