

Press Unit Unité de la Presse

Press country profile Fiche pays pour la presse

Last updated: April 2016

# Spain

Ratified the European Convention on Human Rights in 1979

National Judge: Luis López Guerra Judges' CVs are available on the ECHR Internet site

Previous Judges: Eduardo García De Enterria (1978-1986), Juan Antonio Carrillo Salcedo (1986-1989), José Maria Morenilla (1990-1998), Antonio Pastor Ridruejo (1998-2003), Javier Borrego Borrego (2003-2008)

The Court dealt with 672 applications concerning Spain in 2015, of which 668 were declared inadmissible or struck out. It delivered 4 judgments (concerning 4 applications), 2 of which found at least one violation of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Applications processed in	2013	2014	2015
Applications allocated to a judicial formation	781	642	553
Communicated to the Government	42	14	25
Applications decided:	1042	825	672
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Single Judge)	1020	761	655
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Committee)	5	5	9
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Chamber)	4	24	4
- Decided by judgment	13	35	4
Interim measures:	34	33	32
- Granted	3	1	4
- Refused (including out of scope)	31	32	28

For information about the Court's judicial formations and procedure, see <u>the ECHR internet site</u>.

Applications pending before the court on 01/01/2016	
Total pending applications	303
Applications pending before a judicial formation:	86
Single Judge	23
Committee (3 Judges)	3
Chamber (7 Judges)	60
Grand Chamber (17 Judges)	0

\*including applications for which completed application forms have not yet been received

#### Spain and ...

Its contribution to the Court's budget

For 2016 the Court's budget, borne by the Council of Europe, amounts to approximately 71 million euros. That budget is financed by contributions from the 47 member States of the Council of Europe in accordance with scales based on population and GDP; the 2016 contribution of Spain to the Council of Europe's (EUR 326 million) budget is **EUR 18,988,445.** 

#### **The Registry**

The task of the Registry is to provide legal and administrative support to the Court in the exercise of its judicial functions. It is composed of lawyers, administrative and technical staff and translators. There are currently **679** Registry staff members of whom **7** are Spaniards.



# Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

# Grand Chamber

# Fernández Martínez v. Spain

### 12.06.2014

The case concerned the non-renewal of the contract of a married priest and father of five who taught Catholic religion and ethics, after he had been granted dispensation from celibacy and following an event at which he had publicly displayed his active commitment to a movement opposing Church doctrine.

No violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life)

# Del Rio Prada v. Spain

#### 21.10.2013

The case concerned the postponement of the final release of a person convicted of terrorist offences, on the basis of a new approach – known as the "Parot doctrine" – adopted by the Supreme Court after she had been sentenced.

Violation of Article 7 (no punishment without law)

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security)

Furthermore, the Court decided that the respondent State was to ensure the applicant was released at the earliest possible date.

Link to the press release in Spanish.

### Palomo Sánchez and Others v. Spain (nos. 28955/06, 28957/06, 28959/06 and 28964/06)

#### 12.09.2011

The case concerned the dismissal of a group of trade unionists after the union's newsletter had published a cartoon and articles considered to be insulting to two other employees and a manager.

No violation of Article 10 (freedom of expression) read in the light of Article 11 (freedom of assembly and association).

# <u>Mangouras v. Spain</u>

#### 28.09.2010

Pre-trial detention – with possibility of release on bail of three million euros – of captain of ship *Prestige* for causing damage to the environment and natural resources

(spilling 70,000 tons of fuel oil into the Atlantic Ocean). No violation of Article 5 § 3 (right to liberty

# and security)

# Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Chamber

Cases concerning inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 3)

# Etxebarria Caballero v. Spain and Ataun Rojo v. Spain

#### 07.10.2014

These cases concerned the investigation by the Spanish authorities into ill-treatment allegedly sustained by the applicants while they were held incommunicado in police custody. They were arrested by the police and placed in secret police custody in the context of judicial investigations concerning, in particular, their alleged membership of the terrorist organisation ETA.

