

Fall of USSR

Self-Term

Shah Alam

Writer's Note

USSR was the first socialist country of the world, founded by Lenin and his Bolshevik party; claimed by Lenin and Leninist bosses; and supported by the capitalist leaders. But, is that a fact?

CHINA and some other states were founded with the same claim by the Leninist bosses including Mao, Ho-Chi, etc. But there are so many Leninist fractions who have openly expressed their differences with "RED CAPITALIST" China, and other so-called socialist states from their points of view of PURE "LENINISM".

After fall of the USSR, so many Leninist fractions were so happy because of their difference with Stalin and revisionism among them is also TROTSKYITES and MAOIST.

Traditional capitalist camp is also so happy. More or less all of them have been saying with loud and commanding voice that the days of COMMUNISM are over, thus, capitalism is the last and final destiny of the human being. So, no more Socialism or Communism or Leninism, but only the way is to live and sustain with capital and capitalism. But the hard reality is that the capitalism is not working properly, rather it's affected by the severe crisis with a huge stock with all effects of recession.

On the other hand more or less all Leninist parties and fractions drew their conclusions on fall of the USSR along

with EASTERN BLOCKS on the basis of their respective political line. It's nothing but the consequence of STALINISM and evil done of Stalin, who degenerated the Socialist SOVIET UNION, is the conclusion of TROTSKYITES too. But no party or group or fraction of Leninism does not think that it's nothing but it's the Leninism itself is the cause of fall of USSR.

Therefore, they are trying to re-unite and regroup to strengthen their parties or groups on the basis of their own conclusion. Even, CPI and CPI(M) are not out of this effort, but failed. But, thousands of sincere workers of the Leninist parties of the world are yet in illusion.

Communism is nothing, but the consequence of capital. Communism is nothing, but the consequence of repeated recession. Communism is nothing, but the self-term of capital, because, capital cannot exist without reproduction, which is the cause of recession. Communism is nothing, but the overcome of antagonism of capitalism. Communism is nothing, but the successful end of revolt of means of production against existing capitalist relation. Communism is nothing, but a fit, proper & perfect social condition for the modern instruments of production. Communism is nothing, but the culmination of defunct states by a classless society. Communism is nothing, but the end of class contradiction. Communism is nothing but the outcome of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labor power of the world. Communism is nothing but the solution of crisis of capitalism by the socialization of means of production. Communism is nothing but the end of the capitalist anarchy by turning the private property into the common/commune/society by a communist

revolution, which is a universal event and it's only the job of working class alone. Communism is nothing, but the end of wage slavery.

Therefore, Socialism is nothing but a society, the replacement of capitalist society by the social ownership of means of production by a communist revolution. Therefore, socialism is an advancement of history . The history never goes back. Thus, there is no scope to go back to capitalism from socialism. But, in place of abolished USSR, there are so many capitalist states. Therefore, is the definition of history wrong? No. Thus, is not the claim of Lenin and others on socialism in USSR false? Sure.

Lenin was a great “Marxist” by the claim of the Leninist bosses and he was one of the important key founders of the Bolshevik Party and the USSR, the claimed first socialist country of the world! Lenin was born for the revolution of Russia, said by his great follower J. V.Stalin. Moreover, Lenin was the developer of the “Marxism” as “Leninism” thus; Lenin was the creator of “Leninism” as was claimed by Stalin. Leninists of the world have been believing these, with so many so-called heroic and nonsense brilliant acts of his “greatness” since 1917.

No doubt, thousands of Leninist workers and leaders have even lost their lives for the cause of Leninist politics all over the world with a good and simple belief and faith along with sincerity. Sufferings and miseries of millions of Leninists are unthinkable from the very beginning of the Leninism. Yet, some of them are fighting with arms and killing, even the

innocent passengers of train, and staying in the deep forest too. Certainly, they are sincere to their cause, except some bosses, those who are beneficiaries and opportunist, sure beneficiaries and opportunists are criminal, and rests are stupid.

But what happened in USSR? Or what did Lenin and his followers in USSR ? Was Lenin a communist or did he do anything for communism? No. Rather, facts remain that he did a lot of evil things against communism, communist movement and communist revolution, thereby he was against the workers of the world.

Lenin founded the Bolshevik Party which was not a communist party, to unite the workers of the world for a communist revolution to end the wage slavery to establish the communism. But he transformed the name of the Bolshevik party as “communist party” to confuse and deprive the workers of the world after taking the state power of Russia.

Lenin and the Bolshevik party founded a state of state capitalism, where the rate of exploitation was much higher than the USA.

He took the state power of Russia by a pre-planned military coup with the help of some army officials of Tsar, but loyal to Bolshevik party. But, with fully ill motive and bad intention, he and his party had claimed that there was a “revolution” for socialism, which is totally false and bogus, thus it’s a fabricated story to create confusion among the workers of the world.

Lenin and his party tried to divide the workers of the world into the nations.

He made his state as a market of commodities of Germany for the interest of the German capitalists. Remarkable, Germany was the attacker of 1st world war, to solve its severe problem of stock.

Lenin did so many vile things, against the working class. So, the effect of these misdeeds had been accounted for the capitalism.

Exactly, Lenin was a servant of the reactionary capitalist class and “Leninism” was the shelter of the decayed capitalism. Therefore, the moribund capitalism still exists. Because, the working class of the world- the grave digger of the capitalist class is not united to replace the so waste capitalism by gravating the so reactionary capitalist class as a whole. In fact, one of the main reasons of such disunity of workers of the world is Lenin and Leninism.

Reality is that the capitalism is facing the endless but dangerous crisis, thus it's not working smoothly but yet exists along with high cost.

Therefore, development of science and scientific instruments and also scientific mentality have been hindering, thus, the development of society has become blocked by over loading of commodities. So, miseries of wage slaves have been increasing with all kinds of degradations. On the other hand the cost for management that is cost for managers of capitalism that is expenditure of all executives , office

bearers, masters & lords, and heroes & guards, and so called experts & icons etc &etc of all machineries & tools of capitalism i.e. political party, state & state controller & protector i.e. UN, IMF, WTO etc, and NGOs, global syndicates, multi & tri-national corporations, and mythological heritages, that is offices of the religions in the name of church , temple, trusts, farms or organizations etc that is the cost & expenditure for all kinds of parasitic groups for the existence, safety, security and protection of the selling & buying system, is nothing but a part of surplus-value, produced by the sellers of labour power.

But due to failure and ineffectiveness of state, and its various helping tools with ideologies of all these nonsense things the capitalist class has been forced to take various safety measure for their security by creating so many new & newer organizations, with so many projects & programmes to repair & improve the conditions of ever sick capitalism, which is at death-door by the sufferings from non-curable diseases – the recession , which is the result and effect of over and excess production. Therefore, effects and consequences of recession is un-avoidable including war, killing, destruction, bankruptcy, and increased unemployment & poverty, famine & unnatural and untimely death with labour unrest and, disorder of market and society is quite natural and finally the disappearance of capital itself. Repeated recession is inevitable in capitalism due to its own cause, course and condition of existence of capital. Because, without production, that is without buying of labour power therefore, without existence of wage labour there is no scope to accumulate the capital, thus capital cannot survive and exist without reproduction and circulation. Though, capital does not accumulate from circulation

but capital does not survive without circulation. Result of reproduction is over production, and thereby effect of over production is recession that is problem of circulation that is increasing the pressure of load of stock and stock that is there is epidemic of over production.

In fact, recession is nothing, but a revolt of the means of production against existing social conditions, which are already unfit or not capable to utilize the capacity of the new and newest means of production, created by capitalist class, itself, for maximization of profit to increase the amount of capital that is volume of private property. Because, the capitalist class cannot exist without constantly revolutionizing the instrument of production but the conditions of bourgeois society is too narrow to comprise the wealth created by them.

Due to recession, human being already faced two world wars with their all bad effects and 2nd world war had ended with the creation of the mightiest syndicate- the IMF, to control the global economy globally from a single office. WTO already has been working to implement the policies of the IMF, by ensuring the free movement of capital and commodity throughout the world by using the states as security guards under the strong control of the WTO; And under firm surveillance of the IMF. As per conditions of membership of the IMF, the member states are obligated to comply the policies and decisions of the IMF to control the production, determining and fixing the tax and tariff policies and to do the duty, what is necessary even, to change the law and constitution of the respective state to make a free world for free movement of capital and commodity. In spite of that the

mightiest syndicate of the world- the IMF by enjoying the power of more or less all states of the world, already failed twice since its birth.

Therefore, current recession is ongoing. History has proved that problems of recession therefore, the crisis of capitalism thus solution of this crisis is not possible within capitalism. However, in the meantime, so many private property holders have already lost their properties and some of them have got down to the camp of sellers of labour power or have taken shelter in the relief camp of the Government of the USA. Even, many billionaires have lost their some portion of net capital; some big companies have already amalgamated. Though some of the multinational companies have taken the opportunity of the waste situation to plundering, but, creates others hazardous and anarchy in the society.

Therefore, the means of production and exchange is produced socially and used by the society, but ownership of it is private thus, it is not uniformed to its nature and character but contradictory. So, competent ownership of means of production and of exchange, by the society is required for development. Thereby, outcome and overcome the revolt of the means of production and exchange, that is the solution of the existing and survival conditional crisis of capital and problem of capitalism is social/commune/common ownership of means of production and of exchange. So, cause of death of capital or disappearance of capitalism with its all tools is the capital itself. Practically, existence conditions of the capital is the cause of non-existence of capital. Therefore,

capitalism was born for death, and consequence of death of capitalism is the end of class and class rule for ever. So, human being is free.

Increasing the number of armed forces and equipped them with more dangerous and sophisticated weapons for destruction and killing is one of the most priority task of the so feared and terror capitalist class. Though, capitalists of the world are under the rule of global authority, the creation of the winner party of the 2nd world war, thus, the capitalists of the world are unified and staying in one camp but with having so many contradictions, conflicts and contests for the circulation of capital, since the end of the 2nd world war.

Mentionable, colonial policy was integral part of the development of capitalism, because, national boundary was not enough to develop the capitalist system. But at the so old age of the capitalist society, the whole world had become under the control of the winner of the 2nd world thus, the whole world economy has been controlling by a single syndicate like the IMF along with so many global organizations and forums. Therefore, the colonial policy has become ineffective and unnecessary. Thus, by the decision of the winners of the 2nd world war and their global authorities, so many states have been created in the name of “self-determination of nations,” Indeed, creation of the so many new states do not mean that the states are very effective, but it’s also a result of the action and rule of capital itself to defunct the state by damaging and injuring the ability and capacity of states, thus, by lowering and interrupting the

power and functions of state to protect and maintain the unity and interest of its beneficiaries by controlling the authority of state within its jurisdiction. In fact, the globalization is nothing but capitalist propaganda for establishment of a central authority of capital of the world. Therefore, under the rule of the IMF, state has become defunct. So, nation state is dead.

But interestingly, the power of the private property holders has been decreasing by the mentioned global syndicates. Directors of these global syndicates as they are the executive authorities of those global organizations have been making required decisions to control the world economy. On the other hand, it's the so reactionary capitalist class who created such kind of global syndicates with their global authorities by which it produced & projected the direct and practical instance & example of "globalization" with a sense & concept of a "global village" in front of the human being. Therefore, now it is very much clear that the world is one, capitalists society is one, and controller of the capitalist society is one.

No doubt the working class is one; thereby the working class can do their due works, activities and actions, which are required to get emancipation by given examples of the capitalist class about global organizations. Sure, mentioned global syndicates and organizations has been creating the practical instance for the working class to form a global party to fight the capitalist class globally thereafter, a global association of all to communicate and coordinate the whole social production and communication- the social instrument

in socialism, in fact socialism is a society with an association of all of the world.

Exactly, all the jobs what the capitalist class has been doing for their sectarian interest, has been creating the instance, example and scope for the working class to fight against the capitalist class to negate the capitalist interest which is the self-contradictory character of capitalist class. Noted, self-contradiction is a distinct character of the capitalist class for accumulation of capital and thereafter, effect of such self-contradictions of capitalist class is abolition of capital and capitalist system. Therefore, capitalism is the foundation of communism by creating all such tools and instruments to vanish it by the global action of working class by the common property ship of all of the world.

However, Stalin, the chief executive of the USSR, was one of the winners of the 2nd world war; and therefore, he was one of the three important determining and key founders of the IMF.

Noted, increasing the numbers of state is nothing but the increasing of expenditures of the capitalist management by the increased cost of executives and so many servants of the various departments of the newly formed states. On the other hand, though, the state is defunct, but it is so effective machine to oppress and over powering the sellers of labour power, within its jurisdiction.

But interestingly, the executives of all these defunct states have been claiming and demanding that they are the sole

agent of independency of their respective states, thus, they have the right to rule and control the people. So many politicians and executives of the newly founded states have become so rich within a short time by using the political power. Cost & expenditures of their filthy and luxurious life and styles are unthinkable with all kinds of misdeed and brutality. Thus, the net result of increasing the numbers of state is nothing but increasing the rate of exploitation, thereby the miseries of working class has been increasing at the same ratio. So, the bitter experience and meaning of the so-called independent or self-dependent state is nothing but losing of even, wage of the wage slaves.

Therefore, the cost for repair, maintenance and management of capitalism has been increasing day by day due to its moribund conditions caused by repeated recession that is the capitalism is severely affected by sufferings of the non-curable disease of death of the capitalism. Thus, the rate of exploitation has been increasing at the same ratio of expanding cost of management of the moribund capitalism. Thereby, miseries and degradations of sellers of labour power have been increasing. Therefore, buyers and sellers of labour power that is capitalist and working class are standing face to face, so both the classes are in danger. Therefore, the whole human being is in danger at present.

But, the revolutionary working class cannot allow the total disaster, destruction and total ruin of human being by the dangerous activities of the so reactionary capitalist class. Therefore, as the creator of all commodities- the working

class will take and shoulder the task and responsibility to save and protect the human being from the such dangerous disaster for sectarian class interest of the greedy capitalist class, based on private property by their so narrow mind and narrow minded heinous misdeeds. Sure, it's the capitalist class, who has been creating all necessary conditions to force the working class to overthrow it into the air by the united forceful action of the workers of the world. So, finally, alone working class is only the hope of future of the whole human being.

In fact, both Religion and Leninism have failed to protect the capitalist class, in which they have also taken shelter for their existence. Though, it's the capitalist class who has defeated the religion as the politics of masters; thereby the revolutionary capitalist class has introduced the "Secularism" as integral part of their democracy for their development. However, lastly they took shelter into global organizations with the slogan of "Globalization." But failed.

So, there is no way to escape or avoid the own consequence of the capitalist class from the disappearance, which is nothing but a quite normal outcome of capitalism itself, by a worldwide war between the capitalist and working class. And this war is the communist revolution, which is the culmination of antagonism and contradiction of buyers and sellers of labour power of the world, imposed by the reactionary capitalist class.

Indeed, whenever, the capitalist class took shelter to the religion, that means they have taken shelter in the past,

exactly the then they lost their independent role to sustain as rulers of the capitalist society, before the communist manifesto had appeared. Therefore, indeed, the capitalist class as a whole is a rotten thing in grave that means the capitalists are really in the ditch of the history, though they are trying to exist by using so many rejected and meaningless creeds as their ideologies and concepts to roll back the wheel of history. But these entire things are nothing but a composition of poisonous garbage of dustbin, including Leninism.

So, whenever the capitalist class has taken shelter in the past, since then it's become as a reactionary class, and at present it's a so-reactionary class. Therefore, the so-reactionary capitalist class is so dangerous, heinous, and brutal, thus, they do not hesitate to do any such dangerous and harmful action against their opponent and contender, or opposite side by the opposite or different interest or even, any such contenders and conflicting individual or groups are remaining among its own class too.

Due to failure of the IMF, to control the world economy and thereby the anarchy of capital, the militarization of the politics is must and obvious. Therefore, states have to act and play role as the dictator with all kinds of brutality and cruelty. So, it's only imaginable what will happen in future, if working class of the world will not unite to vanish the selling and buying system for ever by a communist revolution, to turn private property, belongs to individual, company, state and global agencies into the ownership of society or

commune as a common property to disappear capital by vanishing the insane capitalist class.

But, history proved that all revolutionary classes have done their due task in spite of all types of oppositions, misdeeds, conspiracies etc of the reactionary classes against revolutionary class. Capitalism was evolved and introduced by the revolutionary capitalist class by defeating the reactionary feudal lords.

Its capitalism, where the capitalist class has been creating all causes, terms and conditions of its destruction with all pillars and tolls to destroy it. No doubt, the working class is the creation of the capitalist class, thereby, the revolutionary working class will play their due revolutionary role for their emancipation from exploitation, thereafter, the whole human being will be free from all miseries, degradations, sufferings etc by man by man.

Certainly, in place of the old bourgeois society, with its classes and class antagonism, the human being will have an association where the free development of each is the condition for the free development of all.

Therefore, the rejection and vanishing all types of ideas, concepts, creeds, religions, mythologies etc those were introduced and produced for the master-slaves relationship to serve the parasitic interest along with all such poisonous ideologies useful for discrimination, including "Leninism" is indispensable task for the revolutionary working class to attain freedom of all as all are equal and equally dignified.

In these perspectives, a post-mortem report of the USSR is required on the basis of “Science of socialism”, discovered, formulated and explained by Marx and Engels. Because, the secret of capital and the code of society for change is the elements of the science of socialism is scientifically right. But all writings of Marx and Engels are not mandatory to follow by a communist revolutionary, because, they were scientists, but not universal reformer or master or cleric. So, limitations of them are not abnormal or some sorts of error of their description are not unnatural.

Therefore, we have been trying to investigate, search, research, review and re-examine the history of Leninism and Leninist movement, on the basis of science of socialism, thus scientifically, in our consideration. But, mistake or error of us is not unnatural. However, we have already published some books in hard copies as well as in the internet on the basis of our findings and views.

I was a Leninist, near 30 years, and have served as a Central Secretariat member of a Leninist party and then Polite Bureau member of the central committee of a united Leninist party, more than 20 years. But all through I was with an anti-Moscow party since my party membership. As a central boss of the party, I tried my level best to unify the Leninist groups and fractions of the country to build a strong mass based party for a Leninist revolution in Bangladesh with my colleagues. But failed, even we did not able to maintain the unity what we achieved by a united party, which was an outcome of our combined efforts of at least 12 years.

As of my responsibility in the party, I heard and carried out the duty and responsibilities of the different wings and concerned organizations of the party like students, peasants, landless workers and general & industrial workers, by holding different posts in various level, including the central committees of the mentioned sectors & section as a chief executive, i.e. General Secretary of the Central Committee of the student, and workers federations. We have founded workers & employees action council and I was one of the key boss and spokesman of the council, to protect the nationalized sector of Bangladesh, The then GOB has enacted a special law to taming, overpowering the workers and undermine their movements under the leadership of the mentioned action council and other trade unions. At least 17 workers died, more than 3 thousand injured, and many more were prison for different terms including life time-16, due to their participation in the movement of workers under the leadership of the said action council.

Killing, injuring, brutal attack, torture, kidnapping, arrest, detain, false case, etc have filed in and done by the Government and contesting and contending parties have increased the personal basket of my bitter experiences, even killing of some innocent landless workers by some nonsense Maoists were not out of my sorrows, and pains in my whole political life. But, certainly right, the working class is not for killing, rather they will succeed to end all such tortures including killings, and they have no private property, which is the cause of killing, rape and all other misdeeds & crimes. Therefore, arms and armed forces and all other weapons and

tolls & instruments of ruling classes to repress & bring under control of the ruling classes will be part of history.

I was one of the central bosses of the alliances of political parties, including liaison committee of the alliance of the 3 front, who were engaged in so-called democratic movements against Military regime and civil dictatorship, in the decade of 1980's to compliance the duty of the said party and to carry out the political duty in national political field, as a representative of the said party

Not only political leaders and workers, but also the trade union leaders at basic level of Bangladesh have become not only the private property holder, but also owner of house in the cities and also along with car & luxurious items. But I am living in a rented room, and as I know, in my knowledge, no one can claim that I have even been offered or proposed for any kind of undue facilities or commission or bribe in any stage of my political life; May be I was unfit to serve them to provide such undue facilities. But thanks to them, those who provide the extra benefits to the politicians and trade union leaders and activists considering them as commodity to use them for their own purpose but even, failed to draw the conclusion to use my capacity and ability as like as others for their narrow purpose. But, that does not mean that I did not serve for the capitalist class as a Leninist boss, therefore, as preview of Marx- I was a stupid, but not a criminal, because, I am not among the beneficiaries of Leninism.

The Leninist movements were divided mainly into two folds by self-claimed socialist countries of 2 great icons of Leninist

politics with their followers, supporters, and beneficiaries of the world. Furthermore, there were wars between ‘socialist’ states, moreover the killing & genocide by Pol Pot and his company, and the heinous activities of other Leninist bosses in all Leninist states, and the division & sub-division of Leninist parties & groups in countries, where they have been working, is a general picture but they all belong to Leninist camp, and in fact, the ineffective activities of Leninist politics, with dissolution of the USSR and failure of us even to build and maintain a united party in Bangladesh, compelled me to think, re-think, examine, re-examine, investigate, research and review the whole so-called “communist” practically, Leninist movement.

Unexpectedly, I have got an intensive chance and scope with a free time to do the same job for the same object and due to my illness, I left the active politics and later on from the party for treatment mainly. Dissatisfaction on politics to attain the expected goal what we decided by the Leninist politics has played a role to take such decision to restrained me from the politics.

Internet has provided me the all-out support & facilities to investigate, search and collect the required records, those were unknown to me, earlier. Even, I never read the constitution of RSFSR-1918 by Lenin, or the constitutions of China of Mao or DPRK of Kim and other Leninist states before the said research work started. It was unthinkable to raise question openly about Lenin, his decrees and statesmanship. All things were taken as good and believed

that Lenin did not do wrong on tax, wage etc policies or what he did in his statesmanship was bad for the working class. No doubt Lenin, Stalin and Mao were the great hero and unquestionable world leader in the eye of the said party where I belonged. I have no regret to recognize that we have started our investigation and research work as “Marxist-Leninist”.

Mentionable, Mao thought was not the ideological basis of our united party, but the party is working for a people’s democratic revolution; though, at present it’s a component party of the ruling alliance, but not intact, rather, there are grouping in the party and the that united party has already been divided into various fractions and groups and some bosses and workers have left the party to join and work with other parties and many workers are inactive.

As an ex-Leninist, it’s a matter of sorrow and so painful, but as a communist it’s a courageous and challenging job for us to publish our observations and findings in our various books including the first one as : “Lenin Cheat & Betraying Marx So IMF The World Lord And.....”. Therefore, we are no more “Marxist-Leninist” or even not “Marxist”, which is an unscientific term, and helpful for personal cultism. Thereby, we are communist and communist for a communist revolution to end the wage slavery to end class rule by the wage slaves.

We, the members of the Information Centre for Workers Freedom (ICWF) have distributed some books to the parties those are claiming themselves as “Marxist-Leninist” or same thing as their ideological and theoretical basis and foundation.

We have posted all of our books to www.icwfreedom.org , to ensure the scope for free and open reading for all. In the meantime some of us and mainly I myself have been using the social networks including, www.facebook to share and communicate the facts, events and our findings along with our views with others, particularly those are interested to work for a communist revolution to vanish the selling and buying system and those are not faithful or blind or satisfied with Leninism or those are thinking, rethinking and reviewing about the disaster of so-called socialist countries and states, thereby interested to rebuild the communist movement and to reconstruct a communist party.

We also published one of our books: “Leninists [CPSU- CPI (M)] has done fraud in translation of the Manifesto of the Communist Party Too.”

And, thereafter, we published “Karl Marx and Frederick Engels- MANIFESTO OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY- (English- Bengali)” and Rules of Communist League & Rules of 1st International, Translated by Shah Alam,” as a book.

Not for counter arguments or defining our wrong, or mistake, or error, or something like, but we are continually receiving so many reactions those are not only accusations but also identifying me as an “ agent” of the CIA, or paid servant of “ imperialism”, or collaborator of Multinationals, etc, and thereby has been using so abusive terms, and among the used terms, certainly are not eligible to express publicly, from all branches and fractions of the Leninist camps, and more or less all over the world. Not bad. We are enjoying.

Certainly, and sure, we are deadly against the Leninism, but there is not a single sentence against working class in our books which serve the interest of buyer of labour power, but that does not mean that we are above error or free from mistake.

However, some friends are interested to know about the poisonous Leninism, particularly, the causes including if & butts of the fall of USSR. But majority of them do not know Bengali, but our books are in Bengali. Some friends have made request to translate or write in English, but sorry to say, I am not sound in English, moreover, as a Leninist I was for the “right of self-determination of nations”, therefore, lover of Bengali Language thinking as my “mother’s tongue” though, it is unknown what is my father’s, furthermore, there is an event of a “Language Movement” for the demand of one of the state languages of Pakistan, as Bengali, -1952. So, there is an emotion for the Bengali language, in general thus, consequence of all these things the English was neglected as a colonial language and me too.

