



## NEW ZEALAND SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

P.O. Box 900,  
Wellington,  
New Zealand

8 July 2014

Mr David Fisher  
PO Box 32  
AUCKLAND

Dear Mr Fisher

### Official Information Act Request: Mr Dotcom

1. I refer to your email dated 17 April 2014 requesting copies of all information received, sent or otherwise created in relation to Kim Dotcom in October 2010. Accompanying this request was a letter from Mr Dotcom's lawyers consenting to the release of information about Mr Dotcom.
2. While processing this request some additional information, which was not released in response to your earlier Official Information Act request concerning Mr Dotcom in September 2012, has been located and considered for release.
3. Please find enclosed:
  - (a) a letter from the NZSIS to the NZ Police dated 14 October 2010, together with three drafts of this letter;
  - (b) an email chain between the NZSIS and Immigration New Zealand,
  - (c) 19 internal NZSIS emails; and
  - (d) administrative documentation recording NZSIS' security assessment in respect of Mr Dotcom's immigration application.
4. Some information must be withheld from these documents on the grounds that its disclosure would be likely to prejudice the security of New Zealand and the international relations of the Government of New Zealand (section 6(a) of the Official Information Act), the entrusting of information to the Government of New Zealand (section 6(b) of the Official Information Act), and/or the maintenance of the law (section 6(c) of the Official Information Act).
5. The name of one Immigration New Zealand staff member has also been removed to protect privacy (section 9(2)(a) of the Official Information Act). I consider that there are no other considerations, which make it desirable in the public interest, to disclose this name.
6. NZSIS staff names have also been deleted pursuant to section 13A of the New Zealand Security Intelligence Service Act 1969.

7. One further document has been withheld in its entirety on the basis that disclosure would be likely to prejudice the security of New Zealand and the international relations of the Government of New Zealand (section 6(a) of the Official Information Act), the entrusting of information to the Government of New Zealand (section 6(b) of the Official Information Act) and the maintenance of the law (section 6(c) of the Official Information Act).

8. Where information has been withheld you have the right to make a complaint to the Ombudsman in accordance with section 28(3) of the Official Information Act.

9. An internal NZSIS email chain refers to 'political pressure'. I have enclosed a statement from Immigration New Zealand relating to this reference to 'political pressure'.

10. If you have any questions in respect of these documents, please contact Antony Byers, Director, National Security Communications ([antony.byers@dpmc.govt.nz](mailto:antony.byers@dpmc.govt.nz)).

Yours sincerely



Rebecca Kitteridge  
Director of Security

Encl.

## **Statement from Immigration New Zealand**

8 July 2014

Immigration New Zealand always aims to deliver the best possible service and process visa applications as quickly as possible, whether they are investor applications or residence, work, visitor or student applications. The reference to "political pressure" was an interpretation of a conversation that took place between NZSIS and INZ staff almost four years ago. It's important to stress that after the amount of time that has elapsed it is impossible to know whether this is an accurate reflection of comments that were made. INZ reiterates that the decision to grant residence to Mr Dotcom was made solely by INZ and the Minister of Immigration at the time was informed of the decision only after it had been made under the no surprises policy.

The business migration scheme was launched in 2009 after the Government identified attracting the right business migrants as a high priority initiative. As a result the Government was very interested in updates on the policy and INZ provided progress reports on the amount of money invested regularly. INZ officers at the Business Migration Branch were very focused on Investor applications and processing them in as timely a manner as possible, while ensuring decisions were of the highest quality. It appears that the Government interest in the success of the policy may have been misconstrued as political pressure. As has been stated on numerous occasions this was not the case and INZ can state unequivocally that there was no political pressure regarding Mr Dotcom's residence application.

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: [REDACTED] Request to Discuss Kim DOTCOM  
Date: Monday, 11 October 2010 8:46:15 a.m.

---

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] sent this through regarding DOTCOM.

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com  
**Date:** Tuesday, 12 October 2010 9:20:40 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hey [REDACTED]

Thanks for writing this in my absence - nah, looks fine.

