

1 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION  
 CINDY COHN (145997)  
 2 cindy@eff.org  
 LEE TIEN (148216)  
 3 tien@eff.org  
 KURT OPSAHL (191303)  
 4 kurt@eff.org  
 KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026)  
 5 bankston@eff.org  
 CORYNNE MCSHERRY (221504)  
 6 corynne@eff.org  
 JAMES S. TYRE (083117)  
 7 jstyre@eff.org  
 454 Shotwell Street  
 8 San Francisco, CA 94110  
 Telephone: 415/436-9333  
 9 415/436-9993 (fax)

TRABER & VOORHEES  
 BERT VOORHEES (137623)  
 bv@tvlegal.com  
 THERESA M. TRABER (116305)  
 tmt@tvlegal.com  
 128 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204  
 Pasadena, CA 91103  
 Telephone: 626/585-9611  
 626/ 577-7079 (fax)

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 [Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13  
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,	)	No. C-06-00672-VRW
CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN, on	)	
16 Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly	)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
Situated,	)	
	)	DECLARATION OF LEE TIEN IN
	)	SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
	)	MOTIONS TO EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FOR
	)	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
18 vs.	)	INJUNCTION AND TO LODGE
	)	DOCUMENTS WITH THE COURT (CIVIL
19 AT&T CORP., et al.	)	LOCAL RULES 7-11, 79-5)
	)	
	)	
20 Defendants.	)	
	)	
21	)	

22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 I, Lee Tien, hereby declare:

2 1. I am an attorney of record for Plaintiffs in this action and a member of good standing  
3 of the California State Bar, and am admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal  
4 knowledge of the matters stated in this declaration. If called upon to do so, I am competent to testify  
5 to all matters set forth herein.

6 2. On Friday, March 31, 2006, Plaintiffs have their Motion for Preliminary Injunction  
7 without filing the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of the Motion and two other  
8 supporting declarations and exhibits.

9 3. Plaintiffs' motion was filed without the supporting papers referenced above because  
10 on Thursday, March 30, 2006, the U.S. Government, which is not a party to this action, expressed  
11 concern that some of the documents might contain classified information and that lodging the  
12 documents with the Court under the procedures of Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) would be inadequate to  
13 protect their security. Declaration of Lee Tien, ¶¶11-12 (Dkt. 22) (Mar. 31, 2006) ("Tien Decl.").

14 4. At the Government's request, Plaintiffs provided the Government with copies of three  
15 AT&T documents for the Government's review. *Id.* ¶¶13-14.

16 5. The Government does not object to Plaintiffs' filing the three AT&T documents with  
17 the Court under seal. Ex. A (Letter of Mr. Anthony Coppolino, Special Litigation Counsel, U.S.  
18 Department of Justice).

19 6. Defendants object to the filing of the AT&T documents under seal pursuant to Civil  
20 Local Rule 79-5(d), without prior leave of court. Ex. B (Letter of Mr. Bruce Ericson).

21 7. Plaintiffs have responded to AT&T and asserted that they believe that the court's  
22 sealing processes are sufficient to protect AT&T's interests. Ex. C (Letter of Ms. Cindy Cohn).

23 8. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have been unable to agree to a stipulation with Defendants on  
24 the treatment of the AT&T documents.

25 9. Despite the requirements of Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), Plaintiffs are not lodging  
26 redacted versions of any of the sealed documents being lodged with the Court in view of the unusual  
27 circumstances surrounding Plaintiffs' filing, including the Government's actions with respect to the  
28 AT&T documents.

1           10.     On Thursday, March 30, 2006, Plaintiffs also discussed a stipulation with Defendants  
2 to extend the page limit to 35 pages for the Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in  
3 support of their Motion for Preliminary Injunction, offering to reciprocate by extending Defendants'  
4 page limit for their Opposition to Plaintiffs' motion.

5           11.     Defendants indicated that they did not object to such stipulation in principle, and did  
6 not refuse to extend the page limit, but they also did not formally stipulate to such extension.

7           12.     Defendants proposed a broader stipulation that included agreement for a 35 page  
8 brief, was unacceptable to Plaintiffs in other portions. There have been no further discussions  
9 between the parties on this matter.

10           I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is  
11 true and correct. Executed this 5th day of April, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

12

13

14

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ LEE TIEN  
LEE TIEN

15

16

17

18

19

I, Reed R. Kathrein, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this  
DECLARATION OF LEE TIEN IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO EXTEND  
PAGE LIMIT FOR MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND TO LODGE  
DOCUMENTS WITH THE COURT (CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11, 79-5). In compliance with  
General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Lee Tien has concurred in this filing.

20

T:\CasesSF\AT&T Privacy\Shared\_Counsel\dec00029711.doc

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28