A58-1-B | Ø21 R1/177

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 04-08-2010 pursuant to E.O. 12958, as amended. ST56834

U.S. TL ICAL CONFERENCE MINUTES

COPY NO.37

TOP SECRET

CREAM

R1/127a

Exemple from GDS for E. Q. 12005 3400 0 \$404 9800 569 Benefit to larger declaration of Co. Subject to larger declaration of Co. 1977 WEAR, 24 Sep 1879.

DOCUMENT REVIEWED
Date Signature
ISMAN Septem Organ

R15941

452-17

1- 1

4

OF U.S. - BRITISH SIGNAL INTELLIGENCE THERRICAL CONFERENCE held at 33 Greavener Square on Monday, March 11th 1946, at 1700

PRESENT:

Major General Sir Stewart G. Menzica Chairman

Brig.General W.P.Corderman Captain J.N. Wenger Captain W.P. Smedberg Captain L.S. Howeth Captain E.S.L. Goodwin Commander E. Knapper Commander G.C. Manson Ensign F. Webb

Colonel B.W.Hookemeyer Colonel P.B.Rowlett Captain P.J.Patton Major L.P.Stone F. Oaptain C. Collins Captain C. Collins
Lieut. H. Schmidt

Or. E. E. Buddleson

Mr. E. Christopher

Sir Edward Travis

Brigadier J.Tiltman Captain B. Hastings

Colonel P. Marr Johnson " Commander C. Lochnia

Mr.L.J. Hooper

1. The Chairman welcoming the U.S. Delegates, said that this was a happy moment for the London Sigint Board and for all the other friends of the U.S. Delegates. He hoped that those Delegates who had been here before would be happy to be back and that those who were making their first visit would make new friends and wish to return.

(金属の)と、だっかいの(後

2. The present agment, he continued, was one in which it was difficult to emaggerat: the seriousness of the situation. Consequently the tasks confronting the Conference were urgent.

5. The Charma, then stressed the importance of the U.S. British Communication intelligence Agreement both in ensuring cooperation in the Sigint field ar'. in its affect it occupating the relations betwoen the two contries gener lly

The Chairman assumed the U.S. Delegates that the London Signit Board would at all times do its utmost to carry out the letter and aprois of the Agreement. If ristakes should innovertently occur, he hoped that STANCIB would not hesitate to point them out immediately to the Jondon Sigint Board so he could assure the U.S. delegation that they would be unintended and the earlier they are pointed out the better.

4. He said that the colory governing the cooperation of the to-countries in the field of Communication Intelligence had been settled by the Agreement and that to present Conference was therefore entirely technical in its ob. " "

5. Its fire believe was to implement the Agreement. We had to ensure that both sides obtain a the narraum results from the available

OGA EO 1.4.(d)

peacetime staffs as they would necessarily be limited. It was hoped that we could achieve this object by a suitable division of labour and by avoiding duplication. Water than the state of the sta

- 6. The Chairman next turned to the Security aspect of Communication Intelligence work, and said that its importance could not be exaggerated Lessons had been learned in both countries and he was certain that, on both sides of the Atlantic, every effort would be made to resist the pressure to divulge our information. He hoped that the U.S. and British authorities responsible for the maintenance of security would be able to help each other in resisting this pressure.
- 7. Referring to the Commonwealth Conference Just concluded, the Chairman reported that this had been highly successful. The terms of the U.S. British Communication Intelligence agreement had been explained to the Dominion Representatives, in so far as they were affected, and had been accepted by them. The Dominions had also agreed to abide by Joint Security Regulations to be issued from London after they had been agreed between STANCIB and the London Sigint Board. Topies of the final recommendations of the Commonwealth Conference were available for the U.S.
- mendations of the Commonwealth Conference were available for the U.S. Delegates, but the Chairman pointed out that they were still subject to ratification by the Dominion Governments concerned.

 8. General Corderman expressed the gratifude of the U.S.Delegation for the cordinal reception they had received and said that STANCIB also considered the U.S. British Communication Entellipence Igreement to be of year great importance.

 9. Be added that STANCIB considered it to be a point of special importance that effective communications should be available, as without them our ability to collaborate will be greatly limited and duplication could not be avoided. This referred both to communications between
 - could not be avoided. This referred both to communications between Centres and between the Centre and the interception stations.
- 10. Sign behalf of STANCIE, he assured the meeting that every effort would be made to implement the U.S. British Agreement and reiterated the Chairman's point that, if it appeared that the U.S.A. was failing to do so, it would be due to some oversight to which it was hoped that the British would immediately draw the attention of STANCIB. ?
- He next montioned the Security question and stated that STANCIB was fully aware of its overriding unportance. ;
- 12. Captain Forger stated that General Condendance as the head of the U.S. Delegation, here fully expressed the point of view of the U.S. Havy who felt that war time of aboundon with the British had been successful and beneficial to both parties.
- The Chairman supported General Corderman's remarks, stressing on a again the importance of communications, and suggested that he and General Corderman should discuss certain Security points separately at a later date;
- The Chairman then invited General Cordernan to be the Chairman of the Conference. General Cordornan said he much appreciated the honour afforded to him and the U.S. Delegation by the suggestion, but he felt that a British Chairman would be more suitab c, as he would be more familiar with whatever procedure would be adopted for the Conference. He would therefore prefer to decline the offer. The Chairman therefore suggested that Captain Hastings should take the Chair and this was seconded by General Cordemon
- Sir Edward Travis suggested that the next meeting should take place at 1015 on Tuesday, 12th March, and this was agreed.
- 16. The Chairman, in conclusion, said that he was confindent that a greateal will be accomplished in the next fortnight and that the conference would mark an important milestore in Sigin: collaboration between the two countries.

MINUTES OF PLENARY MEETING

held at 33 Grosvenor Square on Tuesday, March 12th 1946 at 1015.

PRESENT

Captain E.G. Bastings (Chairman)

Sir Edward Travis, Brig.General W.P. Corderman, 121 Jah 111 Captain J. N. Wenger, Brigadier, J. Tiltman, Captain W.R. Smodberg, Captain (S) D.A. Wilson, Captain L.S. Howeth, .. Captain K.S.L. Goodwin, Commander E. Knepper, Mr L.J.Rooper, Colonel B. W. Beckeneyer, Colonel B. Rowlett, Captain P. J. Patton, Major L.P.Stone, Captain Collins, Colonel P. Marr-Johnson, ldeut. H. Schmidt, Commander C. Lochma,

Mr E. Christopher.

Commander Manson, Secretaries.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTER

- l. No emendment was made to the membership of the Executive Committee, as listed in the Agenda for the Meeting.
- 20 It was later decided that the general problem of liaison would be the responsibility of the Executive Committee.

 All Subcommittees would be instructed to present their recommendations on this subject to the Executive Committee for consideration.

CO-OFTING OF METBERS TO EXECUTIVE COMPITTEE AND TO SUB-COMPITEES.

3. Sir Edward Travis suggested that the Executive Committee and the Sub-Committees should be free to co-opt additional members as needed. This was agreed.

SUB COMMITTEE "A".

4. The Membership and Agenda were agreed as presented.

SUB COMMITTEE "B".

- 5. General Corderman questioned the sense of item 2 of the Agenda and after some discussion it was agreed to amend this to read:
 - (2) Statement of general principles and priorities of collaboration.

SUB COMMITTEE "C".

- 6. General Cordernan requested that Major Stone be added to the personent nembership of the Sub-Countities and this was agreed.
- 7. On the assumption that exchanges to be considered by this Sub-Commutae were technical only, Captain Smedberg asked to be released from permanent membership. His assumption was confirmed and his request agreed. Captain Goodwir undertook to ensure that he be called in more necessary.
- 8. At the later Meeting of the Executive Committee with Sub-Committee "C" Captain Tenger said that it was essential that the nature of the Intelligence to be discussed by this Sub-Committee should be closely defined. The Chairman said that the British practice was to make no Intelligence approviations for outside circulation but that such appreciations were undertaken for internal use. Be considered that, if U.S. practice was the same, an embange of appreciations made for internal use should be effected. Sir Edward Travis added that the responsibility for Intelligence appreciation belonged to the Ministries in the U.K. and that therefore the embange of appreciations for internal use could only take place if their circulation were limited to the Signal Intelligence agencies. General Corderman and Captain Smedberg agreed to these remarks stating that U.S. practice was identical. Captain Wenger therefore taked what was meant by reports. Sir Edward Travia replied that summaries were intended and after further discussion it was agreed that item 2 of the Agonda should be altered to fead;

"Brohange of original texts, translations, comments and summeries, individual or collective, based on Sight alone."