[REDACTED] (yum)  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----  
**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 October 2010 8:45 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Here is the letter I drafted up for [REDACTED]. Let me know if you want any changes.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 5:36 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Thanks [REDACTED]. I ran the draft past [REDACTED] too and there are a few changes from the two of us in the attached. Please can you send to [REDACTED] to format as a letter to [REDACTED].

<< File: Kim DOTCOM.doc >>  
Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----  
**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 11:18 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

<< File: Kim DOTCOM.doc >>

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

I wasn't really sure what I was meant to included or not but I have mocked up the above. Let me know if I need to change anything.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 8:57 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED] away sick. Please can you draft up a letter for [REDACTED] in her absence.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 8:55 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Grateful if you could pass a note based on the attached to [REDACTED]. Please include in that note a reference to the fact that [REDACTED]

Once that note has been passed to [REDACTED] please let [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] know so they can action from their end.

Many thanks.

[REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

1. A Permanent Resident Application for Kim DOTCOM (DPOB 21 January 1974, Germany AKA Kim SCHMITZ, Kimble SCHMITZ, Kim Tim Jim VESTOR Kim Tim Jim) and his partner Mona Verga DOTCOM [REDACTED] AKA Mona Aquino VERGA) was sent to NZSIS [REDACTED] on 06 August 2010 [REDACTED]

2. NZSIS subsequently [REDACTED] DOTCOM [REDACTED] hold any adverse intelligence that indicates that DOTCOM is a threat to our national security.

3. However the FBI [REDACTED] have expressed there keen interest in the possibility of a joint operation with New Zealand Police targeting DOTCOM.

4. [REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]

7. [REDACTED]

8. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further please don't hesitate to contact either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED].

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982



DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

1. As part of their routine checks with NZSIS, Immigration New Zealand informed us of the following individuals who have applied for New Zealand Permanent Residency:

NAME: Kim DOTCOM  
AKA: Kim SCHMITZ, 'Kimble' Kim SCHMITZ, Kim Tim Jim VESTOR  
DPOB: 21 January 1974, Germany  
PASSPORT: PX 8027717 (Finnish)

NAME: Mona Verga DOTCOM  
AKA: VERGA Mona Aquino  
DPOB: [REDACTED]  
PASSPORT: [REDACTED]  
MARITAL STATUS: Wife of above applicant  
CHILD: Kaylo DOTCOM  
PDOB: [REDACTED]  
CHILD: Kim DOTCOM  
PDOB: [REDACTED]

2. DOTCOM has come to NZSIS attention due to open source information regarding his numerous previous criminal convictions. He was convicted of 11 cases of computer fraud, 10 cases of "data espionage", 11 cases of stealing business secrets, and the receiving of stolen goods. [REDACTED] NZSIS [REDACTED] hold any adverse intelligence that indicates that DOTCOM is a threat to our national security. However, the FBI [REDACTED] have expressed their keen interest in the possibility of a joint operation with New Zealand Police targeting DOTCOM.

3. [REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]

7. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further please don't hesitate to contact [REDACTED].

Deleted: ¶  
Deleted: subsequently DOTCOM [REDACTED]  
Deleted: [REDACTED]  
Deleted: ¶  
Deleted: e  
Formatted: Justified  
Deleted: . MEGAUPLOAD  
Deleted: is  
Deleted: [REDACTED]  
Formatted: Justified  
Deleted: [REDACTED]  
Deleted: either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

1. As part of their routine checks with NZSIS, Immigration New Zealand informed us of the following individuals who have applied for New Zealand Permanent Residency:

NAME: Kim DOTCOM  
AKA: Kim SCHMITZ, 'Kimble' Kim SCHMITZ, Kim Tim Jim VESTOR  
DPOB: 21 January 1974, Germany  
PASSPORT: PX 8027717 (Finnish)

NAME: Mona Verga DOTCOM  
AKA: VERGA Mona Aquino  
DPOB: [REDACTED]  
PASSPORT: [REDACTED]  
MARITAL STATUS: Wife of above applicant  
CHILD: Kaylo DOTCOM  
PDOB: [REDACTED]  
CHILD: Kim DOTCOM  
PDOB: [REDACTED]

2. DOTCOM has come to NZSIS attention due to open source information regarding his numerous previous criminal convictions. He was convicted of 11 cases of computer fraud, 10 cases of "data espionage", 11 cases of stealing business secrets, and the receiving of stolen goods. [REDACTED] NZSIS [REDACTED] hold any adverse intelligence that indicates that DOTCOM is a threat to our national security. However, the FBI [REDACTED] have expressed their keen interest in the possibility of a joint operation with New Zealand Police targeting DOTCOM.

