



Andorra

Ratified the European Convention on Human Rights in 1996

National Judge: Pere Pastor Vilanova

[Judges' CV's](#) are available on the ECHR Internet site

Juge precedent: Josep Casadevall (1998-2015)

The Court dealt with 6 applications concerning Andorra in 2015, which were declared inadmissible or struck out. It delivered no judgment.

Applications processed in	2014	2015	2016*
Applications allocated to a judicial formation	5	6	2
Communicated to Government	1	1	1
Applications decided:	2	6	2
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Single Judge)	2	6	1
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Committee)	0	0	0
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Chamber)	0	0	0
- Decided by judgment	0	0	1
Interim measures:	0	0	1
- Granted	0	0	0
- Refused (including out of scope)	0	0	1

*January to July 2016

For information about the Court's judicial formations and procedure, see the [ECHR internet site](#)

Applications pending before the court on 01/07/2016	
Total pending Applications	5
Applications pending before a judicial formation:	4
Single Judge	1
Committee (3 Judges)	0
Chamber (7 Judges)	3
Grand Chamber (17 Judges)	0

*including applications for which completed application forms have not yet been received

Andorra and ...

Its contribution to the Court's budget

For 2016 the Court's budget amounts to approximately 71 million euros. That budget is financed by contributions from the 47 member States of the Council of Europe in accordance with scales based on population and GDP; the 2016 contribution of Andorra to the Council of Europe's (EUR 326 million) budget is **EUR 244,819**.

The Registry

The task of the Registry is to provide legal and administrative support to the Court in the exercise of its judicial functions. It is composed of lawyers, administrative and technical staff and translators. There are currently **679** Registry staff members.

Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Cases dealing with Article 6

Right to a fair hearing

[UTE Saur Vallnet v. Andorra](#)

29.05.2012

The case concerned a complaint made by a business consortium about an alleged lack of impartiality and independence of the Administrative Division of the High Court of Justice of the Principality of Andorra. The reporting judge of the Administrative Division having heard its case on appeal was at the same time a partner in a Barcelona law firm providing legal services to the Andorran Government in other proceedings.

[Violation of Article 6 § 1](#)

[Vidal Escoll and Guillan González v. Andorra](#)

09.07.2008

Failure to execute a judgment ordering the partial demolition of two buildings facing the applicants homes which exceeded the authorised height. The applicants applied to have the planning permits set aside and complained that the expropriation of part of their properties to widen the road was aimed solely at preventing the execution of the judgment.

[Violation of Article 6 § 1](#)

Other noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

[Gouarré Patte v. Andorra](#)

12.01.2016

The case concerned the fact that it was impossible for the applicant, a doctor, to obtain revision of an ancillary penalty entailing a lifetime ban on practising his profession.

[Violation of Article 7 \(no punishment without law\)](#)

[Violation of Article 13 \(right to an effective remedy\) taken together with Article 7](#)

[Ball v. Andorra](#)

11.12.2012

The applicant complained that, pending his appeal in divorce proceedings, the domestic courts had refused to enforce a final judicial decision which had set up a contact schedule with his two children.

[No-violation of Article 8 \(right to respect for private and family life\)](#)

[Pla and Puncernau v. Andorra](#)

13.07.2004

A case concerning court rulings that the applicant, an adopted child, could not inherit because he was not "a son of a lawful and canonical marriage" as stipulated in the deceased's will.

[Violation of Article 14 \(prohibition of discrimination\) in conjunction with Article 8 \(right to respect for private and family life\)](#)

Noteworthy cases, decisions delivered

[Solanelles Mollar v. Andorra](#)

20.03.2012

The case concerned the tapping of the applicant's telephone in the context of criminal proceedings against another person.

[Application declared inadmissible as being manifestly ill-founded.](#)

[Orosa Iglesias v. Andorra](#)

31.05.2011

The applicant, her husband, her daughter and the company run by the daughter were all declared bankrupt. The applicant complained, *inter alia*, that the related proceedings had lasted more than nine years.

[Application declared inadmissible \(manifestly ill-founded\)](#)

[Torres Duedra and others v. Andorra](#)

13.11.2008

A case concerning judicial review proceedings relating to an application for planning permission. Relying on Article 6 (right of access to a court), the applicants contested the calculation of the time-limit for the authorities' "tacit refusal".

[Application declared inadmissible \(manifestly ill-founded\)](#)

**ECHR Press Unit Contact:
+33 (0)3 21 42 08**