Constitutionally state language of Bangladesh is Bengali. But yet in Bangladesh, the effective language in the Highest Court, the one of three organs of the state is English but not Bengali, and it is not possible to use it everywhere; even, the people, who think emotionally that their “mother tongue” is the best in the world, thus, they are not interested to know other languages and they are depriving themselves from knowing the scientific information, to acquire and use for the best, though the technology are within their reach.

No language is the best nor is any language bad. Language is for communication to understand each other. Thus, expression of body is also language . Practically, there is no language, which is free from export or imports the sings, words etc from others. Therefore, no language is independent, but inter-dependent. But, for exploitive interest of the capitalist class, they have been using the language as an emotional weapon to divide the workers of the world. Moreover, master-slavery relationship is yet dominating the languages and grammars too and there are so many words which are unscientific and need to be omitted.

Thus, modification and amalgamation of appropriate scientific words in a language and reformation of grammar is the primary task of the new society to create the linguistic scope to get free from all discrimination. Calendars also are not free from the mythology therefore, not free from unscientific aspects, thus, must be replaced by a new scientific calendar.

However, as a part of our effort to try to cooperate and to rebuild the communist movement, which is neither local nor national but global and considering the request of our friends from different areas of the globe, I have tried to write in English, thereafter this book -“Fall of USSR Self-Term” is a fruit of such effort. Therefore, I am not sure, that there is no grammatical mistake or error. I think no one of our friends will take into account the linguistic mistakes so seriously; Rather, all types of amendments, suggestions etc about the error and mistakes by us or any other related things is

cordially invited and expected from anti-capitalist, that is those who are against selling and buying system that is against production of commodity or production for surplus-value, thereby against exploitation, so against wage labour to vanish wage slavery. But, reaction of capitalist, including Leninist bosses or criminals or those are blind & faithful or satisfied with Leninism and Lenin, are not welcomed and acknowledgeable.

Virtually, Communism is a society which is free from :
Production of commodity; Production for surplus-value;
Wage labour; Commodity; Capital; Selling and buying;
private property; Rights of inheritances; Exploitation;
Mastership and slavery; Class and class rule; Class
antagonism & class contradiction; Class struggle; Myth &
mythology; Religion; Nationality; Ethnicity; Uncivilized &
shameful concept of Gender including race, color ; Politics;
Political party; State; State related all things including Court,
arms & armed forces; IMF and all global organizations ,
including NGOs , which are working for the interest of
private property; All customs & traditions; All kinds of
worship; Cultism; Great man or great teacher or great leader;
Hero; Ordinary people; Ignorance; Blindness; Faith; Poverty;
Ill health; Fear; Violence; Killing; Murder; Theft; Robbery;
Hijacking; Kidnapping; Rape, that is having sex without
consent or forceful union; Torture; Acts to harmful to others;
Crime; Punishment; Antagonism, conflict & contradiction
among the human being; War & riot; Uncertainty; Anxiety;
Lie, fake and falsehood; Cheat & fraud; Distortion &

disfiguration; Corruption; Blame game; Selfishness & self-centeredness; And Discrimination.

Therefore, Communism is a free society for all to do anything freely & frankly including union with love for love thus, it's a lovely & scientific society with a common property of all and for all of the world with equal facilities & opportunities for all kids and equal dignity of all with love, affection, romance, pleasure, joy and eternal peace to win the nature, including health, so, it's a society of ever young fit for work and sports, with an association of all to plan and conduct the production of all necessities for all, and to coordinate and communicate the all social activities .

But, there had no such events for Communism or anything of Communism as mentioned above, happened in the USSR. Thus, the USSR was never a socialist or communist but it's a state of state capitalism. Therefore, dissolution of the USSR was unavoidable and logical. So, fall of USSR was inevitable because of its Self-term.

Shah Alam

30th November, 2013. Dhaka, Bangladesh,

Fall of USSR

Self-Term

The USSR is a part of history. But, it was a much discussed state in the world, due to its absolute centralized rule and claimed it as a socialist country by its founders including V.I. Lenin and thereafter, Leninist bosses till now. Though, they were against Bolshevism but with opposition to Lenin and the USSR, the whole capitalist leaders were in the line of Corus of the claim by Leninist bosses on USSR and thus, the USSR was considered as a socialist and thereby the first socialist country of the world. But, is it fact or what is the reality?

Sure, it is required to review the whole things of the USSR, on the basis of science of socialism to get the right answer. Bolshevik Party and Lenin was the founder of the USSR. Lenin was one of the key figures among the founders of the Bolshevik Party. Therefore, to review the whole affairs of the USSR in general, Lenin, Leninism, etc is the main considerable factors, but it's so important to take the Bolshevik Party at first into account to judge the action and activities of the Bolshevik party as well as the USSR.

Bolshevik party by born was a social democratic party with 30 points programmes. Among the programmes of the Bolshevik Party -the 'right of self – determination of nations',

was not raised by working class but by the capitalist very early; the programmes for the interest of the peasants were not much more advance than the France revolution; programmes for the workers were not up-to the level of the then bourgeoisies; And it was for peoples sovereignty, which was against the rule of supreme Autocrat of Russia. Thus, to justify the Bolshevik party, we can take its Programmes as it is which was adopted at the 2nd Congress of the RSDLP, 1903, as was:

“Therefore, the Russian Social Democratic Labour Party takes as its most immediate political task the overthrow of the Tsarist autocracy and its replacement by a democratic republic, the constitution of which would ensure:

1. Sovereignty of the people—that is, concentration of supreme state power wholly in the hands of a legislative assembly consisting of representatives of the people and forming a single chamber.
2. Universal, equal and direct suffrage, in elections both to the legislative assembly and to all local organs of self-government, for all citizens and citizenesses who have attained the age of 20; secret ballot at elections; the right of every voter to be elected to any representative body; biennial parliaments; payment of the people’s representatives.
3. Extensive local self-government; regional self-government for all localities which are distinguished by special conditions in respect of mode of life and make-up of the population.
4. Inviolability of person and domicile.

5. Unrestricted freedom of conscience, speech, publication and assembly, freedom to strike and freedom of association.
6. Freedom to travel and to engage in any occupation.
7. Abolition of social estates, and complete equality of rights for all citizens, regardless of sex, religion, race and nationality.
8. Right of the population to receive education in their native language, to be ensured by provision of the schools needed for this purpose, at the expense of the state and the organs of self-government; the right of every citizen to express himself at meetings in his own language; use of the native language on an equal basis with the state language in all local, public and state institutions.
9. Right of self-determination for all nations included, in the bounds of the state.
10. Right of any person to prosecute any official before a jury, through the usual channels.
11. Judges to be elected by the people.
12. Replacement of the standing army by universal arming of the people.
13. Separation of the church from the state and of the school from the church.
14. Free and compulsory general and vocational education for all children, of both sexes, up to the age of 16; poor children

to be supplied with meals, clothing and textbooks at state expense.

As a fundamental condition for the democratisation of our state finances, the RSDLP calls for abolition of all indirect taxes and establishment of a progressive tax on income and inheritance.

In the interests of safeguarding the working class from physical and moral degradation, and also in order to develop its capacity for the struggle for freedom, the Party calls for:

1. Limitation of the working day to eight hours in every 24, for all wage-workers.
2. Legal provision of a weekly rest period, to last continuously for not less than 42 hours, for wage-workers of both sexes, in all branches of the economy.
3. A complete ban overtime work.
4. Prohibition of night work (between 9 pm and 6 am) in all branches of the economy, with the exception of those in which it is absolutely necessary owing to technical factors which are endorsed by the workers' organisations.
5. Employers to be forbidden to utilise the labour of children of school age (up to 16), and limitation of the working day for adolescents (16-18) to six hours.
6. Prohibition of female labour in all branches in which it is harm-ful to the female organism; women to be given leave from work for four weeks before childbirth and six weeks

after it, with payment of wages at the usual rate throughout this period.

7. Construction in connection with all factories and other enterprises where women work of crèches for infants and young children; release from work of women who are feeding their babies, at intervals of not more than three hours, for periods of not less than half an hour.

8. State insurance of workers against old age and against complete or partial loss of capacity to work, financed from a special fund to be raised by a special tax on the capitalists.

9. Prohibition of payment of wages in kind; payment of wages on a weekly basis and in cash to be laid down in all agreements for the hiring of workers, without exception; wages to be paid out during working hours.

10. Employers to be forbidden to make deductions from wages for any reason and regardless of the purpose (fines, defective work, etc.)

11. Appointment of an adequate number of factory inspectors in all branches of the economy, and extension of the scope of supervision by factory inspectors to all enterprises employing wage labour, including government enterprises (the work of domestic servants also to be subject to this supervision); appointment of women inspectors for those branches in which female labour is employed; participation by elected representatives of the workers, paid by the state, in checking on the enforcement of factory legislation, and also in establishing wage-rates and in the accepting or rejecting of material and of work done.

12. Supervision by the organs of local self-government, with participation by elected representatives of the workers, of the sanitary condition of the dwellings assigned to workers by their employers, together with the internal arrangements of these buildings and the terms on which they are let—with a view to safeguarding the wageworkers from interference by the employers in their lives and activities as private persons and citizens.

13. Establishment of properly-organised health inspection in all enterprises employing hired labour, the entire medico-sanitary organisation to be wholly independent of the employers; free medical aid for workers at the employers' expense, with continuance of pay during illness.

14. Violation by employers of laws for the protection of labour to be made a criminal offence.

15. Establishment in all branches of the economy of industrial tribunals composed of an equal number of representatives of the workers and of the employers.

16. The organs of local self-government to be made responsible for setting up offices (labour exchanges) to arrange for the employment of workers, both local and newly-arrived, in all branches of production, with participation in the running of these offices by representatives of the workers' organisations.

In order to eliminate the survivals of serfdom which weigh as a heavy burden directly upon the peasants, and in the interests of free development of the class struggle in the countryside, the Party demands, first and foremost:

1. Cancellation of redemption and quit-rent payments, and also of every form of obligation now imposed upon the peasantry as a taxpaying estate.
2. Repeal of all laws which restrict the peasants' freedom to dispose of their land.
3. Return to the peasants of the sums of money extorted from them as redemption and quit-rent payments; confiscation, for this purpose, of monastery and church property and also of appanage and crown lands and those belonging to members of the imperial family; imposition of a special tax on the estates of members of the landowning nobility who have benefited from redemption loans: the money raised in this way to be paid into a public fund for the cultural and welfare needs of the rural communities.
4. Establishment of peasants' committees: (a) for restoration to the rural communities (by expropriation or, in cases where the land has changed ownership, through purchase by the state at the expense of the large estates of the nobility) of the lands which were cut off and withheld from the peasants when serfdom was abolished and which now serve the landlords as a of keeping the peasants in bondage; (b) for handing over to ownership by the peasants in Caucasia those lands which they have been working as temporary bondsmen, khizani and so on; (c) for doing away with the survivals of serfdom relations which are still intact in the Urals, in the Altai, in the Western Territory and in other parts of the country.
5. Granting to the courts of the right to reduce excessively high rents and to declare null and void all transactions involving servitude.

In striving to achieve its immediate aims, the RSDLP supports every oppositional and revolutionary movement directed against the social and political order prevailing in Russia, while at the same time resolutely rejecting all reform proposals which are connected with any sort of extension or strengthening of tutelage by the police and officialdom over the labouring classes.

For its part, the RSDLP is firmly convinced that complete, consistent and lasting realisation of the political and social changes mentioned is attainable only through overthrow of the autocracy and the convocation of a constituent assembly, freely elected by the entire people.”

– (Source: Marxist Internet Archive: Russian Social Democratic Labour Party: Second Congress.)

Was it at all a working class programme to unite the workers of the world for a communist revolution to replace the capitalism?

Rather, what we see that before 53 years of this programme of the Bolshevik party, Marx and Engels wrote: “Our concern cannot simply be to modify private property, but to abolish it, not to hush up class antagonisms but to abolish classes, not to improve the existing society but to found a new one.” - Address of the Central Committee to the Communist League. London, March 1850.

Therefore, communist programmes are not for modifying the private property or improving the existing society. But, programmes of Bolshevik party were for the modification and improving the conditions of the existing society. Thus, the

Bolshevik party was not a party to work to abolish the capitalist society by a communist revolution.

And it was said in the AIM of COMMUNIST LEAGUE as is: “The aim of the League is the overthrow of the bourgeoisie, the rule of the proletariat, the abolition of the old bourgeois society which rests on the antagonism of classes, and the foundation of a new society without classes and without private property.”

Therefore, the aim of the Communist League and the RSDLP is not same. Thus, RSDLP was not a party to abolish the old bourgeois society, so it was not a communist party by the standard of the Communist League too.

By born condition, the Bolshevik party was a social democratic party for democratization of Russian state by developing the capitalist economy. Thereby, it's programmes was not for communism or for a communist revolution to reduce the state for a classless society.

Certainly, if the programmes of Bolshevik party is considered as programmes for communism and a communist revolution, then the Communist Manifesto is to be treated totally wrong and not a guideline for communism and communist revolution. But, the Communist Manifesto is not only right but it's the primary and basic document for the communist movement with its all historical limitations or error or some sorts of self-contradictions. And, no doubt it's the death sentence for capitalist class and capitalism. So, it is still valid and effective.

Therefore, to think, consider and compare the programmes of the Bolshevik party with the communist Manifesto there are some relevant things as quoted below from the Communist Manifesto:

(A) “The distinguishing feature of communism is not the abolition of property generally, but the abolition of bourgeois property. But modern bourgeois private property is the final and most complete expression of the system of producing and appropriating products that is based on class antagonisms, on the exploitation of the many by the few.

In this sense, the theory of the Communists may be summed up in the single sentence: “Abolition of private property.”

(B) “There can no longer be any wage labour, when there is no longer any capital.”

(C) “The communist revolution is the most radical rupture with traditional relations; no wonder that its development involved the most radical rupture with traditional ideas.” And

(D) “In short, the Communists everywhere support every revolutionary movement against the existing social and political order of things.

In all these movements, they bring to the front, as the leading question in each, the property question, no matter what its degree of development at the time.”

Therefore, programme of the Bolshevik party was not narrated or determined by the described aim and objects of the communist manifesto or at least on the basis of the communist manifesto. So, Bolshevik party was not a communist party by the standard of the communist manifesto.

Without opposing or declining or differing with the Communist Manifesto, no one can ignore it or any party can

declare it as a communist party. Certainly, no party can claim it, as a communist party, without support, defend and protect the Communist Manifesto, with a note of its limitation or error. But, the Bolshevik party did not keep a single word for or against the Communist Manifesto. So, Bolshevik party was not a communist party only for this ground too.

“General Jewish Labour Bund.” with religious identity was a inborn component organization of the Bolshevik party. Therefore, Bolshevik party was not against religion or myth, thus, it was not for science and scientific socialism. So, the Bolshevik party was not a communist party to work for a scientific society.

Remarkable, all members were equal in the Communist League, and each delegate had one vote in the 1st International; But, the 2nd congress, in fact founding congress of the Bolshevik Party, 1903, there was 7 out of 43 delegates including Lenin and Martov had 2 votes. Therefore, following the policy of share basis vote of a company is not communion with even, democracy. So, due to only this cause, the Bolshevik party was not even, a democratic party.

Both, Bolshevik and Menshevik party were for the same program and declaration, but difference was only on organizational principle. Lenin was for the democratic centralism, which is in-fact a policy of absolute and extreme & extreme dictatorship. Thus, due to this organizational principle, the Bolshevik party was a party of absolute and extreme dictators, so, the Bolshevik party was never even, a democratic party.

Efforts of unity of Bolshevik and Menshevik party had failed. However, Lenin had defined the Menshevik party as “Petty Bourgeoisie”. But except difference of the “Organisational Principle,” there was no difference among the both party. Therefore, if the Menshevik party is a “Petty Bourgeoisie” party, then why not the Bolshevik Party is the same, even by the definition and judgment of Lenin himself? But, it’s very much clear that both the Bolshevik and Menshevik were not the parties to work for communism.

By the direction of the communist manifesto - Communist has no interests separate and apart from those of the proletariat as a whole. Therefore, they point out and bring to the front the common interest of the entire proletariat of the world independently. Thus, unite the workers of the world is the primary duty and prime task of the communist party to form the working class of the world into a class and to communicate, coordinate, and correlated to channelize, advance, and centralize the workers movement of the world by raising the demand for abolition of private property as number one demand thus, point out and bring it to the front of the working class struggle for the emancipation of the sellers of labour power by a communist revolution. Thus, to do this “Workers of the world, unite,” is the first condition.

No doubt, certainly, so rightly and perfectly this first condition for the emancipation of the workers has determined by Marx and Engels. Thereafter, it was the first and principle of the Communist League and 1st International very correctly and so rightly. Therefore, without a declaration to

implementing this ‘first condition’, for a communist revolution, no party can claim it as a communist party.

But, Lenin and leaders of the Bolshevik party did not care it, thus, “workers of all countries, unite,” was not narrated in the programme of the Bolshevik party. So, Bolshevik party by born was not a communist party to unify and to form the workers of the world into a class to over throw the capitalist class into the air for ever.

Furthermore, “United action of the leading civilized countries at least is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat”, has rightly described in the Communist Manifesto. So, it’s very much clear that the communist revolution is not possible in one country alone. But Lenin or Bolshevik party did not care it, and in fact it was not necessary to consider it by them. Because, Bolshevik Party by born was not at all a communist party to work for emancipation of the proletariat’ or for a communist revolution to conquer the socialism/communism.

Therefore, Bolshevik party by born was not a working class party for a communist revolution, which is a world war between buyers and sellers of labour power of the world, thus the range of communist revolution is universal. As because, capitalism itself a worldwide system, therefore, replacement of it by social/commune /common ownership of means of production is not possible in one country alone.

But, Bolshevik party was not a global party to unite the workers of the world for a communist revolution. So, Bolshevik party by born was not a Communist Party.

Communist revolution is only job of working class, who have no private property to survive except selling their labour power, thus, they are wage slaves of the capitalists. As the slave of capital the owner of means of production and of exchange, the capitalists are buyers of labour power, to produce commodity, which have exchange value. One of the two elements of commodity is matter that is the natural resource, has exchange no value, thus, another element, labour is the value of a commodity, and thus, labour is the price of a commodity. But, capitalist pay wage for using the labour power.

Therefore, difference between value that is the price of commodity and wage, is capital. Thus, capital is nothing but the unpaid part of commodity that is surplus-value produced by labour. So, capital is nothing but the unpaid labour. Thereafter, capitalists are exploiter and workers are exploited, thus, relation between the sellers and buyers of labour power is antagonistic. So, contradiction of capitalist and worker is quite natural by the by born condition of capital. In fact, capitalist and worker is a pair of opposite forces for production of commodity to accumulate the capital by workers for capitalists.

Thus, solution of the contradiction of both antagonistic classes is not possible except abolition the system of buying and selling of labour power. Therefore, socialism is nothing,

but the solution of antagonism of capitalism thereafter, socialism is the end of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power by ending the selling and buying system. Thus, the outcome of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world is communism. So, alone working class is revolutionary to vanish the reactionary capitalist class by abolishing the private property with all rights of inheritances. Therefore, communist party for a communist revolution is a party of working class.

But, programmes of Bolshevik party were not for abolition of private property with all rights of inheritance. Moreover, Bolshevik party was not a party of working class, rather a party with peasants, and capitalist etc thus it was for the interest of the capitalism. So, Bolshevik party by born was not a communist party to work for communism by vanishing the capitalism.

About class role, the Communist Manifesto has rightly described as is: “Of all the classes that stand face to face with bourgeoisie today, the proletariat alone is a genuinely revolutionary class. The other classes decay and finally disappear in the face of Modern Industry; the proletariat is its special and essential product.

The lower middle class, the small manufacturer, the shopkeeper, the artisan, the peasant, all these fight against the bourgeoisie, to save from extinction their existence as fractions of the middle class. They are therefore not revolutionary, but conservative. Nay, more, they are reactionary, for they try to roll back the wheel of history.”

Therefore, by the standard of this statement of the communist manifesto-the Bolshevik Party was a party of reactionary class by its declared programmes.

Sure, fruit of union of a horse and ass is a mule; but the result of combination of reactionary and revolutionary forces is nothing but a so reactionary force. Therefore, the Bolshevik party with above mentioned programmes for reactionary class including peasants is nothing but a party of so-reactionary forces. Thus, Bolshevik party was a so-reactionary party. So, activities of the Bolshevik party is nothing but an act of so reactionary party thereby activities' of it was so & so reactionary things thus, it did so many evil jobs against the working class of the world. And, really, bosses of the Bolshevik have done so.

By the standard of the communist manifesto on class role, the peasants are reactionary, thereby any party with symbol of scythe & hammer is nothing but a so reactionary party. So, no Leninist party is communist party due to their politics for the interest of the peasants with such flag with hammer of workers and symbol of peasants.

Certainly sure, no party can be a communist party just by changing its name. But, Lenin and his loyalist have done so by changing the name of the Bolshevik Party to protect the state power. Noted, voters of the RUSSIA had rejected the Government of Lenin which was formed by Lenin by a pre-planned army coup. Certainly, abandoning the constituent assembly by order of Lenin without any legal power vested on him was a betrayal act of Lenin which was not only

against the voters of the RUSSIA but also workers and supports of the Bolshevik party too. Because, it was Bolshevik party which was formed for the peoples sovereignty, ensured by the new constitution adopted by the Constituent Assembly, determined by the constituent election.

Therefore, it was a necessity to change the name of the Bolshevik party by creating a false and bogus concept about communist party therefore, to justify that the Bolshevik Party is a communist party for communism, therefore, working class of the world be confused and fall into a deep drain of illusion for their emancipation even, about the communist revolution and communist party thereafter, the confused workers would do so many things for safety and security of the executives of state of Lenin as considering them as their "COMRADE" , therefore, it would be the task & duty of workers of the world to support, defend and protect the state of Lenin and his party which is totally a fake, false, foul and bogus "COMMUNIST PARTY" but considering it as their own party.

No doubt, it's a merciless crime against the working class. But, various parties including the party of Fidel Castro of CUBA has done so to strengthen his political power by turning his party as a Leninist to get full support from the USSR to save, secure and protect his power to holding the state power to use it against the working class. Though, his party was a self-claimed democratic party but it was for restoration of the religious constitution of CUBA - 1940. Thus, it was not at all a democratic party. Furthermore, his

party did not took the help and support form working class party to collect cash and kinds including arms, ships etc to defeat the Batista Government of CUBA to occupy the state power to found his state.

Freedom of working class is nothing but the disappearance of wage labour. But, there is no such point for disappearance of wage labour in the programmes of Bolshevik party. So, Bolshevik Party was not a party for workers freedom.

Capitalist production is nothing, but production of commodity and aim & object of commodity production is production of surplus-value for capitalist. No doubt, surplus-value, produced by labour, but its owner is capitalist. So, it's the cause of antagonistic relation of buyers and sellers of labour power. Solution of this antagonism is nothing but disappearance of capitalist production, where both the classes that is sellers and buyers of labour power are involved. Therefore, outcome of contradiction of buyers and sellers of labour power of the world is socialization of means of production and that is socialism, where there is no capitalist production that's why there is no commodity, thus, no capital, so there is no exploitation.

But, Bolshevik party was not for disappearance of capitalist production; rather it was for capitalist production. So, Bolshevik party was not a communist party to work for communism to end the exploitation.

Communist revolution is so significant global event for forcible overthrow of the capitalist class into the air. Exactly it's a war between the sellers and buyers of the world; therefore, it's in practice, a world war to end the war by

ending the wage slavery. But, the most immediate political task of the Bolshevik party was to “overthrow of the Tsarist autocracy and its replacement by a democratic republic, the constitution of which would ensure.” Therefore, Bolshevik party was not a global party for a world war against capitalist class. So, Bolshevik Party was not a communist party to work for a communist revolution.

However, the new society, by abolishing the private property with all rights of inheritance is a society of common ownership, thereby its free from selling and buying, thus, there is no commodity, no capital, no exploitation, therefore, there is no class, thus, there is no politics and no state or state related organizations, including armed forces for the interest of the class, that’s why it’s a state boundary free world with a scientific & modern, self-responsible & self-disciplined , unified & cooperative and friendly & lovely human being, coordinated and communicated by a world association of all, in which each and everybody is fit and eligible to elect and hold in any post of it , but no one is free from subject to re-call, for conducting the planned production for all and to fight collectively to win the nature, including human body, defying and defeating the death, thereby all are scientific thus it’s a scientific society, thereby, all workable persons are active for more and more modernization, innovation and invention of scientific materials, instruments and technologies for more and more well-being of all; all children will get equal facilities, opportunities and treatment from the society, and to ensure the good and healthy health and safe, secure and ever young

& green life for all by necessary improvement and changing the life styles with diet habit & menu and useable necessities including clothes, housing and transportations to maintain the balanced temperature of body, easiest and quickest access to all required places thus, rearrangement and resettlement of population of the world in the safe and secure zone of the world, with all kinds of modern facilities including housing, transportation, etc &etc with all required essentials and necessitates for all is primary and prime task and duty of the new society to attain the most modern life for all; thereby reducing the all kinds of dirty, ugly, risky and dangerous works by introducing the modern technologies and machineries; and reformation and modernization of languages thus in grammar, calendar etc is immediate task of the new society, therefore, there is no religion, no politics or no creed or no idea or no concept of master-slavery relation that is no culture, no traditions, no rules etc &etc which is related and originated from the private property to serve the parasitic people thus, no worship to anyone, thereby there is no husband-ship, no cultism, therefore, there is no one great thus none is ordinary but all are equally dignified, therefore, not family but individual is the lowest unit of the society, thereby there is nothing against freedom of anyone, thus, all are free, so it's a free society of free members of human being, therefore, there is no discrimination, even, with regard to sex, colour, age, etc thus it's free from all kinds of discriminatory identities, thereafter, all are free to choice their friends, partners and lovers for love and union, so there

is eternal peace with affection , love, romance, joy and pleasure for all.

