

EXPOSING 'WALID SHOEBAT'

THE SO-CALLED 'FORMER MUSLIM TERRORIST' TURNED NEW-CON EVANGELICAL CHRISTIAN-ZIONIST!

[JUNE 2007]

Indeed, all praise is due to Allaah, we praise Him, we seek His aid, and we ask for His forgiveness. We seek refuge in Allaah from the evil of our actions and from the evil consequences of our actions. Whomever Allaah guides, there is none to misguide and whoever Allaah misguides there is none to guide. I bear witness that there is no god worthy of worship except Allaah and I bear witness that Muhammad is the servant and messenger of Allaah.

To proceed:

From the contemporary anti-Islamic hate-mongers in the West is one named 'Walid Shoebat' who has taken it upon himself to prop himself up as some sort of expert on Islaam. Following the tradition of lying against Islaam, Shoebat has sunken to further depths of ignobility with his odd claims and is one of the many neo-con puppets that travels the world encouraging hatred against Islaam and Muslims in the name of Christian-Zionism.¹

¹ The 'Christian Zionist' movement supports confrontation with the Middle East as they believe that it will herald the 'armageddon' and the coming of Jesus, based on an interpretation of the *'Book of Revelations'* in the New Testament of the Bible. One of the contemporary propagandists of this belief is the Reverend John Hagee of the USA who leads the 18,000-member *Cornerstone Church* in San Antonio, Texas. In his book *Jerusalem Countdown*, he argues that a confrontation with Iran is a necessary precondition for Armageddon and the Second Coming of Christ. In the best-selling book, Hagee insists that the United States must join Israel in preemptive military strikes to fulfil God's plan for both Israel and the West. Shortly after the book's publication, he began *Christians United for Israel (CUFI)* which is the Christian version of the powerful *American Israel Public Affairs Committee*. Rabbi Daniel Lapin, a prominent Jewish ally of the evangelical right (and friend of Jack Abramoff) has said that Hagee "without question, yes, absolutely" has the ear of the White House. Hagee's annual *'Night to Honor Israel'* at his church has drawn prominent Republicans, including Tom DeLay, who was the keynote speaker in 2002. (Sarah Posner, *'Lobbying for Armageddon'* posted on *Alternet*, August 3 2006) Christian Zionism supports Israel in so far as they believe that when Jesus comes back again all the Jews in Israel will become born-again Christians?!

WHO IS 'WALID SHOEBAT'?

'Walid Shoebat' first of all is a pseudonym and is not the man's real name and as a result to trace the history of this man is particularly difficult. Furthermore, this lack of knowledge in regards to the background of this individual makes it very difficult to corroborate Shoebat's claims when he speaks about his background. Shoebat says that he uses the alias because he is in fear of his life, yet this is rather odd coming from a person who appears on CNN, Fox News and a whole host of other news agencies where people can still see you?! Indeed, he has even held a number of public speeches and the like, and this does not fit the profile of one who allegedly is in fear of his life due to reprisals. So this in itself is questionable.

For example, in the Online magazine *Counter Punch* in 2004 Will Youmans highlights in an article about Shoebat that 'Walid Shoebat' has never ever been investigated by the US authorities for his alleged terrorist affiliations and was never threatened with deportation, whilst other Palestinians have been. Youmans thus suggests that due to his pro-Zionist views Shoebat has been immune for deportation or prosecution. 'Shoebat's' support of the Zionists though follows the usual paradoxical Christian-Zionist belief of the Jews being forced to convert at the end of time when the final 'rapture' comes. Yet 'Shoebat' plays all this down when he is entertaining Jewish audiences so as to gain credibility and support from Zionists and Jews.

He is the author of *Why I Left Jihad: The Root of Terrorism and the Return of Radical Islam*. Yet with regards to Shoebat's claim of being a 'former terrorist' then there is no independent proof, study or confirmation whatsoever for this claim of him being a terrorist, he only emerged after 9/11! The only person who says that he was a terrorist is himself! So no one has attested to the Shoebat's assertion that he was ever a member of a terrorist organisation whether that be the PLO or Hamas. And 'Shoebat' flies around the US and the world and is not on any watch-list or no-fly list!

Keith Davies is the tour-manager and agent of 'Shoebat' and claims to be an Irish Jew living in Pennsylvania and according to an article by Reverend Jim Sutter for the Online magazine Hatewatch on Friday March 16 2007, 'Shoebat' receives \$13,500 for each speaking engagement arranged! Davies also noted that 'Shoebat' is "an effective anti-Muslim propaganda tool".² Nathan Kazis also uncovered the same about 'Shoebat' in his article entitled 'the Changeling'.

² <http://hatewatchhallofshame.blogspot.com/search/label/Walid%20Shoebat>

In regards to the TV interviews that 'Shoebat' has been involved in then there are some clear contradictions and observations about 'Shoebat's' claims. For example:

'SHOEBAT' SAYS HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE PLO IN CHICAGO BUT IN OTHER INTERVIEW SAID HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD IN CHICAGO

In an interview 'Shoebat' stated that he was a member of the PLO yet in other interview he states that he was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood in Chicago, but these are two totally different partisan organisations! So which one was it??! In his CNN interview when he was asked "...You were a member of the Muslim Brotherhood in Chicago", Shoebat responds **"Yes, that's right"**, but in another interview 'Shoebat' when the interviewer tells him that she read that he was a member of the PLO in Chicago he says **"Yes maam, we were fundraising"**!

'SHOEBAT' CLAIMS THAT HIS WORST TERRORIST ACT WAS THAT HE PLANTED A BOMB IN A BANK, BUT IN ANOTHER INTERVIEW HE SAYS THAT HE NEVER WENT THROUGH WITH IT!?

Shoebat was asked in a CNN interview, since he was a "former terrorist", **"what was the worst terrorist act that"** he had been involved in and committed. Shoebat says that he **"planted a bomb in bank Laomi in Israel, in Bethlehem"**, but in another interview Shoebat says that he did not go through with it!? So he didn't "plant" anything, in another interview with Fox News Live Shoebat was asked **"In 1978, you had a bomb and you were about to use that bomb in a bank, you stopped...you didn't throw the bomb into the bank and injure anybody why? What stopped you?"** Shoebat says that this actually took place in 1976 and because he **"saw some Arab kids roaming around the bank and his intention was not to kill any Arab kids"**. So he didn't go through with it so it couldn't have been his "worst terrorist act" because he didn't even do anything! So if he didn't plant the bomb and allegedly had second thoughts, then he did not commit a terrorist act to begin with. In another interview with Bill O'Reilly (*The Reilly Factor* show on 1 August 2006 on *Fox News*), Shoebat says that yes indeed he did **"lodge (throw) a bomb into the bank"**, so we now have three different stories with regards to his alleged "worst terror act":

- ✓ Firstly he says he planted a bomb in a bank

- ✓ Then he says that he was going to do but he didn't go through with it
- ✓ Then he says that he threw a bomb into a bank

So which one is it? Is it any wonder that Shoebat is getting exposed?

Shoebat then says that he was at a demonstration threw some rocks and allegedly beat up a Jewish soldier in Palestine and this is Shoebat's sum-total of involvement in "international terror"! So obviously it seems that some people are inconsistent in maintaining their stories in different interviews on different TV stations. See here for the footage compiled some brothers in America of Shoebat's contradictions in his interviews³:
<http://youtube.com/watch?v=1RehZY6tHcA&mode=related&search=>

'SHOEBAT' CLAIMS THAT THE ARABS AND MUSLIMS HAVE AN INBRED CULTURE OF DEATH AND HATRED BUT CALLS TO HATRED OF ISLAM AND MUSLIMS HIMSELF!

So for example, Shoebat is vocal in highlighting that some Muslims (Ameen Husaynee, the Mufti of Palestine) supported Hitler during the Second World War and if they were with him then this is clearly wrong as Islaam does not support any belief of racial superiority, and a person's hatred of a people should not lead them to commit injustice. So any Muslim who supports a belief of the inherent superiority of the Aryan race is treading on very dangerous grounds as such a belief is disbelief.

In any case Nazis met with the heads of the Church, Nazi troops can be seen coming out of churches, Hitler coming out of Church, symbolism from Christianity and Nazism together in a unified form etc. See for example the many photos and images which can be seen online wherein Hitler met members of the established European church of his day, indeed the Vatican signed a concordant between them and the Nazis, and all this is well-known. So historically, all of these examples are minuscule compared to the actions of one naive "mufti" in Palestine somewhere.

If we are using the arguments of being connected to the Nazis, then what about the research conducted by John Buchanan which reveals that the grandfather of George W. Bush was connected to the Nazis! Buchanan was the first journalist in US history to go the US national

³ We at salafimanhaj.com are not responsible for the production of the footage which features music at the beginning.

Archives and the Library of Congress and trawl through thousands of pages of recently declassified documentation and discover that Prescott Bush, the grand-father of George W. Bush and George Herbert Walker (Dubya's maternal grandfather), were according to Buchanan "Nazi traitors who should have been tried for treason"! For more on this see: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/usa/story/0,12271,1312540,00.html>

Shoebat then claims that the Palestinians, according to his alleged experience, would parade Jewish troops in the streets along with their body parts and in the interview with John Hagee Shoebat claims that **"the guts, heart and kidney"** were removed and paraded in the streets **"on a platter"**. So 'Shoebat's' intent here is make the Palestinians to be savages even there is no real documentation to affirm such barbarism of this type of cannibalism among the Palestinian people, but the question now is that if 'Shoebat' is trying to make out the people to be savages due to doing these kind of things then what about the people in the US who have also done this and worse forms of barbarism. Indeed, there is documentation and evidence which shows that people in Christian white America were involved in lynchings of African-Americans and take pictures of these events showing people laughing and smiling at the carcass of the lynched African-American! Souvenirs of these lynchings would also be taken as proof that a "Nigger had been lynched" and thus ears, noses, legs, arms, testicles etc. Would all be removed as proof that a "Nigger had been killed" and all this was in Christian America up the 1960s. In the book 100 Years of Lynching (Black Classic Press, 1996)⁴ by Ralph Ginzberg he states on page 11

Not even the bones of the Negro were left in peace, but were eagerly snatched by a crowd of people drawn from all directions who almost fought over the burning body of the man, carving it with their knives and seeking souvenirs of the occurrence.

On page 15 Ginzberg states:

Before death was allowed to end the sufferings of the negro his ears were cut off and the small finger of his left hand was severed at the second joint. These trophies were in Palmetto yesterday.

On page 223, Ginzberg mentions the more grotesque aspects of these lynchings of Christians in America which involved the removal of the penis and other savage barbarism. There is no proof or evidence that Muslims and Arabs have been involved in barbarism to this extent with even the Khawaarij not even reaching this level of grotesque barbarism! Indeed, these Christian Americans involved in such lynchings did not even have the shame to hide the fact that they

⁴ First printed by Lancer Books in 1962

were involved and even took photographs of the events, establishing the proof of their savagery on their own selves! For more on the barbarity of lynchings, as performed by white American Christians against African-Americans, see also:

- ✓ *Without Sanctuary: Lynching Photography in America* (Twin Palms Publishers, 2000) by Hilton Als.
- ✓ *Southern Horrors and other Writings: The Anti-Lynching Campaign of Ida B. Wells 1892-1900* (Boston: Bedford Books, 1997) by Jacqueline Jones Royster.
- ✓ *At the Hands of Persons Unknown: The Lynching of Black America* (New York: Random House, 2002) by Philip Dray.
- ✓ http://www.english.uiuc.edu/maps/poets/g_l/lynching/lynching.htm

So do people with this kind of history really have the moral authority to demonise Muslims? And we are not saying that all Euro-Americans were involved in this but people have to be just and truthful if sincere in serious dialogue regarding such important matters connected to hatred and intolerance.

‘SHOEBAT’ CLAIMS THAT MOST OF THE ARABS IN THE MIDDLE-EAST SUPPORT EXTREMISM AND TERRORISM

In his interview with John Hagee in August 2006 Shoebat is asked by Hagee “What percentage of Islamics are committed to killing Christians and Jews”, but then Shoebat changes the question to “Well, what’s the percentage of Muslims that adhere to Islamic fanaticism...”, so Shoebat changes Hagee’s question before answering it because it was obviously too much of an excessive Christian-Zionist question. Furthermore, Shoebat seems a bit embarrassed by Hagee’s use of the word “Islamics” in talking about Muslims! Hagee refers to Muslims, in keeping with the way of the extreme Christian Right in the US, as “Islamics” just as the far-Right BNP in the UK do!