Bir Edward Trayls added that one of the objects of the Sub Committee should be to decide what material should be exchanged, as it was desirable to avoid unnecessary exchange while at the same time ensuring that each side received all it required. Be hoped all agencies would be quick to say when material was unnecessary.

SUB CCALIFFEE "D".

The Membership was agreed, as presented.

10. The nature and Agends of this Sub Committee, however, evoked general discussion. The sonse of para 2 of the Agenda was questioned by Mr Huddleson, and after some exchange of views it was agreed that all dissemination problems would be covered by item 1, provided the phraseology of this item was suitably changed. Item 1 was therefore charged to read;

"Establishment of joint U.S. - British Security Principles."

Item 2 was then deloted.

- The membership was agreed, as presented,
- 22 <u>General Cordermon</u> questioned whether the scope of this Sub-Committee was limited to the discussion of Communications between the Centres. <u>Sir Edward Travis replied that the intention was that all categories of Sigint communications should be considered.</u>
- At Captain Roseth's suggestion, para 3 of the Agenda was

"Tolecommunications available and required"

1450 12th March,

TOT BELLE TOT

53, Question 59, 20

, denden, a t

Tuesday, 12th March.

Chairman of Executive Committee. Chairman, Sub-Committee A.

n " C.

Secretaries, Executive Committee,

1. Attroped to the confirmed statement of the membership of the Executive Committee and of the membership and Agenda of the Sub-Committees, resulting from this morning's plenary meeting.

2. Linison

Requirements regarding liaison should be considered by each subcommittee in connective with its sgends and recommendations on this subject should form a separate report to be forwarded to the Executive Committee.

3. Stendardiestion.

Requirements regarding standardisation of nomenclature and procedure should be considered by each sub-consistes in connection with its agenda and recommendations on this subject should be included in reports forwarded to the Executive Committee.

h. Reports required by the Executive Committee

Ε.

Mimites of each sub-committee meeting proviously approved by the Chairman should be forwarded by the Secretary concerned to the Secretaries of the Executive Committee, if reseasely in dreft form. If in draft form they should be received in time to be typed by the Secretariat by noon of the day after the meeting in question. If typed before handing in to the Secretaries of the Executive Committee, a copies should be handed in.

- 5. When full minutes cannot be prepared in these, a brief progress report should be submitted.
- 6. In addition to the store interior reports, a final report will required from each sub-committee. Final reports from sub-corrections should be fromed as recommendations to the Executive Schiffee in such a form that they can be included at agreed appendices to the U.S. British Communications intelligence agreement. Sub-decide the should also forward if necessary, reports covering any subjects on their agends which need special consideration by either STANCIB or the London Signit Board but which would not be suitable as expendices to the Agreement.

Arrangements for Sub-Comiscoo Meetings.

The Scoretary of each orb-cormitive encode keep the Scoretardor informed in advance of arrangements for coetings, in order that recess may be allotted and conflicts in personal schedules applied?

Score berios

Brigadier General Corderman, U.S. Army, Captain Wenger, U.S.N., Captain Smadberg, U.S.N., Colonel Heckemoyer, U.S. Army, Ur Hoddleson,

Commander Locknis, R.N.

Heads of Groups as necessary.

Beoretaries Commander Menson, U.S.N.R.

Mr. Hinsley.

TOP SECRET COLAC

SUB COMMITTEE A.

(COLLECTION AND EXCHANGE OF RAN MATERIAL AND CO-OPERATION IN TRAFFIC ANALYSIS.)

Chairman	ار ار ار الما الما الما الما الما الما ا
Monbors	
7	The Captain Pathon, U.S. Army,
و در در ایم به	British
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Secretary
L TOP	
	Explange of Statements on present after ion with regard
	to interception facilities available and those proposed.
	The second of th
	Regular exphange of this information thereafter to be
	一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个
30	Amhange of statements on tasks currently intercepted and search currently undertaken.
	The second of th
40	Agreement on suitable division of interception tasks and of search programme.
	the second programmy
5.	Exchange of reports, frequency information and General Search results.
1	-
6.	Standardisation of frequency notation system and of other procedures.
.	
7。 📜	Exphange of Raw Material.

Standardisation of Naw Material Format.

THE SECTION CREAM

SUB COMMITTEE B.

(CRYPTANALYSIS)

Chairman.

Colonel Rowlett, U.S. Army.

berso

Commander Knepper, U.S.M., Captain Collins, U.S.Arry

1.20 0.00

Brigadier Tiltman, Wee **

Lt. Colonel Evans.

AGENDA.

Exchange of lists of all tasks undertaken at present all soals of effort involved and of outstanding tasks not at mosent worked on

Statement of general principles and priorities of collaboration.

OGA

EO 1.4.(c)

EO 1.4.(d)

TOP SEURET OPEAM.

SUB COMMUTTEE C.

(TRANSLATION, INTELLIGENCE AND COLLATERAL INFORMATION.

Chairman,

Captain (S) D. A. Wilson, R. N.

Members.

U.S.

Captain Goodwin, U.S.N., Colonel Rowlett, U.S.Army Major Store, U.S.Army

British

Ar Hoover.

Secretary.

ACENDA

- L. ! Division of labour in translation,
- Exchange of original texts, translations, coments and summaries, individual or collective based on Sigint alone.
- 3. Standardisation of Translations.
- 4. Exchange of Collateral Material.

IOP SECRET OPEAN

SUB COMPTTYEE D.

SECURITY REGULATIONS AND DISSEMINATION.)

Chairman;	Mr Muddle	son.	
Morbers. U.S.	Contain S Colonel H	medberg, U.S.N., eckemeyer, U.S.Army,	
d d Brit	lah:		· Aury
	Mr. Hooper	Locknie, R.N.	
<u>Seor</u>	otary.		
	A STATE OF THE STA	NDA	
100			i,

Chairman: Captain Howeth, U.S. N.

Members: U.S. Captain Patton, U.S. Army.

Atlantic by each country.

2. Scourity of routes.

Cryptographic Aids,

TOP STORET CREAK

LIMITES OF FIRST MEETING OF EXPOUTIVE COMMITTEE.

held a.m. Tuesday, 12th March, 1946

PRESENT:

Captain E. Hasings

Chairman

Brigadier General Cordeman

Sir Schard Travis

Captain Wenger : Captain Smedburg Commander Lochnis

Colonel Heckomoyer

Mr. Huddleson.

Scoretaries ; Commander G.C.Manson Mr. F.H.Hinsley.

Procedure to be adopted by Sub-Committees.

为经济与的。在这个种民族的决策中,为了公司

- 1. / The Chairman asked for views on this matter.
- 2. General Corderman suggested that each sub-committee should present an oral or written report to the Executive Committee at least every other day
- 3. After discussion this proposal was modified and it was agreed that the Secretaries should issue the necessary instructions. (See attached sheet of instructions to Stb-Committees.)

Completion date

- 4. Captain Wenger asked whether the Committee should set a target date for the end of the Conference.
- 5. After some discussion, it was agreed to discuss this matter further on Friday, but meanwhile to consider that roughly two weeks would be taken.

Format of Reports from Sub- Committees.

- 6. It was agreed that final reports from the sub-committees should be in the form of recommendations to the Executive Opmnittee which should be so drafted as to be easily transformed into appendices to the basic Agreement.
- 7. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that not all the results of the discussions would reconstrily be suitable for inclusion in the basic Agreement as Appendices and that, in any case, he would like to have a report drawn up for the consideration of the London Sigint Board apart from any Appendices that were written.

8. It was agreed that instructions should be issued to the Chairman of the sub-committees on these lines forthwith.

Next Meeting

9. It was agreed that the Executive Committee should meet next at 1500, Thursday 11th March, in the Main Committee Room.

MINUTES OF SECOND MEETING OF
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, HEAD
AT 1500, MARCH 14TH, 1946

COMMITTEE

PRESENT:

Captain E.G. Hastings.

(Chairman)

Brigadier General Corderman.

Sir Edward Travis

Captain Wenger.

Brigadior Tiliana. Commender Locanis.

Colonel Hackemeyer.

Mr. Huddleson.

(Sepretaries)

Commander Monson. Mr. Hinsley.