Notable, diet, dress, transportation etc. with so many unhealthy habits and backwards things will change & disappear and so many new and newer things will be introduced for a comfortable and most modern life and wellbeing of human being, and even the traditional reproduction system and method of human being will not continue as it is. Certainly, one of the destiny of communist society is to save, secure and protect the human being from natural disaster, even, from destruction of the Earth and culmination of activities of the SUN.

But, nothing of this things, even hints with observation was narrated or explained or composed in the program, or in the rules of Bolshevik Party, therefore, activities of Lenin and his party, was not for this aim and object. So, Bolshevik party was never with a thought and theme of communism but it had transformed the name of it as "COMMUNIST PARTY". Thus, there is no reason to consider and acknowledge its functions and activities as such as job of a communist party for the interest of working class. So, activities or jobs of Bolshevik Party and its bosses including Lenin are not fit or even not eligible to compare with the communist revolutionary acts or their works are not qualified even, considerable as communist work.

However, in spite of that we can see the facts what happened in Russia and USSR in brief.

By using the negative advantage of 1st world war with the help of ruling class of Germany, Lenin and Bolshevik party had grabbed the state power of Russia with the help of some army personnel of Tsar, but loyal to Lenin and Bolshevik party at night, by killing 2 and arrested 26 duty men. In fact, it was a pre-planned military coup. Trotsky was the 2nd key man who presided over the meeting, where Lenin read out the 3 decrees, including his new Government after occupy the state power of Russia to execute and implement the previous decision of the central committee of the Bolshevik party by 8-2 votes before 15 days of the incident to do the same.

Lenin had conducted the constituent assembly election. But the Bolshevik party got only the posts of 168 deputies out of 703, and bellow 15% of total casting votes, therefore, it's very much clear that the voters of Russia did not support Lenin, thereby they did not approve his Government – the product of the so-called October revolution. That means, voters of the Russia had rejected Lenin and his Government along with so-called revolution.

Remarkable, election of 35,000 commune under the government of Tier , PM of France, held on 30th April 1871, but supporters of Paris Commune had won 6,92,000 seats out of 7,00,000. Thereby records shown that the Paris Commune got absolute support from the voters of the France. But, Lenin?

After the defeat of nomine of Lenin in the election for the post of Speaker of the Constituent Assembly by the candidate of RSP, Mr. Victor won by 244-153 votes at the first session

of the Constituent Assembly, on 5th January, 1918, Lenin dissolved the long awaited and committed Constituent Assembly on 6th January, 1918, by a decree without any lawful authority by violating his own commitment on legalization of a Government of insurrection, which was even described at the decree of land by him about his own Government. Lenin also declined to apply and implement the program of the Bolshevik party on sovereignty of peoples and Constituent Assembly and more or less programs of Bolshevik party. In this regard his constitution of 1918 is the best document to compare the relevant issues and concern matters with his earlier statements and writings.

In fact, it was a betrayal of Lenin not only with the people of Russia but also with his party men by dissolution of the constituent assembly. Sure, dictators are not free from such betrayal even with their closed and intimate friends and colleagues, Trotsky was killed by his colleague and Leeu Chucee the president of China of Mao was murdered by his intimate friend Mao-Se-Tung-the Chairman of the Communist Party of China.

A civil war had grieved the people of Russia till 1924 by the effect of dissolution of the Constituent Assembly. Thus, the peace, what Lenin said in his earlier one of the three decrees, had not been restored at least in Russia, though the 1st world war had ended on March, 1919.

Land reform had done by capitalist class to create & win the capitalist system by defeating the pre-capitalist system of masters and land lords with their divine right to rule the

serfdoms and others. Therefore, the communist task is not a task for re-chaining any one with land but to abolish the private property with all rights of inheritance, thus, as a means of production the land is property of society that is owned by each and every body of the world in socialism. But, Lenin had distributed the land among the people to re-chain them with land to create the scope and opportunities to help the capitalist system thereafter increased the amount of income of his state by increasing the revenue too.

60,10,000 Metric Ton food grain was collected as tax only in the year of 1920-1921, by the decree of Lenin, issued 21st March, 1921, even egg, milk etc was not out of his tax net.

Remarkable, at least 10 million people had died in Bengal, India by the famine of 1760, after taken over the political power by the British East India Company, but revenue of the company government had increased, and in Russia, not less than 20 million people had died by the famine of 1920, even relief goods were stolen by the officials of the Government of Lenin at that time but the amount of revenue of Lenin's state had increased. So, there is not difference between East India Company Government and the Government of Lenin to collect the revenue without considering the famine too.

Capitalism is not a discrimination free society. Therefore, as a self-declared friend of oppressed capitalist and supporter of honest business, the 'great' Lenin was not free from discrimination even on distribution of land among the people by sex by his decree of land, 19th Feb 1918, i.e. Man: Age 18-60 = 1 unit and Woman: Age 18-50 = 0.8 unit; Boys: Age

16-18= 0.75 unit and Girls : Age 16-18= 0.06 unit. (Source: Land Decree, 19th February, 1918).

However boys and girls of 12 years were not free from the net of land chain of Lenin. Therefore, child labour had not been restricted, rather accepted policy of Lenin by the land decree thus Lenin, himself was for the exploitation of child labour too. Noted, child labour is restricted by the law of various countries. In fact, no one except a so greedy thus brute and cruel exploiter can exploit child labour.

On behalf of the Russia, the back boneless Trotsky was the signatory of the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk, in between Russia and Germany on March, 1918, with his dissatisfaction, but compelled by Lenin to do so by the condition of ‘democratic centralization’ the-organizational principle of the Bolshevik Party-introduced by Lenin.

Noted, those who were against this treaty in the party, identified as “ left” by Lenin himself, though he had not ability to disagree that the treaty was not in favor of the Russia, but as of his views it was necessary to save his government. By this agreement, Germany not only gained war compensation from Lenin in cash and other things including coal mine but both the countries had declared as “favored nations”. Thus, Germany got the opportunities and facilities to use the Moscow Port like as its own, and even on Sunday the concerned offices kept open for the interest of Germany. In fact Russia had become a dumping land of the over loaded Germany as because of its huge stock.

No doubt Germany was the attacker of both the world wars, first and second due to load and pressure of over stock of capital; thereby, the said wars were inevitable due to the problem of stock of the Germany to try to ensure the normal and regular circulation of capital and commodity by occupying the market from the contenders of the Germany . However, by trying to justify this uneven pact at his level best Lenin not even disagreed that it was not only a contemptible but a ridicules one too. Mentionable, to do this pact, Lenin did not hesitate to decline his so-called “right of self-determination of nations” theory. He never cared the people’s opinions as he thought that the history needed some great men to represent the whole nations.

As a self-claimed divine right holder, the Tsar had adopted a constitution for his supreme autocracy in 1906. But, after declining by the Grand Duke Michel, brother of Tsar, the leader of Constitutional Democratic Party, Prince Lovov had formed a government under his chairmanship in February, 1917. His party was in the Parliament, elected under the constitution of 1906, to serve the autocracy of Tsar in 1912. Therefore, nothing had changed in socio-economic conditions and rules of government except the Prince Lovov as chairman by changing the Government of Tsar. But, failure of Prince Lovov to run the government, Mr. Kerensky- a minister of Lovov Government had become the chief executive of the state who was later on defeated by the military coup of Lenin, October 1917. But Lenin claimed that the event of power transformation of Tsar to the government of Prince Lovov was a democratic revolution - “February

Revolution.” But Prince Loviv was an elected deputy to the parliament to support, defend and protect the constitution of the supreme autocrat Tsar-1906; And as a chairman of his Government Prince Lovov had done the same job to serve the ruling class of the Russia by the same constitution of Tsar too.

If it was the so-called democratic revolution then what was the necessity for land reform by Lenin? Was Prince Lovov not loyal to the constitution of Tsar? No. Was Prince Lovov loyal to the constitution of Tsar? Yes. Was he against the war policy of Tsar? No. Had he hold a constituent assembly election? No. So what was the meaning and effect of the so-called democratic revolution? Nothing. Therefore, the claim of Lenin on the said democratic revolution is nothing but a manufactured political propaganda thus it is a false and bogus story.

Not composed by the committed constituent assembly but the constitution of the Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic -1918, promulgated by Lenin for his state capitalism section- 3 was for nationalization and sect-64 was for the protection of the state capitalism by punishing the private property holders for their any such activities to accumulate the capital by exploitation in private sector which was against the said constitution.

Not the power of the people but by Art-1, sect-1, 12, 24 and 30, of the said constitution, it was a state with the power of deputies of the Soviets of workers, soldiers and peasants but they were not elected by the people; And by sect-25, one

deputy for 25,000 people from town but in country side one for 125,000 peoples.

Noted, military by profession is killer, plunderer and so on, but not for the production of value, as wage slave therefore, it's for parasitical interest for parasitic class, and no doubt it's a so harmful and dangerous instrument & tools of state to control and rule the unruly and oppressed people thus, the Paris commune had dissolved the army by its first order to free the people from such dangerous instrument of state.

Remarkable, Paris commune “was essentially a working class government, the product of the struggle of the producing against the appropriating class, the political form at last discovered under which to work out the economical emancipation of labor.” By Marx, The Civil War in France.

But Lenin founded a state but dominated by the military who command the labour market according to the terms and conditions of his constitution-1918.

Eat for life, but lives of slaves for the Masters. Therefore, not life but use of lives of Slaves were most important to the Masters for more exploitation for their parasitic lives. Certainly, stomach is not only thing to live but the brain has an important role. So, living for only stomach is not the job of the human being who have a brain to think. Therefore, living to do something more than only lead a life for stomach is a minimum requirement for any one of the human being to live freely with a peaceful life.

But, to serve the interest of masters, therefore, the Bible says that “if anyone would not work neither should he eat.” Sure, slavery society was a society of wants and wants and scarcity, thus, with all effects of the famine was very common in general before capitalist mode of production. But, the capitalism is a society of immense accumulation of commodities therefore, due to over and excess production it will be disappear, thus, want of necessary articles in communism is unthinkable. So, work for only eating or living for only to eat is beside the mark in the communist society.

But the motto of state of Lenin by sect-18 of his constitution: “He shall not eat who does not work.” So, there is no difference between Leninist idea or Leninism and the concept of Bible, about the meaning and objects of lives of human being. No doubt, food habit and diet has been changing thus, will change for a modern and meaningful life. Therefore, motto of life is eating would never be considered except shame on human being, thus it does not matter what Lenin or Bible said in this case ,but helpful to understanding the cruelty, brutality of the masters like Lenin too.

But the communist manifesto has described that “Communism deprives no man of the power to appropriate the products of society; all that it does is to deprive him of the power to subjugate the labor of others by means of such appropriations.” Sure, communism is a society of flourishing for all with fully developed and perfectly qualified human being.

Moreover, Lenin had introduced the Great “Communist Saturday” to use the labour power without pay for his state economy. Thus, there was only exploitation. Pay wage for labour power to use it for commodity production, thus, exploit the labour legally is the policy of capitalism, but no pay for labour power to use it was the policy of Lenin thus such nonsense and hippocratic concept about socialism is Leninism to serve the moribund capitalism by decaling the customary method and concept of traditional capitalism on exploitation. Remarkable, the Bolshevik party against over time by born condition of it. But Lenin? Sure, it's not only the self-contradictory action of Lenin but violation of relevant policy of Bolshevik Party, thus, its betrayal to the wage slaves of Russia those who trusted the respective policy of Bolshevik party by Lenin.

But after taking over the state power Mr. Lenin wrote in his pamphlet - *The Immediate Tasks of the Soviet Government*; Published on April 28, 1918 in **PRAVDA** No. 83 and **IZVESTIA VTSIK** No.85; on the headline as ‘The Development Of Soviet Organisation’ is : “ Our aim is to ensure that every toiler, having finished his eight hours’ “task” in productive labour, shall perform state duties without pay;” Bravo!

Sure, capitalism is a society of legal exploitation but it does not allow to do the same in general what Lenin did. But, at the decayed condition, the capitalism has left its musk of civilization for rule of law to take the shelter to the state capitalism for its survival. Therefore, state capitalist Lenin

did not feel shame to express his aim as quoted as “without pay.”

There were initial successes in achieving 8 hours day work in New Zealand, in the 1840s by the workers movement. Therefore, who was Lenin ? Was he not a vile than the capitalist leader of New Zealand who acknowledged 8 hours day work?

However, in the same pamphlet he wrote on the title ‘**The New Phase Of The Struggle Against The Bourgeoisie**’ as: “Now we have to resort to the old bourgeois method and to agree to pay a very high price for the “services” of the top bourgeois experts.” Well said!

Really, was it not the real face of Lenin who had served the bourgeoisie? No pay for work to the workers but pay more to the servant of Tsar, was the policy of Lenin and that was reality that he paid more to the top bourgeois experts as of bourgeois method. Bravo! If Mr. Marx was alive to notice the policies of Lenin for his job as a great “Marxist” of Russia, what he did, it’s only an imaginary thing, but if anyone knows the secret of capital discovered by Marx and described it in details in CAPITAL by Marx therefore, ABCD of accumulation of capital by exploitation of labour cannot allow himself to recognize these policies by Lenin is against the capitalism, so, it’s quite natural to him not to accept but reject the Leninism instantly.

It’s not the end of Lenin here but he wrote in the same pamphlet: “Consolidate and improve the state monopolies (in grain, leather, etc.) which have already been introduced, and by doing so prepare for the state monopoly of foreign trade. Without this monopoly we shall not be able to “free

ourselves” from foreign capital by paying “tribute”. And the possibility of building up socialism depends entirely upon whether we shall be able, by paying a certain tribute to foreign capital during a certain transitional period, to safeguard our internal economic independence.”

What? How wonder? Is it the character of capital? Have had there any state with independent economy under the global net of the capitalist system? Are the capitalists helping force and cooperative friends to cooperate and help a communist or communist party to construct a socialist society by reducing and vanishing the capital and capitalism? May be a mentally slaved or sick can believe it but not a normal one, who know the role of the capitalist class, thus, aware about the hostility of them with communist and communism as well as working class.

I think it's a self-explanatory statement of Lenin to understand the role of Lenin to serve and protect the interest of capitalism, by paying tribute to foreign capital therefore, especially by paying tribute to the capitalists of the Germany; those were under pressure of severe crisis and dangerous problem of stock of capital that is recession. Well done, Mr. Lenin.

Sure, even, to serve them he did not hesitate to expel and overpowering so many leaders and workers of his own party by branding them as 'left childish' those were not ready to accept the “Treaty of Brest-Litovsk” on March, 1918.

To justify his all misdeeds by false and fabricated interpretation of the history and to do the same heinous job with a strong and extreme dictatorial authority, he also wrote in this pamphlet on the title of '**Harmonious Organisation**

And Dictatorship' as : “That in the history of revolutionary movements the dictatorship of individuals was very often the expression, the vehicle, the channel of the dictatorship of the revolutionary classes has been shown by the irrefutable experience of history.” Sorry, only shame, but not fie, for such shameless advocacy of Lenin for “dictatorship of individual” ?

However, in the same paragraph he wrote more: “There is, therefore, absolutely **NO** contradiction in principle between Soviet (**THAT IS**, socialist) democracy and the exercise of dictatorial powers by individuals.” Really, thanks to Lenin for exposing the real picture of his state.

But, how funny! Working class is for a free society, where there is no discrimination among the human being and certainly it's the historical outcome of the capitalist society. Therefore, except a servant and so cunning agent of the capitalism or mentally sick and slaved, none could say that Mr. Lenin was for a classless society or a discrimination free society.

Considering the number '13' as an unlucky one, therefore, Article- 13, was blank that means the article number -13 was not written in the Code of Brutal Emperor Humburaby.. But Art- 13 of the Constitution of Lenin was for the right of religion, and he introduced the Gregorian –Calendar, which was adopted to memorize Lord Jesus, the said son of God. Undoubtedly, religion is nothing but the politics of masters to control and overpowering the slaves for their parasitic interest.

The Communist Manifesto has stated that : “But communism abolishes eternal truths, it abolishes all religion and all

morality, instead of constituting them on a new basis; it therefore acts in contradiction to all past historical experience.”

However, Art-13, of the Constitution of USSR, 1936, introduced by Stalin had narrated the protection of private property with right of inheritance. But the Communist manifesto stated as : “ In this sense , the theory of the Communists may be summed up in the single sentence: Abolition of private property.” And “ Abolition of all rights of inheritance.” So, constitution of the USSR, 1936 was against the principles of communism as stated in the Communist Manifesto.

Remarkable, Preamble of the Constitution of USSR-1977, stated as : “Social ownership of the means of production and genuine democracy for the working masses were established. For the first time in the history of mankind a socialist society was created.”

What a nonsense statement on social ownership of the means of production. Were not the composers of the mentioned constitution-1977, historical cheaters or ever notorious and infamous liar & fraud? Certainly, it was a falsification and false statement about socialist society. Sure, there was private property with all such discrimination originated from it at USSR.

The Code of Humburabi was for random capital punishment, but not without trial. Therefore, even, judge & judicial

officers were not free from punishment for their misdeeds in trial procedure by the said code.

By the Art-27, 30, 31, 32 and 73 of the constitution of Tsar,1906, had been protected the legal right of citizens , therefore, no one was subject to detain, arrest or punished without law and lawful authority.

Judiciary was not out of the constitution of Lenin-1918, but Supreme Court was not constituted in his state till the death of Lenin. Is it not a self-mockery of Lenin himself?

Rather, CHEKA, the state security force was formed by Lenin with the symbol of sword as per decree of 20th December 1917 had not been restricted from detain, arrest and killing anyone except Lenin as they liked. Even, Lenin himself ordered for hanging 100 persons, on 11th August, 1918. He issued the “Red Terror” decree on Sept, 1918 for terrorizing the contenders.

Therefore, the state of Lenin was more dangerous than that of Tsar or Hammurabi.

The Code of Hammurabi, defined the policy of rent, fare, and payment for works and services, but the constitution of Lenin-1918, did not define even, the wage policy.

There is a provision in the Agreement of the IMF for liquidation of the IMF, but there was no such section or clause in his own constitution to abolish his state. Though, Lenin by commitment was for a stateless society that is for “Communism” as he wrote in his different articles and books.

Conditions of all other constitutions of USSR and other Leninist states are more or less same, even about abolition of state. Therefore, how the Leninist states would be abolished for Leninist communism, if there is no such provision has narrated in the respective constitution of concern Leninist state ? But in the meantime, a good number of Leninist states have already been desolated by their own course as it was due by the self-term of Leninist states.

There was no provision in the constitution of Lenin-1918, to set aside or cancel the constitution, but constitution of the USSR-1924 was adopted with the consent of Lenin, but unconstitutionally and thereafter, the Constitution of USSR-1936, and 1977 was adopted without any constitutional authority. Leninist bosses of other states including China are not free from such type of unconstitutional acts of habitual bad habit. They do not care even their own constitutions.

Lenin had issued so many decrees, and he himself held the post as chief executive of his state, from the first to last date of his state power till his death, but his post was not mentioned or narrated or explained in his own constitution-1918. Therefore, Lenin made a record in the political history as a chief executive who used to exercise the absolute power to rule the subjects by the state power and function without any kind of lawful authority. But, Tsar mentioned the source of his power as supreme autocrat as divine right holder in his constitution-1906. Even, the source of power of Hammurabi had been narrated in his code. Therefore, no doubt

constitution of Lenin was more waste, filthy, ugly and vile than the Code of Hammurabi too.

However, it was Lenin who himself did not even care his constitution. Without any amendment of his own constitution he introduced the New Economic Policy (NEP) following the great famine of 1920, that was adopted by the 10th Congress of his party according to his proposal choice and promulgation by him by a decree on March, 1921, which was totally against the sect-3 and sect-64 of his constitution on private property and hire of labour power. Effect of NEP was that the 40-50% of total trade turnover was out of state ownership in 1924, though, collectivization programme was adopted by Stalin in 1928, but 20% of industry was under the private sector in 1936, as Stalin mentioned in his speech on the occasion of the draft constitution-1936.

Condition of agriculture sector in the year 1924, as stated by Stalin in his same speech on the occasion of the draft constitution-1936, as is: “True, the landlord class had already been eliminated, but, on the other hand, the agriculture capitalist class, the kulak class, still represented a fairly considerable force. On the whole agriculture at that time resembled a boundless ocean of small individual peasant farms with backward, mediaeval technical equipment. In this ocean there existed, in the form of isolated dots and islets, collective farms and state farms which, strictly speaking, were not yet of any considerable significance in our national economy. The collective farms and state farms were weak,

while the kulak was strong.”
www.marx2mao.com/Stalin/SC36.html.

Remarkable, in the year 1927, the total population – 14,99,00,000; Agriculture worker-6,06,96,000; Industrial worker-52,21,000; with all others, total worker-7,53,94,000; And registered unemployed worker of 1928– 13,52,800, was in USSR. (Information Bureau of USSR).

On the other hand the total army- 1,25,00,000; Number of bureaucracy & police was unknown but, state security force was 2,25,000; though the secret force of Tsar was only 15,000; and according to Lenin in 1905, the total Landlord 1,30,000, was for administrative job, but his party member was 2,40,000 for the same job in 1917.

But as of the communist manifesto: “ All previous historical movements of minorities, or the interest of minorities. The proletarian movement is the self-conscious, independent movement of the immense majority, in the interest of the immense majority. The proletariat, the lowest stratum of our present society, cannot stir, cannot raise itself up, without the whole superincumbent strata of official society being sprung into the air.” But, the real picture of USSR what we already discussed above in this book is totally opposite and surely contradictory with the said description of communist manifesto. So, no one except a blind to Lenin can say that the USSR was a socialist country and Lenin was the first founder of the first socialist country of the world.

Records of wage policy, wage rate etc is not unavailable even in the USA. But, Leninist states are free from maintaining and publishing these records just to hide their heinous acts of cruel and brutal exploitation. There was no common wage standard in Russia or USSR.

Noted, N. Khrochev, the chief executive of the USSR, after Stalin had made a commitment to provide adequate clothes and shoes by the year 1965, in his speech of 14th March, 1958. However, on the research report of “ Open Society Archives at Central European University, Subject-USSR, Title: Average Wages-Enough to Eat (viii), Dated:15-5-1960,” had revealed that there was 12 grades for wage even in 1960. Some examples of anomalies’ in income of the peoples of USSR, in 1960 as was in Rubble : Opera star-5 to 20 thousand; Scientist to Academicians-8 to 15 thou; Plant Manger-3 to 10 thou, these all are entitled with house and car facilities; Doctor (Chief) 850 to 1,500; Teacher (high) same, and primary-600 to 900; And Labour – (a) Skilled - 1,000 to 2,500; (b) Semi skilled - 600 to 900 and unskilled - 270 to 500, and no doubt 2nd and 3rd categories were more than 95 % of total labour. Difference of wage between skilled and unskilled workers of USSR was much higher than the USA.

In average 8% of labour period had increased to buy the same amount of basic seven essential items for living that is bread, potato, beef, butter, egg, milk and sugar from 1928 to 1956 in USSR; And at least 3 times work period had required to buy the same amount of these commodities in

Moscow than New York of the USA. Therefore, rate of exploitation was at least 3 times higher in the USSR than the USA.

But, if there is no selling and buying of labour power, there is no need to do so hard work even, one hour of daily work is not necessary for subsistence. Because, there is no wage labour thus no parasitic people but all able bodied are worker, and instruments and tools of work is more advanced and highly developed and sophisticated in the new society, thus total amount of required production for the society will be increased so easily and within short time.

Though, current recession is running since 2008, in spite of that we can take the facts of 2012 to think about our prediction of future society. As the CIA world fact book, mentioned in its up-date information as : World population : 7,021,836,029,029, (july-2012); Total labour force: 3.302 billion (2012); Sector wise : Agri-36.4%, Indus-22.2% and Serv-41.4%, Rate of unemployment : 9.2%; GWP (Gross world product) : \$71.62 trillion, (2012), and sector wise : Agri-5.9%, Indus-30.51% and Serv-63.63%; GDP per capita (ppp) \$ 12,500; though Stock of broad money : \$84.87 trillion (31 Dec,2012); And, tax & revenue of states : 28.9% of GDP(2012). And interestingly World Bank development indicators 2008, had shown that in the year 2005, share of private consumption was : Rich 20% consume 76.6%; Middle 60% consume 21.9%; and poorest 20 % consume 1.5%.