# In both cases:

Violation of Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment) on account the lack of an effective investigation into the applicants' allegations of ill-treatment

No violation of Article 3 concerning the ill-treatment alleged by the applicant Etxebarria Caballero

# <u>Otamendi Egiguren v. Spain</u>

#### 16.10.2012

The case concerned the investigation into allegations of police ill-treatment of a man suspected of links with the terrorist organisation ETA held incommunicado in police custody.

Violation of Article 3 (investigation)

# <u>B. S. v. Spain</u> (no. 47159/08)

#### 24.07.2012

The case concerned a woman of Nigerian origin who was stopped by the police while working as a prostitute on the outskirts of Palma de Mallorca.

Violation of Article 3 (lack of an effective investigation) as regards the investigation

# No violation of Article 3 as regards the allegations of ill-treatment Violation of Article 14 (prohibition of

discrimination) in conjunction with Article 3

# Olaechea Cahuas v. Spain

#### 10.08.2006

Extradition to Peru in 2003 of Adolfo Hector Olaechea Cahuas (the subject of an international arrest warrant for his presumed membership of the "Shining Path").

Violation of Article 34 (right of individual application)

No violation of Articles 3, 5 (right to liberty and security) and 6 (right to a fair trial)

# **Cases concerning Article 6**

# <u>Right to a fair trial</u>

# <u>Gómez Olmeda v. Spain</u>

### 29.03.2016

The case concerned the complaint by a criminal convict that he had not been able to defend himself in open court in the appeal proceedings in his case. Violation of Article 6 § 1

# Sociedad Anónima del Ucieza v. Spain

# 04.11.2014

The case concerned a dispute over ownership of a medieval church between the applicant company, which had purchased and registered in the land register a plot of land in which the church had formed an enclave, and the Diocese of Palencia, which had registered the same church in its own name in the land register sixteen years later.

#### Violation of Article 6 § 1

Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property)

# <u>Varela Geis v. Spain</u>

# 05.03.2013

A bookshop owner who sold publications about the Holocaust complained that he had been convicted of "justifying genocide", an offence not corresponding either to the charges against him or to his conviction at first instance.

Violation of Article 6 §§ 1 and 3 (a) and (b) (right to a fair trial and rights of the defence): the applicant should have been notified of the amended charge against him in order to prepare his defence

### Serrano Contreras v. Spain

#### 20.03.2012

In this case, the Court concluded that the lack of public hearing before the Supreme Court resulted in a violation of the right to a fair trial (Article 6 1).

Judgment available in <u>Spanish</u>.

See also judgments in the cases of <u>Igual</u> <u>Coll</u>, <u>Garcia Hernandez</u> and <u>Almenaro</u> <u>Alvarez</u>.

# <u>Vaquero Hernandez and Others v.</u> <u>Spain</u>

#### 02.11.2010

Spanish Civil Guard officers and Civil Governor accused of murdering two presumed ETA members complained that they had not had a fair trial.

No violation of article 6 §§ 1, 2 and 3

# <u>Castillo Algar v. Spain</u>

#### 28.10.1998

Issue of impartiality in the trial of a lieutenant-colonel in the infantry and attached to the Spanish Legion, accused of having set up an unregulated private fund. Violation of Article  $6 \ \S \ 1$ 

Right to a fair hearing within a reasonable time

# <u>García Mateos v. Spain</u>

# 19.02.2013

The case concerned a supermarket employee who asked for a reduction in her working time because she had to look after her son who was then under six years old. Violation of Article 6 § 1 combined with Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination)

# Presumption of innocence

# Lizaso Azconobieta v. Spain

#### 28.06.2011

The applicant was arrested in the course of a police operation against the terrorist organisation ETA. At a press conference held three days after the arrest, the civil governor of Guipúzcoa named him as a member of an ETA commando unit responsible for three bomb attacks. Two days later, a judge ordered his release. No charges were subsequently brought against him.

