

# Press country profile Fiche pays pour la presse

Last updated: January 2016

### Czech Republic

Ratified the European Convention on Human Rights in 1992

National Judge: Aleš Pejchal

<u>Judges' CVs</u> are available on the ECHR Internet site Previous Judges: Karel Jungwiert (1998-2012)

The Court dealt with 407 applications concerning Czech Republic in 2015, of which 403 were declared inadmissible or struck out. It delivered 4 judgments (concerning 4 applications).

Applications processed in	2013	2014	2015
Applications allocated to a judicial formation	480	370	340
Communicated to the Government	36	12	45
Applications decided:	838	748	407
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Single Judge)	774	728	387
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Committee)	43	5	12
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Chamber)	10	2	4
- Decided by judgment	11	13	4
Interim measures:	5	5	9
- Granted	0	0	1
- Refused (including out of scope)	5	5	8

For information about the Court's judicial formations and procedure, see <u>the ECHR internet site</u>.

Applications pending before the court on 01/01/2016	
Total pending Applications*	204
Applications pending before a judicial formation:	151
Single Judge	29
Committee (3 Judges)	16
Chamber (7 Judges)	104
Grand Chamber (17 Judges)	2

<sup>\*</sup>including applications for which completed application forms have not yet been received

### Czech Republic and ...

Its contribution to the Court's budget For 2016 the Court's budget amounts to approximately 71 million euros. That budget is financed by contributions from the 47 member States of the Council of Europe in accordance with scales based on population and GDP; the 2016 contribution of Czech Republic to the Council of Europe's (EUR 326 million) budget is **EUR 3,171,728**.

#### **The Registry**

The task of the Registry is to provide legal and administrative support to the Court in the exercise of its judicial functions. It is composed of lawyers, administrative and technical staff and translators. There are currently **679** Registry staff members of whom **5** are Czech.



# Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

### **Grand Chamber**

### Rohlena v. Czech Republic

27.01.2015

The case concerned the applicant's conviction for a continuous criminal offence of abusing a person living under the same roof. The applicant complained in particular that his conviction encompassed his conduct before that offence had been introduced into the law on 1 June 2004.

No violation of Article 7 (no punishment without law)

### D.H. and Others v. Czech Republic

(no. 57325/00)

13.11.2007

Placement of Roma children in special schools.

Violation of Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination) together with Article 2 of Protocol No. 1 (right to education)

### Malhous v. Czech Republic

12.07.2001

No public hearing in restitution proceedings to recover farmland.

Violation of Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial)

# Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

### Chamber

### **Cases dealing with Article 6**

#### Right to a fair trial

#### Suda v. Czech Republic

28.10.2010

Compulsory arbitration for squeezed-out minority shareholders concerning the compensation for their shares.

Violation of Article 6 § 1

### <u>Družstevní záložna Pria and Others v.</u> <u>Czech Republic</u>

31.07.2008

Compulsory administration imposed on credit union.

Violations of Article 6 § 1 Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property)

### Krasniki v. Czech Republic

28.02.2006

Applicant's conviction based exclusively on anonymous witness testimony.

Violation of Article 6 §§ 1 and 3 d)

# <u>Vodárenská akciová spolecnost v.</u> <u>Czech Republic and Faltejsek v. Czech</u> Republic

24.02.2004 and 15.02.2008

Excessive formalism of the Constitutional Court

Violation of Article 6 § 1

### <u>Credit and Industrial Bank v. Czech</u> <u>Republic</u>

21.10.2003

Decision of compulsory administration imposed on the applicant bank.

Violation of Article 6 § 1 - lack of full judicial review in the administrative proceedings

### <u>Běleš and Others v. Czech Republic and</u> <u>Zvolský and Zvolská v. Czech Republic</u>

12.11.2002

Lack of access to the Constitutional Court in proceedings respectively related to a decision by the Medical Society to strike the Homeopathic Association off its list of members and agricultural land ownership issues.

Violations of Article 6 § 1 in both cases, and Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property) in Zvolský and Zvolská

### <u>Krcmár v. Czech Republic</u> and <u>Milatová</u> and Others v. Czech Republic

03.03.2000 and 21.06.2005

Lack of contradictory procedure before the Constitutional Court.

Violations of Article 6 § 1

#### Right to a fair trial within a reasonable time

### <u>Kříž v. Czech Republic and Mezl v.</u> <u>Czech Republic</u>

09.01.2007

Length of proceedings concerning fathers' right of contact with their children, and Mr Mezl's parental responsibility. Prolonged inability to secure the enforcement of the decisions granting them rights of contact.

In each case: Violation of Articles 6 and 8 (right to respect for private and family life)

### Cases dealing with the right of respect for private and family life (Article 8)

#### Macready v. Czech Republic

22.04.2010

Authorities failed to ensure father's right of contact with his son during proceedings for the son's return to the United States.

