



Clockwise, starting in the top right corner: Sen. Jim Talent (MO), Rep. Heather Wilson (NM), Sen. Conrad Burns (MT), Sen. Rick Santorum (PA), Rep. Richard Pombo (CA), Rep. Katherine Harris (FL), Rep. Bob Ney (OH)



## THE LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

*The Dirty Dozen Report* —  
**THE NEXT SEVEN MEMBERS**

[WWW.LCV.ORG](http://WWW.LCV.ORG)



I  
Introduction

2 - 3  
Rep. Richard Pombo

4 - 5  
Sen. Rick Santorum

6 - 7  
Sen. Conrad Burns

8 - 9  
Rep. Katherine Harris

10 - 11  
Rep. Bob Ney

12 - 13  
Sen. Jim Talent

14 - 15  
Rep. Heather Wilson

16  
The History of the  
Dirty Dozen

The 2006 version of the “Dirty Dozen,” a signature document of the League of Conservation Voters, blends a light-hearted look with a sharp-eyed critique of the failure of the 109th United States Congress to address the fundamental environmental and conservation challenges facing us as a nation.

On September 1, 2005, the United States woke up to the worst natural disaster in its history. This seminal event brought into stark relief long-ignored issues with life and death consequences. Hurricane Katrina thrust into the national debate concerns such as global warming, oil dependence, wetland degradation, shoreline development, energy generation and the overall role (or lack thereof) of the federal government in taking a leadership position on these issues.

The issue of energy policy, driven by concerns about gas prices, dependence on oil, global warming, energy security and record-breaking profits by Big Oil companies is front and center on people’s minds this year, and we intend to make it a voting issue this November. One key goal of this new report is to shine a spotlight on a set of members of Congress who have consistently sided with the energy industry and against the interests of the environment, public health, and taxpayers. All these new members of the Dirty Dozen voted for the disastrous energy bill, which gives billions of dollars in taxpayer subsidies to oil companies at this time of record-breaking profits, and they all voted against sensible energy solutions.

For a complete voting record of the entire Congress, you can refer to the 2005 National Environmental Scorecard at [www.lcv.org](http://www.lcv.org). In that document, you will also see records of public servants who consistently voted for the environment, some of whom have become LCV’s “Environmental Champions.” It is a worthy benchmark.

In truth, the “Dirty Dozen” could easily be the “Dirty Two Dozen” or “Dirty Thirty.” In the interests of continuity, however, we chose to keep it as close to twelve as possible. The seven unveiled today, coupled with the two named earlier this year, will be joined by the rest in the coming months. Unfortunately, we have no shortage of candidates.

**Gene Karpinski**  
President, League of Conservation Voters

*To find out how your elected officials score  
visit [www.lcv.org](http://www.lcv.org)*

Paid for by LCV/Action Fund, 202 785 8683, not authorized by any candidate or candidate’s committee.

Published June 2006 by the League of Conservation Voters. All rights reserved.  
For additional copies or information about joining LCV, please contact us at  
1920 L Street, NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC, 20036  
Phone: 202-785-8683, Fax: 202-835-0491; [www.lcv.org](http://www.lcv.org), email [lcv@lcv.org](mailto:lcv@lcv.org).

# REPRESENTATIVE RICHARD POMBO

CALIFORNIA (II)

Representative Richard Pombo, Chair of the House Resources Committee, has a record of abusing his power to put the interests of corporate America ahead of his constituents. From proposals to sell off national parks to legislation that rewards the oil companies with huge tax breaks, Rep. Pombo uses his position to advance the interests of greedy developers and big oil companies. At virtually every opportunity, he has voted against the environment, earning a special place in the hearts of everyone who cares about the environment and the number one spot as Chair of the Dirty Dozen.

