1	Wendy Evelyn Giberti (SBN 268933) wgiberti@igeneralcounsel.com		
2	iGeneral Counsel, P.C. 9595 Wilshire Blvd., STE 900		
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
4	Telephone: (310) 300-4082 Facsimile: (310) 300-8401		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff INTERNET BRANDS, INC., a Delaware Corporation		
6			
7	CURERIOR COURT OF THE		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
10	INTERNET BRANDS, INC., a Delaware) Case No.	
11	corporation,)) COMPLAINT FOR:	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.) 1) TRADEMARK) INFRINGEMENT;	
14	WILLIAM RYAN HOLLIDAY, an Individual; HOLLIDAY IT SERVICES,)) 2) UNFAIR BUSINESS	
15	INC., a California corporation; and JAMES HEILMAN, an individual; and	PRACTICES UNDER THE LANHAM ACT;	
16	DOES 1-10, inclusive,	3) UNFAIR BUSINESS	
17	Defendants.) PRACTICES UNDER) CALIFORNIA BUSINESS	
18		PRACTICES ACT, SECTION 17200; and	
19		4) CIVIL CONSPIRACY	
20			
21			
22	COMES NOW Plaintiff, INTERNET BRANDS, INC. ("Internet Brands" or		
23	"Plaintiff"), and for its claims against WILLIAM RYAN HOLLIDAY, an		
24	individual, HOLLIDAY IT SERVICES, INC., a California Corporation, and		
25	JAMES HEILMAN, an individual, (collectively, "Defendants") hereby alleges as		
26	follows:		
27			
28			
	1		

COMPLAINT

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to the 1. California Code of Civil Procedure, Section 410.10, the California State Constitution, and the United States Constitution, in that Defendants Holliday and Holliday IT Services, Inc. are residents of the State of California and Defendant Heilman has purposefully availed himself of commerce in the State of California, violated a contract entered into in California, and tortuously caused injury within the State of California.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure, Section 395, in that Defendants Holliday and Holliday IT Services, Inc. reside in Los Angeles County and the injury occurred in Los Angeles County.

THE PARTIES

- 1. Internet Brands is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 909 Sepulveda Boulevard, 11th Floor, El Segundo, California, 90245.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Holliday IT Services, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business located at 4247 Neosho Ave., Los Angeles, CA90066-6129.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant William Ryan Holliday ("Holiday") is an individual who resides in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Holliday IT Services, Inc. is merely the alter-ego of Defendant Holliday and thus liability against Defendant Holliday and Defendant Holliday IT Services, Inc. should be joint and several, and this Court may appropriately pierce the improper corporate veil to adjudicate personal liability against Defendant Holliday.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant James Heilman ("Heilman") is an individual who resides in the province of

FACTS GIVING RISE TO CLAIMS

- 6. Internet Brands restates, re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 5 as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. Headquartered in El Segundo, California, Internet Brands is a media company that operates various websites and also develops and licenses Internet software and social media applications. Within its Consumer Internet Division, Internet Brands owns and operates more than 200 websites in nine different categories, including travel.
- 8. Within the travel category, Internet Brands owns and operates twenty-seven different travel related websites, including wikitravel.org (the "Wikitravel Website"), which it acquired in 2005 for \$1,700,000 from Evangelo Prodromou and Michele Jenkins (the "Sellers").
- 9. The Wikitravel Website is a website designed and operated to create a free, complete, up-to-date, and reliable worldwide travel guide. To date, the Wikitravel Website has over 62,000 destination guides and other articles written and edited by travellers from around the globe.
- 10. In addition to owning the Wikitravel Website, Internet Brands owns and has the rights to the trademark "WIKITRAVEL" (the "Trademark"), which it has used consistently and continuously since 2005. Today, Wikitravel is one of the largest and most popular travel information website in the world, known worldwide by its tradename.
- 11. The content on the Wikitravel Website can be created, deleted, modified, and otherwise edited by anyone, and is done so under a Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike License (the "License").
- 12. The License essentially provides that every contributor to the Wikitravel Website gives the right to anyone else to copy the content, so long as the copier gives attribution to the original content creator and retains the work and

21.

25

26

27

28

substantially "broken" and that the Wikimedia Foundation, out of generosity and

Wikitravel to its control for the benefit and betterment of the Wiki community.

benevolence, would be "bringing together," "integrating" or "migrating"

His plan was simple: create the illusion that Wikitravel Website was

- 22. Heilman announced that the "new" site, which would combine the Wikitravel Website through a straw-man transaction with Wikivoyage.org (the "Wikivoyage Website") into a Wikimedia Foundation website that would be called "Wiki Travel Guide" (the "Infringing Website").
- 23. In order to help effectuate this plan, Heilman offered to assist the formation of the Infringing Website, spearheading and organizing certain planning and logistics of the infringing activity, and playing a broad and substantive role in "carrying the water" for the Infringing Website including the infringing acts.
- 24. Heilman was heavily involved in recruiting the support of others for various aspects of the development of the Infringing Website, the violation of the Trademark, and violation of the License.
- 25. In April, Heilman and Ryan engaged in an email thread with several others involved in the scheme in which the parties specifically discussed keeping the matter private for fear that Internet Brands would "get wind of it" and begin "actively resisting."
- 26. On July 12, 2012, Heilman met at the Wikimania convention with a number of Administrators and others to reach a further meeting of the minds as to the unlawful acts to be undertaken.
- 27. On July 14, 2012, more clearly revealing their true intent of converting the Wikitravel Website to its own project, the Wikimedia Foundation asked Internet Brands to "donate" the Wikitravel Website, domain name, and the trademark rights to WIKITRAVEL.
- 28. When Internet Brands refused, the defendants escalated their efforts to trade on the Trademark, confuse the marketplace, misrepresent the origin, and violate the License.
- 29. For example, on August 18, 2012, Holliday improperly and wrongfully emailed at least several hundred of Wikitravel members, purporting to be from Wikitravel and informing members that the Wikitravel Website was

13

10

19

18

20 21

23

24

22

25

26

27 28 "migrating" to the Wikimedia Foundation. Upon information and belief, the number emailed is far greater.