So anyway, Hagee wants to know how many Muslims are prepared to kill, but Shoebat changes the question to look at how many follow fanatical Islamic ideologies. Shoebat says that it is about 70% of Muslims in the world who have this ideology and says that al-Arabia Network conducted a survey⁵ asking “Would you want Hamas or the Palestinian Authority to be the representatives of the Palestinian people”, Shoebat continues by saying **“113,00 were surveyed from all**

⁵ In November 2004 CE

perspectives of the Middle-East, the Arab world were asked that question. Over 73% said we want Hamas to represent the Palestinians.”

Firstly, then the survey was about Arabs and not Muslims which Hagee tries to insinuate the poll was about and secondly the Middle-East and so-called Arab World has a population of 415 Million and the survey was of 113,000 people which does not even constitute 1% of the Middle East population!! So Hagee and 'Shoebat' try to demonise an entire region based on the views of not even 1% of its inhabitants and judge the people based on the opinions of not even a percent of its population. Hagee thus stated:

I read in an article the other day that there were 1.3 billion on the face of the earth practicing Islam, now if 70% of 1.3 billion want to have jihad, which is holy war, or want to execute Christians and Jews, you're talking about somewhere in the neighbourhood of 800 million people on the face of the earth that want to do that. Would you say that's an accurate number?

Firstly, Hagee blatantly lies and takes the 70% of 113,000 Arabs from the survey mentioned by Shoebat to be 70% of all 1.3 billion Muslims in the entire world in order to demonise Muslims!

Secondly, even Shoebat did not even say this, Shoebat merely mentioned that it was people polled in Palestine and other Arab countries.

So what poll or survey said that 70% of 1.3. billion Muslims want to see Christians and Jews executed?! We are waiting for the source-references and documentation for such a survey, and lastly jihad does not mean "holy war" as Hagee deceptively states. So beware O Muslim that these people have the nerve to speak about Nazi propoganda when they in fact are spreading a similar hate against Muslims in Western societies just as the Nazis did against the Jews.⁶

⁶ Other neo-cons, Islamophobes and hysterical scare-mongers who have contributed to this hype, some of whom have rather questionable stances in regards to 'promoting tolerance' and 'opposing hate ideologies' to say the least, include the likes of:

1. Nina Shea, who as the director of the '*Center for Religious Freedom*' has been one of the main propagandists of the claim that Saudi is purposefully spreading a 'hate ideology' via school textbooks, *masajid* and other institutions. As a result, '*Freedom House*' has resorted to simplistic cut and paste quotes, context dropping, inadequate research, and an acute biased partisan agenda in order to make Saudi Arabia out to be some sort of bogeyman to the US. One of the other assertions was that "Saudi publications on hate ideology fill American mosques" when only about fifteen mosques were mentioned within the 'study', which constitute less than 1% of all mosques in America! '*Freedom House*' did not consult or liaise with any mosques or Muslim organizations whatsoever. The '*Freedom House* studies,

like the poorly researched *Dispatches* documentary aired on 15 January 2006 on *Channel 4* (UK) entitled *'Undercover Mosques'*, also fell into the huge discrepancy of failing to show any causal link between such publications on Muslims and if there was causal relationship between them on the Muslim youth in terms making them extreme. They neither asked the members of the Islamic centres their views nor did they enquire into their activities and how the publications are used. In the Freedom House 'studies' they also make the huge error, which we see committed by others, of claiming that the Muslims only view the world in terms of *Daar ul-Islaam* (the abode of Islaam) and *Daar ul-Harb* (the abode of war) **"and that when Muslims are in the latter, they must behave as if on a mission behind enemy lines"!!!** This is mentioned on page 13 of the document *'Saudi Publications on Hate Ideology Fill American Mosques'* (Washington: Center for Religious Freedom, 2005). This is an absolutely ignorant statement as Shaykh Khaalid al-Anbaree has stated within his lectures on *Siyaasah ash-Shar'iyyah* (Politics in Light of Islam) that the domains are split into three: *Daar ul-Islaam*, *Daar ul-Kufr* (which is split into two) and *Daar ul-Harb*, so not just two abodes! And even when Muslims are in an abode of warfare they are not instructed to **"behave as if on a mission behind enemy lines"** (!!?) rather scholars have even noted that when Muslims are in an abode of war they have to tolerate the laws, obey the laws of that land and treachery, killing, stealing et al. are not permitted within it for Muslims who enter that country with a contract of agreement and safety. So we can see here then that *'Freedom House'* and Nina Shea have a totally incorrect approach to begin with! There were a number of *Sufis* who contributed to her reports and within the intros and acknowledgements there is much reference to Hishaam Kabbaanee!

2. Daniel Pipes, an American Zionist and Islamophobic columnist. He is director of the so-called *'Middle East Forum'*. He is 'endorsed' by groups such as the *'Christian Coalition'*, the *'American Israel Public Affairs Committee'*, the *'American Jewish Congress'*, and the *'Zionist Organization of America.'* He is behind the website *'Campus Watch'*. As for his father, Richard Pipes, then he was one of the architects of the neo-con methodology, who taught at *Harvard University* for 46 years, retiring in 1996. Richard Pipes was born in Poland to a wealthy Jewish family and specializes in Russian history, he was a leading advisor to the Reagan administration. Richard Pipes was head of the 1976 Team B which undermined the CIA and claimed that the Soviets had weapons even though there were none to actually be found and there was no proof whatsoever that they had certain capabilities. Team B claimed that the Soviets had a nuclear-armed submarine fleet that used a sonar system that was not based on sound and as a result of this could not be detected?! Do such false insinuations sound familiar?? Much of this was based on Pipes' view of the Soviets as being a highly expansionist and totalitarian state which was bent upon world domination. As for Daniel Pipes then he has authored a variety of articles wherein he has called for all Muslims to be monitored!? As mentioned in an article entitled *The War's Most Agonizing Issue* for the *Jerusalem Post* on 1/22/03. He was selected by the US government to be on the US 'Institute of Peace' (!!) a post that he served until January 2005.
3. Robert Spencer, a Catholic neo-con ideologue who rose to fame after 9/11 as a self-proclaimed 'Islamic specialist'!? He is the editor of *The Myth of Islamic Tolerance: How Islamic Law Treats Non-Muslims* (New York: Prometheus Books, 2005) which despite its impressive size is actually totally devoid of serious source referencing which the biased and questionable contributors really thought they could do justice to! He, along with Hugh Fitzgerald, is also behind the websites *'Jihad Watch'* and *'Dhimmi Watch'*, and the hysterical documentary film entitled *'Islam: What the West Needs to Know'* which also features Ba't Ye'or, Serge Tifkovic and others. The documentary also depends on the words and footage of extremists and discredited preachers such as 'Umar Bakri Muhammad. The documentary film also

claims on its website that **“Virtually every major Western leader has over the past several years expressed the view that Islam is a peaceful religion and that those who commit violence in its name are fanatics who misinterpret its tenets”** and this is also totally false as the likes of Berlusconi, Putin and General Boykin have been clear in their statements against Islaam. One of the main mistakes, or rather blatant lies, fabrications and distortions, of the likes of Spencer is that they claim that Islaam only views the world as *only* being *'Daar ul-Islaam'* (an abode of Islaam) or *'Daar ul-Harb'* (an abode of war which is at war with a Muslim country, not unofficial individuals and bandits within a Muslim country who are on the run) and as a result, according to Spencer and his ilk, Muslims view the whole non-Muslim world as *Daar ul-Harb* and this claim is totally false and a blatant fabrication. So here then we can see how the likes of Spencer are either utterly ignorant of this or blatant liars, some would opt for the latter as being their actual condition! Here they have fallen into exactly the same mistake as Nina Shea et al. of *'Freedom House.'* Spencer on his *'Jihad Watch'* website implicated Muslims as being responsible for the Armanious Murders in New Jersey wherein a Coptic family was murdered. The *'Jihad Watch'* website argued that Muslims pretended to convert to Christianity in order to win the family's trust, it later turned out that this was not the case, but the website issued no retraction or apology. What is also unfortunate is that the likes of Yusuf Smith (a *sufi* follower of Nooh Keller who runs the *'Blogistan'* website), who have tried to 'debate' Spencer end up falling into a quagmire. As on one occasion Smith accepted Spencer's use of the term "Wahhabis" and then proceeded to guide Spencer to another *sufi* site which referred to Keller's *Reliance of the Traveller*. Spencer's response **“the book contains a chapter on jihaad”** (!! so even when some of the *Sufis* try to agree with his simplistic use of terms such as "Wahhabi", the likes of Spencer just throw it back into their faces! This also happens with the case of Dr Khaled Abou El-Fadl who regularly attacks simplistically "Wahhabism" and promotes himself as a "moderate" yet the likes of Dan Pipes still throw this back in his face and accuse El Fadl of being a "neo-Islamist" and of spouting "reformist apologetics"! So much for foolishly trying to publicly slander Muslim countries in order to gain acceptance from the enemies of Islaam. In other blatant lies, Spencer claimed on his site on August 10 2004 that Ahmad Deedaat (*raheemahullaah*) had been sponsored by the Saudi Bin Laden group and that Bin Laadin did this on purpose as a "precursor to jihad"! For some reason Spencer is referred to in the US media!?

4. Bat Ye'or - the concept of *'dhimmitude'* was formulated by Bat Ye'or in her book *Islam and Dhimmitude: Where Civilisations Collide* (Cranbury, New Jersey: Associated University Presses, 2002). The name 'Bat Ye'or' is not a real name and is rather a false Hebrew pseudonym that she uses, her real name is Giselle Littman and she is an Egyptian born British Jewish author who claims to specialise in the Middle-East and Islaam. It is worth highlighting some aspects of her background as it helps us to understand some of the main reasons as to why she has formulated her ideas. Littman was born in Cairo, but her Egyptian nationality was revoked in 1955 because she was Jewish so her family had to leave Egypt for England in 1957 wherein they became stateless refugees. Littman herself has described how her life experiences influenced her research interests when she said: "I had witnessed the destruction, in a few short years, of a vibrant Jewish community living in Egypt for over 2600 years and which had existed from the time of Jeremiah the prophet. I saw the disintegration and flight of families, dispossessed and humiliated, the destruction of their synagogues, the bombing of the Jewish quarters and the terrorizing of a peaceful population. I have personally experienced the hardships of exile, the misery of statelessness and I wanted to get to the root cause of all this. I wanted to understand why the jews from Arab countries, nearly a million shared my experience." She authored a book entitled *The Jews in Egypt* in 1971 and then a study on Copts in Egypt under another false pseudonym, *'Yahudiya*