The Chairman opened the meeting by bringing up for discussion the question of Mr. Cimporman's sembership in the U.S. Delegation to the Conferences. Mr. Cimporman's bons fides were vouched for by General Cordarman, who referred to instructions from the Chairman, STANCIB that Mr. Cimporman was to be regarded as the f.B.I. delegate. There followed some debate as to whether he should attend the meeting then in progress (he was waiting in the outer lobby) or be first introduced all round and come to the following meeting. Also, the question was raised as to whether he be admitted as a voting member or an observer, and whether he had signed the ULITRA cath. It was finally agreed that he be admitted without delay as a full-fledged voting member. (Gen. Corderman brought Mr. Cimporman in and introduced him to all members).

The Chairmon welcomed Mr. Cimperman.

2. The Chairman then tabled the minutes of the First Executive Beeting and opened discussion.

Sir Edward Travis, with reference to para. 7, asked that the phrase "and STANCIB" be deleted, inasmuch as he did not include STANCIB in his original remark and did not intend to speak for the U.S. on this point. It was agreed to delete the phrase.

(There was then some discussion as to the distribution of approved minutes of Sub-Committees; 10 was served to chroulete them to all Chairman).

The Manutos of the First Erecutive Committee meeting were them passed without further modificate on.

3. The Chairman then objected to the short interval between the receipt of Sub-Committee minutes and the next following meeting of the Emocutive Committee. The interval on this particular day, from moon to 1500, was altogether too brief and had prevented a proper reading and understanding by the manbers of the Emocutive Committee.

(/It was ...

It was eventually agreed that the interval should be extended to about twenty hours; that is, that minutes and other reports be distributed at 1200, for example, for tabling the next day at 1000. It was also agreed that morning meetings might facilitate this program.

4. With the concurrence of all the murbers, the Chairman then began to read out the minutes of the First Meeting of Sub-Committee B.

General discussion broke out over the subject of covernasos, with Sir Edward Travis stating that adoption of the suggested U.S. section covernases would make many difficulties both within his organization and in his dealings with the London SIGINF Beard.

The Chairman read out a special note on this subject which had been sent in by the Chairman of Sub-Committee B.

Sir Edward objected that the covernames would not fit in with the four geographical divisions of his post-war organization, and that, anyway, covernames were too readily subject to misuse through inconsistency General Corderman replied that the point and purpose of covernames lay in universal application; unless consistently used, they failed to cover anything. He came out strongly in favour of covernames, nevertheless, and added that, if the present suggestions were unacceptable, he would be most eager to listen to some British counter-suggestion which might be adopted by the U.S. He said that neither previous inconsistency in the use of covernames nor the fact that the present suggestions clashed with the four geographical divisions mentioned by Sir Edward should be permitted to rule out the desirability of both sides getting together on this point to see whether the organization of the U.S. and British agencies could be brought 100% into line.

Here the Chairman intervened to recommend postponement of the discussion to some later date. This was agreed, and it was arranged that separate discussion should take place between Gareral Corderman or Bir Edward and Captain Wenger.

The Chairman recused his reading of the minutes of Sub-Committee B. There was some bowilderment expressed over the phrasing of certain paragraphs, especially paras. 2 and 3. It was agreed to delete the phrase "group of systems" from para. 4, since the sense of the phrase was impossible to define.

5. Cross-references to the U.S. draft Appendices then turned attention sway from the Sub-Committee B minutes to the Graft Appendices themselves, and the question was raised as to whether the draft Appendices should be tabled. This produced general discussion. Sir Edward pointed out that, in the first place, the British peoplers of the Executive Committee had not had time to study the Appendices, and he therefore did not at this point wish to be saked for opinions based upon them.

General Cordonnan stressed that the Executive Committee should not be required to table them had at this stage, and that it was the duty of the Sub-Committees to make clear statements as to whether they were found suitable or were not so found; only those which were contraversial should be brought before the Executive Committee for modification, and the modifications should be embodied in the Sub-Committees final recommendations.

The Chairman then directed the Secretaries to instruct the Sub-Counittees that elaborate cross-references in iterim reports to the U.S. dwaft appendices would no longer be acceptable to the Executive Counittee. In the meanwhile, the appendices will be used only by the Sub-Counittees as a guide toward their final recommendations.

6. The Chairman then resumed the reading. Some discussion arose re para. 5, and it was agreed to delete the parenthetical expression from it.

Considerable discussion was generated by the various sub-headings of para. 6. Sir Edward pointed out that item 5 of sub-heading C was no phrased as to impinge upon the prorogatives of the T/A Section, or, on the other hand, to swam the anyptanalytic reports with T/A data. The Chairman asked Brigadier Tiltman to clarify the nature of the Interim Reports, and it was then agreed to leave the matter for the time being. Reference sub-heading H, the question was raised as to the discemination of the Reports, to which Brigadier Tiltman replied that it was planned to cut up the Reports and deliver only the pertinent portions to sections concerned. General Corderman approved of the plan, and added that universal and uncontrolled discemination of Reports would not be suitable to the U.S. delegation. He stressed that, for example, the menthly analytic Reports originating with U.S. agencies should not go to the Dominions SIGINT Centres in full. Considerable discussion of the control that the subject was a broad one which must occur up again for further discussion. The Chairman directed the Secretaries to put it down on the special agends of the Executive Considerable.

Regarding pars. 12, it was agreed to discuss this point later, after recommendations had come in from Sub-Committee A. The Secretary informed the Chairman that, anticipating such a juncture, he had already passed Colonel Reviett's note to the Chairman of Sub-Committee A.

This was approved.

7. The reading of the minutes of Sub-Conmittee B terminated here, and discussion again broke out over the relationship between the Sub-Committ reports and the D.S. draft appendices. Commander Icehnis began to make a rough erest of an order which might embedy the idea earlier expressed by General Corderman to the effect that proposed modifications of the Appendicus should be contained only in final recommendations of the Committees and not in the form of minutes wherein no conclusions upon which the Executive Committee could set to work here yet reached.

The Chairman, however, made the objection that such a move might in the long run be a greater waste of time; his reasoning being that the SubCommittees might spend days constructing recommendations on a basic misconception of which the Executive Committee would have no knowledge.

Captain Wonger added the objection that there would be too much for the Executive Committee to accomplish in the very lest days and too little in the meantime.

Sir Edward also concurred, and stated that it was the duty of the Executive Committee to help the SubCommittees all along the line.

It was finally agreed that the U.S. draft Appendices were to be rese as a basis for discussion by the SubCommittees, and that they need not be considered by the Executive Committee until such time as they appeared in the form of final SubCommittee recommendations.

- 8. Following thin, the Chairman read the minutes of Sub-Committee D, which were approved without discussion.
- 9. He then began to read the minutes of Sub-Committee E.
 Sir Edward asked that para. 3, page 2, which might be misunferstood by
 the Admiralty, be deleted. This was agreed. General Cordenzas observed
 that the figures in para. 6(b) were probably too high, and it was agreed
 to out the total down to 100,000.

10. Sir Edward opened discussion on the question of whether the recommendations attached to the minutes of Sub-Consittee & were to be eventually embedded in an appendix; he pointed out that the channels and figures of these recommendations were provisional and subject to much modification in time, whereas the appendices he regarded as lasting documents which should not include communications-network estimates and other such variables. The Ascrican delegates demured, feeling that adequate communications were basic to the future of STANCIB - COOS relationships, and, as such, should be specifically written into the appearament.

General Corderman insisted that the statement a Washington-London link will be maintained, or some similar phrase, be inserted.

Sir Edward replied that he couldn't vouch for its implementation and that it would have to be reviewed from time to time by the Ministries. General Corderman said that financial considerations applied equally on both sides, but that the conference must assume that they would be met. After some discussion, Sir Edward withdrew his objection, and control Corderman suggested that communications provisions be written into the Angle-American Agreement as they had been written in to the Angle-Dominion's Agreement.

Subject to the above discussions, the recommendations outlined in the minutes of Sub-Cormittee B were approved.

The meeting was adjourned at 1745, and the following meeting was fixed for 1015 the next day.

EXECUTIVE COLUTTEE

CONFIRMED OF EXECUTIVE CONFITTERS, HELD AT 1015, MARCH 15th, 1946.

Prosent:

Captain Hastings, (Chairman)

Brigadier General Worderman,

Sir Edwid Travis,

Captain Wanger, Captain Swodberg,

Cmdr. Lochnia.