*Rep. Pombo traveled in RV comfort and style to our national parks. Later, he tried to sell some off.*

**And yes, he did rent an RV on the taxpayers' dime to tour national parks with his family.**

**Rep. Pombo's idea of good government: get the money then vote.**

Rep. Pombo voted for the passage of the House Energy Bill; a bill which added billions of dollars in new subsidies for coal and oil, while weakening existing environmental protection measures.<sup>1</sup>

Rep. Pombo has taken nearly \$230,000 from the oil and gas companies that stood to benefit from him weakening these protections, creating new subsidies for the industry and keeping all their lucrative tax breaks.<sup>2</sup>

*"I have a Portuguese grandmother who used to say, "Never let the facts get in the way of a good story."*

[The Sacramento Bee, 10/23/05]

**Rep. Pombo votes against fuel efficient cars.**

In yet another attack on the environment, Representative Pombo voted no on an amendment to increase fuel efficiency standards. This amendment would have saved nearly 1 million barrels of oil per day, prevented the annual release of 150 million tons of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, and saved Californians more than \$2.2 billion dollars a year by 2016. Instead, Rep. Pombo voted yes for an energy bill that provides billions in tax breaks for oil companies who have done little to promote clean and efficient energy.<sup>3-4</sup>

**If at first you don't succeed, try drilling again. And again. And again.**

In 2005, Rep. Pombo repeatedly tried to open the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil drilling. After he failed to include Arctic drilling in the new energy law, he tried unsuccessfully to include it in the final budget bill. In a last ditch attempt, he supported a provision to open the refuge that was added to the Defense Appropriations bill that included funding for US troops and Hurricane Katrina relief.<sup>5-6,7</sup>

**Rep. Pombo lets polluters off the hook for water clean-up and makes taxpayers foot the bill.**

Rep. Pombo voted against an amendment to require that the manufacturers of MTBE, a harmful gasoline additive, clean up their pollution instead of saddling taxpayers and states with billions of dollars in clean-up costs.<sup>8</sup>

**Rep. Pombo protects polluters, not his constituents.**

Rep. Pombo recently voted against an amendment that would protect many small streams, wetlands, ponds and other waters around the country as well an amendment to protect the public's right to know about their exposure to toxic chemicals.<sup>9,10</sup>



6%

LCV 2005  
SCORECARD

7%

LCV LIFETIME  
VOTING RECORD

\$229,988

OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO REP. POMBO  
(CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$3.37

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN CA IN  
MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

\$2.46

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN CA IN  
AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

2

NUMBER OF TIMES REP. POMBO VOTED  
AGAINST RAISING FUEL EFFICIENCY  
STANDARDS

# SENATOR RICK SANTORUM

## PENNSYLVANIA

Senator Santorum would rather do the bidding of an oil company than acknowledge the need for environmental stewardship. Senator Santorum has refused to recognize that human actions are a factor in global warming. He helped oil companies keep their enormous tax breaks; voted against increasing mileage standards (CAFE); and voted to allow mercury clean-up to be delayed twenty years. Yes, Sen. Santorum has truly earned his rightful spot in the LCV's Dirty Dozen.

Consumers  
pay while  
Senator  
Santorum  
pumps profits  
to the oil and  
gas industry.

### Let the oil companies have their cake and eat it too.

At virtually every turn, Senator Rick Santorum has voted against the environment and for the oil and gas industry. Senator Santorum voted for the Energy Conference Report, arguably the most anti-environmental legislation signed into law in recent memory.<sup>1</sup>

### Renew this! Senator Santorum said no to renewable energy.

Senator Santorum voted against an amendment requiring electrical utilities to produce 10 percent of their electricity from clean, renewable resources by the year 2020.<sup>2</sup>

### Bigger and bigger cars with lower and lower mileage.

Senator Santorum voted for Senate Amendment 925 which allows

automakers to produce fewer high-mileage cars as long as they also make vehicles that run on ethanol and gasoline. This measure would have increased our oil dependence by 150,000 barrels of oil per day by 2008.<sup>3</sup>

### The mercury's rising: Senator Santorum allows 20-year delay in the reduction of mercury emissions.

According to the EPA, every lake, river, and stream in Pennsylvania is under a fish consumption advisory due to mercury contamination. Despite this, Senator Santorum let stand an EPA plan to delay reductions in mercury pollution for two decades and allow the buying and selling of mercury pollution credits, potentially creating hot spots of mercury contamination. When pro-environmental Senators protested and introduced a bill to take care of the problem, Sen. Santorum and the other Senators in the Dirty Dozen said no.<sup>4,5</sup>

