## Iranian deal opponents seek oil, not peace

9/11 WAS AN

**INSIDE JOB AND** 

PART OF A PLOT

**TO TAKE OVER** 

SEVEN MIDDLE

**EAST NATIONS.** 

By JOHN D. WYNDHAM

ight wing war hawks want to torpedo President Obama's Iran deal as part of their plan for war. This plan, a military takeover of the Middle East, has been in full public view for many years. Obama's Iran deal, supported by the UK, France, China, Russia and Germany, would block the planned path to war. This plan made its most visible debut with the Sept. 11, 2001, false-flag event, a.k.a the "New Pearl Harbor" envisaged by neoconservatives who supported the Project for a New American Century (PNAC). An incredulous public has been slow to catch on.

## N.H. Voices

General Wesley Clark, a 2004 presidential contender, explained the plan to Amy Goodman of Democracy Now in an interview on March 2, 2007. As related by Clark, some weeks after 9/11, when the U.S. was bombing Afghanistan, an unnamed Pentagon general, a member of the Joint Staff who used to work for him, told Clark this: " ... we're going to take out seven countries in five years, starting with Iraq, and then Syria, Lebanon. Libya, Somalia, Sudan and, finishing off, Iran.

Clark understood that oil was central to the Middle East wars. In a 2012 interview with Mike Gray, Clark "explicitly lays out the central role of oil in American military strategy" (Business Insider). Other notables who have pointed to oil as the main reason for the Iraq war include former Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan, former senator and Secretary of Defense

Abizaid, former head of U.S. Central Command and Military Operations in Iraq.

The PNAC's goal was to promote American global leadership, a task likely to

be a long one absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event — like a new Pearl Harbor, PNAC signatories include Jeb Bush, Dick Cheney,

Donald Rumsfeld and Paul Wolfowitz. According to Washington Post reporter Bob Woodward, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld "routinely handed out or recommended Roberta Wohlstetter's 1962 book "Pearl Harbor: Warning" and Decision" in the months before 9/11.

During the 9/11 Pentagon attack Rumsfeld was photographed out on the Pentagon lawn helping to carry the wounded. Rumsfeld's absence from his command post in the middle of the attacks supports the findings of thousands of highly-credentialed engineers, scientists, architects and scholars that the 9/11 "attacks" were a false-flag operation carried out by elements in our own government and military together with support from foreign governments. Two bills in Congress, H. Res. 14 and S. 1471, aim to release from a Congressional inquiry the 28 redacted pages that point to foreign government involvement in 9/11.

From solid physical and evewitness evidence, independent scientists have concluded that the Twin Towers and World Trade Center Building 7 in New York City were destroyed by explosives using some form of controlled demolition. The buildings were

Chuck Hagel and Gen. John NOT destroyed by planes hitting them, and jet fuel and office fires. Almost 3,000 innocent people died on 9/11 in New York, more than a thousand exposed to the dust have already died, and thousands

more +3,700 according to an Aug. 9 New York Post story) are sick and dving from the toxic dust or powder. The powder consists of finely dispersed concrete,

asbestos, glass, thermite and its by-products, and computer innards fragmented by explosive force.

National Institute of Standards and Technology reports on the New York Towers' destructions are fraudulent, as shown by this author and others in a 2014 scientific paper published by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. The institute is the largest organization of its kind with almost 500,000 members worldwide. The paper, Ethics and the Official Reports, can be seen at www.scientistsfor-911truth.org. From the start, the Middle East wars have

been driven by falsehoods. U.S. and Iran relations today are the direct outcome of the 1953 overthrow, by the CIA and British MI6 intelligence operatives, of Mohammad Mosaddegh, the democratically elected prime minister of Iran. Mosaddegh nationalized the Iranian oil industry which had been under British control since 1913. The Iraq war was based on lies about weapons of mass destruction but, as later admitted, the real goal was oil. Iran, by some estimates, has more oil reserves than Iraq. Iran is also perceived as a threat to Israel, whose influence in Congress—as witnessed by

Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu's address opposing Obama's Iran deal is substantial.

The same war advocates who led us into the Iraq quagmire now oppose Obama's Iran deal. Their arguments are once again based on fear, a well-known technique for getting public support for wars. Six days after Netanyahu's address, 47 Republican senators, including New Hampshire's Kelly Avotte, sent an email letter to the "Leaders of the Islamic Republic of Iran" intended to derail American foreign policy and the Iran deal. These 47 senators seem to have an agenda of their own, distinct from the system of government established in the U.S. Constitution. This agenda appears to be foreign domination and resource acquisition through preemptive war.

The neoconservative plan for American hegemony in the Middle East with its vast Muslim population seems as unrealistic as Great Britain's attempt to rule India with a few hundred thousand troops. These wars of aggression violate traditional international law and have cost many thousands of lives. We are now experiencing the blowback from the U.S. invasion of Iraq in the form of ISIS. With 32 million people in Iraq and 76 million in Iran, what will be the blowback from a war with Iran? Can anyone in their right mind reasonably argue for war rather than diplomacy that may lead to peace and friendship? It is high time for Congress and the People to identify the forces driving us to war, bring them to a halt, and restore sanity, lawfulness and justice.

Dr. John D. Wyndham is the coordinator of Scientists for 9/11 Truth.