Colonel Heckemeyer,

Mr Huddleson

Mr Cimperman

Commander Mauson () Secretaries Mr Hinsley

AGENDA

Donsideration of Minutes of First Meeting of Sub-Committee C.

- 2. Sir Edward Travie. referring to the first paragraph of Soction 2 of the Kinutes of the First Nesting of Sub Committee C, said that it would be preforable to avoid the word "demand" in the final version of the recommendations, as the word indicated too percumptory a form of communication.
- 2. He also said that this was a good opportunity to take note that there might be occasions when the sheer process of copying became too burdensome and when requests could not be met either immediately or even at all. He hoped that licison officers would always be in a position to appreciate the difficulties on these occasions and be able to explain them to the agencies originating the requests.
- 3. With reference to para 2 of the second section of the Minutes, on the subject of comments, Sir Edward Travis pointed out that this was British practice at propert and that agreement on this point would simply mean that the U.S. would receive the same documents as those sent to British customers.
- Brigadier General Corderman explained that A.S.A. made comments on telegrans and in papers loss frequently than OP-20-6 but he saw no objection to the sub-committee's suggestion concerning comments.
- 5. On the third para of Section 2 of the Sub Committee Minutes, both Brigadier General Corderman and Sir Eduard Travis confirmed that there would be complete freedom of exchange. Such practical considerations as the fact that not all traffic is translated or that not all translations need to be exchanged could be met by making everything available to the liaison officers.

- 7. Para 5 of Section 2 concerning summaries led to considerable discussion, with special reference to collateral material.
- 8. Sir Edward Travis suggested that the inclusion of "unexchangeable" collecteral information in summaries would be very infrequent.
- 9. Brigadier General Corderman suggested that, in this case, it would be preferrible to decide that "unexchangeable" collateral should never be included in summaries.
- 10. Sir Edward Travis while agreeing that this might be the best solution, considered that the matter needed further investigation and saked if he could raise it again at the next meeting. This was agreed.
- 11. Further discussion on the subject of collateral was raised by Section 3 of the Minutes and by the U.S. Appendix B which was referred to in this Section. Sir Edward Travis considered that there was some confusion in the interpretation of the Agreement with reference to three separate subjects?
 - (a) the exchange of collateral material:

 EiThis was adequately dealt with by para? of the basic Agreement. It is the para to be a compared of material, information and machinery.
 - (b) Sithe exphange of material sinformation and machinery acquired through third party sources and bearing directly on foreign communications:
 - This was regulated by para 3 (a) (2) and (6) of the basic Agreement and it was a mistake to regard this as collateral material, as it was regarded in part I of the U.S. draft Appendix B.
 - developed in either the U.S. and the U.K., or of information bearing on such machinery and techniques.

This was covered by para 4 of the basic Agreement.

- 12. Captain Wenger then described the difficulties that might arise in extending to the U.K. knowledge of, or the right to use, techniques developed under patent in the U.S.
- 13. Sir Edward Travis fully appreciated these difficulties but said that, in his view, they arose only under item (c) of pera 11 above and were adequately met by the reservations contained in pera 4 of the basic Agreement concerning methods and techniques.
- 14. Brigadier General Corderman agreed that this point was covered by para 4 of the Agreement,
- 25. Sir Edward Travis suggested that, to meet such difficulties, an arrangement might be made by which British non technical experts were made aware of the purpose and method of operation of a technique which was developed under U.S. patent, in order to ensure that the technique was used to the maximum advantage, without the recessity of releasing technical details to the U.K.

- 16. Brigadier General Cordeman agreed that this would be a practicable solution to the difficulty.
- 17. Sir Edward Travis then reverted to the points made in pera. 11 above and Captain Wenger, agreeing with Sir Edward's division of the problem into three different subjects, suggested that the first apart of draft Appendix E would be more appropriately included in pera. 5 of draft Appendix B, which dealt with the exchange of methods and techniques and which amplified para. 4 of the basic Agreement.
- 18. Sir Edward Travis agreed with this suggestion as it conformed with his view that the subject of pert I of draft appendix B could not be considered as colleteral material.
- 19. Brigndier General Corderman, however, stated that the subject of Part I of draft Appendix E was possidered collateral metarial in the U.S.
- 20. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that the wording of pers. 2 of the basic agreement, and the discussion of this subject which had taken place in Washington, defined collateral as material not dealing with Communication Intelligence matters. If material here directly on foreign communications, as in Part I of draft Appendix E, it should not be considered collateral material.
- 21. It was agreed at this point that some definition of collateral material was necessity to cover the above discussion and the Scoretaries were instructed to prepare a draft statement for the Committee.
- 22. The next meeting of the Executive Committee was arranged for 1015 on Tuesday, 19th March.

COMMITTEE.

MINUTES OF THE FOORTH HEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMPLETE, HELD AT 1500, MARCH 14th, 1946.

PRESENT:

Captain Hastings, (Chairman)

Brigadior Ceneral Corderman, Sir Sir Edward Travis,

Captain Wenger, Captain Smedberg, Commander Lochnis,

Mr Huddleson, Mr Cimperman,

Colonel Rowlett (In Attendance) . Colonel Mookemoyer (Absent)

> Commander Manson,) Mr Hinsley. * Secretaries.

MINUTE: OF THE SECOND AND THIRD DEETINGS.

1. These were discussed and several modifications adopted. Confirmed Minutes have now been issued.

INCLUSION OF UNEXCHANGEABLE COLLATERAL MATERIAL IN SUBMARIES.

- With reference to paras 9 and 10 of the Minutes of the Third Meeting, Sir Edward Travis reported that he had considered this matter since the last Meeting and was in full agreement with General Corderman's suggestion that "unexchangeable" collateral material should not be included in summaries. If it was necessary for a Contra to refer its own customers to "unexchangeable" collateral, this should be done in papers separate from the summaries and given a more restricted circulation.
- 3. The Secretaries were instructed to notify the Chairman of Sub-committee C that the recommendations of his Sub-committee should be modified in accordance with para 2 above.

DEFINITION OF COLLATERAL MATERIAL.

- 4. Subject to one minor change, the definition was approved as tabled. It is given in its final form as Appendix 1 to these Minutes.
- 5. <u>Captain Smedberg</u> considered that it was important that this definition should go down on record. This was agreed and it was left to later decision whether it should appear in a list of other definitions or in the recommendations of Sub-committee C.

6. The Secretaries were instructed to notify the Chalman of Subcommittee C of the definition as approved, in answer to para 2 cf. the Minutes of the Second Meeting of Sub Committee C.

REPERENCE TO DRAFT U.S. AFFENDICES.

7. Arising out of the discussion of previous minutes, the Secretaries were instructed to inform the Chairman of all Sub-constitues that references to the U.S. draft Appendices should always include the word "draft" in order to avoid confusion.

(NOTE: This has been done in S/EC/1 of 20th Merch which is attached.)

MINUTES OF 2nd AND 3rd MEETINGS OF SUBCOMMITTEE C.

8. The Minutes of the 2nd Meeting were noted.

9. Standardised Translation Format.

Mith reference to pare 9 (a) of the Minutes of the Third Meeting, Sir Edward Travis suggested that a proposed standardised translation format should be drawn up by the British during the Conference instead of being drawn up afterwards and forwarded to STANCIB at a later date. General Corderman agreed but made it clear that the U.S. delegation would have to take such a proposal back to the States for discussion with Technical specialists concerned, before it was finally agreed.

- 10. The Secretaries were instructed to inform the Chairman of Sub-committee 0 to this affect.
- 11. With reference to pare 12 (d) it was agreed that the word "instructed" should be altered to read "required."

12. Exchange of Dictionaries.

With reference to para 12 (c) it was agreed that this should be changed to read:

"Collaboration in the preparation of any dictionaries and the exchange of such dictionaries in their completed form,"

The Secretaries were instructed to notify Sub-committee C of this charge.

13. Standardisation in Translation.

Referring to para 12 (d), Captain Wenger questioned whether this solution would be adequate, as it did not establish which authority would be ultimately responsible for the standardination of translations in the event of differences of opinion between London and Washington. He suggested that this could be achieved without waking any one Centre the final arbiter in all fields. One Centre could be established as the final authority in one field, and another in another, excording to the translators and experience available.