Masriya' (Egyptian Jew) in Arabic. Claiming to focus on the status of non-Muslims under Muslim rule she attempts to recruit Christians and other non-Muslims into supporting the Zionist project by explaining away Christian expressions of appreciation of Muslim tolerance as a false consciousness inspired by an inferiority complex and self-hating hang-up due to the aftermath of *jihad*, which she terms as "*dhimmitude*." Littman (Bat Ye'or) claims that any injustices against Muslims are mere figments of the imagination and are only referred to in order to cover up an Islamic master plan for subjugating the non-Muslim world?! In the second half of the book *Dhimmitude* she vilifies anti-Zionist Christians as being '*dhimmi* pawns.' However, she does not seek to attempt to dismiss Jewish critics of Israel in the same manner, such as Israel Shahak for example. Littman (Bat Ye'or) in her simplistic assessment of Islamic history dismisses any accusations of treachery on the part of Jews during the time of the Prophet Muhammad (*sallallahu alayhi wassallam*) and she also resorts to cut-and-paste quotations, context dropping and selective quotes from scholars and historians, as a result, she omits and glosses over any other positions that refute her claims. She claims that European persecution of Jews came about after Europeans learned these "new techniques" from the Muslims!! She also argues a similar line in her book *Eurabia: The Euro-Arab Axis* (Cranbury, New Jersey: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, 2005). Littman does not admit that Palestinian Christians and Muslims have shared a common cause as victims of Israeli persecution, oppression, tyranny and injustice merely claiming that Arab Christian anti-Zionists have "**dhimmi submission to Muslim masters**"!! Even the Israeli murder of Christians is blamed on the Muslims (on pages 278 and 386 of *Dhimmitude*). She therefore claims that Muslims are intolerant due to their ideas on *jihad* and that the Divine Legislation (*Sharee'ah*) "**wages a perpetual war against non-Muslims who refuse to submit.**" However, academics and professors in the field have raised questions concerning Littman's arguments. Esther Benbassa, director of *Religious Studies in Modern Judaism* at the *Sorbonne University* in France said in an interview for the French weekly *Le Point* that Littman (Bat Ye'or) "**is not a professional historian and that, though restrictions on Jews in Arab countries existed, they were more symbolic than practical, with non-Muslim minorities enjoying protection, autonomy and freedom.**" Sidney H. Griffith in the *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 30, no. 4. (November 1998), pp. 619-621 writes in regards to the book *The Decline of Eastern Christianity under Islam*: "**They [the documents used as sources] are presented out of context with no analysis or explanation. The trouble with *The Decline of Eastern Christianity* is that in spite of the gathering of an enormous amount of historical material, and in spite of the fact that she has raised an issue that well deserves study, Bat Ye'or has written a polemical tract, not responsible historical analysis.**" He also states: "**The problems one has with the book are basically twofold: the theoretical inadequacy of the interpretive concepts jihad and dhimmitude as they are employed here; and the want of historical method in the deployment of the documents which serve as evidence for the conclusions reached in the study. There is also an unfortunate polemical tone in the work.**" In assessing these claims is noteworthy to mention that Henry Stubbs, a contemporary of Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679), who was an expert in Ottoman policies of tolerance highlighted that if the Europeans adopted Ottoman policies of tolerance it would solve the problems of religious hatred and communal violence. Interestingly, after Hobbes, Locke (1632-1704) wrote a famous treatise on tolerance which became the basis for American freedom of religion acts and policies, based on the Ottoman model. Even 'Voltaire' praised the Ottomans and had admiration for Ottoman tolerance, he said: "**[They are] invincibly attached to their religion, they hate, they disdain the Christians, they regard them as**

idolaters; yet they suffer their presence and protect them in their empire and in the capital they inhabit a vast quarter where they are permitted to hold processions, which are preceded by four Janissaries who march in front." 'Voltaire' also commented favourably on the fact that the Turks had no aristocracy and did not permit duelling. Littman's work and idea of '*dhimmitude*' is utilised and referred to by the likes of the far-right *BNP* in Britain and others who we have mentioned within this footnote.

5. Stephen Schwartz, a neo-con Jewish convert to Hishaam Kabbaanee's *Naqshabandee Sufi* order!? He is a journalist yet is absolutely unqualified to discuss matters of the *deen*, on top of that he contributes to *Front Page Mag*? Clifford Geertz writing in the *New York Review of Books*, Stephen Schwartz is a **"strange and outlandish figure"**, indeed as we shall observe. Amir Butler has noted that Schwartz beginning **"as an anarchist-Trotskyist (calling himself "Comrade Sandalio")**, Schwartz later became the obituary writer for the *San Francisco Chronicle*. From there, according to Geertz's article, he became a cheerleader for Reagan's war in Grenada, before finally moving to Sarejevo where he worked as a freelance journalist of some description. At other times, he has been described as a "New Age Rightist" and as an "internationally recognized surrealist poet" who had found the philosophers stone of class struggle. The only consistency in Schwartz's career has been the frequent ideological shifts that have characterised it. Since September 11, this "strange and outlandish figure" has risen from the relative obscurity of writing obituaries for the *San Francisco Chronicle* and as a freelance hack in Sarejevo to holding court in such publications as *Frontpage Magazine*, *Weekly Standard* and the *National Review*. Indeed, it only takes a cursory viewing of Stephen Schwartz's contribution to *Frontpage Magazine* to understand where Schwartz's obsession lies. With titles such as "Saudi Stench", "Saudi Spinning", "Saudi Mischief in Fallujah", "Wahabi Fireworks", "The Dysfunctional House of Saud", "Saudi Arabia in Crises", and "Saudi Extremism in High Places", it is obvious that Schwartz has cast himself as something of an expert on Saudi Arabia and its state ideology. By doing so, he seems to have found what years writing snappy obituaries for dead Californians never gave him: some sort of notoriety and standing as the go-to guy for comment on Saudi Arabia and Wahabiism." Yet Schwartz has never ever been to Saudi Arabia!? And an example of his lack of knowledge of Islaam can be directly witnessed in his book *The Two Faces of Islam: The House of Sa'ud from Tradition to Terror*, which is a book wherein Schwartz does not even quote one verse of the Qur'aan to support his version of Islaam, let alone any of the works of Imaam Muhammad ibn 'AbdulWahhab!! Within the book there is scant reference to the Qur'aan and *hadeeth*, if there is any reference to them at all! Yet he does see it fit to list 57 of his own writings and articles in his bibliography!?! Amir Butler notes: **"Schwartz goes on to draw bizarre comparisons between Wahabiism and "Italian fascism", "Soviet Communism", and even "Japanese Militarism". Indeed, one is left with the impression that either Schwartz doesn't know what Wahabiism means or he doesn't know what communism, fascism or militarism means (other than being something "bad"). Even in his congressional testimony, Schwartz makes completely nonsensical claims about the nature of the Saudi state."** Another of Schwartz's glaring errors, again typifying the sheer lack of research undertaken and the utter deficiency of Islamic knowledge, is that he states in the book on page 71 that: **"Muhammad never once forecast that the Muslims would fall back into polytheism, as Wahhabis have strenuously accused them of doing since the 18th Century."** Hereby trying to assert that the Prophet

Muhammad (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) never stated that the Muslims would fall back into *shirk*. This is a common argument of some of the extremist *Sufis* which others blindly follow with no further investigation. However, the reality is that the Prophet Muhammad (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) stated that *shirk* will return to the Muslim *ummah* at some stage! It is verified in the *Saheehayn* from the *hadeth* of Abee Hurayrah that the Prophet (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) said: “*The Hour will not be established until the buttocks of the women of Daws move around Dhu'l-Khalasah (an idol which was worshipped by the tribe of Daws during the period of Jaahiliyyah).*” Meaning: *shirk* will return to the Arabian Peninsula and to the *ummah* of Muhammad (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*). This *hadeeth* is reported by Bukhaaree in *Kitaab ul-Fitan, Taghyeer az-Zamaan hatta Tu'bad al-Awthaan*, vol.13, p.76, *hadeeth* no.7116; Saheeh Muslim, *Kitaab ul-Fitan, La Taqoom as-Saa'h hatta Ta'bud Daws Dha'l-Khalasah*, vol.4, p.2230, *hadeeth* no.2906. Both *hadeeth* are via Sa'eed Ibn Musayyib (*raheemahullaah*) from Abee Hurayrah (*radi Allaahu 'anhu*). ‘Daws’ are a tribe originally from Yemen and Dhu'l-Khalasah was a house full of idols and named ‘Dhu'l-Khalasah’ because it was believed that whoever circumbulated it would achieve ‘*khallasa*’ (purity). The *hadeeth* proves that Daws will apostate from Islaam and return to *shirk* to the extent that their women will go around the idol with their rear-ends wiggling about around it. Other *hadeeth* which state this are narrated by Muslim, on the authority of Thawbaan (*radi Allaahu 'anhu*) that the Messenger of Allaah (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) said: “*Verily, Allah folded the earth for me, so much so that I saw its East and its West: The kingdom of my Ummah will reach as far as the earth was folded for me. The two treasures, both the red and the white were given to me. I prayed to my Rabb that He may not destroy my Ummah by a widespread drought and not give sovereignty over them to an enemy who annihilates them in large numbers except from among themselves. And then verily, my Rabb said: “Oh, Muhammad! When I issue a decree, it is not withdrawn: I have promised your Ummah that I will not destroy it by a widespread drought and I shall not give sovereignty of them to an enemy who exterminates them in large numbers, even if they are stormed from all sides of the earth except from among themselves. Only a portion of them will destroy another portion and a portion will take another portion prisoner.”* This was also narrated by Al-Barqaani, who added: “*I fear for my Ummah those leaders who will send them astray: When the sword is used among my people, it will not be withdrawn from them until the Day of Resurrection and the Hour will not come until a tribe from among my Ummah attach themselves to the polytheists and numbers of my people worship idols; and there will be among my Ummah thirty liars, all of them claiming that he is a prophet, though I am the Seal of the Prophets - none will come after me. But some of my Ummah will continue to hold to the truth and they will be victorious and they will not be harmed by those who oppose them until Allaah's Command comes.*” Also the Prophet (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) stated: “*The night and day will not depart until al-Laat and al-'Uzza are worshipped.*” Relayed by Muslim in *Kitaab ul-Fitan wa Ashraat is-Sa'ah*, vol.4, p.2230, *hadeeth* no.2907 via Abee Salamah from Aa'ishah (*radi Allaahu anhumaa*). Also the Prophet (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) stated: “*O people fear the shirk which is more hidden than the crawling ant.*” Reported by Ahmad in *al-Musnad*, vol.4, p.403; Ibn Abee Shaybah in *al-Musannaf*, vol.6, pp.70-71, *hadeeth* no.29547 in the chapter of *Ta'oodh min ash-Shirk*; Bukhaaree in *Taareekh al-Kabeer*. All are narrated via a man from Bani Kaahil from Abee Moosaa al-'Ash'aree, al-Haythamee said in *al-Majma'*, vol.10, p.223: “*Narrated by Ahmad and at-Tabaraanee in al-Kabeer and al-Awsat and the narrators of Ahmad are sound except for Aboo 'Alee and Wathaq ibn Hibbaan.*” Also reported by Aboo Ya'la in his *Musnad* (vol.1, p.60-2, *hadeeth* no.54, 55, 56) from the *hadeeth* of Hudhayfah from Abee Bakr in a *marfoo'* form and from the *hadeeth* of Mu'qal bin Yasaar in a *marfoo'* form, mentioned by al-Haafidh in *al-Mataalib* (vol.3, p.183)

and referred it to the *Musnad* of Ishaq ibn Raahawayh and Aboo Bakr al-Marwazee narrated it in *Musnad* Abee Bakr (p.55); Bukhaaree in *Adab al-Mufrad* (p.105) from the narration of Mu'qal from Abee Bakr in a *marfoo'* form, related by at-Tirmidhee (p.397). al-Haythamee said in *al-Majma'* (vol.10, p.224): "Related by Aboo Ya'la from his Shaykh 'Umar bin al-Husayn al-'Aqlee, who is *matrook*). Imaam al-Albaanee said in *Saheeh al-Jaami'* (vol.1, p.694, *hadeeth* no.3731) that it is *saheeh*. Aboo Nu'aym also relayed it in *al-Hilyah* (vol.7, p.112) from the *hadeeth* Qays bin Haazim from Abee Bakr and Imaam al-Albaanee declared it *saheeh* in *Saheeh al-Jaami'*, vol.1, p.693, *hadeeth* no.3730. on pages 72-73 of *The Two Faces of Islam*, he says that Imaam Muhammad ibn 'AbdulWahhaab was "something equally fearsome" as the Dajjaal!! First of all, Imaam Muhammad ibn 'AbdulWahhaab (*raheemahullaah*) was originally from the Bani Tameem about whom Aboo Hurayrah (*radi Allaahu'anhu*) mentioned: "I have continued to love the tribe of Tameem ever since I heard three statements from the Messenger of Allaah (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) about them. I heard him say "They are the strongest of my Nation against the Dajjaal". Recorded in the *Saheehs* of Bukhaaree and Muslim. As the research and Islamic source referencing in *The Two Faces of Islam* is scant to say the least, it is no wonder that we find Schwartz saying things such as "**Music is perhaps the greatest glory of Islamic civilization...**" (pp.72-73)!! Without any mention of Islamic monotheism!? He continues: "**...Islam without music would be like God without his creation.**" Is this found in the Qur'aan? Did the beloved Prophet (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*) say anything of the sort? The answers to these questions are emphatically "no!" So where on earth is Schwartz acquiring such aberrant understandings of Islaam from? None other than from his teacher, Hishaam al-Kabbaanee. In an interview with *National Review Online*, Schwartz also says that Saddam Hussein, *Hamas* and *Hezbollah* are all "Wahhabis"?! Schwartz is very similar to other discredited individuals that have surfaced in the Muslim community such as Abdul-Hadi Palazzi, an Italian soofee who also praises Daniel Pipes! Palazzi falsely claims that he has been given *tazkiyyah* and *ijazah* from Imaam Bin Baaz (*raheemahullaah*) and other nonsense, he has been refuted by most of the Muslim community representatives in Italy and has been exposed here: <http://amipalazzi.ifrance.com/indexgb.htm>