Violation of Article 8

#### **Heglas v. Czech Republic**

01.03.2007

Use in criminal proceedings of a listening device hidden under the applicant's girlfriend's clothing, and of the recording of his phone calls, under surveillance.

Two violations of Article 8

No violation of Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial)

### <u>Kříž v. Czech Republic and Mezl v.</u> <u>Czech Republic</u>

09.01.2007

See case also dealing with Article 6 (Right to a fair trial within a reasonable time)

### Wallová and Walla v. Czech Republic

26.10.2006

Placement of children in a public institution on the grounds that the family did not have a suitable and stable home.

Violation of Article 8

### Cases dealing with property issues (Article 1 of Protocol No. 1)

### <u>Družstevní záložna Pria and Others v.</u> <u>Czech Republic</u>

31.07.2008

See case also dealing with Article 6 (right to a fair trial)

### Pinc and Pincová v. Czech Republic

05.11.2002

Deprivation of property in restitution proceedings.

Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1

### Špacek, s.r.o. v. Czech Republic

09.11.1999

Penalty imposed on a company on the basis of the national tax law.

No violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1

# Other noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

### **Dvořáček v. Czech Republic**

06.11.2014

The case concerned the conditions surrounding the compulsory admission of the applicant, Mr Dvořáček, to a psychiatric hospital to undergo protective sexological treatment.

No violation of Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment) with regard to the applicant's detention in a psychiatric hospital and the medical treatment administered

No violation of Article 3 concerning the investigation into the applicant's allegations of ill-treatment

### Eremiášová and Pechová v. the Czech Republic (no. 23944/04)

16.02.2012

The case concerned the death of the applicants' relative, of Roma origin, following his allegedly jumping head-first through a first-floor window at a police station where he had been taken on suspicion of burglary.

Two violations of Article 2 (right to life and investigation)

#### **Andrle v. Czech Republic**

17.02.2011

Alleged discrimination in the pension system on account of sex (different retirement age for women who care for children and for men in the same position). No violation of Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination) in conjunction with Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property)

### Husàk v. Czech Repulic

04.12.2008

Dismissal without hearing of applications for release by the applicant who was in pre-trial detention.

Violation of Article 5 § 4 (right to have lawfulness of detention decided speedily by a court)

# Noteworthy cases, decisions delivered

#### Veselský v. the Czech Republic

31.03.2015

The applicant complained of a denial of justice by the Constitutional Court on the grounds that it had declared his appeal

inadmissible without examining it on the merits.

Application declared inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded.

### Zelenka v. the Czech Republic

25.11.2014

The case concerned the dismissal of an appeal of points of law for lack of legal representation.

Application declared inadmissible for non-exhaustion of domestic remedies.

#### Polednová v. the Czech Republic

06.07.2011

Question of whether the conviction of the last surviving participant in the 1950 trial of Milada Horáková and other opponents of the communist regime was compatible with the Convention.

Application declared inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded.

### Holub v. the Czech Republic and Bratři Zátkové, a.s. v. the Czech Republic

14.12.2010 and 08.02.2011

Complaints declared inadmissible for lack of "significant disadvantage" (for the 1st time with regard to the Czech Republic).

### Applications declared inadmissible

In its decisions in these cases, the Court clarifies the application of the new ("significant criterion admissibility disadvantage") introduced by Protocol No. 14, which entered into force on 1 June 2010. The introduction of this new criterion was considered necessary in view of the Court's constantly increasing workload, and is intended to enable it to focus on cases that justify an examination on the merits. It enables the Court to dismiss cases that are held to be "of minor importance", that is, those which do not require examination on the merits.

### Vokurka v. Czech Republic

16.10.2007

New domestic remedy in respect of length-of-proceedings complaints recognised by the Court as "effective".

Application declared inadmissible for non-exhaustion of domestic remedies.

### Gratzinger and Gratzingerová v. Czech Republic and Polácek and Polácková v. Czech Republic

29.05.2002

Applicants' inability, as United States nationals, to recover property confiscated by the former Czechoslovak Socialist Republic.

Applications declared inadmissible.

### Noteworthy pending cases

### **Grand Chamber**

Dubská and Krejzová v. the Czech Republic (nos. 28859/11 and 28473/12)

Referred to the Grand Chamber on 1 June 2015 Grand Chamber hearing on 2 December 2015

The case concerns the prohibition under Czech law on midwives assisting home births.

Relying on Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) of the Convention, both applicants complain that mothers have no choice but to give birth in a hospital if they wish to be assisted by a health professional.

In its Chamber <u>judgment</u> of 11 December 2014, the Court held, by six votes to one, that there had been no violation of Article 8 of the Convention.

### Chamber

Several applications in which the solar power plant operators complain that the 2011 legislation, having imposed a new 26 % tax on solar energy production, has a detrimental effect on their business and is inconsistent with the revenue guarantees written into the law.

Several applications concerning home searches, in particular the quality and the reasoning of judicial search orders issued before the opening of criminal proceedings.