

From: Hannah Jarrett <Hannah.Jarrett@rokoscapiatal.com>
To: "tony@cultureshop.org.uk" <tony@cultureshop.org.uk>, "pepis@googlegroups.com" <pepis@googlegroups.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Aug 2016 12:14:19 +0000
x-originating-ip: [10.10.5.116]
Subject: URGENT LEGAL NOTICE: - Christopher Rokos

Dear Sirs

Please see the attached legal notice for your urgent attention, regarding your article available at the following URL:

<https://www.mail-archive.com/pepis@googlegroups.com/msg00687.html>

Yours faithfully

Hannah Jarrett

Hannah Jarrett | Executive Assistant to Chris Rokos
Rokos Capital Management LLP | 23 Savile Row | London | W1S 2ET
T: +44(0)20 3764 0611 M: +44(0)7786121514

Tory donor court report pulled from Guardian website

Sat, 19 Mar 2016 02:41:03 -0700

Tory donor's spending exposed in bitter divorce settlement

<http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/mar/11/tory-donors-spending-exposed-in-bitter-divorce-settlement>

The extraordinary spending habits of a star London hedge fund manager worth 660m and a big Tory party donor have been exposed in a bitter divorce settlement.<

Christopher Rokos, 45, faced demands from his ex-wife, Veronica Antonio, for annual allowances including £5,000 for their seven-year-old son's swimming lessons, £10,555 for wine to entertain the parents of their child's friends and 6,000 for Uber cars to drive him to and from school.

The judgment noted that Rokos had been handing over a 'grand total' of 267,000

a year, including such sums such as 19,500 for [The child's] birthday party, 8,500 for vet's bills and 5,000 for 'clothing for [the child]'. The two were nevertheless fighting in court because she wanted 60,000 a year more than Rokos was willing to pay.

The judgment by Mr Justice Holman, released by the family court on Friday, records his personal distaste at having to deal with the dispute between the couple involving a difference of 60,000 a year.

To most people, 60,000 is a great deal of money, he said. I do comment that to these parties, and to this father, 60,000 over a full year is little more than small change in his pocket.

I have to say that I do not find it very edifying that people in this financial bracket should be taking up a day of court time over a sum which to them, though not to others, is objectively so small. However, agreement has not been reached and I must rule. Both sides hired experienced QCs to represent them in court.

Despite the sums involved, Holman also concluded that while Rokos was 'rich' that 'this father is not by modern standards in the realms of the super-rich'.

Rokos has donated more than 1.8m in cash to the Conservatives since 2010, according to the Electoral Commission. He studied at Eton and Oxford before starting out as a trader at Goldman Sachs and went on to book profits of more than \$4bn (2.8bn) over a decade at Brevan Howard Asset Management as a specialist trader in interest rates swaps.

Rokos's wealth has smoothed his way to dinners with ministers, including the prime minister and George Osborne. During the case, it was revealed that he owns homes in Cannes, Marrakesh, Miami and New York as well as London.

The judge explained: 'It turns out that the 35,000 is not referable solely to the transportation of the child but is, the cost of provision of one of the cars and drivers employed by the father, but not used exclusively for the transportation of the child.'

Commenting on Antonio's demands, Holman said: "One knows perfectly well that these sorts of documents can be highly creative and involve dialogue, usually, between the litigant and his or her lawyers as to what would be an appropriate figure to insert." He continued: "Separately, there is an item of 5,000 for 'Swimming lessons (cash)". This seems an excessive amount for a seven-year-old boy who can already, as I was told, swim. It seems to pertain partly to paying for some swimming instructor to attend some private swimming pool that the mother chooses to use.

The judge also highlighted that considerable sums were being claimed by

Antonio for wine: "In her statement, she showed that she was allowing an average of 50 per bottle for the wine. It seems to me that in the context of a claim under Schedule 1 to the Children Act, which must be for the maintenance of the child, I should not allow as high a figure as 10,500 per annum for wine, although I still allow a significant figure for wine."

He added: "There are four items under the headings, Amazon (clothes and toys for [the child]) and General Retail and Clothing [for the child] and Toys for [the child] which between them total about 20,000. That seems to me to be a great deal of money for clothes and toys for the seven-year-old son even of a multimillionaire.

The court was told that Antonio does not own more than one home and accordingly, has to pay for hotels.

Concluding, Holman ordered Rokos to make maintenance payments to the mother for all her other expenditure of 8,000 a month.

[03.05.2016 - Legal Notice.pdf](#)

[SCHILLINGS]

3 May 2016

LEGAL NOTICE

URGENT

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLICATION

Christopher Rokos

To: Legal Departments

We act for Christopher Rokos, founder of Rokos Capital Management, his former partner Veronica Antonio and their son.