6. Oriana Fallaci, known for her *fallacies* against Islaam and the Muslims and equating the Qur'aan with Hitler's *Mein Kampf*? She authored *Anger and Pride* wherein she erroneously claimed that "**millions and millions of Muslims marched in support of Bin Laden**"!? and other clear lies and distortions that she took with her to her deathbed, 500,000 copies of this book sold within hours in Italy. From her many *fallacies*, is that she claims that there is a systematic plan by Muslims to take over Europe and destroy Western civilization as we know it and that there is a Muslim conspiracy for this end with all Muslims complicit, either via giving tacit approval to this "conspiracy" or with actual people power, with Muslim countries serving as bases for this "plot"!!! Her views are exactly the same as the *BNPs* in the UK regarding Muslim communities and Fallaci claims that Islamic schools and Muslim entrepreneurs are all in the act!! She exaggerates the figures by suggesting that there are already 25 million Muslims in the United States and that the process by which Muslims Islamicize Western countries is by setting up halaal meat shops, kebab restaurants, mosques and other "ugly sites" as she describes. Their women wear the hijab which, according to Fallaci, is designed to terrorize Western women. Muslim men "grow bushy beards and wear clothes that resemble pyjamas or nightgowns in public. Muslims cut the throats of sheep on the balconies of their apartments and practice other habits in the most beautiful parts of Western cities". Unless something is done, Fallaci warns, "Muslims will turn beautiful European and American cities into areas of "lies, calumnies and hypocrisy"!! As part of their secret plan to destroy Western civilization, Muslims are also bringing a variety of diseases,

including syphilis and AIDS, to Europe. Fallacy also stated that Muslims have instructions to produce large numbers of children at a time when most Western nations are in demographic decline, Fallaci states that, "Muslims have orders to multiply like rats." Fallaci says another *fallacy* which is that all Muslims are Arabs, even when they belong to other nationalities. So for her, being Muslim means abandoning one's true identity and adopting that of the Arabs. She then accuses, based on this, all Arabs of being potential Bin Ladens!!! In her view, Islaam can produce only Bin Ladens, she even ridicules suggestions that Muslims ever contributed anything to science, art and philosophy. Addressing the West, she cries out: **"You do not understand or do not want to understand that if we remain passive, if we do not fight back, the jihad will triumph."** She was no doubt affected by the events of 9/11 and that led her to her extremist stances, however she was unchallenged by other people in the West and was allowed to travel the whole world spouting her nonsense up until her death. She has been refuted here: <http://www.swans.com/library/art12/pbyrne16.html>

7. Craig Winn, author of *Prophet of Doom* who has been totally refuted by Jalal Abualrub on a number of occasions, refer to the radio debate at www.islamlife.com
8. Melanie Philips, author of *Londonistan: How Britain is Creating a Terror state Within* (London: Gibson Square, 2006) – It has been suggested that she had trouble finding a publisher, yet in being just, it is important to note that *Londonistan* does mention some things which are correct. Such as how the authorities allowed London to become a haven for extremists; how some Muslims over emphasize the role of Jews and thus go to extremes with regards to simplistic conspiracy theories regarding Jews and laying the blame always at their feet; Muslims not realizing that there is a religious basis to suicide bombings. The book however is quite simplistic in many other matters, as we shall see. *Londonistan* is not really an academic or meticulous enterprise. It refers largely to sources from the print media or from other media agencies, it therefore lacks a serious precise study or assessment of the very serious issues which the book attempts to delve into. On p.33, regarding female Islamic dress: **"...one wonders whether such attire really is a religious requirement commanding respect, or a political statement of antagonism against the British state."** This is the impression we get even after the opening pages of *Londonistan*, all actions by Muslims must have some kind of sinister political underlying aim, however authors such as Na'ima B. Robert in her book *From My Sister's Lips* (London: Bantam Press, 2005) have superbly emphasized the deeply faith-based aspects of female Islamic dress, which the book totally ignores. On p. 35 she claims that some Islamic bookstores within London are even selling Adolf Hitler's *Mein Kampf*? Yet for such a serious claim she brings no evidence whatsoever of this or within which bookstores this book is being sold and this is not acceptable. On p.77 she demonstrates that she has no real understanding of the religious basis of terrorist groups, as she notes that their aim is to **"defeat Western democracy and reinstitute a seventh century Islamic empire that stretched halfway across the globe..."** But this is not their aim at all, rather their aim, as they themselves actually claim, no matter how erroneous to us, is to retaliate against perceived injustices in the name of a distorted concept *jhaad*, not to topple democracy and set up an Islamic state, so Philips again is tripping over her toes in trying to understand the matter. She makes this error further on, on page 102 with regards to Muslims who do not agree with the term 'Islamic terrorism': **"True, the IRA were Catholics and their adversaries were Protestants. But their cause was not Catholicism. It was a united Ireland. They did not want to impose the authority of the Pope upon Britain...the Islamists who want to defeat the West in the name of Islam, impose Sharia law and re-establish the medieval caliphate throughout the world."** So here

for example she lumps all 'Islamists' into one homogenous group without thoroughly distinguishing between the ideas at hand, as not all 'Islamists' utilise terror like the *IRA* used to do or as *al-Qaa'idah* does currently. With regards to the police she states on page 101: **"But since Muslims tend to be alienated by any action that suggests there is anything wrong with their community or religion, this meant the police had to deny the nature of Islamist terrorism altogether."** This is absolute nonsense, it is as if she is totally oblivious to what took place in Forest Gate, the shooting of Jean Charles de Menezes and a variety of other signs of "police denial of Islamic terrorism" which Philips refers to. On p.103, she says: **"The New Testament does not advocate the killing of the unfaithful. The Koran does."** Does it? Philips brings no quote from the Qur'aan whatsoever and thus deceptively mentions this with no reflection on what the Qur'aan actually states, such as: **"But if they incline towards peace, you too incline to it. And trust in Allah. Verily, He is the All-Hearer, the All-Knower."** {*al-Anfaal (8): 61-2*} Even during open war, the Believers are ordered with compassion and to continue the greater *jihad* of calling to the Truth: **"And if any of the pagan (enemy) seeks your protection then grant it - in order that he may hear the Word of Allah – and escort him to where he can be secure. That is because they are men who know not."** {*at-Tawbah (9): 6*} As for non-combatants or civilians, we read: **"Allah does not forbid you to deal justly and kindly with those who fought not against you on account of religion, nor drove you out of your homes. Verily Allah loves those who deal with equity."** {*al-Mumtahanah (60):8*} She also condemns multiculturalism and equal opportunities (!?), saying on p.111: **"Institutions have been instructed to teach themselves that they are intrinsically racist and to reprogramme their minds in nonjudgmentalism."** Hereby seeming to condone discrimination? This is the logical outcome of Philips' agenda, as she rarely refers to incidents of discrimination and prejudice at all within her petit work, unless of course it is against Jews as we shall see later. Melanie Philips, like Bat Ye'or, also constantly refers to the **"Judeo-Christian heritage"** thereby including the Jews within that which she holds to be the dominant British culture that all others have to fall in line with. As a logical result of this, she redefines British nationalism to innately include Jews and she does this by making constant reference to notions of a 'Judeo-Christian' British nation. By doing this it seeks to deny other minority communities their cultures, as they do not 'fit-in' with the pre-set 'Judeo-Christian' British way of life. This is even all the more applicable when it comes to dealing with Muslims who have an all-encompassing way of life. The reality however, which the author made no reference to whatsoever within her petit work, was the fact that after the Jews had been expelled from England for 350 years after the 1290 CE edict against their presence in England, Oliver Cromwell was the one who allowed their return to England and practice their religion, in what came to be known as the '*Cromwellian Protectorate*' in 1695 CE. Cromwell's main reason for this was for trade and economic reasons as opposed to any notions of sharing a 'Judeo-Christian heritage.' In fact, around this time in Europe Christians did not want the term 'Judeo' appended to their religion in any way, shape or form! Therefore, the term 'Judeo-Christian' which the author of *Londonistan* makes constant referral to is rather flawed, not to mention the fact that the term is a modern term developed out of American political developments in the 1940s as mentioned by Arthur A. Cohen in his book *The Myth of the Judeo-Christian Tradition* (New York: Harper and Row, 1970). Maybe Melanie Philips should propose her concept of British nationhood to the likes of the Christian far-right, to see if they would accept her notion of a 'Judeo-Christian' British heritage?! With regards to the book lacking any academic basis, this is further evident in the author's lack of awareness of trends in academia. So for example, on page 161-62 we find: **"In other words, British universities are teaching the Koran not as an objective**

and detached analysis of a religion, as would be the case with teaching any other religion...So British universities, the supposed stewards of rationality, have been pushed into becoming instead tools of religious indoctrination. And any backsliding into the realm of objective scholarship is punished." This quote is enough to indicate the author's detachment from academia and her disconnection from Western research, criticism and enquiry. If aware, one would immediately know that *SOAS (University of London)* has a special 'origins of Islam' course, which is far from being an example of that which "panders to Muslim sensitivities"! On p. 155 the author states: **"In many areas, old churches, public houses or other buildings are being bought by Muslims and converted into mosques, along with brand new mosques that are springing up, backed by the kind of international funds that no other faith groups can command..."** This is one of the most absurd statements mentioned in *Londonistan*, it is well known that funds are generated *within* Muslim communities and by attendees of mosques, examples of external donors helping to build *masaajid* are few compared to their efforts of Muslim communities themselves. However, according to the *Londonistan*'s tirade against the Muslim community in the UK, everything in Britain is in favour of the Muslims. A further example of this is on p.154: **"British Muslims, however, are increasingly pushing for their culture to be highly visible and given parity in the public sphere."** This is the problem with her simplistic analysis, nowhere in her book is there mention of the fact that Jewish schools for example have been state-funded for faith schooling and it took Muslims ages to achieve this! Even now, wherein the Muslim population is quite large, there are still only six state funded Islamic schools while there are thirty-six state-funded Jewish schools!? Also quite surprising is her simplistic assessment of Shaykh 'AbdurRahmaan as-Sudays on pages 155-56 of *Londonistan*, it was also an issue with which she totally surprised and bedazzled Anas Tikriti (of the *MAB*) on the *Radio 4* show *Moral Maze* with in early July 2005 CE. Yet quite simply, Philips has not mentioned a shred of evidence from where Shaykh Sudays was supposed to have made the statements, this is the first issue. Where did Shaykh Sudays make these statements? In which Masjid did he make the statements? When did he make the statements? All of this is unbeknown to the author, so what is her source for her vitriol against Shaykh Sudays, an online article by one Tom Gross?! Further, some of the statements which are mentioned are in the Qur'aan! But they obviously need to be understood in light of the scholars of *tafseer*, not in light of anti-Islamic elements. Throughout *Londonistan*, we are told that Muslims are transgressing against 'the British' state and people, refusing to fit in and implementing their ways onto 'the British.' Yet the author herself launches her own tirade against 'the British' saying: **"As soon as the issue of Israel enters the picture, the British reaction to terror becomes 'quite positive.' Far from springing to Israel's defence as a fellow target, the British become passive, mute and even sympathetic to the murderous sentiments being screamed by the marching jihadists."** Who then needs to be taught about British values? After Muslims have been accused of not being British enough, she herself condemns, criticises and splits off from the feelings of 'the British' (purely on the basis of alliance with Israel) thus demonstrating that her own ideas have no connection to 'the British.' The issue of Israel is also briefly dealt with in *Londonistan*, however the book equates those who criticize Israel as being hardcore anti-Semites and this is simplistic. On page 164 she states, in very simplistic terms that: **"Muslim hostility to Israel is rooted in Muslim hostility to Jews."** Regarding Israel we find: **"...it has been demonised in a way that goes way beyond legitimate criticism, because the attacks are based on distortions and outrageous double standards."** (!!) Only Israel is demonized according to *Londonistan* and nothing else!? However, what is neatly absent from *Londonistan* is any