3. [REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]

7. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further please don't hesitate to contact [REDACTED].

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(X) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: Response 2102619  
Date: Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:48:53 p.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:35 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Response 2102619

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED] - sorry didn't know who to pass this one to.

Basically this is a PR that came to my desk - for obvious (criminal) reasons. There is no security concern as such but we have sent this away for [REDACTED] requests - and it seems DOTCOM is quite a bad but wealthy man. However, the FBI have come back to us and are looking to do a joint Op with NZ Police [REDACTED].

So if someone could please email the INZ officer responsible for this PR and let them know that this application is on hold due to current investigations in to DOTCOM.

We are in the very, very initial stages of the possible joint Op so won't be telling INZ of the Police or FBI's involvement.

Thanks,

[REDACTED] (yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 October 2010 1:24:01 p.m.

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hey [REDACTED], just finishing this off - is this letter to go via [REDACTED] or by handmail??

Cheers

PS: If [REDACTED] who will send it??

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 October 2010 10:00 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: SECRET~~

<<File: Kim DOTCOM.doc >>

Could you please action the below for me.

Thanks

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 5:36 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Thanks [REDACTED]. I ran the draft past [REDACTED] too and there are a few changes from the two of us in the attached. Please can you send to [REDACTED] to format as a letter to [REDACTED].

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 11:18 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

<< File: Kim DOTCOM.doc >>

I wasn't really sure what I was meant to included or not but I have mocked up the above. Let me know if I need to change anything.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 8:57 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED] away sick. Please can you draft up a letter for [REDACTED] in her absence.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 8:55 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Grateful if you could pass a note based on the attached to [REDACTED]. Please include in that note a reference to the fact that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Once that note has been passed to [REDACTED], please let [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] know so they can action from their end.

Many thanks.

[REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE:  
Date: Thursday, 14 October 2010 3:05:32 p.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hi [REDACTED],

Thanks for the reply. Nah, that's all good - it's just a pity there's never much [REDACTED],

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, 14 October 2010 2:56 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:44 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hi Team,

Just a question - [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] as my dude Kim DOTCOM - who has  
changed his name several times - [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

cheers

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

[redacted] (yum)  
ext. [redacted]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
() Official Information Act 1982



DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

2159235

14 October 2010

[REDACTED]  
Police Liaison Officer  
New Zealand Police  
WELLINGTON

**Kim DOTCOM and Mona Verga DOTCOM**

1. As part of their routine checks with NZSIS, Immigration New Zealand informed us of the following individuals who have applied for New Zealand Permanent Residency:

NAME: Kim DOTCOM  
AKA: Kim SCHMITZ, 'Kimble' Kim SCHMITZ, Kim Tim Jim VESTOR  
DPOB: 21 January 1974, Germany  
PASSPORT: PX 8027717 (Finnish)

NAME: Mona Verga DOTCOM  
AKA: VERGA Mona Aquino  
DPOB: [REDACTED]  
PASSPORT: [REDACTED]  
MARITAL STATUS: Wife of above applicant  
CHILD: Kaylo DOTCOM  
PDOB: [REDACTED]  
CHILD: Kim DOTCOM  
PDOB: [REDACTED]

2. DOTCOM has come to NZSIS attention due to open source information regarding his numerous previous criminal convictions. He was convicted of 11 cases of computer fraud, 10 cases of "data espionage", 11 cases of stealing business secrets, and the receiving of stolen goods. [REDACTED] NZSIS [REDACTED] hold any adverse intelligence that indicates that DOTCOM is a threat to our national security. However, the FBI [REDACTED] have expressed their keen interest in the possibility of a joint operation with New Zealand Police targeting DOTCOM.

3. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Property of the New Zealand Security Intelligence Service.  
Reclassification, or dissemination requires prior consent.