We refer to the article (the "Article") published by you reporting upon the judgment dated the 15 February 2016 handed down by Mr Justice Holman in family proceedings before the High Court concerning interim child maintenance payments in relation to Mr Rokos and Ms Antonio's son, who is aged seven (the "Proceedings"). As has been confirmed by the Court, the Proceedings were conducted in chambers and the judgment is private.

The judgment was published online in error and the Court has now removed it. As stated by the Judicial Office Press Office, in a statement authorized by Mr Justice Holman, "*the hearing should now be treated as having been in private*".

By continuing to publish the Article reporting upon the Proceedings you are in breach of S. 97 (2) of the Children Act and Section 12 (1) of the Administration of Justice Act and risk being in contempt of Court. Please remove the Article immediately and refrain from any further reporting upon the Proceedings. Please note that upon being notified of the above facts Bloomberg removed its Article.

Further, our clients value their private lives, and we consider that any reporting of information which relates to them and the Proceedings which is not lawfully in the public domain, to be an interference with their rights under Article 8 ECHR.

Schillings International LLP T +44 (0)20 7034 9000
41 Bedford Square F +44 (0)20 7034 9200
London WC1B 3HX enquiries@schillings.co.uk
DX Number 89265 (Soho Square 1) www.schillings.co.uk

SCHILLINGS is a trading name of Schillings International LLP, a limited liability partnership incorporated in England & Wales (registration number DC398731) whose registered office is at 41 Bedford Square, London WC1B 3HX. Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority to provide regulated and non-regulated services.



We look forward to receiving your confirmation as a matter of urgency that the Article has been removed from online.

Issued by:

Schillings, 41 Bedford Square, London, WC1B 3HX
Tel: 020 7034 9000 Fax: 020 7034 9200

For further information please contact the following lawyers with conduct:

Rachel Atkins

Partner, Schillings

rachel.atkins@schillings.co.uk

Gillian Duffy

Senior Associate, Schillings

gillian.duffy@schillings.co.uk

[Skip to site navigation \(Press enter\)](#)

[PEPIS] Tory donor court report pulled from Guardian website

[Tony Gosling Sat, 19 Mar 2016 02:41:03 -0700](#)

Tory donor's spending exposed in bitter divorce settlement

<http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/mar/11/tory-donors-spending-exposed-in-bitter-divorce-settlement>

The extraordinary spending habits of a star London hedge fund manager - worth £660m and a big Tory party donor - have been exposed in a bitter divorce settlement.<

Christopher Rokos, 45, faced demands from his ex-wife, Veronica Antonio, for annual allowances including £5,000 for their seven-year-old son's swimming lessons, £10,555 for wine to entertain the parents of their child's friends and £6,000 for Uber cars to drive him to and from school.

The judgment noted that Rokos had been handing over a 'grand total' of £267,000 a year, including such sums such as £19,500 for [The child's] birthday party, £8,500 for vet's bills and £5,000 for 'clothing for [the child]'. The two were nevertheless fighting in court because she wanted £60,000 a year more than Rokos was willing to pay.

The judgment by Mr Justice Holman, released by the family court on Friday, records his personal distaste at having to deal with the dispute between the couple involving a difference of £60,000 a year.

To most people, £60,000 is a great deal of money, he said. I do comment that to these parties, and to this father, £60,000 over a full year is little more than small change in his pocket.

... I have to say that I do not find it very edifying that people in this financial bracket should be taking up a day of court time over a sum which to them, though not to others, is objectively so small. However, agreement has not been reached and I must rule. Both sides hired experienced QCs to represent them in court.

Despite the sums involved, Holman also concluded that while Rokos was 'rich' that 'this father is not by modern standards in the realms of the super-rich'.

Rokos has donated more than £1.8m in cash to the Conservatives since 2010, according to the Electoral Commission. He studied at Eton and Oxford before starting out as a trader at Goldman Sachs and went on to book profits of more than \$4bn (£2.8bn) over a decade at Brevan Howard Asset Management as a specialist trader in interest rates swaps.

Rokos's wealth has smoothed his way to dinners with ministers, including the prime minister and George Osborne. During the case, it was revealed that he

owns homes in Cannes, Marrakesh, Miami and New York as well as London.

The judge explained: 'It turns out that the £35,000 is not referable solely to the transportation of the child but is, the cost of provision of one of the cars and drivers employed by the father, but not used exclusively for the transportation of the child.'