mention of Jewish opposition to Israel: **“Israel’s attempt to defend itself is represented as a desire for vengeance and punishment-tapping into the ancient prejudice that the Jews are motivated by the doctrine of ‘an eye for an eye’...”** (p.196) Yet Philips makes no mention of the fact that a number of Jews, including some orthodox Jews, have been vehemently opposed to Israel and its policies, so is this “prejudice against Jews”? Israel Shahak, a victim of anti-semitism and the Jewish holocaust, author of *Jewish History, Jewish Religion – The Weight of Three Thousand Years* (London: Pluto Press, 1994) wherein he holds that there are entrenched supremacist notions within Jewish society and law. Also there are other Hasidic orthodox Jews who are all vehemently anti-Israel claiming that the very idea of a Jewish state is a Zionist plan which opposes the teachings of the Torah, the latter face particular intimidation by pro-Israeli Zionists. There are many other humanist Jews who totally oppose Israel, but have been conveniently omitted from the pages of *Londonistan*. She mentions some correct and true statements in regards to the UK government Home Office Muslim taskforce and how it became a mere excuse to make Muslims out to be in need of a whole range of demands without looking at all the religious basis of extremism, and this is true. However, she states on p.264 that: **“No other minority in Britain had ever presented the state with a shopping list of demands for special treatment...”** *Londonistan* represents but the rantings of a highly opinionated columnist and is not really of the caliber of detailed studies into the issue. Furthermore, *Londonistan* with its heavy reliance on journalism and the author coming from this angle indicates that in all honesty *Londonistan* has no real serious or meticulous grasp of issues such as Islam, Muslims and religious issues as the author has no real scholarly or academic background in such matters.

9. Patrick Sookhdeo, an alleged former Muslim originally from Guyana, who is now a British Anglican Canon and director of the obscure *Institute for the Study of Islam and Christianity* in London. Also known for his context-dropping, cut and paste quotations and wild claims about Islaam and Muslims, he is the author of *Islam: The Challenge to the Church* (Pewsey: Issac Publishing, 2006); *Islam in Britain: The British Muslim Community in February 2005* (Pewsey: Isaac Publishing, 2005); *Understanding Islamic Terrorism: The Islamic Doctrine of War* (Pewsey: Isaac Publishing, 2004); *A People Betrayed: The Impact of Islamisation on the Christian Community in Pakistan*, Fearn, Ross-shire: Christian Focus Publications, 2002 and Pewsey, Wiltshire : Isaac Publishing, 2002) and other works. In the London *Spectator* magazine in July 2005 Sookhdeo wrote an article entitled *“The Myth of a Moderate Islam”* wherein he claimed that as the likes of the terrorists and extremists merely say that they are doing their actions in the name of Islaam they are therefore to be seen as representing Islaam!?! Sookhdeo also states within the article that “Muslims must with honesty, recognise the violence that has existed in their history” as if Muslims do not recognise or comment on it!?! Muslims recognise moreso than much of Bani Aadam, not to mention that it is rather the case that many British people deny the atrocities of colonialism and imperialism, or are at least totally ignorant of the history; many Americans deny the impact of slavery on the African-American and of the destruction of the Native-American; in Germany, the people try to play down the importance of the Holocaust to the extent that Germany has even asked some EU countries to remove any mention of Germany’s role in the Holocaust and the Second World War from school and college textbooks!?! Even Bernard Lewis noted that **“the Christian attitude towards Islam was far more bigoted and intolerant than that of the Muslims towards Christianity.”** (Bernard Lewis, *The Muslim Discovery of Europe* (London: Phoenix, 1982), p.297) The fact of the matter for Sookhdeo to admit himself is that Christian civilisation has given rise to more atrocities than the Muslim world has. Saint Augustine stated **“lead them in”**—i.e. “force them to convert” and the Qur’aan says the exact opposite: **“There is no compulsion in religion”** {Baqarah

(2): 256}. Most of the wars in the 20th century have had little to do with Muslims and the vast majority of the estimated 250 million deaths out of warfare during the 20th century have mostly come from the Western 'Christian' world, with the Muslims accounting for about 10 million of these deaths. The greatest death totals come from World War 1, about 20 million, at least 90 % of which were inflicted by "Christians", and World War 2, 90 million, at least 50% of which were inflicted by "Christians," the majority of the rest occurring in the Far East. There was also the slaughter of 900,000 Rwandans in 1994 in a population that was over 90 % Christian, this in fact led to Rwandans embracing Islaam! See: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/africa/3561365.stm> Also the genocide of over 300,000 Muslims and systematic rape of over 100,000 Muslim women by Christian Serbs in Bosnia between 1992 and 1995. So statistically, Christian Civilization is the bloodiest and most violent of all civilizations in all of history, and is responsible for hundreds of millions of deaths. Here is a quotation from Pope Nicholas the fifth, who gave Alfonso the fifth of Portugal in Romanus Pontifex 1454 CE the right to: **"...invade, search out, capture, vanquish, and subdue all Saracens and pagans whatsoever, and other enemies of Christ wherever they live, along with their kingdoms, dukedoms, principalities, lordships and goods, both chattels and real estate, that they hold and possess ... to reduce their persons to perpetual slavery and to take for himself and his heirs their kingdoms..."** (Quoted in Muldoon, *Popes, Lawyers and Infidels: The Church and the Non-Christian World 1250-1550*, Liverpool University Press, 1979, p.134) At its outset, the Anglican church had no better a record of toleration in regard to non-Anglican communions. Of course, time-bound references cannot be taken as our criterion. Here is another time-bound reference; the Charter which the Muslim ruler, Umar, agreed with the Christians of Jerusalem: **"In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful. This charter is granted by 'Umar, Servant of Allah and Prince of the Believers, to the people of Aelia. He grants them security for their persons and their properties, for their churches and their crosses, the little and the great, and for the adherents of the Christian religion. Neither shall their churches be destroyed, nor their substances or areas, nor their crosses or any of their properties, be reduced in any manner. They shall not be coerced in any matter pertaining to their religion, and they shall not be harmed. Nor will any Jews be permitted to live with them in Aelia. Upon the people of Aelia falls the obligation to pay the jizyah; just as the people of Mada'in (Persia) do, as well as to evict from their midst the Byzantine army and the thieves. Whoever of these leaves Aelia will be granted security of person and property until he reaches his destination. Whoever decides to stay in Aelia will also be granted the same and share with the people of Aelia, in their rights and the jizyah. The same applies to the people of Aelia as well as to any other person. Anyone can march with the Byzantines, stay in Aelia or return to his home country, and has until the harvesting of crops to decided. Allah attests to the contents of this treaty, and so do His Prophet, his successors and the believers. Signed: 'Umar ibn al-Khattab Witnessed by: Khalid ibn al-Walid, 'Amr ibn al-'As, 'Abd al-Rahman ibn 'Awf and Mu'awiyah ibn Abi Sufyan. Executed in the year 15 AH."** (Quoted in Alistair Duncan, 1972, *The Noble Sanctuary*, London: Longman Group Ltd, p.22)

10. 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali', it was just a matter of time before she would be exposed, we will put her name in inverted commas as this is the name that she calls herself and is not her full real name, as we do not know what her real name is, we will put it in inverted commas. 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' is a Somaalee apostate pseudo-feminist, a former right-wing Dutch MP for the Dutch VVD party and self-confessed immigration cheat!! Yet chosen by *Time* magazine as being **"one of the most influential people of**

2005”? Influential for whom and for what we ask? For being a self-confessed immigration cheat? After many Muslims were initially concerned about some of her wild claims and her false propaganda, the country to where she ‘fled’ has now exposed her deception and has stripped her of her beloved Dutch passport and citizenship! (This was a documentary on a program entitled *Zembla* that is aired in Holland) ‘Hirsi ‘Ali’ rose to notoriety in the West after her extremist claims about Islaam and by calling upon non-Muslim governments to do more to stand up for western values in order to fight against Islaam. Her extremist opinions, which were not justified with any evidence, and her open *kufr* regarding Islaam was given much media focus. A few years ago, on TV, ‘Ayaan Hirsi ‘Ali’ exclaimed that she had **“not been Muslim for five years”**, she reiterates this in her interviews. Yet in her recent book *The Caged Virgin: An Emancipation Proclamation for Women and Islam*, she regularly and dishonestly says **“we Muslims”**! On *BBC2’s Newsnight* (aired in the UK) in June 2006 she also made herself look utterly pathetic by claiming that she is arguing as a Muslim, but then the interviewer asked her how on earth she could have a Muslim audience when she was an atheist!? In *The Caged Virgin* she demonstrates not only utter ignorance of Islaam and poor research, but also presents a meagre understanding of history. She states for example: **“Every Muslim, from the beginnings of Islam to the present day, is raised in the belief that all knowledge can be found in the Koran.”** **“For Muslim children the study of biology and history can be very confusing.”** So here she is either absolutely ignorant of Islaam or being deceptive, as the Muslim scholars note that knowledge of the mudane affairs can be sought, the only distinction that they make is that it is not as praiseworthy, but it can still be sought based on the hadeeth of the Prophet (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*), found in the ‘*Book of Knowledge*’ in *Saheeh al-Bukhaaree*, where he said to the people who were artificially inseminating the date-palms “*you know better about your dunya affairs.*” Furthermore, many of the bona-fide Islamic scholars have noted that worldly knowleges and sciences for human endeavour is a collective responsibility to acquire For more on this see Imaam’Uthaymeen’s (*raheemahullaah*) words about knowledge: <http://www.salafimanhaj.com/pdf/Knowledge.pdf> So her claim that history and biology can be “confusing for Muslim children” (!!?) is again totally false, as the Muslims have studied these subjects for centuries with no difficulties whatsoever, in fact in Muslim Spain for example it was part of the curriculum to study these subjects, and if it was so “confusing for Muslim children” why are the subjects studied today in Muslim countries and within Islamic schools in Europe and the US?! So ‘Ayaan Hirsi Ali’ hasn’t got a clue what she is talking about. She also argues that Islaam has obstructed individual freedoms and that the individual is not valued in Islaam?! Another clear indication of her deceptive methods is in discussing the issue of female genital mutilation, she states that the practice was “spread by Islam” when anyone who has even an atom’s weight of knowledge of this issue knows that it goes back to the Pharoanic period and even according to the *United Nations Population Fund*, FGM is practiced in sub-Saharan Africa by Animists, Christians (Coptic and other), Muslims and Ethiopian Jews. However, only Islaam is impugned within the simplistic, biased and poorly researched writing of the one called ‘Ayaan Hirsi Ali’, yet what can be expected from a self-confessed immigration cheat? She also states in *The Caged Virgin* that Muslim women are in some way incapable of speaking up for themselves and need Western women to do that for them, or Westernised/Naturalised Euro or US women at least to speak up for them?! This in itself indicates the extent to which ‘Ayaan Hirsi Ali’ has internalized Orientalist thinking, she states, in an example wherein she puts herself forward as some sort of reference point for Muslim women, **“The [reason] I am determined to make my voice heard is that Muslim women are scarcely listened to, and they need a woman to speak out on their behalf.”** Women during the epoch of the *salaf* were referred to by men for Islamic knowledge