- 30. Specifically, Holliday's email contained the Subject Line, "Important information about Wikitravel" and its body stated, "This email is being sent to you on behalf of the Wikitravel administrators since you have put some real time and effort into working on Wikitravel. We wanted to make sure that you are up to date and in the loop regarding big changes in the community that will affect the future of your work! As you may already have heard, Wikitravel's community is looking to migrate to the Wikimedia Foundation."
- 31. Holliday and Heilman clearly intended to confuse Wikitravel Website participants into thinking the Wikitravel Website is migrating to Wikimedia, in order to gain, through improper and illegal means, all the traffic and content creators currently contributing to Wikitravel.
- 32. Holliday not only violated trademark laws, he violated the administrative access given to him by Internet Brands by improperly using personal information stored on Internet Brands' servers about users and writing to them by name, in an attempt to bolster the appearance of a direct communication from the owners of the Wikitravel Website.
- 33. The defendants pride themselves in operating in a transparent fashion, when in actuality, the defendants have deliberately misrepresented facts and conspired with each other and many more to violate several laws in order to gain personally.
- 34. Worse still, the creation of "Wiki Travel Guide" has been done without proper attribution to the original content creators, in clear violation of the Attribution-Share License and the rights of the original creators.
- 35. The defendants Heilman and Holliday clearly have not acted alone. Further investigation continues to reveal additional co-conspirators and additional tortious and improper conduct. Additional defendants and causes of action are

paragraph 1 through 40 herein.

27

28

Internet Brands' Wikitravel trade name and trademarks for identical and related products, i.e., an informational travel website, falsely indicates that Defendants' and their website are connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with or related to Wikitravel.

- 43. Defendants' unauthorized use of a mark confusingly similar to Internet Brands' Wikitravel trade name and trademarks for an identical and related website is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception as to the source, business affiliation, connection or association of Defendants and their website.
- 44. Defendants' unauthorized use of a mark confusingly similar to Internet Brands' Wikitravel trade name and trademarks for identical and related website allows Defendants to receive the benefit of Internet Brands' Wikitravel goodwill, which Internet Brands has established at great labor and expense, and further allows Defendants to expand its business, based not on its own qualities, but on the reputation and goodwill of Internet Brands' Wikitravel.
- 45. The acts of Defendants complained of herein constitute unfair competition, false designation of origin, and trade name infringement in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 46. Internet Brands is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants' acts complained of herein have been deliberate, willful and intentional, with full knowledge and in conscious disregard of Internet Brands' rights in its Wikitravel trademark and with intent to trade off of Internet Brands' vast goodwill in its mark.
- 47. As a result of the foregoing alleged actions of Defendants, Defendants have been unjustly enriched and Internet Brands has been injured and damaged.

COUNT III

UNFAIR COMPETITION

(Cal. Bus. Prof. Code §17200)

48. Internet Brands re-alleges and incorporates the allegations set forth in

paragraph 1 through 47 herein.

- 49. Defendants are offering Administrators, contributors and other users a competitive website by trading on Internet Brands' Wikitravel Trademark.
- 50. Internet Brands is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants are profiting, directly or indirectly, through the use of Internet Brands' Wikitravel Trademark in a deliberate, willful, intentional and wrongful attempt to trade off of Internet Brands' goodwill, reputation and financial investment in its Wikitravel trademark.
- 51. By reason of the conduct described above, Defendants have engaged in unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business practices, and is in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200 because it is likely to deceive and mislead the public.
- 52. As a direct result of Defendants' unfair competition, Defendants have unlawfully acquired, and continue to acquire on an ongoing basis, an unfair competitive advantage and have engaged, and continue to engage, in wrongful business conduct to their advantage and to the detriment of Internet Brands.
- 53. As a result of the foregoing alleged actions of Defendants, Defendants have been unjustly enriched and Internet Brands has been injured and damaged.

COUNT IV

CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- 54. Internet Brands re-alleges and incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 through 53 herein.
- 55. Two or more persons, including both Defendants, had an agreement or meeting of the minds to commit numerous tortious acts.
- 56. Two or more persons, including both Defendants did in fact commit numerous tortious acts, as agreed.
 - 57. The commission of those tortious acts caused Plaintiff injury and

1		
2	2 ·	Respectfully submitted,
3	j.	GENERALCOUNSEL, P.C.
4	1 F	By: Dardy Evelyn At
5	5	Wendy Evelyn Giberti
6		Attorney for Plaintiff INTERNET BRANDS, INC., a Delaware
7		Corporation
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		•
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		•
28		
20	´ ∥	