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( / ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

5. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]

7. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further please don't hesitate to contact [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]  
For the Director of Security

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(  ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Kim DOTCOM  
Date: Friday, 15 October 2010 8:48:54 a.m.

---

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Morning,

There is a letter waiting for you at records regarding Kim Dotcom. Kim is an individual with a criminal cyber background and the FBI have expressed some interest in a joint investigation with NZ police against Kim (we have also flicked a copy of this letter through [REDACTED] to you)

Hopefully the letter should explain everything, but if you have any questions please feel free to contact [REDACTED] or myself.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim DOTCOM  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 October 2010 5:13:50 p.m.

---

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED]

Got the letter, thanks.

I have left a voicemail message letting you know what I intend to do. If you haven't heard from me late next week please give me a gentle reminder!

Cheers,

[REDACTED]  
Extra [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 15 October 2010 8:49 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Kim DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Morning,

There is a letter waiting for you at records regarding Kim Dotcom. Kim is an individual with a criminal cyber background and the FBI have expressed some interest in a joint investigation with NZ police against Kim (we have also flicked a copy of this letter through [REDACTED] to you)

Hopefully the letter should explain everything, but if you have any questions please feel free to contact [REDACTED] or myself.

Thanks

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

~~Classification: SECRET~~

**NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT**

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 October 2010 3:41:36 p.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Thanks for taking care of this.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 21 October 2010 3:40 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hi all

The note on Kim DOTCOM went to [REDACTED] at the beginning of this week:

[REDACTED]

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
exl [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 October 2010 8:55 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

Grateful if you could pass a note based on the attached to [REDACTED]. Please include in that note a reference to the fact that [REDACTED].

Once that note has been passed to [REDACTED], please let [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] know so they can action from their end.

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
(✓) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

Many thanks.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(  ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Kim Dot Com  
Date: Friday, 22 October 2010 2:01:27 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, 21 October 2010 3:40 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hi all

The note on Kim DOTCOM went to [REDACTED] at the beginning of this week:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, 11 October 2010 8:55 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Kim Dot Com

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Grateful if you could pass a note based on the attached to [REDACTED]. Please

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

ALZSIS

include in that note a reference to the fact that [REDACTED]

Once that note has been passed to [REDACTED] please let [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] know so they can action from their end.

Many thanks.

[REDACTED]  
~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the

( ) Privacy Act 1993

( ✓ ) Official Information Act 1982



DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Response 2102619  
**Date:** Tuesday, 26 October 2010 9:14:00 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hey [REDACTED] - just a bit of an FYI re: DOTCOM. Wonder what "Political Pressure" INZ are under??

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 12:48 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Since DOTCOM is not of security concern, there is no reason for this application to be on hold with us. Please can you inform your INZ contacts of this, also noting that DOTCOM is the subject of a criminal investigation and that they need to discuss the case with NZ Police before they proceed with granting him PR.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
exl [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:45 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] away today, but little has changed with this case since her email last week. Kim DOTCOM is not of security concern but is likely to soon become the subject of a joint FBI / NZ Police criminal investigation. We have passed this over to NZ Police:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] - I'll chat to [REDACTED] about next steps for dealing with INZ and get back to you.

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the name of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1983  
( ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----  
**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:21 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Response 2102619  
**Importance:** High

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED]

INZ [REDACTED] has phoned me to advise that the INZ CEO ( Nigel BICKLE) is questioning why this case is on hold. Apparently there is some "political pressure" to process this case.

Can you advise INZ [REDACTED] as to what is happening. Naturally I cannot go back via phone or email on this case to [REDACTED].

You will need to ensure that the CEO is appropriately briefed on this case.

Over to you.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----  
**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:35 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Response 2102619

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED] sorry didn't know who to pass this one to.

Basically this is a PR that came to my desk - for obvious (criminal) reasons. There is no security concern as such but we have sent this away for [REDACTED] requests - and it seems DOTCOM is quite a bad but wealthy man. However, the FBI have come back to us and are looking to do a joint Op with NZ Police [REDACTED]

So if someone could please email the INZ officer responsible for this PR and let them know that this application is on hold due to current investigations in to DOTCOM.

We are in the very, very initial stages of the possible joint Op so won't be telling INZ of the Police or FBI's involvement.