*Our favorite quote from Sen. Santorum about the environment... "Nowhere in the Bible does it say that America will be here 100 years from now."*

[said at a 1994 rally as reported in a May 25, 1997 issue of Slate Magazine]

### Burning down the House...or Senate.

Senator Santorum voted against the bi-partisan "Sense of the Senate" Resolution which put the Senate on record that global warming is real and that mandatory limits are necessary to slow, stop, and reverse the growth of global warming pollution. Before the resolution could be voted on, Senator James Inhofe of Oklahoma offered a motion to kill the resolution. Senator Santorum voted with Sen. Inhofe to kill the resolution. Fortunately they did not prevail, this time.<sup>6</sup>



10%

LCV 2005  
SCORECARD

10%

LCV LIFETIME  
VOTING RECORD

\$463,578

OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO SEN. SANTORUM  
(CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$2.91

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN PA IN  
MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

\$2.22

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN PA IN  
AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

6

NUMBER OF TIMES SEN. SANTORUM  
VOTED AGAINST RAISING FUEL  
EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

# SENATOR CONRAD BURNS

## MONTANA

From rejecting heating assistance for the old and poor to allowing the testing of pesticides on humans, Senator Conrad Burns has worked hard to earn himself a place in the Dirty Dozen.

*The man  
who  
would test  
pesticides on  
Americans.*

**Senator Conrad Burns fixed it for the oil and gas industry by voting against the environment every chance he got last year.**

Senator Burns voted for the Energy Conference Report, arguably the most anti-environmental legislation signed into law in recent memory.<sup>1</sup>

Sen. Burns also voted against heating assistance programs for the old and poor – programs that keep people warm in the cold winter months. At the same time, he voted to continue tax credits for oil and gas companies that reported record-breaking profits of \$32 billion over a few short months.<sup>2,3</sup>

*When asked what his greatest weakness is, Senator Burns responded: “Speaking before thinking.”*

[Helena Independent Record, 5/7/06]

**Renewable energy? Senator Burns said no.**

Senator Burns voted against a Senate Amendment requiring electrical utilities to produce 10 percent of their electricity from clean, renewable resources by the year 2020.<sup>4</sup>

**Let’s go backwards and make cars with lower gas mileage.**

Senator Burns voted for Senate Amendment 925, which allows automakers to produce fewer high-mileage cars as long as they also make vehicles that run on ethanol and gasoline. This measure would have increased our oil dependence by 155,000 barrels of oil per day by 2008.<sup>5</sup>

*Senator Burns on human pesticide testing: “There’s a lot of room for debate on this...Do you stop a whole industry?”*

[Billings Gazette, 6/30/05]

**Senator Burns said yes to testing of pesticides on humans...it’s true.**

Despite expert opinion from physicians and ethicists, Sen. Conrad Burns led the charge against an amendment that would create a one-year moratorium on testing pesticides on humans by prohibiting the EPA from using its funds to consider or conduct this research.<sup>6</sup>

**Big Sky fishing in peril.**

According to the EPA, every lake, river, and stream in Montana is under a fish consumption advisory due to mercury contamination. Despite this, Senator Burns voted to allow an EPA decision to stand which delays the meaningful reduction of mercury emissions for 20 years.<sup>7,8</sup>

*“[It] has been happening since the glaciers started to recede,” he said in an interview. “You remember the ice age? It’s been warming ever since, and there ain’t anything we can do to stop it.”*

[Environment & Energy Daily, 5/11/06]



5%

LCV 2005  
SCORECARD

4%

LCV LIFETIME  
VOTING RECORD

\$491,486

OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO SEN. BURNS  
(CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$2.76

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN MT IN  
MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

\$2.25

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN MT IN  
AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