Violation of Article 6 § 2 Judgment available in <u>Spanish</u>

# Tendam v. Spain

#### 13.07.2010 (principal judgment)<sup>1</sup>

Refusal by the Spanish authorities to grant the applicant compensation for his pre-trial detention during the criminal proceedings against him for theft as well as for the loss of and damage to his property seized in connection with the charge of handling stolen goods.

Violation of Article 6 § 2 Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property)

#### Vera Fernández-Huidobro v. Spain

#### 06.01.2010

Allegations of lack of impartiality for political reasons in the trial of a Minister of State for Security at the Ministry of the Interior convicted of misappropriation of public funds and false imprisonment.

No violation of Article 6 §§ 1 (right to a fair trial) and 2

### Right of access to a court

#### Arribas Anton v. Spain

#### 20.01.2015

The case mainly concerned the applicant's right of access to a court (*amparo* procedure).

No violation of Article 6 § 1

# Private and family life cases (Article 8)

# R.M.S v. Spain (no. 28775/12)

#### 18.06.2013

The case concerned the applicant's daughter's placement with a foster family by social services against the wishes of the applicant. The applicant complained that she was deprived of all contact with her daughter and observed that the administrative authorities had decided to place her daughter in foster care with a view to adoption before the domestic courts had even ruled that her daughter had been abandoned.

Violation of Article 8

# Martinez Martinez and María Pino Manzano v. Spain

#### 03.07.2012

The case concerned a couple living in the vicinity of an active stone quarry. They complained about the noise and the dust pollution and claimed compensation from the authorities for the damage suffered. No violation of Article 8

#### K.A.B. v. Spain (no. 59819/08)

# 10.04.2012

The case concerned the adoption – despite the father's opposition – of a child who was declared abandoned after his mother's deportation.

Violation of Article 8

### <u>Saleck Bardi v. Spain</u>

#### 24.05.2011

The case concerned judicial proceedings that ended with the granting of guardianship of a child from the Sahrawi refugee camps in Tindouf to a Spanish host family, after a long period of uncertainty and despite her biological mother's request for her return. Right to family life breached by authorities' lack of diligence in returning child to biological mother

Violation of Article 8

#### P.V. v. Spain (no. 35159/09)

#### 30.11.2010

Restriction of contact arrangements between a transsexual and her six-year-old son.

No violation of Article 8 taken in conjunction with Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination): the overriding factor had been the child's best interests and not the applicant's transsexualism, the aim being that the child would gradually become accustomed to his father's gender reassignment.

# Moreno Gómez v. Spain

#### 16.11.2004

Night-time noise caused by night clubs opened near the applicant's home Violation of Article 8

#### Prado Bugallo v. Spain

#### 18.02.2003

The case concerned telephone tapping in the course of a drug-trafficking investigation.

Violation of Article 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also, with regard to the same case, the Chamber judgment of 28 June 2011 on the question of just satisfaction. In this judgment, the Court awarded Mr. Tendam EUR 200,000 for pecuniary damage.

# <u> López Ostra v. Spain</u>

#### 09.12.1994

Nuisance caused by a waste-treatment plant situated near the applicant's home. Violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) No violation of Article 3 (prohibition of

inhuman or degrading treatment)

Cases concerning freedom of expression (Article 10)

# Rodriguez Ravelo v. Spain

# 12.01.2016

The case concerned expressions used by a lawyer in a written application containing value judgments regarding a judge and attributing blameworthy conduct to her. Violation of Article 10

# <u>Otegi v. Spain</u>

#### 15.03.2011

The case concerned the conviction of spokesperson of the Basque pro-independence left for insulting the King. Violation of Article 10

### **Gutiérrez Suarez v. Spain**

#### 01.06.2010

Conviction for unlawful interference with King Hassan's fundamental right to respect for his reputation, following publication of an article in which a family company belonging to the king was alleged to be involved in drug trafficking. Violation of Article 10