Thanks,

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

[redacted] (yum)  
ext: [redacted]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

**NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT**

Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: DOTCOM  
**Date:** Wednesday, 27 October 2010 5:00:51 p.m.

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 October 2010 4:55 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Below is the response [REDACTED] replied to [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] from INZ was questioning our hold on DOTCOM. Basically we don't have anything else to add but I strongly suggest you tell Theo to discuss the case with NZ Police before DOTCOM is granted PR. Just from looking at his records INZ do have enough on his criminal history to not give him PR and he is [REDACTED] (The link below is FBI/NZ Police corr)

Hope this helps,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 12:48 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Since DOTCOM is not of security concern, there is no reason for this application to be on hold with us. Please can you inform your INZ contacts of this, also noting that DOTCOM is the subject of a criminal investigation and that they need to discuss the case with NZ Police before they proceed with granting him PR.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext. [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ✓ ) Official Information Act 1992

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:45 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] away today, but little has changed with this case since her email last week. Kim DOTCOM is not of security concern but is likely to soon become the subject of a joint FBI / NZ Police criminal investigation. We have passed this over to NZ Police:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I'll chat to [REDACTED] about next steps for dealing with INZ and get back to you.

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
exl [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:21 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Response 2102619  
**Importance:** High

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED]

INZ [REDACTED] has phoned me to advise that the INZ CEO ( Nigel BICKLE) is questioning why this case is on hold. Apparently there is some "political pressure" to process this case.

Can you advise INZ [REDACTED] as to what is happening. Naturally I cannot go back via phone or email on this case to [REDACTED]

You will need to ensure that the CEO is appropriately briefed on this case.

Over to you.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:35 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Response 2102619

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(u) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED] - sorry didn't know who to pass this one to.

Basically this is a PR that came to my desk - for obvious (criminal) reasons. There is no security concern as such but we have sent this away for [REDACTED] requests - and it seems DOTCOM is quite a bad but wealthy man. However, the FBI have come back to us and are looking to do a joint Op with NZ Police [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

So if someone could please email the INZ officer responsible for this PR and let them know that this application is on hold due to current investigations in to DOTCOM.

We are in the very, very initial stages of the possible joint Op so won't be telling INZ of the Police or FBI's involvement.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

Classification: RESTRICTED  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(\*) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: DOTCOM  
Date: Thursday, 28 October 2010 8:52:25 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

FYI, please follow up with [REDACTED] and then reply, it appears to be a "No comment".

[REDACTED]  
-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, 28 October 2010 8:44 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Thanks [REDACTED], have discussed this with Theo.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Security - it's worth doing, and it's worth doing right** 😊

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, 27 October 2010 4:55 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED],

Below is the response [REDACTED] replied to [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] from INZ was questioning our hold on DOTCOM. Basically we don't have anything else to add but I strongly suggest you tell Theo to discuss the case with NZ Police before DOTCOM is granted PR. Just from looking at his records INZ do have enough on his criminal history to not give him PR and he is [REDACTED] (The link below is FBI/NZ Police corr)

Hope this helps,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 12:48 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Since DOTCOM is not of security concern, there is no reason for this application to be on hold with us. Please can you inform your INZ contacts of this, also noting that DOTCOM is the subject of a criminal investigation and that they need to discuss the case with NZ Police before they proceed with granting him PR.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:45 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] away today, but little has changed with this case since her email last week. Kim DOTCOM is not of security concern but is likely to soon become the subject of a joint FBI / NZ Police criminal investigation. We have passed this over to NZ Police:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I'll chat to [REDACTED] about next steps for dealing with INZ and get back to you.

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:21 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Response 2102619  
**Importance:** High

~~Classification: SECRET~~

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the Privacy Act 1993  
(/ ) Official Information Act 1982



DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

[REDACTED]  
INZ [REDACTED] has phoned me to advise that the INZ CEO ( Nigel BICKLE) is questioning why this case is on hold. Apparently there is some "political pressure" to process this case.

Can you advise INZ [REDACTED] as to what is happening. Naturally I cannot go back via phone or email on this case to [REDACTED].

You will need to ensure that the CEO is appropriately briefed on this case.

Over to you.