7 NUMBER OF TIMES SEN. BURNS VOTED  
AGAINST RAISING FUEL EFFICIENCY  
STANDARDS

# REPRESENTATIVE KATHERINE HARRIS FLORIDA (13)

*Rep. Katherine Harris just cannot make up her mind. Ms. Harris states that she is against Gulf drilling, but at the same time, she has passed substantial cuts in environmental programs which invest in clean water infrastructure and protect oceans and coasts. Only in office two terms, Rep. Harris has earned a place in the Dirty Dozen. Now, she wants to move up to Senate. A terrible place for a politician who only cares about her long-term political agenda.*

*Rep.  
Katherine  
Harris' flip-  
flops on off-  
shore drilling  
aren't fooling  
anyone.*

**Rep. Katherine Harris says she opposes drilling but her votes tell another story.**

Rep. Harris voted to pave the way for drilling off Florida's coast when she supported energy legislation that would weaken a state's ability to fight offshore drilling.<sup>1</sup>

Rep. Harris also voted for the House Energy Bill which weakened existing environmental protection measures. **At the same time**, she voted for billions of dollars in new subsidies for coal and oil. **Then**, after a campaign makeover, Rep. Harris said she was against drilling closer to Florida's coast and said that she would never waver in the fight to protect Florida's environment and natural resources. **Now**, Rep. Harris readily admits she wavers on this issue when she said she considered herself a hard-line drilling opponent until she backed the Rep. Pombo-Gov. Bush proposal last fall.<sup>2,3,4</sup>

**Are you still confused where Rep. Harris stands on drilling? This won't help.**

In 2005, Congresswoman Harris repeatedly voted to open the Arctic Refuge to oil drilling by voting for drilling in the House Energy Bill, voting for it in the budget, and finally in a last-ditch attempt, voting to include it in the Defense Appropriations bill that included funding for U.S troops and Hurricane Katrina relief.<sup>5,6,7</sup>

**Rep. Harris treats oil companies like royalty.**

Representative Harris recently voted against an amendment to limit "royalty relief" — code for "taxpayer rip-off" for companies wanting to drill off our shores. In 2005, the world's biggest oil companies recorded more than \$111 billion in combined profits — they don't need another government handout to help them destroy our environment.<sup>8</sup>

**Harris happily protects polluters, not her constituents.**

Representative Harris recently voted against an amendment that would protect many small streams, wetlands, ponds and other waters around the country as well an amendment to protect the public's right to know about their exposure to toxic chemicals.<sup>9,10</sup>

*"Rep. Harris readily admits she wavers on this issue when she said "she considered herself a hard-line drilling opponent until she backed the [Rep. Pombo-Gov. Jeb Bush] compromise rejected last fall by [Senators] Martinez and Nelson."*

[Tampa Tribune, 2/3/06]



17%

LCV 2005  
SCORECARD

12%

LCV LIFETIME  
VOTING RECORD

\$47,450

OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO REP. HARRIS  
(CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$2.88

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN FL IN  
MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

\$2.22

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN FL IN  
AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

# REPRESENTATIVE BOB NEY OHIO (18)

*Congressman Bob Ney continues to advance big oil special interests at the expense of the environment. Rep. Ney voted for legislation that requires taxpayers to reimburse oil refineries for delays in construction or operation while oil companies are reporting record-breaking profits. Rep. Ney's blatant disregard for the environment has earned him a place in the Dirty Dozen.*

*Rep. Ney made a business squeezing out votes on behalf of big oil companies.*

**There are at least \$127,589 reasons why Rep. Bob Ney votes against the environment.**

Congressman Ney voted for the House Energy Bill which weakened environmental protections for oil and gas drilling while adding billions of dollars in new subsidies for coal, oil, and nuclear power.<sup>1</sup>

During that time, Rep. Ney took \$127,589 from oil and gas companies that stood to benefit from weakened environmental protections, new subsidies, and lucrative tax breaks.<sup>2</sup>