No doubt at least 4.8 billion people were able to work in the mentioned year, but total labour force was only 3.302 billion,

moreover among them 9.2% was unemployed, therefore, there was involvement in work only below 3 billion people, according to above mentioned report, in the year 2012. But, in average per capita income was \$ 12,500 and such kind of amount of money is not necessary for a single person to live with modern facilities. Moreover, there were so many things but was not useful to produce for the welfare of human being, but these things have been producing for the interest of capital, including arms. In general, military expenditure of the world is 2% of the GWP. And, expenditure of states is nothing but a part of surplus-value, and mentioned amount on this head is 28.9% of total GDP of the world.

But, if there is no selling and buying, there is no class, therefore, no politics, thus, no state and state related things including court, arms and army, so, there is no IMF, WB or like this global organizations and their supporting organizations including NGOs. Therefore, it's not so hard to think the situation of a free world. If, it's happen now, then & there only 2 hours work per day is not required for survival of all. Therefore, selling and buying free society not only would free the wage slaves from the wage slavery, but also free all the people from all kinds of unnecessary production, which is cause of fear and destruction. And at the same time the new society will reduce the working hour for subsistence, gradually. Therefore, human being will engage themselves to win the nature including the body from reduced to dust by defying and defeating the death.

However, salaries and benefits of the “ Red Army” and KGB was not comparable to others. But there were also anomalies i.e. Crops (Corps) Commander -150 Rub and Company Commander-43 Rubles, in 1924. Professional politicians of his party were not unpaid and highest salary was 175 Rubles in the same year.

Noted, 83% of total Red Army was from army of Tsar. By the Art-2, sect-1 & 2 of the concerned decree, issued by Lenin, 15th January, 1918, ensured and guaranteed the opportunities and facilities for family members of the Red Army with maintenance cost by State and local Soviets and their salary had been increased as additional- 50 Rubles to persuaded and allured the youngsters to join the army to face, control, repression and overpowering the wage slaves, and contenders & opponents of Lenin and his government.

Mentionable, the total number of Red Army was much more than industrial worker, even in 1918. Red army was nothing but mainly ex-army of Tsar and some new recruitment by providing more benefits and opportunities & facilities. Certainly, workers produce value, therefore, surplus-value but armed forces has been producing nothing except killing, torturing and destruction thus, terrorizing by the cost of a part of surplus-value for the interest of the parasitic class.

Thus, Lenin himself had made his own constitution ineffective by issuing so many decrees by himself and his colleagues, including NEP. The ‘NEP’ had introduced the private property against state property to reduce it , thereafter personal property with right of inheritance had not only

acknowledged but also protected, by the constitution of USSR-1936. Lastly, the private property holders got the political facilities including holding and contesting in the posts of state by the constitution of USSR-1977. Thereby, the constitution of Russia-1993 is for private property that is for the recognition of supremacy of the private property by reducing state property. Sure, it was the consequence of state capitalism. Therefore, dissolution of USSR was due and quite natural by the self-term of it.

Lenin himself had exercised the power and function of the chief executive in his state till his last day of life, without lawful authority. Therefore, he became an extreme & extreme dictator, in fact & practically he was ever powerful with a large armed forces including a special force for killing without trial, even his party leaders were not free from under the net of the that killing force and they did so. Even, Shlankov, a trade union leader at the same time central leader of the Bolshevik party was killed in the prison in 1921, though he had played an important role in absence of Lenin, when Lenin was in exile, both in the party and the trade union. While he led a procession of workers in Petrograd to fulfill their demands including increasing their wage with adjustment to price hike was brutally stopped by using armed forces. The labour movements of 1918,1921, 1934 and 1961 were smashed by using the armed forces and firing from machinegun, tanks etc. and even none came to receive the dead body of the participants of the movement including movement of 1921, because of fear of torture.

But, Marx wrote about the Paris Commune in his “ Civil war in France” as : “The Commune was formed of the municipal councilors, chosen by universal suffrage in the various wards of the town, responsible and revocable at short terms. The majority of its members were naturally working men, or acknowledged representatives of the working class. The Commune was to be a working, not a parliamentary body, executive and legislative at the same time.

Instead of continuing to be the agent of the Central Government, the police was at once stripped of its political attributes, and turned into the responsible, and at all times revocable, agent of the Commune. So were the officials of all other branches of the administration. From the members of the Commune downwards, the public service had to be done at *workman's wage*. The vested interests and the representation allowances of the high dignitaries of state disappeared along with the high dignitaries themselves. Public functions ceased to be the private property of the tools of the Central Government. Not only municipal administration, but the whole initiative hitherto exercised by the state was laid into the hands of the Commune.

Having once got rid of the standing army and the police – the physical force elements of the old government – the Commune was anxious to break the spiritual force of repression, the “parson-power”, by the disestablishment and dis-endowment of all churches as proprietary bodies. The priests were sent back to the recesses of private life, there to feed upon the alms of the faithful in imitation of their predecessors, the apostles.

The whole of the educational institutions were opened to the people gratuitously, and at the same time cleared of all interference of church and state. Thus, not only was education made accessible to all, but science itself freed from the fetters which class prejudice and governmental force had imposed upon it.

The judicial functionaries were to be divested of that sham independence which had but served to mask their abject subservience to all succeeding governments to which, in turn, they had taken, and broken, the oaths of allegiance. Like the rest of public servants, magistrates and judges were to be elective, responsible, and revocable.”

Therefore, it is very much clear that the State of Lenin and Paris Commune is not same even RUSSIA of Lenin is not fit to compare with the Paris Commune.

In Russia of Lenin and later on the USSR, the number of total killed by civil war, famine, purgation of politics & party, and 2nd world war under the rule of Bolshevik party till dissolution of the USSR, was not less than 6 millions. 13 out of 17 members of the first cabinet of Lenin were killed by not others but by the “Red Comrades” of Lenin and Stalin, who had created the records of killing for the contesting to get the first place in the history. Poison was used to kill the contender of power, very secretly, as a political tactics even that was seen in European mythologies, but all those previous events became insignificant before the poisonous killing of Stalin, who also had been killed by poison by his most loyal one. Noted, the Paris commune was not for killing and certainly working class would end the killing, murder, torture,

punishment etc. which are against dignity of human being and humanity. Even, at present more than hundred countries of the world are free from capital punishment, but these are not followed in the Leninist states.

Stalin got the lowest number of votes in the election of central committee at the party congress-1934. The highest vote receiver had been killed in the road within 3 month of that congress. 800 had been killed out of arrested 1108 among the attended 1996 delegates of that congress, and 98 members were arrested out of 139 elected central committee members. Not less than 1.5 million including leaders & workers of the party and leaders of so-called 3rd International and people of the USSR had been killed till 1938 by Stalin for the claimed purgation.

To gain support from the outside of Russia and to consolidate, strengthen, protect the state power, restrain & overpowering the opposite fractions of the party, to face and defeat the power contender, they were engaged in arms conflict with Bolshevik Government of Lenin ,to contest and compete the traditional capitalist countries i.e. UK, FRANCE, USA etc. those were against GERMANY in the first world war; And to export and promote the Leninism to various countries of the world, Lenin and Trotsky had founded the so-called 3rd International, March,1919; There were 52 delegates from 34 parties of various countries at its founding congress, in Moscow. Though, participant members of Communist League and International Working Men Association (1st

International) was even an individual, but members of 3rd International was not individual, but the party.

There was a Manifesto of the 3rd International, written by Trotsky, with the consent of Lenin and thereby approved by the congress. But, there was not a single word to disappear the wage slavery or vanish the selling and buying system as its aim of the manifesto of the so-called 3rd International. But it stated as is: “ The state-ization of economic life, against which capitalist liberalism used to protest so much, has become an accomplished fact. There is no turning back from this fact – it is impossible to return not only to free competition but even to the domination of trusts, syndicates and other economic octopuses. Today the one and only issue is: Who shall henceforth be the bearer of state-ized production – the imperialist state or the state of the victorious proletariat? ”

However, the “ State-ization” of Leninism is nothing, but an absolute and central command in the labour market, to avoid the competition of the labour market by the state management and power, ruled by a single party with extreme dictators , by the unelected and corrupt executives of the state, with the direct and active help and involvement of a huge army and armed forces to face and overcome the crisis and problem of decayed capitalism with all kinds of heinous acts, cruelty and brutality. Even, Lenin himself acknowledged that bureaucrats of his government and foreign capital were bribed by himself, as he wrote as is :“Now we have to resort to the old bourgeois method and to agree to pay a very high price

for the “services” of the top bourgeois experts.” And “Consolidate and improve the state monopolies (in grain, leather, etc.) which have already been introduced, and by doing so prepare for the state monopoly of foreign trade. Without this monopoly we shall not be able to “free ourselves” from foreign capital by paying “tribute”.^[4] And the possibility of building up socialism depends entirely upon whether we shall be able, by paying a certain tribute to foreign capital during a certain transitional period, to safeguard our internal economic independence.” In his article-“**The Immediate Tasks of the Soviet Government**” ,Sub-heading – “3. The New Phase of the Struggle Against the Bourgeoisie.” **First Published:** Published on April 28, 1918 in PRAVDA No. 83 and IZVESTIA VTSIK No.85; Published according to the text of the pamphlet: N. Lenin, THE IMMEDIATE TASK OF THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT 2nd ed., Moscow, 1918, collated with the manuscript.

How funny! The great Lenin said that the ‘bourgeois method’ is part of socialism and the socialist construction by the help of foreign capital of capitalist! If so, have there any necessity to vanish the capitalist system by the communist revolution? No. Or, if there is capital, is it not capitalism? Certainly capitalism. Or, if the capitalist class is for socialist construction, then who is enemy of socialism? Wage slaves? No doubt,“ Russian Marxist”

Mr. great Lenin did not hesitate to brand the workers of his state as “bad”. Or, is it not very much clear that the state of Lenin was a state for better investment of foreign capital? Sure, and certainly right, the state of Lenin was the best country for not only dumping the stocks of Germany but also investment for foreign capital.

However, the conditions of workers in Leninist state-ization is nothing but more waste and miserable than workers in competition labour market. Because, sellers of labour power have no right and scope to compete and bargain with their buyers as the buyers are not only buyer but also the executives of such dictatorial state. Thus, wage slaves have become slave of slavery in the Leninist states.

The conditions of wage slaves of the “ great” CHINA of “ GREAT LEADER” MAO and DPRK of KIM dynasty is more or less same. And no doubt state capitalism is capitalism, the rate of exploitation is much higher than the free market economy. No doubt, if there is exploitation there is no socialism, thus, Leninism –the politics of state of state capitalism is not for socialism, so, Leninist states are nothing but the state of state capitalism to overpowering the wage slaves so brutally.

Taking responsibility by the state of the failed and rejected companies or private owned industries or farms is, in general, state-ization or state capitalism. In the year of 1800, and 1857, the asset and liabilities of both the Dutch East India Company and British East India company had been taken by

the both respective Governments, because of their failure to run the companies for so many reasons including corruption of executives and contest and competition with each other of the contending and contesting companies.

So, there is no doubt that the state capitalism is capitalism.

On the other hand , the Aim of 1st International was as :
“That the emancipation of the working classes must be conquered by the working classes themselves, that the struggle for the emancipation of the working classes means not a struggle for class privileges and monopolies, but for equal rights and duties, and the abolition of all class rule;

That the economical subjection of the man of labor to the monopolizer of the means of labor — that is, the source of life — lies at the bottom of servitude in all its forms, of all social misery, mental degradation, and political dependence;

That the economical emancipation of the working classes is therefore the great end to which every political movement ought to be subordinate as a means;

That all efforts aiming at the great end hitherto failed from the want of solidarity between the manifold divisions of labor in each country, and from the absence of a fraternal bond of union between the working classes of different countries;

That the emancipation of labor is neither a local nor a national, but a social problem, embracing all countries in

which modern society exists, and depending for its solution on the concurrence, practical and theoretical, of the most advanced countries;

That the present revival of the working classes in the most industrious countries of Europe, while it raises a new hope, gives solemn warning against a relapse into the old errors, and calls for the immediate combination of the still disconnected movements;

For these reasons —

The International Working Men's Association has been founded.”

So, it's very much clear that the aim & object of 1st International and the so-called 3rd International was not same or similar, rather contradictory and quite opposite. Therefore, the 3rd International was not formed with the same aim & object of the Communist League and the 1st International. Thus, the 3rd International is not fit to consider as a Working Men's Association of the world. So, activities and functions of the 3rd International are not considerable as works of a communist International for the interest of working class of the world to unite them for a communist revolution for emancipation of the working class by ending the wage slavery by ending the selling and buying by ending the private property by common for all and by all of the world.

Moreover, number 8, out of 21 conditions of membership of the said “3rd International” was determined to support, defend and cooperate the war of national liberation, that is freedom

of oppressed nations from the “ imperialism”. Sure, the said condition for membership of the 3rd international was an effort to implement the programme of Bolshevik party on “right of self-determination of nations.”

Remarkable, workers have no nationality and country, but they have a world to win by losing their chain, described by Marx and Engels in the Communist Manifesto so rightly. They stated in the Communist Manifesto as: “In the condition of the proletariat, those of old society at large are already virtually swamped. The proletarian is without property; his relation to his wife and children has no longer anything in common with the bourgeois family relations; modern industry labour, modern subjection to capital, the same in England as in France, in America as in Germany, has stripped him of every trace of national character.”

Therefore, is it necessity to attain the “right of self-determination of nations’ by a war for so-called national liberation already he who lost his national character? No. Or is the above mentioned sentence of the communist manifesto wrong? No. Or is yet any Leninist boss has claimed that the above mentioned part of the communist manifesto wrong? No. Even did Lenin do so and such? No. Noted, working class is an essential product of the capitalist class but not of any such nation or country. So, the working class has nothing to do with nation or country, except fighting against the wage slavery to vanish the capitalist class for their emancipation.

About the conditions of the nations the Communist Manifesto has described as: “In place of the old local and national

seclusion and self-sufficiency, we have intercourse in every direction, universal inter-dependence of nations.”

Therefore, is it possible to liberate the nations who are “in every direction, universal inter-dependence” under the capitalist mode of production? No.

About the difference of the nations the Communist Manifesto has described as: “National differences and antagonism between peoples are daily more and more vanishing, owing to the development of the bourgeoisie, to freedom of commerce, to the world market, to uniformity in the mode of production and in the conditions of life corresponding thereto. The supremacy of the proletariat will cause them to vanish till faster. United action of the leading civilized countries at least is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat.”

Therefore, except fighting for vanishing the differences and antagonism of the nations, nothing is the task of the proletariat to liberate the nations.

And in this regard in “The Principles of Communism” by F. Engels as stated: “The nationalities of the peoples associating themselves in accordance with the principle of community will be compelled to mingle with each other as a result of this association and thereby to dissolve themselves, just as the various estate and class distinctions must disappear through the abolition of their basis, private property.”

Therefore, if Lenin, Bolshevik Party and their 3rd International is right on so-called “National Liberation” to

implement the so-called “right of self-determination of nations” then, Marx & Engels and also their mentioned books are not only wrong, but the Communist League and 1st International was never a right thing for communism.

The Communist Manifesto stated as : “Our epoch, the epoch of the bourgeoisie, however, has this distinct feature: it has simplified class antagonisms. Society as a whole is more & more splitting up into two great hostile camps, into two great classes directly facing each other—bourgeoisie and proletariat.”

And “The proletariat goes through various stages of development. With its birth begins its struggle with the bourgeoisie.”

Exactly right. Because, pay for labour power is wage, but wage labour produce the exchange value of commodity ; therefore, the difference between wage and exchange of a commodity is capital. So, capital is nothing but the unpaid part of commodity or capital is unpaid labour. Therefore, buyers of labour power are exploiter and sellers of labour power are exploited by their buyers of labour power. As a buyer of labour power, the capitalist have no choice but to exploit labour power even, if both the buyers and sellers of labour power are from the same territory, or same language, or same nationality or same ethnicity, or same religion, or same race and color, or same sex. Therefore, the interest of both the classes are quite opposite, thus, relation of both the classes is not friendly, rather antagonistic . But, by the meaning of the theory of so-called “right of self-

determination of nations” relation of both the classes-workers and capitalists of a particular area are friendly to working to win the so-called “ National liberation.” In fact, it’s the very heinous tactics of capitalist class to use the workers for the interest of capitalism by the such provocation of so-called national interest as such as the interest of working too and it’s so necessary for the common wellbeing .No doubt the capitalist society is a society of antagonism for the antagonistic relation of sellers and buyers of labour power to accumulate the capital. Therefore, capital is the cause of antagonism of capitalist society. Thus, consequence of such antagonism of capitalism is communism by disappearing the capital.

On the other hand “The bourgeoisie cannot exist without constantly revolutionizing the instruments of production, and thereby the relations of production, and with them the whole relations of society. Conservation of the old modes of production in unaltered form, was, on the contrary, the first condition of existence for all earlier industrial classes. Constant revolutionizing of production, uninterrupted disturbance of all social conditions, everlasting uncertainty and agitation distinguish the bourgeois epoch from all earlier ones. All fixed, fast frozen relations, with their train of ancient and venerable prejudices and opinions, are swept away, all new-formed ones become antiquated before they can ossify. All that is solid melts into air, all that is holy is profaned, and man is at last compelled to face with sober senses his real condition of life and his relations with his kind.

The need of a constantly expanding market for its products chases the bourgeoisie over the entire surface of the globe. It must nestle everywhere, settle everywhere, and establish connections everywhere.” – The Manifesto of the Communist Party, has rightly narrated the condition, rule and role of capital and capitalist class.

Thus, national boundary was not enough for the development of capitalism. Therefore, colonial policy was its integral policy to develop the capitalist mode of production, all over the world by defeating the all local and self-dependent economy by conquering the whole world; And thereby, the capitalist class engaged themselves in so many wars to win & unify and possesses the whole world, which was divided and unknown, to introduce the capitalist mode of production all over the world. Thereafter, the capitalist class has created a world after its own image by defeating and ruined the local & self-dependent economy. Thus, capitalism is a worldwide system and under this system no one is free from selling and buying. But, undoubtedly it is quite different and so advanced & modern than the defeated feudal society or local & self-dependent economy.

So, the colonial policy was a progressive policy with its all heinous, ferocious and evil things, what the capitalist class has done to implement it. However, by implementing this policy the capitalist class has conquered the whole world by defeating the all rulers of the world who were undoubtedly reactionary. By doing this “The bourgeoisie, historically, has played a most revolutionary part” as recognized by the Communist Manifesto, so rightly.

In support of the mentioned role of capitalist class K .Marx wrote in his article “ The British Rule In India” as is: “All the civil wars, invasions, revolutions, conquests, famines, strangely complex, rapid, and destructive as the successive action in Hindostan may appear, did not go deeper than its surface. England has broken down the entire framework of Indian society, without any symptoms of reconstitution yet appearing. This loss of his old world, with no gain of a new one, imparts a particular kind of melancholy to the present misery of the Hindoo, and separates Hindostan, ruled by Britain, from all its ancient traditions, and from the whole of its past history.” Published in the **NEW-YORK DAILY TRIBUNE**, June 25, 1853;

And in the same article he wrote: “.....Under this simple form of municipal government, the inhabitants of the country have lived from time immemorial. The boundaries of the villages have been but seldom altered; and though the villages themselves have been sometimes injured, and even desolated by war, famine or disease, the same name, the same limits, the same interests, and even the same families have continued for ages. The inhabitants gave themselves no trouble about the breaking up and divisions of kingdoms; while the village remains entire, they care not to what power it is transferred, or to what sovereign it devolves; its internal economy remains unchanged. The potail is still the head inhabitant, and still acts as the petty judge or magistrate, and collector or renter of the village.”

These small stereotype forms of social organism have been to the greater part dissolved, and are disappearing, not so much through the brutal interference of the British tax-gatherer and the British soldier, as to the working of English steam and English free trade. Those family-communities were based on domestic industry, in that peculiar combination of hand-weaving, hands-spinning and hand-tilling agriculture which gave them self-supporting power. English interference having placed the spinner in Lancashire and the weaver in Bengal, or sweeping away both Hindoo spinner and weaver, dissolved these small semi-barbarian, semi-civilized communities, by blowing up their economical basis, and thus produced the greatest, and to speak the truth, the only social revolution ever heard of in Asia.

Now, sickening as it must be to human feeling to witness those myriads of industrious patriarchal and inoffensive social organizations disorganized and dissolved into their units, thrown into a sea of woes, and their individual members losing at the same time their ancient form of civilization, and their hereditary means of subsistence, we must not forget that these idyllic village-communities, inoffensive though they may appear, had always been the solid foundation of Oriental despotism, that they restrained the human mind within the smallest possible compass, making it the unresisting tool of superstition, enslaving it beneath traditional rules, depriving it of all grandeur and historical energies. We must not forget the barbarian egotism which, concentrating on some miserable patch of land, had quietly witnessed the ruin of empires, the perpetration of unspeakable cruelties, the massacre of the

population of large towns, with no other consideration bestowed upon them than on natural events, itself the helpless prey of any aggressor who deigned to notice it at all. We must not forget that this undignified, stagnatory, and vegetative life, that this passive sort of existence evoked on the other part, in contradistinction, wild, aimless, unbounded forces of destruction and rendered murder itself a religious rite in Hindostan. We must not forget that these little communities were contaminated by distinctions of caste and by slavery, that they subjugated man to external circumstances instead of elevating man the sovereign of circumstances, that they transformed a self-developing social state into never changing natural destiny, and thus brought about a brutalizing worship of nature, exhibiting its degradation in the fact that man, the sovereign of nature, fell down on his knees in adoration of Kanuman, the monkey, and Sabbala, the cow.

England, it is true, in causing a social revolution in Hindostan, was actuated only by the vilest interests, and was stupid in her manner of enforcing them. But that is not the question. The question is, can mankind fulfill its destiny without a fundamental revolution in the social state of Asia? If not, whatever may have been the crimes of England she was the unconscious tool of history in bringing about that revolution.”

Therefore, not national liberation or right of self-determination of nations had supported, but so rightly Marx explained the facts of history to remind that if only the

revolution in India was not conquered by the capitalist class of England, then the mankind did not fulfill its destiny.

So, colonial policy was not only necessity of development of capitalism, but it's also a revolutionary thing to change the whole world, and really, it did so to enlighten the human being with science, technology etc.

Even in the CAPITAL, Volume One, Part II: The Transformation of Money into Capital, Chapter Four: The General Formula for Capital, Marx wrote: “ The circulation of commodities is the starting-point of capital. The production of commodities, their circulation, and that more developed form of their circulation called commerce, these form the historical ground-work from which it rises. The modern history of capital dates from the creation in the 16th century of a world-embracing commerce and a world-embracing market.”

Thus, fight against ‘modern history’ that is fight against colonial policy is nothing but a reactionary job.

Therefore, fight against the force of modern history that is against the colonial rulers, for so-called national liberation to restore the authority of the defeated rulers or so-called self-dependent economy, is nothing but a reactionary job; Because, it's nothing but a bad effort to try to roll back the wheel of history.

Furthermore, in the above mentioned article - “ The British Rule In India” Marx wrote: “Then, whatever bitterness the spectacle of the crumbling of an ancient world may have for

our personal feelings, we have the right, in point of history, to exclaim with Goethe:----- .”

So, no crying for the past and reactionary forces and sure no progressive or revolutionary can do it . Thereby question of work for so-called national liberation or right of self-determination of nations does not arise to the working class at all. Because, working class is not the production of nation but its distinct product of capitalist class for their capitalist interest. Therefore, conflict of interest of working class as sellers is with its buyers of labour power, but not with the so-called enemy of nations. Nature of fight of working class with capitalist is same all over the world, and aim and object is also same thus, by nature of work and struggle, the workers have no scope, except losing, injuring and damaging their own class interest to participate in the national liberation war. So, workers have no option to be a nationalist or patriot, but they are globalist as because, they will win their world to win the communism for their emancipation, which is a global system against the global system of capitalism thus, they are lover of the globe, but not a country, which they have not got.

In fact, a country is nothing but a political boundary created by the masters and politicians for their narrow and parasitic interest. Really, patriotism is nothing but an idea originated from the love of private property, and nationalism is nothing but an illusion of the past therefore, sectarian & nostalgic but both the terms have emotional and poetic expression and appeal with faith and blindness, thus, both are so reactionary tools and weapons to create an artificial atmosphere of idealism of ignorance, infatuation, foolishness, modulation, false notion, delusion, separation, isolation and alienation,

imaginary and pretension enmity and hostility, violence, craziness and intoxication, insanity and so many fanatic and poisonous ornaments of rubbish ideas and concepts of darkness and blindness, therefore, all these nonsense concepts, ideas etc. do not care the facts, history, logic etc. so, all these are sectarian and heinous addictions and tendencies of self-centered and selfish mentalities and thinking are the basis and foundation of the fascist and fundamentalist thought which is so helpful concepts for myth and mythological rubbishes with cultism therefore, all these conceptual elements are effective tools for autocracy and dictatorship to gain the interest of private property holders by misleading, cheating, confusing, dividing, depriving and overpowering the workers and others those who are not satisfied with capitalism, thus, want to replace it to get freedom and peace.