[REDACTED]  
-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:35 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Response 2102619

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]  
Hi [REDACTED] - sorry didn't know who to pass this one to.

Basically this is a PR that came to my desk - for obvious (criminal) reasons. There is no security concern as such but we have sent this away for [REDACTED] requests - and it seems DOTCOM is quite a bad but wealthy man. However, the FBI have come back to us and are looking to do a joint Op with NZ Police [REDACTED]

So if someone could please email the INZ officer responsible for this PR and let them know that this application is on hold due to current investigations in to DOTCOM.

We are in the very, very initial stages of the possible joint Op so won't be telling INZ of the Police or FBI's involvement.

Thanks,

[REDACTED] (yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Classification: SECRET-

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: DOTCOM  
Date: Thursday, 28 October 2010 8:36:21 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

FYI & A,

[REDACTED]  
-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, 27 October 2010 4:55 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED],

Below is the response [REDACTED] replied to [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] from INZ was questioning our hold on DOTCOM. Basically we don't have anything else to add but I strongly suggest you tell Theo to discuss the case with NZ Police before DOTCOM is granted PR. Just from looking at his records INZ do have enough on his criminal history to not give him PR and he is [REDACTED] (The link below is FBI/NZ Police corr)

Hope this helps,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----  
From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, 22 October 2010 12:48 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Since DOTCOM is not of security concern, there is no reason for this application to be on hold with us. Please can you inform your INZ contacts of this, also noting that DOTCOM is the subject of a criminal investigation and that they need to discuss the case with NZ Police before they proceed with granting him PR.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the Privacy Act 1993  
(X) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, 22 October 2010 11:45 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] away today, but little has changed with this case since her email last week. Kim DOTCOM is not of security concern but is likely to soon become the subject of a joint FBI / NZ Police criminal investigation. We have passed this over to NZ Police:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I'll chat to [REDACTED] about next steps for dealing with INZ and get back to you.

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, 22 October 2010 11:21 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: Response 2102619  
Importance: High

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED]

INZ [REDACTED] has phoned me to advise that the INZ CEO ( Nigel BICKLE) is questioning why this case is on hold. Apparently there is some "political pressure" to process this case.

Can you advise INZ [REDACTED] as to what is happening. Naturally I cannot go back via phone or email on this case to [REDACTED].

You will need to ensure that the CEO is appropriately briefed on this case.

Over to you.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:35 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Response 2102619

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( / ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED] - sorry didn't know who to pass this one to.

Basically this is a PR that came to my desk - for obvious (criminal) reasons. There is no security concern as such but we have sent this away for trace requests - and it seems DOTCOM is quite a bad but wealthy man. However, the FBI have come back to us and are looking to do a joint Op with NZ Police [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

So if someone could please email the INZ officer responsible for this PR and let them know that this application is on hold due to current investigations in to DOTCOM.

We are in the very, very initial stages of the possible joint Op so won't be telling INZ of the Police or FBI's involvement.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(/ ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: DOTCOM  
**Date:** Thursday, 28 October 2010 9:41:58 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED] thanks for this.

However can you let Theo Kuper know by secure comms the background regarding FBI/NZ Police as he has a meeting with the Minister of Immigration at 1500hrs today about Mr DOTCOM.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 October 2010 8:44 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Thanks [REDACTED], have discussed this with Theo.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Security - it's worth doing, and it's worth doing right** 😊

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 October 2010 4:55 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DOTCOM

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED],

Below is the response [REDACTED] replied to [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] from INZ was questioning our hold on DOTCOM. Basically we don't have anything else to add but I strongly suggest you tell Theo to discuss the case with NZ Police before DOTCOM is granted PR. Just from looking at his records INZ do have enough on his criminal history to not give him PR and he is [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (The link below is FBI/NZ Police corr)

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(/ ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

Hope this helps,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 12:48 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Since DOTCOM is not of security concern, there is no reason for this application to be on hold with us. Please can you inform your INZ contacts of this, also noting that DOTCOM is the subject of a criminal investigation and that they need to discuss the case with NZ Police before they proceed with granting him PR.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:45 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Response 2102619

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] away today, but little has changed with this case since her email last week. Kim DOTCOM is not of security concern but is likely to soon become the subject of a joint FBI / NZ Police criminal investigation. We have passed this over to NZ Police:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I'll chat to [REDACTED] about next steps for dealing with INZ and get back to you.