**Bob Ney goes the extra mile to help his friends in big oil.**

In yet another attack on the environment, Representative Ney voted no on an amendment to increase fuel efficiency standards. This amendment would have saved nearly 1 million barrels of oil per day, prevented the annual release of 150 million tons of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, and saved Ohioans more than \$790 million dollars a year by 2016. Instead, Rep. Ney voted yes for an energy bill that provides billions in tax breaks for oil companies who have done little to promote clean and efficient energy.<sup>3,4</sup>

*"For far too long, our country has been... beholden to the radical environmental community to build the energy infrastructure needed for a 21st century America..."*

[States News, 7/29/05]

**Rep. Ney lets polluters off the hook and makes taxpayers foot the bill.**

Rep. Ney voted against an amendment to require that the manufacturers of MTBE, a harmful gasoline additive, clean up their pollution instead of saddling taxpayers and states with billions of dollars in clean-up costs.<sup>5</sup>

**Rep. Ney protects polluters, not his constituents.**

Representative Ney recently voted against an amendment that would protect many small streams, wetlands, ponds and other waters around the country as well an amendment to protect the public's right to know about their exposure to toxic chemicals.<sup>6,7</sup>



11%

LCV 2005  
SCORECARD

12%

LCV LIFETIME  
VOTING RECORD

\$127,589  
OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO REP. NEY  
(CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$2.85

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN OH IN  
MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

2

NUMBER OF TIMES REP. NEY VOTED  
AGAINST RAISING FUEL EFFICIENCY  
STANDARDS

\$2.18

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN OH IN  
AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

# SENATOR JIM TALENT

## MISSOURI

Senator Jim Talent rakes in the cash from the oil and gas industry but what does that mean for us? It means lower-mileage cars, less renewable energy, more drilling, less clean-up, and more anti-environmental votes overall. The oil and gas industry got what they paid for. We welcome Sen. Jim Talent to LCV's Dirty Dozen.

Senator Jim Talent's talent: collecting campaign contributions from the oil and gas industry.

### Senator Jim Talent — money talks and energy policy walks.

In his first term, Senator Jim Talent's votes have done much to damage the environment. He voted for the Energy Conference Report, arguably the most anti-environmental legislation signed into law in recent memory.<sup>1</sup>

### Renew me to the Senate but don't renew energy.

Senator Talent voted against a Senate amendment requiring electrical utilities to produce 10 percent of their electricity from clean, renewable resources by the year 2020.<sup>2</sup>

*"With all due respect, I cannot understand what coherent political philosophy cuts its own country off from oil... It is time to open up the Arctic..."*

[The Federal News Service, Press conference on gas prices at Russell Senate Building, 4/27/06]

### Big cars. Low mileage.

Senator Talent voted for Senate Amendment 925 which allows automakers to produce fewer high-mileage cars as long as they also make vehicles that run on ethanol and gasoline. This measure would have increased our oil dependence by 150,000 barrels of oil per day by 2008.<sup>3</sup>

### Burning down the House...or Senate.

Senator Talent voted against the bi-partisan "Sense of the Senate" Resolution which put the Senate on record that global warming is real and that mandatory limits are necessary to slow, stop, and reverse the growth of global warming pollution.

Before the resolution could be voted on, Senator James Inhofe of Oklahoma offered a motion to kill the resolution. Senator Talent voted with Sen. Inhofe because he couldn't bring himself to acknowledge that global warming exists.<sup>4</sup>

### Senator Talent has been too busy fishing for cash to notice.

According to the EPA, every lake, river, and stream in Missouri is under a fish consumption advisory due to mercury contamination. Despite this, Senator Talent voted to allow an EPA decision to stand which delays the meaningful reduction of mercury emissions for 20 years.<sup>5,6</sup>

*"...the Earth's warming trend is natural and beneficial."*

[The Federal News Service Statement from Talent before the House Committee on Small Business: SUBJECT — Oversight Hearing on the Kyoto Protocol, 7/29/98]



15%

LCV 2005 SCORECARD

15%

LCV LIFETIME VOTING RECORD

\$225,372

OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO SEN. TALENT (CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$2.71

AVERAGE GAS PRICE IN MO IN MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