14. General Corderman agreed that it would be advisable to clarify the question of ultimate authority in cases of deadlock but considered that it would be impracticable to make on Centre responsible in all fields. He suggested that the arbiter in each language or problem would be one or the other Centre as mutually agreed.

- 15. Onlone Rowlett was invited to attend the Committee at this stage and gave it as his opinion that it was necessary to establish a centralising section for this purpose in the U.S. He also considered that it would be unwise to make either the U.S. or the U.K. ultimately responsible in all fields but thought that this matter would work itself out if a centralised section was established in the U.S.A.
- 16. General Corderman confirmed that a group under STANCIB would be made responsible for centralising the standardisation of translation in the U.S.A. and it was agreed that direct lisison between that group and that of Commander Tandy would ensure that Captain Wenger's original point was not.
- 17. During this discussion, Sir Edward Travis redsed the question of standardising recovery on book group equivalents, but it was agreed that this was a matter for settlement by close liaison in cryptanalysis and Colonel Rowlett thought there would be no difficulty in effecting the necessary standardisation.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTER A ON ITEM 6 OF ITS ACENDA (STANDARDISED DESIGNATION OF INTERCEPT TABLETS)

18. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that had been omitted in
the list of bigrams given by the Sub-Committee in Appendix I.
The first of the f
19. Colonel Rowlett said that the list used by Sub-Committee A was
identical with that prepared by Sub-Committee B and that had been
omitted deliberately because it was U.S. practice to refer to this teak
only in special correspondence. Sir Edward Travis explained that it was
the practice in the U.K. to treat it normally, together with all other
tasks, and it was agreed that, at least for the purposes of this list,
It should be so treated. The Secretaries were therefore instructed to
inform Sub-Committees A and B that a bigrow for should be included
in the lists and to inform Sub-Committee A that subject to this change,
1ts recommendations were approved.
20. Captain Smedberg enquired whether the dissemination of
was not specially restricted in the U.K., in view of the above remarks.
Commander Locking replied that the dissemination was specially restricted
and that would in future be issued as "TOP SECRET SPECIAL CREAM".

COMPLETE RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE B.

- 21. These were discussed at length and several modifications agreed which will be included in the final version. In particular the discussion covered:-
 - (a) The frequent references to paras. 3 and 4 of the Basic Agreement: It was decided that such references were unnecessary in Sub-Committee recommendations, as this point would be covered by a general statement prefacing the final recommendations of the Conferences, to the effect that nothing in the draft Appendices to the Basic Agreement shall be construed as contravening any clauses of that Agreement.

- (b) Whether compilation of the Monthly Status Reports should be according to the status of the tasks

 (i.e. solved, partly solved and under research) or according to alphabetical list by system.

 It was agreed that in the first instance the compilation of these reports should be as recommended by Sub-Committee B, except that a notation system should be introduced to indicate at a glance the status of each task
- (o) Attachment A of the Recommendations:

 Colonel Rowlett was requested to arrange for the production of revised figures and to ensure that they were on a comparable basis as regards the U.S. and the U.K.
- 22. The Secretaries were instructed to make available to the Chairman and Secretary of Sub-Committee B all details of changes made to the recommendations in order that the final version could be prepared.

PRIORITIES.

23. Sir Edward Travis noted that the general problem of priorities was nowhere being discussed. The Committee considered it was essential that arrangements should exist by which each Centre should keep the other informed of changes in priorities and it was agreed that agreement on this point should be included in the general statement preparing the final recommendations of the Conference.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE C ON ITEM 2 OF ITS AGENDA.

- 24. Considerable discussion took place concerning the definition of terms at the beginning of these recommendations, and many changes were made in principle and detail. Mr. Huddleson was deputed to explain these changes to Sub-Committee C in order that the final version of these terms could be prepared.
- 25. Discussion of the remainder of the paper was postponed till 1015 on Thursday, 21st March.

UNEXCHANGEABLE COLLATERAL MATERIAL.

26. Sir Edward Travis said he would like to revert to this subject and made the suggestion that, as it had been agreed that unexchangeable collateral material must be omitted from documents exchanged, the insertion, at discretion, of the comment:

"This is confirmed from other sources"

might be valuable to the other Centre as an indication that collateral of value existed. The receiving Centre could interpret this comment as a hint to probe no further into the sources.

27. This suggestion was agreed

EVALUATED BRIED LOENCE AND DIFORMATION FROM CONTINT SOURCES.

- Captain Wenger mentioned the distinction drawn in the U.S.A. between "information" and "intelligence" and between "incorporation" and "evaluation", the former being the duty of the COMM Centres and the latter of Intelligence Staffs. He considered that this Comference provided a mitable operaturity to invent new terms for the product and the functions of COMM Centres, and so prevent confusion on this matter in the future.
- 29. The Chairman said that an exactly similar distinction existed in the U.K., though the nomenclature was different.
- 50. Sir Edward Travis said that "information" was called "factual intelligence" in the U.K. but he agreed that this expression was open to criticism.
- 34. It was agreed, therefore, that this matter should be discussed by the Executive Committee at a later date.

SECURITY REGULATIONS.

- 52. It was agreed that the recommendations of Sub-Counittee D would have to be postponed until the next meeting, but General Corderman and Captain Wenger stressed the need for the addition of clauses which covered:
 - (a) the procedure by which U.S. personnel would be allowed access to British Comint Contres, and vice versa, and
 - (b) the disectination by U.S. authorities of Communication Intelligence to British personnel, and vice versa,
- 53. Ar Huddleson and Commander Looming were requested to draft a clause which would cover these points and which could be added to the recommendations of Sub-Committee D.

33 Grosvenor Square, 20th March, 1956.

hair	man	Sub-C	omn1	ttee	A
- 12			P		D
		1.	R		C
100			- 11	Se 20	D
- 12				42.12	F
. 4		1.3.3		11-1-5	
30.3		75 Bee	100	61.4 X	1.5

The Executive Committee has directed that any references in the Minutes of Sub-Committee meetings made to the U.S. draft appendices, should specifically include the word "draft" in order to avoid confusion with the final versions which are now in course of production.

TUP SECRET OFFIAL

APPENDIX.L

DEFINITION OF COLLATERAL MATERIAL.

- 1. Form. 2 of the British U.S. Communication Intelligence Agreement specifies that the Agreement governs the relations of the contracting parties "in Communication Intelligence matters only".
- 2. In the same paragraph it is agreed that the embrage of such collateral meterial as is applicable for technical purposes and is not projudicial to maticual interests will, herever, be efforted between the COMMY Centres in both countries.
- J. In accordance with this paragraph, collateral material is defined as that material from any source other than COMMY which, though of assistance to the COMMY Centros 1.0. applicable for technical purposes") is not directly a Communication Intelligence matter.
- 4. Gonsequently, material listed in para, 3(a) (2) and (6) of the Agreement, though obtained from sources other than Communication Intelligence is not collateral material because it is directly a Communication Intelligence matter. The exchange of such material will be unreatricted, incept as provided in para, 3(b) of the Agreement.
- 5. Similarly, information concerning COM(M) methods and techniques, which are developed by the COMINI Contres under U.S. or British parent, is not collateral material, and its exchange is governed by para. 4 of the Agreement.

ONUMES OF THE FIFTH WEST INC OF EXECUTIVE COUNTY EE HELD at 1315, March 24st,

PRESERT:

Captain E.G. Eastings Chairman

Brig.General Corderman

Sir Edward Travis

Captoin Wonger Captain Smedberg Brigadior Tiltman Commander Lochnia

Colonel Hockemoyer

Scoretaries

Mr. Huddleson Mr.Cimpomian

Contraptor Manson Mr. Rinsley

Recommendations of Sub Committee O on Item 2 of its Agenda

These recommendations were disburged and considerable changes made. The Beoretaries were instructed to produce the revised recommendations in collaboration with Sub Committee C.

art 经可证证证证 During the discussion, it was agreed that a definition of COMINT Items' was necessary. A suggestion from Mr. Huddleson was socepted, reading as follows:

> "Comint items shall be understood to include the following from produced by Comint Centres, plain texts, translated texts, extraots, gists, Sumints and decrypts which serve Intelligence needs.

(Note: This was changed at the 6th Recting)
The Secretaries were instructed to include this definition In an appropriate place in the final recommendations of the Conference.

Recommendations of Sub Committee D

- Those were approved subject to:
 - (a) Rewording in para.8
 - (b) An addition to para. 12, to be discussed at the next meeting.
 - (o) Other minor changes.
- The Secretaries, in collaboration with Sub Committee D, were instructed to prepare the final version, which should include a security principle recommended by Sub Committee E.