However, capitalism is the cause of all evils & cruelty thus miseries of working class. But at the same time the capitalism has been creating the new & newest means of production and of exchange to replace it by social/commune/common ownership of the means of production, that is communism, which is inevitable, because of continuous and filthy efforts of capitalism for its existence.

In fact, it's the capital which is the cause of disappearance of capitalist class by its terms and conditions to survive and exist, thereby capitalist class is bound to follow and carry out the terms and conditions of capital as because, they are the owner of capital but as like as a servant like slave of capital, to create necessary instruments there-by, go for reproduction,

innovation, recession, conflict and war and finally creating the all such conditions for a communist revolution. Practically, communist revolution is an imposed event of conflict of force including arms by the capitalist class with the working class. But, not the capitalist but working class will win the battle.

Because, as a parasitic the capitalist class cannot live without working class, but working class have no need any capitalist to live by producing the essentials, so united forceful action of the workers of the world is so powerful and so effective than the parasitic capitalist class.

Certainly, it's the by-born condition of capital to create all terms and conditions to end the wage slavery thereby end the class rule by a classless society, thus the capitalist society is the foundation of communism. So, capital will not exist by its own conditions of existence.

Therefore, capitalist class is in such a difficult condition of dilemma, thus, it has no scope to stop the production and thereby, reproduction to avoid the recession; Because, capital can not survive without reproduction and circulation, but the result of reproduction is over production and the effect of over production is recession , therefore, effect of recession is so many including war and bankruptcy thus decreasing the private ownership for social ownership, thereafter, consequence of repeated recession is communism-the end of private property by ownership of commune. So, the capitalist class has no choice or option except taking the historical place of it determined by capital- the master of capitalist. So, the objectivity of capitalism is the capital it-self is the biggest enemy of capitalist class to end the rule of the reactionary capitalist class.

In this regard the Communist Manifesto has stated: "Modern bourgeois society, with its relations of production, of exchange and of property, a society that has conjured up such gigantic means of production and of exchange, is like the sorcerer who is no longer able to control the powers of the nether world whom he has called up by his spells. For many a decade past, the history of industry and commerce is but the history of the revolt of modern productive forces against modern conditions of production, against the property relations that are the conditions for the existence of the bourgeois and of its rule. It is enough to mention the commercial crises that, by their periodical return, put the existence of the entire bourgeois society on its trial, each time more threateningly. In these crises, a great part not only of the existing products, but also of the previously created productive forces, are periodically destroyed. In these crises, there breaks out an epidemic that, in all earlier epochs, would have seemed an absurdity -- the epidemic of over-production. Society suddenly finds itself put back into a state of momentary barbarism; it appears as if a famine, a universal war of devastation, had cut off the supply of every means of subsistence; industry and commerce seem to be destroyed. And why? Because there is too much civilization, too much means of subsistence, too much industry, too much commerce. The productive forces at the disposal of society no longer tend to further the development of the conditions of bourgeois property; on the contrary, they have become too powerful for these conditions, by which they are fettered, and so soon as they overcome these fetters, they bring disorder into the whole of bourgeois society, endanger the existence of bourgeois property. The conditions of bourgeois society are too narrow to comprise the wealth created by them. And how does the bourgeoisie get over these crises? On the one hand, by enforced destruction of a mass of productive forces; on the

other, by the conquest of new markets, and by the more thorough exploitation of the old ones. That is to say, by paving the way for more extensive and more destructive crises, and by diminishing the means whereby crises are prevented.

The weapons with which the bourgeoisie felled feudalism to the ground are now turned against the bourgeoisie itself.

But not only has the bourgeoisie forged the weapons that bring death to itself; it has also called into existence the men who are to wield those weapons -- the modern working class -- the proletarians.”

Therefore, the working class is a product of capitalist class who will vanish the capitalism and will ground the capitalist class. It is the historical task and role of working class determined by the history. Thus, at least after the manifesto of the communist party came to light & public, no one except capitalist masters and their servants and beneficiaries have problem to understand the historical role and action of working class, the grave digger of the capitalist class to conquer the communism by a communist revolution. So, the working class have nothing to do except doing the revolutionary job and task to vanish the so old bourgeoisie society by grounding the so reactionary capitalist class, therefore, any such help and cooperation by the working class for the political interest of capitalist class is nothing but a suicidal act for workers .

As a product of capitalist class, the working class have no father or mother land. Therefore, there is no reason to fight

for the so-called father or mother land by the working class. Sure, no fight except fight against wage slavery to end the wage system for communism by wage slaves is communist revolutionary job. Certainly, working class is alone revolutionary in this epoch, therefore, as a communist revolutionary class –the working class cannot participate in any such fight which is not against wage slavery to vanish it for the emancipation. Thus, fight for so-called right of self-determination or claimed but false national liberation by working class is nothing but a suicidal job for the workers.

Moreover, nations are not free from capitalist, rather, the capitalist class is the ruler of the capitalist world thus, the local or so-called national that is the native capitalists are not out of ruling class and certainly, they are not free from exploitation to accumulate and increase the volume of capital by exploiting the wage slaves. Therefore, the so-called national interest is nothing but the interest of the contesting capitalists of the respective territories or countries those are involved and engaged in a conflict of interest for their own capital with the outsiders. Thus, question does not arise at all for the working class to work and serve for the interest of their exploiters by participating in the political movements for claimed national liberation.

Not only contradiction and antagonism with the working class but also the capitalist class is not free from their inner & self-conflict, contradiction, competition, confrontation, riot and war by born condition of it thus all these evil & dangerous things are the distinct character of the capitalist class.

Therefore, working class have no interest to support or share or take or join with either side of the contesting and contending or conflicting sections of the capitalist class.

Because, conflict or contradiction within capitalist class is nothing but a form of dissatisfactions of concerned persons & sections on their expected share on respected things or competition for the market for marketing ; and rivalry on production, or disunity for violation of agreement on share etc. , and all of these actions are for their parasitic and sectarian interest.

But, on the question of exploitation of the wage slaves, certainly sure, they are not divided, rather all are unified to sustain and increase the rate of exploitation. Therefore, taking part in the inner-contradiction or inter-conflict of the capitalist class, or be sided with any group or section of the contending sections and parties of capitalist class by the working class is nothing but cause of loss and danger thus suicidal acts for the working class itself.

The capitalist class has used to create so much things with various political lines & tactics to implement these sectarian but useful tactics for them, therefore, they have produced different motivated and emotional slogans for their bad and sectarian interest with ill intention to create illusion, confusion, motivation and division among the workers of the world to win over their own objects by the respective parties those are involved in conflict against their opposite & contesting side & fraction to serve the own purpose and to achieve and gain the success with fruits. More or less, all

these emotional and poetic and pathetic slogans are meaningless, false and myth but fabricated and concocted with the combination of populist but heroic story to create a romance and sensation among the dissatisfied people by using this dangerous propaganda. Among those tactics the so-called “Right of self-determination of nations” was one of the most famous slogans for their political actions and benefits. Even, they used it in the war of separation of America from UK.

Members of the Imperialist club that is the colonial rulers were not free to use this slogan against each other that means their own rivals and opponents to defeat and displace them from their colony with a view to occupy and capture the contenders market. More or less all of them have tried to create the political forces in favor of them, in the colonies to do this, therefore, they did every possible things including supplying the cash and kinds, with arms and in need trainers also, to the respective loyal political force. Therefore, history of struggle of national liberation is nothing but the history of contradiction, dispute, conflict and fight among the capitalist class for their narrow and sectarian interest. Sure, there were so many records of fighting between the local or native capitalists and their colonial rulers, but no doubt more or less all so-called national liberation fighters got support from the opponent or contender colonial rulers of their enemy from which they want to get liberated. Therefore, in fact it was not the fight against even colonial rules as a whole but the fight against opponent party. Certainly right, it was never a fight against capitalist mode of production. Interestingly, in

general all capitalist rulers of the colonies were more or less created, grown up and patronized by the colonial capitalists. In fact, the capitalist in the colony was the creation of the colonial rulers.

No doubt, first generation of the capitalists of the colonies was collaborator of the colonial capitalists to conquer the colonies. Thus, they were rewarded and shared by the winners of the colonies. But, due to nature of character of the capital, they became contesters and contenders of the colonial capitalist for their survival and existence of their own capital and to increase the amount of their capital. In this context the native capitalist used the emotional concept of nationalism, national customs, heritage and traditions, therefore, the most emotional idea of mother land or father land and the patriotism.

Exactly, so-called national revolt or insurrection etc. is nothing but the inner conflict and internal contradiction of capitalist class itself. All of them being the capitalist class as a whole are not friend but enemy of working class as they are exploiters and parasitic. Thus, fight not against the capitalist society but only against colonial rulers by working class is not revolutionary job; rather it's a reactionary job and suicidal acts for them. Sure, it has been proved by the history and records, except losing their even wage and increasing their miseries, the working class, got nothing from the so-called independent and newly formed states with the frame and structure of colonial concepts, and even has been using the colonial rules and laws and instruments and tools created by

colonial rulers with armed forces, police, civil administration, courts etc. &etc., remained intact. And, both the contenders of both the countries have become again friends for their class interest to protect the capitalist mode of production. But, amount of capital of the capitalist of the newly formed states have been increasing tremendously. Sure, the scenarios of all so-expected and so-liberated national states are more or less same.

As a great patriot and nationalist, holding the ownership of big amount of capital with state power, is the reward & prize for new rulers and ruling class of the claimed independent states for their love for so-called patriotism and nationalism. But, it's not so secret things that the leaders of the claimed liberation fighters have been getting support, help, cooperation for their activities from their friends, outside their countries, who are enemy of their enemy country. But these types of support and help are not unconditional, or without their own interest in various fields and different directions.

But working class of the new countries have been receiving extra and more exploitation, torture, punishment, repression and taming as a form of bonus from their new rulers. Even, the gift and presentation for working class by the historic France revolution was as such no more assembly, thus, any kind of assembly of workers or same type of actions by workers was punishable by the order of the revolutionary Government of France. Sure, the capitalist class can do anything for its own interest. Thus, killing, torturing, repression, plot & conspiracy, war, riot, destruction, miss &

violation of trust, agreement, cheating and fraud etc. &etc, is the distinct and habitual character of the capitalist class. Maybe ferocious animals are to some extent is trustable for at least timing, but not the capitalist. But, thanks to such capitalists who have been advocating to others for not to trust anyone. However, picture is more or less common from Bolivia to India or Vietnam to South Sudan on the above mentioned ground.

Leaders of national liberation war, know what they are doing and for what. But, politics of patriotism and nationalism make some people blind and faithful to their leaders, therefore, they have to think and believe that they will be free from all miseries, poverty, ill health, untimely death, illiteracy, and so on from which they have been sufferings, under the leadership and rule of their respective leaders. Moreover, they are bound to think artificially by their such daylight dream that their country is the best in the world; their language, and their nationality is also the best; their heroes of ancient days were world champions; heroic history of their country is the best instance and cause of inspiration to sacrifice even lives; beauty of their country is incomparable; their country was much more rich by wealth than any other; And their country was a holy place of holy things and so many nonsense things deliberately produced by the such rulers and leaders by their disciples and hired writers, poets, dramatist and really , maximum of them except few beneficiaries are really stupid.

So, as a so-called proud son or daughter of a holy land, it is their holy duty and task to restore their national pride & heritage by defeating the colonial rules by a heroic fight against colonial rulers. Though, they were not out of colonial education with other scopes, opportunities and facilities of the capitalism, introduced by colonial rulers, thus, by taking and receiving all benefits from capitalism and using the all scope and opportunities of capitalism; some fighters among the national liberation have become anti-capitalist but practically they are not so, that means they are not acting as anti-capitalist rather, exactly they are against colonial rulers, in spite of that they advocate for self-dependent economy what was defeated and grounded by colonial rulers, thus, there is no chance for restoration of self-dependent economy where famine, untimely death etc was so natural and obviously it was a dark society ruled by self-centered, blind and ignorant but so ferocious rulers thus, producer of killers, heroes, autocrats and clerics with slavery and serfdom.

Certainly, he who do not acknowledge the role of revolutionary capitalist class to conquer the colony to develop the capitalist society globally is not capable to understand the capitalist system; And he who do not understand the capitalist system sure, it's not possible for him to do any such proper action against the capitalist system. No doubt, history, including history of capitalism is must to know to work against capitalist system to replace it by communism by the wage slaves.

However, it is impossible and there is no record of restoration of self-dependent economy, even not in India, because, capitalist mode of production is not only more and more advance and progressive than the earlier, even incomparable. The those days were dark but the capitalism is light to search not only the earth but Universe with living and non-living elements to use for the narrow interest of the capital class by the survival conditions of capital .

In this regard Marx wrote in his article “The Future Results of British Rule in India” that: “A country not only divided between Mahomedan and Hindoo, but between tribe and tribe, between caste and caste; a society whose framework was based on a sort of equilibrium, resulting from a general repulsion and constitutional exclusiveness between all its members. Such a country and such a society, were they not the predestined prey of conquest? If we knew nothing of the past history of Hindostan, would there not be the one great and incontestable fact that even at this moment India is held in English thralldom by an Indian army maintained at the cost of India? India, then, could not escape the fate of being conquered, and the whole of her past history, if it be anything, is the history of the successive conquests she has undergone. Indian society has no history at all, at least no known history. What we call its history, is but the history of the successive intruders who founded their empires on the passive basis of that unresisting and unchanging society. The question, therefore, is not whether the English had a right to conquer India, but whether we are to prefer India conquered by the

Turk, by the Persian, by the Russian, to India conquered by the Briton.

England has to fulfill a double mission in India: one destructive, the other regenerating the annihilation of old Asiatic society, and the laying the material foundations of Western society in Asia.” **First published:** in the **NEW-YORK DAILY TRIBUNE**, August 8, 1853.

And exactly, the British capitalists had introduced the modern industry, mining & tea plantation, bank, insurance, trade & commerce, export & import, navigation & modern transportation with railway, airlines, telecommunication, electricity, courts with civil and penal code, bureaucracy, institutional education system, health care with medical college, newspaper & radio, cinema, and so on which were not replaced by the wheel of weave of Gandhi after 1947, in India, rather to modernizing gradually.

With recognition of colonial policy and historical role of capitalism, Marx wrote in the above mentioned article: “The devastating effects of English industry, when contemplated with regard to India, a country as vast as Europe, and containing 150 millions of acres, are palpable and confounding. But we must not forget that they are only the organic results of the whole system of production as it is now constituted. That production rests on the supreme rule of capital. The centralization of capital is essential to the existence of capital as an independent power. The destructive influence of that centralization upon the markets of the world does but reveal, in the most gigantic dimensions, the inherent

organic laws of political economy now at work in every civilized town. The bourgeois period of history has to create the material basis of the new world — on the one hand universal intercourse founded upon the mutual dependency of mankind, and the means of that intercourse; on the other hand the development of the productive powers of man and the transformation of material production into a scientific domination of natural agencies. Bourgeois industry and commerce create these material conditions of a new world in the same way as geological revolutions have created the surface of the earth. When a great social revolution shall have mastered the results of the bourgeois epoch, the market of the world and the modern powers of production, and subjected them to the common control of the most advanced peoples, then only will human progress cease to resemble that hideous, pagan idol, who would not drink the nectar but from the skulls of the slain.”

Therefore, not only to maintain the capitalist world by reforming the capitalist institutes and machineries including state or by creating new & newer tools and instruments including IMF but to transform it into a new society by a communist revolution is the material conditions of capitalism as created by capitalism itself. Thus, fight for national liberation but not for abolition of capitalist mode of production is not historically progressive or revolutionary but a reactionary job.

Leninism is for the national liberation, therefore, 3rd International was for that purpose. Thus, not only capitalist of

the colony but the Leninist party who claimed for working for the emancipation of working class by a national or democratic revolution as immediate task for national liberation, which is nothing but an effort to serve the capitalist mode of production. If working class have been influenced by the such provocation and false propaganda of the capitalist parties and leaders of the colony, including the Leninist parties with a dream of daylight for a poverty free, prosperous and peaceful life of all by founding a new and independent state where nations would be free by the so-called national liberation war, thereby when the confused and deluded workers come and join with their buyers of labour power to fight against the colonial rulers, then, the exploiter of sellers of labour power is considered as friends and liberators of wage slaves which is quite impossible thus, totally false, bogus and lie.

Therefore, exploiter is exploiter, thus, exploiter never become a friend of exploited, as a class except, and an individual could come and join with the movement of wage slaves for the emancipation, but the political line of national liberation is so helpful to confuse the working class, at least for time being. However, there is no record of good things except increasing the miseries of workers of the newly founded so-called independent states all over the world.

Exactly, politics of national liberation is nothing but simply a politics for the interest of the capitalists of the colony, thereby it's not only a cause of loss of working class interest and class concept but also an effective sedative to forget their

own class interest thus it's a helpful politics to divert the confused by such illusion but sufferers workers from their own identity as a exploited working class . Sure, politics of 'right of self-determination of nations' thereby, the politics of national liberation that is politics of new/ national/ people's democratic revolution is nothing but so useful tools to create the division among the workers of the world into the nations, even by the languages, religions etc.

Therefore, the so-called " right of self-determination of nations" is a so poisons politics but poetic and emotional weapon of capitalist class and Leninist bosses against the working class, to down and disown their own class consciousness, sensibility and interest of workers thus, to some extent this politics is temporarily effective to denial the historical task and duty of the working class therefore, it's effective to serve the capitalist class of the colony by the hardship of working class but without any payment or reward and finally, it's beneficiary for capitalism as a whole, because, capitalism and capitalist interest has not been abandoning by the politics of national liberation, rather, it is in some sorts of relief for the capitalism and capitalist class of the world for not to face the united movement of the working class of the world to overthrow the capitalist class into the air to vanish the capitalism, though some colonial capitalists have lost something.

If there is so many divisions among the working class of the world by the such concept of nation, ethnicity, sex, language, religion etc, there is much more scope of opportunities,

facilities and benefits for the capitalist class to exploit and overpowering the workers of the world. Therefore, the interest of capitalist class is trying to creating, imposing, practicing and implementing any such policy and politics those are effective to do so. Thus, Leninism is one of those effective and useful ideologies for the capitalist class to divide the workers of the world by the politics of national liberation and state capitalism that is the politics of national democratic or people's democratic revolution. So, the politics of national liberation is useful to serve the interest of capitalist class to protect the existence of capitalist class. But, certainly sure, the existence of capitalist class is not for the emancipation or liberation but only to rule, divide, deprive and over powering the working class .

The Bolshevik Party and the so-called 3rd International was for such heinous politics of national liberation and nationalization that is state capitalism to serve the interest of reactionary capitalist class by protecting the decayed capitalism. Thus, both the organizations were useful tools for the decayed capitalism.

About the working class revolution, Engels wrote in his one of the books *Socialism: Utopian and Scientific* as: “**III. Proletarian Revolution** — Solution of the contradictions. The proletariat seizes the public power, and by means of this transforms the socialized means of production, slipping from the hands of the bourgeoisie, into public property. By this act, the proletariat frees the means of production from the character of capital they have thus far borne, and gives their socialized character complete freedom to work itself out.

Socialized production upon a predetermined plan becomes henceforth possible. The development of production makes the existence of different classes of society thenceforth an anachronism. In proportion as anarchy in social production vanishes, the political authority of the State dies out. Man, at last the master of his own form of social organization becomes at the same time the lord over Nature, his own master — free.

To accomplish this act of universal emancipation is the historical mission of the modern proletariat.”

Certainly, this historical mission was not narrated by the Bolshevik Party or 3rd International or by the constitution of Lenin for his state or by the constitutions of the USSR. So, the Bolshevik party and its product the USSR and the 3rd International was not considerable with a socialist mission.

We know from *CAPITAL* by Marx that the capitalist society is a society of numerous commodities. Commodity have 2 factors, as (1) Use value (Utility); and Exchange value. A use-value, or useful article, therefore, has value in human labour in abstract that has been embodied or materialized in it. Thus, as values, all commodities are only definite masses of congealed labour time. The quantity of labour, however, is measured by its duration, and labour-times in its turn find its standard in weeks, days, and hours.

A commodity is a thing that by its properties satisfies human wants of some sort or another. Such wants springs from stomach or from fancy that does not matter.

A commodity is a product must be transferred to another, whom it will serve as a use value, by means of exchange. So, production of commodity is for exchange. Therefore, commodity is a thing, but all things are not commodity.

Nothing has value, without being an object of utility. If the thing is useless, so is the labour contained in it; the labour does not count as labour, and therefore creates no value. Nothing bears value until it has utility and labor is input. There are enormous resources in the nature like sun for heat, oxygen from air for our life, for which nothing is cost but value creates once heat is produced using different instruments, oxygen is used from jar whenever labor is input and commodity is exchangeable.

Therefore, Marx wrote as: “The mystical character of commodities does not originate, therefore, in their use value. Just as little does it proceed from the nature of the determining factors of value? For, in the first place, however varied the useful kinds of labour, or productive activities, may be, it is a physiological fact, that they are functions of the human organism, and that each such function, whatever may be its nature or form, is essentially the expenditure of human brain, nerves, muscles, &c Secondly, with regard to that which forms the ground-work for the quantitative determination of value, namely, the duration of that expenditure, or the quantity of labour, it is quite clear that there is a palpable difference between its quantity and quality. In all states of society, the labour time that it costs to produce the means of subsistence, must necessarily be an object of interest to mankind, though not of equal interest in different stages of development.[\[27\]](#) And lastly, from the moment that

men in any way work for one another, their labour assumes a social form.

Whence, then, arises the enigmatical character of the product of labour, so soon as it assumes the form of commodities? Clearly from this form itself. The equality of all sorts of human labour is expressed objectively by their products all being equally values; the measure of the expenditure of labour power by the duration of that expenditure, takes the form of the quantity of value of the products of labour; and finally the mutual relations of the producers, within which the social character of their labour affirms itself, take the form of a social relation between the products.”----Capital Volume One. Part I: Commodities and Money, Chapter One: Commodities, SECTION 4, THE FETISHISM OF COMMODITIES AND THE SECRET THERE OF.

So, value is nothing but labor that is social labor, therefore, to produce value the sellers of labour power are in a social relation, thus, they are inter-related that is under a cooperative relation in the process of commodity production. So, value is nothing but labor that is social labor, therefore, to produce value the sellers of labor power are essential part of commodity production but capitalist class is not essential for production rather they are a competitive and destructive force. But the capitalist class is not in the same relation because of their private ownership, rather they are in competition.

However, labour is egalitarian due to cooperative relation of workers. In this regard Marx wrote : “There was, however, an important fact which prevented Aristotle from seeing that, to attribute value to commodities, is merely a mode of expressing all labour as equal human labour, and consequently as labour of equal quality. Greek society was founded upon slavery, and had, therefore, for its natural basis, the inequality of men and of their labour powers. The secret of the expression of value, namely, that all kinds of labour are equal and equivalent, because, and so far as they are human labour in general, cannot be deciphered, until the notion of human equality has already acquired the fixity of a popular prejudice. This, however, is possible only in a society in which the great mass of produce of labour takes the form of commodities, in which; consequently, the dominant relation between man and man is that of owners of commodities.” - Capital Volume One, Part I: Commodities and Money, Chapter One: Commodities, SECTION 3, THE FORM OF VALUE OR EXCHANGE VALUE, 3. The Equivalent form of value.

So, slavery including mentality is an obstacle to understand the equivalency and equality of labor. And he who do not understand it; it's not possible by him to understand the role of working class to replace the capitalism by communism by their world-wide unity. Thus, working class has no need any great man or any great leader, or teacher, or hero, or guide, or savior etc. for their struggle to win communism for their emancipation. Moreover, it's very much clear that it is

commodity which is the material cause and effect to vanish the wage slavery as well as mastership, therefore, at the end of commodity production there is no discrimination rather, all are equally equal as the free member of the human being thus, communism is a society of equal. No doubt, a communist party for a communist revolution for communism is a party of working class thus it is a party of equality of all members, so, there is no scope to be a great teacher or extra ordinary or great hero or great leader etc. in the communist party.

We know from the history that there are so many masters, teachers, heroes, saviors, guides, great men, etc. produced by the slavery and feudal society, among them some are –Nar men, Jupiter, Zeus, Shinto, Hammurabi, Confucius, Manu, Moses, Jesus, and Alexander-the great to serve and protect the interest of masters by overpowering the slaves. Thus, there were so many mythical cults for cultism. No doubt, so nonsense culture of Cult or cultism is against human dignity.