\$2.12

AVERAGE GAS PRICE IN MO IN AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

3

NUMBER OF TIMES SEN. TALENT VOTED AGAINST RAISING FUEL EFFICIENCY STANDARDS



# REPRESENTATIVE HEATHER WILSON NEW MEXICO (1)

*This five-term Congresswoman earns a place in the Dirty Dozen for consistently failing to support legislation that reduces U.S. dependence on oil or promote energy efficiency and clean, renewable energy.*

*Rep.  
Heather  
Wilson  
— raising  
her glass  
to the oil  
companies.*

### **Rep. Heather Wilson doesn't share New Mexico's priorities.**

Congresswoman Wilson voted for the House Energy Bill which weakened environmental protections for oil and gas drilling while adding billions of dollars in new subsidies for coal, oil, and nuclear power.<sup>1</sup>

### **Failing to increase fuel economy. Increasing oil and gas profits.**

In yet another attack on the environment, Representative Wilson voted no on an amendment to increase fuel efficiency standards. This amendment would have saved nearly 1 million barrels of oil per day, prevented the annual release of 150 million tons of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, and saved New Mexicans more than \$142 billion dollars a year by 2016. Instead, Rep. Wilson voted yes for an energy bill that provides billions in tax breaks for oil companies who have done little to promote clean and efficient energy.<sup>2,3</sup>

### **If at first you don't succeed, try drilling again and again and again.**

In 2005, Congresswoman Wilson repeatedly voted to open the Arctic Refuge to oil drilling by voting for drilling in the House Energy Bill, voting for it in the budget, and finally in a last-ditch attempt, voting to include it in the Defense Appropriations bill that included funding for U.S troops and Hurricane Katrina relief.<sup>4,5,6</sup>

### **Rep. Wilson tells polluters not to worry; she'll get taxpayers to pay for clean-up.**

Rep. Wilson voted against an amendment to require that the manufacturers of MTBE, a harmful gasoline additive, clean up their pollution instead of saddling taxpayers and states with billions of dollars in clean up costs.<sup>7</sup>

### **Rep. Wilson treats oil companies like royalty.**

Representative Wilson recently voted against an amendment to limit royalty relief — code for “taxpayer rip-off” for companies wanting to drill off our shores. In 2005, the world's biggest oil companies recorded more than \$111 billion in combined profits — they don't need another government handout to help them destroy our environment.<sup>8</sup>

*When Rep. Wilson was asked about lowering arsenic levels in drinking water, she said the lower level is “wrong-headed and based on bad science.”*

[Akron Beacon-Journal, 7/28/01]



22%

LCV 2005  
SCORECARD

16%

LCV LIFETIME  
VOTING RECORD

\$396,370

OIL AND GAS CONTRIBUTIONS TO REP. WILSON  
(CAREER TOTAL)<sup>A</sup>

\$2.93

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN NM IN  
MAY 2006<sup>B</sup>

\$2.26

AVERAGE GAS  
PRICE IN NM IN  
AUGUST 2005<sup>C</sup>

2 NUMBER OF TIMES REP. WILSON VOTED  
AGAINST RAISING FUEL EFFICIENCY  
STANDARDS

# THE HISTORY OF THE DIRTY DOZEN



The League of Conservation Voters (LCV) began publishing its list of the Dirty Dozen over three decades ago. LCV was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following Earth Day. LCV is the independent political voice for the environment, and the only organization working full time to educate citizens about the environmental voting records of Members of Congress.