Commenting on Antonio's demands, Holman said: "One knows perfectly well ... that these sorts of documents can be highly creative and involve dialogue, usually, between the litigant and his or her lawyers as to what would be an appropriate figure to insert." He continued: "Separately, there is an item of £5,000 for 'Swimming lessons (cash)". This seems an excessive amount for a seven-year-old boy who can already, as I was told, swim. It seems to pertain partly to paying for some swimming instructor to attend some private swimming pool that the mother chooses to use.

The judge also highlighted that considerable sums were being claimed by Antonio for wine: "In her statement, she showed that she was allowing an average of £50 per bottle for the wine. It seems to me that in the context of a claim under Schedule 1 to the Children Act, which must be for the maintenance of the child, I should not allow as high a figure as £10,500 per annum for wine, although I still allow a significant figure for wine."

He added: "There are four items under the headings, Amazon (clothes and toys for [the child]) and General Retail and Clothing [for the child] and Toys for [the child] which between them total about £20,000. That seems to me to be a great deal of money for clothes and toys for the seven-year-old son even of a multimillionaire...

The court was told that Antonio does not own more than one home and accordingly, has to pay for hotels.

Concluding, Holman ordered Rokos to make maintenance payments to the mother for all her other expenditure of £8,000 a month.

--

+44 (0)7786 952037

Twitter: @TonyGosling <http://twitter.com/tonygosling>

<http://rt.com/op-edge/authors/tony-gosling/>

<http://groups.google.com/group/uk-911-truth>

<http://www.youtube.com/user/PublicEnquiry>

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Diggers350/>

<http://cryptome.org/2014/06/video-report-axed-2.htm>

<http://www.reinvestigate911.org/>

<http://www.thisweek.org.uk/>

<http://www.911forum.org.uk/>

<http://groups.google.com/group/uk-911-truth>

uk-911-truth+subscr...@googlegroups.com

"Capitalism is institutionalised bribery."

www.actorsandartistsfor911truth.org

www.mediafor911truth.org

www.pilotsfor911truth.org

www.mp911truth.org

www.ae911truth.org

www.rl911truth.org

www.stj911.org

www.l911t.com
www.v911t.org

www.abolishwar.org.uk
www.globalresearch.ca
www.public-interest.co.uk
www.radio4all.net/index.php/series/Bristol+Broadband+Co-operative
www.facebook.com/media/set/?set=a.1407615751783.2051663.1274106225&l=90330c0ba5&t
<<http://utangente.free.fr/2003/media2003.pdf>><http://utangente.free.fr/2003/media2003.pdf> "The maintenance of secrets acts like a psychic poison which alienates the possessor from the community" Carl Jung
<<https://217.72.179.7/members/www.bilderberg.org/phpBB2/>><https://217.72.179.7/men>

Fear not therefore: for there is nothing covered that shall not be revealed; and nothing hid that shall not be made known. What I tell you in darkness, that speak ye in the light and what ye hear in the ear, that preach ye upon the housetops. Matthew 10:26-27

Die Pride and Envie; Flesh, take the poor's advice.
Covetousnesse be gon: Come, Truth and Love arise.
Patience take the Crown; throw Anger out of dores:
Cast out Hypocrisie and Lust, which follows whores:
Then England sit in rest; Thy sorrows will have end;
Thy Sons will live in peace, and each will be a friend.
<http://tinyurl.com/6ct7zh6>

--
--

Please consider seriously the reason why these elite institutions are not discussed in the mainstream press despite the immense financial and political power they wield? There are sick and evil occultists running the Western World. They are power mad lunatics like something from a kids cartoon with their fingers on the nuclear button! Armageddon is closer than you thought. Only God can save our souls from their clutches, at least that's my considered opinion - Tony

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "PEPIS" group. Please feel free to forward it to anyone who might be interested particularly your political representatives, journalists and spiritual leaders/du

To post to this group, send email to pepis@googlegroups.com

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to pepis-unsubscr...@googlegroups.com
For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/pepis?hl=en>

--- You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "PEPIS" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to pepis+unsubscr...@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/optout>.

- [Previous message](#)
- [View by thread](#)
- [View by date](#)
- [Next message](#)

Reply via email to

Tony Gosling

The Mail Archive



Search the site



- [The Mail Archive home](#)
- [pepis - all messages](#)
- [pepis - about the list](#)
- [Expand](#)
- [Previous message](#)
- [Next message](#)

- [The Mail Archive home](#)
- [Add your mailing list](#)
- [FAQ](#)
- [Support](#)
- [Privacy](#)
- [6.2.3.4.1.20160316233415.0684bca0@pop3.gn.apc.org](#)