

Morris Animal Foundation Abstract Scoring Guidelines

Scientific Merit & Proposed Approach	 Study design contains fatal flaw(s) Other component of proposal contains fatal flaw (i.e. guideline infraction, applicant ineligible) 	 Study design contains significant flaw(s) Other component of application weak (i.e. scientific team lacks relevant experience, statistical analysis lacking) Concerns about proposed approach 	 Study design contains moderate flaw(s) Proposed approach appropriate Timeline adequate Approach somewhat innovative/novel 	 Excellent, well-described study design; hypothesis, objectives, specific aims clearly specified Scientific design adequate to answer question Objectives achievable within timeline Innovative/creative approach (pilot studies particularly)
	< 5 (low)		5-7 (medium)	8-10 (high)
	Does not qualify to advance to full review		May qualify to advance to full review	Qualifies to advance to full review
Impact of Topic	 Topic not in animal health Will not impact identified field in animal health as written Proposed species to benefit not in Morris Animal Foundation funding area Will not impact identified field as written 	 Topic is disease/issue that causes low morbidity in a small number of animals Research has been done, duplication Impact on identified field not clear 	 Topic is disease/issue that causes low morbidity/mortality in large number of animals or higher morbidity/mortality in fewer animals Some need for research in this area Research likely to make some impact on identified field 	 Topic is disease/issue that causes high morbidity/mortality in a large number of animals Research will improve the survival of endangered species or exotic captive animals. Great need for research in this area Research likely to make significant impact on identified field
	< 5 (low)		5-7 (medium)	8-10 (high)
	Does not qualify to advance to full review		May qualify to advance to full review	Qualifies to advance to full review