Recommendation of Sub Committee C on Item 1 of its Agenda

This was approved, subject to minor changes in drafting, and the Secretaries were instructed to prepare the final version in collaboration with Sub Committee C.

Recommendations of Sub Committee E

The Scoretaries were instructed to include the substance of para one of the Sub Committee's paper in the general paper which would prefece the final Appendices drawn up by the Conference.

- 8. It was agreed that para 2(1) of the recommendations should be inserted in the recommendations of Sub Committee D and removed from the Appendix on Communications.
- With reference to para 2(d)(iii) of the recommendations, Sir Edward Travis said that a signal had been made to Australia asking for approval for Commander Newman to go back to Washington with Captain Wenger in order to investigate the establishment of the Melbourne - Honolulu circuit.
- The Secretaries were instructed to inform Sub Committee E that recommendation 2(n) was not acceptable in its present form.

 They required rewording in a form which made it clear that microfilm was not the normal method, but should be used on some occasions

Next Meeting

To be held at 1015 on Friday, March 22nd.

MINUTES OF THE SIXTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, HELD AT 1015, MARCH 2ND, 1946

Captain . E.G. Hastings, R.N., (Chairman)

Brigadier General Corderman

Sir Edward Travis

Captain Wenger Captain Smedberg Commander Loebnis

Mr. Huddleson

(Secretaries) Commander Manson Mr. Hinsley

Mr. Cimperman

- The Chairman and members of Sub-Committee 'R' were invited to attend the inecting to discuss the recommendations of the Sub-Committee on the subject of the use of microfilm.
- Captain Howeth explained that; in the yiew of his Sub-Committee copies of original documents and papers should be sent shenever possible, but that, when this was not practicable, the use of miorofilm was assential to ensure the best use of facilities. I was therefore necessary that each Centre should be composed to handle miorofilm.
- After some discussion, the following rewording of the Sub-Committee's original recommendation was approved:

"All Centres will be equipped to handle microfilm, so that it may be available when it is not practicable to send the original rate dal."

. A revised wording of the Recommendations (c) and (b) of this Sub-Committee was also tabled and approved. This reads as follows:

> That, except for certain D/F requirements, provision be made for explusive tone-type telecommunications between Centres and between Centres and their outlying interespt This is considered necessary in order to make possible the rapid flow of raw material from the point of interception to the several Centres and in order to allow efficient control of cover with consequent reduction in wasteful duplication; as well as for rapid exchange of raw material and information between Cantres."

Captain Wenger said that he would like to report on his meeting with D.S.D., Admiralty, while Sub-Committee '5' were present. With reference to the proposal that Admiralty should take over the existing U.S.N. Londonderry station, some okennels of which are desired for Communication Intelligence purposes, D.S.D. had said that he can do nothing until he receives official support from this Conference.

- Sir Edward Travis mid this point would be covered if special attention were invited, in the covering remarks of the final recommendations of the Conference, to the importance of Communications for CCMINT purposess. He would see that those remarks were brought before the London SIGINT Board.
- At this point Sub-Committee E' left the Meeting.

KINUTES OF THE POURTH MEETING

- General Corderman asked for the insertion of an additional phrase in para, 9 and Mr. Hudeleson suggested changes in paras. 15 and 16. These and other small modifications were approved.
- With reference to para. 22(b), some discussion took place as to the categories to be used for indicating the status of tasks.

 The Secretaries reported that Sub-Committee 'B', in rewriting its recommendations, had accepted the following categories, note of which would be included against each task in monthly status reports: which would be included against each
 - (a) unsolved, not under research

 - (b) unsolved, under research (c) partially solved (d) solved (currently readable).

These categories were approved.

- The Secretaries were instructed to notify the Chairman of Sub-Countities:
 - (a) that, in future, reference should always be made to COMINT Centres and not to COMINT Agencies.
 - that, in future, reference should always be made to COMITY, and not to C.I.

(Note: This has been done in S/EC/2 which is attached).

MINUTES OF FIFTH LESSTING

- Mr. Huddleson queried his definition of COMINT items given in para. 2, on the grounds that it took no account of Traffic Intelligence. A revised definition was therefore considered and will be included in the final recommendation.
- The Chairman reported that the term SUMINT was considered unsatisfactory by the Sub-Committee concerned, as it would be easily confused with other expressions in common use, such as CCMIM, SIGIM. It was therefore decided to adopt the term COMMISSUM instead.
- With the above exception, the Minutes of the fifth Meeting were approved.

PARA, 12 OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE D'

- After considerable discussion, Colonel Heckeneyer was requested to redraft the suggested addition to para, 12 which had been tabled.
 - (Note: At the end of the meeting Colonel Heckemeyer read out a draft which was approved and will be incorporated in the recommendations of Sub-Committee 'D')

RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE 'C' ON LIFM 3 OF ITS ACENT

General Corderman, with reference to para. 3 of the paper, considered that the recommendation was inadequate and that standardisation should be effected as soon as possible. It was therefore agreed the following should be substituted;

> "To that end, the London Centre will prepare a proposed translation style and layout which will be introduced, with such modifications as are necessary, as soon as it has been considered by the U.S. technical specialists concerned."

- . It was also agreed that this proposed style and layout should be drawn up before the departure of the U.S. delegates.
- Other changes in drafting were made to paras. 4, 5 and 6 of the paper, which was otherwise approved.

RECOMBENDATIONS OF SUB-CONTITUES 'A' ON THEM 2 OF ITS ASSINDA

Minor changes in drafting were made and the paper was approved, subject to these changes.

RECONVENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE 'A' ON ITEM 4 OF ITS AGENDA

- Sir Edward Travis queried whether it was really impracticable to make a division of intercoption and other tasks. In his view, the conference was being entirely successful in establishing methods of collaboration, but not of integration. The methods proposed were not such as to reduce the commitment of each Centre, but likely to increase them.
- General Corderman agreed that the arrangements being made were adding to the burdens of each party and soid he was disturbed by this. On the other hand, he did not feel that a division of tacks could be attempted until communications were adequate to ensure that each Centre received results as quickly from tasks dote at other Centres as it did from its own production coumitments.
- Sir Edward Travis agreed to this but said that this point was nowhere clearly stated in the paper under discussion or in the recommendations on Cryptanalysis. These latter had also assumed that the division of tasks was impracticable, without stating the reason for the assumption.
- General Corderman repeated his view that when communications were provided, all possible division of tasks, both in interception and oryptamalysis, should be effected.
- The Chairmen then suggested that preliminary agreement on the division of tasks should be attempted now, in readiness for the time when communications made the division possible. Some indication of the saving of personnel that would be achieved by division of labour when communications allowed would be a valuable argument in favour of the early provision of the necessary communications.
- Sir Edward Travis strongly supported this point and General Cordensan agreed that the problem of division of tasks should be given some attention during the present conference.
- It was then decided that General Condensen, Sir Edward Travis, Captain Wenger and the Chairman should hold further discussions on this subject with the necessary technicians on or after Tuesday 26th.

26. In view of the above discussion it was agreed that the first sentence of the paper from Sub-Committee 'A' should be modified to read:

"Specific allocation of interception tasks and of search programmes is impracticable until adequate communications are established,"

27. Considerable discussion also took place concerning the exchange of "Intercept Plans", but it was finally agreed to make no change and to approve the paper, subject to the modification in para, 26.

RECORDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTE 'A' ON ITEM 5

23. This recommendation was approved.

EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON PRIORITIES

- 29. Discussion took place concerning the difficulties met by both parties in establishing priorities in collaboration with user departments.
- 30. It was then agreed that no special procedure could be established for keeping each other informed of the state of priorities.
- 31. General Corderman said that, in his view, the complete understanding which we had reached of each other's altuation and capabilities was sufficient to ensure mutual assistance on priorities tasks. He hoped that London would continue to assist the Washington Centre in any particular problem on request, as it had done in the past, and that London would similarly call upon Washington in cases of recessity.

DISCUSSION OF TARKS FOR THE PRODUCT AND FUNCTIONS OF COMINT CENTRES

- 32. <u>Captain Wenger enlarged upon his previous remarks concerning</u>
 the difference between evaluation and interpretation, with special reference to the jurisdictional difficulties associated with this question.
- 33. Captain Smadberg and Mr. Maddleson agreed with Captain Monger's point of view but considered that no further discussion at this present conference would be of use.
- 34. Sir Edward Travis said that, disguised under whetever terms, the produce of Communications Intelligence was Intelligence.
- 35. It was therefore agreed not to pursue this subject.