But, politics of “right of self-determination of nations” had produced so many cults or great man, great teacher, great leader, great hero, great savior, great guide, &etc. as like as Simon Bolivar, J. Washington, Lenin, Gandhi, Stalin , Mao, and so on. Among them Stalin had re-introduced the Pyramid culture, introduced by the King KHUPO of the Farah Dynasty in Egypt with different kinds of worship including Statue. By the practice of such politics including Leninism to exercise the politics of national liberation or right of self-determination of nations, against colonial rulers, thus to win in this fight the politicians of these politics have been using

the politics of nationalism, patriotism, etc therefore, they have taken the shelter into the pre-capitalist concept thus, they are for heroes, kings, emperors, etc. the rulers of pre-capitalist societies. Therefore, Bolshevik party,^{3rd} International, Lenin and Leninism is not free from the nonsense concept of even the mythological cult & cultism.

As if the socialism is not outcome of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world, rather it's the heroic act and brilliant job of some brilliant, talent and courageous heroes or great leaders or great teachers thus socialism is the output and credit of some great man like Lenin, Stalin, Mao, Kim, Ho-Chi, Che etc. according to Leninist concept.

But F.Engels wrote "... the final causes of all social changes and political revolutions are to be sought, not in men's brains, not in men's better insights into eternal truth and justice, but in changes in the modes of production and exchange. They are to be sought, not in the **PHILOSOPHY**, but in the **ECONOMICS** of each particular epoch." In his book as: *Socialism: Utopian and Scientific*.

And in the same book he had written more as: "From that time forward, Socialism was no longer an accidental discovery of this or that ingenious brain, but the necessary outcome of the struggle between two historically developed classes — the proletariat and the bourgeoisie. Its task was no longer to manufacture a system of society as perfect as possible, but to examine the historic-economic succession of events from which these classes and their antagonism had of necessity sprung, and to discover in the economic conditions thus created the means of ending the conflict."

But, Leninist bosses are not ready to consider the above mentioned views.

Thus, by practicing the politics of cultism even, in the year, 1944, Mr. Stalin, had introduced the national anthem of the USSR as:

“Through days dark and stormy where Great Lenin led us
Our eyes saw the bright sun of freedom above
And Stalin our leader with faith in the people,
Inspired us to build the land that we love.”

But, about socialism, Engels wrote in his **Socialism: Utopian and Scientific** as: “With the seizing of the means of production by society, production of commodities is done away with, and, simultaneously, the mastery of the product over the producer. Anarchy in social production is replaced by systematic, definite organization. The struggle for individual existence disappears. Then, for the first time, man, in a certain sense, is finally marked off from the rest of the animal kingdom, and emerges from mere animal conditions of existence into really human ones. The whole sphere of the conditions of life which environ man, and which have hitherto ruled man, now comes under the dominion and control of man, who for the first time becomes the real, conscious lord of nature, because he has now become master of his own social organization. The laws of his own social action, hitherto standing face-to-face with man as laws of Nature foreign to, and dominating him, will then be used with full understanding, and so mastered by him. Man's own social organization, hitherto confronting him as a necessity imposed by Nature and history, now becomes the result of his own free action. The extraneous objective forces that have, hitherto, governed history, pass under the control of man himself. Only

from that time will man himself, more and more consciously, make his own history — only from that time will the social causes set in movement by him have, in the main and in a constantly growing measure, the results intended by him. It is the ascent of man from the kingdom of necessity to the kingdom of freedom.”

Though, man makes history but not by the will or credit of someone great man, rather there is a code of society for change which was discovered by Marx. Therefore, it's good to share Marx in this regard.

Karl Marx wrote in his *Capital, a Critique of Political Economy, Volume I, and Book One: The Process of Production of Capital, Afterword to the Second German Edition*, London, and 24th January, 1873, as is: “M. Block — “Les Théoriciens du Socialisme en Allemagne. Extrait du Journal des Economistes, JuilletetAoût 1872” — makes the discovery that my method is analytic and says: “Par cet ouvrage M. Marx se class e parmi les esprits analytiques les plus éminents.” German reviews, of course, shriek out at “Hegelian sophistics.” The *European Messenger* of St. Petersburg in an article dealing exclusively with the method of “Das Kapital” (May number, 1872, pp. 427-436), finds my method of inquiry severely realistic, but my method of presentation, unfortunately, German-dialectical. It says:

“At first sight, if the judgment is based on the external form of the presentation of the subject, Marx is the most ideal of ideal philosophers, always in the German, i.e., the bad sense of the word. But in point of fact he is infinitely more realistic than all his forerunners in the work of economic criticism. He can in no sense be called an idealist.”

I cannot answer the writer better than by aid of a few extracts from his own criticism, which may interest some of my readers to whom the Russian original is inaccessible.

After a quotation from the preface to my “Criticism of Political Economy,” Berlin, 1859, pp. IV-VII, where I discuss the materialistic basis of my method, the writer goes on:

“The one thing which is of moment to Marx, is to find the law of the phenomena with whose investigation he is concerned; and not only is that law of moment to him, which governs these phenomena, in so far as they have a definite form and mutual connexion within a given historical period. Of still greater moment to him is the law of their variation, of their development, i.e., of their transition from one form into another, from one series of connexions into a different one. This law once discovered, he investigates in detail the effects in which it manifests itself in social life. Consequently, Marx only troubles himself about one thing: to show, by rigid scientific investigation, the necessity of successive determinate orders of social conditions, and to establish, as impartially as possible, the facts that serve him for fundamental starting-points. For this it is quite enough, if he proves, at the same time, both the necessity of the present order of things, and the necessity of another order into which the first must inevitably pass over; and this all the same, whether men believe or do not believe it, whether they are conscious or unconscious of it. Marx treats the social movement as a process of natural history, governed by laws not only independent of human will, consciousness and intelligence, but rather, on the contrary, determining that will, consciousness and intelligence. ... If in the history of civilisation the conscious element plays a part so subordinate,

then it is self-evident that a critical inquiry whose subject-matter is civilisation, can, less than anything else, have for its basis any form of, or any result of, consciousness. That is to say, that not the idea, but the material phenomenon alone can serve as its starting-point. Such an inquiry will confine itself to the confrontation and the comparison of a fact, not with ideas, but with another fact. For this inquiry, the one thing of moment is, that both facts be investigated as accurately as possible, and that they actually form, each with respect to the other, different momenta of an evolution; but most important of all is the rigid analysis of the series of successions, of the sequences and concatenations in which the different stages of such an evolution present themselves. But it will be said, the general laws of economic life are one and the same, no matter whether they are applied to the present or the past. This Marx directly denies. According to him, such abstract laws do not exist. On the contrary, in his opinion every historical period has laws of its own. ... As soon as society has outlived a given period of development, and is passing over from one given stage to another, it begins to be subject also to other laws. In a word, economic life offers us a phenomenon analogous to the history of evolution in other branches of biology. The old economists misunderstood the nature of economic laws when they likened them to the laws of physics and chemistry. A more thorough analysis of phenomena shows that social organisms differ among themselves as fundamentally as plants or animals. Nay, one and the same phenomenon falls under quite different laws in consequence of the different structure of those organisms as a whole, of the variations of their individual organs, of the different conditions in which those organs function, &c. Marx, e.g., denies that the law of population is the same at all times and in all places. He asserts, on the contrary, that every stage of development has its own law of population. ... With the varying degree of

development of productive power, social conditions and the laws governing them vary too. Whilst Marx sets himself the task of following and explaining from this point of view the economic system established by the sway of capital, he is only formulating, in a strictly scientific manner, the aim that every accurate investigation into economic life must have. The scientific value of such an inquiry lies in the disclosing of the special laws that regulate the origin, existence, development, death of a given social organism and its replacement by another and higher one. And it is this value that, in point of fact, Marx's book has."

Whilst the writer pictures what he takes to be actually my method, in this striking and [as far as concerns my own application of it] generous way, what else is he picturing but the dialectic method?

Of course the method of presentation must differ in form from that of inquiry. The latter has to appropriate the material in detail, to analyse its different forms of development, to trace out their inner connexion. Only after this work is done, can the actual movement be adequately described. If this is done successfully, if the life of the subject-matter is ideally reflected as in a mirror, then it may appear as if we had before us a mere a priori construction.

My dialectic method is not only different from the Hegelian, but is its direct opposite. To Hegel, the life process of the human brain, i.e., the process of thinking, which, under the name of "the Idea," he even transforms into an independent subject, is the demiurgos of the real world, and the real world is only the external, phenomenal form of "the Idea." With me,

on the contrary, the ideal is nothing else than the material world reflected by the human mind, and translated into forms of thought.”

So, it is very much clear from Marx that the socialism is not the creation of some great leaders, or teachers or heroes, but it's the result of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world. But, contradiction of both antagonistic classes is not utopian but reality, thus, it's under a scientific law which is the cause of change of society. Thus, it's right that the man makes history but not wishfully or intentionally moreover not then & there, but under certain terms and conditions of the society.

It's quite clear that idea, concept etc is not absolute or brilliant production of any one's own mind but all these are reflection of the material world in human mind. Thus, welfare of human being or miseries of any class is not depending upon on anyone's brain. Or, anyone is not really a great man or brilliant teacher who will teach, or guide the whole society.

But Lenin and Leninist bosses are being considered as great, even savior of nation etc i.e. KIM IL SUNG, founder of the KIM dynasty was not only nation savior but only the “Eternal President” in the political history by the constitution of DPRK-1998; And Mao Tsetung was the great leader by the constitution of the people's republic of CHINA-1975, and in Article-2 it stated as is: “ Marxism-Leninism –Mao Tsetung Thought is the theoretical basis guiding the thinking of our nation.”

Though, nation is not a class but combination of various classes with antagonism. Thus, it's not possible to think unitarily by the all members of a nation, belonging to the various classes with different and opposite interest. Has there any difference between such rubbish concepts of Moses or Manu and Mao? No.

And about Science of Socialism, a discovery of Marx, Engels wrote in his above mentioned book as : “These two great discoveries, the materialistic conception of history and the revelation of the secret of capitalistic production through surplus-value, we owe to Marx. With these discoveries, Socialism became a science. The next thing was to work out all its details and relations.”

Thus, communist task is nothing but to work out all its details and relations in this regard. But, to justify the politics of national liberation, Mr. Lenin wrote a book in the year-1916, as is: “*Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism.*”

And later on Mr. Stalin said it's the fundamental addition of Lenin in the store of “MARXISM” thus, “LENINISM” is the Marxism of the last or highest stage of capitalism, that is “IMPERIALISM” which is the period of communist revolution.

Surely, all fractions of Leninism including anti Stalinist believed it with faith. But, they do not care the Science of Socialism. Even, they are not ready to consider the facts that if the statement of Stalin or Lenin is right than the statement of Marx and Engels is not right on capitalism or if they did

not observe the last or highest stage of capitalism, how then Marx & Engels could write the death sentence of capitalism that is the Manifesto of the Communist Party, or why they joined with Communist League or 1st International to replace the “Old Society” by a “new society”? Or, if Marx & Engels did not notice the highest stage of the capitalist society, then how could they formulated the conclusion about the end of capitalism by communism, thereby, replacement of capitalism by common ownership of means of production ? Or, if the claim of Stalin and Lenin is right and ok, about capitalism, then Marx and Engels were undoubtedly wrong on both, communism and capitalism, thus, both Marx and Engels were not only utopian but also lair ; and if so, then why they i.e. Lenin and all Leninist bosses are for “MARXISM” and also “Marxist”? Sure, they are “Marxist” but not communist.

Undoubtedly, science is not an idea or ideology, but rules of action of nature that means code of nature thus, facts, so science is reality thus it works, therefore, no ideology or creed or religion manufactured by the masters for their narrow interest from their mind including Leninism but science will win, because, religion, creeds etc is faith to blind but science is light to observe the reality to work, that is right work by scientists by proved by repeated experiment and examination to know the code scientifically to understand the science to work scientifically. And no doubt science is not a private but common property; therefore, Science of socialism is not property of Marx but property of all. So, the very word “Marxism” is not scientific and it also undermined Marx himself as he was a communist, thus, he was against private

property with all rights of inheritances. Even, name of book of F.Engels is not '**Socialism: Utopian and Marxist**' rather, "**Socialism: Utopian and Scientific**" Therefore, as a discoverer of science of communism Marx did not produce the so-called "Marxism", thus not Marx and Engels but Lenin and Stalin were not only wrong but liar, fraud & cheat about "Marxism-Leninism" too.

Noted, capital is a product of social labour but ownership of it is private, therefore, private ownership of capital is not fair and justified though it not illegal by the law of capitalist rulers. But, the private ownership is not only contradictory with the socially product capital but there is so many social problems and crisis due to injustice and unfairness of capitalist mode of production. So, abolition of private ownership of capital by social/commune/common is the solution of the unfairness, injustice and self-contradiction of the capitalist society. However, science of communism is not only the production of MARX rather it's a social product. So, not Marx but all are owner of it.

Moreover, Marx was not a universal reformer but a scientist. So, Marx did not produce any idea from his brain to reform the society, rather what he discovered and formulated that was existed in the society, as per example water was water and is water, but the code of water was unknown thus the formula of water as H₂O was not present before 1811 AD. Or the EARTH is moving since it was originated but before N.Copernicus no one knows it. Certainly, what did Mr.

Leveagor of France, killed by France revolutionary Government, about invention of Oxygen, MARX did the same about CAPITAL as recognized by Engels. Sure, no scientific invention or discovery is only an effort of one individual but it's also social product thus, it's not a private but common property of all.

Furthermore, Marx was not a “ Marxist” but communist. Was Marx a “ Leninist” or “ Maoist? No. Was Engels a “ Marxist” ? No. Therefore, have there any reason to consider the “Marxist-Leninist” as Communist if Marx and Engels, both were communist ? No.

However, not so elaborately but in brief, now we can take the above mentioned book of Lenin to review and compare on the basis of science of socialism.

Lenin, wrote in his book – “Imperialism- highest stage of capitalism” as:

“Thus, the principal stages in the history of monopolies are the following: (1) 1860-70, the highest stage, the apex of development of free competition; monopoly is in the barely discernible, embryonic stage. (2) After the crisis of 1873, a lengthy period of development of cartels; but they are still the exception. They are not yet durable. They are still a transitory phenomenon. (3) The boom at the end of the nineteenth century and the crisis of 1900-03. Cartels become one of the foundations of the whole of economic life. Capitalism has been transformed into imperialism.” At “**I. CONCENTRATION OF PRODUCTION AND MONOPOLIES**”

That means the capitalism has reached its highest stage in the year of 1903, though the transformation process has started in the year of 1860 by the observation of Lenin!

But, capitalism has ‘transformed’ but not reached its last stage that is by the quoted statement of Lenin himself, thus, capitalism and imperialism is not the same but different society by transformation of capitalism! Is it fact? We know that transformation of capitalist mode of production is the common ownership of means of production is communism, thus, both are different society. So, ‘imperialism’ is not a transformation of the capitalism thus, ‘imperialism’ is not a different society from capitalism, but a stage of capitalism even, by the self-contradictory acknowledgement of Lenin himself by the name of his mentioned book also.

However, it’s very much clear that the last stage of capitalism that is ‘imperialism’ had not been noticed by Marx & Engels as per above mentioned statement of Lenin.

How interesting, though the said ‘transformation’ process was started since 1860, and both Marx and Engels were alive but they did not care it! Or, they did not consider it? Were they both blind? Was it not within the period of writing and editing the “CAPITAL” by Marx and Engels? Were they so foolish or stupid about the development or ‘transformation’ of capitalism? If so, the why Mr.Lenin was a great “MARXIST” as a disciple of Marx as he claimed all through? Why did Lenin not mention it in his so-called historical book-what we are discussing? Why not Lenin criticized Marx and Engels about their ignorance on transformation of capitalism into ‘imperialism’? Or why Lenin did not mention the limitation and inability of Marx and Engels to notice, follow, care and consider the highest stage of capitalism?

On the other hand without taking into account, the last stage of capitalism, how Marx and Engels wrote the Manifesto of the Communist Party-the death sentence of old capitalism? Really, was it possible for them to declare the end of capitalism by wage slaves of the world by a communist revolution by common property of all without noticed and considering the last stage of capitalism? No. Thus, if Lenin is right according to his observation on the last stage of capitalism, then undoubtedly, Marx and Engels were not only utopian, but also ignorant, stupid and unscientific thus, they were not fit and eligible to write the Communist Manifesto too. Because, as per statement of Lenin, both, they had incomplete sketch thus, utopian picture of both the capitalism and communism on the basis of their imaginary thought from their mind, but not from the reality and facts of society!

But what we see in the Communist Manifesto about the conditions of a communist revolution is as: “We see then: the means of production and of exchange, on whose foundation the bourgeoisie built itself up, were generated in feudal society. At a certain stage in the development of these means of production and of exchange, the conditions under which feudal society produced and exchanged, the feudal organisation of agriculture and manufacturing industry, in one word, the feudal relations of property became no longer compatible with the already developed productive forces; they became so many fetters. They had to be burst asunder; they were burst asunder.

Into their place stepped free competition, accompanied by a social and political constitution adapted in it, and the economic and political sway of the bourgeois class.

A similar movement is going on before our own eyes. Modern bourgeois society, with its relations of production, of exchange and of property, a society that has conjured up such gigantic means of production and of exchange, is like the sorcerer who is no longer able to control the powers of the nether world whom he has called up by his spells. For many a decade past the history of industry and commerce is but the history of the revolt of modern productive forces against modern conditions of production, against the property relations that are the conditions for the existence of the bourgeois and of its rule. It is enough to mention the commercial crises that by their periodical return put the existence of the entire bourgeois society on its trial, each time more threateningly. In these crises, a great part not only of the existing products, but also of the previously created productive forces, are periodically destroyed. In these crises, there breaks out an epidemic that, in all earlier epochs, would have seemed an absurdity — the epidemic of over-production. Society suddenly finds itself put back into a state of momentary barbarism; it appears as if a famine, a universal war of devastation, had cut off the supply of every means of subsistence; industry and commerce seem to be destroyed; and why? Because there is too much civilisation, too much means of subsistence, too much industry, too much commerce. The productive forces at the disposal of society no longer tends to further the development of the conditions of bourgeois property; on the contrary, they have become too powerful for these conditions, by which they are fettered, and so soon as they overcome these fetters, they bring disorder

into the whole of bourgeois society, endanger the existence of bourgeois property. The conditions of bourgeois society are too narrow to comprise the wealth created by them. And how does the bourgeoisie get over these crises? On the one hand by enforced destruction of a mass of productive forces; on the other, by the conquest of new markets, and by the more thorough exploitation of the old ones. That is to say, by paving the way for more extensive and more destructive crises, and by diminishing the means whereby crises are prevented.

The weapons with which the bourgeoisie felled feudalism to the ground are now turned against the bourgeoisie itself.

But not only has the bourgeoisie forged the weapons that bring death to itself; it has also called into existence the men who are to wield those weapons — the modern working class — the proletarians.” - Chapter I. Bourgeois and Proletarians.

Therefore, it's very much clear that Marx and Engels were not utopian, and the communist manifesto has not produced from their mind but it was the real facts of capitalist development and replacement of it by the above mentioned causes what they observed so scientifically and thus they were right.

Again, if Marx and Engels were not notice the transformation of capitalism into 'imperialism' as claimed by Lenin, then how and why they are the teacher of Mr. great Lenin by recognition of Lenin himself?

However, we can take into account the **CAPITAL, Written by:** Karl Marx, 1863-1883, edited by Friedrich Engels and completed by him 11 years after Marx's death; **First Published:** 1894; **On-Line Version:** Marx.org 1996, Marxists.org 1999, on last stage of capitalism and monopoly.

Marx wrote in the Capital Vol. III Part V; Division of Profit into Interest and Profit of Enterprise. Interest-Bearing Capital; Chapter 27. The Role of Credit in Capitalist Production; III. Formation of stock companies. Thereby as is :

“2) The capital, which in itself rests on a social mode of production and presupposes a social concentration of means of production and labour-power, is here directly endowed with the form of social capital (capital of directly associated individuals) as distinct from private capital, and its undertakings assume the form of social undertakings as distinct from private undertakings. It is the abolition of capital as private property within the framework of capitalist production itself.

3) Transformation of the actually functioning capitalist into a mere manager, administrator of other people's capital, and of the owner of capital into a mere owner, a mere money-capitalist. Even if the dividends which they receive include the interest and the profit of enterprise, I.E., the total profit (for the salary of the manager is, or should be, simply the wage of a specific type of skilled labour, whose price is regulated in the labour-market like that of any other labour), this total profit is henceforth received only in the form of interest, I.E., as mere compensation for owning capital that

now is entirely divorced from the function in the actual process of reproduction, just as this function in the person of the manager is divorced from ownership of capital. Profit thus appears (no longer only that portion of it, the interest, which derives its justification from the profit of the borrower) as a mere appropriation of the surplus-labour of others, arising from the conversion of means of production into capital, I.E., from their alienation vis-à-vis the actual producer, from their antithesis as another's property to every individual actually at work in production, from manager down to the last day-labourer. In stock companies the function is divorced from capital ownership, {;} hence also labour is entirely divorced from ownership of means of production and surplus-labour. This result of the ultimate development of capitalist production is a necessary transitional phase towards the reconversion of capital into the property of producers, although no longer as the private property of the individual producers, but rather as the property of associated producers, as outright social property. On the other hand, the stock company is a transition toward the conversion of all functions in the reproduction process which still remain linked with capitalist property, into mere functions of associated producers, into social functions.”

Sure, this quote has proved that Marx and Engels has noticed the “ ultimate development of capitalist production”; Thus, Lenin was not right on last stage of capitalism, if there is no difference of meaning between the “ ultimate development” and “ last stage” of the capitalism.

And in the next Paragraph where Marx wrote: “This is the abolition of the capitalist mode of production within the capitalist mode of production itself, and hence a self-dissolving contradiction, which **PRIMA FACIE** represents a mere phase of transition to a new form of production. It manifests itself as such a contradiction in its effects. It establishes a monopoly in certain spheres and thereby requires state interference. It reproduces a new financial aristocracy, a new variety of parasites in the shape of promoters, speculators and simply nominal directors; a whole system of swindling and cheating by means of corporation promotion, stock issuance, and stock speculation. It is private production without the control of private property.”

By this statement Marx proved that he noticed the monopoly and why and what was the required interference of state that is state capitalism in its ultimate that is last stage. Thus, Marx was not unknown about monopoly and state capitalism, both. So, Lenin was not right on observation of Marx and Engels, on capitalism thus, they do not only noticed but also concluded it as what we also quoted above. So, Lenin was a liar on it.

Let us now examine the views of Lenin himself on Marx of capitalism.

Before, 3 years of publishing this book of Lenin he wrote an article as: “**The Three Sources and Three Component Parts of Marxism**; Published: *Prosveshcheniye* No 3., March 1913. Signed: V. I.. Published according to the *Prosveshcheniye* text. Source: Lenin’s [Collected Works](#),

Progress Publishers, 1977, Moscow, [Volume 19](#), pages 21-28. Translated: The Late George Hanna . Original Transcription: [Lee Joon Koo](#) and [Marc Luzietti](#) . Re-Marked up by: [K. Goins](#) (2008) . Public Domain: Lenin Internet Archive (1996). You may freely copy, distribute, display and perform this work; as well as make derivative and commercial works. Please credit “Marxists Internet Archive” as your source.”

In this article he wrote: “Marx traced the development of capitalism from embryonic commodity economy, from simple exchange, to its highest forms, to large-scale production.

And the experience of all capitalist countries, old and new, year by year demonstrates clearly the truth of this Marxian doctrine to increasing numbers of workers.

Capitalism has triumphed all over the world, but this triumph is only the prelude to the triumph of labour over capital”

Therefore, Lenin himself had acknowledged that Marx had “traced” the “highest forms” of capitalism, thus, capitalist “triumph is only the prelude to the triumph of labour over capital” was not unknown to Marx. So, discovery of Lenin about “highest stage” of capitalism is meaningless if there is no difference between the meaning of the “highest forms” and “highest stage” of capitalism.

However, what Lenin said about the stage of monopoly in his said book, Caption: VII. IMPERIALISM AS A SPECIAL STAGE OF CAPITALISM; as is: “Monopoly is the transition from capitalism to a higher system.”

That means the monopoly is not “the ultimate development of capitalist production” by Marx what we quoted earlier but “a

higher system” from “Capitalism” by Lenin! Therefore, is it not capitalism? Then what? No doubt, the production mode of wage slavery is capitalist, but no wage slavery is socialist mode of production. But what is the mode of monopoly? Sure, there is wage slavery, thus, its capitalism and undoubtedly capitalism. So, it’s not a higher system from capitalism. Certainly, a higher system from wage slavery is a free society free from wage slavery.

Sure, consequence of capitalist antagonism is communism therefore, capitalism is the foundation of communism. Thus, there is no social system in between capitalism and communism. So, claim of Lenin is not right on what we mentioned above. Noted, there is no China wall between socialism and communism. Ownership of means of production by society is socialism and by commune is communism. So, if there is any such difference between the society and commune, then there is difference, between socialism and communism, otherwise not.