Cheers, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
ext [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 October 2010 11:21 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
(S) Privacy Act 1993  
(S) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: Response 2102619  
Importance: High

~~Classification: SECRET~~

[REDACTED],

INZ [REDACTED] has phoned me to advise that the INZ CEO ( Nigel BICKLE) is questioning why this case is on hold. Apparently there is some "political pressure" to process this case.

Can you advise INZ [REDACTED] as to what is happening. Naturally I cannot go back via phone or email on this case to [REDACTED].

You will need to ensure that the CEO is appropriately briefed on this case.

Over to you.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, 12 October 2010 4:35 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Response 2102619

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

[REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED] - sorry didn't know who to pass this one to.

Basically this is a PR that came to my desk - for obvious (criminal) reasons. There is no security concern as such but we have sent this away for [REDACTED] requests - and it seems DOTCOM is quite a bad but wealthy man. However, the FBI have come back to us and are looking to do a joint Op with NZ Police [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

So if someone could please email the INZ officer responsible for this PR and let them know that this application is on hold due to current investigations in to DOTCOM.

We are in the very, very initial stages of the possible joint Op so won't be telling INZ of the Police or FBI's involvement.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982



DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSI

Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: SECRET~~

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Date: Friday, 29 October 2010 8:26:43 a.m.

---

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hey [REDACTED]

I need you to gather all email and formal correspondence we have had with FB [REDACTED] and Police and make sure it is all uploaded on DOTCOM's record - I just have a feeling that INZ may ask to see them etc. or we'll be asked to produce them. If its an email - can you make sure the TO/CC addresses etc is at the top of the email please.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Kim DOTCOM [REDACTED] Follow Up  
**Date:** Friday, 29 October 2010 8:34:29 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

I found this one from [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
(yum)  
ext. [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 28 September 2010 2:38 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Kim DOTCOM [REDACTED] Follow Up

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

Hi [REDACTED]

I chased this up last week. I was supposed to receive a phone call earlier on about this. Will keep you posted.

Cheers,  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 26, 2010 10:57 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Kim DOTCOM [REDACTED] Follow Up

Hi,  
How are you settling into your role over there?

I was wondering if you could do a gentle follow up regarding this [REDACTED] request on Kim DOTCOM that had been forwarded onto the FBI [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in august 2010.

They have given the following details for a point of contact if its of any help.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ✓ ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

Thanks.

[REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~  
Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
() Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: dotcom  
Date: Friday, 29 October 2010 9:18:47 a.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Did you want me to hit up downstairs to for any corr they have regarding him.

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, 29 October 2010 9:17 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: dotcom

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

Hey [REDACTED] - I've done a timeline for DOTCOM correspondence which might help

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

14th Oct 2010 - We wrote a letter to NZ Police with this request (you have this letter)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (yum)

ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Date: Friday, 29 October 2010 9:28:39 a.m.

~~Classification: SECRET~~

Good Morning,  
FYI an [REDACTED] I sent to Theo Kuper this morning - hopefully that's made our involvement a little a bit clearer for him.

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

Morning Theo,  
I completely understand that you act in an advisory role - let's hope the right decision is made with this one. So I thought I would give you a quick timeline of our correspondence to put it in perspective:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

14th Oct 2010 - We wrote a letter to NZ Police with this request (you have this letter)  
And that is where we have left it.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(  ) Official Information Act 1982

Thanks,

[redacted] (yum)  
ext. [redacted]

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

~~Classification: SECRET~~

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982





DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

3. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
NZSIS  
DDI: [REDACTED]

---

**From:** Theo Kuper  
**Sent:** Thu 28/10/2010 5:27 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: INZ applicant Kim DOTCOM

Hi [REDACTED],

I am not involved in the decision making as that resides with the service delivery side of Immigration NZ. My responsibility is to merely provide information and advice which hopefully will lead to the right decision being made. What I do know is that the Minister of Immigration is an interested party as the Investor Plus Residence category (for high rollers with more that \$10 million to spend in NZ) is a government priority, because of the economic benefits to NZ.

