

Unanswered questions: The September 11 attacks

Why we should demand a public inquiry

by Malcontent X

ontario.indymedia.org, article # 12040

We have not been told the truth about Sept 11, 2001 — not by the government, the military, media.

A criminal negligence occurred within the highest ranks of the Pentagon and Executive on Sept. 11th — without which, the terrorist attacks would not have been successful; and no one in positions of authority is willing to talk about it.

The case against Osama Bin Laden and co. has never been in doubt; for there never has been a case, to speak of. In the name of “national security,” the Bush Administration has made no attempt to make a case before the public; rather, circumstantial evidence and hearsay has served to achieve long-standing economic and military aims in Afghanistan, and to distract from the culpability of top American officials, in the criminal negligence of Sept 11.

The possibility that some top officials intentionally abetted the terrorist attacks, (and that others have covered up for them) has not been ruled out; though this has yet to be adequately examined.

With more civilians killed from the U.S. bombing of Afghanistan than the attacks on the World Trade Center, (source: webactive.com democracy now archive, Dec. 10th) the above actions by the Bush Administration are simply criminal in nature:

Unless, and to the degree that, the Administration can demonstrate to U.S. citizens a willingness to first take responsibility for their own possible failures during the events of Sept 11, by submitting to an open, public review.

By its refusal to openly discuss the affair, the Bush Administration has profoundly abused the trust of the American people.

The voices in the immediate aftermath — speaking the obvious, seeking answers — have been swept away on a tide of vengeance, rising off the promise of “evidence” undisclosed, insufficient, often ludicrous.

The most powerful nation on earth — one of the most tightly controlled air spaces in the world — has just been struck in the heart of its jurisdiction, and the security establishment wants us to let them do an internal investigation?

One may reasonably ask: How badly do they have to screw up before we, the public, are allowed to investigate them?

The behavior of the mainstream media, in passively representing the government position, (and in the most graphically entertaining way) suggests that they cannot be relied on to pursue a rigorous critique of official doctrine.

Witness such bits of wisdom in the volatile days following the tragedy:

- “World War III” (‘NY Times,’ 9/13)
- “Give War A Chance” (‘Philadelphia Inquirer,’ 9/13)
- “Time To Use The Nuclear Option” (‘Washington Times,’ 9/14).

It must be up to those of us in the various grass-roots communities to carry on the research, share information — and articulate a review of the available evidence, sufficient to put a demand for a full, public inquiry into the events of Sept 11th at the forefront of our activity.

We cannot cease questioning the attacks on Afghanistan, (and other countries) denouncing racist reaction, and the curtailment of civil rights, etc; yet neither can we be satisfied with this purely defensive response.

Rather, we should seize upon the demand for an inquiry — as the pivot upon which to expose the government’s duplicity in going to war; for, in its’ arrogance, it has vastly over-reached itself.

Once the general public begins to hear a consistent, solid, and well-documented voice — calling into question the government’s immunity from investigation — then the whole justification for blindly accepting the government’s rationale for bombing Afghanistan, (and blaming Bin Laden) will also come into question.

From there, public opinion will create a slow-closing vice upon the governmental veil

— from which it will only be able to redeem itself, by allowing an open and honest truth to sail through the bankrupt blanket of silence which now suffocates our real, human hope: that it may emerge, at long last, into the light of day.

If the evidence available so far, proves to be just the tip of the ice-burg, then over time, the U.S. administration will prove itself to be far more vulnerable around the issue of incompetence, criminal negligence, or worse ... than from any foreign policy or civil libertarian standpoint.

It’s time for us to take the offensive, by building a case before the American people.

As Stan Goff, re-humanized former member of U.S. special forces says, “the left [anti-globalization movement] has missed the boat on this one; by allowing them to get away with rushing past the question of who did what on September 11th.... accepting [the govt’s] framework... [we’re missing] the more crucial point that [the official] story about Sept. 11 is full of holes.” (source: www.narconews.com/goff1.html)

So full of holes you could fly a plane through it.

This article was compiled from the website:
[www.communitycurrency.org/
MainIndexMX.html](http://www.communitycurrency.org/MainIndexMX.html)

For more online, visit:

www.unansweredquestions.org

www.tenc.net

globalresearch.ca

www.copvcia.com

[www.ratical.com/ratville/CAH/
911inquiry.html](http://www.ratical.com/ratville/CAH/911inquiry.html)

Canada’s Vision TV aired a series entitled “The Great Deception” on their *Insight* program challenging the official explanation of the attacks and looking at who really benefits.

www.visiontv.ca

**WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO PRINT AND SHARE THIS PAPER
ONLINE AT: ONTARIO.INDYMEDIA.ORG/BLINDSPOT**