Another sub-heading of the mentioned book is as:

“IMPERIALISM AS A SPECIAL STAGE OF CAPITALISM”. That means, the monopoly which is ‘imperialism’ is not last or highest but a “special stage of capitalism.” Therefore, “special or “last”, what is the real opinion of Lenin about the stage of ‘imperialism’?

In the next para of the same sub-heading, Lenin wrote: “If it were necessary to give the briefest possible definition of imperialism we should have to say that imperialism is the monopoly stage of capitalism. Such a definition would include what is most important, for, on the one hand, finance capital is the bank capital of a few very big monopolist with

banks, merged the capital of the monopolist associations of industrialists; and, on the other hand, the division of the world is the transition from a colonial policy which has extended without hindrance to territories un seized by any capitalist power, to a colonial policy of monopolist possession of the territory of the world, which has been completely divided up.

But very brief definitions, although convenient, for they sum up the main points, are nevertheless inadequate, since we have to deduce from them some especially important features of the phenomenon that has to be defined. And so, without forgetting the conditional and relative value of all definitions in general, which can never embrace all the concatenations of a phenomenon in its full development, we must give a definition of imperialism that will include the following five of its basic features:

(1)the concentration of production and capital has developed to such a high stage that it has created monopolies which play a decisive role in economic life; (2) the merging of bank capital with industrial capital, and the creation, on the basis of this “finance capital”, of a financial oligarchy; (3) the export of capital as distinguished from the export of commodities acquires exceptional importance; (4) the formation of international monopolist capitalist associations which share the world among themselves, and (5) the territorial division of the whole world among the biggest capitalist powers is completed. Imperialism is capitalism at that stage of development at which the dominance of monopolies and finance capital is established; in which the export of capital

has acquired pronounced importance; in which the division of the world among the international trusts has begun, in which the division of all territories of the globe among the biggest capitalist powers has been completed.”

Therefore, it's the stage for Leninist revolution to free the nations from the imperialist, because, by Lenin “the division all territories of the globe among the biggest capitalist powers has been completed.” Thus, he wrote in the last sentence of the PREFACE TO THE FRENCH AND GERMAN EDITION of this book, 6th July, 1920 as is: “Imperialism is the eve of the social revolution of the proletariat. This has been confirmed since 1917 on a world-wide scale.”

Therefore, there is no scope for re-division, or there is no scope except Leninist revolution by the conclusion of Lenin. But history proves it wrong, and the founding state of Lenin is no longer in existence. Even, the history of British Imperialism or others or East India Company does not support the claim of Lenin as he said: “to a colonial policy of monopolist possession of the territory of the world, which has been completely divided up.”

Even, the Treaty of Versailles, June 1919 and The [Potsdam Agreement](#), 2 August 1945, has proved that the claim of Lenin is wrong on Leninist revolution or wrong conclusion about re-distribution of the territories of the globe. Noted, the Germany had lost its independent capacity even not only rule by its own elected government but also determined the production of it. Japan also had lost the power even to maintain its military by another agreement with USA. Japan

had lost its colony too. And, currently the whole world is under the rule of the IMF. Thereby, capital and commodity is moving all over the world under the strong control of the IMF and WTO.

However, not considering the language or definition of Lenin on imperialism by above mentioned statements but we can consider Marx, on mentioned facts etc.

Marx wrote in the Capital, Volume One, **Chapter Twenty-Five: The General Law of Capitalist Accumulation;** Section 2. Relative Diminution of the Variable Part of Capital Simultaneously with the Progress of Accumulation and of the Concentration that Accompanies it, as is: “This splitting-up of the total social capital into many individual capitals or the repulsion of its fractions one from another, is counteracted by their attraction. This last does not mean that simple concentration of the means of production and of the command over labour, which is identical with accumulation. It is concentration of capitals already formed destruction of their individual independence, expropriation of capitalist by capitalist, transformation of many small into few large capitals. This process differs from the former in this, that it only presupposes a change in the distribution of capital already to hand, and functioning; its field of action is therefore not limited by the absolute growth of social wealth, by the absolute limits of accumulation. Capital grows in one place to a huge mass in a single hand, because it has in another place been lost by many. This is centralisation proper, as distinct from accumulation and concentration.

The laws of this centralisation of capitals, or of the attraction of capital by capital, cannot be developed here. A brief hint at a few facts must suffice. The battle of competition is fought by cheapening of commodities. The cheapness of commodities demands, *CAETERIS PARIBUS*, on the productiveness of labour, and this again on the scale of production. Therefore, the larger capitals beat the smaller. It will further be remembered that, with the development of the capitalist mode of production, there is an increase in the minimum amount of individual capital necessary to carry on a business under its normal conditions. The smaller capitals, therefore, crowd into spheres of production which Modern Industry has only sporadically or incompletely got hold of. Here competition rages in direct proportion to the number, and in inverse proportion to the magnitudes, of the antagonistic capitals. It always ends in the ruin of many small capitalists, whose capitals partly pass into the hands of their conquerors, partly vanish. Apart from this, with capitalist production, an altogether new force comes into play — the credit system, which in its first stages furtively creeps in as the humble assistant of accumulation, drawing into the hands of individual or associated capitalists, by invisible threads, the money resources which lie scattered, over the surface of society, in larger or smaller amounts; but it soon becomes a new and terrible weapon in the battle of competition and is finally transformed into an enormous social mechanism for the centralisation of capitals.

Commensurately with the development of capitalist production and accumulation there develop the two most

powerful levers of centralisation — competition and credit. At the same time the progress of accumulation increases the material amenable to centralisation, I.E., the individual capitals, whilst the expansion of capitalist production creates, on the one hand, the social want, and, on the other, the technical means necessary for those immense industrial undertakings which require a previous centralisation of capital for their accomplishment. Today, therefore, the force of attraction, drawing together individual capitals, and the tendency to centralisation are stronger than ever before. But if the relative extension and energy of the movement towards centralisation is determined, in a certain degree, by the magnitude of capitalist wealth and superiority of economic mechanism already attained, progress in centralisation does not in any way depend upon a positive growth in the magnitude of social capital. And this is the specific difference between centralisation and concentration, the latter being only another name for reproduction on an extended scale. Centralisation may result from a mere change in the distribution of capitals already existing, from a simple alteration in the quantitative grouping of the component parts of social capital. Here capital can grow into powerful masses in a single hand because there it has been withdrawn from many individual hands. In any given branch of industry centralisation would reach its extreme limit if all the individual capitals invested in it were fused into a single capital. ^[12] In a given society the limit would be reached only when the entire social capital was united in the hands of either a single capitalist or a single capitalist company.

Centralisation completes the work of accumulation by enabling industrial capitalists to extend the scale of their operations. Whether this latter result is the consequence of accumulation or centralisation, whether centralisation is accomplished by the violent method of annexation — when certain capitals become such preponderant centres of attraction for others that they shatter the individual cohesion of the latter and then draw the separate fragments to themselves — or whether the fusion of a number of capitals already formed or in process of formation takes place by the smoother process of organising joint-stock companies — the economic effect remains the same. Everywhere the increased scale of industrial establishments is the starting point for a more comprehensive organisation of the collective work of many, for a wider development of their material motive forces — in other words, for the progressive transformation of isolated processes of production, carried on by customary methods, into processes of production socially combined and scientifically arranged.”

I think no more argument is required to prove that Marx had not only seen the conditions of the last stage of capitalism but also theorized as he did in the last sentence of the last para of above quoted quotation , that is the ‘starting point’ for progressive transformation of capitalism ‘ into processes of production socially combined and scientifically arranged’; Which is nothing less than **SOCIALISM**.

So, who is Lenin and why he wrote the so-called important books without mentioning the limitation of Capital by Marx

and what he wanted to gain from it? But Stalin and all other Leninist bosses have been claiming that this is the fundamental contribution of Lenin to determine the last stage of capitalism, which was not seen by Marx and Engels, thus, this book is not only best but the addition to be developed the so-called “Marxism” as Leninism! But Lenin did not claim it, in this book. How funny.

In this book, Lenin has written at VIII.

PARASITISM AND DECAY OF CAPITALISM; as:
“**Further**, imperialism is an immense accumulation of money capital in a few countries, amounting, as we have seen, to 100,000-50,000 (?? figure) million francs in securities. Hence the extraordinary growth of a class, or rather, of a stratum of rentiers, i.e., people who live by “clipping coupons”, who take no part in any enterprise whatever, whose profession is idleness. The export of capital, one of the most essential economic bases of imperialism, still more completely isolates the rentiers from production and sets the seal of parasitism on the whole country that lives by exploiting the labour of several overseas countries and colonies.”

Therefore, fight against colonial rulers with the capitalist of the colony is justified for Leninist to implement the principle of the so-called “Right of self-determination of the nations”, though Marx and Engels wrote in the communist manifesto as is: “In place of the old local and national seclusion and self-sufficiency, we have intercourse in every direction, universal inter-dependence of nations.”

Sure, description of the communist manifesto on ‘universal inter-dependence of nations’ is not wrong, but right thus, under the global net of capitalist mode of production, there is no scope to be liberated by any nation. Moreover, states are defunct under the rule of global authorities thus, nation state is dead under the absolute rule of the IMF. Noted, fight against colonial rulers but not capitalist mode of production is not at all a revolutionary job for wage slaves, in fact, no fight except fight of working class against wage slavery to end the wage system is revolutionary thus, join with capitalist of the colonies against the colonial rulers is nothing but the suicidal acts for wage slaves.

Therefore, prescription of Lenin by this so-called important book is nothing but suicidal for the wage slaves of the world. But, this nonsense prescription is effective and useful to divide the workers of the world into nations and countries. Thus, it’s against the interest of the workers of the world. Sure, one who is working for any such division of workers of the world, including Lenin is not friend but enemy of the workers. Therefore, the said book of Lenin is nothing but poisonous rubbish to confuse the workers of the world.

Furthermore, in the communist manifesto they wrote: “National differences and antagonism between peoples are daily more and more vanishing, owing to the development of the bourgeoisie, to freedom of commerce, to the world market, to uniformity in the mode of production and in the conditions of life corresponding thereto.

The supremacy of the proletariat will cause them to vanish still faster. United action, of the leading civilised countries at least, is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat.”

Certainly sure, so rightly they formulated this conclusion on nationalism and the primary field of the communist revolution. Therefore, not divided the workers of the world by such demand of false & bogus and impossible right of self – determination of nations but united action of the leading civilized countries at least, is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat by vanishing the nationality. So, the aim of this book is nothing but to involve the wage slaves into internal conflicts of the capitalist class by losing their class interest and class consciousness that unite and fight against the capitalist class as a whole.

Noted, at present, the leading civilized countries mean the G-7. Thus, united action of the G-7, at least is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat.

Even, about nationality, Engels wrote in ‘the principle of communism’ as is:

“22 - What will be the attitude of communism to existing nationalities?

The nationalities of the peoples associating themselves in accordance with the principle of community will be compelled to mingle with each other as a result of this association and thereby to dissolve themselves, just as the

various estate and class distinctions must disappear through the abolition of their basis, private property.”

Therefore, it's very much clear that the task of a communist is not to fight for national liberation but try to vanish the concept of nationality.

Now, we can examine Marx on credit & parasitism and others what Mr. Lenin said in the above definition of imperialism.

Karl Marx. Capital Volume One; **Chapter Thirty-One: Genesis of the Industrial Capitalist: wrote:** “The colonial system ripened, like a hot-house, trade and navigation. The “societies Monopolia” of Luther were powerful levers for concentration of capital. The colonies secured a market for the budding manufactures, and, through the monopoly of the market, an increased accumulation. The treasures captured outside Europe by undisguised looting, enslavement, and murder, floated back to the mother-country and were there turned into capital. Holland, which first fully developed the colonial system, in 1648 stood already in the acme of its commercial greatness. It, was “in almost exclusive possession of the East Indian trade and the commerce between the south-east and north-west of Europe. Its fisheries, marine, manufactures, surpassed those of any other country. The total capital of the Republic was probably more important than that of all the rest of Europe put together.” Gülich forgets to add that by 1648, the people of Holland were more over-worked, poorer and more brutally oppressed than those of all the rest of Europe put together.

Today industrial supremacy implies commercial supremacy. In the period of manufacture properly so called, it is, on the

other hand, the commercial supremacy that gives industrial predominance. Hence the preponderant rôle that the colonial system plays at that time. It was “the strange God” who perched himself on the altar cheek by jowl with the old Gods of Europe, and one fine day with a shove and a kick chucked them all of a heap. It proclaimed surplus-value making as the sole end and aim of humanity.

The system of public credit, I.E., of national debts, whose origin we discover in Genoa and Venice as early as the Middle Ages, took possession of Europe generally during the manufacturing period. The colonial system with its maritime trade and commercial wars served as a forcing-house for it. Thus it first took root in Holland. National debts, I.E., the alienation of the state – whether despotic, constitutional or republican – marked with its stamp the capitalistic era. The only part of the so-called national wealth that actually enters into the collective possessions of modern peoples is their national debt. ^[7] Hence, as a necessary consequence, the modern doctrine that a nation becomes the richer the more deeply it is in debt. Public credit becomes the **CREDO** of capital. And with the rise of national debt-making, want of faith in the national debt takes the place of the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost, which may not be forgiven.

The public debt becomes one of the most powerful levers of primitive accumulation. As with the stroke of an enchanter’s wand, it endows barren money with the power of breeding and thus turns it into capital, without the necessity of its exposing itself to the troubles and risks inseparable from its

employment in industry or even in usury. The state creditors actually give nothing away, for the sum lent is transformed into public bonds, easily negotiable, which go on functioning in their hands just as so much hard cash would. But further, apart from the class of lazy annuitants thus created, and from the improvised wealth of the financiers, middlemen between the government and the nation – as also apart from the tax-farmers, merchants, private manufacturers, to whom a good part of every national loan renders the service of a capital fallen from heaven – the national debt has given rise to joint-stock companies, to dealings in negotiable effects of all kinds, and to agiotage, in a word to stock-exchange gambling and the modern bankocracy.

At their birth the great banks, decorated with national titles, were only associations of private speculators, who placed themselves by the side of governments, and, thanks to the privileges they received, were in a position to advance money to the State. Hence the accumulation of the national debt has no more infallible measure than the successive rise in the stock of these banks, whose full development dates from the founding of the Bank of England in 1694. The Bank of England began with lending its money to the Government at 8%; at the same time it was empowered by Parliament to coin money out of the same capital, by lending it again to the public in the form of banknotes. It was allowed to use these notes for discounting bills, making advances on commodities, and for buying the precious metals. It was not long ere this credit-money, made by the bank itself, became the coin in which the Bank of England made its loans to the State, and

paid, on account of the State, the interest on the public debt. It was not enough that the bank gave with one hand and took back more with the other; it remained, even whilst receiving, the eternal creditor of the nation down to the last shilling advanced. Gradually it became inevitably the receptacle of the metallic hoard of the country, and the centre of gravity of all commercial credit. What effect was produced on their contemporaries by the sudden uprising of this brood of bankocrats, financiers, rentiers, brokers, stock-jobbers, &c., is proved by the writings of that time, E.G., by Bolingbroke's [\[8\]](#)

With the national debt arose an international credit system, which often conceals one of the sources of primitive accumulation in this or that people. Thus the villainies of the Venetian thieving system formed one of the secret bases of the capital-wealth of Holland to whom Venice in her decadence lent large sums of money. So also was it with Holland and England. By the beginning of the 18th century the Dutch manufactures were far outstripped. Holland had ceased to be the nation preponderant in commerce and industry. One of its main lines of business, therefore, from 1701-1776, is the lending out of enormous amounts of capital, especially to its great rival England. The same thing is going on today between England and the United States. A great deal of capital, which appears today in the United States without any certificate of birth, was yesterday, in England, the capitalised blood of children.”

I think this long quotation is enough to know and understand the falsification of false & bogus but politically motivated claim of Lenin on imperialism.

However, we can take Engels to compare, review and judge the such claim of Lenin that the last stage of capitalism was not seen by Engels too, though Engels was alive till 1895, who died only before 8 years of the said book as ‘imperialism’ –the highest stage of capitalism’ had been published.

Engels wrote: “In the trusts, freedom of competition changes into its very opposite — into monopoly; and the production without any definite plan of capitalistic society capitulates to the production upon a definite plan of the invading socialistic society. Certainly, this is so far still to the benefit and advantage of the capitalists. But, in this case, the exploitation is so palpable, that it must break down. No nation will put up with production conducted by trusts, with so barefaced an exploitation of the community by a small band of dividend-mongers.

In any case, with trusts or without, the official representative of capitalist society — the state — will ultimately have to undertake the direction of production. ^[4] This necessity for conversion into State property is felt first in the great institutions for intercourse and communication — the post office, the telegraphs, the railways.

If the crises demonstrate the incapacity of the bourgeoisie for managing any longer modern productive forces, the

transformation of the great establishments for production and distribution into joint-stock companies, trusts, and State property, show how unnecessary the bourgeoisie are for that purpose. All the social functions of the capitalist has no further social function than that of pocketing dividends, tearing off coupons, and gambling on the Stock Exchange, where the different capitalists despoil one another of their capital. At first, the capitalistic mode of production forces out the workers. Now, it forces out the capitalists, and reduces them, just as it reduced the workers, to the ranks of the surplus-population, although not immediately into those of the industrial reserve army.

But, the transformation — either into joint-stock companies and trusts, or into State-ownership — does not do away with the capitalistic nature of the productive forces. In the joint-stock companies and trusts, this is obvious. And the modern State, again, is only the organization that bourgeois society takes on in order to support the external conditions of the capitalist mode of production against the encroachments as well of the workers as of individual capitalists. The modern state, no matter what its form, is essentially a capitalist machine — the state of the capitalists, the ideal personification of the total national capital. The more it proceeds to the taking over of productive forces, the more does it actually become the national capitalist, the more citizens does it exploit. The workers remain wage-workers — proletarians. The capitalist relation is not done away with. It is, rather, brought to a head. But, brought to a head, it topples over. State-ownership of the productive forces is not the

solution of the conflict, but concealed within it are the technical conditions that form the elements of that solution.” In his **-Socialism: Utopian and Scientific;** Written: Between January and March of 1880; Source: Marx/Engels Selected Works, Volume 3, p. 95-151; Publisher: Progress Publishers, 1970; First Published: March, April, and May issues of *Revue Socialiste* in 1880; Online Version: Marx/Engels Internet Archive (marxists.org) 1993, 1999, 2003.

Therefore, it's quite clear that not only Marx but also Engels had noticed not only monopoly but also the trust and state ownership thus, the last stage of capitalism where the capitalist class had lost their capability to solve the capitalist crisis thus, capitalist class has become disabled to rule the society.

He also noticed that the state-ization is nothing but a failed effort to survival of the capitalism; and the rate of exploitation is higher in the state ownership; and such state with state-ization is nothing but a capitalist state. Even, he noticed that “the transformation — either into joint-stock companies and trusts, or into State-ownership — does not do away with the capitalistic nature of the productive forces.”

Furthermore, he had come to the conclusion to solve the capitalist crisis at its last stage in the next paragraph of the above mentioned as: “This solution can only consist in the practical recognition of the social nature of the modern forces of production, and therefore in the harmonizing with the socialized character of the means of production. And this can only come about by society openly and directly taking

possession of the productive forces which have outgrown all control, except that of society as a whole. The social character of the means of production and of the products today reacts against the producers, periodically disrupts all production and exchange, acts only like a law of Nature working blindly, forcibly, {and} destructively. But, with the taking over by society of the productive forces, the social character of the means of production and of the products will be utilized by the producers with a perfect understanding of its nature, and instead of being a source of disturbance and periodical collapse, will become the most powerful lever of production itself.”

Therefore, who is false or right, Lenin or Marx and Engels on the last stage of capitalism? Certainly, Marx and Engels are right and Lenin is completely false for such falsification.

Now we can consider about the state of Lenin by his own writing what he wrote as: “Borne along on the crest of the wave of enthusiasm, rousing first the political enthusiasm and then the military enthusiasm of the people, we expected to accomplish economic tasks just as great as the political and military tasks we had accomplished by relying directly on this enthusiasm. We expected—or perhaps it would be truer to say that we presumed without having given it adequate consideration—to be able to organise the state production and the state distribution of products on communist lines in a small-peasant country directly as ordered by the proletarian state. Experience has proved that we were wrong. It appears that a number of transitional stages were necessary—state

capitalism and socialism—in order to prepare—to prepare by many years of effort—for the transition to communism. Not directly relying on enthusiasm, but aided by the enthusiasm engendered by the great revolution, and on the basis of personal interest, personal incentive and business principles, we must first set to work in this small peasant country to build solid gangways to socialism by way of state capitalism. Otherwise we shall never get to communism, we shall never bring scores of millions of people to communism.” In his Fourth Anniversary of the October Revolution; Written: 14 October, 1921; First Published:Pravda No. 234,October 18, 1921 Signed: N. Lenin; Published according to the manuscript. Source: Lenin’s Collected Works, 2nd English Edition, Progress Publishers, Moscow,1965,Volume 33, pages 51-59.

Therefore, the state of Lenin was a state of state capitalism as claimed by Lenin himself. But, just above, we noticed that Engels wrote that the state capitalism is capitalism and it’s a failed effort of capitalist class to try to solve the capitalist crisis. Thus, fall of a state capitalist state is due by the findings of Engels also. And the USSR was a state of state capitalism. So, fall of the USSR was due by its self-term.

And sure, findings of Engels again had been proving so right by the falling of the USSR. There-by, not Lenin but Engels was right both on capitalism and communism.

However, what was the conclusion of Engels about socialism in the above mentioned book by him, we can see it, as he wrote: “**III. Proletarian Revolution** — Solution of the contradictions. The proletariat seizes the public power, and by means of this transforms the socialized means of production, slipping from the hands of the bourgeoisie, into public property. By this act, the proletariat frees the means of production from the character of capital they have thus far borne, and gives their socialized character complete freedom to work itself out. Socialized production upon a predetermined plan becomes henceforth possible. The development of production makes the existence of different classes of society thenceforth an anachronism. In proportion as anarchy in social production vanishes, the political authority of the State dies out. Man, at last the master of his own form of social organization, becomes at the same time the lord over Nature, his own master — free.

To accomplish this act of universal emancipation is the historical mission of the modern proletariat. To thoroughly comprehend the historical conditions and this the very nature of this act, to impart to the now oppressed proletarian class a full knowledge of the conditions and of the meaning of the momentous act it is called upon to accomplish, this is the task of the theoretical expression of the proletarian movement, scientific Socialism.”

Therefore, it's very much clear from this quotation that the Socialism is not a state but a society where there is no capitalist contradiction and as public property the means of

productions are socialized. And certainly discovery of Marx is “scientific socialism” but not “Marxism” .

So, socialism is not the creation of the ‘great Lenin’ or a thing manufactured by Lenin ,and it’s not the invention of brain, what the Leninist bosses have been claiming that the Soviet is the best model of socialism, invented by Lenin.

On this ground Engels wrote as: “From that time forward, Socialism was no longer an accidental discovery of this or that ingenious brain, but the necessary outcome of the struggle between two historically developed classes — the proletariat and the bourgeoisie. Its task was no longer to manufacture a system of society as perfect as possible, but to examine the historico-economic succession of events from which these classes and their antagonism had of necessity sprung, and to discover in the economic conditions thus created the means of ending the conflict.”

Therefore, it’s very much clear to Engels that the socialism is not a matter of manufacturing, rather, it’s the historical outcome of the antagonism of capitalist society by ending the conflict, and it’s the historical necessity. Thus, except wage slaves no other class is revolutionary to replace the capitalism, so, only the wage slaves as a class, alone will conquer the socialism.

Therefore, fight for free any national boundary from the colonial ruler is not the job of wage slaves what Lenin had formulated by this book, thus, this nonsense book is totally false on last stage of capitalism. On the other hand peasants

are not the part of historically developed proletariat, moreover they are reactionary thus, they are not a force to replace the capitalism, rather, they are against industrial development too, thus not peasants but only and alone workers is the revolutionary class to end the wage slavery.

So, a communist party is a party of only wage slaves to unite them globally for a communist revolution to end the wage slavery by ending selling and buying for communism which is not the state ownership but the ownership of means of production of all, for all and by all of the world, where there is no antagonism.

Thus, Leninist parties with the symbol – hammer & scythe, are not fit and eligible to consider as communist party. So, no Leninist party is communist revolutionary party at all. Thereby, Leninist state is not at all socialist; rather all these are against wage slaves. So, Leninist parties are enemy of the wage slaves of the world.

Let us see what was the observation and conclusion of Marx about “Imperialism”.

Marx wrote as: “Imperialism is, at the same time, the most prostitute and the ultimate form of the state power which nascent middle class society had commenced to elaborate as a means of its own emancipation from feudalism, and which full-grown bourgeois society had finally transformed into a means for the enslavement of labor by capital.”; In his book, **THE CIVIL WAR IN FRANCE**; First Published: 1871;

Therefore, ‘full-grown bourgeois society’ is not without “highest stage of capitalism” and therefore, the last stage of capitalism was not unnoticed by Marx too. And, ‘imperialism’ is nothing but the transformation of capitalist state as means for the enslavement of labor by capital, thus it’s the ultimate form of state power with the most heinous prostitute character.