Are you able to disclose to me when and how the FBI advised you of their interest in Mr Kim DOTCOM and is the letter dated the 14 th Oct the first time that NZ Police were officially advised of FBI's interest in conducting a joint operation ?

regards

Theo

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thu 28/10/2010 3:26 p.m.  
**To:** Theo Kuper  
**Subject:** RE: INZ applicant Kim DOTCOM

~~CLASSIFICATION SECRET~~

No problem Theo - he is an interesting character. I wish I could do something more on my side of the house. But the joint Op should be very interesting. How did the meeting go with the Minister?

[REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

[REDACTED]  
NZSIS

DDI: [REDACTED]

---

**From:** Theo Kuper  
**Sent:** Thu 28/10/2010 2:31 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Paul Vinkenvleugel  
**Subject:** RE: INZ applicant Kim DOTCOM

~~CLASSIFICATION SECRET~~

Hi [REDACTED]

Many thanks for expediting this request so quickly and in particular providing a copy of the letter you sent to NZ Police.

regards

Theo Kuper  
Manager IIU

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thu 28/10/2010 10:50 a.m.  
**To:** Theo Kuper  
**Subject:** INZ applicant Kim DOTCOM

~~CLASSIFICATION SECRET~~

Hi Theo,

In regards to applicant Kim DOTCOM, we can find no security issues with this candidate and so have not commented his application. As you are aware, after some initial investigation into DOTCOM, The FBI has been in contact with NZSIS who have asked to do a joint investigation with NZ Police [REDACTED]. As we can find no security concern with DOTCOM we have passed DOTCOM's application onto NZ Police (correspondence attached).

Therefore, we can offer no update as to The FBI's interest in DOTCOM or the possible joint investigation with NZ Police. However, we suggest you contact NZ Police for an update.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
NZSIS

DDI: [REDACTED]

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ✓ ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED

- 3 JUL 2014

NZSIS

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE:  
Date: Friday, 29 October 2010 12:22:20 p.m.

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

[REDACTED]

Flicked it to review just so you can make sure its what you wanted + anything else that you want to add.

---

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, 29 October 2010 8:27 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject:

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

Hey [REDACTED],

I need you to gather all email and formal correspondence we have had with FBI [REDACTED] and Police and make sure it is all uploaded on DOTCOM's record - I just have a feeling that INZ may ask to see them etc. or we'll be asked to produce them. If its an email - can you make sure the TO/CC addresses etc is at the top of the email please.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (yum)

ext. [REDACTED]

~~Classification: RESTRICTED~~

Security Control Marking:

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT

Part of this document has been  
withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
( ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

Title: [REDACTED] Comment on 2010-10-28 09:52:55.0 ([REDACTED])

This candidate is of no security concern for NZSIS. However, candidate has been passed over to NZ/Police who will be working with FBI on this matter because of his criminal dealings.

Title: [REDACTED] Comment on 2010-09-27 14:35:50.0 ([REDACTED])

[REDACTED]

Title: Comment on 2010-10-12 15:59:01.0 ([REDACTED])

Probable FBI/NZ Police joint OP. PR Application to be put on hold. INZ not to be let known at this stage as at initial discussion stage of poss OP.

**NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT**

Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the

(  ) Privacy Act 1993

(  ) Official Information Act 1982

DECLASSIFIED  
- 3 JUL 2014  
NZSIS

Type	Value	Classification
Assessment Type:	[REDACTED] - Pr - Sm	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Branch / Section:	IMMIGRATION	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Comment:	Clearance Comments: no comment	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Created By:	ADMINISTRATOR	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Date Completed:	2010-10-28	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Date Filed:	28 October 2010	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Nationality:	German	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Person Name:	Kim DOTCOM	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Priority:	Normal	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
[REDACTED] Created Date:	July 29, 2010 09:24:57 +12:00	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
[REDACTED] ID:	2102619	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
[REDACTED] Status:	completed	<del>RESTRICTED</del>
Source:	[REDACTED]	<del>RESTRICTED</del>

NOTICE: INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT  
Part of this document has been withheld under the terms of the  
( ) Privacy Act 1993  
(✓) Official Information Act 1982