and asked to settle disputes over issues related to 'ilm, this was during the epoch of early Islaam, which 'Hirsi Ali' is obviously ignorant about. The book, *Caged Virgin*, is rather an insult to Muslim women, if indeed it is even directed to them, how such a poorly researched and factually inaccurate piece of work can somehow be taken as rallying cry for Muslim women in the West is beyond many Muslim women. 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' was the one who kicked off a *fitnah* in Holland/the Netherlands and increased the oppression of the Muslims there as she initiated a 'play' in Holland wherein verses of the Qur'aan were used in a despicable manner and Muslim women were in fact mocked. This is what many non-Muslims do not realise, is that 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' claims to represent Islaam, yet the majority of Muslim women were utterly appalled by her disgusting play! This resulted in the assassination of the director of the play, Theo Van Gogh in 2004 the grandson of the world famous artist and ironically was vocally opposed to feminism! After this, the Muslims in that country were subject to a variety of draconian legislations all in order to suppress and restrict the development of Islaam and the Muslims there. In any case, the Dutch have a history of turning the tables on its 'minority communities' and during World War 2, 80% of Dutch Jews were deported to concentration camps and subsequently gassed or massacred by the Nazis. The Dutch Jews were often escorted to the Nazis by the Dutch themselves as the Dutch wanted to free themselves from the Jews and avoid being conquered by the Nazis. Subsequently, 'Hirsi Ali' fled to America for three months and then was under 24 hour guard and police protection in *The Hague*. Her similitude therefore, was of one who held the West to be intrinsically liberated and as a result the West was obviously her desire and ambition whilst she was in East Africa. Initially 'Hirsi Ali' had claimed that she came to Europe as a refugee in 1992, fleeing from a forced marriage in war-torn Somalia, however a recent exposè of 'Hirsi Ali' uncovered that she was actually living in a middle-class area in Nairobi, Kenya with her rich family, and the so-called 'forced marriage' was actually an *arranged* marriage with a Somaalee man from Canada and they divorced normally, as her own brother and other (female) family members informed! Indeed, Professor Jytte Klausen, a just female Danish researcher of comparative politics at Brandeis University and author of *The Islamic Challenge: Politics and Religion in Western Europe* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2005) noted recently that: **"She wasn't forced into a marriage. She had an amicable relationship with her husband, as well as with the rest of her family. It was not true that she had to hide from her family for years."** She did not arrive from war-torn Somalia, but had rather spent substantial periods of time in Kenya (where she spent most of her life), Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia and Germany! Furthermore, her name 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' is false and is not her real name, rather her real name was something else!? She therefore fabricated her refugee story in order to seek asylum and residence in Europe, and then later get a passport, which she did get in 1997! This is the kind of lying individual that some people in the West were propping up as an 'Islamic specialist' and some *kuffaar* in England were even claiming that she should be supported as an 'Islamic moderate'!!? *Time Magazine* even listed her as one of the most influential thinkers of 2005 CE!! 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' attended the *American Jewish Committee* centennial meeting in Washington! ("A woman of valour" in *The Jewish Chronicle*, May 12 2006) After the cartoons controversy, the fraud 'Hirsi Ali' supported the printing of the cartoons. Crying on Dutch TV in disgrace, she admitted that she lied (Dutch: "Ik heb gelogen"), and that her birth date and name on her Dutch passport were all false, going against Holland's immigration laws! At the same time, 'Hirsi Ali' supported Holland's anti-refugee policies!! She has said that she will join the *American Enterprise Institute*, one of the hardcore right-wing neocon think-tanks and spin-labs in the USA!⁶ So it looks as if she will get another nationality soon, as long as she panders enough to her pay-masters and fabricates more *baatil* against Islaam. In any case the US right wingers are against homosexuality, abortion and euthanasia, all the things that

'Hirsi Ali' calls to, so it looks as if she may not be there long!! Her political party began to view her as more and more of a liability and one of her former colleagues from her political party declared that 'Ayaan Hirsi 'Ali' **"is not a Dutch national"**!! So much for pledging allegiance to the enemies of Islaam and blindly following them in everything for name and fame, and so much for European right-wing politicians finding token black mascots to attack Muslims. Condemned by even some *kuffaar* journalists who branded her extreme, she is in tears, in disgrace, humiliated with no home (except in Kenya, but she despised Africa in any case and would not return there), her political reputation in tatters, her credibility called into question by her own people, stripped of her beloved nationality, 'Ayaan Hirsi 'Ali' has been exposed by her own hands, indeed as the Qur'aan says,

كَذَلِكَ الْعَذَابُ وَالْعَذَابُ الْآخِرَةُ أَكْبَرُ لَوْ كَانُوا يَعْلَمُونَ

"Such is the punishment (of this world). And the punishment of the Hereafter is greater, if only they knew."

{*al-Qalam (68): 33*}

Others who are also known for their use of the technique of claiming a past background of Islaam are the likes of Walid Shoebat, Wafa Sultan, Ibn Warraq et al. all of whom claim a connection to Islaam yet as their backgrounds are unknown their claims to Islaam cannot be totally verified at all, they have only gained infamy in the West. And as we have seen with the case of 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' honesty, integrity and reliability are not hallmarks of the so-called "former Muslims"! Furthermore, they are all distinctly characterized by crediting the West solely for "emancipating their minds", along with providing them with citizenship out of their "third world" countries of origin. As a result, they are the most vehement in their opposition to Islaam along with their blind praise of all things European or American. Indeed, they are also known for sharing podiums with known Zionists and being propped up by their media, a damning indication of their aims if there ever was one! So for example, 'Ayaan Hirsi Ali' and Irshad Manji have exonerated any blame from Israel, yet have strongly criticized the Palestinians. Hirsi Ali retained her Dutch citizenship however after being supported by the neo-cons, Zionists and right-wing Dutch governmental sympathizers who all launched a worldwide campaign to defend her.

11. Serge Trifkovic, a Serbo-Croatian 'academic' who also compares Islaam to fascism and questions the massacres of Muslims in Bosnia!? He is the author of *Sword of the Prophet* (Boston: Regina Orthodox Press, 2002) and *Defeating Jihad*. He testified for the defense team of a Serb politician who was later found guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity at the *International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia*!!!?
12. Mark Silverburg, who is a US attorney and a listed author of the 'Ariel Center for Policy Research' in Israel. In his book *The Quartermasters of Terror: Saudi Arabia and the Global Islamic Jihad* (Wyndham Hill Press, 2005) he claims Saudi Arabia has **"spent 87 billion dollars over the past twenty-five years to finance the propagation of Islamic extremism"**!! In his introduction, he also makes the same blunder as Nina Shea, Robert Spencer and Bernard Lewis, that the **"...Muslim world is divided into two spheres, the House of Islam (Dar al-Islam) and the House of War (Dar al-Harb), which is non-Islamic. In his view, world peace, according to Islam, is achieved only when the world is subjected to Dar al-Islam. "The presumption", says Lewis, "is that the duty of jihad will continue, interrupted only by truces, until all the world either adopts the Muslim faith or submits to Muslim rule."** Silverburg also demonstrates that he has been influenced by the likes of Hishaam Kabbaanee as he states in the

introduction, **“In the estimated 80% of mosques that the Wahhabists control in America...”** and Silverburg reached this figure based on al-Kabbaanee’s claim in 1998 that “80% of mosques in America are controlled by Wahhabis”!! Therefore, the solution for all of this according to Silverburg is for Islaam to be modernized and moderated, he claims, **“in the same way that Christian and Jewish scholars have (over the centuries) moderated the more strident aspects of their scriptures and promoted those verses that spoke of the brotherhood of man, tolerance and understanding over those portions that speak of exclusivity”** (!!!) Has he heard of Jack Chick, Franklin Graham, Rabbi Yitzak Ginsburg, Rabbi Meir Kahane and others? But then Silverburg states, in a clear demonstration ignorance of the topic: **“To this day, no major Muslim cleric or religious body has ever issued a fatwa condemning Osama bin Laden.”** Even though Imaam 'Abdul'Azeez Bin Baaz (*raheemahullaah*) issued one in the 1990s! this will be mentioned later within this treatise.

13. Joe Kaufman, a Southern Florida hardcore Jewish extremist who promoted Rabbi Meir Kahane, who encouraged Baruch Goldstein the Israeli who killed 30 Palestinian Muslims while they were praying in a cold-blooded attack. On 1/1/2001, seven years after Goldstein’s terror act Kaufman praised the founder of the *Kahane* terror movement in a column titled *“A Kahane Legacy Lost.”* In the column Kaufman praised the violent terrorist Rabbi Meir Kahane and said: **“It was perfectly understandable, if he were to have hated Arabs. Just like, during the Holocaust, it was perfectly understandable for a Jew to hate Germans...If the Kahanes’ memory serves us any purpose, it’s to show that trust (and peace) is ultimately between only ourselves.”** Immediately after the tragic acts of 9/11, Kaufman advocated the use of Nuclear Weapons to achieve “peace”!? In a commentary on 11/18/01 titled *‘Making Friends with the enemy...The Nuclear Way.’* Kaufman said: **“Question: If the decimation of Hiroshima and Nagasaki was the right thing to do, in response to Pearl Harbor, then why the heck are we saving our nuclear weapons now? And furthermore, if we're not using them, why do we have the nukes in the first place? After all, there is no more Soviet Union to compete with. If the attacks are not a good enough reason to use them, then what are we holding on to them for?!!! Now, at this point, you may think of me as being no less than a madman, but hear me out, for I have a method to my madness.”** Kaufman has spewed hatred against Muslim youth events, like falsely accusing a Muslim youth last year (January 16 2006) retreat in a the Tampa area, as a ‘Jihad camp.’ Kaufman circulated the wrong information encouraging other radicals to threaten both the youth and the venue owners. It turns out that Kaufman lied and made up the stories which he pitched to local media. A *St. Petersburg Times* reporter discussed the issue in an article earlier this year titled *“Are bloggers against hate, or feeding it?”* source: http://www.sptimes.com/2006/01/16/State/Are_bloggers_against_.shtml A constitutional rights organization, *Americans United*, gave Kaufman the *‘Onion Award’* for **“his consistent record of trashing everything Muslim with a broad brush of innuendo, association and excessive rhetoric.”** Kaufman’s website had links to the extremist anti-Muslim websites of *‘Kahane.org’* and *‘HinduUnity.org’*!! Yet when this was exposed in America, he removed them! The *‘Kahane.org’* website for example had links to *‘Kach’* an extremist Jewish Zionist terrorist group which has performed terror operations on Arabs and even according to the U.S. Department of State, *‘Kahane Chai’* and *‘Kach’* are known terrorist organizations banned in the United States! Kaufman writes for *‘Front Page Mag’*, the same magazine that Stephen Schwartz also writes for!? He has been refuted in-depth by Jalal Abualrub here: http://www.islamlife.com/readarticle.php?article_id=22

14. And last and least 'Jack Chick' and his 'publications', not exactly the best example of Western toleration! As they were the first to utilize the method of cartoons and comics to get over their messages of hate after getting the idea for this in the 1950s from the communists in China according to his own admission. Along with its simplistic and futile publications of the likes of Robert Morey such as *Islamic Invasion* and other discredited and feeble works.
15. Websites who are responsible for such simplistic analysis, false reasoning and biased fanatical partisanship are the '*Militant Islam Monitor*', '*Jihad Watch*', '*Front Page Mag*', '*Campus Watch*', '*Little Green Footballs*', '*Western Resistance*' and others. '*LGF*' started out as a web-design company which used to discuss cycling and computer programming but then after the events of 9/11 the site became a fanatically Islamophobic neo-con Zionist propaganda machine, demonstrating how events can affect the rationality. R.J. Smith in an article for the *Los Angeles Magazine* in February 2006 stated that the site **"...believes all Muslims are terrorists until proven innocent...the site is a dysfunctional mix of beautiful photos Johnson takes on coastal bike rides and constitutionally protected hate speech."** Indeed, in 2005 the *Jerusalem Post* (on 2/2/06) gave the website the '*Best Israel Advocacy Award*' for **"promoting Israel and Zionism"** and **"presenting Israel's side of the conflict"**, enough said! There is a blog entitled '*LGF Watch*' which refutes '*LGF*'. As for the '*Middle-East Media Research Institute (MEMRI)*' then it is a non-profit organisation established by Colonel Yigal Carmon, a twenty-two-year veteran of military intelligence in Israel with the goal of exploring the Middle East "through the region's media." *MEMRI* focuses on the following areas: Egypt, Iraq, Iran, Jordan, Palestine, Persian Gulf, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Lebanon and Turkey. Laila Lalami has highlighted that there are three general observations that can be made about *MEMRI*'s work. One is that it consistently picks the most violent, hateful rubbish it can find, translates it and distributes it in e-mail newsletters to media and members of Congress in Washington. The second is that *MEMRI* does not translate comparable articles published in Israel, although the country is not only a part of the Middle East but an active party to some of its most main conflicts, indeed if not the main conflict! For instance, when the right-wing Israeli politician Effi Eitam referred to Israel's Palestinian citizens as a "cancer," *MEMRI* did not pick up this story. The third is that this organization is now the main source of media articles on the region of Islaam, a far greater and far more diverse whole than the individual countries it lists.