# Castells v. Spain

#### 23.04.1992

Mr Castells, a lawyer and senator elected on the list of the Basque coalition Herri Batasuna, sentenced to imprisonment in 1983 for insulting the Government after having published an article in which he held the latter responsible for the impunity enjoyed by armed groups. Violation of Article 10

Cases concerning discrimination (Article 14)

# <u>Manzanas Martin v. Spain</u>

#### 03.04.2012

In this case the Court concluded that difference between retirement pensions of Catholic priests and Evangelical ministers amounted to discrimination. Violation of Article 14 taken together with Article 1 of Protocol No.1 (protection of property)

# Muñoz Díaz v. Spain

08.12.2009

Refusal to award a survivor's pension to a Spanish Roma citizen married according to the community's own rites and without any civil effects in Spanish law.

Violation of Article 14 in conjunction with Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property)

# Cases concerning the dissolution of political parties

# <u>Herri Batasuna and Batasuna v. Spain,</u> <u>Etxeberría and Others v. Spain and</u> <u>Herritarren Zerrenda v. Spain</u> <u>Herri Batasuna and Batasuna v. Spain</u>

#### 30.06.2009

Suspension of the activities of the parties in question declared illegal and dissolved under Law no. 6/2002.

<u>Etxeberría and Others v. Spain</u>: electoral groupings having pursued the activities of political parties that had been declared illegal and dissolved debarred from standing in municipal, regional or autonomous community elections.

<u>Herritarren Zerrenda v. Spain</u>: Herritarren Zerrenda barred from standing in European parliamentary elections of June 2004 on grounds that his aim was to pursue the activities of three parties that had been declared illegal and dissolved.

No violations of Articles 10, 11 (freedom of assembly and association), 3 of Protocol No. 1 (right to free elections) and 13 (right to an effective remedy)

#### <u>Eusko Abertzale Ekintza – Acción</u> Nacionalista Vasca (EAE-ANV) v. Spain

#### 07.12.2010

After Batasuna and Herri Batasuna (among others) were declared illegal in 2003, certain candidatures in municipal elections and elections to the provincial councils in the Basque country and to the Navarra parliament were revoked.

(Both applications) No violation of Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 (right to free elections)

(2nd application) No violation of Articles 10 and 11 (freedom of association)

(Both applications) No violation of Article 13 (right to an effective remedy)

# Other noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

# <u>Junta Rectora Del Ertzainen Nazional</u> <u>Elkartasuna (ER.N.E.) v. Spain</u>

21.04.2015

The case concerned the inability of the members of a police officers' trade union to exercise the right to strike.

No violation of Article 11 (freedom of association), taken alone and in conjunction with Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination)

# A.C. and Others v. Spain (no. 6528/11)

#### 22.04.2014

The case concerned the possible removal of international protection seekers from Spain to Morocco, where they alleged they would face a risk of inhuman and degrading treatment.

Violation of Article 13 (right to an effective remedy), taken together with Articles 2 (right to life) and 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment)

# Ruspoli Morenes v. Spain

#### 28.06.2011

Conditions of purchase by the Spanish Government of Goya's work "La Condesa de Chinchón" were compliant with the Convention. The State had exercised its right of pre-emption over an item of cultural interest. The painting is now on display in the Prado Museum, Madrid.

No violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property)

# Gurguchiani v. Spain

#### 15.12.2009

Retroactive application of a heavier penalty – deportation – to an illegal immigrant.

Violation of Article 7 (no punishment without law)

# Noteworthy cases, decisions delivered

# Dorado Baúlde v. Spain

#### 24.09.2015

The case concerned the cassation appeal procedure before the Supreme Court in the Spanish judicial system.

Application declared inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded.

