1 2 3 4	LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP SUSAN T. KUMAGAI #127667 100 Spear Street #600 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 357-4600 Facsimile: (415) 357-4605 Email: skumagai@lkclaw.com			
5	HOWARD WASSERMAN (Pro Hac Vice Appl	lication Pending)		
6	Florida International University College of Law University Park, RDB 2065			
7	Miami, Florida 33199 Telephone: (305) 348-7482 Faccimilar (786) 417, 2422			
8	Facsimile: (786) 417-2433 Email: howard.wasserman@fiu.edu			
9	Attorneys for Amici Curiae Law Professors Stev Don Doernberg, Richard D. Freer, Stephen B. P.			
10	Robert J. Pushaw, Ronald D. Rotunda, Stephen Michael E. Solimine, William W. Van Alstyne,	F. Smith,		
11	Howard M. Wasserman	and		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13				
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION		
16		MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW		
17	In re:	MOTION OF AMICI CURIAE LAW		
18	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	PROFESSORS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF (1) THE		
19	LITIGATION	CONSTITUTIONALITY OF § 802 OF THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE		
20		SURVEILLANCE ACT OF 1978 AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008, AND (2)		
21		THE MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO DISMISS (Dkt. 469);		
22		[PROPOSED] ORDER		
23	This Document Relates To All Cases Except:	Date: December 1, 2008 Time: 10 am		
24	Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc. v. Bush (07-109); Center for Constitutional Rights v.	Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor		
25	Bush (07-01115); Guzzi v. Bush (06-6225); Shubert v. Bush (07-693); Clayton v. AT&T	Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker		
26	Commc'ns of the Southwest (07-1187); United States v. Adams (07-01323); United States v.			
27	Clayton (07-01242); United States v. Palermino (07-1326); United States v. Rabner			
28	(07-01324); United States v. Volz (07-01396)			

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Steven G. Calabresi, Don Doernberg, Richard D. 3 Freer, Stephen B. Presser, Robert J. Pushaw, Ronald D. Rotunda, Stephen F. Smith, 4 Michael E. Solimine, William W. Van Alstyne, and Howard M. Wasserman (collectively, 5 "Amici Curiae Law Professors") respectfully request the Court's leave to participate as 6 amici curiae in the above-captioned litigation in support of (1) the constitutionality of § 802 7 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 Amendments Act of 2008 and (2) the 8 Motion of the United States to Dismiss or, In the Alternative, For Summary Judgment (Dkt. 9 469). To ensure ample opportunity for Plaintiffs to respond to the arguments in Amici 10 Curiae Law Professors' 15-page brief, Amici Curiae Law Professors file this motion on the 11 same day the United States and Carrier Defendants file their briefs in support of the United 12 States' Motion to Dismiss. While the United States and Carrier Defendants have consented 13 to this motion, Plaintiffs have not. Plaintiffs have not yet determined whether they intend 14 to oppose this motion. Notably, this Court granted amicus curiae Brennan Center for 15 Justice leave to submit a 20-page brief in opposition to the Government's motion to dismiss 16 (Dkt. 502), despite the Brennan Center's lack of consent from the United States and Carrier 17 Defendants. 18 I. Standard For Motion for Leave to File Brief of Amici Curiae 19 The Court has broad discretion to permit third parties to participate in an action as 20 amici curiae. Gerritsen v. de la Madrid Hurtado, 819 F.2d 1511, 1514 n.3 (9th Cir. 1987). 21 Participation of amici curiae may be appropriate where legal issues in a case have potential 22 ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or where amici can offer a unique 23 perspective to aid the Court. Sonoma Falls Dev., LLC v. Nev. Gold & Casinos, Inc., 272 F. 24 Supp. 2d 919, 925 (N.D. Cal. 2002). Indeed, as noted above, this Court has already granted 25 amicus curiae Brennan Center for Justice leave to submit a brief in opposition to the

27 II. Statement of Identity and Interest of Amici Curiae

Government's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 502).

26

28

Amici are law professors and scholars in constitutional law, federal courts, and civil

1	procedure, who have taught, written, and spoken, and hold strong views about, the	
2	constitutional separation-of-powers issues related to the Supreme Court precedent of United	
3	States v. Klein, 80 U.S. 128 (1871). Amici wish to submit a brief to this court analyzing the	
4	history, meaning, and doctrinal effects of Klein and to show that this precedent, properly	
5	understood and applied, does not render unconstitutional the immunity provisions of § 802	
6	of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 Amendments Act of 2008. Amici	
7	believe that, to the extent issues related to Klein are implicated in the instant case, it is	
8	essential that those issues be thoroughly analyzed and correctly resolved as a matter of law.	
9	Amici represent a broad range of divergent political and ideological views as to the	
10	policy, wisdom and justice of the congressional decision to grant immunity to the	
11	telecommunications company defendants. But signatories agree that there is no	
12	constitutional defect in the statute. Regardless of whether Congress should have granted	
13	this immunity, signatories believe Congress possesses the constitutional power to do so.	
14	Amici are:	
15	• Steven G. Calabresi, George C. Dix Professor of Law, Northwestern University	
16	School of Law	
17	• Don Doernberg, Professor of Law, Pace Law School	
18	• Richard D. Freer, Robert Howell Hall Professor of Law, Emory University School	
19	of Law	
20	• Stephen B. Presser, Raoul Berger Professor of Legal History, Northwestern	
21	University School of Law	
22	• Robert J. Pushaw, James Wilson Endowed Professor of Law, Pepperdine	
23	University School of Law	
24	• Ronald D. Rotunda, Professor of Law, Chapman University School of Law	
25	• Stephen F. Smith, John V. Ray Research Professor, University of Virginia School	
26	of Law	
27	• Michael E. Solimine, Donald P. Klekamp Professor of Law, University of	
28	Cincinnati College of Law	

Page 4 of 6

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
4			
5	In re:	MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW	
6	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
7			
8 9 10 11 12 13	This Document Relates To All Cases Except: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc. v. Bush (07-109); Center for Constitutional Rights v. Bush (07-01115); Guzzi v. Bush (06-6225); Shubert v. Bush (07-693); Clayton v. AT&T Commc'ns of the Southwest (07-1187); United States v. Adams (07-01323); United States v. Clayton (07-01242); United States v. Palermino (07-1326); United States v. Rabner (07-01324); United States v. Volz (07-01396)		
15	Good cause appearing, the Motion of An	nici Curiae I aw Professors Steven G	
16	Calabresi, Don Doernberg, Richard D. Freer, Stephen B. Presser, Robert J. Pushaw, Ronald		
17	D. Rotunda, Stephen F. Smith, Michael E. Solimine, William W. Van Alstyne, and Howard		
18	M. Wasserman for leave to file a brief in support of (1) the constitutionality of § 802 of the		
19	Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 Amendments Act Of 2008, and (2) the		
20	Motion of the United States to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment (Dkt.		
21	469), is hereby GRANTED.		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated: November, 2008		
24			
25	Hon. V	aughn R. Walker	
26	United	States Chief District Judge	
27			
28			

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	Leartify that a copy of this document was carved electronically on Nevember 5		
3 2008, on counsel of record in compliance with Federal Rule 5, Local Rule 5-6	I certify that a copy of this document was served electronically on November 5, 2008, on counsel of record in compliance with Federal Rule 5, Local Rule 5-6 and General Order 45, by use of the Court's ECF system.		
4			
5	<u>/s/ Susan T. Kumagai</u> SUSAN T. KUMAGAI		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			