Most of the above name-check each other and compliment each others work. What is for sure is that it is odd how can fanatical Jewish Zionists, who describe Jesus, peace be upon him, as being a bastard, a false prophet who blasphemed against God and a magician, can be allies to fanatical Evangelicals who yearn for the second coming of Jesus wherein all Jews will be converted to evangelical Christianity!!? Furthermore, Justin Vaisse (Adjunct Professor at the *Institut d'études Politiques de Paris*) speaking at the *Brookings Institution* on September 13 2006 highlighted some of the causes of this scare-mongering:

"I arrived in the U.S. about 10 days ago, and going from Boston to Washington and other cities I toured the bookshops and I was looking for books on Islam in Europe. And the only titles I could find, the only books I could find, bore titles like *While Europe Slept: How Radical Islam is Destroying the West from Within*, by Bruce Bauer; *The West's Last Chance: Will We Win the Clash of Civilizations*, by Tony Blankley; *Eurabia, The Euro-Arab Axis* by Bat Ye'or; or *Menace in Europe: Why the Continent's Crisis is America's, Too*, by Claire Berlinski. Again and again these books would show up in different bookshops, ours would not, but I think with some time it will, hopefully. And more

And in fact, a spoof of Anti-Muslim bigotry on a Washington, D.C., radio station drew support for treating American Muslims in a manner similar to how the Jewish community was targeted in Nazi Germany!? In his 630 *WMAL* program on Sunday, November 26, talk show host Jerry Klein seemed to advocate a government program to force all Muslims to wear “identifying markers.” He stated: **“I’m thinking either it should be an arm band, a crescent moon arm band, or it should be a crescent moon tattoo.”** (4:00) Klein said: **“If it means that we have to round them up and do a tattoo in a place where everybody knows where to find it, then that’s what we’ll have to do.”** (11:38) The program focused on public reaction to the removal of six Imams, or Islamic religious leaders, from a US Airways flight in Minnesota last week. Some callers to the program rejected discriminatory treatment of Muslims, but others supported Klein’s statements and even suggested that even more severe measures be taken against American Muslims. “Richard” in Gaithersburg, Md., said: **“Not only do you tattoo**

generally, even more serious authors like Bernard Lewis or Neil Ferguson write things or give interviews speaking of the Islamization of Europe, the reverse colonization, the demographic time bomb that is threatening Europe, et cetera, with the suggestion that the sky is falling. In this literature that we call the alarmist school, you would generally find four inaccurate premises. The first one is about demography. Myth number one, if you want, is about demography. It is the idea that Muslims taken as a demographic bloc are gaining against the native population. The second myth is about sociology and culture. It is the idea that Muslims form "a distinct, cohesive, and bitter group" in the words of a 2005 *Foreign Affairs* article. Myth number three is about political attitudes. The alarmist view has it that Muslims seek to undermine the rule of law and the separation of church and state in order to create a society apart from the mainstream whether by imposing head scarves on young girls, campaigning for gender segregation in public institutions, defending domestic abuse as a cultural prerogative, or even supporting terrorism. The fourth and last myth is about domestic and foreign policy. Because they supposedly form a bloc, Muslims are supposed to influence more and more heavily the political process whether in domestic issues or, more importantly, in foreign policy issues. The idea is that France, Europe in general, but France more precisely, is kind of held hostage by its growing Muslim population and that it is tilting towards a more anti-Israeli and anti-American position.”

See full study by Justin Vaisse here, which refutes much of this scare-mongering and critically assess its claims: <http://www.brookings.edu/comm/events/20060913islam.pdf>

This mass hysteria, scare-mongering and propaganda against Islaam and Muslims in Europe has led to much discrimination and prejudice as indicated by a recent study (2006) conducted by the *European Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia* entitled *'Perceptions of Discrimination and Islamophobia: Voices From Members of Muslim Communities in the European Union'* which can be downloaded here: http://eumc.europa.eu/eumc/material/pub/muslim/Perceptions_EN.pdf

them in the middle of their foreheads; you round them up and then ship them out of this country, period.” (15:58) “Heath” in Upper Marlboro, Md., said: **“I don’t think you go far enough. . .you have to set up encampments like they did during World War II like with the Japanese and Germans.”** Later in the program, Klein revealed that his call for discriminatory actions against Muslims was “baloney.” Klein said: “I can’t believe any of you, any of you, are sick enough to have agreed for one second with anything that I have said in the last half hour.”⁷

So this indicates that people in the West have the potential to agree with Nazi-like policies when implemented against Muslims. Indeed, one of these neo-con thinkers, Michele Malkin in her book *In Defense of Internment: The Case for “Racial Profiling” in the Second World War and the War on Terror* (Washington D.C: Regnery, 2004) argues that American-Muslims should be put into internment camps just as Japanese-Americans were during the Second World War!!!? The book has been refuted in detail here by Professors Greg Robinson (a History Professor at the *University of Quebec* in Montreal) and Eric Muller (a law Professor at the *University of North Carolina*): http://www.isthatlegal.org/Muller_and_Robinson_on_Malkin.html

Malkin was also refuted by Dr Klancy de Nevers, author of *The Colonel and the Pacifist : Karl Bendetsen, Perry Saito and the Incarceration of Japanese Americans during World War II* (University of Utah Press, April 2004) in October 2004 here: http://www.jacl.org/news_and_current_events/malkin/deNeverscritique.pdf

John Hagee then discusses that the reason America is one with the state called Israel is because they **“...are connected together, not politically, we are connected theological”**, and Shoebat affirms this. There are a number of points to append here:

- ✓ *The Jews do not believe that Jesus is God*
- ✓ *The Jews do not believe Jesus was the son of God*
- ✓ *The Jews do not believe in Jesus as the Messiah*
- ✓ *The Jews do not believe that Jesus will come back at the end of time*
- ✓ *Some Jews do not even believe in religion!*

⁷ From *American Muslim Perspective*, Online Magazine, “*Radio Spoof Draws Support from Nazi-like treatment of US Muslims*”, Washington, D.C., Nov. 27, 2006

Therefore to claim that Jews are “connected theologically” to the Christian Evangelists is absolute nonsense. Then Hagee says to Shoebat **“They hate us, because it’s a part of their faith to hate us, they are duty-bound by their faith to hate us, so there’s nothing that we can do, can say, can give them, can appease them with because they are practising their faith when they hate us”** and Shoebat affirms this. Yet Allaah says in the Qur’aan,

“Allaah does not forbid you from those who do not fight you because of religion and do not expel you from your homes – from being righteous toward them⁸ and acting justly toward them.⁹ Indeed, Allaah loves those who act justly.¹⁰ Allaah only

⁸ Ibn Katheer (*raheemahullaah*) says about this: to be gentle with them.

⁹ Ibn Katheer (*raheemahullaah*) says about this: to be fair with them.

¹⁰ Ibn Katheer (*raheemahullaah*) transmits in regards to this in the ayah: Imaam Ahmad recorded that Asmaa’ bint Abu Bakr said, “My mother, who was an idolatress at the time, came to me during the Treaty of Peace, the Prophet conducted with the Quraysh. I came to the Prophet and said, *“O Allaah’s Messenger! My mother came visiting, desiring something from me, should I treat her with good relations”* The Prophet said,

« »

“Yes. Keep good relation with your mother.” The Two Saheehs recorded this hadeeth. Imaam Ahmad recorded that `Abdullah bin Zubayr said, “Qutaylah came visiting her daughter, Asmaa’ bint Abee Bakr, with some gifts, such as Dibab, cheese and clarified (cooking) butter, and she was an idolatress at that time. Asmaa’ refused to accept her mother's gifts and did not let her enter her house. ‘Aa’ishah asked the Prophet about his verdict and Allaah sent down the ayah,

“Allaah does not forbid you with those who fought not against you on account of religion”

forbids you from those who fight you because of religion and expel you from your homes and aid in your expulsion – (forbids) that you make allies of them.¹¹ And whoever makes allies of them, then it is those who are the wrongdoers.”

{*al-Mumtabinah* (60): 8-9}

Shaykh Saalih Aal ush-Shaykh (*hafidhabullaah*) noted in his lecture on the subject of *Rights in the Sharee'ah (Human Rights)*¹² that:

:

. □
. □
. □
. □

The non-Muslims of the earth can be divided into four categories, they can either be a dhimmi; a mu'aahid; a musta'min or a harbee. And the Prophet (sallallahu alayhi wassallam) instructed given each one their due rights. Rather, Allaah instructed given non-

until the end of the ayah. Allaah's Messenger ordered Asmaa' to accept her mother's gifts and to let her enter her house.” Allaah's statement,

“Indeed Allaah loves those who act justly.”

And we can clearly see the contrary of this being applied from those who abandon their non-Muslims parents for fifteen years!

¹¹ Ibn Katheer (*raheemahullaah*) states about this part of the verse:

“Allah forbids you from being kind and befriending with the disbelievers who are openly hostile to you, those who fought against you, expelled you and helped to expel you. Allah the Exalted forbids you from being their friends and orders you to be their enemy.”

¹² The Arabic text version is available here:

<http://www.sahab.org/books/book.php?id=746&query=الشيخ%20دالعزيم%20بن%20صالح>

Muslims rights in His Book, if they are not at war (with Muslims) and do not manifest enmity (against the Muslims). Allaah says,

“Allaah does not forbid you from those who do not fight you because of religion and do not expel you from your homes – from being righteous toward them and acting justly toward them. Indeed, Allaah loves those who act justly. Allaah only forbids you from those who fight you because of religion and expel you from your homes and aid in your expulsion – (forbids) that you make allies of them. And whoever makes allies of them, then it is those who are the wrongdoers.”

{*al-Mumtahinah* (60): 8-9}

« » :

:

Therefore, the right of the dhimmi is well-established in the Divine Legislation. Not rights from people, but rights that Allaah has set for the dhimmi. The Prophet (sallallaahu alayhi wassallam) stated "Whoever harms a dhimmi has harmed me"¹³ or as is stated in the hadeeth. It is also authenticated from him (sallallaahu alayhi wassallam) that he said "Whoever kills a mu'aahad will not smell the fragrance of Paradise, the smell of which can be smelt for the distance of forty years."¹⁴ Why? Because the Muslims honour their lives as they came with an agreement, they came with a trust and is not to be transgressed against with regards to his life, blood, honour, money, rights are obligatory to them in the Divine Legislation. The texts regarding the rights of the enemies, the rights of the people of

¹³ Saheeh Muslim

¹⁴ Saheeh Bukhaaree in *Kitaab ul-Jizyah* under the chapter 'The sin of the one who kills a mu'aahad who has not committed any crime.'

dhimma, the rights of the people of agreement (mu'aahadeen), the rights of the people with whom there is a trust, are various and the statements of the people of knowledge regarding the field is abundant. As for the harbee'oon, they are the ones whom between us and them is war and there are many regulations in regards to them and if we gain empowerment over them, they are respected if they are Christians and none of their children, women or elderly are killed. Whereas within other legislations everyone is to be killed! As is mentioned that within the Divine Legislation of Moosaa (alayhi salaam) that all are to be killed during war. As for the Divine Legislation of Islaam, Allaah allowed for only the fighter to be killed during battle, due to the benefits in the Divine Legislation for this. The dhimmi in an bode of Islaam has rights and within his home can do as he wills yet is not allowed to advertise what he does or anything from the prohibited actions. He can also not manifest his deen, this is for the mu'aahad and for the musta'min, as for the dhimmi there is some explanation required for this speech in relation to those countries which were conquered yet there were already churches there like in Shaam, Egypt, 'Iraaq and the likes of these countries.

John Hagee also asks Walid Shoebat: "Is lying acceptable in Islaam for the purpose of advancing the Islamic faith?" Hereby claiming that lying, chicanery, trickery and betrayal is all allowed in order to give da'wah! Another serious lie in all to justify their hatred of Islaam and Muslims and there is no such teaching for the Qur'aan says,

"O you who have believed, be persistently standing firm for Allaah, witnesses in justice, and do not let the hatred of a people prevent you from being just. Be just; that is nearer to righteousness. And fear Allaah; indeed, Allaah is Acquainted with what you do."