Thus, by Marx the “Imperialism” is the ultimate form of state, there-by, state will vanish and disappear by the abolition of private property by the workers- the product of capitalist class. Thus, there is no scope to notice a state in communism by the communist revolution, though the political power, to some extent is necessary in the first step for socialization of means of production; And workers will gain the required political power to complete the socialization of means of production all over the world.

Noted, politics is not free from economy, but terms of politics and economics is not same. No doubt, the very term “Imperialism” is political but not an economical term.

If we consider that Lenin was right to determine and define the term – ‘imperialism’ then we have no option except to create so many socialist states as the consideration that the state were not reached to their last stage to disappear but those were effective machines to use to manufacture the Leninist socialism, but what we already seen, that does not prove the claim of Lenin because even USSR is not existed.

Certainly, not Lenin but Marx was right on imperialism. Thus, imperialism is nothing but the final formation of state as prostitute. So, at present nation state is dead, under the rule of the IMF. Thereby, states are defunct. Thus, no state can serve and protect the interest of capitalism, independently therefore, more or less all states are member of the most powerful global syndicate – the IMF. Thereafter, states have become the local agent of the IMF to implement the economic policies of the IMF. Even, increasing the number of the states is nothing but the reality of inability of a state to serve as a state independently & strongly. In fact state is the local and regional unit of the IMF, WB, etc, the global organizations. Thus, reality is that the IMF, WB etc are the protector of the defunct states of the world.

Therefore, so rightly Marx had defined the ‘imperialism’ as the final form of state.

So, it’s very much clear that Lenin wrote the books as mentioned above to serve and protect the decayed capitalism and ultimate form of state that is ‘imperialism’ to divide the workers of the world by implementing the policy of so-called ‘right of self-determination of nations’ by using the narrated false statement; And at the same time confuse the workers about the state and last stage of capitalism.

No doubt, it was so effective tactics to hide the science of communism by claiming that the book is the addition of so-called “Marxism” by Lenin. Therefore, Lenin was a great Marxist who was born for the Russian revolution, as claimed

by Stalin in his speech after the death of Lenin, is useful for the reactionary capitalist class as a whole.

So, this book is nothing but a fabricated by false and bogus information and foul views and with bad intention to create confusion about capitalism, state, communist revolution, communism etc& etc. Thus, it's a poisonous rubbish for the workers of the world but so helpful for the capitalist class. Therefore, not only Leninist bosses but also the so-called nationalist and patriotic capitalist have been using the very word 'imperialism' to win in the conflict with the capitalist of the contesting countries by winning the wage slaves also. More or less the newly founded states including Bangladesh are also against 'imperialism' by their respective constitutions, or declared principles, though at the same time they are the members of the IMF, or has been trying to get membership of all the global syndicates including the IMF, UN etc and sure, it's not only a double standard but the concrete and definite proof of prostitute character of the said states.

So, Marx was right and yet right on 'imperialism'. Thus, Lenin was not only wrong on 'imperialism' but also a willful and intentional liar and fraud.

Therefore, the CPSU had distorted the above mentioned paragraph of Marx in translation, i.e the Bengali version of 'full-grown bourgeois society' had manufacture as : "reorganized bourgeois society", published by the Progressive Publisher, Moscow, USSR, 1972, to justify the fraud and cheating of Lenin, by the such miss-interpretation of Marx.

No doubt, the meaning of ‘full-grown’ and ‘reorganized’ is not same and the translator of the said publisher was not unknown about the meaning of both the words. However, it’s not mere a mistake but an ill motivated and bad intentional political job of the CPSU, to create confusion among the wage slaves of the world. Therefore, is the CPSU eligible and fit for even to fie by the wage slaves of the world? No.

Lenin had written: “ The Treaty of Brest-Litovsk dictated by monarchist Germany, and the subsequent much more brutal and despicable Treaty of Versailles dictated by the “democratic” republics of America and France and also by “free” Britain, have rendered a most useful service to humanity by exposing both imperialism’s hired coolies of the pen and petty-bourgeois reactionaries who, although they call themselves pacifists and socialists, sang praises to “Wilsonism”, and insisted that peace and reforms were possible under imperialism.” In the PREFACE TO THE FRENCH AND GERMAN EDITIONS of his book, 6th July,1920.

Therefore, Lenin, himself had acknowledged that the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk had done by the dictation of the German monarch and it was not free from despicability. Thus, where was his so important theorization on so-called “ right of self-determination of nations” or national liberation, for what he also wrote like this book, a rubbish of historical dustbin?

The League of Nations was founded by the Treaty of Versailles, which was a brutal and despicable by the judgment of Lenin himself. And no doubt his so-called 3rd International was against the League of Nations. Though, his international was defeated not by the League of Nations but

by him as he introduced new economic policy that was against the state ownership.

However, no Leninist joined to the League of Nations as per above verdict of Lenin. But the great Leninist leader Stalin did not care the verdict of Lenin by joining to the League of Nations which was founded for the capitalist interest, absolutely. Thus, as a member of the League of Nations the USSR, led by Stalin was not out of the same object of it. Noted, before joining to the League of Nations, Mr. Stalin had deactivated the so-called 3rd International .

Failure of the League of Nations was due to the failure of it to maintain the capitalist world without war, which the capitalists mean as peace of the world, which was unavoidable due to the existence conditions of capital. Thus, the great recession of 1929 had started thereby the world had faced the 2nd world war to exhaust the capital stock. So, Germany, led by Hitler had started the 2nd world war by attacking Poland, in the year 1939.

Hitler was a nationalist socialist and Stalin was a Leninist socialist, and Lenin was for the so-called right of self-determination of nations, thus, they were friends, but both were against wage slaves of the world. So, Stalin, a friend of Hitler, came forward to cooperate Hitler to start the so heinous and brutal 2nd world war.

On the basis of “Neutrality fact” both Russia and Germany signed another agreement on non-attack to each other, 23th August, 1939. Immediate after this agreement Hitler attacked Poland, on 1st September, 1939 and Stalin attacked Poland in the west side of it, without any formal declaration of war on the basis of sec-2, the secret additional protocol of the mentioned agreement. And by October 1939, Stalin had

occupied the 20 million square Kilometers of land of Poland, with 13.5 civilians and 4,52,500 war prisoners. How funny! Therefore, where is the principle of so-called 'right of self-determination of nations' of Bolshevik Party or Lenin. Facts remain either Lenin, or Stalin no one had cared the principle of the mentioned rights.

Due to conflict on Yugoslavia Hitler had attacked the USSR, on 22 June, 1941. Therefore, Stalin took side of the Allied forces.

Not less than total 25 million people of the USSR were killed and total killing of 2nd world war was not less than 50 million, due to face the revolt of modern means of production against capitalist private ownership that is recession by the reactionary capitalist class. Sure, wage slaves of the world was not unified to face and fight the capitalist class to overcome the waste situation of recession to replace the capitalism, by vanishing capitalist mode of production, rather they were divided not by only traditional policies of capitalist class but also the heinous politics of Leninism and its leader -Stalin.

To avoid the recession thus war and communist revolution – the winners of the 2nd world war had founded the UN, to end the colonial policy, which was not necessary because the whole world was under the control of the winner party, thereby, to rule and to protect the capitalism and capitalist interest all over the world, they had founded a most powerful finance syndicate- the IMF to control the world economy as a whole.

Thus, conclusion of Lenin on redistribution of the world market has proved again wrong, what he said in his such a rubbish book - “Imperialism- the highest stage of capitalism”, by founding the said global organizations by his

disciples Mr. Stalin as a partner of the winners of the 2nd world war .

Let us examine the aims & objects and powers & functions of the global organization, founded by the winners of 2nd world war.

The UN Charter describes:

“PREAMBLE

**WE THE PEOPLES OF THE UNITED NATIONS
DETERMINED**

To save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, which twice in our lifetime has brought untold sorrow to mankind, and

To reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small, and

to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained, and

to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

AND FOR THESE ENDS

to practice tolerance and live together in peace with one another as good neighbours, and

to unite our strength to maintain international peace and security, and

to ensure, by the acceptance of principles and the institution of methods, that armed force shall not be used, save in the common interest, and

to employ international machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of all peoples,”

And

“CHAPTER I: PURPOSES AND PRINCIPLES

Article 1

The Purposes of the United Nations are:

To maintain international peace and security, and to that end: to take effective collective measures for the prevention and removal of threats to the peace, and for the suppression of acts of aggression or other breaches of the peace, and to bring about by peaceful means, and in conformity with the principles of justice and international law, adjustment or settlement of international disputes or situations which might lead to a breach of the peace;

To develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples, and to take other appropriate measures to strengthen universal peace;

To achieve international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for

human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion; and

To be a centre for harmonizing the actions of nations in the attainment of these common ends.”

By adopting and accepting this charter, the winners of the 2nd world war had not only damaged and injured but also surrendered the sovereignty and independency of states. In fact the states have lost their independent capacity to control and manage the economy and peoples in their respective areas. Thus, the effect of this charter increases the member states and as of now it is 198, but it was started with 51 members, in 1945.

Again these events had proved that Marx was not wrong on the conditions of state as it had become ‘imperialist’ that is the final stage of it. Therefore, states has failed to maintain its authority thus, number of states has been increasing by breaking and dividing the old states. Though, they called the new states as a nation state but no one state has independent power and capacity to serve and protect the so-called national interest. Thus, nation state is defunct.

The IMF agreement has confirmed it.

The IMF Agreement has started as :

“ Introductory Article-

- (i) The International Monetary Fund is established and shall operate in accordance with the provisions of this

Agreement as originally adopted and subsequently amended.

(ii) To enable the Fund to conduct its operations and transactions, the Fund shall maintain a General Department and a Special Drawing Rights Department. Membership in the Fund shall give the right to participation in the Special Drawing Rights Department.

(iii) Operations and transactions authorized by this Agreement shall be conducted through the General Department, consisting in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement of the General Resources Account, the Special Disbursement Account, and the Investment Account; except that operations and transactions involving special drawing rights shall be conducted through the Special Drawing Rights Department.

Article I: Purposes

The purposes of the International Monetary Fund are:

(i) To promote international monetary cooperation through a permanent institution{.This} which provides the machinery for consultation and collaboration on international monetary problems.

(ii) To facilitate the expansion and balanced growth of international trade, and to contribute thereby to the promotion and maintenance of high levels of employment and real income and to the development of the productive resources of all members as primary objectives of economic policy.

(iii) To promote exchange stability, to maintain orderly exchange arrangements among members, and to avoid competitive exchange depreciation.

(iv) To assist in the establishment of a multilateral system of payments in respect of current transactions between members and in the elimination of foreign exchange restrictions which hamper the growth of world trade.

(v) To give confidence to members by making the general resources of the Fund temporarily available to them under adequate safeguards, thus providing them with opportunity to correct maladjustments in their balance of payments without resorting to measures destructive of national or international prosperity.

(vi) In accordance with the above, to shorten the duration and lessen the degree of disequilibrium in the international balances of payments of members.

The Fund shall be guided in all its policies and decisions by the purposes set forth in this Articles. ”

Therefore, all member states of the IMF, are the lowest unit to implement the agreed purpose of the IMF in their respective territories . Therefore, no state have power to determine its even transaction of its currency and foreign exchange freely to serve its own interest, rather the IMF is the authority to remove all restriction on this case.

For this purpose member states are obligated to submitted their accounts to the central executive authority, by the condition of respective the agreement of the IMF is as :

“ Article VIII: General Obligations of Members

Section 5. Furnishing of information:

(a) The Fund may require members to furnish it with such information as it deems necessary for its activities, including, as the minimum necessary for the effective discharge of the Fund’s duties, national data on the following matters:

- (i) official holdings at home and abroad of (1) gold, (2) foreign exchange;
- (ii) holdings at home and abroad by banking and financial agencies, other than official agencies, of (1) gold, (2) foreign exchange;
- (iii) production of gold;
- (iv) gold exports and imports according to countries of destination and origin;
- (v) total exports and imports of merchandise, in terms of local currency values, according to countries of destination and origin;
- (vi) international balance of payments, including (1) trade in goods and services, (2) gold transactions, (3) known capital transactions, and (4) other items;
- (vii) international investment position, i.e., investments within the territories of the member owned abroad and investments abroad owned by persons in its territories so far as it is possible to furnish this information;
- (viii) national income;
- (ix) price indices, i.e., indices of commodity prices in wholesale and retail markets and of export and import prices;

- (x) buying and selling rates for foreign currencies;
 - (xi) exchange controls, i.e., a comprehensive statement of exchange controls in effect at the time of assuming membership in the Fund and details of subsequent changes as they occur; and
 - (xii) where official clearing arrangements exist, details of amounts awaiting clearance in respect of commercial and financial transactions, and of the length of time during which such arrears have been outstanding.
- (b) In requesting information the Fund shall take into consideration the varying ability of members to furnish the data requested. Members shall be under no obligation to furnish information in such detail that the affairs of individuals or corporations are disclosed. Members undertake, however, to furnish the desired information in as detailed and accurate a manner as is practicable and, so far as possible, to avoid mere estimates.
 - (c) The Fund may arrange to obtain further information by agreement with members. It shall act as a centre for the collection and exchange of information on monetary and financial problems, thus facilitating the preparation of studies designed to assist members in developing policies which further the purposes of the Fund.”

Sure, nothing is remaining in the hand of a member state of the IMF to hide, rather, winners of the 2nd world war were not unknown that capitalist are liar, fraud, cheat thus, their doubts came into force by this agreement that members of the IMF could hide their accounts while they submit their balance sheet, thus,

members are bound to submit the accounts whenever the authority demand , therefore, members are not out of “ firm surveillance” of the executive directors of the IMF- the salary earner employees .

So, what is the secret and secrecy of the members of the IMF on their national production, export, import, and income or expenditure to the authority of the IMF? Nothing. Sure, the whole world economy is under the absolute control of the IMF.

To control the world economy thus the power, capacity including judicial of the said world ruler, the IMF has been narrated as:

“Article IX: Status, Immunities, and Privileges

Section 1. Purposes of Article

To enable the Fund to fulfill the functions with which it is entrusted, the status, immunities, and privileges set forth in this Article shall be accorded to the Fund in the territories of each member.

Section 2. Status of the Fund

The Fund shall possess full juridical personality, and in particular, the capacity:

- (i) to contract;
- (ii) to acquire and dispose of immovable and movable property; and
- (iii) to institute legal proceedings.

Section 3. Immunity from judicial process

The Fund, its property and its assets, wherever located and by whomsoever held, shall enjoy immunity from every form of judicial process except to the extent that it expressly waives its immunity for the purpose of any proceedings or by the terms of any contract.

Section 4. Immunity from other action

Property and assets of the Fund, wherever located and by whomsoever held, shall be immune from search, requisition, confiscation, expropriation, or any other form of seizure by executive or legislative action.

Section 5. Immunity of archives

The archives of the Fund shall be inviolable.

Section 6. Freedom of assets from restrictions

To the extent necessary to carry out the activities provided for in this Agreement, all property and assets of the Fund shall be

free from restrictions, regulations, controls, and moratoria of any nature.

Section 7. Privilege for communications

The official communications of the Fund shall be accorded by members the same treatment as the official communications of other members.

Section 8. Immunities and privileges of officers and employees

All Governors, Executive Directors, Alternates, members of committees, representatives appointed under Article XII, Section 3(j), advisors of any of the foregoing persons, officers, and employees of the Fund:

- (i) shall be immune from legal process with respect to acts performed by them in their official capacity except when the Fund waives this immunity;
- (ii) not being local nationals, shall be granted the same immunities from immigration restrictions, alien registration requirements, and national service obligations and the same facilities as regards exchange restrictions as are accorded by members to the representatives, officials, and employees of comparable rank of other members; and

- (iii) shall be granted the same treatment in respect of traveling facilities as is accorded by members to representatives, officials, and employees of comparable rank of other members.

Section 9. Immunities from taxation

- (a) The Fund, its assets, property, income, and its operations and transactions authorized by this Agreement shall be immune from all taxation and from all customs duties. The Fund shall also be immune from liability for the collection or payment of any tax or duty.
- (b) No tax shall be levied on or in respect of salaries and emoluments paid by the Fund to Executive Directors, Alternates, officers, or employees of the Fund who are not local citizens, local subjects, or other local nationals.
- (c) No taxation of any kind shall be levied on any obligation or security issued by the Fund, including any dividend or interest thereon, by whomsoever held:
 - (i) which discriminates against such obligation or security solely because of its origin; or
 - (ii) if the sole jurisdictional basis for such taxation is the place or currency in which it is issued, made payable or paid, or the location of any office or place of business maintained by the Fund.

Section 10. Application of Article

Each member shall take such action as is necessary in its own territories for the purpose of making effective in terms of its

own law the principles set forth in this Article and shall inform the Fund of the detailed action which it has taken.”

Therefore, each member of the IMF is obligated to change its own law, even constitution to implement the principles of the IMF, if necessary by the agreement of the IMF. Thus, no member has scope to follow its own laws or constitution contrary to the principles of IMF by which it was constituted as a state. More or less all constitutions of the states are supreme law for them, to implement the declared principles by the constitution and all constitutional portfolio holders are committed and obligated to support, defend and protect the respective constitution of the concerned states. But, by accepting this agreement the members have lost their power to support, defend and protect their respective constitutions, and laws. Thereby the executives and the constitutional authorities have become just the local authority of the IMF for defying and cancelling their own laws thus, member states of the IMF has become defunct.

Every state has a judiciary with a supreme court to justify even the activities of the executives of the state. It has power to judge under the law of land within its territory, and no one is out of its judicial authority. But, by this agreement the IMF is out of any local court, even no office of the IMF is under the judicial power of the respective state where all the offices of the IMF are functioning by the protection of the state security forces. Thus, by this agreement the state has lost its judicial power too.

Officers of the IMF have no need to follow and care any such official provisions of any country except its own office to come and go from any state to another state by this agreement. Thus, no member of the IMF has the supreme power to control and restrict anyone to come and go from its own territory as per laws of their land.

Sure, there is no such powerful body in the world except the IMF, who can judge and implement its own verdict against the defaulters to determine the penalty by it by the accusation of it, and by the evidence of it.

Every member state of the IMF is obligated to submit the whole account including national income, export, and import with destination and origin by mentioning the foreign and local currencies, therefore, no state has any such secrecy or all economic and economic related issues are not unknown to the authority of the IMF.

No member state of the IMF has capacity to fix and determine, even tax and tariff policies, independently. Therefore, no member state of the IMF has the independent power to earn and expend its own way. Thus, no state of the IMF has independent capacity to decide the investment policies including development too. Rather, all the members of the IMF are obligated to implement the investment policies, determined by the IMF.

Member states of the IMF are not free from determining the exchange rate of currencies by the recommended rate of the IMF.

Member states of the IMF are not free to shutdown, downsize, etc of its establishments, including industry by the policy decision, prescription and condition of the IMF.

Member states of the IMF are not free to privatize its own establishments, including Bank by the determined policy of the IMF.

Member states of the IMF are not free to fix and re-fix the service charges of public utilities by the terms and conditions of the loan of the IMF.

Member states of the IMF are not free to determine the wage structure.

So, it's very much clear that the winners of the 2nd world war had conquered the world to rule the world economy by avoiding the competition of the different sections of the capitalist class of the world as a whole from a single such powerful syndicate to serve and protect the capitalist interest globally by ending the colonial policy to avoid the recession, which is the cause of war and certainly the great recession of 1929 was the cause of the 2nd world war.

Therefore, states are defunct thus, nation states are dead under the absolute rule of the IMF. So, democracy is dead.

But, the IMF already has failed to control the world economy without recession, because of existence conditions of capital, thus, it failed to avoid the recessions of 1980s and 2008 to till ongoing. Sure, Marx was again right on recession and failure

of capitalist class to serve and protect the capitalist society as because it have no more space to accommodate the whole production of capitalist class thus, the capitalist class has become disabled to rule the society, but they are trying to roll back the wheel of the history by all such bad efforts and nonsense jobs to save it from the extinction of it by the wage slaves of the world , so the capitalist class is not only conservative but also reactionary at death door of the capitalism. So, the capitalism is in moribund condition.

The reactionary capitalist class has concluded the colonial policy by founding the mentioned global authorities, including IMF, the so powerful syndicate. By the effect of the mentioned action of the capitalist class, there have been so many new and new states emerged. There is some abandon properties, abandoned by the colonial or defeated capitalists, some are losing concern and some are heavy establishments including industries have been inherited by the new states.

Therefore, nationalization has become one of the main agenda of the states, though they were not out of the rule of the IMF to run the state with its so many commitments of welfare by the nationalized sector to run and maintain the mentioned establishments including bank. Some countries have described it as socialist programme of the socialist states. So, there were and are so many so-called socialist states under the rule of the capitalist IMF to serve and protect the moribund capitalist system by the claim of the new rules of the new countries. China, Vietnam, DPRK, Cuba etc. are the same type socialist countries. Claimed east European socialist

block was not free from such socialism by the dominance of the USSR.

By the agreement of the IMF, it has been serving its all functions & duties by the executive power of 20 executive directors of it; in general. 5, out of 20 executive directors have been appointing by the 5 big powers of the world, by the SDR capacity and in general no executive decision could be taken without 85% positive vote. But, yet the voting power of the USA has been not down below 16% by its SDR. Thus, the hard reality is that no decision of the IMF could be taken against the will of the USA.

Schedule A: Quotas, of the agreement of the IMF has shown that the USSR lead by Stalin was the 3rd big power with its \$1200 SDR, from its founding period.

Thereafter, the USSR was not out of obligation to carry and follow the decision of the IMF to implementing its principles and decided policies.

To face the recession of 1980s the IMF has introduced the free market economy by privatizing the nationalized sectors. And it was obligatory for all members of the IMF. Noted, nationalized sector has played an important role all over the world, because of failure of the capitalist class to sustain their respective companies.

The USSR was not free from the effect of the denationalization policy of the IMF. China, Vietnam etc has become so-called socialist with market economy.

Discriminations among the peoples of the USSR were so much high. Moneyed persons of the USSR were so hungry to utilize their capital legally to increase their money by investing in various sectors of the economy including international trade and commerce.

No doubt, more or less moneyed persons of the USSR were not out of the state power and ruling party to use the party and state power to earn the money. So, they were also so hungry to form a capitalist state by dissolution the state capitalist state to end the monopoly of state. And workers were against sufferings and miseries of their lives. Though, the interest of the moneyed persons and workers are not same but the state capitalist state – the USSR was not friendly for both of them. Therefore, workers were not against the move for dissolution of the USSR. Certainly sure, life style of rulers of the USSR was so jealous to others. Therefore, with all such required terms and conditions the situation becomes matured to break and dissolution the USSR.

The nationalized sectors were established in USSR by the various decrees and the constitution of Lenin-1918. But, without considering the constitutional obligations by Lenin himself had already introduced New Economic Policy (NEP) which was totally violation of his own decrees and constitution-1918.

The private property got the ground by the NEP at first in so-called socialist Russia of Lenin.

The private property got the constitutional protection with the rights of inheritances by the Article 9 and 10 of the Constitution of the USSR-1936, introduced by Stalin. Therefore, the private property holders got the chance to develop their role in the field of economy and politics.

The Article -13 of the constitution of USSR-1977, was for the same purpose.

The private property holders got the political right to hold and contest in the different post of government departments and even at the executives of the state by the Article-100,(2) and (3) of the constitution of the USSR -1977 .

Thereafter, the Citizen of Russia got the full scope to utilize their capital freely by the constitution of Russia-1993, as described :

“Article 34

1. Everyone shall have the right to use freely his (her) abilities and property for entrepreneurial and other economic activity not prohibited by law.
2. Economic activity aimed at monopolization and unfair competition shall not be permitted.

Article 35

- . The right of private property shall be protected by law.
2. Everyone shall have the right to have property and to possess, use and dispose of it both individually and jointly with other persons.

3. Nobody may be deprived of property except under a court order. Forced alienation of property for State requirements may take place only subject to prior and fair compensation.

4. The right of inheritance shall be guaranteed.”

Therefore, it is very much clear by the above mentioned constitutional practice and exercise was for the interest of the private property thus, the interest of private property has played a key and determining role to break the USSR by proving wrong –the claim of J.V.Stalin who said that the USSR is unbreakable.

Therefore, USSR-the state of Lenin has created all the conditions for falling and dissolution of it. So, fall of USSR was due by its self-term.

The End.

Posted: March-2014. Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Published

INFORMATION CENTRE FOR WORKERS FREEDOM

Web-site: www.icwfreedom.org

e-mail: whatandwhy2@hotmail.com>

icwfreedom@gmail.com>

On line group:

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/whatandwhy2/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/What.Why/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/COMMUNIST.REVOLUTION.UNIVERSAL/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/forcommunism/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/COMMUNIST.PARTY.GLOBAL/>

Page:

<https://www.facebook.com/www.icwfreedom.org>

Mob: (880) +01715345006; and 01675216486.