# A.M.B. and Others v. Spain

# (no. 77842/12)

#### 20.02.2014

The case concerned the illegal occupation of a building belonging to the Madrid Housing Institute and a squatter's complaint about the decision to evict her from that building. An interim measure (under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court) had been applied by the Court on 12 December 2012 suspending the eviction measure ordered against Ms A.M.B. who was occupying the building with her two children.

Having regard to the observations submitted by the Spanish Government, the Court took the view that the maintaining of the interim measure was no longer justified and therefore lifted it.

Finding that the appeal before the Spanish Constitutional Court was still pending, the Court found that the application was premature and decided to reject it.

# Noteworthy pending cases

# Rubio Dosamentes v. Spain

(no. 20996/10) Partial <u>decision</u> on admissibility on 11 December 2012

The applicant, a very well-known singer in Spain, brought a civil action in May 2005 seeking the protection of her right to respect for her honour and her privacy, in particular against her former manager, certain persons involved in the production of celebrity programmes and a number of television production companies, on of content account the of certain programmes broadcast in April 2005. Her action was dismissed. Under Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life), she complains of the remarks that were made particular, concerning, in her sexual orientation, her alleged incitement of her partner at the time to take drugs and her alleged ill-treatment and humiliation of him.

# Cases concerning expulsion

#### M.B. v. Spain (no. 15109/15)

Communicated to the Spanish Government on 31.08.2015

The case concerns a homosexual woman, presumed to be a victim of a forced marriage, threatened with deportation to Cameroon.

The applicant relies on Articles 2 (right to life), 3 (prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment) and 13 (right to an effective remedy) of the Convention.

# Saber v. Spain (no. 76550/13) and Boughassal v. Spain (no. 45938/14)

Communicated to the Spanish Government on 31.08.2015

Deportation of applicants, long-term residents in Spain, following their criminal convictions.

The applicants mainly rely on Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) of the Convention.

N.D. and N.T. v. Spain (nos. 8675/15 and 8697/15)

<u>Communicated</u> to the Spanish Government on 07.07.2015

Decision on admissibility 07.07.2015

Immediate return (*devolución* "*en caliente"*) of applicants by Spanish authorities to Morocco.

The applicants mainly rely on Article 4 of Protocol No. 4 (prohibition of collective expulsion) to the Convention and Article 13 (right to an effective remedy) of the Convention, in conjunction with Article 4 of Protocol No. 4.

See also *Doumbe Nnabuchi v. Spain* (no. 19420/15), <u>communicated</u> on 14.12.2015.

# Case concerning extradition

López Elorza v. Spain (no. 30614/15)

Notice given to Spanish Government on 12.11.2015

Case concerning the extradition of the applicant to the United States of America, where she would face life imprisonment without parole.

The applicant relies on Article 3 (prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment) of the Convention.

# Case concerning private/ family life (Article 8)

# López Ribalda v. Spain and Gancedo Giménez and Others v. Spain

(nos. 1874/13 and 8567/13)

Notice given to Spanish Government on 17.02.2015

These cases concern the installation of CCTV cameras by a supermarket chain and the use of recordings in ensuing domestic proceedings.

The applicants rely mainly on Article 8 (right to respect for private life) of the Convention.

Case on freedom of thought, conscience and religion (Article 9)

# Barik Edidi v. Spain (no. 21780/13)

Communicated to the Spanish Government on 2 September 2013

The applicant, a lawyer, complains in particular about her expulsion from a courtroom because she wore the hijab. She notably alleges that the regulations on the subject do not explicitly prohibit it.

Ms Barik Edidi relies on Articles 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial), 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and 9 (freedom of thought, conscience and religion) of the Convention, as well as on Article 1 of Protocol no. 12 (general prohibition of discrimination) to the Convention.

# Case concerning freedom of expression (Article 10)

# Jimenez Losantos v. Spain

(no. 53421/10)

Communicated to the Spanish Government on 23 November 2012

The applicant, a journalist, complains of his criminal conviction on account of insulting remarks made in the context of the radio programme *La mañana* of which he was the producer.