{al-Maa'idah (5): 8}

Trustworthiness and keeping to promises is from the characteristics of Islaam, Allaah says,

“And fulfil (every) commitment. Indeed, the commitment is ever (that about which one will be) questioned.”

{*al-Israa'* (17): 34}

And Allaah also says:

“O you who have believed, fulfil all contracts (promises, covenants and oaths)”

{*al-Maa'idah* (5): 1}

In *Sabeeh Muslim* from Hudhayfah ibn ul-Yamaan (*radi Allaahu 'anhu*) said: “*The only thing that prevented me from being at Badr was that I was out with my father Husayl when the Quraysh got us and said “you want Muhammad?” we said “we do not want him, we just want to get to Madeenah.” They took from us the promise of Allaah and His covenant that we would go to Madeenah and not fight with him. The Messenger of Allaah came to us and informed us saying “Go! For you have made a promise with them and we seek Allaah’s help against them”.*”

They promised the disbelieving Quraysh that they would not fight and then the Prophet came and informed them “*do not fight with us.*” This is trustworthiness and honesty, this is from the characteristics and rules of trustworthiness which Islam demands, not “lying to advance Islam” or “the allowance of breaking treaties and contracts” as the Islamophobes and hate-mongers claim. Interestingly, ‘Shoebat’ brings nothing from the Qur’aan to justify their claim for this. Then Hagee states:

“Now, the Palestinians say that they hate the Jewish people because they occupy the land of Israel, but the hatred of the Jewish people by the Palestinians began a long time before Israel became a state on May 15 1948. Why is, what is the real truth of why the Islamic people, the Palestinian people, the Hamas people, the Hezbollah people hate the Israeli people?”

Shoebat answers: **“They hate the Israeli people because of the covenant of God established in the Bible regarding that land.”!!!?**

This is one of the most simplistic reasons ever put forward, even a college student who possesses even scant knowledge of the history of the Middle East would not make such a unsophisticated assertion, this is nothing but Christian-Right Wing propaganda. Because it is

well-known that Jews and Muslims lived together in relative peace in the Middle East before 1948 and the creation of the Zionist state. The scholarly work, *A History of the Jewish People*, edited by Haim Hillel Ben-Sasson an honest Israeli historian, notes:

The height of magnificence and luxury was reached by the wealthy Jews in the lands of Islam, particularly in Moslem Spain. We know that the court bankers of Baghdad in the tenth century kept open house for numerous guests and for the poor. Similarly, the ceremonies of the Jewish leaders in Babylonia [Iraq] and the patronage of the leading Jews in Moslem Spain, indicate conditions of ease and plenty. The attitude toward these non-Moslems in the Islamic territories was shaped in principle in accordance with the concept of dhimma, meaning protection granted to them by agreement or treaty... In return, their lives and property were protected and, in accordance with the general attitude of Islam to infidels, they were assured liberty of faith and worship. They were also permitted to organize themselves as they wished, and the Jews fully availed themselves of that permission....From the Jewish viewpoint, this conglomerate of Moslem attitudes to infidels was easier to live with than the one that had been established by Christianity, particularly in the Byzantine Empire. As we have noted above, for hundreds of years the overwhelming majority of Jews lived in the Islamic territories. Although it is possible to perceive some Christian impact on the Moslem attitude towards non-believers and even towards the Christians themselves, the moderation with which the Moslems applied this influence proved to be of great importance to the majority of Jewry over a long period. Unlike the masses of Christians and pagans who joined the Moslems over the first half century or so, the overwhelming majority of the Jews under Moslem rule held firmly to their own faith.¹⁵

Among the favorable climates into which the Sephardim Jews immigrated was the city of Fez.

“About 20,000 souls were absorbed in Fez, where the exiles rapidly began to succeed in their affairs and purchased property.”

The ruler of Fez was remembered with particular warmth. He was

“...one of the Godfearing ones among the nations of the world, who admitted the Jews expelled from Spain and treated Israel well until his death in 1505. For God established him over the Kingdom of Fez to enable us to live.”¹⁶

¹⁵ Haim Hillel Ben-Sasson (ed.), *A History of the Jewish People* (Harvard University Press, 1976), p.

¹⁶ Ibid. p.631 quoting R. Abraham Terutiel, continuation of *Sefer Hakabbalah* in A. Neubauer, Oxford, 1887

Israel Shahak further mentions that Jewish communities flourished in Muslim Spain wherein the real Jewish 'Golden Age' of Hebrew poetry, grammar and philosophy all began.¹⁷ Shahak also notes that Muslim rulers such as Salaahuddeen al-Ayyoobee who ruled over Egypt treated the Jewish communities well, and he also maintains that the Jews were in their best social position under the Ottoman regime, whilst the rest of Eastern and Western Europe was expelling the Jews in the name of the Pogroms.

Jews were banished from France by Philip (Augustus) the second in 1182 CE; after having entered England with William the Conqueror in 1066 CE¹⁸, Jews were expelled from England in 1290 CE¹⁹; expelled from France again in 1306; in 1349 CE many Christians regarded the Jews

¹⁷ Israel Shahak, *Jewish History, Jewish Religion – The Weight of Three Thousand Years* (London: Pluto Press, 1994), p.57.

¹⁸ William of Malmesbury, stated that William the Conqueror brought the Jews from Rouen to England as his policy was to get the feudal dues paid to the royal treasury in coin rather than in kind, and for this reason it was necessary to have a body of men scattered throughout the country that would supply quantities of coin. King Henry the first granted a charter to Rabbi Joseph, the chief Rabbi of London at the time, which allowed him and his followers to move about the country without paying tolls, to buy and sell, to be tried, to swear by the Torah etc. special weight was given to the Jew's oath which was valid against that of twelve Christians. After Henry the second, Jews were found in London, Oxford, Cambridge, Norwich, Thetford, Bungay, Canterbury, Winchester, Newport, Stafford, Windsor and Reading, yet they could only bury their dead in London which was not removed until 1177 CE. King Henry the second tried to impose a special tax in order to fund the crusade against Salaahuddeen al-Ayyoobee in 1188 CE and the personal property of the Jews was regarded as one-fourth that of the whole country and the king imposed this on the English Jewry due to the windfall which came to his treasury. Towards the end of King Henry's reign, the Jews had incurred the hatred of the upper-classes in England and thus Henry began to spread anti-Jewish sentiment throughout England.

¹⁹ The edict of expulsion was given by Edward the first of England, which exiled them from England for 350 years. He issued writs to sheriffs of all English counties ordering them to enforce a decree to the effect that all Jews should leave England before All Saints Day. Oliver Cromwell in 1656 CE allowed the Jews to enter England and practice their religion under what came to be known as the '*Cromwellian Protectorate*'. The commercial policy which led to the *Navigation Act in October 1651*, made Cromwell try to attract the rich Jews of Amsterdam to London so that they might transfer their important trade interests with the Spanish main to England. There were negotiations between the English and Menasseh Ben Israel of the Amsterdam community, which eventually led to Menasseh Ben Israel being allowed to enter England after the 1652-54 war between England and Holland. After the war, Menasseh Ben Israel sent his brother-in-law David Abravanel Dormido to London in order to present a petition for the readmission of the Jews. The petition was initially rejected and then Cromwell persuaded Menasseh Ben Israel to travel to London in person and present the case, which he did in 1655 CE. As a result, a national conference was summoned at Whitehall which included England's most prominent lawyers, merchants and other notables and the lawyers reached the conclusion that there was no opposition to the Jews residing in England, however the merchants and religious authorities of the day were opposed to it, thus Cromwell ended the national conference in order to prevent a decision which went against his own interests. Even after Cromwell's concessions to the Jews, in 1664 CE an attempt was made by the Earl of Berkshire to bring about the expulsion of the Jews and in 1684 CE it was claimed that the Jews were 'alien infidels' who were perpetual enemies to 'the

for being responsible for the 'black death' and thus many Jewish people migrated to Poland; in 1349 CE Jews were expelled from Saxony; expelled from Hungary in 1360 CE; expelled from Belgium in 1370 CE; expelled from Prague in 1380 CE; expelled from Austria in 1420 CE; expelled from the Netherlands in 1444 CE; expelled from Spain along with the Muslims in 1492; expelled from Portugal in 1498 CE; expelled from Prussia in 1510 CE; expelled from Naples and Sardinia in 1540 CE; expelled from Bavaria in 1551; made fearful of entering Denmark until the 1600s CE; expelled from Sweden and banned from there until 1782 CE; prohibited from seeking residence in Norway until 1815 CE.²⁰ During all of this, many Jews thus went to Muslim lands where they were tolerated and allowed freedom. Furthermore, the Catholic popes during the 1930s and 1940s supported Mussolini's fascists and the Nazis.²¹

British crown.' In 1723 CE a special act of Parliament was passed which allowed the Jews to hold land in England on the condition that they swear an oath. In 1740 they were allowed to become naturalised British citizens if they had lived in the British colonies. The history of the Jews in England therefore, is one of a people without any status, security or safety so it is strange how some authors, such as Bat Ye'or, Oriana Fallaci, Melanie Philips, Robert Spencer et al. mention nothing of this in their writing of anti-Semitism and blame every manifestation of hatred against the Jews that has taken place in history on the Muslims!?

²⁰Colin McEvedy (ed.), *The Century – World History Factfinder* (1984).

²¹ It should also be noted that many Zionists in history actually supported Nazi and anti-Semitic ideals and the Austro-Hungarian Zionist Theodore Herzl is a prime example of this. Herzl was proud to receive the support of the notorious Count Von Plehve, the architect of the Jewish massacres for Tsar Nicholas the Second in Russia at the end of the nineteenth century. Herzl went to Russia to meet Von Plehve in August 1903, less than four months after the hideous *Kishinev* pogrom which Plehve had devised. Herzl thus composed an alliance based on their common wish to get the Jews out of Russia. Herzl admitted in his diaries: **"The anti-Semitists are not the arch-enemies of the Jews, but rather will become our most dependable friends and the anti-Semitic countries, our allies."** In his historic work, *The Jewish State* (1896), Herzl proclaims the Zionist conquest of Palestine as an extension of Europe's imperial policy abroad: **"In Palestine, we should form a portion of the rampart of Europe against Asia; an outpost of civilization as opposed to barbarism...we have to maintain contact with all of Europe which will guarantee our existence."**

Other examples are:

1. The Zionist Ze'ev (Vladimir) Jabotinsky made a pact with Symon Petlyura, the reactionary Ukrainian leader whose forces massacred some 100,000 Jews from 1918 – 1921 CE
2. Ben Gurion's allies amongst the French were extreme right wing anti-Semites who explained that they were only against the Jews in France, not in Israel, this was during the Algerian war for independence.
3. Perhaps the most shocking of these examples is with the Zionists in Germany who congratulated Hitler's rise to power as they shared his belief in racial separation and his hostility to the assimilation of Jews into the society. Joachim Prinz, a Zionist Rabbi who had subsequently migrated to the US, wherein he rose to become the vice-chairman of the *World Jewish Congress* and a leading member in the *World Zionist Organisation*, published a book *Wir Juden (We Jews)* in 1934 CE (Berlin). The book praised and celebrated Hitler's policies and is replete of crude flatteries of Nazi ideology.

So 'Shoebat' purposefully neglects any mention of the unjust and corrupt actions of the occupying transgressors and lays all blame at the feet of the oppressed and this in itself is not only unjust but also quite evil.

So the Christian-Zionists try to impugn the Muslims of hating the Jews when the Bible itself accuses the Jews of killing Jesus! In 1 Thessalonians 2:15 it says that the Jews:

“...who both killed the Lord Jesus and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men.”

-
4. Moses Hess, a close acquaintance of Karl Marx, and widely known as one of the first socialists in Germany, subsequently revealed himself as an extreme Jewish racist whose views about the Jewish race were not unlike equivalent absurdities about the 'pure Aryan race.' But the German socialists, who struggled against German racism, remained silent about their Jewish racism. (Shahak, *op.cit*, p.30)