To learn more about the Dirty Dozen visit, [www.lcv.org](http://www.lcv.org)

## Check The Facts:

### REPRESENTATIVE POMBO

1. House Roll Call Vote 132 (April 21, 2005)
2. Center for Responsive Politics, [www.opensecrets.org](http://www.opensecrets.org)
3. House Roll Call Vote 121 (April 20, 2005)
4. Union of Concerned Scientists, Economic Benefits for States from Higher Fuel Economy Standards (May 9, 2006)
5. House Roll Call Vote 122 (April 20, 2005)
6. House Roll Call Vote 149 (April 28, 2005)
7. House Roll Call Vote 666 (December 19, 2005)
8. House Roll Call Vote 129 (April 21, 2005)
9. House Roll Call Vote 169 (May 18, 2006)
10. House Roll Call Vote 165 (May 18, 2006)

### SENATOR SANTORUM

1. Senate Roll Call Vote 213 (July 29, 2005)
2. Senate Roll Call Vote 141 (June 16, 2005)
3. Senate Roll Call Vote 156 (June 23, 2005)
4. EPA National Listing of Fish Advisories (August 2004) [ <http://www.epa.gov/ost/fish/advisories/factsheet.pdf> ]
5. Senate Roll Call Vote 225 (September 12, 2005)
6. Senate Roll Call Vote 149 (June 22, 2005)

### SENATOR BURNS

1. Senate Roll Call Vote 213 (July 29, 2005)
2. Senate Roll Call Vote 270 (October 26, 2005)
3. Senate Roll Call Vote 332 (November 17, 2005)
4. Senate Roll Call Vote 141 (June 16, 2005)
5. Senate Roll Call Vote 156 (June 23, 2005)
6. Senate Roll Call Vote 162 (June 29, 2005)
7. EPA National Listing of Fish Advisories (August 2004) [ <http://www.epa.gov/ost/fish/advisories/factsheet.pdf> ]
8. Senate Roll Call Vote 225 (September 13, 2005)

### REPRESENTATIVE HARRIS

1. House Roll Call Vote 241 (June 15, 2004)
2. House Roll Call Vote 132 (April 21, 2005)
3. Associated Press (June 14, 2005)
4. The Tampa Tribune (February 3, 2006)
5. House Roll Call Vote 122 (April 20, 2005)
6. House Roll Call Vote 149 (April 28, 2005)
7. House Roll Call Vote 666 (December 19, 2005)
8. House Roll Call Vote 167 (May 18, 2006)
9. House Roll Call Vote 169 (May 18, 2006)
10. House Roll Call Vote 165 (May 18, 2006)

### REPRESENTATIVE NEY

1. House Roll Call Vote 132 (April 21, 2005)
2. Center for Responsive Politics [ [www.opensecrets.org](http://www.opensecrets.org) ]
3. House Roll Call Vote 121 (April 20, 2005)
4. Union of Concerned Scientists, Economic Benefits for States from Higher Fuel Economy Standards (May 9, 2006)
5. House Roll Call Vote 129 (April 21, 2005)
6. House roll call vote 169 (May 18, 2006)
7. House roll call vote 165 (May 18, 2006)

### SENATOR TALENT

1. Senate Roll Call Vote 213 (July 29, 2005)
2. Senate Roll Call Vote 141 (June 16, 2005)
3. Senate Roll Call Vote 156 (June 23, 2005)
4. Senate Roll Call Vote 149 (June 22, 2005)
5. EPA National Listing of Fish Advisories (August 2004) [ <http://www.epa.gov/ost/fish/advisories/factsheet.pdf> ]
6. Senate Roll Call Vote 225 (September 12, 2005)

### REPRESENTATIVE WILSON

1. House Roll Call Vote 132 (April 21, 2005)
2. House Roll Call Vote 121 (April 20, 2005)
3. Union of Concerned Scientists, Economic Benefits for States from Higher Fuel Economy Standards (May 9, 2006)
4. House Roll Call Vote 122 (April 20, 2005)
5. House Roll Call Vote 149 (April 28, 2005)
6. House Roll Call Vote 666 (December 19, 2005)
7. House Roll Call Vote 129 (April 21, 2005)
8. House Roll Call Vote 167 (May 18, 2006)

- A. [www.opensecrets.org](http://www.opensecrets.org)  
 B. [www.fuelgaugereport.com](http://www.fuelgaugereport.com)  
 C. [www.fuelgaugereport.com](http://www.fuelgaugereport.com)



These seven members have earned their place in the League of Conservation Voters 2006 Dirty Dozen. We call them the “Oil Slick Seven” because they are all in the pocket of big oil companies.