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

36. Captain Smedberg asked for the inclusion on a future Agenda of the question of whether might be allowed the use of certain Special Intelligence. It was agreed to take this at the next meeting.

OUTSTANDING WORK

37. The Secretary gave a resume of outstanding items to be considered by the Committee.

NEXT MEETING

OGA

38. At 1015 on Monday 25th March.

EO 1.4.(b)

22ml, March, 1946.

Chairman Sub-Committee 'A'

The Exective Committee has directed that,

in future, reference should be made to <u>CENTRE</u> instead of to <u>AGENCI</u> when referring to the production establishments and to <u>COMINT</u> instead of to <u>C.I.</u>

322	·> P~~ ¬` :Æ	- 1 C 1 1 F
<u> </u>	£0:0163=	TAILTIE

KULTES OF SEVENTS MEETING OF

EXTENTIVE OCCULTIVE

FULL AT 1015 ON MONDAY,

25TH MARCH, 1946.

hr. Chaperman.

Captain E. Hastings. (Chairman)

Brigadier General F.P.Corrieman. Colonel Benksunyer. Coptain J. M. Wongor. Captain W.N. Spectory. Mr. Budalegon.

Sir Romard Travis. Conmander C. Lconnic.

Secretaries
Companier Munion.

Absort for part of the meeting.

Colonel Ecolomayer. Constantor Kanson. Vr. Cimpercen.

1. The recommondation in paragraph 3 of the Minites was again ; responded to roading

> "All Centres will be equipped to headle adorofiles, so that it may be available for use onen it is not promblandle to send the original material.

- . Cetogory (a) in paragraph 9 was altered to read "solved (currently madaolo)."
- Paragraph 33 ras altered to read:-

"Captain Sandhorg and Mr. Buddleson agreed with Oaptain Wonger's Foliat of stan the considered that no further discussion at this present Loan to ed Kieve extensing

Subject to the above modifications and a few mirer dianger of wording, the Minister were approved.

KAZERI	el for	. 1		-					•	
5.	The Chairman	reported	tbat	_	diâ	not	dej	ů,	ZE SENS	t11
Euther			190	1.	. 01.		•		,	

9 .

SEC CHICKE CHEAV

RECO LENUATIONS OF SUB-COMPLETES A

6. With reference to the exchange of all rew material, General Bordennin acted whether it was necessary to specify that material mould be waveful, upon netification to the other party, if the subject or circumstances under it rejudical to national interests. He said that he did not wish for this to be stipulated, as it was rully covered by the Book Agreement.

It was desided, therefore, not to include any qualification in

7. A discussion took place at this point concerning the rectrictions hitrorio impact on the exchange of Serminal traffic.

It was direct that these could now cease, as they were surersected by the freedure canablished in paragraph 3 of the Basic Agreement. It was agreed also that a definite date for ending the present restriction are converted to reportated in the near future, and Sir Edward Travis undertook to telegraph STAICIB on this point site discussing with the foreign Calles.

6. With reference to the Corderan pointed out that paragraph or Sub-Committee A's Item 10. Applied that Feshington could ask wilbshires direct for U/r assistance. Sir Engird Travis spreed that this would be acceptable, but he thought that direct relations with the product of the country.

9. It was agreed that both parties would refer to R. ? 3. (R.E.B.) in future as R.F. P. (No short title R.E.B. will be abandoned.

10. A lies 11 of Sun-Counties A'd recommendations were next considered and it was acreed that the embange of Truffic Intelligence would be adquestely covered by the final papers being prepared by Sub-Counties C concerning the crawings of COUNT items.

11. Item 12 from Sub-Charattee A was considered, but the suggestion contained therein was respected and the Soundary was instructed to this Sub-Committee a to write a september paper on the subject of collaboration in Druffic Aritrals.

12. Then 8 concerning Stendardication of the material furnatives was also discussed and approved.

LIAISON

B. With reference to item 9 of the recommendation for Sub-Committee A perograph (a) it was agreed to delete the suggestions concerning direct links on between washington and Kelbourne, as General Conferman, Captain Wonger and Sir Edward Previs condition I that it would be insirtable for farrost working to take place between Washington and Buttles Compensably oversees Jestice encepting All such Eatters should be handled through London.

14. General Terferryin doubted whither listion office's were necessary specifically for I.A. purposes. He thought there are listeen officer might no sole to handle ergotamelytical, T.A. and twinslation matters. He was sure that personnel would not be available in sufficient mulbers to enable many lieuen officers to be maintained.

OGA

EO 1.4.(b) /15

- 15. The discussion then passed to consideration of the U.S. draft, Appendix G. This was modified to some extent, and it was agreed that the modified version should be used as the final appendix on the subject of "Idelson and Channels for Exchange". 'Recommendations from Sub-Committees A and D on this subject were considered to be covered by the U.S. draft impendix G.
- In connection with the U.S. dreft appendix G. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that restrictions on Editish personnel in Washington would probably mean that the London Sight Board would have to establish reciprocal restrictions on U.S. personnel attached to the London Sight Centre. General Condensan and Captain Smedherg said that the inevitability of this was fully appreciated.
- 17. With reference to paragraph 4 of the draft appendix, General Conformen explained that in the U.S. view every arrangement should be filter and through and by liaison officers but that technical details may thereafter be arranged direct between the operating personnel concerned. Sir Kanned Travis agreed with this method.
- 18. General Cordeman also said that his delegation wished to establish as a general principle the fact that the requesting party would be responsible for providing the means of transport for material supplied by the other Centre.

FINAL DRAFT OF APPENDICES B. D and C.

- 19. Appendices B and G were considered and it was approved that they could now be issued in final form
- 20. Appendix D was also considered and it was spread that the final form could be issued efter consideration by General Corderman, Captain Wenger and Sir Edward Travis. The Secretary was instructed to provide them with copies of the redraft as soon as possible.

DIVART COVERING PAREN.

21. A dreft covering paper was considered and maified in many respects. The Secretarias were improved to table the nortised draft at the next needing.

FURTHER PROMOTES.

- 22. The Searchardes were also instructed to dreft a covering lotter to the Chairman of Stanois and the Chairman of the Lordon Sight Board, to be signed by the Chairman of the Conference, General Covernmen and the Edward Iravia.
- 23. It was then agreed that STANCIB and the Lordon Signat Fouri mould notify each owner, by letter, of approval being given to the renouncealations of the Conference.

MINUTES OF EXCEPTS AND FINAL MEETING

OF THE EX CUTIVE COMMITTEE.

held at 1015 on Wednesday, March 27th, 1946.

PRESENT:

Captain E. Fastings

Brigadier General Cordsman

Sir Edward Trayls

Captain Wenger Captain Smedburg Comunder Loshais

Mr. Cimperson

(Secretaries)
Commander Manson
Mr. Hirsley.

MINUTES OF SEVENIK MEETING.

- with reference to para 1 of the draft minutes, Mr. Mundelson taked whether the recommendation should include a stetement on the measurement of the mirrofilm to be used uniformly by both Centres. This point was discussed and it was agreed to make no change in the recommendation. It was agreed, however, that both porties would investigate the equipment at present in use and correspond in the near future with a view to using equipment of uniform size.
- 2. A few minor alterations were made to the draft Minutes, which were then approved.

APPENDIX E

- 3. The Committee rest considered the new paragraphs and the additional Exhibit 4 of Appendix E, and Brigadier Tiltman and Colonal Reviett were called in at this point.
- 4. General Corderman expressed the view that a more definite etatement than that given in Emilbit 4 would be advisable. Some inletive had to be taken in effecting a division of tasks and the COVITY Contres themselves were best placed to take the first steps. Sir Edward Travia agreed with this view.
- 5. Brighdier Tiltman said that a more definite statement would necessitate the omission of paran. 3 (b) and (c) of Ethicit 4 concerning division of tasks on _______ Nothing definite could be agreed on these points without further would. Moreover, better fields for the allocation of tasks might be found when this study was carried out.
- 6. General Conforman and Sir Edward Travis both considered that it was an urgent requirement that the necessary inventigation should be carried out at both Centres, and views exchanged as to tasks which should be left to one or the other Centre,
- 7. Brigadier Tilbman said there were research tasks in which division by allocation was not desirable as two heads were better than one.

 General Corderman however, said that this would not be true in circumstan.

/WILDCO...

EO 1.4.(d) EO 1.4.(d) EO 1.4.(b) NSA25X3

NSA25X6

EXECUTIVE CHIER.

MINUTES OF ECRITU AND FINAL MEETING

OF THE EX CUTIVE COMMITTEE.

held at 1015 on Wednesday, March 27th, 1945.

PRESENT:

Captain E. Hastings Chaires.

Brigadier General Corderman

-Sir Edward Trevis

Captain Wenger Captain Smeaburg Commander Locknie

Mr. Huddleson

(Secretarics)
Commander Manson
Mr. Himley.

MINUTES OF SEVENIK MEETING.

- asked whether the recommendation should include a statement on the measurement of the mirrofilm to be used uniformly by both Centres. This point was discussed and it was agreed to make no change in the recommendation. It was agreed, however, that both parties would investigate the equipment at present in use and correspond in the near future with a view to using equipment of uniform size.
- 2, A few minor alterations were made to the draft Minutes, which were then approved.

APPENDIX E

- 3. The Committee pert considered the new paragraphs and the additional Exhibit t of Appendix E, and Brigadier Tiltran and Colonal Rewlett were called in at this point.
- 4. General Cordannea expressed the view that a more definite statement than that given in Exhibit 4 nould be advisable. Some inlative had to be taken in effecting a division of tasks and the CONTEXT Contras themselves were best placed to take the first steps. Dir Edward Travia agreed with this view.
- 5. Brigodier Tiltmen said that a more definite statement would necessitate the omission of pares. 3 (b) and (c) of Exhibit 4 concerning division of tasks on Nothing definite could be agreed on these points without further study. Moreover, better fields for the allocation of tasks might be found when this study was carried out.
- 6. General Cordoman and Sir Edward Travis both considered that it was an urgent requirement that the necessary investigation should be carried out at both Centres, and views exchanged as to tasks which should be left to one or the other Centre.
- 7. Brigadier Tiltman said there were research tasks in which division by allocation was not desirable as two heads were better than one.

 General Corderman however, said that this would act be true in circumtan.

/whec.

E0 1: 4.(c) E0 1: 4.(d) E0 1: 4.(b) MSA25X3: NSA25X6: when available personnel in either Centre were inadequate to do all the necessary research, although he agreed that the allocation of tasks would have to proceed slowly.

- 8. Colonal Rowlett said be was sure that by the time 2 monthly status reports had been exchanged, a considerable economy of personnel would have been effected.
- 9. In view of the above discussion, it was agreed that (a) the two Centreswould undertake the necessary involvingation of tasks which would be undertaken solely by one Centre or the other, effecting division of of labour in this way as early as practicable, but that (b) Exhibit 4 should be emitted from the Appendix.
- 10. A small drafting change was made in para. t of the Appendix, which was then approved.

COVERING PAPER

- 11. Sir Edward Travis said ha thought that some mention should be made in the covering remarks to the effect that the undertaking to eschange copies of all intercepts could not come into found at once, but would, in fact, take some time to arrange.
- 12. General Corderwan, however, gave it as his view that most of the arrangements were subject to this reservation and he considered that it was not necessary to refer spacially to the explange of raw material in this connection.
- 13. Sir Edward Trewis, in view of this, sgreed to withdraw his auggestion.

COVERING LETTER

14. A first was discussed and the Secretary was instructed to have a new version prepared.

APPENDIX A

15. This Appendix on Tomas to be used was Cocurred and approved, subject to minor changes.

APPENDIX C

16. (liange:	made	10	this perce	r al	eerlier	r:ê¢'	tin ≰ we:	re na	xt cons	icorod,
Discuss	nion to	ok 1/3	200	espeolall	7 67	ncerning	the	excl uit.	cof	Inter	ception
plans.	'							,			

17.					W	as orlled	in for	thi	is question,	
			71e17s,	1t 7/128	<u> අගාල්</u>	to alter	para.9	3C	the paper.	
	sure thet									
the o	eginning	oT t	ao man	in to i	ทร.อยุ ระบ	uberredu				_

- 18. With reference to perm. 20 in the paper, conserving the rotal exchange of raw material, it was reced to add that this would be a rested as soon as it could be arranged, in order to assor the point raised by Sir Edward Travia in para. 11 above.
- 19. After these changes had buen make, the Aglendia was approved.

VEBISIDIN	E

٠						•			
					gold will rece	1n	Traffic	Analysis and	
L	₩A.S	apr	roved.		\	•	/	and the second of the second o	
				ter a la completa de	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	2000		

OGA EO 1.4.(b) ARMODE: T

- 24. Appendix F, concerning the Exchange of Communications Intelligence and Cs-ordination in translation, was then considered.
- 22. Soveral changes were agreed, including the insertion of a reference to Traffic Intelligence in para. 7, which was necessary in order to cover the point settled in para. 10 of the minutes of the Seventh Moeting.
- 23. The Appendix was then approved.

24. This paper on the exchange of Collateral Material was approved without further discussion.

25. The draft paper resulting from earlier discussions on the subject of lielson was discussed at length and approved, subject to several minor changes. minor changes.

It was agreed that the Committee had then completed its proceedings.

TOP SECRET CHEAN.

CONFIRMED

MINUTES OF PLENARY MEETING TO CONCLUDE

THE U.S.-BRITISH TECHNICAL CONFERENCE,

HELD AT 1600 ON WEITNESDAY, MARCH 27TH, 1946.

PRESERT:

Captain E. Hastings.

Captain J.W. Wenger. Captain W.R. Smedberg. Captain L.S. Howeth. Captain E.S. L. Goodwin. Commander E. Knopper.

Brig.General W.P.Cordermen.

Colonel B.W.Heckemeyor. Colonel B.Rowlett. Captain P.J.Patton. Major L.P.Stone. Captain C.Collins. Lieut. H.Schmidt.

Mr. E.E.Huddleson. Mr. J.A.Cimperman. Mr. E.Christopher. Sir Edward Travis.

Brigadier J.Tiltman. Captein (S) D.A.Wilson.

160	<u> </u>	र घट	Poor se tra		,	
MI	٠,٠	J.Ho	oper	•		
1						

Commander C. Lochnis.

Beoretaries:	
mander G.C. Franson F.H. Hinsley	

- 1. The Chairman asked for any remarks on the final draft of the Covering Paper and Appendices prepared by the Conference.
- 2. General Corderman, for the U.S. Delegation, and Capteda Worgan, Mr. Huddleson and Mr. Cimpermen, for their various Departments, exgressed their approval of the papers.
- 3. Sir Edward Travis concurred on behalf of the British representatives.
- 4. The Chairman expressed his thanks to the assembled morting, especially to the Chairman of Sub-Committees, for their particleation in discussions which had led to such important agreements between the two countries.
- 5. Sir Edward Travis thanked his U.S. colleagues for coming to Lemica for the Conference. He felt certain that the Conference had not been held too soon. The highly satisfactory arrangements made at a time when each party's domestic situation was in a state of flux would ensure that steps taken from now on in each country would be in line with the agreements now reached and would further strengthen the collaboration which was so fluxly established.

He wished to express his thanks especially to the Chairman and Members of Sub-Committees and, in conclusion, he wished godspeed and good luck in the future to all members of the U.S. Delegation.

6. General Cordeman said he shared Sir Eduardes views concerning the timeliness of the meetings. He falt that a great deal had been accomplished. If only because the CCMONT representatives of the two countries had got together to settle things which had previously not been fully investigated, a great step forward had been made.

The fruits of the work completed at the Conference wight not be seen immediately but no-one could doubt that, from a long-term point-of-view, the recent meetings were an important stage in U.S.-British relations in general and in U.S.-British Communications Intelligence oc-operation.

He thanked the British delegates for the cordial reception given to every U.S. visitor, the Chairman for the splendid way in which he had hundled the Conference, the Chairman of Sub-Domnittees for their work, and then the Scoretariat for its consistent hard work and attention to the arrangements.

Finally, he looked forward to a similar conference in Washington and extended a welcome to British delegates in advance.

7. The Chairman then announced that the recommendations of the Conference would be forwarded to the Chairman, STARCIB and to the Chairman, London SIGINT Board for approval, and the meeting adjourned.